NOISE RE-SAMPLING FOR HIGH-FIDELITY IMAGE GENERATION

Anonymous authors

003

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

023

025

026

027

028 029

031

Paper under double-blind review

Abstract

Latent diffusion models (LDMs) have emerged as powerful tools for generating diverse and realistic samples across domains. However, their efficacy in capturing intricate details and small-scale objects remains a challenge. Our investigation reveals that VAE compression induces errors in the latent space and limits the generation quality. Furthermore, LDMs trained on fixed-resolution images struggle to produce high-resolution outputs without distortions, making simple resolution increases ineffective. In this paper, we propose a novel **noise re-sampling** strategy that enables multi-scale generation of LDMs, allowing LDMs to "zoom in" and improve generation quality of local regions. By increasing the sampling rates from the noise perspective in the latent space, we effectively bypass the constraints imposed by VAE compression, thus preserving crucial high-frequency information. Our approach, a simple yet effective plugin for current LDMs, enhances the quality of image generation in local regions while maintaining overall structural consistency and providing fine-grained control over the scale of generation in latent diffusion models. Through extensive experimentation and evaluation, we demonstrate the efficacy of our method in enhancing the generation quality across various LDM architectures. Our approach surpasses existing methods, including stable diffusion (SD) models, SD-based super-resolution methods and high-resolution adaptation methods, in generating high-fidelity samples of complex objects.

030 1 INTRODUCTION

032 In recent years, the advance of latent diffusion models (LDMs) (Ho et al., 2020b; Rombach et al., 033 2022; Diffusion, 2022; Podell et al., 2023; Wu et al., 2023; Singer et al., 2022) has marked a sig-034 nificant leap in the field of generative modeling, offering a new paradigm for creating diverse and lifelike samples across various domains. These models utilize a latent space, compressed by Varia-035 tional Autoencoders (VAEs) (Kingma & Welling, 2013), to efficiently generate detailed and coherent 036 images. Despite their considerable capabilities, LDMs frequently encounter challenges in accurately 037 rendering complex objects such as hands, faces, and textual elements (Lu et al., 2023; Guo et al., 2025). This deficiency primarily stems from the compression errors associated with the latent space where VAEs tend to disproportionately affect high-frequency details—details that are crucial for the 040 realism and perceptual quality of the generated samples. While intuitively, increasing the resolution 041 of LDMs with higher sampling rates might seem like a solution, LDMs trained on fixed-resolution 042 images (Diffusion, 2022; Podell et al., 2023; Chai et al., 2022) struggle to generate high-resolution 043 outputs without introducing distortions (Zheng et al., 2023; He et al., 2024; Guo et al., 2025), making 044 simple resolution increases ineffective.

045 Efforts have been made to enhance LDMs for generating high-resolution content to improve visual 046 details (Podell et al., 2023; Guo et al., 2025; Zheng et al., 2023; He et al., 2024; Jin et al., 2023; 047 Ho et al., 2022). These methods, which include both fine-tuning (Podell et al., 2023; Zheng et al., 048 2023; Ho et al., 2022; Guo et al., 2025) and tuning-free (He et al., 2024; Jin et al., 2023; Si et al., 2023) approaches, adapt LDMs trained at a fixed resolution to operate at higher or lower resolutions. However, these methods often necessitate precise adjustments of parameters like the dilated stride 051 (He et al., 2024) and additional steps (Guo et al., 2025). Such calibration is necessary, without which potentially leads to inconsistencies in the quality of the generated images. Fine-tuning or adaptor-052 based (Guo et al., 2025; Hu et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2023) methods requires extensive high quality high resolution data and incurs significant computational expenses due to exponentially increased



(a) SD3 generated figure (b) Zoomed in Patches

(a) SD3 generated figure

(b) Zoomed in Patches

Figure 1: Examples of text-to-image generation results using SD 3 (Esser et al., 2024a). Zoomedin patches are provided for clearer examination of details. When generating objects of the same
category (e.g., cats, human faces), the scale of the objects significantly influences generation quality.
Large-scale regions (highlighted in blue) exhibit rich details and accurate structures, while smallscale regions (highlighted in yellow and green) suffer from poor detail and structural inaccuracies
(marked by red circles).

075 076

input size. Nevertheless, both tuning-free and fine-tuning high-resolution adaptation methods necessitate LDMs to produce images at resolutions not included in the pre-training, thereby limiting
the generative capability of LDMs and potentially leading to inconsistent output distribution to original LDMs. Moreover, these methods inherently suffers from the inability of LDMs at reproducing
intricate details or small-scale objects Guo et al. (2025).

082 In this paper, we focus on improving the generation quality of LDMs at complex details from a 083 frequency domain perspective. Our findings reveal that the quality of generated objects in LDMs is strongly influenced by their size relative to the overall image resolution, i.e. the scale of objects. 084 Large-scale objects within the image benefit from more detailed reconstruction, while small-scale 085 objects, confined to limited regions, are often poorly reproduced, as demonstrated in Figure 1. Additionally, we observe that most artifacts associated with small-scale objects or complex details are 087 localized in the high-frequency band (Lin et al., 2023; Kingma et al., 2019). The use of Variational 088 Autoencoders (VAEs), commonly employed in LDMs to compress data into a more manageable la-089 tent space, exacerbates this issue by diminishing fidelity in these high-frequency components. These components are crucial for capturing fine textures and subtle contours and are particularly impor-091 tant for small-scale objects. As a result, the loss of high-frequency details leads to images that are 092 overall structurally accurate yet lacking sharpness and textural nuances or with noticeable artifacts on small-scale objects. Moreover, because LDMs operate exclusively in the latent space, mitigating this degradation remains challenging, even with the availability of higher-quality training data for 094 the denoising model. Consequently, the generation quality of LDMs is directly impacted. 095

096 To address the above issues, we propose an novel Noise Re-sampling approach to enable the multi-097 scale generation ability of LDMs. By adjusting sampling rates at local regions, that are with complex 098 details or contains small-scale objects, from the noise perspective, our method improves generation 099 quality and retores high-frequency details in these regions, harnessing the intrinsic generative capacity of LDMs at their native resolution. As a simple yet effective plugin to current LDMs, this 100 approach allow us to bypass the constraints widely imposed by VAE compression and restore high-101 frequency information pivotal in achieving high-fidelity image synthesis. Furthermore, to maintain 102 consistency between the re-sampled local regions and the original image while increasing the effec-103 tive sampling rate, we introduce a VAE-based upscaling method. This method upscales the latents to 104 serve as an accurate guided for the re-sampling process, avoiding the issues associated with flawed 105 direct latent-space interpolation. 106

107 Extension experiments are conducted for comprehensive comparison with existing methods, including stable diffusion models, SD-based super-resolution methods and high-resolution adaptation



Figure 2: Examples of text-to-image generation results of SD (Diffusion, 2022), StableSR (Wang et al., 2023) and proposed Noise Re-sampling approach. Zooming in for better detail. For each set of images, the top-left most image is the original SD generated image, which contains noticeable artifacts. StableSR, while enhances the sharpness of local patches, it struggles to recover intricate details (highlighted in red). In comparison, our method not only improves the details of local regions but also effectively corrects distortions and artifacts.

