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ABSTRACT

While deep neural networks have achieved state-of-the-art performance on many tasks across varied domains, they still remain black boxes whose inner workings are hard to interpret and understand. In this paper, we develop a novel method for efficiently capturing the behaviour of deep neural networks using kernels. In particular, we construct a hierarchy of increasingly complex kernels that encode individual hidden layers of the network. Furthermore, we discuss how our framework motivates a novel supervised weight initialization method that discovers highly discriminative features already at initialization.

1 INTRODUCTION

One of the first connections between kernels and neural networks was established by Neal (1996). There, it is shown that if the covariance kernel of a Gaussian process (GP) (Rasmussen, 2006) is chosen based on the weight prior of an infinite-width neural network, then these two models induce the same prior over functions. Recently, there has been a resurgence of interest in the connections between neural networks and kernel methods. For example, Cho & Saul (2009; 2010) construct kernels that mimic computations in neural networks with a particular form of non-linearity, while Montavon et al. (2011) analyze neural networks with kernels. Other related work includes drawing connections between convolutional neural networks and kernels (Mairal et al., 2014), constructing kernels for two-layer infinite-width neural networks with arbitrary non-linearities (Hazan & Jaakkola, 2015) and characterizing the duality in expressivity between compositional kernels and neural networks (Daniely et al., 2016).

In this paper, we extend the well-established connections between single layer neural networks and kernels to arbitrarily deep neural networks. In particular, we build upon the approach of Hazan & Jaakkola (2015). The main contributions of this paper are

• the derivation of a weight initialization scheme for infinite-width neural networks of arbitrary depth,
• the construction of a hierarchy of increasingly complex kernels that capture the behaviour of individual hidden layers, and
• the application of our framework to finite-width neural networks, in particular to the challenge of weight initialization in these networks.

2 DEEP INFINITE-WIDTH NEURAL NETWORKS

Infinite-width neural networks can be thought of as the limit of finite-width neural networks when the number of network weights between adjacent layers tends to infinity. Denote the first layer representation of a point \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) with \( \phi_{1,x} \), where \( \phi_{1,x}(w) = f(\langle w, x \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}) \) with weight vector \( w \in \mathbb{R}^d \) connecting the input to a neuron in the first layer and \( f \) the network non-linearity. For the distribution of the weights connecting the input and the first layer, we can choose any probability measure \( \mu(w) \) that is defined on \( \mathbb{R}^d \). By thinking of the first layer representations as a surrogate for
the canonical feature mappings of a particular kernel, we can define that kernel as

$$k_1(x, x') = \langle \phi_{1,x}, \phi_{1,x'} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mu)} = \int f((w, x)_{\mathbb{R}^d}) f((w, x')_{\mathbb{R}^d}) \, d\mu(w)$$

with the dependence on the non-linearity \( f \) suppressed when it does not lead to confusion.

In order for the second layer representation to be well defined, we need to ensure that the inner product between the first layer representation and the weights connecting the first and second layer is well defined. In particular, we need to construct a probability distribution over the space of the first layer representations. In our case, this is the space of real-valued functions defined on \( \mathbb{R}^d \). We take a Gaussian process as the probability distribution over the weights connecting the first and second layer as it is a natural choice for a distribution over functions from \( \mathbb{R}^d \) to \( \mathbb{R} \). Thus, we define the second layer representation of \( x \) as a function \( \phi_{2,x} \) of draws from a GP \( \nu_1 \) with covariance function \( C_1 \).

The intuition behind the kernel reparametrization trick is that it allows us to identify the \( L_2 \) functions encoding the hidden layer representations with their smoother representatives in the RKHS.

First, owing to a general result from RKHS theory (Aronszajn 1950), we can reparametrize \( k_l \) in the corresponding RKHS using canonical feature mappings \( k_l(\cdot, x) \), i.e.

$$k_l(x, x') = \langle \phi_{l,x}, \phi_{l,x'} \rangle_{L^2(\nu_{l-1})} = \langle k_l(\cdot, x), k_l(\cdot, x') \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{k_l}}.$$

Thus, we can identify \( \phi_{l,x} \in L^2(\nu_{l-1}) (\nu_0 = \mu) \) with a smoother function \( k_l(\cdot, x) \in \mathcal{H}_{k_l} \). Second, we choose the covariance function \( C_l \) in a principled way as

$$C_l(x, x') = \int k_l(x, \xi) k_l(x', \xi) \, d\mu(\xi).$$

Now, in order to extend the neural network beyond two hidden layers, we just need to sample the weights connecting layers \( l \) and \( l + 1 \) from a GP \( \nu_l \) with covariance function \( C_l \). The special covariance structure we posit ensures that the weights are in the appropriate RKHS, which, in turn, ensures that the inner product between the representations at layer \( l \) and the weights connecting layers \( l \) and \( l + 1 \) is well defined. At layer \( l + 1 \), we again perform the kernel reparametrization trick on the induced kernel \( k_{l+1} \). Furthermore, we identify the hidden representations at layer \( l + 1 \) with the canonical feature mappings induced by the kernel \( k_{l+1} \). Thus, at layer \( l + 1 \), we have

