ZERO-SHOT TEXT-BASED PERSONALIZED LOW-LIGHT IMAGE ENHANCEMENT WITH REFLECTANCE GUID ANCE

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

ABSTRACT

Recent advances in zero-shot low-light image enhancement have largely benefited from the deep image priors encoded in network architectures. However, these models require optimization from scratch for each image and cannot provide personalized results based on user preferences. In this paper, we propose a training-free zero-shot personalized low-light image enhancement model that integrates Retinex domain knowledge into a pre-trained diffusion model, enabling style personalization based on user preferences specified through text instructions. Our contributions are as follows: First, we incorporate the total variation optimization into a single Gaussian convolutional layer, enabling zero-shot Retinex decomposition. Second, we introduce the Contrastive Language-Image Pretraining (CLIP) model into the reflectance-conditioned sampling process of Denoising Diffusion Implicit Models (DDIM), guiding the enhancement according to userprovided text instructions. Third, to ensure consistency in content and structure, we employ patch-wise DDIM inversion to find the initial noise vector and use the reflectance as a condition during the reverse sampling process. Our proposed model, RetinexGDP, supports any image size and produces noise-suppressed results without imposing extra noise constraints. Extensive experiments across nine low-light image datasets show that RetinexGDP achieves performance comparable to state-of-the-art models.

033

006

008 009 010

011

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

025

026

027

028

1 INTRODUCTION

034 Low-light image enhancement (LLIE) algorithms aim to restore details hidden in dark areas while preserving color accuracy and naturalness. These algorithms not only enhance the human visual 035 experience but also improve the performance of high-level computer vision tasks Liu et al. (2021a). Retinex-based LLIE models Ren et al. (2018); Xu et al. (2020); Yang et al. (2021); Zhang et al. 037 (2019) have gained attention by incorporating Retinex theory into deep neural networks. According to Retinex theory, an image can be modeled as the product of reflectance and illumination: $S = R \odot I$, where \odot denotes the Hadamard product. Thus, Retinex decomposition, which involves 040 estimating these components, is a central problem for these methods. With the derived reflectance 041 and illumination, models such as those proposed by Hao et al. (2020); Liang et al. (2022), en-042 hance images by adjusting the illumination and denoising the reflectance before recombining the 043 two components. Alternatively, some methods separate illumination from reflectance to achieve en-044 hancement Guo et al. (2017); Zhao et al. (2021). These methods focus on adjusting illumination but pay less attention to the style of the reflectance, which limits their ability to offer personalized image enhancement based on user preferences. 046

Personalized low-light enhancement (PLIE) is able to enhance low-light image according to diverse user preference, however, it is less explored compared to general LLIE. Existing PLIE models either require users to select preferred images to represent desired styles Kim et al. (2020) or inject user profiles into the network for personalization Bianco et al. (2020). Additionally, these models apply a single style preference vector to all images, limiting the diversity of styles. Recently, masked style modeling is applied to image enhancement, achieving content-aware personalization Kosugi & Yamasaki (2024). Despite the success of these models, a common problem is the inability to enhance unseen images in a preferred style that does not exist in the database. Although researchers

can enrich the database by collecting more diverse preference images, this would require retraining or fine-tuning the network.

In this work, we propose a zero-shot text-based personalized low-light image enhancement model, 057 RetinexGDP, that requires no training or parameter fine-tuning. This model allows users to specify enhancement style preferences via text instructions. The core idea behind RetinexGDP is to integrate Retinex domain knowledge into a pre-trained diffusion model while leveraging Contrastive 060 Language-Image Pretraining (CLIP) Radford et al. (2021) to guide the Generative Diffusion Prior 061 (GDP) Fei et al. (2023). To achieve zero-shot Retinex decomposition, we take the total variation 062 optimization as a layer and incorporate it into a single Gaussian convolutional layer to estimate the 063 illumination map. Instead of designing deep network, our RetinexGDP requires only a single convo-064 lutional layer for illumination map estimation. We then compute the corrected reflectance in spatial domain and consider it as the initial enhanced result. At the stage of personalized enhancement, we 065 first find the initial noise vector of the corrected reflectance map by patch-wise DDIM inversion. To 066 maintain the content and structure consistency of diffusion model, the corrected reflectance is used 067 as a conditional input during the reverse sampling process of the diffusion model, where the sam-068 pling takes the initial noise vector as starting point and is guided by directional CLIP loss, steering 069 the enhancement toward the style specified by the user through text. Guided by content and style loss, RetinexGDP enhances the low-light image according to user-specified preferences without the 071 need for retraining or fine-tuning from scratch. RetinexGDP is adaptable to images of any input size. 072 Experiments have shown that, despite the absence of additional noise constraints, the enhancement 073 results generated by our model exhibit good performance in noise suppression. 074

In summary, our main **contributions** are summarized as follows. (I) We propose a zero-shot textbased PLIE model named RetinexGDP, enabling flexible enhancement guided by user preferences specified via text instructions, without the need for additional training or external images. (II) We incorporate the edge-aware property of total variation optimization into a single Gaussian convolutional layer, aiming to perform zero-shot Retinex decomposition. (III) We employ patch-wise DDIM inversion to generate the initial noise vector of corrected refletance and take the corrected refletance as condition of DDIM reverse process, maintaining the image content and structures.

081 082

2 RELATED WORK

083 084

085 Zero-shot Low-light Image Enhancement. While deep learning-based methods Zhang et al. (2022); Huang et al. (2023); Zhang et al. (2023); Xu et al. (2023), particularly those combined 087 with the Retinex model Yang et al. (2021); Wu et al. (2022); Xu et al. (2022); Fu et al. (2023), 088 have demonstrated superior performance compared to traditional optimization-based techniques Fu et al. (2016); Guo et al. (2017); Li et al. (2018); Xu et al. (2020), zero-shot low-light im-089 age enhancement (LLIE) remains relatively underexplored. Zero_DCE Guo et al. (2020) and its 090 extension Zero_DCE++ Li et al. (2021) propose predicting higher-order curves through iterative 091 self-application, independent of paired and unpaired external data. The Retinex decomposition is 092 transformed into a generative problem in Zhao et al. (2021); Liang et al. (2022), where combined deep image priors (DIP) Ulyanov et al. (2020); Gandelsman et al. (2019) are applied to generate 094 latent Retinex components without the need for any external training dataset. Those methods per-095 form zero-shot Retinex decomposition. However, they rely on deep priors encoded in the network 096 structure, with network parameters randomly initialized and no data priors utilized. Consequently, 097 their networks require optimization from scratch for each entry. In this work, we incorporate the 098 edge-preserving property of total variation optimization into a single Gaussian convolutional layer, without requirement of very deep network. 099

100 Personalized Low-light Image Enhancement. PLIE aims to enhance low-light images based on 101 user preference. Traditional PLIE methods enhance image based on the user's preference through 102 simple gamma correction or S-curve Kapoor et al. (2014). With the advent of deep learning, convo-103 lutional neural networks (CNNs) have been employed to extract preference vectors, which are then 104 used for personalized enhancement Kim et al. (2020). Later, the user profile with feature vectors 105 are integrated to enhance images Bianco et al. (2020). Recently, a style-aware model using a style encoder that learns image embeddings is proposed to map preferred styles to latent codes Song et al. 106 (2021). However, these approaches apply the same preference vector to all images, without con-107 sidering the content of the preferred images. More recently, masked style modeling is adopted for



Figure 1: Overview of our RetinexGDP. S and R is the input low-light image and corrected reflectance, respectively. \hat{R} denotes the initial noise vector. γ is the gamma factor. x_0 is the enhanced image. \mathcal{L}_{recon} , \mathcal{L}_{per} , and \mathcal{L}_{clip} denote the reconstruction loss, perceptual loss and style loss, respectively.

content-aware personalized image enhancement Kosugi & Yamasaki (2024). This method employs a transformer encoder to predict style embeddings for unseen images by considering similar content in the preferred image dataset. Nevertheless, the aforementioned methods are either restricted to the style of the collected preferred images or require retraining on new dataset. In our work, the preferred style of enhancement is specified by user-provided text and our personlization requires no training.

