Spatially-aware Photo-realistic Face Relight ING USING JOINT EMBEDDING OF LIGHT PROPERTIES

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

ABSTRACT

Single image face relighting is the challenging problem of estimating the illumination cast on images by a point light source varying in position, intensity and possibly colour. Learning the relationship between the light source properties and the face location is critical to the photo-realism of the estimated relit image. Prior works do not explicitly model this relationship which adversely affects the accuracy and photo-realism of the estimated relit image. We present a novel framework that explicitly models this relationship by integrating a novel light feature embedding with self-attention and cross attention layers in a custom image relighting network. Our proposed method estimates more photo-realistic relit images with accurate shadows and outperforms prior works despite being trained only on synthetic data. Our method is able to generalize to out-of-training light source positions and also achieves unsupervised adaptation from synthetic to real images.

023 024 025

026

004

006

008 009

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

1 INTRODUCTION

Single image face relighting is the complex problem of changing the illumination in the source image according to a given target light direction. It is an active area of research in computer vision and has applications in various domains such as face recognition (Le & Kakadiaris, 2019; Huang et al., 2020; Qing et al., 2004), background lighting transfer (Nestmeyer et al., 2020; Pandey et al., 2021) and image editing Li et al. (2018); Luan et al. (2017); Shih et al. (2014).

Two crucial aspects of face relighting are accurate rendering of shadows and preserving of facial 033 details. A popular approach for single image face relighting is to rely on the estimated image intrin-034 sics such as albedo and surface normal for relighting the image (Zhou et al., 2019; Hou et al., 2021; 2022). Additional information such as shadow masks (Hou et al., 2021) or face geometry (Hou et al., 2022) can be used to improve accuracy of estimated shadows. While these methods work 037 well, the estimated relit images are not photo-realistic since the models have been trained on the 038 inexact ground truth images in the DPR dataset (Zhou et al., 2019), and they estimate only the luminance channel & append colour channels from the input image. Some have tried to address these limitations by training on a new relighting dataset in a two-stage pipeline (Pidaparthy et al., 2024). 040 While the shadows are sharp, they are often not photo-realistic. 041

None of the prior works explicitly addressed the fundamental challenge of image relighting, which is learning the complex relationship between light source information and face location & orientation.
Ponglertnapakorn et al. (2023) tried to implicitly learn this relationship using the prior knowledge of large diffusion models, however their approach significantly increased the computation cost and inference time. Further, prior works only explore relighting with white colour light and lack a method to incorporate light colour.

To address these limitations, we designed a novel lighting embedding that allows joint modelling of the light source properties, which are the position, colour and intensity. We propose a novel lighting network that enables the model to learn the relationship between light source position, intensity and colour. This representative light feature is then combined with image features in a residual convolutional autoencoder. By integrating self-attention and cross-attention layers at multiple resolutions, the network allows for learning the relationship between light features and image features. These combined features are passed to a residual decoder which estimates the relit image. The pipeline of our proposed image relighting network is shown in Fig 1. Through extensive experimental vali dation, we show that our method outperforms other SOTA methods and that our model generalizes
 very well to out-of-training light source properties. Additionally, we are able to achieve unsuper vised adaptation from synthetic to real images.



Figure 1: The proposed image relighting network architecture for face relighting is shown. The target light source properties are input to a lighting network which extracts light features. The RGB image and luminance channel are input to a residual encoder which learns the image features and combines them with the light features in a residual decoder to estimate the relit image. Multi-head attention layers are used to explicitly model the relationship between the light source and input image (face). Figure is best viewed in colour.

In summary, our contributions are:

- A novel light feature embedding that allows for joint modelling of the light properties (position, intensity and colour). This embedding provides an approach that can be easily extended to any other light properties.
- A novel lighting network that enables the network to explicitly learn the relationship between the light source position, intensity and colour.
- A novel image relighting network that explicitly models the relationship between the light source properties and face location & orientation.
- 2 PRIOR WORK
- 090 091

060 061

062 063

064

065 066

067

068

069

070

071

079

081

082

084

085

087

092 There are broadly four types of approaches explored for face relighting: 1) intrinsic image decomposition and rendering (Barron & Malik, 2014; Egger et al., 2018; Genova et al., 2018; Le & Kakadiaris, 2019; Lee & Lee, 2020; Lee et al., 2005; Li et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2020; Nestmeyer 094 et al., 2020; Sengupta et al., 2018; Shahlaei & Blanz, 2015; Shu et al., 2017; Tewari et al., 2017; 095 Tran et al., 2019; Tran & Liu, 2018; 2019; Wang et al., 2008; Yamaguchi et al., 2018), 2) image-to-096 image translation (Atoum et al., 2020; Tewari et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2019), 3) style 097 transfer (Li et al., 2018; Luan et al., 2017; Shih et al., 2014; Shu et al., 2017; Pandey et al., 2021; 098 Ponglertnapakorn et al., 2023; Yeh et al., 2022) and 4) ratio image estimation (Peers et al., 2007; 099 Shashua & Riklin-Raviv, 2001; Wen et al., 2003; Stoschek, 2000). 100

Given an input image and light source position, the intrinsic image decomposition methods aim to estimate components such as albedo, surface normal, reflectance and lighting. These components are then used to render a relit image based on the light source position. The effectiveness of these methods heavily depends on the accuracy of each intrinsic map and a cascading of the estimation errors results in relit images that lack high frequency details and may contain artefacts.

