CONTEXTUALLY HARMONIOUS LOCAL VIDEO EDITING

Anonymous authors

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

024

025

026

027

028

Paper under double-blind review

Abstract

We introduce a new task for video editing: Contextual Harmonious Local Editing, which focuses on replacing a local moving subject in videos containing multiple subjects or reference objects. The goal is to ensure that the replaced subject maintains its original motion while its size remains harmonious with the scene's context. Previous methods often face two specific challenges when addressing this task: (1) ensuring the size of the replaced subject remains contextually harmonious (2) maintaining the original motion and achieving subject replacement without being affected by the motion of other subjects. To address the above problems, we propose a novel three stage video editing pipeline. We initially leverage large pretrained models to acquire knowledge about the shape and size differences between the original and replaced subjects. To mitigate interference from context motion, we erase other moving subjects to extract the target subject's motion and dynamically choose the editing method to preserve the original subject's motion under different shape transformations. Following that, we seamlessly replace the original subject in the video with the resized edited subject, ensuring its size harmonizes with the video's context. As the first work to focus on this task, we also provide a high-quality evaluation dataset and metrics to assess the performance of existing methods on this task. Experimental results based on this dataset demonstrate that our method achieves state-of-the-art (SOTA) performance.



Figure 1: Contextually Harmonious Local Video Editing. The task aims to replace a single subject in videos containing multiple subjects or reference object, ensuring that the replaced subject maintains its original motion and appears harmonious in shape and size in relation to the others. (Left: dog \rightarrow white tiger, enlarged relative to the other subject 'human'; Middle: lady \rightarrow girl, shrinked relative to the reference object 'chair'; Right: helicopter \rightarrow red UFO, drastic shape change.)

- 1 INTRODUCTION
- 05

041 042

043

044

045

046

047 048

In recent years, significant progress has been made in video editing Jeong et al. (2024b); Jeong & Ye (2023). However, in complex backgrounds or multi-subject scenes, the challenge of maintaining the motion of specific objects and adjusting their size to blend harmouniously with the scene remains unsolved. This research focuses on contextually harmonious local video editing, aiming to

1

 replace specific subjects in multi-subject or reference-based backgrounds in a harmonious manner. This requires the replaced subject, under different shape transformations Qi et al. (2023), retains its original motion while adopting a size that harmoniously integrates into the video scene.

057 Accomplishing such editing involves addressing two key tasks: (1) To maintain harmony with other elements in the video, it's often necessary to adjust the subject's size when its semantics change. For 059 instance, in Figure 1, when the "lady" transforms into a "little girl", her height relative to the bench 060 should also change accordingly to maintain consistency in the scene. However, existing methods 061 often struggle to understand how size should change with semantic shifts because they lack basic 062 common sense. Additionally, it is challenging to scale the replaced subject according to a specific 063 change ratio. To ensure continuity in the subject's movement during editing, it's crucial to map the 064 original subject's feature points to the generated one and account for background changes due to the altered area. For example, when a "woman" is transformed into a "little girl", her shoulder and 065 knee positions must be adjusted to align her actions with the scene, and the editing process should 066 adjust the information of the background area she obscures. (2) The edited object should preserve 067 the motion of the original subject. This challenge lies in the fact that the motion of the edited 068 subject may be influenced by other subjects' motion in the video, as depicted in Figure 1(right), 069 where two subjects exhibit significantly different motions—such as a person moving forward while a helicopter rotates-the model faces substantial challenges in accurately transferring specific motion 071 characteristics between these divergent actions. This difficulty is rooted in the intrinsic complexities 072 of capturing and interpreting distinct, simultaneous motion patterns within a unified framework. 073 Moreover, this task becomes more complex due to varying requirements for motion preservation 074 based on shape transformations, as seen in Figure 1, when the shape difference is small, fine-grained 075 motions should be retained (dog \rightarrow white tiger); however, with significant shape changes, only the dynamic patterns of the main keypoints need to be considered (helicopter \rightarrow UFO). 076

077 To address these challenges, we propose a novel video editing pipeline that consists of three main stages: Motion and World Knowledge Acquisition, Shape Adapted Subject-centric Editing and 079 Coarse-to-Fine Harmonious Subject Transfer. To help the model understand the knowledge regarding the extent of size changes before and after the subject transformation, we leverage large 081 pretrained models to assess the relative size ratio between the original and replaced subject, aiding the edited subject in presenting a harmonious proportion within the video context. In addition, this module also perceives the moving objects in the video context and the shape differences of the 083 edited subject relative to the original subject, providing prior knowledge for preserving the motion 084 of the edited subject. In the Shape adapted subject-centric Editing stage, to prevent interference 085 from the motion of other subjects during the editing process, we erase non-editing subjects and crop 086 the target subject's motion region. Following this, we dynamically select the most suitable editing 087 method based on the intensity of shape transformation between the original and target subjects, aim-880 ing at achieving motion preservation for the edited subject under different levels of shape variation. 089 Finally, with the knowledge for size adjustment and the replaced subject, we introduce a Coarse-to-090 Fine Harmonious Subject Transfer stage to address the challenge of subject replacement and size 091 transformation in multi-subject videos. In the Coarse Video Editing Phase, we obtain the motion 092 of the resized edited subject, as well as the background information from the misalignment region between the edited subject and the original subject. Then, we achieve subject replacement based on 093 these two parts with the original video which retains complete contextual information through our proposed intra-frame Spatial Guidance method. This achieves contextually harmonious replacement while preserving the non-edited areas. To maintain consistency in motion during the transfer of the 096 resized subject, we further developed inter-frame temporal guidance, ensuring consistency between frames in the edited video and alleviate issues such as flickering and local distortion Jeong et al. 098 (2024a).

