I-LORA: ITERATIVE MERGING OF ROUTING-TUNED LOW-RANK ADAPTERS FOR MULTI-TASK LEARNING

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

Abstract

The advancement of vision-language models has significantly boosted the performance of embodied and game AI, endowing them with stronger general visual understanding capabilities and logical abilities for action planning. However, the substantial computational cost of model training and the performance degradation during fine-tuning limit the models' ability to learn emerging new tasks continually. Creating a versatile and dynamically updatable vision-language model is an essential area of research. To this end, we propose a Low-Rank Adapterbased fine-tuning approach called I-LoRA, which enables iterative and independent learning of new tasks while preserving the logical capabilities of the previously trained model. Specifically, we first design the routing-tuning method to minimize the impact of original capabilities from the new task by minimizing activation values of LoRA matrices as low as possible in the general task. Secondly, we propose a novel approach to iteratively merge new adapters, allowing for continuous integration of adapters trained on new tasks without being influenced by task order, thereby reducing interference between them. Finally, we conducted extensive experiments on public datasets with significant behavioral and logical differences between tasks. The results demonstrate that our approach achieves excellent single-task performance, strong multi-task compatibility, and flexible scalability without increasing the number of model parameters.

032

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

023

025

026

027

1 INTRODUCTION

034 The powerful logical reasoning and general visual understanding capabilities of vision-language models (VLMs) have yielded significant progress in embodied and game AI (Wang et al., 2023a; 035 Driess et al., 2023). Beyond their ability to perform in-context learning directly, pre-trained models 036 possess extensive general knowledge that can be effectively leveraged for tuning on domain-specific 037 tasks. Vision-language-action (VLA) models, derived from pre-trained vision-language models, can be further trained to learn and adapt to the behavioral logic of agents Kim et al. (2024); Ma et al. (2024). Fine-tuning these pre-trained models showcases the potential of VLMs to acquire new 040 behavioral logic. However, in practical applications, the range of tasks continues to grow, and the 041 substantial computational cost of model training, coupled with performance degradation during fine-042 tuning, poses significant challenges to their ability to continually learn new tasks. Thus, creating an 043 approach to versatilely and dynamically update the vision-language model to efficiently adapt new 044 tasks is important.

In the literature, the focus of building general embodied and game AI using large language models has been on constructing general agents. For example, some work attempts to build a lifelong learning agent power by LLM, (Wang et al., 2023a) proposed an iterative prompting mechanism and an increasing database for LLM to retrieve. In contrast, (Fan et al., 2022) utilized an Internetscale knowledge base, with both conducting experiments in Minecraft. Their commonality lies in expanding the model's knowledge base, using LLM's reasoning capabilities to execute action planning. However, this approach is limited in adapting to new task logic and requires high costs in inferencing and decision-making. While fine-tuning allows the model to adapt to different tasks based on new data and can significantly improve decision efficiency, it often comes at the expense of the model's original task capabilities. 054 Mastering a particular skill often requires fine-tuning the model. LoRA (Low-Rank Adaptation) adapters, used by merging into the base model when inference, can naturally become modular for 056 LLM, which is inherently convenient for model fusion. So, we used LoRA matrices to fine-tune 057 the model for each task. Current approaches to combine LoRA adapters usually involve setting up 058 a router to perform weighted sum over the adapters, (Dou et al., 2023) tries to combine mixtureof-experts(MOE) with LoRA adapters fusion. Still, this method introduces additional parameters and requires further tuning to create new routing mechanisms for each new task adapter, which is 060 nearly impossible for iterative fusion. Additionally, some works have proposed methods for directly 061 combining models without additional training. (Yu et al., 2024) performs random drop and rescale 062 of learned parameters to combine different learned parameters. However, none of these approaches 063 consider how to mitigate the interference between model parameters across different tasks. This 064 issue becomes particularly pronounced when the gap between functions is significant, as directly 065 merging the trained parameter matrices does not yield satisfactory results. 066

To this end, this paper focuses on enabling the model to learn new tasks while maintaining performance on other tasks. Specifically, we aim to minimize the impact of learning new tasks on the base model parameters, thereby ensuring the composability of learned parameters. To achieve this, we propose a method: Routing Tuning. We redesign the loss function during fine-tuning by injecting some general-purpose data into the training data. When routing from general data, we encourage the model's activation values on this general data to approach 0 using KL-divergence loss and L2-norm of LoRA layer output. This ensures minimal interference with the model's performance on other tasks. Thus, the LoRA adopter can be merged into the base model and retain the routing ability without increasing the parameters.

075 Subsequently, we propose a novel iterative fusion method to reduce parameter interference during 076 fusion. By employing Singular Value Decomposition (SVD), we further reduce the number of re-077 dundant parameters and then select the components with the most significant influence on parameter interference to ensure task performance. We list the properties needed to achieve iterative fusion for 079 LoRA adapters, and then, followed by the properties, we choose the Maximize function to merge parameters in different models. Our merging approach ensures the equality, associativity, and saliency 081 of different tasks, thereby guaranteeing the effectiveness and iterability of task integration. Finally, we conducted extensive experiments in the Atari environment, which included many tasks with sig-083 nificant logical differences. We performed both single-task performance experiments and multi-task fused experiments. The experimental results clearly validate the effectiveness of I-Lora in single-084 task training as well as its capability for multi-task integration compared with various baselines. 085

In summary, the contributions of this article can be summarized as follows:

- We have developed a new fine-tuning method called Routing Tuning. This method involves directing the task data and general data to different losses during fine-tuning. As a result, the model is able to learn the specific task while still maintaining its general performance. This approach helps to reduce the interference caused by varying model parameters.
- We have developed a new method to fuse different LoRA adapters into a single entity using SVD and a maximization function, merging them into the base model to maintain performance on specific tasks while preserving general performance. Our approach introduces no additional parameters for the iterative merging of LoRA adapters.
- We collected Atari data for vision-language model training. Using vision-language models, we perform LoRA routing-tuning on a vision-language model for each game, followed by LoRA adapters' composition to validate the iterative merging methods' effectiveness.