137 Diffusion Model Based Low-light Image Enhancement. In recent years, diffusion probabilistic 138 models have achieved significant success in image generation and manipulation. However, chal-139 lenges remain in effectively integrating low-light images as conditions and designing appropriate 140 diffusion models. To address this, CLE-Diffusion Yin et al. (2023) concatenates the color map 141 with the low-light input to preserve color information. Diff-Retinex Yi et al. (2023) introduces a 142 three-stage framework where two diffusion models adjust reflectance and illumination components. ReCo-Diff Wu et al. (2023) combines the low-light input with the generated image and performs 143 Retinex decomposition at each time step. Other approaches modify the diffusion process itself for 144 low-light enhancement Wang et al. (2023); Jiang et al. (2023). A recent work Reti-diff He et al. 145 (2023) introduces the Retinex priors to latent diffusion, however, it requires additional networks or 146 retraining on external datasets. In contrast, recent works Fei et al. (2023); Jiang et al. (2024); Lv 147 et al. (2024) leverage a pretrained Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Model (DDPM) as a generative 148 prior to optimize the reverse sampling process, enabling training-free image enhancement. Build-149 ing on these advancements, we incorporate the Retinex domain knowledge into generative diffusion 150 prior and propose a zero-shot text-based personalized low-light enhancement model. 151

152 153

154

125

126

127

128

129 130 131

132

133

134

135

136

3 Method

The goal of this work is to develop a fully text-driven, training-free PLIE model. Our RetinexGDP
 operates in two stages: zero-shot Retinex decomposition and text-guided personalized enhancement,
 both of which are training-free. The overview of our RetinexGDP is shown in Fig.1.

158 159

3.1 ZERO-SHOT RETINEX DECOMPOSITION

161 Previous Retinex-based LLIE works Zhao et al. (2021); Liang et al. (2022) have leveraged DIP to perform zero-shot Retinex decomposition, exploiting DIP's inductive bias for Retinex component

generation. In practice, these models require additional hand-crafted priors to ensure piecewise
 smoothness, which is important to illumination estimation. To eliminate the need for such priors,
 the edge-aware smoothness properties in a bilateral grid and an encoder-like DIP model is combined
 to estimate the illumination Zhao et al. (2024). Despite its success in illumination estimation, this
 approach still necessitates a DIP network comprising many convolutional blocks.

167 Is it possible that illumination estimation be performed with a single convolutional layer? The 168 main challenge is how to embed inductive bias into a convolutional layer to guide optimization 169 towards a piecewise smooth solution. While convolutional blocks possess inductive biases such 170 as locality and translation equivariance and can be used to smooth the details in an image, they 171 are insufficient for decomposing an image into piecewise smooth illumination. In contrast, the TV 172 optimization can be applied to preserve the image edges, as illustrated in Fig.11 in Appendix A.2. Hence, if the edge-preserving smoothness properties of TV optimization can be incorporated into a 173 single convolutional layer, we do not need a deep network for illumination estimation. 174

How to incorporate the edge-preserving properties into a single convolutional layer? Total variation (TV) is an effective regularizer that has been widely used as a smoothness regularization term in denoising. Considering an input image X and output $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$, the TV optimization can be defined as:

$$\arg\min_{\boldsymbol{Y}} \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{X}\|_F^2 + \lambda \|D\boldsymbol{Y}\|_1,$$
(1)

where $D = [D_x^T, D_y^T]^T$ denote the first order forward finite-difference matrix along the row and column directions respectively, and λ indicates the balance parameter for controlling the strength of regularization. $\|\cdot\|_F$ and $\|\cdot\|_1$ denote Frobenius norm and L_1 norm, respectively. Inspired by Yeh et al. (2022), which reports that total variation optimization as a layer (TV layer) provides effective piece-wise properties and can be used to inject specific inductive bias to the deep network during both training and inference. Given an input feature map $X \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H \times W}$ the TV layer outputs a tensor Y of the same size. This layer computes the TV proximity operator independently for each channel. The forward operation can be summarized as:

$$\boldsymbol{Y}_{c} = \operatorname{Prox}_{\mathrm{TV}}^{2D} \left(\boldsymbol{X}_{c}, \lambda \right), \tag{2}$$

where $\operatorname{Prox}_{TV}^{2D}(\cdot)$ denotes the TV proximity operator, and c represents c-th channel of a tensor.





203

204

199

179 180

189 190

191 192 193

194

196 197

Figure 2: Illustration of TV optimization as a layer for zero-shot illumination estimation.

205 We therefore incorporate this TV proximity operator as a layer into a single convolutional layer, as 206 shown in Fig.2. Previous study seamlessly integrated the differentiable TV layer into a deep neural 207 network for training purposes Yeh et al. (2022), in which both the convolutional kernel and the balance parameters are trainable within this setup. However, our objective is to develop a training-free 208 model, which means our illumination estimation model involves only the forward process without 209 a backward process for parameter updates. This presents a problem as the parameters of the convo-210 lutional layer are random values, leading to wrong illumination estimation, as shown in Fig. 3(a). 211 We observe distinct differences in the illumination maps produced by the vanilla convolutional TV 212 layer across the three experiments. Inaccurate or inconsistent illumination estimation can result in 213 incorrect image enhancement outcomes. 214

To mitigate this issue, we adopt a strategy wherein we replace the vanilla convolutional kernel with a *Gaussian* kernel. The coefficients of this Gaussian filter are sampled from a normal distribution



224 Figure 3: Comparison of illumination estimation when TV layer uses vanilla convolution kernel and Gaussian kernel. 225

227 with mean 0 and variance σ^2 . Hence, we define our Gaussian TV layer for illumination estimation 228 by:

$$I_{\rm c} = \operatorname{Prox}_{\rm TV}^{2D} \left(S_{\rm c} \otimes G_{\sigma}, \lambda \right), \tag{3}$$

230 where \otimes denotes the convolution operation, and G_{σ} denotes the Gaussian filter. S is the input image. 231 λ is the balance parameter in Eq.1. Consequently, the Fourier spectrum of our Gaussian TV layer can 232 be manipulated by adjusting the value of σ . With a predefined σ and fixed kernel size, the parameters of Gaussian TV layer are deterministic, leading to consistent illumination estimation. Despite the 233 234 absence of a training process, the Gaussian TV layer is capable of generating a piece-wise smooth illumination, as demonstrated in Fig. 3(b). 235

236 This illumination estimation method offers several advantages. First, it requires no external images 237 for training and relies solely on the input single image itself, thus overcoming challenges associated 238 with dataset collection. Second, it necessitates no additional hand-crafted priors or loss functions to 239 drive optimization, thereby streamlining our illumination estimation procedure. Third, our Gaussian TV layer requires only one convolutional layer. 240

241 Once the illumination is obtained, we directly compute the reflectance in spatial domain. Since a 242 corrected reflectance can be regarded as initial enhanced image Guo et al. (2017); Zhao et al. (2024), 243 we consider the corrected reflectance as initial enhanced image. However, the reflectance obtained 244 by uncorrected illumination cannot be considered as a initial enhanced image. We therefore use 245 Gamma correction to obtain the corrected illumination I^{γ} , where γ is the Gamma correction factor. Then we compute the corrected reflectance according to Retinex model: 246

$$\boldsymbol{R} = \boldsymbol{S}_c \oslash \boldsymbol{I}^{\gamma}, c \in \{R, G, B\},\tag{4}$$

where \oslash is spatial division operation and c indicates the c-th channel in RGB color space.