Lastly, as we are the first to focus on the issue of contextually harmonious local video editing, we have collected a dedicated video dataset that includes high-quality videos featuring various subject categories, encompassing scenes with multiple subjects and contextual reference objects. Additionally, we propose evaluation metrics to further assess the accuracy of local editing and the degree of background preservation in the edits.

Main Contributions (1) We introduce the task of "contextually harmonious local video editing" to address challenges in subject replacement and size adjustment within complex backgrounds or multi-subject scenes, ensuring subjects retain their original motion while blending harmoniously into the scene. (2) We develop an innovative video editing pipeline to effectively extracts and main-

tains the motion of target subjects while leveraging large pretrained models for world knowledge
to guide seamless size adjustment and subject replacement. (3) We construct a high-quality video
dataset featuring diverse subject categories and propose evaluation metrics to assess the accuracy
and harmony of local edits. Our method achieves state-of-the-art performance on these metrics,
demonstrating strong capabilities for contextually harmonious local video editing.

113 114 115

2 RELATED WORK

116 Image Editing Models For image editing methods, Prompt-to-prompt Hertz et al. (2022) and PNP 117 Tumanyan et al. (2023) achieve the goal of editing images according to target prompts by manipu-118 lating attention features during the diffusion process. DragonDiffusion Mou et al. (2023) achieves 119 drag-style image editing via gradient guidance produced by image feature correspondence Tang et al. 120 (2023) in the pre-trained StableDiffusion Rombach et al. (2022) model and enables object replace-121 ment. Nevertheless, since it relies on image correspondence features Tang et al. (2023), it cannot 122 handle significant size changes and maintaining the background depends on manually selected re-123 gion mask information. Applying these image editing approaches to video frames directly will lead to serious issues such as flickering and inconsistency among frames. Our method inflates the T2I 124 Diffusion Model Balaji et al. (2022) and constructs inter-frame Temporal Guidance, enabling to 125 achieve better temporal consistency. 126

127 Text Driven Video Editing TokenFlow Geyer et al. (2023) and RAVE Kara et al. (2024) observe 128 that features in diffusion models show similarities, offering fine-grained correspondences. FlowVid 129 Liang et al. (2024), Fatezero Qi et al. (2023) and ControlVideo Zhang et al. (2023) use conditions 130 such as depth maps and flow-warped videos to guide generation. However, their approach can only 131 handle editing on objects with small shape changes because they indiscriminately utilize features extracted from the entire original video to guide the generation of the new video. Atlas Kasten et al. 132 (2021) and CoDeF Lee et al. (2013) convert the video into a canonical image, perform editing on it, 133 and then transform it back into a video. But When the video becomes complex, such as containing 134 multiple moving subjects, this transformation becomes difficult to manage. Our method removes the 135 motion of non-edited subjects, enabling it to handle videos with multi-subject scenes. By leveraging 136 shape differences extracted from large language models, our method can effectively perform video 137 editing with significant shape changes. 138

Existing methods are also focused on enabling precise multi-attribute editing. For instance, EVA 139 Yang et al. (2024) enhances detailed local edits by mitigating attention leakage, strengthening at-140 tention scores for tokens associated with the same attribute. Nonetheless, if the subject of the edit 141 undergoes significant size changes, the attention features representing different regions between 142 frames cannot be calculated, thus making it impossible to handle size change issues. DynVideo-143 E Liu et al. (2024) is a character-centered video editing method that proposes the image-based 144 video-NeRF editing pipeline. This approach primarily focuses on integrating the character into the 145 video background but struggles to handle significant changes in the shape or size of the character. 146 DreamMotin Jeong et al. (2024a) and Diffusion-Motion-Transfer Yatim et al. (2024) are capable of preserving the motion of the edited subject during the editing process. DMT extracts motion guid-147 ance by compressing the global features from the diffusion model. While this approach captures the 148 motion patterns of the edited object, the loss of global features after compression makes it difficult 149 to preserve the background in the edited video. Our method constructs guidance using intra-frame 150 spatial consistency and inter-frame temporal coherence, enabling harmonious integration of subjects 151 while preserving the overall video background. 152

153 154

155

157

3 Methodology

156 3.1 OVERVIEW

The Overview of our model is illustrated in Figure 2. Our method consists of three main satges: First, due to the impact of multiple subjects movements for local subject editing and the limitations of existing models in understanding shape and size differences between different subjects, we utilize a multi-modal large pretrained model Cheng et al. (2024) to capture contextual motion and employ a large language model Achiam et al. (2023) to gain knowledge about the relative changes in shape



187 Figure 2: **Pipeline of our model.** The whole pipeline includes three key stages. Firstly, Large pre-188 trained model is adopted to analyze contextual motion in the video, as well as shape and size changes 189 of the target subject relative to others. Then, the target subject's motion is extracted by removing non-target subjects and optimal editing method is dynamicly selected for better edited subject in 190 the Shape Adapted Subject-Centric Editing stage. Finally, in Coarse-to-Fine Harmonious Subject 191 Transfer, we obtain the background information and the features of the resized subject needed for the 192 subject transfer process during the coarse editing stage, and in the subsequent steps, we seamlessly 193 accomplish subject replacement. 194