102

087

090

092 093

094

095

096

098

099

2 RELATED WORK

103 104 105

Our research focuses on multimodal large language models for gaming and embodied AI, as well
 as multitask learning and knowledge fusion using low-rank adaptation methods. The most pertinent
 studies are outlined below.

108 2.1 VISION-LANGUAGE MODELS ON GAME AND EMBODIED AI

110

111 LLMs have excellent learning and reasoning abilities (Achiam et al., 2023), and vision-language models(VLMs) have shown remarkable capabilities in multiple multimodal tasks such as visual 112 question answering and image captioning (Liu et al., 2024a). Some works have explored the ap-113 plication of vision-language models in games (Wang et al., 2023b). In the literature, VLMs are 114 extended to act as agents to plan complex actions for intricate tasks(, FAIR). (Hu et al., 2024) builds 115 an LLM-embodied agent accessing external knowledge for in-context learning to play Pokemon bat-116 tles. VLMs for games input images and text-like game rules to obtain the corresponding plan and 117 action. Unlike games, embodied AI must control physical entities and interact with the environment. 118 Vision-language-action models(VLAs) handle multi-modal inputs and generate actions for robots to 119 complete embodied tasks. VIMA (Jiang et al., 2022) introduces different multi-modal prompts com-120 pared to traditional pure text prompts to generalize the model to multiple tasks. RT-2 (Brohan et al., 121 2023) utilizes large multimodal models for robotics tasks, introduces the co-fine-tuning technique, 122 and reserves the model's general ability while learning robotics data.

123 Building a model that handles multiple embodied tasks and continues to explore and develop new 124 skills is crucial for achieving general AI models. (Reed et al., 2022) propose unifying the form of 125 inputs and outputs of multiple tasks by designing tokenization and embedding methods. Then, they 126 use autoregressive training to build a general agent. But it's still hard to continue learning because 127 training on new tasks will cause catastrophic forgetting of previous tasks. Building agents with 128 an expandable knowledge base is feasible because large language models have robust retrieval and reasoning abilities. VOYAGER(Wang et al., 2023a) proposes a lifelong learning agent for Minecraft 129 with GPT models. They utilize LLMs to discover diverse tasks, update the task libraries, and prompt 130 using in-context learning. However, they all depend on human-labeled data, do not introduce any 131 updates to the capabilities of the LLMs. 132

Inspired by previous work, we employ vision-language models to address multiple game tasks,
 which avoids the need for designing complex rules and expert knowledge required by agent-based
 methods. By leveraging the model's inherent knowledge and optimization capabilities and based
 on the knowledge fusion capability of LLM, we can build a continuous learning model for multiple
 tasks.

- 138
- 139
- 140 141

2.2 MODEL MERGING AND LORA ADAPTERS FUSION

142 143

In the literature, there have been many attempts at model merging in academia, (Yang et al., 2024)
provides a detailed survey of the current model merging methods. (Yang et al., 2023) learns a
distinct merging coefficient layer-wise or task-wise to merge task parameters. (Zhang et al., 2024)
and (Ilharco et al., 2022) address knowledge composition and model editing through task vectors,
which can also be applied to model merging.

149 To adapt LLM to different tasks, continual pre-training, and fine-tuning are essential. VLMs are 150 extremely large, and fully finetuning is quite computationally expensive. In addition to that, using 151 parameter-efficient methods like LoRA(Hu et al., 2021) can make fine-tuning more feasible. Dif-152 ferent fine-tuned adapters can be combined with different weights to solve intricate tasks. Some research attempts to combine LoRA with a mixture of experts(MoE)(Dou et al., 2023) to generate 153 a combination of different weights for different tasks. Most of the research(Huang et al., 2023) is 154 focused on improving weight combination ways, which introduces extra parameters and computa-155 tional consumption. Some research also focuses on combining different task matrices into a single 156 matrix. DARE(Yu et al., 2024) randomly drops fine-tuned parameters and rescales the remaining 157 ones, then a different matrix is added together. Merging methods like DARE are implemented in the 158 PEFT(Mangrulkar et al., 2022) (Zhang et al., 2023)library. 159

Based on previous research, we propose a new way to merge LoRA weights. The method needs to
 introduce no extra parameters and reserve general capabilities. Also we need the model to iterative
 merge new parameters which can be further expand to new tasks.



Figure 1: Overview framework of I-LoRA. For each game, we use routing tuning to get a LoRA adapters as shown in the left part. Then we merge a new LoRA adapter to the previous fused adapters to achieve iterative fusion.

3 Methods

3.1 PROBLEM FORMULATION AND NOTATION

Given a set of tasks $\tau = \{t_1, \dots, t_T\}$, each task has a set of examples $\mathcal{D}_i = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{y}_n)\}$. Multitask data are the union of the training set of given tasks. We also sample a general dataset $\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{G}}$ that contains the general training set of vision language models like LLaVA (Liu et al., 2024a) and general game data sampled from publicly available datasets from the Internet.

For each task, we train a LoRA adapter $\{A_i, B_i\}$ to ensure good performance on the corresponding task when inference as follows:

197

202

203

208

209

210

211

212

182

183

184 185 186

187 188

189

$$h = W\mathbf{x} + s \cdot A_i B_i^{\top} \mathbf{x}.$$
 (1)

Subsequently, we incorporate general purpose data to obtain a composable LoRA adapter $\{A_i^{\mathcal{G}}, B_i^{\mathcal{G}}\}$, enabling it to perform well in the specific task without degrading general performance. Finally, we attempt to combine adapters from multiple tasks, aiming to maintain the performance of individual tasks without significant degradation while retaining strong generalization capabilities.