A sample of Retinex decomposition in our RetinexGDP is shown in Figure 4. Notably, the illumination map exhibits piece-wise smooth characteristic, ensuring that rich details and color information are entirely preserved in the reflectance. The corrected reflectance, as the initial enhanced image, is utilized in the subsequent stage of personalized enhancement.



226

229

247 248

249 250

251

262

264

265 266 267

268

269

Illumination

Corrected illumination

Corrected reflectance

Figure 4: The results of Retinex decomposition.

3.2 TEXT-BASED PERSONALIZED LOW-LIGHT ENHANCEMENT

Finding initial noise vector of corrected reflectance using patch-wise DDIM inversion. The initial noise vector plays a crucial role in maintaining the fidelity of the generated original image.

279

281

284

287 288

312 313

321 322

270 The Illustration of finding the initial noise vector of corrected reflectance is shown in Fig.5. DDIM 271 inversion aims to deterministically noising the corrected reflectance R to obtain a noise vector R, 272 which differs from the pure noise strategy of GDP Fei et al. (2023). In the inversion process, the 273 corrected reflectance R is first divided into M overlapping patches, which are cropped with a stride 274 of p. For each patch, we obtain the noised intermediate result. At each time step t, the diffusion 275 model computes the mean μ^m and variance Σ^m of Gaussian noise for each patch. During the diffusion process, these values are iteratively shifted to reflect the overall mean and variance for the 276 entire image. 277



Figure 5: Illustration of finding the initial noise vector of corrected reflectance using patch-wise DDIM inversion.

291 Since the patches overlap, the overlapping areas are computed multiple times. Therefore, it is crucial 292 to record the number of times these overlapping areas are noised to ensure accurate calculations. 293 A binary patch mask \mathbf{P}^m is used to locate the overlapping areas, and weight vector \mathbf{G} is used to maintain a count of how often each pixel in the overlapped areas is included in a patch: $\mathbf{G} =$ 295 $\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{P}^m$, where m indicate m-th patch. The final mean and variance for the whole image at each 296 time step are then obtained by computing the weighted average of Ω_t and the variance vector ϕ_t : 297 $\Omega_t = \Omega_t \oslash \mathbf{G}, \phi_t = \phi_t \oslash \mathbf{G}$, where \oslash represents the element-wise division. This approach ensures 298 that the contributions from overlapping patches are aggregated, preserving the consistency of the 299 image's structure and content during the subsequent sampling process.

Text-based sampling conditioned on reflectance. The initial noise vector \hat{R} produced through DDIM inversion, rather than a pure Gaussian noise, is taken as the starting point in the reverse denoising step, to maintain the data consistency. To provide a high-quality and more reliable condition for the guided diffusion model Dhariwal & Nichol (2021), we additionally use the corrected reflectance R as the condition in the reverse denoising process. By conditioning on the corrected reflectance, our method ensures that the generated outputs maintain the original image's structure and texture while enhancing low-light image.

We now describe how to perform reverse sampling from \hat{R} . If the reverse denoising distribution $P(x_{t-1} | x_t)$ is adopted to a conditional distribution $P(x_{t-1} | x_t, R)$, $P(R | x_t)$ can be a probability that x_t will be denoised to a high-quality image consistent to R, and according to Dhariwal & Nichol (2021), its heuristic approximation is formulated as

$$P(\boldsymbol{R} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\left[\lambda_{1} \mathcal{L}_{c}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{R}\right) + \lambda_{2} \mathcal{L}_{s}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{R}, \mathrm{d}\right)\right]\right).$$
(5)

where Z is a normalizing factor, \mathcal{L}_c and \mathcal{L}_s indicate the image content and style distance metrics, respectively. \mathcal{L}_c is consist of reconstruction loss \mathcal{L}_{recon} and perceptual loss \mathcal{L}_{per} . It ensures that the fine details and structures in the reflectance map are preserved. We use pretrained CLIP model to style distance \mathcal{L}_s . \mathcal{L}_s ensures the generated image matches the desired style, as guided by the user's text prompt. d is the text instruction of enhancement style, and λ_1 and λ_2 are scaling factors for controlling the magnitude of guidance. In this way, the conditional transition $P(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{R})$ an be approximately obtained through the unconditional transition $P(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_t)$ by shifting the mean of the unconditional distribution: $\mu = \mu + \Sigma \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_t} \log P(\mathbf{R} \mid \mathbf{x}_t)$, where

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}_{t}} \log P\left(\boldsymbol{R} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}\right) = -\lambda_{1} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}_{t}} \mathcal{L}_{c}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{R}\right) - \lambda_{2} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}_{t}} \mathcal{L}_{s}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{R}, d\right)$$
(6)

323 Therefore, we can add guidance on the generation process by direct adding the mean shift (the gradients of loss function for content and style) to the intermediate denoised image. the gradients are

added to the denoised image at each time step, which is actualy shifting the mean of the unconditional distrubution. Shifting mean enables the generated images during DDIM sampling to be closer to the distribution of personalized augmented images while maintaining consistent content.

Instead of directly compute the gradients between the intermediate denoised image and the initial reflectance, to avoid the regression-to-the-mean effects, we compute the gradients of the linear combination of them: $\tilde{x}_{0,t-1} = \eta x_{0,t-1} + (1 - \eta) x_{0,t}$, where $\eta = \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}$. In practice, the condition is a linear combination of \boldsymbol{R} and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{R}}$. $\tilde{\boldsymbol{R}}$ is sampled by $\tilde{\boldsymbol{R}}_t = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \boldsymbol{R}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \epsilon$, and $\boldsymbol{R}_t = \eta \boldsymbol{R}_0 + (1 - \eta) \tilde{\boldsymbol{R}}_t$. We investigate the impact of η on enhancement performance in Appendix.A.5.1. By finetuning the scale factors of loss functions while specifying the preference with text prompt, we can control the guidance.

335 3.2.1 Loss functions

The loss functions used in the framework contain two parts: content guidance \mathcal{L}_c and style guidance \mathcal{L}_s . To preserve structure and texture consistency between the reconstructed image and the initial enhanced image, \mathcal{L}_c is consist of reconstruction loss \mathcal{L}_{recon} and perceptual loss \mathcal{L}_{per} .

Reconstruction loss. We aim to maintain the structure and texture consistency between the enhanced image and the input image, except for noise and illumination. To ensure this similarity, we minimize the Mean Squared Error (MSE) between the corrected reflectance, i.e., the initial enhanced image, and the output.

$$\mathcal{L}_{recon} = \|\tilde{x}_{0,t} - \boldsymbol{R}_t\|_2^2,\tag{7}$$

where R_t and $\tilde{x}_{0,t}$ denote *t*-th sampled corrected reflectance and the linear combination of intermediate output, respectively.

Perceptual loss. Additionally, to improve visual sharpness of the enhanced image, we adopt the perceptual loss defined by the similarity on the extracted feature maps from 2 layers of the pre-trained VGG19 network.

$$\mathcal{L}_{per} = \|\phi_k(\tilde{x}_{0,t}) - \phi_k(\boldsymbol{R}_t)\|_2^2, \tag{8}$$

where $\phi_k(\cdot)$ denotes the extracted feature using k-th layer of the pre-trained VGG19 network.

Style loss. We use directional CLIP loss Gal et al. (2022) to align the direction between text instructions and image pairs in the CLIP embedding space. However, we find that the source text prompt does not benefit content consistency. In our experiment, the source text prompt (if used) describes the style of the corrected reflectance, while the target text prompt describes the desired style. However, there appears to be a misalignment between natural language descriptions and the reflectance component. Therefore, we modify the directional CLIP loss by removing the source prompt:

360 361 362

368

344

351

352 353

354 355

356

357

358

359

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{clip}}\left(\tilde{x}_{0,t}, \boldsymbol{R}_{t}, p_{\text{target}}\right) = 1 - \frac{\Delta I \cdot E_{txt}\left(p_{\text{target}}\right)}{\|\Delta I\| \|E_{txt}\left(p_{\text{target}}\right)\|},\tag{9}$$

where $\Delta I = E_{img}(\tilde{x}_{0,t}) - E_{img}(R_t)$. E_{img} and E_{txt} are CLIP's image embedding and text embedding obtained by image encoder E_{img} and text encoder E_{txt} , respectively. Users can specify their preferred style using text prompts, denoted as p_{target} , such as "summer sunset" or "bright daylight," for personalized enhancement.

