Simple and Effective Unsupervised Speech Translation

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

The amount of labeled data to train models for speech tasks is limited for most languages, how-002 003 ever, the data scarcity is exacerbated for speech translation which requires labeled data cover-005 ing two different languages. To address this issue, we study a simple and effective approach 007 to build speech translation systems without labeled data by leveraging recent advances in unsupervised speech recognition, machine translation and speech synthesis, either in a pipeline 011 approach, or to generate pseudo-labels for train-012 ing end-to-end speech translation models. Furthermore, we present an unsupervised domain adaptation technique for pre-trained speech models which improves the performance of downstream unsupervised speech recognition, especially for low-resource settings. Experiments show that unsupervised speech-to-text translation outperforms the previous unsupervised state of the art by 3.2 BLEU on the Libri-Trans benchmark, on CoVoST 2, our best systems outperform the best supervised end-to-end models (without pre-training) from only two years ago by an average of 5.0 BLEU over five X-En directions. We also report competitive results on MuST-C and CVSS benchmarks.

1 Introduction

027

034

040

Training supervised speech systems requires large amounts of labeled data which is often not available for all but a small fraction of the over 7,000 languages spoken around the world (Lewis et al., 2022). Despite much recent effort in creating speech translation corpora (Di Gangi et al., 2019a; Wang et al., 2021b), only a few dozen language directions are covered. The lack of labeled training data is even more acute for speech translation because it requires aligned labeled data in two languages which increases the effort to create such datasets. This poses the question of whether speech translation systems can be built using less labeled data or no labeled data at all. Recent work on unsupervised speech recognition has achieved performance that can enable useful systems using no labeled data (Yeh et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2019; Baevski et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2022a), enabled in large part by the advances in self-supervised speech representation learning (Schneider et al., 2019; Baevski et al., 2020). These techniques were also used to build unsupervised text-to-speech systems (Liu et al., 2022b). Similarly, unsupervised text-to-text machine translation has shown great promise for certain language directions (Conneau et al., 2018; Lample et al., 2018; Artetxe et al., 2018).

043

044

045

046

047

051

057

060

061

062

063

064

065

066

067

068

069

070

071

072

073

074

075

076

077

078

079

In this paper, we study a method to build endto-end unsupervised speech-to-text and speech-tospeech translation systems trained on synthetic training data obtained by cascading existing unsupervised techniques: we first transcribe speech utterances in the source language using unsupervised speech recognition (Baevski et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2022a), then translate the resulting transcription using unsupervised machine translation (Lample et al., 2018; Artetxe et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2020), and finally synthesize the translation into a target language speech utterance using unsupervised speech synthesis (Liu et al., 2022b). We also consider applying the pipeline directly at inference time. Our approach benefits from the use of self-supervised speech models (Baevski et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020) and to further improve performance, we present a technique to adapt existing self-supervised models to the target domain.

2 Background

Unsupervised speech recognition. Liu et al. (2018) presents some of the earliest work on unsupervised phoneme recognition and their work applies adversarial training. Wav2vec-U (Baevski et al., 2021) effectively applied self-supervised speech representations, introduced a new evaluation metric and compared to state-of-the-art super-



Figure 1: Overview of the proposed approach to unsupervised speech-to-text translation (S2TT) and speech-to-speech translation (S2ST). We first adapt speech pre-trained model (wav2vec 2.0) for the input language and domain of interest, and then cascade unsupervised speech recognition (ASR), unsupervised text de-normalization, unsupervised machine translation (MT) and unsupervised speech synthesis (TTS) models to produce pseudo-labels for end-to-end S2TT and S2ST model training. Our models rely only on unlabeled speech data and unpaired text data without the need of any human annotation.

vised systems trained on large amounts of labeled data. Wav2vec-U 2.0 (Liu et al., 2022a) simplifies audio-side pre-processing and improves accuracy through better architecture as well as better training objective. Lin et al. (2022) shows that out-ofdomain speech pre-training or out-of-domain text data hurts the training robustness of Wav2vec-U models, especially under low-resource settings.

083

084

101

102

103

105

106

107

108

109

Unsupervised speech synthesis. Recent work has demonstrated unsupervised speech synthesis systems to be able to achieve comparable performance to supervised systems (Liu et al., 2022b; Ni et al., 2022). The systems are trained on data resulting from labeling speech audio data with unsupervised speech recognition models and training text-to-speech models on the resulting models.

Unsupervised machine translation. Lample et al. (2018) and Artetxe et al. (2018) built the first fully unsupervised machine translation (MT) systems by exploiting cross-lingual similarity of representations in multilingual sequence-to-sequence models, as well as back-translation for further refinements of the initial models. mBART (Liu et al., 2020) used a similar model architecture and training process to build unsupervised MT models, but it utilized a larger-scale multilingual text corpus (Conneau et al., 2020) and an updated noising strategy for pre-training with denoising autoencoder objective.

End-to-end speech translation. End-to-end sequence-to-sequence modeling has witnessed increased applications in speech-to-text translation (Duong et al., 2016; Bérard et al., 2016; Weiss et al., 2017; Bansal et al., 2017; Vila et al., 2018; Di Gangi et al., 2019b; Ren et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021) and speech-to-speech translation (Jia et al., 2019; Kano et al., 2021; Jia et al., 2022a). Compared to cascaded systems, end-to-end speech translation models have simpler pipeline and lower inference latency. It is shown that recent end-to-end speech-to-text translation (S2TT) models perform comparably to the cascaded counterparts on the well-established MuST-C benchmark (Bentivogli et al., 2021). Given the scarcity of speech translation corpora, there are recent attempts on building end-to-end S2TT models under low-resource settings (Bansal et al., 2018, 2019; Cheng et al., 2021) or unsupervised settings (Chung et al., 2019).