195

196 and size between the subjects, represented as S_{shape} and S_{size} in the Motion and World Knowledge 197 Acquisition stage. Then, to prevent interference from the motion of other subjects during the editing of the target subject and to preserve the motion of the original subject under varying shape transfor-199 mations, in the Shape Adapted Subject-Centric Editing stage, we erase non-target subjects Ravi et al. 200 (2024); Zhang et al. (2022) and crop target subject's motion areas to isolate the target subject's mo-201 tion. Based on S_{shape} , we dynamically choose suitable editing guidance for subject editing. Finally, to address the challenges of subject replacement with size transformation in multi-subject videos, 202 we introduce the Coarse-to-Fine Harmonious Subject Transfer stage. We retain the motion of other 203 subjects while erasing the original subject, then segment and resize the edited subject based on S_{size} . 204 and subsequently paste it back into the video to obtain V_{coarse} . This process provides visual refer-205 ences for background and scaled subjects. In the subsequent Fine-grained Subject Transfer phase, 206 we construct guidance using intra-frame spatial consistency and inter-frame temporal coherence to 207 ensure the seamlessly subject replacement, maintaining overall fluidity and continuity.

208 209 210

3.2 MOTION AND WORLD KNOWLEDGE ACQUISITION

In order to acquire sufficient prior knowledge for achieving harmonious video editing, we propose leveraging a multi-modal large model and a large language model to capture motion and world knowledge as shown in the upper-left part of Figure 2 under different shape .

Initially, to disentangle the influence of different moving subjects, we utilize the ability of the visionlanguage models Cheng et al. (2024) to comprehend videos. By providing prompts "Look at this 216 video and tell me which objects are moving, respond only with object names" to the VLM, we 217 can identify the moving subjects, which serves as preliminary support for isolating the independent 218 motion of the editing subject. Then, considering that prior work has never addressed how size and 219 shape difference affect motion preservation, we instruct the large language model Achiam et al. 220 (2023) to apply its common sense in determining the similarity of the subject's shape before and after editing, along with calculating the ratio of the size change. Specifically, we design two prompts 221 to enable the model to output S_{shape} and S_{size} , which are defined as follows: S_{shape} is obtained by 222 asking, 'Not considering the size, from 0 to 1 please rate the structural similarity between source subject and target subject, only output a rate.' Ssize is derived from the prompt, 'Estimate the size 224 ratio of an average source subject compared to an average target subject. Provide only a rate within 225 the range of 0.3 to 3.' Through this approach, we determine the relative shape and size variations 226 of subjects before and after replacement, providing a reference for selecting editing methods and 227 ensuring the size of the replaced subjects appears harmonious within the video. 228

229 230

3.3 SHAPE ADAPTED SUBJECT-CENTRIC EDITING



Figure 3: Toy Experiments. (a) Editing results of various guidance methods under different shape
transformations and on videos with cropped regions. (b) Visualization of appearance changes between frames after the erasure operation using the difference matrix of LPIPS intermediate layers.
This change is evaluated relative to the original video frames, red highlighting significant differences
before and after processing.

253

In order to make the edited subject better preserve the motion of the original subject under different shape transformations scenarios. We designed the toy experiments as shown in Figure 3(a).

256 In our experiments, we applied Global Guidance and Motion Guidance following two existing SOTA 257 methods Jeong et al. (2024a); Yatim et al. (2024) to edit local subject within a global video contain-258 ing multiple subjects. However, as shown in column 2, the generated videos either failed to maintain 259 the overall motion trajectory of the original subject (helicopter \rightarrow jet), or failed to preserve the finer 260 motion details effectively (dog \rightarrow white tiger). We speculate the issue stems from interactions be-261 tween different motions in the global video interfering with local subject editing. To verify this speculation, we extracted the motion of the target subject and edited it. We found that the replaced 262 subject in the generated video demonstrated a better preservation of both overall and detailed mo-263 tion. This indirectly suggests that the motion between different entities may interfere with each 264 other, thereby affecting the local editing effects on specific subjects within the overall video. 265

Furthermore, we realize that existing editing approaches each have their advantages. As shown in
column 3, Motion Guidance editing Yatim et al. (2024) excel in handling significant shape changes
but struggle to preserve the original video's fine-grained motion with subjects of similar shapes. In
contrast, Global Guidance editing Jeong et al. (2024a) can generate edited subjects while maintaining fine-grained motion, but is less effective with drastic shape changes.

270 Based on the observations mentioned above, we adopted Shape Adapted Subject-Centric Editing: 271 We first utilize a segmentation model Ravi et al. (2024) to extract masks of moving objects within 272 the video based on the previously obtained contextual motion information. Then, the original video 273 and the masks of non-editable subjects are served as inputs for a video erasing method Zhang et al. 274 (2022) to remove other moving subjects from the video, preventing them from affecting the motion extraction of the target subject. To further preserve the target's motion relative to the context in the 275 original video and to better focus on the appearance replacement of the editing subject, we calculate 276 the union space of the area occupied by the original target across frames in the video and cropped this portion for subsequent editing processes based on the mask of the original target. In order 278 to select the more advantageous model under different circumstances, we dynamically adjust the 279 model selection based on shape knowledge S_{shape} acquired from the previous stage. When there 280 is a drastic shape difference between the replacement subject and the original subject, we employ 281 the Motion guidance method Yatim et al. (2024). Conversely, when the shape difference is subtle, 282 we use the Global guidance method Jeong et al. (2024a). This design enables the model to achieve 283 better motion preservation of the original subject during the replacement process, regardless of the 284 degree of shape transformation.