369 4 EXPERIMENTS 370

Experimental setups. The kernel size of the Gaussian TV layer is 7, with stride 1, and the value of σ is set to 0.5. We adjust the balance parameter λ in the Gaussian TV layer to 30, and perform a single iteration. The Gamma factor γ is set to 0.5. We use the pretrained unconditional guided diffusion model as our backbone Dhariwal & Nichol (2021). For the pretrained CLIP model, we adopt "ViT-B-32". The scaling factor for \mathcal{L}_{recon} , \mathcal{L}_{per} and \mathcal{L}_{clip} are set to 5000, 100 and 7000, respectively. The total number of time steps, T, is 50, and we space the step size from T to T', where T' is set to 25 in our experiment. Additionally, we employ patch strategy in both the inversion and reverse processes, with the patch size set to 256. Our experiments are conducted on a single TITAN X GPU. 378 379 380 382 384 Input "Autumn day light" "S1 "Blue sky "Winter morning" Input "Strong contras "Subtle bright 386 387 388 389 390 392 Input "Rich saturation and refined tones" 'Cool tones of a winter twilight 393

Figure 6: The enhanced results guided with different text instructions. Zoom in for better viewing.

Baseline Implementations. The proposed model is compared against with 10 state-of-the-art LLIE models: Training-based models: Zero_DCE Guo et al. (2020), SNRXu et al. (2022), DCCNet Zhang et al. (2022), UHDFour Li et al. (2023), URetinexNetWu et al. (2022), DiffLLJiang et al. (2023), CLIPLITLiang et al. (2023). Training-free models: RetinexDIP Zhao et al. (2021), DRP Liang et al. (2022), NeuralBRZhao et al. (2024).

403 Metrics. Three no-reference image assessment metrics (NIQE, CPCQIGu et al. (2017) and NIQMC 404 Gu et al. (2018)) are utilized in the paper. Since LOL and VELOL datasets have paired images, we 405 therefore use PSNR and SSIM metrics to evaluate our model. 406

Datasets. We evaluate our model on 9 public datasets including 224 real images (DICMLee et al. 407 (2012), ExDarkLoh & Chan (2019), FusionMa et al. (2015), LIMEGuo et al. (2017), NASA, 408 NPEWang et al. (2013), VV, LOL dataset Wei et al. (2018) and VELOL datasetLiu et al. (2021b)). 409

410 411

391

394 395

396 397 398

399

400

401

402

4.1 PERSONALIZED LOW-LIGHT IMAGE ENHANCEMENT.

412 We enhance low-light image under different text guidance that describes various styles. The en-413 hanced results guided with different text instructions are given in Fig.6. It can be observed that, 414 with the input nighttime or insufficient light image, our RetinexGDP successfully enhances low-415 light image according to user preferred style specified by text, and both the structures and textures 416 are preserved well. For example, when the preference specified with "summer sunset", in the en-417 hanced image, the leaves of the trees turn green, the evening glow on the horizon displays an orange 418 hue. When the preference specified with "Blue light", giving the entire image a cold atmosphere. In addition, without denoising post-processing, our RetinexGDP does not amplify the noises, leading 419 to the enhanced results more pleasing. 420

421 422

423

4.2 GENERAL LOW-LIGHT IMAGE ENHANCEMENT

Qualitative assessments. We present enhanced results obtained from real low-light datasets, as 424 shown in Fig. 7 and 8. From Figure 7, our RetinexGDP not only restores details hidden in the dark, 425 but also effectively removes noise. In contrast to other supervised models, such as CLIP-LIT and 426 DiffLL, our enhanced results have higher image contrast and vivid color, without over-enhancing 427 relatively bright areas, as shown in Fig.8. 428

429 Quantitative assessments. We quantitatively assess the performance of our RetinexGDP and competitive methods across 9 datasets, as shown in Table 1. While our model does not achieve the 430 top performance across all datasets, it consistently exhibits competitive results on several datasets, 431 showcasing its versatility and robustness in various real-world scenarios. For instance, considering



		DICM			ExDark			Fusion			LIME			Nasa			NPEA			VV	
Method	N↓	M↑	C↑	N↓	M↑	C↑	N↓	M↑	$C\uparrow$	N↓	M↑	$C\uparrow$	N↓	M↑	C↑	N↓	M↑	C↑	N↓	M↑	C↑
URetinexNet	3.50	5.17	0.72	3.74	5.18	0.87	3.90	5.07	0.85	4.33	5.02	0.90	3.27	5.14	0.84	4.08	5.00	0.85	3.03	5.14	0.79
SNR	4.16	5.17	0.63	4.29	5.29	0.68	4.93	5.00	0.64	5.69	5.23	0.72	5.23	5.28	0.57	4.15	4.98	0.67	8.77	4.98	0.45
DCCNet	3.29	5.02	0.75	3.75	5.07	0.74	4.29	4.80	0.77	4.26	5.04	0.88	3.18	5.16	0.87	3.50	4.74	0.75	3.56	5.11	0.76
UHDFour	3.46	5.09	0.75	3.90	5.00	0.71	4.38	4.85	0.72	4.55	4.97	0.85	3.33	5.39	0.85	3.62	4.94	0.74	-	-	-
DiffusionLL	2.93	5.22	0.77	3.27	5.04	0.79	3.30	5.19	0.80	3.58	4.92	0.95	2.81	5.33	0.82	3.24	5.00	0.81	2.92	5.36	0.88
CLIPLIT	3.01	5.05	0.84	3.63	4.84	1.04	3.74	5.09	1.00	3.99	5.09	1.00	3.16	5.19	1.04	3.71	4.97	0.98	3.02	5.20	1.00
Zero_DCE	2.83	5.12	0.82	3.54	4.96	0.97	3.58	5.21	0.91	3.76	4.84	1.06	3.57	5.09	0.87	2.97	4.89	0.92	3.21	5.40	0.89
LightenDiffusion	3.39	5.23	0.90	3.34	5.14	0.80	3.43	5.21	0.78	4.04	5.10	0.94	3.08	5.48	0.89	3.03	5.14	0.79	3.58	5.38	0.77
FourierDiff	3.97	4.94	0.86	3.80	5.17	0.82	4.33	4.66	0.83	4.21	5.16	0.97	3.37	4.75	0.85	3.72	5.06	0.84	3.36	4.75	0.85
RetinexDIP	3.37	5.13	0.86	3.74	4.86	1.13	3.40	5.33	1.05	3.82	4.88	1.16	3.58	5.41	1.02	3.01	5.15	1.04	2.48	5.45	1.06
DRP	4.68	5.24	-	4.79	5.17	-	5.71	5.28	-	5.99	5.21	-	4.30	5.62	-	5.29	5.37	-	8.80	5.45	-
NeuralBR	3.39	5.29	0.88	3.79	4.72	1.08	3.41	5.23	1.05	3.74	5.03	1.14	2.97	5.19	1.04	3.72	5.15	1.05	3.21	5.40	0.89
RetinexGDP	4.02	5.12	0.84	4.80	4.97	0.81	5.22	5.27	0.86	5.54	5.06	0.94	4.11	5.48	0.91	4.21	5.38	0.75	4.10	5.26	0.74

our NIQMC score on the NPEA dataset, as detailed in Table 1, our RetinexGDP model achieves the
 highest score.