3.2 OVERVIEW

Our approach aims to develop a general-purpose model that can handle multiple tasks and iteratively
 update. To achieve this goal, we often encounter fine-tuned models and a set of task-specific training
 data. Our methods consist of two parts:

• **Routing Tunning**: This method aims to enhance the generalization capability of the finetuned model, ensuring that the fine-tuned LoRA adapter is only adequate for the current task. At the same time, its activation is constrained to zero when dealing with general or other tasks. This is achieved by routing different data to different losses and learning separately.

Iterative Merging: We design a method to integrate the newly fine-tuned adapter, derived from the additional training data, with previously integrated adapters. This integration aims to maintain performance across multiple tasks without compromising the model's general capabilities.

The following introduction to our methodology will be divided into two parts. First, we will explain our Routing tuning method to minimize the impact of fine-tuning on the model's generalization abilities. Next, we will describe how to efficiently and iteratively integrate multiple task-specific fine-tuned LoRA adapters, thereby preserving performance on individual tasks while maintaining the model's general capabilities.

3.3 RESERVE GENERAL CAPABILITIES WITH ROUTING TUNNING

For the given task and the data set $\mathcal{D}_i = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{y}_n)\}$, we fine-tuned the model using LoRA, getting a set of adapters $\mathcal{A} = \{(A_1, B_1), \dots, (A_n, B_n)\}$ achieving improved performance. However, we observed a significant degradation in the generalizability of the model. Due to the additive property of LoRA matrices, we attempted to merge the LoRA adapters from multiple tasks by summing them. We want the sum of adapters that achieve $(A_1B_1 + \dots + A_nB_n) \circ X_i \simeq A_iB_i \circ$ X_i .

We experimented with LoRA fusion methods provided by the PEFT (Mangrulkar et al., 2022) library, including DARE (Yu et al., 2024) and TIES (Yadav et al., 2024). In all cases, we observed a considerable decrease in performance, suggesting interference between parameters from different tasks. This led us to consider strategies to mitigate such interference.

Inspired by model-unlearning(Yao et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2024b) techniques, we naturally considered incorporating some general datasets into the training set. These datasets encompass multiple tasks, and by constraining the activation of the LoRA matrices on these tasks, we can alleviate the degradation in generalization performance while mitigating the interference between parameters across different tasks. Consequently, we designed two loss functions.

239 During training, we first identify the data in the batch that does not belong to the target task and exclude it from the loss calculation of the language modeling. Then we design \mathcal{L}_{KL} and \mathcal{L}_{norm} . The 240 \mathcal{L}_{KL} computes the KL divergence between the outputs of the base model and the model augmented 241 with LoRA to ensure that they remain as consistent as possible, preventing the model updates from 242 affecting their original outputs. Furthermore, \mathcal{L}_{norm} captures the output of the LoRA_B layer during 243 inference on general datasets, ensuring that LoRA activations in general tasks approach zero, thus 244 preserving the performance of the original model. The parameter updating process is summarized 245 by: 2/6

221 222

223

250 251

260

261

262 263

264

 $\theta_{t+1} \leftarrow \theta_t - \underbrace{\epsilon_1 \cdot \nabla_{\theta_t} \mathcal{L}_{lm}}_{\text{Finetune Loss}} - \underbrace{\epsilon_2 \cdot \nabla_{\theta_t} \mathcal{L}_{KL}}_{KL \text{ loss}} - \underbrace{\epsilon_3 \cdot \nabla_{\theta_t} \mathcal{L}_{norm}}_{L2 \text{ norm of LoRA output}},$ (2)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{KL}} := \sum_{(x^{\mathcal{G}}, y^{\mathcal{G}}) \in D^{\mathcal{G}}} \sum_{i=1}^{|y^{\mathcal{G}}|} \mathcal{KL} \left(h_{\theta^{\circ}} \left(x^{\mathcal{G}}, y^{\mathcal{G}}_{< i} \right) \| h_{\theta_{t}} \left(x^{\mathcal{G}}, y^{\mathcal{G}}_{< i} \right) \right),$$
(3)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{norm}} = \sum_{(x^{\mathcal{G}}, y^{\mathcal{G}}) \in D^{\mathcal{G}}} \sum_{i=1}^{|LoRALayers|} \operatorname{Avg}\left(\|Output_{Lora_B}\|^2 \right), \tag{4}$$

where θ_t indicates the parameters of LoRA adapters at the t-th step, \mathcal{L}_{lm} is the language modeling loss during fine-tuning, we use the compute_loss function from huggingface training pipeline, the process is shown in Figure 1.

3.4 ITERATIVE MERGING LORA ADAPTERS

After Routing Tunning, we get a variety of LoRA adapters for different tasks. We endeavored to integrate them by minimizing the interference of parameters. We first propose to reduce the redundant LoRA parameters further. Based on (Yadav et al., 2024), we can use SVD to reduce parameter interference. So our initial approach involved conducting Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) on the LoRA parameters to mitigate interference between the different adapters. This process is represented in fig 2. Our experiments revealed that the proportion of singular values retained did



Figure 2: Workflow of iterative merging method.

not significantly impact the performance. We decided to maintain 80% of the singular values based on our findings to construct the new parameter matrix. The process is described as follows:

 $A = U\Sigma V^{\top},$ $\Sigma = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r),$ $p = \lceil 0.8 \times r \rceil,$ $\Sigma' = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_p, 0, \dots, 0),$ $A' = U\Sigma' V^{\top},$ (5)

Where A is the multiply of LoRA_A and LoRA_B layers' parameters, we decompose it using SVD and discard the last 20% of singular values by setting them to 0.

The first merging of adapter parameters from two single-task adapters will be decomposed using SVD, and the rank corresponding to the 0.8 quantiles of the singular values will be used. For iterative updates, i.e., when merging an adapter that has already integrated multiple adapters with a new adapter from Routing Tuning, to ensure that the previously fused task parameters are not significantly affected, we retain all singular values in the merged adapter that are larger than the 0.8 quantiles of the singular values in the new adapter.