134

135

126

127

128

129

130

approaches. Through rigorous experimentation and qualitative assessments, we demonstrate the superiority of our method in producing samples that closely mimic the complexity and detail of their real-world counterparts.

136 137 138

2 RELATED WORK

139 140

141 Latent diffusion models. Latent Diffusion Models (LDMs) (Rombach et al., 2022; Diffusion, 2022; 142 Podell et al., 2023) represent a significant advancement in the field of generative models, particularly in the domain of image generation. Introduced as an efficient alternative to traditional diffusion 143 models, LDMs operate in a compressed latent space rather than the pixel space, drastically reducing 144 computational demands and enabling faster model training and sampling. This approach leverages 145 an Variantional Autoencoder (VAE) (Kingma & Welling, 2013; Oord et al., 2017) to map high-146 dimensional data into a lower-dimensional latent space. The diffusion process is then applied within 147 this latent space, preserving the ability to generate high-quality images while enhancing the model's 148 efficiency. LDMs have demonstrated remarkable performance in tasks such as image synthesis, 149 super-resolution, and conditional image generation, establishing them as a pivotal development in 150 generative deep learning.

151 Any resolution training. While existing latent diffusion methods (Diffusion, 2022; Podell et al., 152 2023) excel in image synthesis, they struggle to generate high-resolution images due to the com-153 plexities of high-dimensional data and the scarcity of high-quality datasets. The primary approaches 154 (Podell et al., 2023; Ho et al., 2022; Teng et al., 2023) include cascaded models that initially produce 155 low-resolution images and then incrementally upscale them to higher resolutions. Alternatively, 156 end-to-end models (Podell et al., 2023; Hoogeboom et al., 2023) directly create high-resolution im-157 ages but require extensive training and substantial datasets. Other strategies involve fine-tuning (Hu 158 et al., 2021), where only part of the model's parameters are adjusted to adapt to higher resolutions, 159 necessitating numerous tuning steps. Additionally, some recent methods (He et al., 2024; Si et al., 2023) propose training-free approaches, employing techniques like dilated convolution to adjust 160 convolutional networks for different resolutions. However, these methods can introduce semantic 161 inconsistencies and visual artifacts.

162 **Super-Resolution** Super-resolution techniques employ generative models to create high-resolution 163 images by exploiting the self-similarity attributes of images, refining the output from these models 164 (Wang et al., 2023; Sun et al., 2023; Yue et al., 2024). These techniques generally tackle a localized, 165 conditional challenge, relying heavily on the structure provided by the initial low-resolution input. 166 Consequently, when applied to synthetic data from Latent Diffusion Models (LDMs), which may contain distorted structural information, super-resolution methods might struggle to correct these 167 errors effectively. Our method takes a different approach by starting in the noise space and applying 168 a re-sampling method to increase sampling rates in complex local regions. This technique helps recover the high-frequency details and correct distorted structural information that were originally 170 lost, offering a robust solution to the limitations of traditional super-resolution methods. 171

172 173

174

180

181

182

183 184 185

186

187 188 189

190

191 192 193

194

195 196

197

199

3 PRELIMINARY

Diffusion models (Ho et al., 2020a; Rombach et al., 2022; Diffusion, 2022; Podell et al., 2023) are generative models that simulate a process where data gradually transitions into Gaussian noise and then learns to reverse this process to generate new data. This method has shown exceptional capabilities in generating high-quality images, audio, and text. In DDPM (Ho et al., 2020a), the forward process transforms data x into noise through a series of steps, each adding Gaussian noise:

$$q(x_{t+1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t+1}; \sqrt{1 - \beta_t} x_t, \beta_t I) \tag{1}$$

where β_t are predetermined noise levels. The reverse process then attempts to reconstruct the original data from this noise, optimized via a denoising network μ_{θ} parameterized by θ :

$$p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \sigma_t^2 I)$$

$$\tag{2}$$

VAEs (Kingma et al., 2019) compress data x into a latent representation z and then reconstruct x from z. The encoder parameterized by ϕ_E learns the distribution parameters:

$$q_{\phi_E}(z|x) = \mathcal{N}(z; \mu_{\phi_E}(x), \sigma_{\phi_E}(x)^2 I) \tag{3}$$

The decoder, parameterized by ϕ_D rebuilds x from z aiming to minimize the reconstruction error and the KL divergence between the learned latent distribution and the prior:

$$p_{\phi_D}(x|z) = \mathcal{N}(x; \mu_{\phi_D}(z), \sigma_{\phi_D}(z)^2 I) \tag{4}$$

Together, VAEs and Diffusion models offer an efficient framework for generating detailed, realistic samples by effectively managing the latent space and refining the generation process.

4 LATENT SPACE NOISE RE-SAMPLING

4.1 PROBLEM FORMULATION

200 Latent Diffusion Models (LDMs) (Ho et al., 2020b; Diffusion, 2022; Rombach et al., 2022) denoise 201 images by gradually refining noise samples drawn from a Gaussian distribution. However, one 202 crucial aspect, the sampling rate of the noise samples, has been largely overlooked, which directly 203 decides how many pixels are allocated to an object and affects the quality of the generated object in 204 image. In this work, we conceptualize each image as a discrete sampling from a bounded, continuous 205 scene, structured within a normalized coordinate domain of $[0, 1]^2$. Under this formulation, current 206 LDMs (Ho et al., 2020b; Diffusion, 2022; Podell et al., 2023) typically produces discrete images at 207 a limited sampling rate $1/\Delta$, where Δ denotes the spatial distance between pixels. The introduction of VAEs, which compress image data into compact latent codes for efficiency and scalability, further 208 reduces the sampling rate, leading to distorted and low-quality results, as shown in Figure 3. 209

To address these problems, we propose a novel **Noise Re-sampling** approach, which adjusts sampling rate at local regions with complex details or small-scale objects and bypass the negative impact imposed by VAE compression. The overall pipeline begins with the generation of a global image using the standard LDM denoising process as shown in Figure 4 (b). Local regions requiring enhancement are identified, and their noise patches are re-sampled from the same noise space at an increased sampling rate to restore fine-grained details. These high-resolution noise patches are then denoised, decoded into image space and seamlessly merged back into the global image. To ensure



Figure 3: Examples of ground truth and VAE reconstructed image from Laion5B (Schuhmann et al., 228 2022) (left). Frequency domain analysis of VAE reconstruction error rates at different resolutions on 229 Laion5B (right) with (c) 4 channel (VAE-4) and (d) 16 channel latent space (VAE-16), illustrating 230 the degradation of high-frequency components in VAE reconstruction, despite the channel size. In 231 addition, with increased resolution (i.e., sampling rate), the overall recontruction error is substan-232 tially reduced. For example, for frequency components with $f = 1/8\Delta_s$, the reconstruction error for 233 input of resolution 1024^2 is much lower than 512^2 and 256^2 .