Furthermore, we specifically compare our RetinexGDP with models trained on the LOL dataset,
as shown in Table 2. Compared to training-based models, such as CLIP-LIT, our model achieves
significantly higher PSNR scores: 26.39% on LOL and 8.7% on VELOL. Similarly, against trainingfree models such as RetinexDIP, RetinexGDP delivers substantial improvements, with 82.3% higher
PSNR on LOL and 48.9% on VELOL. In contrast, without being specifically trained on the LOL or
VELOL datasets, RetinexGDP achieves superior performance.

481 4.3 ABLATION STUDY

Loss function and text prompts. We conduct an ablation study on the loss function and text 484 specified preference, as shown in Table 3. We observe that using only content guidance (\mathcal{L}_{recon} 485 and \mathcal{L}_{per}) yields the enhanced image with better image quality, while the addition of text instruction may result in a slight drop in performance. More visual results are given in Appendix A.5.2. Average PSNR⁺/SSIM⁺/NIQE

LOL

and

high-

on

VELOL.Training-free models are

		VELOL								
Method	P↑	S↑	N↓	M↑	$C\uparrow$	P↑	S↑	N↓	M↑	$C\uparrow$
Zero-DCE	14.86	0.54	7.77	4.01	1.15	18.06	0.58	8.06	3.92	1.20
CLIP-LIT	12.39	0.49	8.29	3.37	1.21	15.18	0.53	8.41	3.37	1.27
RetinexDIP	8.59	0.30	6.90	2.41	1.10	11.08	0.32	7.23	2.65	1.10
NeuralBR	11.36	0.44	7.52	3.68	1.17	14.04	0.47	7.56	3.67	1.22
GDP	13.93	0.63	6.17	5.34	0.67	13.04	0.55	7.59	4.29	0.40
RetinexGDP	15.66	0.66	6.26	5.26	0.85	16.51	0.69	6.92	4.97	0.96

Table 3: Ablation study on loss and text.

Loss	N↓	M↑	C↑
\mathcal{L}_{recon}	5.44	5.03	1.05
\mathcal{L}_{recon} w/ text	6.47	4.81	0.69
$\mathcal{L}_{recon} + \mathcal{L}_{per}$	5.58	5.07	0.96
$\mathcal{L}_{recon} + \mathcal{L}_{per}$ w/ text	5.63	5.01	0.89

Patch-wise DDIM inversion. The patch strategy in the 498 DDIM inversion not only accommodates inputs of any size but also aids in preserving structure and textures, as demonstrated in Fig.9. Without the patch strategy, structures tend to be distorted (as seen in the red box), and 502 artifacts tend to appear in darker areas (as observed in the white box).

504 Linear combination factor of corrected reflectance. 505 The loss function used in reverse sampling process, both 506 the intermediate result $x_{0,t}$ and corrected reflectance are



Figure 9: Ablation study on patch-wise DDIM inversion.

507 linear combination. We find the factor for linear combination η play an important role in the denois-508 ing process, as shown in Fig.10. When $\eta = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}$, η increases as the value of $\bar{\alpha}_t$ gradually increases, 509 resulting in smoother enhanced results. In contrast, when $\eta = \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}$, the model generates de-510 tailed results.



Figure 10: Ablation study on factor of combination η . Zoom in for a better view.

5 CONCLUSION

527 In this paper, we have introduced RetinexGDP, a zero-shot PLIE model that combines a generative 528 pretrained diffusion model with domain knowledge from Retinex theory. RetinexGDP enables flex-529 ible enhancement guided by user preferences specified via text instructions, obviating the necessity 530 for additional training data or external images. We incorporate TV optimization into a single Gaus-531 sian covolutional layer for zero-shot illumination estimation, streamlining the pipeline of Retinex decomposition. To maintain the content and structure consistency, we employ patch-wise DDIM 532 inversion to find the initial noise vector of corrected reflectance and perform sampling conditioned 533 on the correced reflectance. While our zero-shot, training-free PLIE method may not outperform 534 state-of-the-art models across all datasets, it delivers competitive results. Its key strength lies in the 535 ability to flexibly customize enhancement styles through text prompts, offering a unique and adapt-536 able solution. This novel feature brings valuable flexibility to low-light enhancement, deserving further attention from the research community. 538

Limitations: RetinexGDP has limitation in real-time enhancement due to the inversion process. This limitation may be solved by inversion-free method Xu et al. (2024).

10

499

500

501

515

516

517 518 519

521

522 523 524

526

486

487

488

Table 2:

↓/NIQMC↑/CPCQI↑

540 REFERENCES

559

560

561

578

579

580

581

588

589

- Simone Bianco, Claudio Cusano, Flavio Piccoli, and Raimondo Schettini. Personalized Image Enhancement Using Neural Spline Color Transforms. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 29: 6223–6236, 2020. ISSN 19410042. doi: 10.1109/TIP.2020.2989584.
- Prafulla Dhariwal and Alexander Nichol. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. Advances
 in neural information processing systems, 34:8780–8794, 2021.
- Ben Fei, Zhaoyang Lyu, Liang Pan, Junzhe Zhang, Weidong Yang, Tianyue Luo, Bo Zhang, and Bo Dai. Generative Diffusion Prior for Unified Image Restoration and Enhancement. pp. 9935– 9946, 2023. doi: 10.1109/cvpr52729.2023.00958.
- Xueyang Fu, Delu Zeng, Yue Huang, Xiao Ping Zhang, and Xinghao Ding. A Weighted Variational Model for Simultaneous Reflectance and Illumination Estimation. *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2016-Decem:2782–2790, 2016. ISSN 10636919. doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2016.304.
- Zhenqi Fu, Yan Yang, Xiaotong Tu, Yue Huang, Xinghao Ding, and Kai Kuang Ma. Learning a
 Simple Low-Light Image Enhancer from Paired Low-Light Instances. *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2023-June:22252–
 22261, 2023. ISSN 10636919. doi: 10.1109/CVPR52729.2023.02131.
 - Rinon Gal, Or Patashnik, Haggai Maron, Amit H Bermano, Gal Chechik, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Stylegan-nada: Clip-guided domain adaptation of image generators. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 41(4):1–13, 2022.
- Yosef Gandelsman, Assaf Shocher, and Michal Irani. 'Double-dip': Unsupervised image decomposition via coupled deep-image-priors. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, volume 2019-June, pp. 11018–11027, 2019. ISBN 9781728132938. doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2019.01128.
- Ke Gu, Weisi Lin, Guangtao Zhai, Xiaokang Yang, Wenjun Zhang, and Chang Wen Chen. No-Reference Quality Metric of Contrast-Distorted Images Based on Information Maximization. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, 47(12):4559–4565, 2017. ISSN 21682267. doi: 10.1109/TCYB.2016.2575544.
- Ke Gu, Dacheng Tao, Jun Fei Qiao, and Weisi Lin. Learning a No-Reference Quality Assessment
 Model of Enhanced Images with Big Data. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 29(4):1301–1313, 2018. ISSN 21622388. doi: 10.1109/TNNLS.2017.2649101.
- Chunle Guo, Chongyi Li, Jichang Guo, Chen Change Loy, Junhui Hou, Sam Kwong, and Runmin Cong. Zero-reference deep curve estimation for low-light image enhancement. *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 1777–1786, 2020. ISSN 10636919. doi: 10.1109/CVPR42600.2020.00185.
 - Xiaojie Guo, Yu Li, and Haibin Ling. LIME: Low-light image enhancement via illumination map estimation. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 26(2):982–993, 2017. ISSN 10577149. doi: 10.1109/TIP.2016.2639450.
- Shijie Hao, Xu Han, Yanrong Guo, Xin Xu, and Meng Wang. Low-Light Image Enhancement with
 Semi-Decoupled Decomposition. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 22(12):3025–3038, 2020.
 ISSN 19410077. doi: 10.1109/TMM.2020.2969790.
- ⁵⁸⁵ Chunming He, Chengyu Fang, Yulun Zhang, Tian Ye, Kai Li, Longxiang Tang, Zhenhua Guo, Xiu
 ⁵⁸⁶ Li, and Sina Farsiu. Reti-diff: Illumination degradation image restoration with retinex-based
 ⁵⁸⁷ latent diffusion model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.11638*, 2023.
 - Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. Advances in neural information processing systems, 33:6840–6851, 2020.
- Jie Huang, Feng Zhao, Man Zhou, Jie Xiao, Naishan Zheng, Kaiwen Zheng, and Zhiwei Xiong.
 Learning Sample Relationship for Exposure Correction. *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2023-June:9904–9913, 2023. ISSN 10636919. doi: 10.1109/CVPR52729.2023.00955.