To address the issue of cascading errors, some approaches have framed relighting as an image-to image translation task. Although these methods can estimate relit images with varying accuracy (Sun et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2019), they struggle with inaccurate shadow predictions and adapting to

108 different light intensities. Another approach to relighting is image style transfer (Li et al., 2018; 109 Luan et al., 2017), where both source and references images are provided as inputs and the lighting 110 of the reference image is transferred to the source image. Some have explored using environment 111 lighting maps of a reference image to transfer lighting to a source image (Pandey et al., 2021; Ponglertnapakorn et al., 2023; Yeh et al., 2022). However, these approaches require high-quality 112 non-occluded source and reference image pairs with diverse lighting variations, and ground truth 113 environment lighting maps which can be expensive to obtain. In contrast, our method does not 114 require any reference images or environment maps and can relight an image for any given light 115 source position. 116

Some approaches have focused on learning a per-pixel multiplier map by estimating the ratio between the source and target images. However, these approaches require multiple input images (Peers et al., 2007; Shashua & Riklin-Raviv, 2001) or both source and target images (Stoschek, 2000) at inference, which limits their suitability for real-world applications.

121 Accurate estimation of shadows is critical to face relighting. Towards this end, some methods learn 122 a weightage function on the estimated shadow mask (Hou et al., 2021), while some others have used 123 ray-tracing to estimate the shadows approaches have explored the utility of a shadow pixels (Hou 124 et al., 2022). While these methods estimate shadows with reasonable accuracy, the relit images often lack photo-realism due to hard boundaries in the shadow regions, whereas real shadows are 125 softer and have diffused edges. Some have tried to overcome these limitations by improving the 126 quality of the training dataset and combing attention features at multiple scales (Pidaparthy et al., 127 2024), however the estimated relit images has colour artefact issues and the performance suffers on 128 input images with existing shadows. Another approach tried to leveraged the knowledge of large 129 diffusion models (Ponglertnapakorn et al., 2023), but this method comes at a significant increase 130 in computational costs and inference time. Also, several pre-processing blocks further increase the 131 inference time. 132

We address several limitations of the prior work and propose a lightweight network architecture for fast inference on edge devices. We believe that incorporating light colour can improve the model performance. Hence, we design a novel light embedding that allows the model to learn the correlation between light source position, intensity and colour. Next, we design a novel network architecture that explicitly learns the relationship between the light source information and face location & orientation. This enables the model to accurately learn the face illumination and shadow strength, and thus, estimate a photo-realistic relit image.

139 140 141

3 DATASET

We obtained training and test datasets from Pidaparthy et al. (2024). We made a few important modifications to their dataset preparation strategy that significantly improved the quality of the ground truth dataset.

We believe that incorporating light colour information during training can improve the illumination
on the face and reduce the colour artefacts in estimated relit images. Since there are no publicly
available relighting datasets with coloured lighting, we generated our own dataset using the synthetic OLAT lighting rig in Blender software (Pidaparthy et al., 2024). Our dataset consisted of 7
maximally separated light colours: White (255, 255, 255), Red (255, 0,0), Green (0, 255, 0), Blue
(0, 0, 255), Yellow (255, 255, 0), Magenta (255, 0, 255) and Cyan (0, 255, 255). Sample images
from the training dataset are seen in Fig 2.

Next, we manually corrected the offset of the 3D models and aligned the face location all of models
to be the same in the synthetic lighting rig. This ensured uniformity when augmenting the training
dataset through rotation or displacement of the subject positions (3D models), which made it easier
for the model to learn the relationship between light source information and face location & orientation. Additionally, we also modified the 3D models to have less reflective surfaces and cast sharper
shadows. This significantly improved the photo-realism of the ground truth relit images.

The light source properties are represented as a 7D tuple of (x, y, z, i, r, g, b) where (x, y, z), *i* and (*r*, *g*, *b*) refers to the light position, intensity and colour, respectively. Using the same strategy as that in Pidaparthy et al. (2024), we sample the light position from a unit volume such that $x \in [-1, +1]$, $y \in [0.4, 1]$ and $z \in [-1, +1]$. The intensity (*i*) is varied such that $i \in [0.4, 1]$. The X-Z plane

is in the front of the 3D human model (face) and Y-direction indicates the frontal distance of the 3D human model from the light source. We generated 3,000 input-relit image pairs for each 3D human model while randomly varying the light source position, intensity and colour. We augmented the training dataset through left-right rotation of the 3D human models and changing the relative position between the 3D model and the camera.