In the previous section, we used Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) to reduce redundancy and
 minimize interference between different LoRA adapters. Next, we considered how to achieve iterative fusion. We first discuss the desired properties of dynamic fusion:

- 1. Equality: Each adapter should have an equal opportunity to participate in the fusion process. Every parameter should equally consider the values provided by each adapter.
- 2. Associativity: The fusion should satisfy (A + B) + C = A + B + C. When merging a new adapter with previously fused adapters, the result should be equivalent to merging all adapters for the first time.
- 315 316

310

311

312

313

314

317

287 288

289

290 291

293

295 296

297

3. Saliency: Given an input, the adapter with larger activation values should stand out more prominently, ensuring the quality of the generated output.

Based on these considerations, linear addition satisfies these properties. However, when two sets of
parameters need to be merged into the base model, their combined effect will amplify the perturbation on the original parameters if both share the same sign (positive or negative). Conversely, if they
have opposite signs, they will mutually weaken each other's perturbation on the original model parameters, leading to significant performance degradation. Suppose the values of the LoRA adapter
parameters are too large or too small. In that case, it degrades the model's generation quality on
fine-tuned tasks and significantly reduces the model's generalization performance.

We introduce a property that maximizes the distance from zero to address this. Since we have limited the activation value of other tasks through routing fine-tuning on a single task, we choose the parameter that has the most influence on the model parameter, which is the value farthest from 0, to maximize the impact of each fine-tuned model on the base model's parameters, thereby maximizing their effectiveness. After getting multiple parameters that can be added to the base model $\{\mathbf{W}_1, \mathbf{W}_2, \dots, \mathbf{W}_n\}$, we will get the final \mathbf{W} by:

$$\mathbf{W}_{ij} = \max\left(\left|\mathbf{W}_{ij}^{1}\right|, \dots, \left|\mathbf{W}_{ij}^{n}\right|\right).$$
(6)

After getting the final **W**, we will add them directly to the corresponding part of the base model to get the new model.

4 EXPERIMENTS

Our research involves conducting experiments across a variety of Atari games to assess the efficacy of adapter fusion. Initially, we evaluate the performance of individual adapters on single games. Subsequently, we merge several adapters to examine the resultant performance enhancement.

344 345 346

347

330331332333334

337 338 339

340 341

342

343

4.1 EXPERIMENTS SETTINGS

348 Datasets and Tasks. We decided on the Atari environment as our experimental setting because 349 Atari contains numerous games with diverse visual rules and varying skill requirements, making it 350 well-suited for exploring the effects of multi-task composition while also providing efficiently quan-351 tifiable performance metrics. This makes it a suitable benchmark for evaluating multitask integration 352 capabilities. Specifically, we conducted experiments using Atari games from the Gym(Brockman 353 et al., 2016) environment. We found that no open-source dataset retains the original resolution of Atari environment images. Most reinforcement learning (RL) models are trained on downsampled 354 grayscale images, which are even challenging for humans to interpret, bringing challenges to model 355 learning. Therefore, we opted to sample from checkpoints of models trained on two billons frames 356 on the APPO algorithm using the Sample-Factory(Petrenko et al., 2020) framework. We removed 357 extraneous frames at the beginning and end, which led to failures, collecting 100,000 images per 358 game to construct the images for the dataset. We combined the publicly available Atari challenge 359 dataset with the LLava model's training data to create a general-purpose dataset that maintains the 360 model's generalization ability. 361

We compiled game rules and corresponding actions in the Gym environment as text input for the dataset, organizing them based on the game rules and the meaning of the action. For the model's ground truth output, we directed it to generate a chain-of-thought reasoning process. This involved identifying the objects in the scene and then determining the appropriate action based on the rules. Our experiments demonstrated that generating a chain-of-thought output resulted in better performance than directly outputting actions.

Model. We use LLaVA-interleave-qwen-7b (Li et al., 2024) as our base model. To ensure the model can detect moving objects in the game frame, we need to interleave images for analysis. After testing the game dataset with multiple models, we found that llava-interleave performed the best. For LoRA finetuning, we used 128 as lora_rank and 256 as lora_alpha. For easy adapter fusion, we finetune the vision-language model on every linear module, including vision_encoder, vision_projector, and language models. So, all the adapters can be added together and further merge into the base model directly without introducing extra parameters.

Evaluation Metrics For single-game performance, we use mean and median of the humannormalized score, which represents how well the model performs compared to human players, is calculated by $\frac{\text{score}_{agent} - \text{score}_{random}}{\text{score}_{human} - \text{score}_{random}}$. For multiple LoRA fusion, we use the degradation ratio to measure the performance, which is $\frac{\text{score}_{original}}{\text{score}_{original}}$.

379	Table 1: Performance of each single task.							
380	Game Name	Random	Human		RL models		Ours	
381				SPR	DreamerV3	DART		
382								
383	Alien	227.8	7172.7	841.9	959	962.0	586.7	
384	Amidar	5.8	1719.5	179.7	139	125.7	57.0	
385	Assault	222.4	742.0	565.6	706	1316.0	3580	
386	Asterix	210.0	8503.3	962.5	932	956.2	1200	
387	BattleZone	2360.0	37187.5	14834.1	12250	15325.0	16750.0	
388	Boxing	0.1	12.1	35.7	78	83.0	65.0	
380	ChopperCommand	811.0	7387.8	946.3	420	1263.8	5766.6	
200	CrazyClimber	10780.5	35829.4	36700.5	97190	34070.6	39000	
390	DemonAttack	152.1	1971.0	517.6	303	2452.3	28718.8	
391	Freeway	0.0	29.6	19.3	0	32.2	26.0	
392	Frostbite	65.2	4334.7	1170.7	909	346.8	2223.3	
393	Gopher	257.6	2412.5	660.6	3730	1980.5	320	
394	Hero	1027.0	30826.4	5858.6	11161	4927.0	6395	
395	KungFuMaster	258.5	22736.3	14783.2	21420	23744.3	22900	
396	MsPacman	307.3	6951.6	1318.4	1327	1132.7	1320	
397	Qbert	163.9	13455.0	866.3	3405	750.9	750.0	
398								
399								
400	#Superhuman(↑)	0	N/A	2	3	<u>5</u>	5	
401	Mean(↑)	0.000	1.000	0.477	0.934	<u>0.951</u>	2.054	
402	$Median(\uparrow)$	0.000	1.000	0.194	0.221	0.252	0.459	