594	Hai Jiang, Ao Luo, Haoqiang Fan, Songchen Han, and Shuaicheng Liu, Low-Light Image Enhance-
595	ment with Wavelet-based Diffusion Models. ACM Transactions on Graphics, 42(6), 2023. ISSN
596	15577368. doi: 10.1145/3618373.
597	

- Hai Jiang, Ao Luo, Xiaohong Liu, Songchen Han, and Shuaicheng Liu. Lightendiffusion: Un supervised low-light image enhancement with latent-retinex diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.08939*, 2024.
- Ashish Kapoor, Juan C. Caicedo, Dani Lischinski, and Sing Bing Kang. Collaborative personalization of image enhancement. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 108(1-2):148–164, 2014.
 ISSN 15731405. doi: 10.1007/s11263-013-0675-3.
- Han-Ul Kim, Young Jun Koh, and Chang-Su Kim. Pienet: Personalized image enhancement network. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XXX 16*, pp. 374–390. Springer, 2020.
- Satoshi Kosugi and Toshihiko Yamasaki. Personalized Image Enhancement Featuring Masked Style
 Modeling. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, 34(1):140–152,
 2024. ISSN 15582205. doi: 10.1109/TCSVT.2023.3285765.
- Chulwoo Lee, Chul Lee, and Chang-Su Kim. Contrast enhancement based on layered difference representation. In *2012 19th IEEE International Conference on Image Processing*, pp. 965–968, 2012. doi: 10.1109/ICIP.2012.6467022.
- Chongyi Li, Chunle Guo, and Chen Change Loy. Learning to enhance low-light image via zero-reference deep curve estimation. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 44(8):4225–4238, 2021.
- Chongyi Li, Chun-Le Guo, Man Zhou, Zhexin Liang, Shangchen Zhou, Ruicheng Feng, and
 Chen Change Loy. Embedding fourier for ultra-high-definition low-light image enhancement.
 arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.11831, 2023.
- Mading Li, Jiaying Liu, Wenhan Yang, Xiaoyan Sun, and Zongming Guo. Structure-Revealing Low-Light Image Enhancement Via Robust Retinex Model. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 27(6):2828–2841, 2018. ISSN 10577149. doi: 10.1109/TIP.2018.2810539.
- Jinxiu Liang, Yong Xu, Yuhui Quan, Boxin Shi, and Hui Ji. Self-supervised low-light image enhancement using discrepant untrained network priors. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, 32(11):7332–7345, 2022.
- Zhexin Liang, Chongyi Li, Shangchen Zhou, Ruicheng Feng, and Chen Change Loy. Iterative
 prompt learning for unsupervised backlit image enhancement. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 8094–8103, 2023.
- Jiaying Liu, Dejia Xu, Wenhan Yang, Minhao Fan, and Haofeng Huang. Benchmarking Low-Light Image Enhancement and Beyond. International Journal of Computer Vision, 129(4):1153–1184, 2021a. ISSN 15731405. doi: 10.1007/s11263-020-01418-8. URL https://doi.org/10. 1007/s11263-020-01418-8.
- Jiaying Liu, Dejia Xu, Wenhan Yang, Minhao Fan, and Haofeng Huang. Benchmarking low-light
 image enhancement and beyond. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 129(4):1153–1184, 2021b.
- Yuen Peng Loh and Chee Seng Chan. Getting to know low-light images with the exclusively dark dataset. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, 178:30–42, 2019. doi: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cviu.2018.10.010.
- Kiaoqian Lv, Shengping Zhang, Chenyang Wang, Yichen Zheng, Bineng Zhong, Chongyi Li, and Liqiang Nie. Fourier priors-guided diffusion for zero-shot joint low-light enhancement and deblurring. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 25378–25388, 2024.
- 647 Kede Ma, Kai Zeng, and Zhou Wang. Perceptual quality assessment for multi-exposure image fusion. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 24(11):3345–3356, 2015.

665

687

- 648 Alexander Quinn Nichol and Prafulla Dhariwal. Improved denoising diffusion probabilistic models. 649 In International conference on machine learning, pp. 8162–8171. PMLR, 2021. 650
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, 651 Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual 652 models from natural language supervision. In International conference on machine learning, pp. 653 8748-8763. PMLR, 2021. 654
- 655 Xutong Ren, Mading Li, Wen Huang Cheng, and Jiaying Liu. Joint Enhancement and Denoising 656 Method via Sequential Decomposition. Proceedings - IEEE International Symposium on Circuits 657 and Systems, 2018-May, 2018. ISSN 02714310. doi: 10.1109/ISCAS.2018.8351427.
- Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Eric Weiss, Niru Maheswaranathan, and Surya Ganguli. Deep unsupervised 659 learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics. In International conference on machine learn-660 ing, pp. 2256-2265. PMLR, 2015. 661
- 662 Yuda Song, Hui Qian, and Xin Du. Starenhancer: Learning real-time and style-aware image en-663 hancement. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 664 4126-4135, 2021.
- Dmitry Ulyanov, Andrea Vedaldi, and Victor Lempitsky. Deep Image Prior. International Journal of 666 Computer Vision, 128(7):1867–1888, 2020. ISSN 15731405. doi: 10.1007/s11263-020-01303-4. 667
- 668 Shuhang Wang, Jin Zheng, Hai Miao Hu, and Bo Li. Naturalness preserved enhancement algorithm 669 for non-uniform illumination images. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 22(9):3538–3548, 670 2013. ISSN 10577149. doi: 10.1109/TIP.2013.2261309. 671
- Yufei Wang, Yi Yu, Wenhan Yang, Lanqing Guo, Lap Pui Chau, Alex C. Kot, and Bihan Wen. 672 ExposureDiffusion: Learning to Expose for Low-light Image Enhancement. Proceedings of the 673 IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 12404–12414, 2023. ISSN 15505499. 674 doi: 10.1109/ICCV51070.2023.01143. 675
- 676 Chen Wei, Wenjing Wang, Wenhan Yang, and Jiaying Liu. Deep retinex decomposition for low-light 677 enhancement. arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.04560, 2018. 678
- Wenhui Wu, Jian Weng, Pingping Zhang, Xu Wang, Wenhan Yang, and Jianmin Jiang. Uretinex-679 net: Retinex-based deep unfolding network for low-light image enhancement. In 2022 IEEE/CVF 680 Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pp. 5891–5900, 2022. doi: 681 10.1109/CVPR52688.2022.00581. 682
- 683 Yuhui Wu, Guoqing Wang, Zhiwen Wang, Yang Yang, Tianyu Li, Peng Wang, Chongyi Li, and 684 Heng Tao Shen. ReCo-Diff: Explore Retinex-Based Condition Strategy in Diffusion Model for 685 Low-Light Image Enhancement. 1, 2023. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/2312.12826. 686
- Jun Xu, Yingkun Hou, Dongwei Ren, Li Liu, Fan Zhu, Mengyang Yu, Haoqian Wang, and Ling Shao. STAR: A Structure and Texture Aware Retinex Model. IEEE Transactions on Image 688 Processing, 29:5022–5037, 2020. ISSN 19410042. doi: 10.1109/TIP.2020.2974060. 689
- 690 Sihan Xu, Yidong Huang, Jiayi Pan, Ziqiao Ma, and Joyce Chai. Inversion-free image editing with 691 language-guided diffusion models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer 692 Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 9452–9461, 2024.
- Xiaogang Xu, Ruixing Wang, Chi-Wing Fu, and Jiaya Jia. Snr-aware low-light image enhancement. 694 In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 695 17714-17724, 2022. 696
- 697 Xiaogang Xu, Ruixing Wang, and Jiangbo Lu. Low-Light Image Enhancement via Structure Mod-698 eling and Guidance. pp. 9893–9903, 2023. doi: 10.1109/cvpr52729.2023.00954. 699
- Wenhan Yang, Wenjing Wang, Haofeng Huang, Shiqi Wang, and Jiaying Liu. Sparse Gradient Reg-700 ularized Deep Retinex Network for Robust Low-Light Image Enhancement. IEEE Transactions 701 on Image Processing, 30:2072–2086, 2021. ISSN 19410042. doi: 10.1109/TIP.2021.3050850.