245

247

248

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257 258

259 260

227

consistency and minimize artifacts, upsampling is performed in the image space using a VAE-based 236 process before being compressed back into the latent space. Finally, we can obtained an image with 237 enhanced local details while maintaining global coherence 238

239 In Sec. 4.2, we analyze VAEs from a frequency perspective and demonstrate their adverse effect 240 on the generation quality of LDMs. In Sec. 4.3, we reformulate the LDMs pipeline from a signal processing viewpoint and introduce our Noise Re-sampling approach. In Sec. 4.4, we present a 241 VAE-augmented upscaling technique that shifts the upsampling operation from the latent space to 242 the image space, preventing artifacts and blurring in the output and providing an accurate guide for 243 Noise Re-sampling. 244

4.2 VAES ARE LOSSY 246

In current LDMs, Variational Auto-Encoders (VAEs) are commonly integrated for efficiency, compressing image data into compact latent representations. However, this compression is inherently 249 lossy, particularly affecting high-frequency components critical for fine details, as shown in Figure 3. The decoder attempts to reconstruct the original image from the latent representation but cannot fully recover these lost details, leading to diminished generation quality. Since LDMs operate solely in the latent space, addressing this issue is challenging, even with high-quality training data for the denoising model, making it a significant bottleneck for achieving high-fidelity results.

Quantifying Error in VAEs. To quantify the error of the VAE reconstruction process, we use realworld data x_n and feed them into the VAE encoder-decoder pipeline to obtained the reconstructed sample \hat{x}_n , and measure the error in the frequency domain. The error at frequency f_i is denoted as:

$$\mathcal{E}(f = f_i) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} ||\mathcal{F}_{f=f_i}(x_n) - \mathcal{F}_{f=f_i}(\hat{x}_n)||_2^2$$
(5)

where \mathcal{F} represents the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) (Gao et al., 2021; Lin et al., 2023) and N 261 is the total number of samples. 262

263 As shown in the Figure 3 (c) and (d), when analyzing the reconstructed images in the frequency 264 domain, it becomes evident that while the decoder attempts to recover much of the lost informa-265 tion, it struggles significantly with the high-frequency components (the intricate details), leading 266 to distorted faces and texts (Figure 3 (b)). Consistent to our analysis, the components with higher 267 frequencies are likely to have larger error (Figure 3). The loss of high-frequency details results in images that appear distorted and corrupted than the original scene, highlighting a fundamental lim-268 itation in the current VAE architecture. Furthermore, as the resolution increases, the overall error 269 in the high-frequency bands decreases significantly, demonstrating the effectiveness of higher sam-

299

300

301

302

303 304

305

306 307

308



270 pling rates. This observation motivates us to propose the noise re-sampling approach to bypass the constraint of VAE compression.

Figure 4: Illustration of proposed Noise Re-sampling Method. The overall pipeline is demonstrated in (a). Noise are initially generated within a bounded continuous scene, with normalized coordinate of $[0,1]^2$. Global noise Z_t^{Δ} is first sampled from continuous noise with sample rate $1/\Delta$ and denoised to serve as reference. (b) The proposed Noise Re-sampling samples local patches r of high-sampling rate noise $Z_{t,\mathbf{r}}^{\Delta_s}$, combined with local reference cropped from $Z_{0,\mathbf{r}}^{\Delta_s}$ to generate detailed sub-regions without altering the global image resolution. (c) VAE-based upsampling upsamples local reference patches in the image space to maintain the fidelity while matching the resolution of re-sampled noise, avoiding flawed latent-space upsampling.

4.3 NOISE RE-SAMPLING

309 In LDMs, the quality of generated objects is heavily influenced by their size relative to the im-310 age (Zheng et al., 2023). Larger objects benefit from more allocated pixels, enabling more de-311 tailed reconstruction, whereas smaller objects suffer from reduced resolution. Such phenomenon 312 coins with the concept of sampling rate. The sampling rate, typically defined as the number of 313 pixels per unit area, quantifies how well an object or scene is represented in an image. Accord-314 ing to the Nyquist-Shannon sampling theorem, high-frequency details are inherently limited by the sampling rate. Thus, when the sampling rate is low-meaning fewer pixels are allocated to an 315 object-capturing its finer details becomes increasingly difficult. Compounding this issue, current 316 LDMs operate extensively within the compressed latent space of VAEs to enhance computational 317 efficiency, which further reduces the effective sampling rate. 318

319 Given the strong correlation between the reconstruction error in VAEs and the frequency of compo-320 nents, our objective is to minimize this error through a Re-sampling approach by increasing sampling 321 rate at complex local regions. Specifically, since LDMs denoise noise samples to generate images without altering their resolution, the sampling rate of the resulting image is directly determined by 322 the sampling rate of the noise sample. Hence, our re-sampling approach begins within the noise 323 space itself.

Noise Space from Signal Processing View. Assume $Z_t(x, y)$ to be an infinite, continuous 2-D signal in the noise space at timestep t, as shown in Figure 4 (b), which, after denoising, represents a real continuous scene. However, in practice, we can not directly work on continuous signals. Therefore, current LDMs often starts with a bounded, discrete noise sample Z_t^{Δ} with sampling rate $1/\Delta$. We reformulate the process of initial noise sampling in the noise space as a bounded discretization process of $Z_t(x, y)$:

$$Z_t^{\Delta}[n,m] = Z_t(n\Delta, m\Delta), n \in [0,H], m \in [0,W]$$
(6)