403 404

405

378

4.2 EXPERIMENTS RESULTS

406 4.2.1SINGLE MODEL PERFORMANCE 407

We follow the setting of an existing Atari 100k benchmark(Kaiser et al., 2019), widely used in 408 reinforcement learning algorithms to test sample efficiency. It consists of 26 games, each being 409 allowed to be trained only in 100K steps. We fine-tuned the model for each game using LoRA, 410 setting the LoRA rank to 128 and the LoRA alpha to 256. Each dataset underwent fine-tuning for 411 five epochs. 412

413 We used the mean and median of the human-normalized score to compare with human players, 414 with the total reward from the environment representing the score. For benchmarking, we selected three RL algorithms - SPR (Schwarzer et al., 2020), DreamerV3 (Hafner et al., 2023), and DART 415 (Agarwal et al., 2024) - and obtained results after 100K sampling steps. The results are presented in 416 the table 1. 417

418 Based on the experimental results, our model achieved twice the performance comparable to state-419 of-the-art (SOTA) RL models on the mean and medium of the human-normalized score and outperformed them in some games. And 5 out 16 game outperforms human level. Our findings include: 420

421 422

423

424

425

426

- Data quality is crucial. Our model also shows improved performance for games where the sampled RL models perform well.
- Atari games exhibit randomness, leading to high variance in validation results. We addressed this by averaging over multiple inference runs.
- Vision-language models can learn to understand the rules of the game directly, achieving better results than the sampled RL models.
- 427 428

429 4.2.2 ROUTING TUNNING PERFORMANCE 430

The preceding section demonstrates our success in constructing a dataset and the efficacy of vision-431 language models in addressing Atari tasks. Subsequently, we applied our Routing Tuning method Table 2: Effectiveness of routing tunning on task data. It shows that all score are above the 0.85% of the original score which shows that our routing tunning has few influence on task performance.

436		ChopperCommand	Asterix	BattleZone	CrazyClimber	Frostbite
437						
/20	Single Task Finetune	5766	1200	16750	39000	2223.3
430	Routing Tunning	5560	1370	14500	44066.7	1943
439	Reserve Rate	0.96	1.14	0.86	1.12	0.87
440						

Table 3: Effectiveness of routing tuning on benchmark. SD: Spot the Difference, IE: Image Edit Instruction, QB: Q-Bench, Math: MathVerse-mv. The benckmark of routing tunning and directly fine-tuning shows that routing tunning retain more general capabilities.

Model	SD	IE	NLVR2	BW	QB	NLVR2_M	HQ-Edit	MagicBrush	Mantis	Math
Base Model	0.3736	0.3117	0.8787	0.3736	0.6923	0.8787	0.2875	0.3359	0.5852	0.3702
Finetune Single Game	0.3582	0.2939	0.8626	0.3582	0.6923	0.8626	0.2730	0.3148	0.5668	0.2259
Routing Tunning	0.3697	0.2974	0.8557	0.3697	0.7692	0.8557	0.2755	0.3192	0.5714	0.3028

449 450 451

432

433

434 435

441 442

443

444

to fine-tune multiple games. We collected general data from the LLaVA training data and extracted
game data from the Atari Grand Challenge dataset(Kurin et al., 2017). The final dataset comprises
100,000 task data, 30,000 general data, and 30,000 other game data.

After Routing Tunning, we test them on task performance and general performance. We compare the task performance with a model that finetuned on task data only by calculating the proportion of their performance. The results are shown in the table 2. Our Routing Tuning method achieved an average score of 99% compared to individually trained models across multiple games, demonstrating that our Routing Tuning does not negatively affect the learning of task-specific data.

To test the general performance, we first run our experiments on the LLaVA-Interleave-Benchmark
(Li et al., 2024) provided by the model; then, we compare them on the routing finetuned models. The
results are shown in Table 3. Compared to the base model, both single-task fine-tuning and Routing
Tuning reduce the model's performance on general datasets. However, compared to directly finetuning on individual tasks, Routing Tuning achieves better or comparable results on the eight tasks,
indicating that our Routing Tuning method has a positive effect on maintaining the generalization
performance of the model.

467 468

4.2.3 MODEL MERGING PERFORMANCE

469 In the PEFT library, several adapter fusion methods are available, including CAT, Linear, SVD, 470 TIES (Yadav et al., 2024), and Dare (Yu et al., 2024). TIES and Dare have different implementa-471 tions using SVD or linear. CAT and linear concatenate or add LoRA adapters directly. SVD also 472 decompses the LoRA matrices using SVD to further merge. Ties(Yadav et al., 2024) propose the 473 TRIM, ELECT SIGN and MERGE procedure. It first randomly drops some parameters then using 474 task vector sings to merge the parameters with the same signs to alleviate parameter collision. And 475 the merging process will use SVD methods. DARE(Yu et al., 2024) drops some parameters at a drop 476 rate p, then uses linear or SVD methods. We choose the remaining performance as the performance 477 matrix, calculated by new score divided by original score. If the model refuses to generate anything or reaches the max_frame limit of 30 minutes of gameplay, the final score will be 0. We do not 478 consider randomness in gym environments. We choose the default or recommand parameters for 479 svd_clamp or density. 480

We choose four games to merge: ChopperCommand, Asterix, Battlezone, and CrazyClimber. The
model concatenates or adds the adapters directly for CAT and Linear methods. The model generates
nothing for all games in our scenario, so the final score is 0. The best performance is magnitude_prune on BattleZone, which achieves 0.203 remaining on the best game. However, our merge
method achieved better performance retention, with a retention rate of 0.552 on the best-performing
game.