702 703 704 705	Raymond A. Yeh, Yuan Ting Hu, Zhongzheng Ren, and Alexander G. Schwing. Total Variation Optimization Layers for Computer Vision. <i>Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition</i> , 2022-June:701–711, 2022. ISSN 10636919. doi: 10.1109/CVPR52688.2022.00079.
706 707 708 709 710	Xunpeng Yi, Han Xu, Hao Zhang, Linfeng Tang, and Jiayi Ma. Diff-Retinex: Rethinking Low- light Image Enhancement with A Generative Diffusion Model. <i>Proceedings of the IEEE In-</i> <i>ternational Conference on Computer Vision</i> , pp. 12268–12277, 2023. ISSN 15505499. doi: 10.1109/ICCV51070.2023.01130.
711 712 713	Yuyang Yin, Dejia Xu, Chuangchuang Tan, Ping Liu, Yao Zhao, and Yunchao Wei. Cle diffusion: Controllable light enhancement diffusion model. In <i>Proceedings of the 31st ACM International</i> <i>Conference on Multimedia</i> , pp. 8145–8156, 2023.
714 715 716 717	Kaibing Zhang, Cheng Yuan, Jie Li, Xinbo Gao, and Minqi Li. Multi-Branch and Progressive Network for Low-Light Image Enhancement. <i>IEEE Transactions on Image Processing</i> , 32:2295– 2308, 2023. ISSN 19410042. doi: 10.1109/TIP.2023.3266171.
718 719 720	Yonghua Zhang, Jiawan Zhang, and Xiaojie Guo. Kindling the darkness: A practical low-light image enhancer. <i>MM 2019 - Proceedings of the 27th ACM International Conference on Multimedia</i> , pp. 1632–1640, 2019. doi: 10.1145/3343031.3350926.
721 722 723	Zhao Zhang, Huan Zheng, Richang Hong, Mingliang Xu, Shuicheng Yan, and Meng Wang. Deep color consistent network for low-light image enhancement. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 1899–1908, 2022.
724 725 726 727 728	Zunjin Zhao, Bangshu Xiong, Lei Wang, Qiaofeng Ou, Lei Yu, and Fa Kuang. RetinexDIP: A Unified Deep Framework for Low-light Image Enhancement. <i>IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology</i> , 32(3):1076–1088, 2021. ISSN 15582205. doi: 10.1109/TCSVT. 2021.3073371.
729 730 731 732	Zunjin Zhao, Hexiu Lin, Daming Shi, and Guoqing Zhou. A non-regularization self-supervised retinex approach to low-light image enhancement with parameterized illumination estimation. <i>Pattern Recognition</i> , 146:110025, 2024.
733 734 735 726	
737 738 739	
740 741 742	
743 744 745	
746 747 748	
749 750 751	
102	

756 A APPENDIX

A.1 PRELIMINARY: DIFFUSION MODEL

760 DDPMs are designed to reverse a parameterized Markovian image noise process. Initially, they op-761 erate on isotropic Gaussian noise samples, transforming them into samples drawn from a training 762 distribution. This transformation is achieved through an iterative diffusion process that gradually 763 eliminates the noise. Recent studies have demonstrated the capability of DDPMs to generate high-764 quality imagesDhariwal & Nichol (2021); Ho et al. (2020); Nichol & Dhariwal (2021). In the following sections, we provide a concise overview of DDPMs. For a more comprehensive understanding, 765 readers are encouraged to refer to Ho et al. (2020); Nichol & Dhariwal (2021); Sohl-Dickstein et al. 766 (2015). 767

Assuming a data distribution $x_0 \sim q(x_0)$, the inversion process generates a sequence of latent variables x_1, \ldots, x_T by incrementally adding Gaussian noise with variance $\beta_t \in (0, 1)$ at time step *t*:

771 772

773 774

$$q(x_{1},...,x_{T} | x_{0}) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} q(x_{t} | x_{t-1})$$

$$q(x_{t} | x_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\sqrt{1-\beta_{t}}x_{t-1},\beta_{t}I\right)$$
(10)

An essential characteristic of the inversion is its ability to directly sample any step x_t from x_0 :

778

781 782

789 790 791

794

796 797

 $q(x_t \mid x_0) = \mathcal{N}\left(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}x_0, (1 - \bar{\alpha}_t)\mathbf{I}\right)$ $x_t = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon$ (11)

783 where $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}), \alpha_t = 1 - \beta_t$ and $\bar{\alpha}_t = \prod_{s=0}^t \alpha_s$.

The reverse process is also Markovian, starting from a Gaussian noise sample $x_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$, and generating a reverse sequence by sampling the posteriors $q(x_{t-1} \mid x_t)$.

Since the exact form of $q(x_{t-1} | x_t)$ remains unknown, a deep neural network P_{θ} is trained to estimate the mean and covariance of x_{t-1} given x_t :

$$P_{\theta}\left(x_{t-1} \mid x_{t}\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_{\theta}\left(x_{t}, t\right), \Sigma_{\theta}\left(x_{t}, t\right)\right).$$

$$(12)$$

Instead of directly predicting $\mu_{\theta}(x_t, t)$, Ho et al. (2020) propose predicting the noise $\epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t)$ added to x_0 to obtain x_t :

$$\mu_{\theta}\left(x_{t},t\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{t}}} \left(x_{t} - \frac{\beta_{t}}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t}}} \epsilon_{\theta}\left(x_{t},t\right)\right)$$
(13)

The estimated image \tilde{x}_0 is then derived from $\epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t)$ using Equation (11):

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{0} = \frac{\boldsymbol{x}_{t}}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t}}} - \frac{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t}}\epsilon_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, t\right)}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t}}}$$

$$q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{0}\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}; \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{0}\right), \tilde{\beta}_{t}\boldsymbol{I}\right)$$
where
$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{0}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}\beta_{t}}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{0} + \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{t}}\left(1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}\right)}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t}}\boldsymbol{x}_{t}$$
and
$$\tilde{\beta}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \frac{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t}}\beta_{t}$$
(14)

805 806

804

807 808 809

where $\eta \in [0, 1]$, η controls the interpolation ratio between DDPM and DDIM, , making the process deterministic when $\eta = 0$. For more details please see Ho et al. (2020).

810 A.2 GAUSSIAN TV LAYER

Motivation. It is well known that the convolution can be use to smooth the details in an image,
while the TV optimization can be applied to preserve the image edges, as illustrated in Fig.11. In our
illumination estimation, we expect the illumination to be piecewise smooth. Hence, we incorporate
the edge-aware property of TV optimization into a single Gaussian convolutional layer, leading to
zero-shot illumination.



Input

Convolution

TV optimization

Figure 11: Illustration of the convolution process and TV optimization for image smoothing.

A.3 PATCH-WISE DDIM INVERSION

The patch-wise DDIM inversion process is outlined in Alg.1. The DDIM inversion takes correct reflectance R as input and produces the corresponding initial noise vector \hat{R} . The corrected reflectance R is divided into M overlapping patches. These patches are cropped with a stride of p, ensuring that some areas of the image are covered multiple times.