Figure 2: Sample images from the ground truth dataset. Top row: Input images; Middle row: Light source position; Bottom row: Ground truth relit images. Figure best viewed in colour.

4 IMAGE RELIGHTING NETWORK

A key challenge in face relighting is precisely estimating the illumination and shadows cast on the face by a light source. This involves learning the complex relationship between the face location & orientation and the light source properties. In this section, we describe our novel lightweight image relighting network (Fig1) that achieves two goals: 1) accurate estimation of shadows and illumina-tion on the face and 2) efficient inference on edge devices. To achieve this, we propose a novel light embedding that jointly models the light source properties. We train a lighting network that learns the correlation between the light source position, intensity and colour. These embeddings are combined with image features, learnt using a residual convolutional autoencoder, in cross-attention blocks that capture the relationship between light and face information. The combined features are then passed to a decoder to generate a photo-realistic relit image.

4.1 LIGHTING NETWORK

Most prior work encoded only the light source position using a 9-dimensional Spherical Harmonics
 (SH) vector, which captures the maximum variance of the light source position moving along a unit
 sphere (Zhou et al., 2019; Hou et al., 2021; 2022; Ponglertnapakorn et al., 2023). More recent works
 have appended light source intensity to obtain a 10-D SH vector (Pidaparthy et al., 2024). The SH
 vector is passed to a lighting network to obtain the light features.

Although SH vectors are helpful for handling variations in the light positions across a sphere, they have some limitations. The SH vector models only the light source position. Even when intensity is appended, they fail to capture the correlation between the light source position and intensity. The illumination on an image depends on both position and intensity since a high-intensity light farther from the face and low-intensity light closer to the face might cast similar illuminations. Our initial experiments showed that the SH vector might not be adequate to model the wider range of variations in light source positions and intensities. Additionally, SH vector does not account for light colour, which we believe is crucial for improving the accuracy of illumination on the face and reducing the colour artefacts. This necessitates a more comprehensive light embedding that jointly models the light source properties and provides a rich representation of the correlation between them.

239 240

241

242

243 244

245

246 247

248

249

250

251

252 253

254 255

269

Towards this end, we propose a more complex representation for the 7D light source tuple that can be easily extended to any other properties of the light source. Our proposed encoding for the position, intensity and colour of the light is inspired by the positional encoding used in vision transformers (Dosovitskiy et al., 2020; Vaswani et al., 2017).

Let l = (x, y, z, i, r, g, b) be the target light source 7D tuple. The x-position is encoded by a vector of dimension d = 128, which we denote as L_x . The components of L_x are

$$L_x(2j) = \sin\left(\frac{x}{n^{2j/d}}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad L_x(2j+1) = \cos\left(\frac{x}{n^{2j/d}}\right) \tag{1}$$

where n = 10,000 is a scaling factor and j is the index position of the array L_x such that $0 \le j \le d/2 - 1$. Odd positions are represented by a sine function and even positions by cosine function.

227 In a similar manner, we compute the embeddings for the other 6 components which we denote as L_{ii} , 228 L_z, L_i, L_r, L_g and L_b (Fig 3a). We concatenate the seven embeddings to obtain an 896-dimensional 229 light embedding, $L^* = [L_x L_y L_z L_i L_r L_q L_b]$. Instead of using a Multi Layer Perceptron (MLP) 230 for computing the light features like prior works have done, we use a convolutional network that 231 reduces the number of computations and optimizes the inference time on edge devices. We zero-pad 232 the light embedding (L^*) and reshape them to a $1 \times 32 \times 32$ image. This image is passed to a lighting 233 network (Fig 3(b)) which consists of an encoder and decoder module both having three convolution layers with 32, 128 and 512 channels. After each convolution layer, Multi DConv Head Attention 234 (MDHA) modules ((Pidaparthy et al., 2024)) with self-attention layers¹ are used. This enables the 235 network to learn the correlation between the light source position, intensity and colour. The output 236 of the encoder is a $128 \times 16 \times 16$ image which is passed through an MDHA module to obtain the 237 light features (X_L) that are combined with image features in a residual convolutional autoencoder. 238



Figure 3: (a) For each component of target light 7D tuple, we compute a novel light embedding which is then concatenated and reshaped to obtain the target light embedding (L^*) . (b) The proposed light network consists of an encoder and decoder, each having three convolutional layers (indicated in blue) with a MDHA module is used after each convolutional layer. The light features (X_L) is computed on the output of the encoder module.