489MethodsGAME1GAME2GAME3G490491 (491) CAT0492Linear0493SVD0.1320494Ties0.0980495Ties_svd0.08600496Dare_ties000497Dare_linear0.173000.0690.0220.050498Dare_linear_svd0.0690.0220.0510urs0.3280.1790.5520502	-		-			
490CAT0000491CAT0000492Linear0000493SVD0.132000494Ties0.098000495Ties_svd0.086000496Dare_ties0000497Dare_linear0.173000498Dare_ties_svd0.1090.01300499Magnitude_prune0.0810.0340.2030500Magnitude_prune_svd00000501Ours0.3280.1790.5520502Eien_tuning0.6930.1620.8280	39	Methods	GAME1	GAME2	GAME3	GAME4
491CAT0000492Linear0000493SVD0.132000494Ties0.098000495Ties_svd0.086000496Dare_ties0000497Dare_linear0.173000498Dare_ties_svd0.1090.01300499Magnitude_prune0.0810.0340.2030500Magnitude_prune_svd00000501Ours0.3280.1790.5520	90					
492Linear00000493SVD 0.132 000494Ties 0.098 000495Ties_svd 0.086 000496Dare_ties0000497Dare_linear 0.173 000498Dare_ties_svd 0.109 0.013 00499Magnitude_prune 0.081 0.034 0.203 0500Magnitude_prune_svd0000501Ours 0.328 0.179 0.552 0502Eien_tuning 0.693 0.162 0.828 0	91	CAT	0	0	0	0
493SVD 0.132 0 0 0 494Ties 0.098 0 0 0 495Ties_svd 0.086 0 0 0 496Dare_ties 0 0 0 0 497Dare_linear 0.173 0 0 0 498Dare_ties_svd 0.109 0.013 0 0 499Dare_linear_svd 0.069 0.022 0.05 0 500Magnitude_prune 0.081 0.034 0.203 0 501Ours 0.328 0.179 0.552 0 502Eien-tuning 0.693 0.162 0.828 0	92	Linear	0	0	0	0
494Ties 0.098 0 0 0 495Ties_svd 0.086 0 0 0 496Dare_ties 0 0 0 0 497Dare_linear 0.173 0 0 0 498Dare_ties_svd 0.109 0.013 0 0 499Dare_linear_svd 0.069 0.022 0.05 0 500Magnitude_prune 0.081 0.034 0.203 0 501Ours 0.328 0.179 0.552 0 502Eien-tuning 0.693 0.162 0.828 0	93	SVD	0.132	0	0	0
495Ties_svd 0.086 0 0 0 496Dare_ties 0 0 0 0 497Dare_linear 0.173 0 0 0 498Dare_ties_svd 0.109 0.013 0 0 499Dare_linear_svd 0.069 0.022 0.05 0 499Magnitude_prune 0.081 0.034 0.203 0 500Magnitude_prune_svd 0 0 0 0 501Ours 0.328 0.179 0.552 0 502Eign=tuning 0.693 0.162 0.828 0	94	Ties	0.098	0	0	0
A96 Dare_ties 0 0 0 0 0 497 Dare_linear 0.173 0 0 0 0 498 Dare_ties_svd 0.109 0.013 0 0 499 Dare_linear_svd 0.069 0.022 0.05 0 500 Magnitude_prune 0.081 0.034 0.203 0 501 Ours 0.328 0.179 0.552 0 502 Eign=tuning 0.693 0.162 0.828 0	95	Ties_svd	0.086	0	0	0
A97Dare_linear 0.173 000497Dare_ties_svd 0.109 0.013 00498Dare_ties_svd 0.109 0.013 00499Dare_linear_svd 0.069 0.022 0.05 0500Magnitude_prune 0.081 0.034 0.203 0501Ours0.3280.1790.5520502Eign=tuning	96	Dare_ties	0	0	0	0
A S T Dare_ties_svd 0.109 0.013 0 0 498 Dare_linear_svd 0.069 0.022 0.05 0 499 Magnitude_prune 0.081 0.034 0.203 0 500 Magnitude_prune_svd 0 0 0 0 0 501 Ours 0.3280.1790.552 0 502 Eign=tuning 0.693 0.162 0.828 0	7	Dare_linear	<u>0.173</u>	0	0	0
498 Dare_linear_svd 0.069 0.022 0.05 0 499 Magnitude_prune 0.081 0.034 0.203 0 500 Magnitude_prune_svd 0 0 0 0 0 501 Ours 0.328 0.179 0.552 0 502 Eign=tuning 0.693 0.162 0.828 0		Dare_ties_svd	0.109	0.013	0	<u>0.036</u>
499 Magnitude_prune 0.081 0.034 0.203 0 500 Magnitude_prune_svd 0 0 0 0 0 501 Ours 0.328 0.179 0.552 0 502 Fien-tuning 0.693 0.162 0.828 0	18	Dare_linear_svd	0.069	0.022	0.05	0.013
500 Magnitude_prune_svd 0	99	Magnitude_prune	0.081	0.034	0.203	0
501 Ours 0.328 0.179 0.552 0 502 503 Eign-tuning 0.693 0.162 0.828 0	00	Magnitude_prune_svd	0	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	0
502 503 Fien-tuning 0.693 0.162 0.828 0)1	Ours	0.328	0.179	0.552	0.182
503 Fign-tuning 0.693 0.162 0.828 0)2					
1 feit tuning 0.075 0.102 0.020 0)3	Fien-tuning	0.693	0.162	0.828	0.454

Table 4: Overall performance of LoRA merging methods. Fine-tunning means mixing the dataset
of 4 games and fine-tune one model together.

Table 5: Results of iterative merging different tasks LoRA. We first merge two games to get a new model. Then we add one game at a time iteratively.