For each patch, a noised intermediate result is obtained. At each time step t, the diffusion model calculates the mean μ^m and variance Σ^m of the Gaussian noise for each patch.

The mean and variance for each patch are iteratively updated to reflect the overall values for the entire image.

Since patches overlap, the areas covered multiple times must be tracked. A binary patch mask \mathbf{P}^m identifies overlapping areas, and a weight vector \mathbf{G} records how many times each pixel in these areas is included in a patch. The update follows: $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{P}^m$, where *m* indicates the *m*-th patch.

The final mean Ω_t and variance ϕ_t for the entire image at each time step are computed by taking the weighted average, adjusting for overlapping areas:

 $\Omega_t = \Omega_t \oslash \mathbf{G}, \quad \phi_t = \phi_t \oslash \mathbf{G} \tag{15}$

where \oslash denotes element-wise division.

This weighted averaging ensures that the contributions from overlapping patches are aggregated, maintaining the consistency of the image's structure and texture throughout the diffusion process.

852 853

854

847

848

825

827

828 829 830

831

A.4 TEXT GUIDED SAMPLING CONDITIONED ON REFLECTANCE

The procedure for text guided sampling conditioned on reflectance is outlined in Alg.2 856

Initial Noise Vector. The initial noise vector \hat{R} , generated via DDIM inversion, is used as the starting latent vector in the reverse denoising step to maintain data consistency. Instead of pure Gaussian noise, this approach integrates the corrected reflectance R as a condition to ensure that the structure and texture of the original image are preserved while enhancing illumination.

Conditional Sampling. To improve the diffusion model's quality and reliability, the corrected reflectance \mathbf{R} is used as a condition in the reverse denoising process. The conditional distribution $P_{\theta}(x_{t-1} \mid x_t, \mathbf{R})$ aims to guide the denoising towards high-quality outputs consistent with the original image.

864 Algorithm 1 Patch-wise DDIM inversion for finding the initial noise vector 1: Input: Reflectance **R**, diffusion steps T, diffusion model $(\mu_{\theta}(x_t), \Sigma_{\theta}(x_t))$, dictionary of M 866 overlapping patch locations, and a binary patch mask \mathbf{P}^m 867 2: Output: initial noise vector \vec{R} 868 3: Sample x_0 from $\mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$ 4: for all t from 1 to T do 870 $\mu, \Sigma \leftarrow \mu_{\theta} (x_t), \Sigma_{\theta} (x_t)$ 5: 871 Mean vector $\Omega_t = 0$ and variance vector $\phi_t = 0$ and weight vector $\mathbf{G} = 0$ 6: 872 7: for m = 1, ..., M do $\mathbf{R}^m = Crop(\mathbf{P}^m \odot \mathbf{R})$ 873 8: 874 9: 875 10: 876 $\phi_t = \phi_t + \mathbf{P}^m \circ \dot{\Sigma}^m$ 11: 877 $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{P}^m$ 12: 878 end for 13: 14: $\Omega_t = \Omega_t \oslash \mathbf{G}$ 879 $\phi_t = \phi_t \oslash \mathbf{G}$ 15: 880 sample x_{t-1} by $\mathcal{N}(\Omega_t, \phi_t)$ 16: 17: end for 882 18: return \hat{R} 883 884

Heuristic Approximation. The conditional probability $P(\mathbf{R} \mid x_t)$ can be approximated as:

$$P(\boldsymbol{R} \mid x_t) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\left[\lambda_1 \mathcal{L}_c(x_t, \boldsymbol{R}) + \lambda_2 \mathcal{L}_s(x_t, \boldsymbol{R}, d)\right]\right)$$
(16)

where Z is a normalizing factor, \mathcal{L}_c and \mathcal{L}_s are content and style loss metrics, d is the text prompt for enhancement style, and λ_1 and λ_2 control the guidance strength. The gradients of both sides are computed as:

$$\log P\left(\boldsymbol{R} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}\right) = -\log Z - \lambda_{1} \mathcal{L}_{c}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{R}) - \lambda_{2} \mathcal{L}_{s}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{R}, d)$$

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}_{t}} \log P(\boldsymbol{R} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}) = -\lambda_{1} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}_{t}} \mathcal{L}_{c}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{R}) - \lambda_{2} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}_{t}} \mathcal{L}_{s}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{R}, d)$$
(17)

896 Mean Shift Adjustment. The conditional transition $P_{\theta}(x_{t-1} \mid x_t, \mathbf{R})$ is derived from the uncon-897 ditional transition by shifting the mean: $-(\lambda_1 \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_t} \mathcal{L}_c(x_t, \mathbf{R}) + \lambda_2 \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_t} \mathcal{L}_s(x_t, \mathbf{R}, d))$. Therefore, the 898 mean of conditional transition $P_{\theta}(x_{t-1} \mid x_t, \mathbf{R})$ becomes:

$$\mu = \mu + \Sigma \nabla_{x_t} \log P(\boldsymbol{R} \mid x_t) \tag{18}$$

In other words, by adjusting the scaling factors λ_1 and λ_2 , and specifying the enhancement style through a text prompt, the level of guidance in the generation process can be controlled. To avoid regression-to-the-mean effects, gradients are computed for a linear combination of the intermediate denoised image and the initial reflectance:

$$\tilde{x}_{0,t-1} = \eta x_{0,t-1} + (1-\eta) x_{0,t}, \quad \eta = \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}$$
(19)

In practice, the condition is a linear combination of R and \tilde{R} , where $\tilde{R}_t = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}R_0 + \sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon$, and $R_t = \eta R_0 + (1-\eta)\tilde{R}_t$.

- 909 A.5 ABLATION STUDY
- 911 A.5.1 ANALYSIS ON REVERSE DENOISING PROCESS

To further illustrate the effectiveness of the DDIM-DDIM diffusion model, we visualize the reverse sampling process in Fig.12. Notably, we observe that the results stabilize after the 5-th time step.

914

885

890

891

892 893

894

895

899

905

908

- 915 A.5.2 ANALYSIS ON CONTENT GUIDANCE AND STYLE GUIDANCE 916
- 917 The combination of "MSE+VGG+CLIP" enables our RetinexGDP to produce pleasing results, with improved quantitative evaluation. However, the absence of VGG in the loss function weakens the



model's ability to suppress noise, as evidenced by the visual results in Fig.13. One possible reason
for this improvement could be that the perceptual reconstruction provided by the VGG loss helps
alleviate the domain shift problem of the CLIP model. Therefore, when using CLIP loss for textguided enhancement, it is advisable to include VGG loss in the loss function and finetune the balance
parameter of directional CLIP loss in Equation (9) to mitigate the occurrence of artifacts. Due to

domain shift problem of the CLIP model, when the weight of directional CLIP loss is set a large
value, the content and structure of enhanced image may become inconsistent with the original image,
as shown in Fig.14.



Fig.22. Figure 19 shows that, our RetinexGDP is robust while dealing with non-uniform illumination scene, without over-enhancing the bright areas while enlightening the dark areas. Figure Low-light image DDPM-DDPM DDIM-DDIM

Figure 15: Impact of different diffusion models (Results obtained guided by "Summer sunset"). DDPM-DDPM indicates that both the inversion and reverse sampling processes adopt the DDPM model, and similarly, DDIM-DDIM.





w/o text instruction

"Autumn day light"

Figure 16: Personalized enhanced results on Nasa dataset.



Figure 17: Personalized enhanced results on MIT-Adobe FiveK dataset.

20 demonstrates our RetinexGDP's ability of noise suppression. The visual evaluations on paired dataset LOL and VELOL demonstrate that our RetinexGDP produces enhanced image with higher contrast, as shown in Fig.21 and Fig.22. Fig.18 demonstrates that our RetinexGDP can produce results with high contrast.