331 Under such formulation, complex objects (e.g., hands, faces and etc.) are denoised with same sam-332 pling rate as other regions, such as background. As a result, these objects are poorly reproduced 333 and has distorted details. To faithfully reconstructs complex regions, we can increase the sampling 334 rate of them during the denoising process and obtain final clean latent Z_0^{Δ} with better detail. Con-335 sequently, the high frequency component associated with these intricate details can be recovered. 336 However, when the resolution exceeds the training resolution of LDMs, the results are commonly 337 observed with issues such as pattern repetition, distorted object structure (Si et al., 2023; He et al., 338 2024), causing simple increase in sampling rate ineffective. To tackle this problem, we propose the 339 noise re-sampling approach that adaptively increases sampling rates of local regions without exceeding the native training resolution of LDMs, thereby fully utilizing the generative power of LDMs 340 and enhancing generation quality. 341

Noise Re-Sampling. As shown in Figure 4 (b), To fully exploit the generation ability of LDMs at their native resolution while enabling multi-scale generation ability, we increase sampling rate at local regions. Specifically, we re-sample noise from the continuous noise space for the local region **r** with size 1/s in normalized coordinates $[0, 1]^2$, with bottom-left point (l_x, l_y) .

$$Z_{t,\mathbf{r}}^{\Delta_s}[n,m] = Z_t^{\Delta_s}(n\frac{\Delta}{s} + l_x, m\frac{\Delta}{s} + l_y), n \in [0,H], m \in [0,W]$$

$$\tag{7}$$

where $\Delta_s = \Delta/s$ denotes the increased sampling rate at local region **r**. However, directly denoising the patch $Z_t^{\Delta_s}$ may lead to inconsistencies between local and global images, primarily due to the inability of LDMs to "zoom in" (Zhang et al., 2023b).

Local Reference. To address this challenge and maintain consistency between re-sampled patches and global reference without extensive re-training, we propose an alternative approach. We first crop the corresponding local region **r** from Z_0^{Δ} and upscale it as $Z_{0,\mathbf{r}}^{\Delta}$ to serve as a reference before denoising $Z_{t,\mathbf{r}}^{\Delta s}$. Specifically, we diffuse the cropped region back into the noise space (the forward process of DDPM) (Ho et al., 2020a) using the following equation:

$$\tilde{Z}_{t,\mathbf{r}}^{\Delta_s} = \sqrt{\alpha_t} \mathcal{U}(Z_{0,\mathbf{r}}^{\Delta}) + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \epsilon, \epsilon = Z_{t,\mathbf{r}}^{\Delta_s} \in \mathcal{N}(0,1)$$
(8)

where, \mathcal{U} denotes the upsampling operation to match the resolution between re-sampled noise $Z_{t,\mathbf{r}}^{\Delta_s}$ and local reference $Z_{0,\mathbf{r}}^{\Delta}$. To further ensure consistency (Mokady et al., 2023), t is set to an intermediate step N.

362 The final clean local re-sampled latent is obtained by denoising $\tilde{Z}_{t,\mathbf{r}}^{\Delta_s}$ to $\tilde{Z}_{0,\mathbf{r}}^{\Delta_s}$ using Eq. 2. As a result, 363 the sampling rate is effectively increases by a factor of s within the selected local regions. The high 364 frequency components can be accurately generated within this local area. This enhancement allows 365 for the preservation of more detailed information and faithful reconstruction of small-scale objects. 366 Moreover, with this more detailed and accurate information available, the VAE decoder is better 367 equipped to decode the high-sample-rate clean latent code with improved precision and quality. The 368 final re-sampled clean latent code can be directly paste back to the corresponding local region of the original output after decoding. 369

Overall, this approach allows us to sample noise at a finer granularity, thereby expanding the effective frequency spectrum that our latent diffusion models can utilize. By enhancing the sampling rate at which noise is sampled, our models are better equipped to reconstruct the intricate details that contribute to the visual fidelity of the generated images.

374

376

330

346 347

357 358

375 4.4 VAE-BASED UPSCALING

As previously noted, when re-sampling a local region in proposed Noise Re-sampling approach, it is essential to have a corresponding crop in the low-quality global image as a reference. This

(b) Latent space upscaling



(a) Original latent

385 386

387

388

389

390

391

392

Figure 5: Visualization of reconstructed images from latent codes under different upsampling methods. (a) original latent code. (b) results of direct latent space upsampling (decoded to image space), which has distorted and blurry semantic content. (c) the VAE-based upsampling process, preserving better detail and semantic consistency to original latent. (d) illustrates noise re-sampling using direct latent space upsampled latent code as reference, resulting in distortion and deviation from the original reference. (e) highlights our method with VAE-based upsampling, which significantly improves image quality and consistency for both the upsampled reference latent and final re-sampled clean latent.

(c) VAE-based upscaling

(d) Ours with latent upscaling

(e) Ours with VAE-based upscaling

393 394

reference ensures that the denoising process aligns with the overall image, preventing deviations 396 that could lead to inconsistencies across the global image. However, it's important to note that direct 397 upsampling in the latent space does not function equivalently to upsampling operations in the image space. In the latent space, upsampling can distort the semantic content encoded within the latent 398 vectors, leading to artifacts in the resulting images as shown in Figure 5 (b). To mitigate this issue, 399 we propose a VAE-based upsampling method where the latent vectors are decoded back to the image 400 space for upsampling. 401

402 As shown in Figure 4 (c), before upscaling, we employ the Variational Autoencoder (VAE) de-403 coder to project latent vectors back into image space. The VAE decoder reconstructs compressed latent patch to image space, including its high-frequency components. This reconstruction is not a 404 straightforward interpolation rather, it generates a new, higher-resolution image with reconstructed 405 high frequency components based on its learned distribution of image features. With latent codes 406 decoded into the image space, we upsample the decoded image by s to match the increased sampling 407 rate at local region in proposed Noise Re-sampling, demonstrated in Figure 5 (c). 408

409 Once the VAE has provided this initial, imperfect high-resolution output, we employ the proposed Noise Re-sampling process. This subsequent phase is tailored to specifically target and refine the 410 inaccuracies in the high-frequency details originally presented in the low-sampling-rate latent code 411 as well as potential artifacts introduced by the VAE, as shown in Figure 5 (e). Through noise re-412 sampling, this process iteratively adjusts and sharpens these details, enhancing the overall fidelity of 413 the image while preserving structural consistency. 414