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

3 Methods

Figure 1 provides an overview of our proposed approach to unsupervised speech-to-text translation (S2TT) and speech-to-speech translation (S2ST). We leverage a cascade of unsupervised models to produce pseudo-labels for end-to-end S2TT and S2ST model training. To mitigate language and 137domain mismatch in speech pre-training (wav2vec1382.0), we finetune wav2vec 2.0 models using un-139labeled in-domain speech data, and then use the140adapted models to build downstream speech recog-141nition models.

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

173

3.1 Unsupervised Cascaded Pseudo-Labeling

We cascade unsupervised speech recognition (ASR), unsupervised text de-normalization (TDN) and unsupervised machine translation (MT) models to produce pseudo-labels for S2TT. For S2ST, we additionally apply unsupervised speech synthesis (TTS) models to MT model outputs to obtain synthesized target speech.

Unsupervised ASR. We adopt wav2vec-U 2.0 (Liu et al., 2022a), which learns a mapping from self-supervised speech representations to phonemes via adversarial training and decodes phonemes into words via a weighted finite state transducer (Mohri, 1997). To improve adversarial training stability and suppress overfitting in the low-resource settings, we add Gaussian noise to the frozen input features X

$$X' = X + \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

as well as R-Drop regularization (Wu et al., 2021) to the logit outputs of the generator

$$\mathcal{L}_{rdp} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_{KL}(\mathcal{G}_1(X') || \mathcal{G}_2(X')) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_{KL}(\mathcal{G}_2(X') || \mathcal{G}_1(X'))$$

where \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 are two generator instances with different dropout masks, and \mathcal{D}_{KL} is the Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence. We add weighted $\alpha \mathcal{L}_{rdp}$ to the wav2vec-U 2.0 objective function, where α is a hyper-parameter. After adversarial learning, we follow Baevski et al. (2021) to perform selftraining with a Hidden Markov Model (HMM), and fine-tune the adapted wav2vec 2.0 model again with the CTC objective on the HMM labels. We denote the final ASR model as "w2vu2-CTC".

Unsupervised MT. We adopt mBART (Liu 174 et al., 2020), which has a Transformer architec-175 ture (Vaswani et al., 2017) with model parame-176 ters shared across all training languages. It first 177 obtains initial cross-lingual alignments for all lan-178 guages via a denoising autoencoder objective (Vin-179 cent et al., 2010), and then refines the alignments 180 for one specific language pair via bidirectional on-181 line back-translation on that pair of languages. We denote this model as "mBART-OBT". 183

Unsupervised TDN. ASR models decode normalized spoken-form texts, which have no case or punctuation (except hyphen and apostrophe). MT models, however, encode unnormalized writtenform texts that have case and punctuation. This discrepancy leads to quality degradation when we cascade the two models directly for pseudo-labeling. To mitigate the mismatch, we de-normalize ASR model outputs into their unnormalized written form before feeding them into MT models. The text denormalizer is a mBART model pre-trained with denoising autoencoder objective and fine-tuned with paired data of raw text (output) and its normalized version (input). 184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

201

203

204

205

206

207

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

Unsupervised TTS. We follow Liu et al. (2022b) to produce phoneme labels for unlabeled speech data with wav2vec-U 2.0, and then train an autoregressive Transformer TTS model (Li et al., 2019) on the pseudo-labeled data. For wav2vec-U 2.0, we perform HMM-based self-training and fine-tune pre-trained wav2vec 2.0 model with HMM phoneme labels. To alleviate under-generation and over-generation issues in autoregressive models, we add R-Drop style consistency loss

$$\mathcal{L}_c = ||\mathcal{P}_1^{EOS}(X) - \mathcal{P}_2^{EOS}(X)||_1$$

to the objective function (weighted by a hyperparameter α) for better end-of-sentence (EOS) predictions, where \mathcal{P}_1^{EOS} and \mathcal{P}_2^{EOS} are two EOS predictions on the same input X with different dropout masks.

3.2 Unsupervised Adaptation of wav2vec 2.0 Pre-trained Models

Next, we present a method to improve performance when the domain of the data used for self-supervised pre-training differs from the downstream task domain which is often the case for lowresource languages. Specifically, we adapt out-ofdomain or out-of-language wav2vec 2.0 models to the domain and language of interest by fine-tuning the entire wav2vec 2.0 models on discrete labels obtained from unlabeled in-domain data using the CTC objective (Graves et al., 2006).

To obtain discrete labels, we first collect all the wav2vec 2.0 speech representations for the training data, and perform k-means clustering to identify K clusters. Then for each utterance, we label each of its T speech representation frames \mathbf{x}_t by the corresponding cluster ids $y_t \in \{1, ..., K\}$, where $t \in \{1, ..., T\}$. Finally, we merge identical

consecutive y_t to obtain the final labels $y'_{t'}$, where $t' \in \{1, ..., T'\}$ and $T' \leq T$.

223

224

230

231

238

239

240

241

242

244

247

249

251

253

254

263

265

266

After unsupervised fine-tuning with discrete labels, we discard the output projection layer used for the CTC objective, and use the resulting wav2vec 2.0 trunk instead of the original wav2vec 2.0 model in the downstream tasks. The adapted models are used to extract speech representations for wav2vec-U 2.0 models, as well as pre-train encoders of the CTC models in wav2vec-U self-training.

3.3 End-to-end Model Training with Pseudo-labels

After obtaining pseudo-labels from the cascade of unsupervised models, we train end-to-end S2TT and S2TT models with supervised objectives on these pseudo-labels. For end-to-end S2TT, we adopt the model architecture in Li et al. (2021), which we denote as "w2v2-mBART". We pretrain its encoder by the unsupervised ASR model, w2vu2-CTC, and pre-train its decoder by the unsupervised MT model, mBART-OBT. For end-to-end S2ST, we adopt a variant of Translatotron 2 (Jia et al., 2022a), Spec-T2, which adds an additional encoder in between Translatotron 2's two decoders, and replace Translatotron 2's second decoder by an autoregressive Transformer decoder (Li et al., 2019). Similar to w2v2-mBART, we pre-train Spec-T2's first encoder and first decoder by w2vu2-CTC and mBART-OBT, respectively.