Number of Merged	GAME1	GAME2	GAME3	GAME4
2	0.620	0.144	N/A	N/A
3	0.328	0.216	0.586	N/A
4	0.328	0.179	0.552	0.182

We then tested our iterative merging method by selecting five games for gradual merging: Asterix, ChopperCommand, BattleZone, CrazyClimber, and DemonAttack, where one game was merged at a time. The results are shown in Table 5. The newly formed model was subjected to multiple single-task evaluations after each merge. Compared to previous methods in the PEFT library, our merging approach demonstrated significant performance improvements. Our method alleviates the catas-trophic degradation in single-task performance. Compared to the fusion methods provided in the PEFT library, our approach balances the performance on both new and previous tasks. Additionally, our outputs are less prone to disruption, avoiding empty or garbled content.

5 CONCLUSION

This paper presents a method for multitask learning using LoRA adapter fusion methods. In contrast to traditional model fusion approaches, we have developed a pipeline from fine-tuning to model merging. Through Routing Tuning, the model learns based on different losses for different data, reducing interference between the model's capabilities and new tasks. Subsequently, multiple LoRA adapters can be combined to represent modifications to the base model, and more importantly, new task adapters can be iteratively added. A general model capable of handling various tasks can be constructed by directly merging models and fused adapters. We run the experiments in Atari game scenarios because each game can be seen as a separate task, unlike general tasks. The experiment results have shown the remarkable performance of our methods and demonstrate the potential of using LoRA adapters for fusion to enable lifelong learning capabilities in models.

537 REFERENCES

Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Ale man, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023.

- Pranav Agarwal, Sheldon Andrews, and Samira Ebrahimi Kahou. Learning to play atari in a world of tokens. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.01361*, 2024.
- 543 Greg Brockman, Vicki Cheung, Ludwig Pettersson, Jonas Schneider, John Schulman, Jie Tang, and
 544 Wojciech Zaremba. Openai gym, 2016.
- Anthony Brohan, Noah Brown, Justice Carbajal, Yevgen Chebotar, Xi Chen, Krzysztof Choromanski, Tianli Ding, Danny Driess, Avinava Dubey, Chelsea Finn, et al. Rt-2: Vision-language-action models transfer web knowledge to robotic control. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.15818*, 2023.
- Shihan Dou, Enyu Zhou, Yan Liu, Songyang Gao, Jun Zhao, Wei Shen, Yuhao Zhou, Zhiheng Xi, Xiao Wang, Xiaoran Fan, et al. Loramoe: Revolutionizing mixture of experts for maintaining world knowledge in language model alignment. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.09979*, 4(7), 2023.
- Danny Driess, Fei Xia, Mehdi SM Sajjadi, Corey Lynch, Aakanksha Chowdhery, Brian Ichter,
 Ayzaan Wahid, Jonathan Tompson, Quan Vuong, Tianhe Yu, et al. Palm-e: An embodied multi modal language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.03378*, 2023.
- Meta Fundamental AI Research Diplomacy Team (FAIR)[†], Anton Bakhtin, Noam Brown, Emily Dinan, Gabriele Farina, Colin Flaherty, Daniel Fried, Andrew Goff, Jonathan Gray, Hengyuan Hu, et al. Human-level play in the game of diplomacy by combining language models with strategic reasoning. *Science*, 378(6624):1067–1074, 2022.
- Linxi Fan, Guanzhi Wang, Yunfan Jiang, Ajay Mandlekar, Yuncong Yang, Haoyi Zhu, Andrew Tang, De-An Huang, Yuke Zhu, and Anima Anandkumar. Minedojo: Building open-ended embodied agents with internet-scale knowledge. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35: 18343–18362, 2022.
- Danijar Hafner, Jurgis Pasukonis, Jimmy Ba, and Timothy Lillicrap. Mastering diverse domains
 through world models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.04104*, 2023.
- Edward J Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, and Weizhu Chen. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09685*, 2021.
- Sihao Hu, Tiansheng Huang, and Ling Liu. Pok\'ellmon: A human-parity agent for pok\'emon
 battles with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.01118*, 2024.
- Chengsong Huang, Qian Liu, Bill Yuchen Lin, Tianyu Pang, Chao Du, and Min Lin. Lorahub: Efficient cross-task generalization via dynamic lora composition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.13269*, 2023.
- Gabriel Ilharco, Marco Tulio Ribeiro, Mitchell Wortsman, Suchin Gururangan, Ludwig Schmidt,
 Hannaneh Hajishirzi, and Ali Farhadi. Editing models with task arithmetic. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.04089*, 2022.
- 579 Yunfan Jiang, Agrim Gupta, Zichen Zhang, Guanzhi Wang, Yongqiang Dou, Yanjun Chen, Li Fei580 Fei, Anima Anandkumar, Yuke Zhu, and Linxi Fan. Vima: General robot manipulation with
 581 multimodal prompts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.03094*, 2(3):6, 2022.
- Lukasz Kaiser, Mohammad Babaeizadeh, Piotr Milos, Blazej Osinski, Roy H Campbell, Konrad Czechowski, Dumitru Erhan, Chelsea Finn, Piotr Kozakowski, Sergey Levine, et al. Model-based reinforcement learning for atari. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.00374*, 2019.
- Moo Jin Kim, Karl Pertsch, Siddharth Karamcheti, Ted Xiao, Ashwin Balakrishna, Suraj Nair,
 Rafael Rafailov, Ethan Foster, Grace Lam, Pannag Sanketi, et al. Openvla: An open-source
 vision-language-action model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.09246*, 2024.
- Vitaly Kurin, Sebastian Nowozin, Katja Hofmann, Lucas Beyer, and Bastian Leibe. The atari grand challenge dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.10998*, 2017.
- Feng Li, Renrui Zhang, Hao Zhang, Yuanhan Zhang, Bo Li, Wei Li, Zejun Ma, and Chunyuan Li.
 Llava-next-interleave: Tackling multi-image, video, and 3d in large multimodal models. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2407.07895, 2024.