4.2 RESIDUAL CONVOLUTIONAL AUTOENCODER

We trained a modified ResNet-34 based residual convolutional autoencoder to estimate the relit 256 image (Fig 4). The input data (I_D) has 4 channels: RGB image (I_{RGB}) and luminance channel 257 (I_L) . The input data is passed to the encoder which consists of four ResNet blocks having 16, 32, 258 64 and 128 channels, respectively. The feature maps are downscaled by half after each block. The 259 128-D image features (X_I) output by the encoder are added with the light features (X_L) as seen in 260 Fig 4. The combined output is then passed through a decoder that estimates the relit image. The 261 decoder is a mirror of the encoder and consists of four ResNet blocks having 128, 64, 32 and 16 262 channels, respectively, and the feature maps are upscaled by a factor of 2 after each block. Multi 263 DConv Head Attention (MDHA) modules (Pidaparthy et al., 2024) have been used at each level of the skip connection since they help preserve fine-grained facial details. 264

The two main goals of the residual convolutional autoencoder are: 1) learn the relationship between face location & orientation and light source information; and 2) estimate accurate and photo-realistic relit images. None of the prior works tried to explicitly learn the rich and dense relationship between the light source information and the face location & orientation. We propose to use cross-attention

¹These modules are indicated as MDHA-S4, S5, S6, S7 and S8.

285

286 287 288

290

291

298 299

311





Figure 4: The architecture of the proposed residual convolutional autoencoder is shown. The encoder and decoder consists of four ResNet blocks each (indicated in blue). Five MDHA modules are used at each level of the skip connections between the encoder and decoder. The light features are passed to the cross-attention layers of two MDHA modules (indicated with grey lines).

layers in the MDHA modules to learn the relationship between the light source information and face 289 location, and then leverage this understanding at higher resolutions of the decoder to improve the accuracy and photo-realism of the estimated relit image.

Recall that the deeper layers in a deep neural network (lower resolution layers) learn high-level 292 image features, while the earlier layers in the network (high resolution layers) learn low-level images 293 features. Thus, to explicitly learn the complex relationship between light source properties and face location & orientation, we propose to use cross-attention layers in the MDHA modules at lower 295 resolutions as seen in Fig 4^2 . The output of these MDHA modules are the composite features 296 (obtained by combining the image and light features) which are computed as 297

$$Q = W_q X_I \quad K = W_k X_L \quad V = W_v X_L \quad X_C = \operatorname{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right) V \tag{2}$$

300 where Q, K, V denote the query, key and value matrices, respectively (Vaswani et al., 2017; Doso-301 vitskiy et al., 2020). W_a, W_k, W_v denote the learned weight matrices and X_I, X_L denote the image 302 and light features. X_C denotes the composite feature representation obtained by combining the light 303 and image features. We estimate the query from the image features and the key-value pairs from the 304 light features.

305 The output features of the "MDHA-C1" and "MDHA-C2" layers are the passed to the higher reso-306 lution layers for refining the fine-grained facial details. Hence, self-attention layers are used in the 307 MDHA modules at higher resolution (see "MDHA-S1" and "MDHA-S2" in Fig 4). This ensures 308 that the decoder estimates a more photo-realistic image with accurate shadows and illumination. 309

310 4.3 TRAINING LOSSES

We used three different losses for training the image relighting network: 1) lighting loss, 2) image 312 reconstruction loss and 3) VGG loss. 313

314 All prior works have trained the lighting network to optimize the MSE loss on the 9-D or 10-D SH 315 vector. However, MSE is sensitive to the magnitude of the vectors and hence, it can be misleading 316 when calculating the distance between two vectors. Further, changes in components of the light 317 vector have more effect on the output results than other components. MSE might not be able to 318 accurately capture this. Hence, we used the cosine dissimilarity metric to measure the distance between the estimated and ground truth light embeddings. 319

320 Let L^* be the light embedding for the target light source 7D tuple (ground truth). Let $\hat{L} = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}(L^*)$ 321 be the estimated light embedding, where $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}$ refers to the lighting network. We define the light loss 322

²"MDHA - S1' and "MDHA - C1" denote to the MDHA modules with self-attention layers and cross-323 attention layers.

as

326 327

328

330

331 332

333

334

335 336

337

341 342

347

348

349

354 355

356

$$L_{light} = 1.0 - \frac{L^* \cdot \hat{L}}{||L^*|| \, ||\hat{L}||}$$
(3)

We measured the image reconstruction loss at both global (L_{global}) and local (L_{local}) scales. The image reconstruction loss had two components: 1) structural dissimilarity (DSSIM) loss (L_{dssim}) and 2) smooth L1 loss (L_{smooth}). We define DSSIM loss as $L_{dssim} = \frac{1-SSIM}{2}$, where SSIM score is computed using the built-in PyTorch function to compute the SSIM score.

We define the smooth L1 loss as

 $L_{smooth}(R^*, \hat{R}) = \begin{cases} (R^* - \hat{R})^2 & \text{if } |R^* - \hat{R}_1| < 0.5, \\ |R^* - \hat{R}| - 0.25 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ (4)

where R^* and $R = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{R}}(I_D)$ are the ground truth and estimated relit images, respectively. N_R and I_D refer to the residual convolutional autoencoder and the 4-channel input data, respectively. To 338 compute the loss at local scales, we divided the image into 128×128 pixel patches, overlapping 339 by 50%. Let P^* and P be the image patches from the ground truth and estimated relit images, 340 respectively. We define the global and local image reconstruction losses as

$$L_{global} = \lambda_1 L_{smooth}(R^*, \hat{R}) + \lambda_2 L_{dssim}(R^*, \hat{R})$$
(5)

$$L_{local} = \sum_{k} \lambda_3 L_{smooth}(P^*, \hat{P}_1) + \lambda_4 L_{dssim}(P^*, \hat{P})$$
(6)

where k is the total number of patches.