4 Experimental Setup

We evaluate our translation models on 5 directions into English (Fr-En, Es-En, Ru-En, Et-En and Lv-En) and 3 directions out of English (En-Es, En-Ru and En-Fr). The 5 non-English languages are from 4 different Indo-European language family subgroups: Romance (Fr and Es), Slavic (Ru), Uralic (Et) and Baltic (Lv). For the X-En directions, we evaluate S2TT models on CoVoST 2 (Wang et al., 2021b) and evaluate S2ST models on CVSS-C (Jia et al., 2022b), which adds synthetic target speech to CoVoST 2 with a single canonical speaker voice. For the En-X directions, we only evaluate S2TT models. We use MuST-C (Di Gangi et al., 2019a) for En-Es and En-Ru, as well as Libri-Trans (Kocabiyikoglu et al., 2018) for En-Fr. For Libri-Trans, we follow Chung et al. (2019) to combine validation set and test set for evaluation.

69Speech pre-training.We use robust wav2vec702.0 (Hsu et al., 2021) for English speech, which

is trained on datasets from multiple domains. For non-English speech, we adapt open-source Vox-Populi¹ (Wang et al., 2021a) models by CTC finetuning with 1024 discrete labels (Fr, Es and Ru) or 128 discrete labels (Et and Lv). We use monolingual VoxPopuli models for Fr and Es, and multilingual models of similar languages for Ru, Et and Lv (Slavic, Uralic and Baltic languages, respectively). We extract speech representations from the 15-th layer of the original wav2vec 2.0 models for computing discrete labels.

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

289

290

291

293

294

295

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

Speech recognition. For wav2vec-U 2.0 models, we extract speech representations from the 19-th (15-th) layer of the adapted (original) wav2vec 2.0 models. We increase the dropout on the batch normalized input features to 0.2. We set $\sigma = 0.1$ for input Gaussian noise and $\alpha = 1.0$ for R-Drop regularization. For wav2vec-U 2.0 loss weights, we set $\eta = 3$ and choose λ , γ and δ from 1.0 / 1.5, 1.5 / 2.5 and 0.3 / 0.5, respectively. For text data, we use open web crawled corpus, CC-100 (Conneau et al., 2020), which is created with little curation and has large language coverage. For supervised baselines, we fine-tune adapted wav2vec 2.0 models with CTC objective on labeled data, which we denote as "w2v2-CTC".

Machine translation. We use CC-100 (Conneau et al., 2020) to train bilingual mBART *large* models for each language pair. For bidirectional online back-translation, we use the same CC100 data and follow Liu et al. (2020) to apply 99% vocabulary masking for the first 500 updates. For supervised baselines, we fine-tune mBART models with labeled data, which we denote as "mBART-FT".

Speech synthesis. We train Transformer models (with \mathcal{L}_c weight $\alpha = 1.0$) on CVSS-C target speech from the It-En direction to avoid content overlaps with the selected 5 directions. For graphemeto-phoneme conversion, we employ g2pE (Park, 2019) for English texts and Phonemizer (Bernard, 2015) with espeak-ng² backend for texts in other languages. We resample audios to 22,050Hz and extract log-Mel spectrogram with FFT size 1024, window length 1024 and hop length 256.

End-to-end speech translation. For bilingual S2TT, we pre-train its encoder/decoder with w2vu2-CTC/mBART-OBT for unsupervised mod-

¹https://github.com/facebookresearch/voxpopuli

²https://github.com/espeak-ng/espeak-ng

	Fr-En	Es-En	Ru-En	Et-En	Lv-En	Avg.
Duration (hrs)	264	113	16	3	2	0
Bilingual setup						
Supervised learning + pre-training						
End-to-end (w2v2-mBART)	35.7	36.2	39.4	5.7	13.5	26.1
Supervised learning						
End-to-end (S2T Transformer; Wang et al. 2020)	26.3	23.0	14.8	0.1	2.5	13.3
Unsupervised learning						
Cascaded (ASR \rightarrow TDN \rightarrow MT)	24.4	23.4	27.8	8.5	7.6	18.3
End-to-end (w2v2-mBART)	24.2	24.0	25.6	3.9	2.8	16.1
Multilingual setu	p					
Supervised learning + pre-training						
End-to-end (w2v2-mBART), 21 langs. \rightarrow En (Babu et al., 2021)	32.9	34.1	26.4	3.5	6.0	20.6
Supervised learning						
End-to-end (S2T Transformer), 21 langs.→En (Wang et al., 2020)	26.9	26.3	9.6	0.4	0.6	12.8
Unsupervised learning						
End-to-end (w2v2-mBART), $\{Fr, Es, Ru, Et, Lv\} \rightarrow En$	24.3	24.0	22.8	3.1	1.0	15.0

Table 1: Bilingual and multilingual X-En **speech-to-text translation** results: test BLEU on CoVoST 2. Et-En and Lv-En are low-resource with only 3h and 2h of training data, respectively. End-to-end modeling on these two directions suffers from overfitting.