$$\phi_{l+1,x}(u) = f((u, k_l(\cdot, x))_{\mathcal{H}_{k_l}}) = f(u(x)), \quad \text{for} \quad u \sim \nu_l(u) = \text{GP}(0, C_l),$$

$$k_{l+1}(x, x') = \langle \phi_{l+1,x}, \phi_{l+1,x'} \rangle_{L^2(\nu_l)} = \langle k_{l+1}(\cdot, x), k_{l+1}(\cdot, x') \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{k_{l+1}}} = \int f(u(x)) f(u(x')) \, d\nu_l(u),$$

where we used the reproducing property of the kernel \( k_l \) for the last equality in the first line. After we have repeated the above process for all hidden layers in the neural network, we can use the resulting representation of the data in any algorithm where a feature-based representation is needed.
3 APPLICATION: SUPERVISED WEIGHT INITIALIZATION

While our contribution is theoretical in nature, we show how the insights gained from the study of deep infinite-width neural networks can be applied to standard finite-width deep neural networks. In particular, we discuss how our framework motivates a novel supervised weight initialization method.

The approach discussed in the previous section can easily be adapted to the setting of finite-width neural networks by approximating integrals with their Monte Carlo estimates and RKHS inner products with inner products between random feature expansions. While the weights construction via GPs is mathematically appealing, we focus on a more direct approach, while still preserving the required covariance structure of the weights. In particular, we set the weight vector connecting layer \( l \) with neuron \( i \) from layer \( l + 1 \) to

\[
\mathbf{u}_{l,i} = \sum_{m=1}^{M_l} \alpha_{im} \hat{k}_l(\cdot, \xi^{(l)}_{im}) \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha_i \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{M_l} I\right),
\]

where \( \xi^{(l)}_{im} \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( \hat{k}_l(\cdot, x) \) is the random features representation of \( x \) at layer \( l \). Thus, for the representation of point \( x \) at layer \( l + 1 \), we have

\[
\hat{k}_{l+1}(\cdot, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{P_{l+1}}} \left[ f(\alpha_{1}^T \hat{k}_l(x, \xi^{(l)}_1)), \ldots, f(\alpha_{P_{l+1}}^T \hat{k}_l(x, \xi^{(l)}_{P_{l+1}})) \right],
\]

with \( \xi^{(l)}_i = \{\xi^{(l)}_{im}\}_{m} \) and \( P_{l+1} \) the number of neurons at layer \( l + 1 \). From this we see that the neuron \( i \) encodes the alignment of \( x \) to the subspace spanned by \( \xi^{(l)}_i \). Guided by the idea of disentangling factors of variation, we choose the sets \( \{\xi^{(l)}_{im}\}_{m} \) for each neuron \( i \) at layer \( l \) in a supervised fashion.

3.1 RESULTS

We perform some initial experiments with our supervised weight initialization scheme and compare it to four commonly used initialization schemes - Xavier (Glorot & Bengio, 2010), Xavier-Caffe (\( w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, (\text{fan in})^{-1}) \)), Kaiming (He et al., 2015) and Heuristic (LeCun et al., 2012). In particular, we train a single layer neural network with 800 hidden units on the MNIST dataset (LeCun et al., 1998). We use the RELU non-linearity and optimize with Adam (Kingma & Ba, 2014) using the default parameters. From Table 1, we can see that our initialization method discovers highly discriminative features already at initialization. Furthermore, our method is competitive after the neural network has been fully trained.

Table 1: Classification accuracy on the MNIST test set at initialization and after training averaged over 10 runs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Init Method</th>
<th>At Initialization</th>
<th>After Training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heuristic</td>
<td>9.40 ± 3.1</td>
<td>96.53 ± 0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xavier</td>
<td>9.53 ± 3.5</td>
<td>96.16 ± 0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xavier-Caffe</td>
<td>9.31 ± 2.7</td>
<td>96.08 ± 0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaiming</td>
<td>10.19 ± 2.6</td>
<td>96.23 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours</td>
<td><strong>75.74 ± 2.2</strong></td>
<td>96.37 ± 0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have presented a novel method for efficiently capturing the behaviour of deep neural networks using a hierarchy of increasingly complex kernels. In particular, each kernel encodes a single layer of an infinite-width neural network. Furthermore, we apply our framework to finite-width neural networks and presented a novel supervised weight initialization method that discovers highly discriminative features already at initialization.
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