We also computed the VGG loss (L_{vgg}) using the output of the first three convolutional blocks of the pre-trained VGG-19 network (Simonyan & Zisserman, 2014) (\mathcal{N}_{vqq}). The VGG loss is defined as

$$L_{vgg} = 1.0 * ||\mathcal{N}_{vgg_{b1}}(R^*) - \mathcal{N}_{vgg_{b1}}(\hat{R})||_2^2 + 0.8 * ||\mathcal{N}_{vgg_{b2}}(R^*) - \mathcal{N}_{vgg_{b2}}(\hat{R})||_2^2 + 0.6 * ||\mathcal{N}_{vga_{b3}}(R^*) - \mathcal{N}_{vga_{b3}}(\hat{R})||_2^2$$
(7)

where $\mathcal{N}_{vqq_{b1}}$, $\mathcal{N}_{vqq_{b2}}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{vqq_{b3}}$ are the output of the first, second and third convolutional blocks. Thus, the final loss used for training the image relighting network was

$$L_{final} = L_{global} + L_{local} + \lambda_5 L_{light} + \lambda_6 L_{vgg}$$
(8)

357 358 359

360

361

where $\lambda_1 = \lambda_5 = 1$, $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_6 = 10$ and $\lambda_4 = 100$ are the weights for each loss term.

4.4 TRAINING DETAILS

362 Unlike prior works, we do not estimate any image instrinsic map nor do we use any additional networks during training. Our single-stage pipeline is able to estimate photo-realistic relit images with high accuracy and achieve unsupervised domain adaptation from synthetic to real images. We 364 achieve this using specific data augmentation techniques while preparing the training dataset. 365

366 We generating the training dataset, we randomly apply left-right rotation on the 3D model of 367 $r \in [-60, +60]$ degrees. We also vary the position of the 3D model w.r.t the camera by a dis-368 placement of $d_x \in [-0.3, +0.3]$ and $d_z \in [-0.3, +0.3]$ along X and Z directions, respectively. 369 Further, we used two different types of data augmentation during training: 1) image flipping and 2) brightness and contrast jitter. The image is flipped horizontally (left-right mirroring) and the light 370 source position is appropriately updated. The brightness and contrast of the RGB image are adjusted 371 by an additive factor of b and multiplicative factor of c, where $b \in [-20, +20]$ and $c \in [0.8, 1.2]$. 372 These augmentations result in a training dataset that captures multiple different variations of the 373 input images and thus, improves the generalization of the model to real images. 374

375 The image relighting network was trained on a dataset of 21,000 images and validated on 3,000 images. The input data to the residual convolutional autoencoder consisted of 4-channels - RGB 376 image (I_{RGB}) and luminance channel (I_L) , which were resized to 512×512 pixels. The input to 377 the lighting network was the light features embedding computed on the target light source 4D tuple. The training loss was optimized using Adam optimizer (Kingma & Ba, 2014) with L2 regularization of 0.01. The initial learning rate of 1e - 5 was decayed by a factor of 0.9 after each epoch and the network was trained for 30 epochs with a batch size of 8. In a manner similar to all prior works, we also work with the segmented foreground region. We used the segmentation masks obtained using the pre-trained Mask R-CNN (He et al., 2017) model in PyTorch.

5 RESULTS

384

385

415

416

430

431

386 We discuss the quantitative and qualitative performance of our model in this section. We evaluated 387 the quantitative performance of the model on two real image test datasets provided by Pidaparthy et al. (2024): 1) Multi-Pie (MP) test dataset and 2) Real Human (RH) test dataset. The Multi-pie 389 dataset (Gross et al., 2010) has 6,474 images, captured using 249 subjects with 2 different expres-390 sions and 13 different light source positions. The Real human dataset consisted of 432 images with 391 6 different subjects and 72 images per subject. This dataset consisted of significantly different out-392 of-training light source positions, which tested the generalization capabilities of the models. For a fair comparison with prior works, we evaluated our model on these datasets that used white coloured 393 light. 394

We compared the performance of our model against four prior works (Zhou et al., 2019; Hou et al., 2021; 2022; Pidaparthy et al., 2024). All these methods estimated the relit image given a single input image and the target light source position³. Additionally, they are also lightweight for fast inference on edge devices. We quantified the performance using three metrics: 1) MSE, 2) DSSIM⁴ and 3) LPIPS (Zhang et al., 2018). Both DSSIM and LPIPS have been shown to be highly correlated with the perceptual quality of the images (Nestmeyer et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2018). The quantitative results can be seen in Table 1.