Duration (hrs)	En-Es 504	En-Ru 489	En-Fr 100
Supervised learning + pre-train End-to-end (w2v2-mBART)	ning 32.4	20.0	23.1
Supervised learning End-to-end (S2T Transformer)	27.2^{\dagger}	15.3 [†]	11.4
Unsupervised learning Chung et al. (2019) [‡] Cascaded (ASR→TDN→MT) End-to-end (w2v2-mBART)	N/A 22.0 23.8	N/A 10.0 9.8	12.2 15.4 15.3

Table 2: Bilingual En-X **speech-to-text translation** results: test BLEU on MuST-C (En-Es and En-Ru) and Libri-Trans (En-Fr). Our best system outperforms previous state of the art (Chung et al., 2019) on Libri-Trans by 3.7 BLEU. [†] Wang et al. (2020). [‡] We report the S_{libri}-T_{libri} + LM_{wiki} + DAE_{wiki} configuration with the best result selected supervisedly out of 10 runs.

els, or with w2v2-CTC/mBART-FT for supervised models that leverage pre-training. To alleviate over-319 fitting in low-resource settings (Ru-En, Et-En and 320 Lv-En), we duplicate training examples and equip 321 them with 2 different pseudo-labels from mBART-322 OBT beam search decoding. For multilingual S2TT and S2ST, we pre-train speech encoder with XLS-R 324 0.3B (Babu et al., 2021), and pre-train text decoder 325 with mBART-OBT from the En-Fr direction. 326

Checkpoint selection and averaging. For unsupervised ASR, we adopt the unsupervised metric
in Baevski et al. (2021) and average the best 2
checkpoints in the same run. For unsupervised
MT and unsupervised TTS, we average the last 5

checkpoints. For end-to-end S2TT/S2ST, we sort checkpoints by losses on the pseudo-labeled validation set and average the best 5 checkpoints. 332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

356

357

358

359

Automatic evaluation of speech outputs. Following a common practice, we first transcribe English speech outputs from the TTS or S2ST model with an open-source English ASR model³, and then calculate WER or BLEU on the ASR transcription for automatic evaluation scores.

5 Results

5.1 X-En Speech-to-Text Translation

For X-En S2TT, we consider models trained for a single language direction (bilingual) and models covering multiple directions (multilingual). Results are reported on five translation directions into English of the CoVoST 2 benchmark and we focus on end-to-end systems but we also consider a cascade of unsupervised models. Supervised models are purely trained on labeled data without pre-training, while as supervised models with pre-training use wav2vec and mBART models, unsupervised models data.

Table 1 shows that unsupervised end-to-end models outperform the supervised baselines by 5.0 BLEU on average over the five translation directions of the bilingual setup. The supervised models represent the best supervised end-to-end models from two years ago. These improvements are due

³https://github.com/facebookresearch/fairseq/tree/main/ examples/wav2vec ("Wav2Vec 2.0 Large (LV-60) + Self Training")

Source duration (hrs)	Fr-En 264	Es-En 113	Ru-En 16	Et-En 3	Lv-En 2	Avg.
Supervised learning + pre-training End-to-end (Spec-T2), $\{Fr,Es,Ru,Et,Lv\} \rightarrow En$	31.8	32.3	32.9	5.2	7.5	21.9
Supervised learning End-to-end (Spec-T2), $\{Fr, Es, Ru, Et, Lv\} \rightarrow En$	27.4	27.7	25.4	4.1	2.5	17.4
Unsupervised learning Cascaded (ASR \rightarrow TDN \rightarrow MT \rightarrow TTS), bilingual End-to-end (Spec-T2), {Fr,Es,Ru,Et,Lv} \rightarrow En	21.6 21.2	21.2 20.1	25.3 19.9	7.2 3.2	7.7 2.8	16.6 13.4

Table 3: Multilingual X-En **speech-to-speech translation** results: test BLEU on CVSS-C. Our multilingual model is trained on a subset of 5 directions out of the 21 available directions. Appendix A.1 presents a comparison of our supervised model to Jia et al. (2022b) in the 21-direction setting, which performs roughly similarly.

wav2vec 2.0 features	Domain	Hours	Multi- lingual	Seen lang.	Fine- tuning	Fr 264h	Es 113h	Ru 16h	Et 3h	Lv 2h
VoxPopuli (Wang et al., 2021a)	out	21K- 89K	*	*	none unsup.	26.7 21.4	21.4 18.3	> 60 25.6	> 60 22.4	> 60 27.8
XLS-R (Babu et al., 2021)	in+out	436K	\checkmark	\checkmark	none unsup.	26.1 23.4	21.9 19.0	32.8 28.3	> 60 26.4	> 60 > 60
Robust wav2vec 2.0 (Hsu et al., 2021)	out	63K			none unsup.	> 60 31.5	29.3 22.7	> 60 35.2	> 60 35.1	> 60 > 60

Table 4: Different wav2vec 2.0 features for non-English unsupervised ASR (wav2vec-U 2.0) training: validation PER on CoVoST 2 with Viterbi decoding. All models use the wav2vec 2.0 *large* configuration. We unsupervisedly finetune wav2vec 2.0 models to the language and domain of interest. "*": Monolingual models for Fr and Es; multilingual models of similar languages for Ru, Et and Lv (trained on the Slavic, Uralic and Baltic languages in VoxPopuli, respectively).

to advances in unsupervised modeling as well as self-supervised pre-training. The supervised models with pre-training perform generally far above the unsupervised models and shows that there is potential to improve unsupervised speech translation in the future.

363

367

371

372

374

The cascaded unsupervised setup performs better than the end-to-end approach for directions with little synthetic training data such as Ru-En, Et-En and Lv-En. This is because end-to-end models are trained on datasets comprising as little as two hours of synthetic speech translation data on which they overfit. Cascaded unsupervised models do not suffer under this issue because they exploit more text for unsupervised machine translation (Table 7).

375Supervised learning with pre-training for the376bilingual setup performs better than the multilin-377gual setup because only a single translation direc-378tion needs to be modeled and because the mBART379model was pre-trained on 50 languages while as380only a single language is being used in the X-En381setup.