415

417

416 5 **EXPERIMENTS**

418 5.1 IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

419 The proposed method is implemented using PyTorch and evaluated on 8 NVIDIA V100 GPUs. We 420 utilize the base models SD 1.5, SD 2.0 (Diffusion, 2022) and SD 3 (Esser et al., 2024a), with all 421 parameters frozen. The inference resolutions are 512^2 , 768^2 and 1024^2 for SD 1.5, SD 2.0, SD 422 3, respectively. Experiments on human-centric image generation adopt ControlNet (Zhang et al., 423 2023a) with DWpose (Yang et al., 2023) estimated poses. Across experiments, we adopt the DDIM 424 (Song et al., 2020) sampling scheduler with 50 steps. N is set to be half of the total denoising steps 425 to balance between quality and consistency. For text-to-image tasks, the positions of local regions 426 are randomly selected and kept consistent across methods. As for human-centric image generation, 427 the local regions are centered at coordinates of hands or faces based on DWpose extracted points. 428 We evaluated two scenarios: global image refinement and local region generation quality. The global 429 scenario assessed how our method enhances overall quality by refining local regions with metrics FID_a and KID_a following (He et al., 2024; Parmar et al., 2022). The local scenario focused solely 430 on the quality of local patches, measuring the model's ability to "zoom in" effectively with FID_l and 431 KID_l (He et al., 2024; Parmar et al., 2022). Specifically, we take random patches from original LDM generated images and apply each method to enhance the local image quality. In addition to FID and KID, we adopt CMMD (Jayasumana et al., 2024) to measure the re-sampled local regions, which offers a more robust and reliable assessment of image quality of current text-to-image models.

5.2 EVALUATION ON TEXT PROMPT IMAGE GENERATION

Table 1: Evaluation results on SD 1.5 and SD 2.0 (Rombach et al., 2022; Diffusion, 2022). Comparison of overall and local image quality across methods measured with FID \downarrow , KID \downarrow (Parmar et al., 2022) and CMMD \downarrow (Jayasumana et al., 2024). Our method demonstrates competitive performance, particularly in enhancing local details.

-	Model	SD 1.5				SD 2.0					
-	Method	FID_g	FID_l	KID_g	KID_l	CMMD	FID_g	FID_l	KID_g	KID_l	CMMD
-	SD	10.59	15.60	0.0019	0.0044	0.640	9.66	14.19	0.0020	0.0033	0.410
	Stable SR	11.56	16.70	0.0034	0.0052	0.613	15.75	17.31	0.0063	0.0086	0.680
	MultiDiff	36.68	35.54	0.0143	0.0127	0.746	32.42	31.35	0.0140	0.0121	0.607
	ScaleCrafter	17.42	21.26	0.0035	0.0048	0.509	18.73	23.19	0.0087	0.0049	0.474
	Ours	10.40	14.03	0.0018	0.0035	0.464	9.56	13.94	0.0019	0.0032	0.293

452 Dataset and Evaluation. Laion-5B (Schuhmann et al., 2022) is adopted for evaluation of text-to 453 image generation which contains 5 billion images with captions. 20k images are randomly selected along with captions as text prompt.

Comparison to super-resolution method. We compared our method to StableSR, a superresolution baseline by Wang et al. (2023) (Wang et al., 2023). While StableSR improves sharpness and reduces aliasing, it fails to correct SD-induced distortions (Figure 2). StableSR's fine-tuning with high-resolution data leads to results deviating from the original SD distribution with limited performance when evaluated against training data of SD. In contrast, our training-free approach is adaptable to various LDMs with minimal cost. Generating at native resolution, our method ensures consistency with the original SD distribution. As shown in Table 1, our method surpasses StableSR in enhancing local patch quality while maintaining overall consistency.

Comparison to high-resolution adaptation method. Our method differs from ScaleCrafter (He et al., 2024), which heavily relies on hyper-parameter adjustments for higher resolutions, often re-quiring careful tuning to avoid artifacts. Generated at non-native resolution of LDMs, the results potentially deviates from the original SD output distribution. In contrast, our method utilizes noise re-sampling directly in the latent space at native resolution of LDMs. In addition, compared to patch-based method MultiDiff Bar-Tal et al. (2023), our approach exhibits superior ability at en-hancing local details, whereas MultiDiff merges patches without improving patch-wise generation quality. Experiment results in Table 1 show our method outperforms ScaleCrafter and MultiDiff in detail enhancement and overall image quality.

5.3 EVALUATION ON HUMAN-CENTRIC IMAGE GENERATION

474 Dataset and Evaluation The UBC-Fashion validation dataset is employed for evaluations in human475 centric image generation tasks. This dataset consists of 38,969 video frames featuring human models
476 in various poses. Using DWpose (Yang et al., 2023), we extract pose images from these frames to
477 serve as conditions for ControlNet (Zhang et al., 2023a). Text prompts are selected from the Laion478 Human datasets (Ju et al., 2023a;b), providing a contextual basis.

479 Comparison to baseline. Our approach was compared to SD baseline with ControlNet (Zhang et al., 2023a), focusing primarily on the generation of detailed and realistic images of human subjects, particularly in challenging areas such as faces and hands. The results shown in Table 2 confirmed that our method could maintain high fidelity in detail while enhancing specific regions of interest, such as faces and hands, without compromising the overall structural integrity of the images. When evaluated against Laion-5B samples, our approach cannot match the performance of baseline primarily due to parsity of human-centric images in Laion-5B samples. Performance on UBC-fashion justifies our analysis.



Figure 6: Visual quality comparisons between SD 1.5 + Controlnet (Zhang et al., 2023a) and Ours.

Table 2: Evaluation results for human-centric image generation on hands and faces with controlnet (Zhang et al., 2023a). (o* denotes results evaluated on Laion-5B and UBCfasion, repectively.)

	Fa	ces	Hands			
Method	FID_l	KID_l	FID _l	KID_l		
SD° Ours°	109.57 107.98	0.0607 0.0595	105.04 105.89	0.0549 0.0569		
SD* Ours*	162.17 154.92	0.124 0.119	138.04 130.69	0.0901 0.0890		

Table 3: Evaluation results on SD 3 with Laion-
5B as reference. The results are consistent to per-
formance on SD 1.5 and SD 2, demonstrating the
effectiveness of our method across architectures.

Method	FID_g	FID_l	KID_g	KID_l	CMMD
SD 3	15.46	25.94	0.00384	0.00595	0.221
Ours	15.15	24.14	0.00391	0.00503	0.157

6 LIMITATIONS

Our proposed noise re-sampling technique effectively enhances sampling rates and achieves highfidelity image generation across various scales. However, it encounters limitations in complex interaction scenarios, such as hands engaging with objects. This limitation is rooted in the inherent constraints of latent diffusion models (LDMs), which lack the capability to fully understand complex scenes. Consequently, even with enhanced sampling rates, our method while effective at improving perceptual quality of local patches, can not address the semantic complexities. This highlights the need for approaches that can grasp the dynamics of complex object interactions.

