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Abstract. Since the mid 2000s’, the Linked Data principles, and the tools they inspired, became a solid foundation for a decentralized yet connected Web of Data where static (or slowly evolving) data can be shared and traversed. However, the growing popularity of (fast) streaming data is now calling for an extension of Linked Data towards a Web of Data Streams. In this paper, we identify several challenges to solve towards this vision. Moreover, we present VoIS, a Vocabulary of Interlinked Streams, and we show how it contributes in addressing such challenges.

1 Introduction

The vision of a Web of Data Streams– an ecosystem of streaming and static data published and consumed by intelligent systems following Linked Data principles – is appealing as never before. This is thanks to the availability on the Web of data sources like social media, news-feeds and application logs, which are both natively data stream and Web-based.

Since the late 90s, the popularity of streaming data grew every year [2]. The Semantic Web did – and it is still doing – its part [7]. Even if processing data stream, using extensions of Web technologies, captured most of the attention, still a decentralized Web of Data Streams was firstly envisioned by Sequeda at al [13], echoed by Barbieri et al [5] and, finally, prototyped by Mauri et al [11].

The Web of Data Streams scenario promises interesting use-cases, but can we handle streams in Linked Data applications? We analyzed the status of streams in relation of Linked Data principles in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linked Data Principles</th>
<th>Static Data</th>
<th>Data Streams</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decentralised URI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross Links</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metadata</td>
<td>VoID, DCat, DC terms</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Served with HTTP</td>
<td>RDF/HTML</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards</td>
<td>RDF &amp; SPARQL</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. How linked data best practice apply to Streaming Data
Like static data, streams are references using URIs. Cross Links, i.e. the navigation from one data source to another, is possible from data items flowing in the streams to Linked Data, but it is unclear how the opposite can work in the general case, due to the volatile nature of those flowing data items.

Stream representation is an open problem. While many vocabulary are available to describe datasets, e.g. VoID, Dcat, DC terms, none of them is suitable to represent a stream. Listing 1.1 clearly shows that some information is missing when we deal with streaming data.

The semantic web, in the context of Semantic Sensor Networks and Internet of Things, mostly focused on representing stream sources, e.g. sensors, or streaming data, i.e. observations. Both the approaches do not require extensions in terms of serving protocols. On the other hand, streams are not served via HTTP.

Listing 1.1. VoID limits for stream representation

```
:MilanTrafficDS a void:Dataset ; # No datastream concept
dcterms:subject dbo:Traffic ;
dcterms:title "Milan traffic stream" ;
terms:license 
  https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/ ;
foaf:homepage <http://www.example.com/> ;
void:vocabulary <http://dbpedia.org/> ;
void:dataDump <http://www.example.com/dump.ttl> ;

:Window1 a void:Dataset ;
dcterms:title "Window of Milan traffic stream" ;
dcterms:description "Window of Milan traffic stream" ;
```

Linked Data Notification (LDN) [6] is a recent approach that enables asynchronous communications between decentralized actors. Although LDN captures the idea of a dynamic environment and focuses on the transmission of linked data (not necessarily bounded to the HTTP protocol), it does offer a solution to describe the data stream itself.

Velocity in Linked Data [8] was always seen as changes/updates and handled by means of versioning systems capable of answering comparative SPARQL queries across historical instances. Unfortunately, this mechanisms does not scale to scenarios where changes happens extremely frequently like in data streams. Indeed, the Stream Reasoning community defined SPARQL extensions that can specifically target streaming data by means of the continuous semantics, however, much ad LDN, they focus on the description and the processing of the flowing data items without paying much attention to describe the data stream.

In this paper, we investigate the following research question: Can we represent and publish data stream on the Web as Linked Data? In order to answer such a research question, we designed VoIS[1], i.e. a Vocabulary of Interlinked Datastreams (VoIS), which is the main contribute of this paper.

[1] https://github.com/streamreasoning/vois
The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reports on the requirement analysis we conducted starting from the challenge we perceive in Web of Data Streams. Section 3 illustrates the overall solution we propose with our Vocabulary of Interlinked Datastreams (VoIS). Section 4 explains how VoIS can be use to address the challenges introduced in Section 2. In Section 5, we position VoIS w.r.t. the State-of-the-Art. Finally, in Section 6, we draw some conclusions.