5.2 En-X Speech-to-Text Translation

For bilingual En-X S2TT, we compare our unsupervised models to the previous state of the art (Chung et al., 2019) on Libri-Trans (En-Fr) and we also evaluate them on the MuST-C benchmark for En-Es and En-Ru directions. Table 2 shows the test BLEU of our models and the baselines on both benchmarks. On Libri-Trans, our best system outperforms the previous state of the art, an alignmentbased cascaded system, by 3.2 BLEU (Chung et al., 2019). On MuST-C, our models also achieve competitive results in this high-resource setting of around 500 hours of training data, with 3.4 BLEU and 5.5 BLEU behind the supervised baselines on En-Es and En-Ru, respectively.

384

385

388

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

5.3 X-En Speech-to-Speech Translation

To train a multilingual X-En speech-to-speech398translation model, we combine pseudo-labeled399bilingual data for multiple translation directions400and use the Spec-T2 architecture, a variant of Trans-401latotron 2. We build supervised Spec-T2 base-402lines with and without pre-training and evaluate403on the CVSS-C benchmark. Table 3 shows that404

Duration (hrs)	Fr 264	Es 113	Ru 16	Et 3	Lv 2	En 504	Avg.					
Supervised learning + pre-training												
w2v2-CTC	15.7	7.0	7.1	11.1	5.9	6.3	8.9					
Supervised lea	Supervised learning											
Transformer [†]	18.3	16.0	31.4	65.7	51.8	12.1	32.6					
Unsupervised learning												
w2vu2-CTC	23.2	10.3	15.7	17.6	14.8	12.7	15.7					

Table 5: Speech recognition results: test WER on CoVoST 2 and MuST-C (En-Es). Semi-supervised and unsupervised models are decoded with 4-gram language model. [†] Wang et al. (2020).

JS Divergence	CVSS 0.207	Libri-Trans 0.376	MuST-C 0.369
Supervised learning Transformer	12.8	15.0	16.8
Unsupervised learning Transformer	15.2	17.1	20.1

Table 6: Speech synthesis results: validation WER for re-synthesis on CVSS-C, Libri-Trans and MuST-C. To quantify training-inference time domain similarity, we follow Lin et al. (2022) to compute Jensen–Shannon divergence ("JSD") on 4-gram phoneme distributions. Low JSD suggests high similarity.

the best unsupervised system is on average only 405 0.8 BLEU below the supervised baseline. We be-406 lieve that the unsupervised approach is less effec-407 tive for speech-to-speech translation compared to 408 speech-to-translation because of the increased error 409 accumulation in the synthetic data creation process 410 due to the addition of the unsupervised speech syn-411 thesis component to which we input unsupervised 412 translation output which in turn is based on unsu-413 pervised speech recognition transcriptions. Sim-414 ilarly to speech-to-text translation, the cascaded 415 unsupervised model performs better than the end 416 to end approach and this is most prominent for 417 low-resource directions. 418

5.4 Speech Pre-training

419

We evaluate the effectiveness of the unsupervised 420 adaptation technique of wav2vec 2.0 models (§3.1) 421 on the five non-English languages, which have less 422 training data than English. We train wav2vec-U 423 2.0 models on CoVoST 2 with features extracted 424 from three different wav2vec 2.0 models and their 425 adapted versions: 1) Out-of-domain models, "Vox-426 Populi" (Wang et al., 2021a), that are trained with 427 data in the same language (for Fr and Es) or similar 428

languages (for Ru, Et and Lv) from the same lan-429 guage family subgroup; 2) a massively multilingual 430 model for 128 languages, "XLS-R" (Babu et al., 431 2021), whose training data contains CoVoST 2; 3) 432 a multi-domain English model, "robust wav2vec 433 2.0" (Hsu et al., 2021), where the target languages 434 are unseen. We report validation PER on Viterbi 435 predictions in Table 4. Speech pre-training on mis-436 matched domains or languages ("VoxPopuli" and 437 "robust wav2vec 2.0") leads to training convergence 438 failure on three low-resource languages (Ru, Et and 439 Lv). The two languages with the least amount of 440 data, Et and Lv, even fail with in-domain multilin-441 gual pre-training. Unsupervised adaptation signif-442 icantly improves training convergence and model 443 performance for all the 3 scenarios of speech pre-444 training. In an example worst case scenario, Et-En 445 wav2vec-U 2.0 model is successfully trained with 446 only 3 hours of Et speech data and features from an 447 adapted out-of-language out-of-domain wav2vec 448 2.0 model ("robust wav2vec 2.0"). 449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

5.5 Speech Recognition

Next, we evaluate the performance of unsupervised speech recognition in our setting. We decode our pre-trained supervised baselines ("w2v2-CTC") and unsupervised models ("w2vu2-CTC") with 4-gram language model. They are compared with pre-vious un-pre-trained supervised baselines (Wang et al., 2020) on CoVoST 2 and MuST-C (for En), whose results (test WER) can be found in Table 5. We see that our unsupervised end-to-end models outperform un-pre-trained supervised baselines on all six languages with an average 16.9 WER reduction over the supervised one. Unsupervised ASR works best for languages with little labeled data due to the use of pre-trained features and advances in unsupervised algorithms.

5.6 Speech Synthesis

In our unsupervised setting, the target speech data does not share the same domain as the source one. This realistic setting leads to training-inference time domain mismatch on TTS models. We evaluate the effects of this mismatch by a re-synthesis task on 3 different datasets: CVSS-C (from It-En), Libri-Trans and MuST-C. We synthesize speech using validation texts and report WER on the ASR transcription of the synthesized speech. To quantize domain similarity, we follow Lin et al. (2022) to compute Jensen–Shannon divergence ("JSD") on 4-gram phoneme distributions, where low JSD sug-

2.1B En text, non-En text Bitext	Fr-En 428M 207K	Es-En 379M 79K	Ru-En 849M 12K	Et-En 46M 1.8K	Lv-En 68M 2.3K	En-Es 379M 259K	En-Ru 849M 259K	En-Fr 428M 47K	Avg.
Supervised learning + pre mBART-FT	e -training 46.7	g 46.0	48.4	23.3	29.6	38.7	23.1	21.5	34.6
Supervised learning Transformer	37.9 [†]	36.3 [†]	19.8 [†]	0.3^{\dagger}	0.2^{\dagger}	33.8	15.8	17.9	20.3
Unsupervised learning mBART-OBT	40.1	43.8	48.6	19.0	25.0	38.5	22.2	22.1	32.4

Table 7: Machine translation results: test BLEU on CoVoST 2 (X-En), MuST-C (En-Es and En-Ru) and Libri-Trans (En-Fr). We finetune mBART model with bitext data for supervised learning and with unpaired pre-training data for unsupervised learning. [†] Wang et al. (2020).