285 286

287

292

3.4 COARSE-TO-FINE HARMONIOUS SUBJECT TRANSFER

The Coarse-to-Fine Harmonious Subject Transfer stage transfers the original subject to the edited subject while preserving the video's background, ensuring the edited subject remains contextually harmonious in size and motion. This stage is composed of two processes: Coarse Video Editing and Fine-grained Subject Transfer.

293 **Coarse Video Editing.** To ensure that the edited subject replaces the original subject in the video, maintaining harmonious size and motion, we proposed a Coarse Video Editing process. Using a 294 strategy similar to the previous step, we first erase the original subject in the video using a video 295 erasure model Zhang et al. (2022). Then, we resize the edited target subject based on S_{size} and 296 paste it into the position of the erased subject in the original video to create a coarse edited video 297 V_{coarse} . The position for pasting is determined by aligning the bottom centers of the bounding boxes 298 derived from the masks of both the original and edited subjects. Such editing process captures key 299 information about the target subject's appearance and motion, as well as background details in areas 300 where the shapes of the original and target subjects do not align, which is especially important when 301 the edited subject is smaller than the original. 302

303 Fine-grained Subject Transfer: Intra-frame Spatial Guidance. During the coarse editing 304 phase, thanks to our previous subject-centric editing design, a high-quality, motion-preserving, har-305 moniously resized edited subject can be presented in the coarse edited video, becoming the main 306 target for subsequent subject transformation. However, as illustrated in Figure 3(b), the erasure process affects not only the corresponding area of the erased subject mask but also its surroundings. 307 This suggests that erasure might impact nearby motion or background structures. To prevent this 308 from affecting the final generated video, we plan to extract only the resized edited subject and the 309 misaligned background area from the coarse edited video, while striving to keep the original scene's 310 background unchanged. For this purpose, we designed the intra-frame Spatial Guidance, which is 311 based on two functional components that correspond to our two objectives: First, to achieve motion 312 retention of the resized generated subject and the misaligned background information from Coarse 313 Edited Video, we designed $\mathcal{E}_{S}^{\text{coarse}}$:

314 315 316

322

323

$$\mathcal{E}_{S}^{\text{coarse}} = \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta \cdot \mathcal{S}_{S}\left(\mathbf{F}_{t}^{\text{gen}}, \mathbf{F}_{t}^{\text{coarse}}, \mathbf{M}_{u}\right)}$$
(1)

Here, M_u is obtained by calculating the union of the masks between the resized edited subject and the original subject. Based on this mask, the resized edited subject and the background information of misaligned region can be accurately extracted from the roughly edited video. In a similar way, to keep the background of the original video in the generated video, we designed $\mathcal{E}_S^{\text{origin}}$:

 $\mathcal{E}_{S}^{\text{origin}} = \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta \cdot \mathcal{S}_{S} \left(\mathbf{F}_{t}^{\text{gen}}, \mathbf{F}_{t}^{\text{origin}}, 1 - \mathbf{M}_{u} \right)}$ (2)

where \mathbf{F}_{*} is from the extracted intermediate features in the UNet decoder. Specifically, following Mou et al. (2023), Mou et al. (2024), $\mathbf{F}_{t}^{\text{gen}}$ is extracted following from $\mathbf{z}_{t}^{\text{gen}}$ at the current time step, while $\mathbf{F}_{t}^{\text{origin}}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{t}^{\text{coarse}}$ come from $\mathbf{z}_{t}^{\text{origin}}$ and $\mathbf{z}_{t}^{\text{coarse}}$ stored in the DDIM Inversion process for V_{origin} and V_{coarse} . and S_{S} is the energy function based on image feature correspondence to guide our model for subject transfer:

$$\mathcal{S}_{S}\left(\mathbf{F}_{1}, \mathbf{F}_{2}, \mathbf{mask}\right) = 0.5 \cdot \cos\left(\mathbf{F}_{1}\left[\mathbf{mask}\right], \operatorname{sg}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\left[\mathbf{mask}\right]\right)\right) + 0.5 \tag{3}$$

Where sg(·) is the gradient clipping operation. In this way, the final conditional gradient Song et al. (2020) for intra-frame spatial guidance \mathcal{E}_S is :

333 334 335

336

330 331

332

$$\nabla_{z_t} \mathcal{E}_S = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla_{z_t} \mathcal{E}_S^{\text{coarse}} + (1 - \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{u}}) \cdot \nabla_{z_t} \mathcal{E}_S^{\text{origin}}$$
(4)

337 Fine-grained Subject Transfer: Inter-frame Temporal Guidance The above steps effectively 338 achieve size-harmonious subject replacement while preserving the background, but they operate as a frame-independent optimization method without considering the temporal correlation between 339 frames. Such per-frame operations can lead to localized distortions and notable flickering in the 340 optimized frames Ma et al. (2023); Jeong et al. (2024a). To address this problem, we introduce 341 inter-frame Temporal Guidance, which enhances feature consistency by leveraging the correlation 342 of corresponding features between video frames. To construct inter-frame consistency, we similarly 343 build an energy function between frames based on the correlation of intermediate layer features in 344 the Unet according to Tang et al. (2023): 345

346 347 348

 $\mathcal{S}_T(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{M}) = \{Sim(\mathbf{F}_i[\mathbf{M}_i], \mathbf{F}_j[\mathbf{M}_j]) \mid i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ (5)

Where *n* is the total number of frames in the video, *i* and *j* correspond to the indices of different frames. For $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times c}$ and $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times c}$, Sim(X, Y) is the matrix obtained by calculating the cosine similarity between the corresponding row vectors X[i, :] and Y[j, :], with a size of $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. $S(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{M})$ is a set of inter-frame similarity matrices, consisting of n^2 matrices.