2 From Challenges to a Requirement Analysis

In this section, we present four challenges that we perceive in the Web of Data Streams. By discussing them, we elicit the requirements for a vocabulary able to positively answer our research question. Table 2 summarizes our requirement analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stream Discovery</td>
<td>Metadata are required to enable lookup, selection, linking, and licensing of streaming data sources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream Access</td>
<td>Applications must be able to negotiate access to data via streaming protocols and standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream Recall</td>
<td>At least the most recent part of a data stream must be accessed via Linked Data standards and protocols.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provenance</td>
<td>The provenance of operations that can generate, manipulate or delete a stream must be tracked.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Challenges and requirements in the Web of Data Streams

**Stream Discovery.** For a Linked Data application, discovery is the process of finding available dataset that are relevant to a certain task [12]. The process is based on the dataset metadata (i.e. void:DatasetDescription) and available endpoints (e.g. void:sparqlEndpoint).

A vocabulary must enable the discovery process by specifying which annotations are relevant for a data stream, e.g. stream rate, source and content information. Moreover, it is worth to mention that licensing plays a crucial role in a decentralized Web environment where actors can exchange data for goods.

**Stream Access.** In Linked Data, data access usually refers to the problems of either fetching a data dump or delegating the execution of a query to some SPARQL endpoint.

Generally, streaming data are not served using HTTP nor queried using Linked Data standards (RDF/SPARQL). It is rather provisioned via streaming APIs or queried by means of systems that support continuous queries.

A vocabulary must define how to access streams, what are the available options and, possibly, who can access them. Moreover, it must describe, if the access requires extension to the content negotiation mechanisms, e.g. beyond the usage of HTTP.
Changes in Linked Data are usually handled by versioning system and data dumps; this allows to query the previous version of a dataset by means of specialized endpoints and SPARQL extensions [7].

Unfortunately, a general assumption for stream processing is that streams are theoretically unbounded and it is not possible (or meaningful) to store them entirely. This, practically, means that any attempt to access streaming data with one-time queries hardly ends up with the same result twice.

A vocabulary must explain how to access past data when possible and how we can possibly do it using one-time access to data issued via traditional protocols (i.e. HTTP) and standards (RDF/SPARQL).

Provenance. A final challenge regards tracking the provenance of those processes that generated or manipulate a data stream. Indeed, discovery, processing and recall need to trust the data provisioner, e.g. licensing, as well as they have to evaluate the semantics of the data stream, e.g. source substitution or composition.

A vocabulary must capture the transformations that might influence both the provenance of a stream as a whole and the one of the various time-varying data items that flow in the data stream.

3 Vocabulary of Interlinked Datastreams (VoIS)

In this section, we introduce VoIS, i.e. the Vocabulary of Interlinked Datastreams (VoIS), which extends VoID to represent streams as Linked Data.

As depicted in Figure 1, the following abstractions – i.e. VoIS core – regulate the access to a stream: (i) a vois:DataStream is an unbounded sequences of time-varying data elements i.e. (ii) vois:InstantaneousStreamElement, which is usually served though a streaming API [4]. Streams metadata are available within a (iii) vois:DataStreamDescription, which is a Web resource that can be accessed through HTTP. Finally a recent portion of the time-varying data items that flowed on the stream, i.e. (iv) vois:FiniteStreamPartition, are made available via HTTP to enable consuming part of the stream with standard SPARQL (one-time) queries.
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Fig. 2. How VoIS abstractions allows representing time-varying data items in the streaming–side of a λ architecture.

VoIS design follows the λ-architecture [10] – an architectural pattern typical of big data applications. In order to deal with data velocity, a pipeline that computes the results on-the-fly is placed side-by-side to the one that performs batch computations with a coarser time granularity. Figure 2 shows how VoIS abstractions allows representing time-varying data items in the streaming side of this architecture. Note that FSP stays for Finite Stream Portion and the leftmost FSP is not linked because old enough FSP can be forgotten from the streaming–side of the λ-architecture, since they are now available from the the batch–side. The batch side, which is not illustrated, can be implemented with Linked Data.

4 Addressing Challenges with VoIS

In this section, we show how VoIS solves the challenges we presented in Section 2. Table 3 summarizes how each requirement is satisfied in VoIS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>VoIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stream Discovery</td>
<td>DataStream, SourceDescription and StreamDescription.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream Access</td>
<td>StreamEndpoint(ws), RSPEndpoint, SPARQLendpoint.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream Recall</td>
<td>FiniteStreamPartition, Windowing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provenance</td>
<td>S2S, S2R, R2S, R2R? operators using PROV-O.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Challenges and VoIS solutions for a Web of Data Streams

Stream Discovery. As mentioned in Section 2, traditional static data discovery is based on the dataset and endpoint metadata. On the other hand, we identify that for data streams different kinds of metadata should be present:

- stream metadata, i.e. time-varying metadata that refers to the stream content (e.g. rate),
– source metadata, i.e. metadata that refer to the source of the stream (e.g.
sensor capabilities),
– publication metadata, that connects sources, streams and possible samples
and makes the stream actually findable by means of registry or repositories.