	Fr-En	Es-En	Ru-En	Et-En	Lv-En	En-Es	En-Ru	En-Fr	Avg.
BLEU on raw text ASR→TDN→MT Remove TDN	24.4 17.2	23.4 18.3	27.8 20.7	8.5 5.7	7.6 7.8	22.0 17.2	10.0 8.9	15.4 10.4	17.4 13.3
BLEU on normalize ASR→TDN→MT Remove TDN	d text (ca 25.0 23.1	ise and p 23.9 24.1	unctuatio 28.7 26.9	n remov 7.9 7.2	ed) 9.5 9.4	23.7 23.1	9.4 9.4	15.5 15.1	18.0 17.3

Table 8: Effectiveness of text de-normalization in the unsupervised pipeline evaluated in terms of speech-to-text translation on CoVoST 2 (X-En), MuST-C (En-Es and En-Ru) and Libri-Trans (En-Fr). We report test BLEU on either raw text or normalized text. TDN not only recovers case and punctuation, but also leads to better translation of content.

gests high similarity. Table 6 shows the results. We see that both supervised and unsupervised models have higher WER on less similar domains (Libri-Trans and MuST-C).

5.7 Machine Translation

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

We evaluate our unsupervised models ("mBART-OBT") on the CoVoST 2, MuST-C and Libri-Trans benchmarks with test BLEU. For comparison, we also build supervised Transformer baselines ("Transformer") and supervised mBART baselines ("mBART-FT"). Results are shown in Table 7. We observe that our unsupervised models outperform supervised baselines by 12.1 BLEU on average over the eight considered translation directions. They are behind supervised baselines by only 2.2 BLEU on average. In contrast to supervised baselines that leverage in-domain paired data, the unsupervised models use unpaired CC100 data which is web data.

5.8 Text De-normalization

We verify the effectiveness of text de-normalization (TDN) by ablating it in the unsupervised cascaded pipeline. In Table 8, we show test BLEU calculated on either raw text (BLEU_{raw}) or normalized text (BLEU_{norm}) for the ablation. We see that TDN improves $BLEU_{raw}$ greatly by 4.1 on average over all the directions. From the improvements on $BLEU_{norm}$, we conclude that TDN not only recovers case and punctuation, but also improves translation of the content.

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we present a simple and effective approach to unsupervised speech-to-text translation (S2TT) and speech-to-speech translation (S2ST). Our S2TT systems outperform the previous state of the art on Libri-Trans by 3.2 BLEU as well as the best supervised end-to-end models (without pre-training) on CoVoST 2 from only two years ago by an average of 5.0 BLEU over five translation directions into English. Our S2TT and S2ST systems also perform competitively on the MuST-C and CVSS-C benchmarks.

References

Mikel Artetxe, Gorka Labaka, Eneko Agirre, and Kyunghyun Cho. 2018. Unsupervised neural machine translation. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.

526

- 540 541 542
- 543
- 545

554

556

558 559 560

567

572 573

574

575 577

- Arun Babu, Changhan Wang, Andros Tjandra, Kushal Lakhotia, Qiantong Xu, Naman Goyal, Kritika Singh, Patrick von Platen, Yatharth Saraf, Juan Pino, et al. 2021. Xls-r: Self-supervised cross-lingual speech representation learning at scale. arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.09296.
- Alexei Baevski, Wei-Ning Hsu, Alexis Conneau, and Michael Auli. 2021. Unsupervised speech recognition. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 34:27826-27839.
- Alexei Baevski, Yuhao Zhou, Abdelrahman Mohamed, and Michael Auli. 2020. wav2vec 2.0: A framework for self-supervised learning of speech representations. In Proc. of NeurIPS.
 - Sameer Bansal, Herman Kamper, Karen Livescu, Adam Lopez, and Sharon Goldwater. 2018. Low-resource speech-to-text translation. Proc. Interspeech 2018, pages 1298–1302.
 - Sameer Bansal, Herman Kamper, Karen Livescu, Adam Lopez, and Sharon Goldwater. 2019. Pre-training on high-resource speech recognition improves lowresource speech-to-text translation. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), pages 58-68.
- Sameer Bansal, Herman Kamper, Adam Lopez, and Sharon Goldwater. 2017. Towards speech-to-text translation without speech recognition. In Proceedings of the 15th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Volume 2, Short Papers, pages 474-479.
- Luisa Bentivogli, Mauro Cettolo, Marco Gaido, Alina Karakanta, Alberto Martinelli, Matteo Negri, and Marco Turchi. 2021. Cascade versus direct speech translation: Do the differences still make a differ-In Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meetence? ing of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 2873-2887.
- Alexandre Bérard, Olivier Pietquin, Laurent Besacier, and Christophe Servan. 2016. Listen and translate: A proof of concept for end-to-end speech-to-text translation. In NIPS Workshop on end-to-end learning for speech and audio processing.
- Mathieu Bernard. 2015. Phonemizer. https://github.com/bootphon/phonemizer.
- Kuan-Yu Chen, Che-Ping Tsai, Da-Rong Liu, Hung-Yi Lee, and Lin shan Lee. 2019. Completely unsupervised speech recognition by a generative adversarial network harmonized with iteratively refined hidden markov models. In Proc. of Interspeech.
- Yao-Fei Cheng, Hung-Shin Lee, and Hsin-Min Wang. 2021. AlloST: Low-Resource Speech Translation Without Source Transcription. In Proc. Interspeech 2021, pages 2252–2256.