Model	Trained on	# training	Dataset	$MSE\downarrow$	DSSIM \downarrow	LPIPS \downarrow
	real images	examples				
Zhou et al. (2019)	 ✓ 	135,000	RH	0.0716	0.2988	0.3736
Hou et al. (2021)	✓ ✓	180,000	RH	0.0090	0.1906	0.2650
Hou et al. (2022)		180,000	RH	0.0152	0.0787	0.1522
Pidaparthy et al. (2024)	×	21,000	RH	0.0049	0.0336	0.0741
Ours	×	21,000	RH	0.0043	0.0307	0.0701
Zhou et al. (2019)	✓ ✓	135,000	MP	0.0845	0.3548	0.4389
Hou et al. (2021)	✓	180,000	MP	0.0125	0.2801	0.2538
Hou et al. (2022)	 ✓ 	180,000	MP	0.0118	0.2850	0.2607
Pidaparthy et al. (2024)	×	21,000	MP	0.0096	0.0639	0.1361
Ours	×	21,000	MP	0.0079	0.0587	0.1323

Table 1: Performance comparison of our model against prior works on two real image test datasets: 1) Real Human test dataset (RH) and 2) Multi-Pie dataset (MP).

417 Our model outperforms all the prior works on both real image test datasets. All the metrics are 418 substantially lower than the prior works, indicating that our estimated relit images are much more 419 accurate and photo-realistic. Despite training on multiple different light colours as compared to 420 prior work, our method is able to outperform all prior works on white light. We used a similar 421 training dataset to that used by Pidaparthy et al. $(2024)^5$, and our model significantly outperforms 422 their work, especially on the challenging Multi-pie (MP) dataset. This shows the benefits of our 423 design choices which include a novel light embedding and a network architecture that explicitly 424 learns the relationship between the light source information and face location & orientation. As the 425 real human (RH) test dataset consists of out-of-training light source positions, the metrics indicate 426 that our model generalizes significantly better than prior works to these new light positions.

The qualitative results shown in Fig 5 backs up the findings in Table 1. We observed that the illumination on the face and accuracy of the shadows are significantly better than prior works. In

³All prior works used only (x, y, z) except Pidaparthy et al. (2024) who used (x, y, z, i).

⁴We compute DSSIM as DSSIM = $\frac{1-SSIM}{2}$ where SSIM measures the structural similarity.

⁵We mainly added the light colour as an additional component.



Figure 5: Qualitative comparison of our model against other methods on the real human test dataset (RH) (columns 1, 2), Celeb-FFHQ dataset (columns 3-5) and Multi-pie dataset (MP) (last column). Rows 1 and 2 are the input image and light source position; rows 3-6 are the results from Zhou et al. (2019), Hou et al. (2021), Hou et al. (2022) and Pidaparthy et al. (2024); rows 7 and 8 are our results and ground truth relit images. We do not have ground truth relit images on the Celeb-FFHQ dataset. Images are best viewed in colour.

many of the prior works, the shadows are incorrectly cast across the forehead. Our method is able
to illuminate the face correctly. We also observed that the estimated relit images from our method
have significantly improved the colour artefacts issues observed in prior works (see Fig 5 columns
3,4,6). This shows the benefits of modelling the light colour in addition to position and intensity.
Our method is also able to handle directional lighting and shadows on the input images much better
than prior works (see Fig 5 column 3, 5) since we specifically learn the relationship between input features and light features.

Fig 6 shows the generalization of our model across different light source colours. We observe that the model is able to apply light colour appropriately and generate accurate shadows (see Fig 6 columns 1 and 2). Our method is able to generalize across a variety of input images that have different ambient lighting and directional shadows, and different facial structures & ethnicities.



Figure 6: Qualitative results from our model for different colours of target light source. Top row: input images; middle row; light source position and last row: predicted results.

LIMITATIONS FUTURE WORK

We have shown the effectiveness of our methods for accurately modelling shadows and generating photo-realistic relit images. However, there are some limitations of the model. Currently, a segmentation mask is used at inference to segment the foreground object (human) which is passed as input to the model. One possible future extension of this work is to automatically localize the face in the input image and relight the image without using any foreground segmentation mask. Another possible extension is to capture the effect of multiple light sources by modelling their effect as a single composite light source.

CONCLUSION

We proposed a novel approach for face relighting given a single image and a light source position. We used a novel light embedding that jointly modelled the light source position, intensity and colour. The network enables learning the correlation between these parameters. We used cross-attention layers in the convolutional autoencoder to explicitly learn the relationship between the light source properties and the face location. Qualitative results show the benefit of various design choices and our model generates photo-realistic relit images. Our model is also able to easily generalize across multiple different coloured lighting. Quantitative analysis showed that our model outperforms SOTA methods on two challenging real image datasets. Our model is lightweight and has only 9.4M parameters.

REFERENCES

https://renderpeople.com/free-3d-people/.

- Yousef Atoum, Mao Ye, Liu Ren, Ying Tai, and Xiaoming Liu. Color-wise attention network for low-light image enhancement. In Proceedings of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops (CVPRW), pp. 506-507, 2020.
- Jonathan T Barron and Jitendra Malik. Shape, illumination, and reflectance from shading. *IEEE Transactions* on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 37(8):1670–1687, 2014.