530 531 532

533

506

507 508

509

510

511

512

522

523 524

525

526

527

528

529

7 CONCLUSION

This study has explored the enhancement of latent diffusion models (LDMs) through a novel noise re-sampling strategy, significantly improving the generation quality across different scales. By focusing on the frequency domain and adjusting sampling rates in latent space, our method effectively circumvents the constraints imposed by VAE compression, thereby preserving and enhancing highfrequency details essential for realistic image synthesis. Extensive evaluations demonstrate that our approach outperforms existing methods, including stable diffusion models and super-resolution techniques, particularly in generating detailed and high-fidelity images of complex objects.

540 REFERENCES

554

- 542 Omer Bar-Tal, Lior Yariv, Yaron Lipman, and Tali Dekel. Multidiffusion: Fusing diffusion paths for
 543 controlled image generation. 2023.
- Lucy Chai, Michael Gharbi, Eli Shechtman, Phillip Isola, and Richard Zhang. Any-resolution training for high-resolution image synthesis. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, 2022.
- 547 Stable Diffusion. Stable diffusion 2-1 base. https://huggingface.co/stabilityai/
 548 stable-diffusion-2-1-base/blob/main/v2-1_512-ema-pruned.ckpt,
 549 2022.
- Patrick Esser, Sumith Kulal, Andreas Blattmann, Rahim Entezari, Jonas Müller, Harry Saini, Yam Levi, Dominik Lorenz, Axel Sauer, Frederic Boesel, et al. Scaling rectified flow transformers for high-resolution image synthesis. In *Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2024a.
- Patrick Esser, Sumith Kulal, Andreas Blattmann, Rahim Entezari, Jonas Müller, Harry Saini, Yam Levi, Dominik Lorenz, Axel Sauer, Frederic Boesel, Dustin Podell, Tim Dockhorn, Zion English, Kyle Lacey, Alex Goodwin, Yannik Marek, and Robin Rombach. Scaling rectified flow transformers for high-resolution image synthesis, 2024b. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.03206.
- Ge Gao, Pei You, Rong Pan, Shunyuan Han, Yuanyuan Zhang, Yuchao Dai, and Ho-Jun Lee. Neural image compression via attentional multi-scale back projection and frequency decomposition.
 2021 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), pp. 14657–14666, 2021.
 URL https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:244462550.
- Lanqing Guo, Yingqing He, Haoxin Chen, Menghan Xia, Xiaodong Cun, Yufei Wang, Siyu Huang,
 Yong Zhang, Xintao Wang, Qifeng Chen, Ying Shan, and Bihan Wen. Make a cheap scaling:
 A self-cascade diffusion model for higher-resolution adaptation. In *European Conference of Computer Vision (ECCV 2024)*, pp. 39–55, Cham, 2025. Springer Nature Switzerland. ISBN 978-3-031-72764-1.
- Yingqing He, Shaoshu Yang, Haoxin Chen, Xiaodong Cun, Menghan Xia, Yong Zhang, Xintao Wang, Ran He, Qifeng Chen, and Ying Shan. Scalecrafter: Tuning-free higher-resolution visual generation with diffusion models. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024.
- Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. Advances in
 Neural Information Processing Systems, 33:6840–6851, 2020a.
- Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. Advances in neural information processing systems, 33:6840–6851, 2020b.
- Jonathan Ho, Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, David J Fleet, Mohammad Norouzi, and Tim Sali mans. Cascaded diffusion models for high fidelity image generation. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 23(1):2249–2281, 2022.
- Emiel Hoogeboom, Jonathan Heek, and Tim Salimans. simple diffusion: End-to-end diffusion for high resolution images. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.11093*, 2023.
- Edward J Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang,
 and Weizhu Chen. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09685*, 2021.
- Sadeep Jayasumana, Srikumar Ramalingam, Andreas Veit, Daniel Glasner, Ayan Chakrabarti, and Sanjiv Kumar. Rethinking fid: Towards a better evaluation metric for image generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 9307– 9315, 2024.
- 593 Zhiyu Jin, Xuli Shen, Bin Li, and Xiangyang Xue. Training-free diffusion model adaptation for variable-sized text-to-image synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.08645*, 2023.

594 Xuan Ju, Ailing Zeng, Jianan Wang, Qiang Xu, and Lei Zhang. Human-art: A versatile human-595 centric dataset bridging natural and artificial scenes. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference 596 on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2023a. 597 Xuan Ju, Ailing Zeng, Chenchen Zhao, Jianan Wang, Lei Zhang, and Qiang Xu. HumanSD: A 598 native skeleton-guided diffusion model for human image generation. 2023b. 600 Diederik P Kingma and Max Welling. Auto-encoding variational bayes. arXiv preprint 601 arXiv:1312.6114, 2013. 602 603 Diederik P Kingma, Max Welling, et al. An introduction to variational autoencoders. Foundations and Trends® in Machine Learning, 12(4):307–392, 2019. 604 605 Tuomas Kynkäänniemi, Miika Aittala, Tero Karras, Samuli Laine, Timo Aila, and Jaakko Lehtinen. 606 Applying guidance in a limited interval improves sample and distribution quality in diffusion 607 models. In The Thirty-eighth Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, 608 2024. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=nAIhvNy15T. 609 610 Xinmiao Lin, Yikang Li, Jenhao Hsiao, Chiuman Ho, and Yu Kong. Catch missing details: Image re-611 construction with frequency augmented variational autoencoder. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 1736–1745, 2023. 612 613 Wenquan Lu, Yufei Xu, Jing Zhang, Chaoyue Wang, and Dacheng Tao. Handrefiner: Refining 614 malformed hands in generated images by diffusion-based conditional inpainting, 2023. 615 616 Ron Mokady, Amir Hertz, Kfir Aberman, Yael Pritch, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Null-text inversion for 617 editing real images using guided diffusion models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pp. 6038–6047, June 2023. 618 619 Aaron van den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, and Koray Kavukcuoglu. Neural discrete representation learn-620 ing. arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.00937, 2017. 621 622 Gaurav Parmar, Richard Zhang, and Jun-Yan Zhu. On aliased resizing and surprising subtleties in 623 gan evaluation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern 624 Recognition, pp. 11410-11420, 2022. 625 Dustin Podell, Zion English, Kyle Lacey, Andreas Blattmann, Tim Dockhorn, Jonas Müller, Joe 626 Penna, and Robin Rombach. Sdxl: improving latent diffusion models for high-resolution image 627 synthesis. arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.01952, 2023. 628 629 Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-630 resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF confer-631 ence on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 10684–10695, 2022. 632 Christoph Schuhmann, Romain Beaumont, Richard Vencu, Cade Gordon, Ross Wightman, Mehdi 633 Cherti, Theo Coombes, Aarush Katta, Clayton Mullis, Mitchell Wortsman, Patrick Schramowski, 634 Srivatsa Kundurthy, Katherine Crowson, Ludwig Schmidt, Robert Kaczmarczyk, and Jenia Jitsev. 635 Laion-5b: An open large-scale dataset for training next generation image-text models. Advances 636 in neural information processing systems, Track on Datasets and Benchmarks., 2022. 637 638 Chenyang Si, Ziqi Huang, Yuming Jiang, and Ziwei Liu. Freeu: Free lunch in diffusion u-net. arXiv 639 preprint arXiv:2309.11497, 2023. 640 Uriel Singer, Adam Polyak, Thomas Hayes, Xi Yin, Jie An, Songyang Zhang, Qiyuan Hu, Harry 641 Yang, Oron Ashual, Oran Gafni, et al. Make-a-video: Text-to-video generation without text-video 642 data. arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.14792, 2022. 643 644 Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. arXiv 645 preprint arXiv:2010.02502, 2020. 646 Yang Song, Prafulla Dhariwal, Mark Chen, and Ilya Sutskever. Consistency models. In Proceedings 647 of the 40th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML'23, 2023.