Yu-An Chung, Wei-Hung Weng, Schrasing Tong, and James Glass. 2019. Towards unsupervised speech-totext translation. In ICASSP 2019-2019 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), pages 7170–7174. IEEE.

583

584

586

587

588

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

- Alexis Conneau, Kartikay Khandelwal, Naman Goyal, Vishrav Chaudhary, Guillaume Wenzek, Francisco Guzmán, Edouard Grave, Myle Ott, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2020. Unsupervised cross-lingual representation learning at scale. In Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 8440-8451, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Alexis Conneau, Guillaume Lample, Marc'Aurelio Ranzato, Ludovic Denoyer, and Hervé Jégou. 2018. Word translation without parallel data. Proc. of ICLR.
- Mattia A Di Gangi, Roldano Cattoni, Luisa Bentivogli, Matteo Negri, and Marco Turchi. 2019a. Must-c: a multilingual speech translation corpus. In 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, pages 2012-2017. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Mattia A Di Gangi, Matteo Negri, and Marco Turchi. 2019b. Adapting transformer to end-to-end spoken language translation. In INTERSPEECH 2019, pages 1133-1137. International Speech Communication Association (ISCA).
- Long Duong, Antonios Anastasopoulos, David Chiang, Steven Bird, and Trevor Cohn. 2016. An attentional model for speech translation without transcription. In Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, pages 949-959.
- Alex Graves, Santiago Fernández, Faustino Gomez, and Jürgen Schmidhuber. 2006. Connectionist temporal classification: labelling unsegmented sequence data with recurrent neural networks. In Proceedings of the 23rd international conference on Machine learning, pages 369-376.
- Wei-Ning Hsu, Anuroop Sriram, Alexei Baevski, Tatiana Likhomanenko, Qiantong Xu, Vineel Pratap, Jacob Kahn, Ann Lee, Ronan Collobert, Gabriel Synnaeve, and Michael Auli. 2021. Robust wav2vec 2.0: Analyzing Domain Shift in Self-Supervised Pre-Training. In Proc. Interspeech 2021, pages 721-725.
- Ye Jia, Michelle Tadmor Ramanovich, Tal Remez, and Roi Pomerantz. 2022a. Translatotron 2: High-quality direct speech-to-speech translation with voice preservation. In Proceedings of the 39th International Conference on Machine Learning, volume 162 of Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, pages 10120-10134. PMLR.

643 651

arXiv:2201.03713.

965. IEEE.

tions.

25th edition. ethnologue.com.

8206. IEEE.

arXiv:2204.02492.

Ye Jia, Ron J. Weiss, Fadi Biadsy, Wolfgang Macherey, Melvin Johnson, Z. Chen, and Yonghui Wu. 2019.

Takatomo Kano, Sakriani Sakti, and Satoshi Nakamura.

2021. Transformer-based direct speech-to-speech

translation with transcoder. In 2021 IEEE Spoken

Language Technology Workshop (SLT), pages 958-

Ali Can Kocabiyikoglu, Laurent Besacier, and Olivier

Kraif. 2018. Augmenting librispeech with french

translations: A multimodal corpus for direct speech

translation evaluation. In Proceedings of the Eleventh

International Conference on Language Resources

Guillaume Lample, Alexis Conneau, Ludovic Denoyer,

and Marc'Aurelio Ranzato. 2018. Unsupervised machine translation using monolingual corpora only. In

International Conference on Learning Representa-

M. Paul Lewis, Garv F. Simon, and Charles D. Fen-

Naihan Li, Shujie Liu, Yanqing Liu, Sheng Zhao, and

Xian Li, Changhan Wang, Yun Tang, Chau Tran, Yuqing

Tang, Juan Pino, Alexei Baevski, Alexis Conneau,

and Michael Auli. 2021. Multilingual speech trans-

lation from efficient finetuning of pretrained models.

In Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the As-

sociation for Computational Linguistics and the 11th

International Joint Conference on Natural Language

Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 827–838,

Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Guan-Ting Lin, Chan-Jan Hsu, Da-Rong Liu, Hung-Yi

Lee, and Yu Tsao. 2022. Analyzing the robustness of

unsupervised speech recognition. In ICASSP 2022-

2022 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics,

Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), pages 8202-

Alexander H Liu, Wei-Ning Hsu, Michael Auli, and

Alexander H Liu, Cheng-I Jeff Lai, Wei-Ning Hsu,

synthesis. arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.02524.

Michael Auli, Alexei Baevskiv, and James Glass.

2022b. Simple and effective unsupervised speech

supervised speech recognition.

Alexei Baevski. 2022a. Towards end-to-end un-

Ming Liu. 2019. Neural speech synthesis with trans-

former network. Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 33(01):6706-6713.

nig. 2022. Ethnologue: Languages of the world,

Online version: http://www.

and Evaluation (LREC 2018).

to-sequence model. In INTERSPEECH.

Direct speech-to-speech translation with a sequence-

- 653

- 664
- 667
- 670
- 671 672 673

674 675 676

677

679

681

Ye Jia, Michelle Tadmor Ramanovich, Quan Wang, and Da-Rong Liu, Kuan-Yu Chen, Hung-Yi Lee, and Lin shan Lee. 2018. Completely unsupervised phoneme Heiga Zen. 2022b. Cvss corpus and massively multilingual speech-to-speech translation. arXiv preprint recognition by adversarially learning mapping relationships from audio embeddings. Proc. of Interspeech.