546

557

579

- Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, et al. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929*, 2020.
- Bernhard Egger, Sandro Schönborn, Andreas Schneider, Adam Kortylewski, Andreas Morel-Forster, Clemens
 Blumer, and Thomas Vetter. Occlusion-aware 3d morphable models and an illumination prior for face image
 analysis. *International Journal of Computer Vision (IJCV)*, 126:1269–1287, 2018.
- 547
 548
 549
 549
 Kyle Genova, Forrester Cole, Aaron Maschinot, Aaron Sarna, Daniel Vlasic, and William T Freeman. Unsupervised training for 3d morphable model regression. In *Proceedings of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 8377–8386, 2018.
- Ralph Gross, Iain Matthews, Jeffrey Cohn, Takeo Kanade, and Simon Baker. Multi-pie. *Image and vision computing*, 28(5):807–813, 2010.
- Kaiming He, Georgia Gkioxari, Piotr Dollár, and Ross Girshick. Mask R-CNN. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 2961–2969, 2017.
- Andrew Hou, Ze Zhang, Michel Sarkis, Ning Bi, Yiying Tong, and Xiaoming Liu. Towards high fidelity face relighting with realistic shadows. In *Proceedings of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 14719–14728, 2021.
- Andrew Hou, Michel Sarkis, Ning Bi, Yiying Tong, and Xiaoming Liu. Face relighting with geometrically consistent shadows. In *Proceedings of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 4217–4226, 2022.
- Yuge Huang, Pengcheng Shen, Ying Tai, Shaoxin Li, Xiaoming Liu, Jilin Li, Feiyue Huang, and Rongrong Ji.
 Improving face recognition from hard samples via distribution distillation loss. In *Proceedings of European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, pp. 138–154. Springer, 2020.
- Tero Karras, Timo Aila, Samuli Laine, and Jaakko Lehtinen. Progressive growing of gans for improved quality,
 stability, and variation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.10196*, 2017.
- Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980, 2014.
- Ha A Le and Ioannis A Kakadiaris. Illumination-invariant face recognition with deep relit face images. In Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV), pp. 2146–2155. IEEE, 2019.
- Gun-Hee Lee and Seong-Whan Lee. Uncertainty-aware mesh decoder for high fidelity 3d face reconstruction.
 In *Proceedings of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 6100–6109, 2020.
- Jinho Lee, Hanspeter Pfister, Baback Moghaddam, and Raghu Machiraju. Estimation of 3d faces and illumination from single photographs using a bilinear illumination model. In *Rendering Techniques*, pp. 73–82, 2005.
- Chen Li, Kun Zhou, and Stephen Lin. Intrinsic face image decomposition with human face priors. In *Proceedings of European Conference on Computer Vision(ECCV)*, pp. 218–233. Springer, 2014.
 - Yijun Li, Ming-Yu Liu, Xueting Li, Ming-Hsuan Yang, and Jan Kautz. A closed-form solution to photorealistic image stylization. In *Proceedings of European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, pp. 453–468, 2018.
- Jiangke Lin, Yi Yuan, Tianjia Shao, and Kun Zhou. Towards high-fidelity 3d face reconstruction from in-the wild images using graph convolutional networks. In *Proceedings of Computer Vision and Pattern Recogni tion (CVPR)*, pp. 5891–5900, 2020.
- Feng Liu, Luan Tran, and Xiaoming Liu. Fully understanding generic objects: Modeling, segmentation, and
 reconstruction. In *Proceedings of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 7423–7433, 2021.
- Fujun Luan, Sylvain Paris, Eli Shechtman, and Kavita Bala. Deep photo style transfer. In *Proceedings of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 4990–4998, 2017.
- Thomas Nestmeyer, Jean-François Lalonde, Iain Matthews, and Andreas Lehrmann. Learning physics-guided face relighting under directional light. In *Proceedings of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 5124–5133, 2020.
- Rohit Pandey, Sergio Orts Escolano, Chloe Legendre, Christian Haene, Sofien Bouaziz, Christoph Rhemann,
 Paul Debevec, and Sean Fanello. Total relighting: learning to relight portraits for background replacement. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 40(4):1–21, 2021.