Moreover, based on the concept of constructing intra-frame Spatial Guidance, for background regions, we ensure the feature similarity matrix between frames is consistent with the original video, while for editing regions, it aligns with the coarse edited video. This is because subject motion from subject-centric editing is well preserved, but background inpainting distortions can accumulate over frames Zhou et al. (2023). Therefore, our guidance function based on the original video and the coarse-edited video is as follows:

359 360 361

362

364 365

367 368 369 $\mathcal{E}_{T}^{\text{coarse}} = \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta \cdot \text{Mean}(\cos(\mathcal{S}_{T}\left(\mathbf{F}_{t}^{\text{gen}}, \mathbf{M}_{u}\right), \mathcal{S}_{T}\left(\text{sg}\left(\mathbf{F}_{t}^{\text{coarse}}\right), \mathbf{M}_{u}\right)))}$ (6)

$$\mathcal{E}_{T}^{\text{origin}} = \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta \cdot \text{Mean}(\cos(\mathcal{S}_{T}\left(\mathbf{F}_{t}^{\text{gen}}, 1 - \mathbf{M}_{u}\right), \mathcal{S}_{T}\left(\text{sg}\left(\mathbf{F}_{t}^{\text{origin}}\right), 1 - \mathbf{M}_{u}\right)))}$$
(7)

Finally, the conditional gradient Song et al. (2020) for inter-frame temporal consistency \mathcal{E}_T :

$$\nabla_{z_t} \mathcal{E}_T = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{u}} * \nabla_{z_t} \mathcal{E}_T^{\text{coarse}} + (1 - \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{u}}) * \nabla_{z_t} \mathcal{E}_T^{\text{origin}}$$
(8)

Total gradient is calculated as shown below, where μ_S and μ_T are weights for different guidance.

$$\nabla_{z_t} \mathcal{E} = \mu_S \nabla_{z_t} \mathcal{E}_S + \mu_T \nabla_{z_t} \mathcal{E}_T \tag{9}$$

371 372

Fine-grained Subject Transfer: Inflating T2I Diffusion Model Since our inter-frame temporal guidance requires the model to enable inter-frame information interaction, we inflate this part following Wu et al. (2023a): modifying the U-Net's 3 × 3 convolutions to 1 × 3 × 3 and replacing spatial attention with sparse spatio-temporal attention This uses frame embeddings as queries and current and adjacent frame embeddings as keys and values, ensuring better spatiotemporal context integration.



Figure 4: **Qualitative Results.** Our model can achieve local editing under various shape transformations, and present them at a harmonious size in the preserved video context.

4 EXPERIMENTS

399

400 401 402

403 404

405

4.1 EXPERIMENTAL SETTINGS

Dateset To build a multi-subject video dataset, we collected 40 videos from TGVE Wu et al. 406 (2023b), DAVIS Caelles et al. (2019), YouTube-VOS Xu et al. (2018), Animal Kingdom Ng et al. 407 (2022) and the Internet. Almost all of the raw videos have a resolution exceeding 512x512, with the 408 majority surpassing 1920x1080. These raw videos vary in duration and are characterized by clarity, 409 stationary camera motion, and the presence of other moving subjects or reference elements(such as 410 furniture, trees) besides the main edited subject. To showcase the diversity of subjects in natural 411 scenes, our edited video subjects include various categories, such as humans, animals, and vehicles. 412 For each video, we select segments without subject occlusions to extract frames, resulting in video 413 versions with 24 frames and 8 frames, allowing them to accommodate methods which need different 414 editing lengths. Subsequently, we use the captions extracted by the VideoLLaMA2 Cheng et al. 415 (2024) as the original text prompts, and then employ GPT-4 Achiam et al. (2023) to generate editing 416 prompts aimed at replacing the original subject with a new subject, followed by manual adjustments. It is worth noting that, considering our task settings, we will generate editing prompts where both the 417 shape and size of the original subject undergo some changes. Through the aforementioned process, 418 we obtained a multi-subject video dataset consisting of 60 triplets, each containing two different-419 length videos (24 frames and 8 frames), the original prompt, and the editing prompt, which provides 420 a sufficient quantity for the field of video editing. 421

422 423 4.2 QUALITATIVE RESULTS

424 In our experiments, we conducted three types of video editing which include editing objects into 425 ones that may be similar in shape but resized to be smaller or larger, as well as into objects with 426 significant shape changes. We compare our method with the following open-sourced State-of-the-427 Art video editing approaches: Diffusion motion transfer (DMT) Yatim et al. (2024), Fatezero Qi 428 et al. (2023), Tokenflow Geyer et al. (2023) and Rave Kara et al. (2024). Qualitative results are shown in the figure 4. As observed in the fourth and fifth rows, FateZero and TokenFlow either 429 fail to manage significant shape alterations or only perform texture replacement without modifying 430 the original object's geometry. DMT is able to successfully edit the subject while meeting the 431 requirements for shape and size changes but it fails to maintain consistency with the background

Dino '

64.73

66.53 65.43

71.96

73 20

Masked LPIPS(target) ↑

0.01742

0.03030

0.01774

0.04559

0.04927

CLIP-T↑

29.90

31.20

31.01

31.43

31.54

FateZero

Rave

Dmt

Ours

CLIP-F 1

96.78

95.71 96.32

95.90

95 36

432 433

4	3	4	
4	3	5	

436 437

438 439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449 450

451

Table 1:	Quantitative evaluations.	