- 648 Lingchen Sun, Rongyuan Wu, Zhengqiang Zhang, Hongwei Yong, and Lei Zhang. Improving 649 the stability of diffusion models for content consistent super-resolution. ArXiv, abs/2401.00877, 650 2023. URL https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:266725612. 651 Jiayan Teng, Wendi Zheng, Ming Ding, Wenyi Hong, Jianqiao Wangni, Zhuoyi Yang, and Jie Tang. 652 Relay diffusion: Unifying diffusion process across resolutions for image synthesis. arXiv preprint 653 arXiv:2309.03350, 2023. 654 655 Jianyi Wang, Zongsheng Yue, Shangchen Zhou, Kelvin CK Chan, and Chen Change Loy. Exploiting 656 diffusion prior for real-world image super-resolution. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.07015, 2023. 657 658 Jay Zhangjie Wu, Yixiao Ge, Xintao Wang, Stan Weixian Lei, Yuchao Gu, Yufei Shi, Wynne Hsu, 659 Ying Shan, Xiaohu Qie, and Mike Zheng Shou. Tune-a-video: One-shot tuning of image diffusion 660 models for text-to-video generation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 7623-7633, 2023. 661 662 Zhendong Yang, Ailing Zeng, Chun Yuan, and Yu Li. Effective whole-body pose estimation with 663 two-stages distillation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer 664 Vision, pp. 4210-4220, 2023. 665 666 Zongsheng Yue, Jianyi Wang, and Chen Change Loy. Resshift: Efficient diffusion model for image 667 super-resolution by residual shifting. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 36, 668 2024. 669 Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. Adding conditional control to text-to-image 670 diffusion models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, 671 pp. 3836–3847, 2023a. 672 673 Shaofeng Zhang, Qiang Zhou, Zhibin Wang, Fan Wang, and Junchi Yan. Patch-level contrastive 674 learning via positional query for visual pre-training. In International Conference on Machine 675 Learning, pp. 41990-41999. PMLR, 2023b. 676 Qingping Zheng, Yuanfan Guo, Jiankang Deng, Jianhua Han, Ying Li, Songcen Xu, and Hang Xu. 677 Any-size-diffusion: Toward efficient text-driven synthesis for any-size hd images. arXiv preprint 678 arXiv:2308.16582, 2023. 679 680 681 APPENDIX А 682 683 A.1 IMPLEMENTATION DETAIL OF NOISE RE-SAMPLING 684 685 We provide pseudo-code for proposed Noise Re-sampling method. This algorithm enhances the 686 detail and texture in specific local regions of an image by adjusting the noise sampling rate, by-687 passing the constraints of VAE compression and preserving high-frequency information essential 688 for realistic image synthesis. 689 690 Table 4: Evaluation Results for human-centric image generation on hands and faces with controlnet 691
- 692Zhang et al. (2023a). Our method demonstrates competitive performance, particularly in enhancing
global details. (\circ denotes results evaluated on Laion-5B samples and \star denotes experiments on
UBC-fasion validation set.)693Faces694Hands695Method696FID_g \downarrow KID_g \downarrow

696	Method	$\mathrm{FID}_g\downarrow$	$\mathrm{KID}_g\downarrow$	$\mathrm{FID}_g\downarrow$	$\mathrm{KID}_g\downarrow$
697	$SD^{\circ} + Controlnet$	109.35	0.057	103.16	0.0531
698	$Ours^{\circ} + Controlnet$	109.51	0.0585	103.033	0.0537
699	$SD^* + Controlnet$	139.92	0.102	145.44	0.0976
700	$Ours^* + Controlnet$	138.78	0.101	141.97	0.0963
701					



Figure 7: Additional visual quality comparisons on SD 2.0 Diffusion (2022).





Figure 9: Visual quality comparisons on SD 3.0 Esser et al. (2024b). Despite its strengths, SD3 often produces artifacts when generating small text, human body or human face that occupies only a small portion of the image. By applying our proposed noise re-sampling approach, these errors can be significantly corrected, resulting in clearer and more accurate generation.



Figure 10: Additional visual quality comparisons on SD 1.5 + Controlnet Diffusion (2022); Zhang et al. (2023a).



Figure 11: Visualizations comparing bilinearly interpolated noise and our proposed re-sampled noise are shown. As demonstrated in (c), bilinear interpolation distorts the noise distribution, leading to a failure in the denoising process and producing distorted outputs. In contrast, our re-sampled noise preserves the distribution, enabling more accurate denoising and better results.



Figure 12: Visualizations comparing direct upsampling guidance and our proposed VAE-based upsampling guidance are provided. As shown in (c), direct latent upsampling, when used as guidance, leads to blurry results with color shifts. In contrast, our VAE-based upsampling effectively upsamples the target local region, providing accurate guidance for the re-sampling process and producing results with fine-grained, accurate details.

1027	Table 5: Experiments on SD 2 comparing text-to-image generation task performance with direct
1028	latent upsampling and VAE-based upsampling

-	 				
	Method	$\mathrm{FID}_g\downarrow$	$\operatorname{FID}_l\downarrow$	$\mathrm{KID}_g\downarrow$	$\text{KID}_l\downarrow$
	SD 2	9.66	14.19	0.0020	0.0033
	Ours + Direct Up.	12.94	23.07	0.0031	0.0086
	Ours + VAE-based Up.	9.56	13.94	0.0019	0.0032

1052

1061 1062

1066

1026

1029 1030 1031

1035 A.2 Additional Experiments on Human-Centric Image Synthesis

Additional results were quantitatively assessed using Frechet Inception Distance (FID) and Kernel Inception Distance (KID) metrics, focusing on both global image quality. The improvements in image quality were significant when compared to baseline models, demonstrating our method's ability to preserve and enhance high-frequency details without introducing artifacts or distortions. Qualitatively, the generated images showed remarkable clarity in facial features and hand details, validating the effectiveness of our approach in practical, high-resolution human image synthesis scenarios.