600

601

611

618

- Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, Bo Li, Yuanhan Zhang, Sheng Shen, and Yong Jae Lee.
 Llava-next: Improved reasoning, ocr, and world knowledge, 2024a.
- Zheyuan Liu, Guangyao Dou, Zhaoxuan Tan, Yijun Tian, and Meng Jiang. Machine unlearning in
 generative ai: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.20516*, 2024b.
 - Yueen Ma, Zixing Song, Yuzheng Zhuang, Jianye Hao, and Irwin King. A survey on visionlanguage-action models for embodied ai. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.14093*, 2024.
- Sourab Mangrulkar, Sylvain Gugger, Lysandre Debut, Younes Belkada, Sayak Paul, and Benjamin
 Bossan. Peft: State-of-the-art parameter-efficient fine-tuning methods. https://github.
 com/huggingface/peft, 2022.
- Aleksei Petrenko, Zhehui Huang, Tushar Kumar, Gaurav S. Sukhatme, and Vladlen Koltun. Sample factory: Egocentric 3d control from pixels at 100000 FPS with asynchronous reinforcement learning. In *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2020, 13-18 July 2020, Virtual Event*, volume 119 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pp. 7652–7662. PMLR, 2020. URL http://proceedings.mlr.press/v119/petrenko20a.html.
- Scott Reed, Konrad Zolna, Emilio Parisotto, Sergio Gomez Colmenarejo, Alexander Novikov, Gabriel Barth-Maron, Mai Gimenez, Yury Sulsky, Jackie Kay, Jost Tobias Springenberg, et al. A generalist agent. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.06175*, 2022.
- Max Schwarzer, Ankesh Anand, Rishab Goel, R Devon Hjelm, Aaron Courville, and Philip Bach man. Data-efficient reinforcement learning with self-predictive representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.05929*, 2020.
- Guanzhi Wang, Yuqi Xie, Yunfan Jiang, Ajay Mandlekar, Chaowei Xiao, Yuke Zhu, Linxi Fan, and Anima Anandkumar. Voyager: An open-ended embodied agent with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.16291*, 2023a.
- Zihao Wang, Shaofei Cai, Anji Liu, Yonggang Jin, Jinbing Hou, Bowei Zhang, Haowei Lin,
 Zhaofeng He, Zilong Zheng, Yaodong Yang, et al. Jarvis-1: Open-world multi-task agents with
 memory-augmented multimodal language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.05997*, 2023b.
- Prateek Yadav, Derek Tam, Leshem Choshen, Colin A Raffel, and Mohit Bansal. Ties-merging: Resolving interference when merging models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- Enneng Yang, Zhenyi Wang, Li Shen, Shiwei Liu, Guibing Guo, Xingwei Wang, and Dacheng Tao.
 Adamerging: Adaptive model merging for multi-task learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.02575*, 2023.
- Enneng Yang, Li Shen, Guibing Guo, Xingwei Wang, Xiaochun Cao, Jie Zhang, and Dacheng Tao.
 Model merging in llms, mllms, and beyond: Methods, theories, applications and opportunities. arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.07666, 2024.
- Yuanshun Yao, Xiaojun Xu, and Yang Liu. Large language model unlearning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.10683*, 2023.
- Le Yu, Bowen Yu, Haiyang Yu, Fei Huang, and Yongbin Li. Language models are super mario: Absorbing abilities from homologous models as a free lunch. In *Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2024.
- Frederic Z Zhang, Paul Albert, Cristian Rodriguez-Opazo, Anton van den Hengel, and Ehsan Ab basnejad. Knowledge composition using task vectors with learned anisotropic scaling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.02880*, 2024.
- Qingru Zhang, Minshuo Chen, Alexander Bukharin, Nikos Karampatziakis, Pengcheng He, Yu Cheng, Weizhu Chen, and Tuo Zhao. Adalora: Adaptive budget allocation for parameterefficient fine-tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.10512*, 2023.





Figure 3: Visual and text input of VLM. Visual inputs are two frames sampled using APPO algorithm. And text inputs are the description of game rules and action meanings. Output will add a inference process, then we will use exactly match to get final action sent to gym environment.

A APPENDIX

682 A.1 DATASET DETAILS

Our experiment uses game frames rendered from Atari environments as image input. To capture the character's movement direction and speed in the game, we selected two frames with $skip_{-}frame =$ 4. The model needs to output the action to be taken in the latter frame. We extracted key game rules from the Atari official website's game descriptions, including the game background, character settings, rules, and gameplay. Additionally, since Atari games use the Atari hardware platform, mapping them to the OpenAI Gym environment involves predefined actions. Initial experiments showed that the model struggled to map action names to actual operations effectively. Therefore, we include specific actions in the prompt for each game. For output, we want the model to provide its reasoning about the game scenes and rules and then output an action. This approach achieved better results in our experiments. Details are shown in 3. We will make this dataset publicly available.

We sample data from LLaVA pretrianed data and Atari chanllenge data which is publicly available.
For each game, we use 100K data we record using APPO checkpoint follow the baselines. For
Atari challenge dataset, we have 4 games and each game we sample 5K image-action pairs. For
LLaVA pretrained dataset, we sample 30K samples. Finally, we have 100K fine-tunning data and
50K general datato be unlearned.

- A.2 HYPERPARAMETER DETAILS
 - Proportion of SVD rank: After testing on several games, we average the score to get the best performance. We find that, in some games, the portion saved of the SVD rank has few



Figure 4: The proportion of rank retained by SVD across different tasks does not show a clear pattern of influence on the results. We selected 0.8, which yielded the highest average result.



Figure 5: The heatmap of activation values for LoRA_B in the same layer across different data types shows that the proportion of higher values on general data is lower than that on game data. The specific numerical details are reflected in the table6.

influence on the model performance. But we still choose the highest average score which is 0.8. The results are shown in 4

- *ϵ*: We observe the output value of each component when losses are stable, then we choose
 to set the KL-divergence and norm on the same amount of fine-tuning loss, the final value
 is
 *ϵ*₁ = 1, *ϵ*₂ = 0.01, *ϵ*₃ = 2