Masked LPIPS(background) ↓

0.2052

0.3607 0.2562

0.5102

0.2083

Edit-Acc↑

2.31

2.93

2.42

3.64

Frame-Con 1

3.95

3.76 3.77

4.05

BM-Preserve

3.95

3.22 3.53

2.62

3.90

Harmony-Score ↑

274

2.37 2.25

3.18

4.05

of the original video. For instance, in the left video of the second row, DMT also changes the original person's clothing to white. RAVE can achieve some required object changes specified in the text, and it maintains the background quite well. However, if the differences in size and shape between the edited object and the original object are large, it can not handle well. For example, after transforming the bus into a taxi, the size and shape structure of the subject remain unchanged.

Thanks to the incorporation of world knowledge, the selection of editing methods based on the degree of shape changes, and the design that maintains consistency after size changes in both spatial and temporal dimensions, our approach robustly edits both the size and shape of objects while preserving the background structure and appearance of the original video, effectively addressing the issues present in the results of other methods. More results shall be viewed here.

4.3 QUANTITATIVE COMPARISON FOR MULTI-OBJECT LOCAL EDITING

452 We conducted a quantitative evaluation on our proposed dataset. In addition to following previous 453 works by using CLIP-T and CLIP-F Radford et al. (2021) to assess video-text consistency and interframe consistency, we introduced the Dino Liu et al. (2023) to more determine whether the subject 454 replacement was successfully edited, as previous works Yuksekgonul et al. (2023) have shown that 455 CLIP struggles to understand combination information in multi-subject video captions. (We en-456 sured that the replaced subject does not exist in the original video.) To evaluate the preservation of 457 non-edited areas, we combined a segmentation model with LPIPS distance Zhang et al. (2018) (see 458 Table 1, Masked LPIPS (background)). We segmented the replaced subject in all generated videos 459 based on its text description; if the segmentation model failed, we used the original subject's mask 460 instead. We calculated the union of the masks for each edited subject and used it to mask areas 461 when computing the LPIPS score between edited and original videos, ensuring a fair comparison of 462 non-edited area preservation. Similarly, we assessed the similarity between the edited and original 463 videos in the original subject's area (see Table 1, Masked LPIPS (target)) by calculating the LPIPS score within the corresponding mask. Given that other models often fail to edit effectively under 464 our setup (see Figure 4), this metric indicates whether the original subject has been altered, with a 465 lower score signifying unsuccessful replacement. As shown, our method achieved the best results 466 in Dino, CLIP-T, and Masked LPIPS (target), and also obtained the best scores in Masked LPIPS 467 (background), indicating that our method successfully performs editing while preserving the back-468 ground consistency of the original video as much as possible. Although our method scores slightly 469 lower in CLIP-F, it may be because other methods, which have a lower success rate of editing on 470 our dataset, generate videos that are more similar to the original, thus preserving the original video's 471 motion Cong et al. (2023). 472

User study. 25 Participants are involved and asked to watch the input video and then the anonymized 473 output videos from each baseline. They were then asked to rate four questions on a scale of 1 to 5: (i) 474 Edit Accuracy (Edit-Acc): Does the output video accurately reflect the target text by appropriately 475 editing all relevant elements? (ii) Frame Consistency (Frame-Con): Are the frames in the output 476 video temporally consistent? (iii) Background and Motion Preservation (BM-Preseve): Have the 477 motion and non-edited parts of the input video been accurately preserved? (iv) The harmony of size 478 change (Harmony-Score): Is the size change reasonable in the output video? Table 1 shows that 479 our method demonstrates excellent performance across all evaluation metrics, performing well in 480 inter-frame consistency and successfully maintaining a reasonable size for the edited subject in the 481 original video.

482

484

483 4.4 ABLATION STUDY

In view of the relative independence of the various modules in our method, the ablation here mainly focuses on the Fine-grained Subject Transfer module. As shown in the process of transferring a dog



Figure 5: Ablation Study for Fine-grained Subject Transfer. With the introduction of various modules, the integration between the subject and the scene becomes increasingly seamless.



Figure 6: **General Editing.** Our method can be adapted to handle common video editing tasks like background replacement(left), style transformation (middle) and part modification (right).

to a white tiger in Figure 5, directly copy-pasting introduces issues such as the loss of contextual details like shadows and leashes, as well as jagged and unnatural edges around the subject. This leads to an integration that appears disjointed and lacks harmony. By utilizing Intra-frame Spatial Guidance technology, we can seamlessly blend the edited subject with the original video background, and reintroduce background details during the editing process. However, since this process is essentially a single-frame editing operation, it is prone to issues such as distortion and flickering. By utilizing the inflated image diffusion model and introducing Inter-frame Temporal Guidance, we leverage inter-frame constraints to mitigate the impact of such issues.