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

702

703

704

705

706

707

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

- Yinhan Liu, Jiatao Gu, Naman Goyal, Xian Li, Sergey Edunov, Marjan Ghazvininejad, Mike Lewis, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2020. Multilingual denoising pretraining for neural machine translation. Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics, 8:726-742.
- Mehrvar Mohri. 1997. Finite-state transducers in language and speech processing. Computational linguistics, 23(2):269-311.
- Junrui Ni, Liming Wang, Heting Gao, Kaizhi Qian, Yang Zhang, Shiyu Chang, and Mark Hasegawa-Johnson. 2022. Unsupervised text-to-speech synthesis by unsupervised automatic speech recognition. arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.15796.
- Jongseok Park, Kyubyong & Kim. 2019. g2pe. https://github.com/Kyubyong/g2p.
- Yi Ren, Jinglin Liu, Xu Tan, Chen Zhang, Tao Qin, Zhou Zhao, and Tie-Yan Liu. 2020. Simulspeech: End-to-end simultaneous speech to text translation. In Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 3787-3796.
- Setffen Schneider, Alexei Baevski, Ronan Collobert, and Michael Auli. 2019. wav2vec: Unsupervised pre-training for speech recognition. In Proc. of Interspeech.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. Advances in neural information processing systems, 30.
- Laura Cross Vila, Carlos Escolano, José AR Fonollosa, and Marta R Costa-Jussa. 2018. End-to-end speech translation with the transformer. In IberSPEECH, pages 60-63.
- Pascal Vincent, Hugo Larochelle, Isabelle Lajoie, Yoshua Bengio, Pierre-Antoine Manzagol, and Léon Bottou. 2010. Stacked denoising autoencoders: Learning useful representations in a deep network with a local denoising criterion. Journal of machine learning research, 11(12).
- Changhan Wang, Morgane Riviere, Ann Lee, Anne Wu, Chaitanya Talnikar, Daniel Haziza, Mary Williamson, Juan Pino, and Emmanuel Dupoux. 2021a. Voxpopuli: A large-scale multilingual speech corpus for representation learning, semi-supervised learning and interpretation. In Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 993–1003.

arXiv preprint

749 Changhan Wang, Yun Tang, Xutai Ma, Anne Wu, 750 Dmytro Okhonko, and Juan Pino. 2020. Fairseq 751 S2T: Fast speech-to-text modeling with fairseq. In 752 Proceedings of the 1st Conference of the Asia-Pacific 753 Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 10th International Joint Conference 754 on Natural Language Processing: System Demon-755 strations, pages 33-39, Suzhou, China. Association 757 for Computational Linguistics.

758

759

760 761

762

763

764 765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

- Changhan Wang, Anne Wu, Jiatao Gu, and Juan Pino. 2021b. Covost 2 and massively multilingual speech translation. In *Interspeech*, pages 2247–2251.
- Ron J Weiss, Jan Chorowski, Navdeep Jaitly, Yonghui Wu, and Zhifeng Chen. 2017. Sequence-to-sequence models can directly translate foreign speech. *Proc. Interspeech 2017*, pages 2625–2629.
- Lijun Wu, Juntao Li, Yue Wang, Qi Meng, Tao Qin, Wei Chen, Min Zhang, Tie-Yan Liu, et al. 2021. R-drop: Regularized dropout for neural networks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:10890– 10905.
- Chih-Kuan Yeh, Jianshu Chen, Chengzhu Yu, and Dong Yu. 2019. Unsupervised speech recognition via segmental empirical output distribution matching. In *Proc. of ICLR*.

A Appendix

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

786

787

788

A.1 Comparison of our CVSS-C supervised baseline to previous work

X-En direction	Fr	Es	Ru	Et	Lv	Avg.					
Evaluated by a pr	roprie	tary A	SR								
Jia et al. (2022b)	32.4	33.4	23.2	3.2	2.8	19.0					
Evaluated by an open-source ASR											
Ours	33.8	34.6	29.4	3.1	3.2	20.8					

Table 9: Multilingual supervised baselines on CVSS-C for translating 21 languages into English. We report test BLEU on ASR transcription of the translated speech.

For evaluation of CVSS-C models, we use an open-source English ASR model⁴ to transcribe translated speech for BLEU calculation. The previous work (Jia et al., 2022b), however, used transcription from a proprietary ASR model which we do not have access to. As a result, BLEU numbers reported for our model and the previous work are not directly comparable, but the small difference suggests that the two models perform roughly similarly.

A.2 Data Overview for Supervised Learning and Unsupervised Learning

	Fr-En	Es-En	Ru-En	Et-En	Lv-En
Supervised learnin					
Src. paired speech	264	113	16	3	2
Src. paired text	207K	79K	12K	1.8K	2.3K
Tgt. paired speech	174	70	13	3	1
Tgt. paired text	207K	79K	12K	1.8K	2.3K
Unsupervised lear	ning				
Src. speech	23K	21K	89K	43K	28K
Src. text	428M	379M	849M	46M	68M
Tgt. speech	29	29	29	29	29
Tgt. text	2.1B	2.1B	2.1B	2.1B	2.1B
	En-Es	En-Ru	En-Fr		
Supervised learnin	ıg				
Src. paired speech	504	489	100		
Src. paired text	259K	259K	47K		
Tgt. paired text	259K	259K	47K		
Unsupervised lear	ning				
Src. speech	63K	63K	63K		
Src. text	2.1B	2.1B	2.1B		
Tgt. text	379M	849M	428M		

Table 10: Overview of the speech data (hours) and text data (sentences) used in supervised learning and unsupervised learning.

Table 10 provides an overview for the speech789and text data used in supervised learning and unsupervised learning.790791791

⁴https://github.com/facebookresearch/fairseq/tree/main/ examples/wav2vec ("Wav2Vec 2.0 Large (LV-60) + Self Training")