A.3 Additional Visualization on Text-to-Image Generation Tasks

We have conducted further qualitative comparisons to demonstrate the effectiveness of proposed approach. We selected a diverse set of images and applied our method alongside existing state-ofthe-art techniques. Through this comparative analysis, we assessed the visual quality, coherence, and fidelity of the generated samples. By examining various aspects such as texture detail, object clarity, and overall realism, we aimed to provide a comprehensive evaluation of our approach's performance.

1053 A.4 NOISE SAMPLING FROM SIGNAL PROCESSING VIEW

1054 1055 Assume $Z_t(x, y)$ to be an infinite, continuous 2-D signal in the noise space as shown in Figure 4 (b), 1056 which, after denoising, represents an real scene. In practice, we can not directly work on continuous 1057 signals. Therefore, current LDMs often starts with a bounded, discrete noise sample $Z_t^{\Delta}[n, m]$ with 1058 sampling period Δ . We reformulate the process of initial noise sampling in the noise space as a 1059 bounded discretization process of $Z_t(x, y)$:

$$\delta_{\Delta}(x,y) = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{w=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x-h\Delta)\delta(y-w\Delta), \tag{9}$$

where $\delta(\cdot)$ is the pulse signal with $\delta(0) = 1$ and 0 elsewhere. δ_{Δ} represents a 2-D pulse train with sampling period Δ . The sampling process can be formulated as:

$$Z_t^{\Delta}(x,y) = Z_t(x,y)\delta_{\Delta}(x,y) \tag{10}$$

To convert the sampled result $Z_t^{\Delta}(x, y)$ into a bounded discrete space with resolution $H \times W$, we have:

$$Z_t^{\Delta}[n,m] = Z_t(n\Delta, m\Delta), n \in [0,H], m \in [0,W]$$

$$\tag{11}$$

1070 1071 1072

1074

73 A.5 ABLATION STUDY ON VAE-BASED UPSAMPLING

- As shown in Table 5 and Figure 13, the performance gap under both local and global scenarios justifies our proposed VAE-based upsampling.
- **1078** A.6 ADDITIONAL EXPERIMENTS
- 1079



Figure 13: Abalation study on SD 2 for visual quality comparisons between Direct upsampling and
 VAE-based Upsampling. Direct upsampling in latent space causes blurred results and inaccurate
 semantic information.

1134	
1135	Table 6: Comparison of computational cost in terms of Gflops and GPU time for different methods.

_		
Column 1	Gflops	GPU time (s)
SD 1.5	71,731	2.958
Ours (N=25)	115,287 (60%†)	4.627 (56%↑)
MultiDiff	274,200 (282%)	11.292 (281%†)
ScaleCrafter	279,906 (290%†)	16.913 (470%†)

Table 7: Evaluation of the hyper-parameter N by measuring the consistency between the original local patch and the re-sampled patch, indicating how well the re-sampled patch aligns with the original local region.

Re-sampling Step ${\cal N}$	20	25	30	35	40
Ours (FID _{l})	4.95	5.63	6.53	7.69	8.86
Ours (KID _{l})	0.0035	0.0026	0.0021	0.0017	0.0014

Table 8: Evaluation of the hyper-parameter N on the generation quality of the re-sampled patch, assessing how well the re-sampled local region aligns with the ground truth distribution of the LAION dataset.

Re-sampling Step N	20	25	30	35	40
Ours (FID _l)	16.41	14.03	14.52	13.77	12.60
Ours (KID _l)	0.0044	0.0035	0.0028	0.0027	0.0024

Table 9: Experiments evaluating the impact of the local ratio s on the quality of re-sampled patches.
 Ratios between 0.33 and 0.67 strike the optimal balance, while ratios outside this range lead to decreased performance.

1162	uccicase	a periormanee.						
1163		Local Ratio s	vanilla SD1.5	0.67	0.50	0.33	0.25	0.20
1164		Ours (FID _{l})	15.60	12.82	14.03	21.21	26.53	32.38
1165		Ours (KID _{l})	0.0044	0.0026	0.0032	0.0047	0.0062	0.0083
1166								

Table 10: Comparison of different methods, including Consistency Decoder (CD) and GuidanceInterval (GI), to evaluate their impact on generation quality.

Method	SD1.5	Ours	CD	CD + Ours	GI	GI + Ours
FID _l	15.60	14.03	16.33	15.40	32.17	26.49
KID_l	0.0044	0.0035	0.0034	0.0031	0.0183	0.0114

Ablation study on re-sampling step N In Table 7, we evaluate the consistency between the orig-inal local patch and the re-sampled patch by measuring FID_l and KID_l , which reflect how well the re-sampled patch aligns with the original local region. In Table 8, we measure how well the re-sampled local region matches the ground truth distribution of the LAION dataset, again using FID_l and KID_l to quantify this alignment. From 7, we observe that smaller N values provide better consistency with the original local patch, as indicated by lower FID_l and KID_l values. In Table 8, larger N values result in better alignment with the ground truth distribution, as seen by lower FID_{l} and KID_l values. These results indicate a trade-off between consistency with the original local patch and alignment with the global ground truth distribution, offering insights into how N can be tuned to balance these factors effectively. In our experiments, N is set to half of the total denoising steps T to trade off between consistency and quality.

Ablation study on re-sampling ratio *s* In Table 9, we examine how the local ratio *s* affects the quality of re-sampled patches. From the results, it is evident that a local ratio between 0.33 and 0.67

achieves the best balance, leading to significant improvements in the generation quality of the local regions. Ratios outside this range tend to result in diminished performance worse than vanilla SD, as indicated by higher FID_l and KID_l values.

Comparison to Consistency Decoder and Guidance Interval Quantitative results consistently demonstrate the superiority of our approach in handling small or complex regions. Quantitative metrics show that our method achieves better scores in local enhancement.

Additionally, we emphasize that our method is not mutually exclusive with the Consistency Decoder (Song et al., 2023) and Guidance Interval (Kynkäänniemi et al., 2024). In fact, the two approaches can be seamlessly integrated to combine their strengths. By applying our method to enhance the latent representation before decoding and then using the consistency decoder for final image recon-struction, it is possible to achieve even greater visual fidelity and detail enhancement as shown in Table 10.