4.5 GENERAL LOCAL EDITING ABILITY

As shown in Figure 6, our method can be adapted to handle common video editing tasks previously addressed by other works (e.g., TGVE Wu et al. (2023b)), such as background replacement, style transformation and part modification. Our method offers significant flexibility for editing by merging attributes from multiple edits. For example, we can adjust the subject's size harmonious with background changes, alter the background style while preserving the original subject and change only the backpack of a person.

5 CONCLUSION

Our proposed video editing pipeline addresses the task of contextually harmonious local video editing. This approach tackles the challenges of subject replacement and size adjustment in complex backgrounds and multi-subject scenes, ensuring that edited subjects retain their original motion characteristics and fit harmoniously into the video context at an appropriate size and shape. To validate our approach, we created a specialized video dataset focused on contextually harmonious local video editing. This dataset comprises high-quality videos featuring a wide range of subject categories, including scenes with multiple subjects and contextual reference objects. Experimental results demonstrate that our method achieves state-of-the-art performance in such setting.

540 REFERENCES 541

548

549

550

551

577

578

579

581

- Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Ale-542 man, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. Gpt-4 technical 543 report. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774, 2023. 544
- Yogesh Balaji, Seungjun Nah, Xun Huang, Arash Vahdat, Jiaming Song, Qinsheng Zhang, Karsten 546 Kreis, Miika Aittala, Timo Aila, Samuli Laine, et al. ediff-i: Text-to-image diffusion models with an ensemble of expert denoisers. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.01324, 2022. 547
 - Sergi Caelles, Jordi Pont-Tuset, Federico Perazzi, Alberto Montes, Kevis-Kokitsi Maninis, and Luc Van Gool. The 2019 davis challenge on vos: Unsupervised multi-object segmentation. arXiv:1905.00737, 2019.
- Zesen Cheng, Sicong Leng, Hang Zhang, Yifei Xin, Xin Li, Guanzheng Chen, Yongxin Zhu, Wenqi 552 Zhang, Ziyang Luo, Deli Zhao, et al. Videollama 2: Advancing spatial-temporal modeling and 553 audio understanding in video-llms. arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.07476, 2024. 554
- 555 Yuren Cong, Mengmeng Xu, Christian Simon, Shoufa Chen, Jiawei Ren, Yanping Xie, Juan-Manuel 556 Perez-Rua, Bodo Rosenhahn, Tao Xiang, and Sen He. Flatten: optical flow-guided attention for consistent text-to-video editing. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.05922, 2023.
- Michal Geyer, Omer Bar-Tal, Shai Bagon, and Tali Dekel. Tokenflow: Consistent diffusion features 559 for consistent video editing. arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.10373, 2023. 560
- Amir Hertz, Ron Mokady, Jay Tenenbaum, Kfir Aberman, Yael Pritch, and Daniel Cohen-561 Or. Prompt-to-prompt image editing with cross attention control.(2022). URL https://arxiv. 562 org/abs/2208.01626, 2022. 563
- 564 Hyeonho Jeong and Jong Chul Ye. Ground-a-video: Zero-shot grounded video editing using text-565 to-image diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.01107, 2023.
- 566 Hyeonho Jeong, Jinho Chang, Geon Yeong Park, and Jong Chul Ye. Dreammotion: Space-time 567 self-similarity score distillation for zero-shot video editing. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.12002, 568 2024a. 569
- 570 Hyeonho Jeong, Geon Yeong Park, and Jong Chul Ye. Vmc: Video motion customization using 571 temporal attention adaption for text-to-video diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF* Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 9212–9221, 2024b. 572
- 573 Ozgur Kara, Bariscan Kurtkaya, Hidir Yesiltepe, James M Rehg, and Pinar Yanardag. Rave: Ran-574 domized noise shuffling for fast and consistent video editing with diffusion models. In Proceed-575 ings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 6507–6516, 576 2024.
 - Yoni Kasten, Dolev Ofri, Oliver Wang, and Tali Dekel. Layered neural atlases for consistent video editing. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 40(6):1-12, 2021.
- 580 Soo Bum Lee, Min Suk Kang, and Virgil D Gligor. Codef: Collaborative defense against largescale link-flooding attacks. In Proceedings of the ninth ACM conference on Emerging networking experiments and technologies, pp. 417–428, 2013. 582
- 583 Feng Liang, Bichen Wu, Jialiang Wang, Licheng Yu, Kunpeng Li, Yinan Zhao, Ishan Misra, Jia-Bin 584 Huang, Peizhao Zhang, Peter Vajda, et al. Flowvid: Taming imperfect optical flows for consistent 585 video-to-video synthesis. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and 586 Pattern Recognition, pp. 8207–8216, 2024.
- Jia-Wei Liu, Yan-Pei Cao, Jay Zhangjie Wu, Weijia Mao, Yuchao Gu, Rui Zhao, Jussi Keppo, Ying 588 Shan, and Mike Zheng Shou. Dynvideo-e: Harnessing dynamic nerf for large-scale motion-589 and view-change human-centric video editing. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on 590 Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 7664–7674, 2024.
- Shilong Liu, Zhaoyang Zeng, Tianhe Ren, Feng Li, Hao Zhang, Jie Yang, Chunyuan Li, Jianwei 592 Yang, Hang Su, Jun Zhu, et al. Grounding dino: Marrying dino with grounded pre-training for open-set object detection. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.05499, 2023.