594 Pieter Peers, Naoki Tamura, Wojciech Matusik, and Paul Debevec. Post-production facial performance relight-595 ing using reflectance transfer. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 26(3):52-es, 2007. 596 Hemanth Pidaparthy, Abhay Chauhan, and Pavan Sudheendra. Multi-level attention aggregation for aesthetic 597 face relighting. In Proceedings of Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV), pp. 598 4057-4066, 2024. Puntawat Ponglertnapakorn, Nontawat Tritrong, and Supasorn Suwajanakorn. Difareli: Diffusion face relight-600 ing. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.09479, 2023. 601 602 Laiyun Qing, Shiguang Shan, and Xilin Chen. Face relighting for face recognition under generic illumination. 603 In International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing. IEEE, 2004. 604 Soumyadip Sengupta, Angjoo Kanazawa, Carlos D Castillo, and David W Jacobs. Sfsnet: Learning shape, 605 reflectance and illuminance of facesin the wild'. In Proceedings of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition 606 (CVPR), pp. 6296-6305, 2018. 607 Davoud Shahlaei and Volker Blanz. Realistic inverse lighting from a single 2d image of a face, taken under 608 unknown and complex lighting. In International Conference and Workshops on Automatic Face and Gesture 609 Recognition, volume 1, pp. 1–8. IEEE, 2015. 610 Amnon Shashua and Tammy Riklin-Raviv. The quotient image: Class-based re-rendering and recognition with 611 varying illuminations. Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 23(2):129–139, 2001. 612 613 YiChang Shih, Sylvain Paris, Connelly Barnes, William T Freeman, and Frédo Durand. Style transfer for 614 headshot portraits. 2014. 615 Zhixin Shu, Ersin Yumer, Sunil Hadap, Kalyan Sunkavalli, Eli Shechtman, and Dimitris Samaras. Neural 616 face editing with intrinsic image disentangling. In Proceedings of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition 617 (CVPR), pp. 5541-5550, 2017. 618 Karen Simonyan and Andrew Zisserman. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. 619 arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556, 2014. 620 621 David R So, Wojciech Mańke, Hanxiao Liu, Zihang Dai, Noam Shazeer, and Quoc V Le. Primer: Searching for efficient transformers for language modeling. arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.08668, 2021. 622 623 Arne Stoschek. Image-based re-rendering of faces for continuous pose and illumination directions. In Proceed-624 ings of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), volume 1, pp. 582–587. IEEE, 2000. 625 Tiancheng Sun, Jonathan T Barron, Yun-Ta Tsai, Zexiang Xu, Xueming Yu, Graham Fyffe, Christoph Rhe-626 mann, Jay Busch, Paul E Debevec, and Ravi Ramamoorthi. Single image portrait relighting. ACM Transac-627 tions on Graphics (TOG), 38(4):79-1, 2019. 628 Ayush Tewari, Michael Zollhofer, Hyeongwoo Kim, Pablo Garrido, Florian Bernard, Patrick Perez, and Chris-629 tian Theobalt. Mofa: Model-based deep convolutional face autoencoder for unsupervised monocular re-630 construction. In Proceedings of International Conference on Computer Vision Workshops (ICCVW), pp. 631 1274-1283, 2017. 632 Ayush Tewari, Tae-Hyun Oh, Tim Weyrich, Bernd Bickel, Hans-Peter Seidel, Hanspeter Pfister, Wojciech 633 Matusik, Mohamed Elgharib, Christian Theobalt, et al. Monocular reconstruction of neural face reflectance 634 fields. In Proceedings of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pp. 4791–4800, 2021. 635 Luan Tran and Xiaoming Liu. Nonlinear 3d face morphable model. In Proceedings of Computer Vision and 636 Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pp. 7346–7355, 2018. 637 638 Luan Tran and Xiaoming Liu. On learning 3d face morphable model from in-the-wild images. Transactions 639 on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 43(1):157–171, 2019. 640 Luan Tran, Feng Liu, and Xiaoming Liu. Towards high-fidelity nonlinear 3d face morphable model. In Pro-641 ceedings of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pp. 1126–1135, 2019. 642 Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, 643 and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 30, 644 2017. 645 646 Yang Wang, Lei Zhang, Zicheng Liu, Gang Hua, Zhen Wen, Zhengyou Zhang, and Dimitris Samaras. Face 647 relighting from a single image under arbitrary unknown lighting conditions. Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 31(11):1968–1984, 2008.

- Zhen Wen, Zicheng Liu, and Thomas S Huang. Face relighting with radiance environment maps. In *Proceedings of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, volume 2, pp. II–158. IEEE, 2003.
- Shugo Yamaguchi, Shunsuke Saito, Koki Nagano, Yajie Zhao, Weikai Chen, Kyle Olszewski, Shigeo Morishima, and Hao Li. High-fidelity facial reflectance and geometry inference from an unconstrained image. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 37(4):1–14, 2018.
- Yu-Ying Yeh, Koki Nagano, Sameh Khamis, Jan Kautz, Ming-Yu Liu, and Ting-Chun Wang. Learning to relight portrait images via a virtual light stage and synthetic-to-real adaptation. *ACM Transactions on Graphics* (*TOG*), 41(6):1–21, 2022.
- Richard Zhang, Phillip Isola, Alexei A Efros, Eli Shechtman, and Oliver Wang. The unreasonable effectiveness of deep features as a perceptual metric. In *Proceedings of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 586–595, 2018.
- Hao Zhou, Sunil Hadap, Kalyan Sunkavalli, and David W Jacobs. Deep single-image portrait relighting. In
 Proceedings of International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), pp. 7194–7202, 2019.