594 Yue Ma, Xiaodong Cun, Yingqing He, Chenyang Qi, Xintao Wang, Ying Shan, Xiu Li, and Qifeng 595 Chen. Magicstick: Controllable video editing via control handle transformations. arXiv preprint 596 arXiv:2312.03047, 2023. 597 Chong Mou, Xintao Wang, Jiechong Song, Ying Shan, and Jian Zhang. Dragondiffusion: Enabling 598 drag-style manipulation on diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.02421, 2023. 600 Chong Mou, Xintao Wang, Jiechong Song, Ying Shan, and Jian Zhang. Diffeditor: Boosting accu-601 racy and flexibility on diffusion-based image editing. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference 602 on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 8488-8497, 2024. 603 Xun Long Ng, Kian Eng Ong, Qichen Zheng, Yun Ni, Si Yong Yeo, and Jun Liu. Animal kingdom: 604 A large and diverse dataset for animal behavior understanding. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF 605 conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 19023–19034, 2022. 606 607 Chenyang Qi, Xiaodong Cun, Yong Zhang, Chenyang Lei, Xintao Wang, Ying Shan, and Qifeng 608 Chen. Fatezero: Fusing attentions for zero-shot text-based video editing. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 15932–15942, 2023. 609 610 Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, 611 Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual 612 models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pp. 613 8748-8763. PMLR, 2021. 614 Nikhila Ravi, Valentin Gabeur, Yuan-Ting Hu, Ronghang Hu, Chaitanya Ryali, Tengyu Ma, Haitham 615 Khedr, Roman Rädle, Chloe Rolland, Laura Gustafson, et al. Sam 2: Segment anything in images 616 and videos. arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.00714, 2024. 617 618 Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-619 resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF confer-620 ence on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 10684–10695, 2022. 621 Yang Song, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Diederik P Kingma, Abhishek Kumar, Stefano Ermon, and Ben 622 Poole. Score-based generative modeling through stochastic differential equations. arXiv preprint 623 arXiv:2011.13456, 2020. 624 625 Luming Tang, Menglin Jia, Qianqian Wang, Cheng Perng Phoo, and Bharath Hariharan. Emergent 626 correspondence from image diffusion. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 36: 627 1363-1389, 2023. 628 Narek Tumanyan, Michal Geyer, Shai Bagon, and Tali Dekel. Plug-and-play diffusion features for 629 text-driven image-to-image translation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Com-630 puter Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 1921–1930, 2023. 631 632 Jay Zhangjie Wu, Yixiao Ge, Xintao Wang, Stan Weixian Lei, Yuchao Gu, Yufei Shi, Wynne Hsu, 633 Ying Shan, Xiaohu Qie, and Mike Zheng Shou. Tune-a-video: One-shot tuning of image diffusion models for text-to-video generation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference 634 on Computer Vision, pp. 7623-7633, 2023a. 635 636 Jay Zhangjie Wu, Xiuyu Li, Difei Gao, Zhen Dong, Jinbin Bai, Aishani Singh, Xiaoyu Xiang, 637 Youzeng Li, Zuwei Huang, Yuanxi Sun, et al. Cvpr 2023 text guided video editing competition. 638 arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.16003, 2023b. 639 Ning Xu, Linjie Yang, Yuchen Fan, Dingcheng Yue, Yuchen Liang, Jianchao Yang, and Thomas 640 Huang. Youtube-vos: A large-scale video object segmentation benchmark. arXiv preprint 641 arXiv:1809.03327, 2018. 642 643 Xiangpeng Yang, Linchao Zhu, Hehe Fan, and Yi Yang. Eva: Zero-shot accurate attributes and 644 multi-object video editing. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.16111, 2024. 645 Danah Yatim, Rafail Fridman, Omer Bar-Tal, Yoni Kasten, and Tali Dekel. Space-time diffusion 646 features for zero-shot text-driven motion transfer. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference 647 on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 8466-8476, 2024.

648 649 650	Mert Yuksekgonul, Federico Bianchi, Pratyusha Kalluri, Dan Jurafsky, and James Zou. When and why vision-language models behave like bags-of-words, and what to do about it? In <i>The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations</i> , 2023.
652 653	Kaidong Zhang, Jingjing Fu, and Dong Liu. Flow-guided transformer for video inpainting. In <i>European Conference on Computer Vision</i> , pp. 74–90. Springer, 2022.
654 655 656	Richard Zhang, Phillip Isola, Alexei A Efros, Eli Shechtman, and Oliver Wang. The unreasonable effectiveness of deep features as a perceptual metric. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 586–595, 2018.
657 658 659 660	Yabo Zhang, Yuxiang Wei, Dongsheng Jiang, Xiaopeng Zhang, Wangmeng Zuo, and Qi Tian. Con- trolvideo: Training-free controllable text-to-video generation. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.13077</i> , 2023.
661 662 663	Shangchen Zhou, Chongyi Li, Kelvin CK Chan, and Chen Change Loy. Propainter: Improving propagation and transformer for video inpainting. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision</i> , pp. 10477–10486, 2023.
665 666	
668 669 670	
671 672	
674 675	
677 678 678	
680 681 682	
683 684 685	
686 687 688	
689 690	
692 693	
695 696	
698 699	
701	