VoIS allows to capture all these levels of metadata by means
of three classes vois:DataStream, vois:StreamSourceDescription, and
vois:StreamDescription. Figure 3 depicts the structure of the representation,
while Listing 1.2 shows an example that uses Dublin Core\(^2\) and VoID vocabu-
laries for stream metadata about subject and licensing. SSN is used to describe
the source, which is a sensor. The vois:StreamDescription is the file itself.

Stream Access. In a Web of Data Streams, data are processed as soon as they
arrive by systems capable to deal with information flows. Streaming data access
relies either on protocols specifically designed to reduce the overhead of the re-
quest, or registering a continuous query to a Stream Processor that is exposed as
an endpoint. Either ways require to extend the Linked Data content negotiation
protocol to enable direct access and continuous querying, since streaming data
is not served using HTTP and SPARQL engines cannot query it.

\(^2\) http://dublincore.org/documents/dcmi-terms/
VoIS captures this extension allowing to describe as vois:Endpoint multiple endpoints to access a data stream. Moreover, it defines two types of endpoints widely used in stream processing: vois:StreamEndpoint that represents streaming API (e.g. WebSocket) [11] and vois:RSPEndpoint that refers to endpoints that expose methods to register continuous queries [3].

Stream Recall. While versioning is not a traditional stream processing use-case, in a Web of Data Streams, proving Liked Data compliant access to a recent part of past information serves to many applications.

In VoIS, we introduced two classes vois:InstantaneousStreamElement and vois:FiniteStreamPartition for this reason. As the name suggests, the former represents a single data item in the stream with temporal metadata, while the latter is a sample that contains stream elements. More precisely, we defined vois:Windows as partitions that were generated according to certain criteria and correspond to a time interval that contains some stream elements.

An crucial point is maintaining the order between stream elements. Indeed, vois:InstantaneousStreamElement are linked together by means of the property vois:previousElement. Similarly, also vois:Windows form a linked list through the property vois:previousWindow.

Figure 5 illustrates the relation between window and stream elements. Moreover, thanks to this two abstraction it is possible to locate and access stream data items in the past exploiting the temporal and position metadata as shown in Listing 1.3.
Provenance. As mentioned in Section 2, two are the types of transformation that can influence the provenance of a stream.

Figure 6 shows how the windowing mechanism is modeled in VoIS by means of PROV-O ontology. We used the Agent-Activity-Entity design pattern to represent the windowing action on a stream.

Figure 7 illustrates how to model Stream-level transformations in VoIS. A new relation `vois:hasAffluent` between streams was introduced to model the fact that a stream – namely a swollen one – is derived from others – namely affluent ones. Accordingly, two subtypes of streams are modeled: `vois:SwollenStream` to refer to a stream derived from other streams, and `vois:AffluentStream` to refer to stream contributing to a swollen stream.

---

Listing 1.3. SPARQL query retrieving window overlapping with specific time instance

```sparql
SELECT ?w
WHERE {
  ?w a vois:Window ; vois:startedAt ?instant .
  ?instant time:inXSDDateTime ?s .
  FILTER (?s > "2017-06-05T00:38:00.000"^^xsd:dateTime .)
}
```

Fig. 6. Modeling window using VoIS

Fig. 7. Using VoIS for provenance: Composition
5 Related Work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stream Discovery</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream Access</td>
<td>≃</td>
<td>≃</td>
<td>≃</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream Recall</td>
<td>≃</td>
<td>≃</td>
<td>≃</td>
<td>≃</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provenance</td>
<td>≃</td>
<td>≃</td>
<td>≃</td>
<td>≃</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Challenges and VoIS solutions for a Web of Data Streams

In this section, we discuss the related work and with regard to the challenges we presented in Section 2. Table 4 summarizes this analysis and compares it to VoIS.

**DCterms, DCAT and VoID.** Several vocabularies exist to describe dataset by means of metadata. *Dublin Core Terms* is the first one made to describe both physical and Web resources. It provides fifteen generic terms (eg. Title, Creator, Subject, Description,...etc). *Data Catalog Vocabulary (DCAT)* [9] is an RDF vocabulary designed to facilitate interoperability between data catalogs published on the Web. It focuses on describing how static data catalogs and datasets are accessible and distributed. *Vocabulary of Interlinked Datasets (VoID)* [1] is the most recent vocabulary work. It aims at describing RDF datasets and cross dataset links. VoID use cases comprises dataset discovery and selection, and query optimization. None of these vocabulary fully solves the challenges we identified for a Web of Data Streams. Indeed, they neither capture the dynamic nature of data stream nor to represent time-varying data elements. Although discovery is still possible relying on data source metadata, access is not taken into account. Recall can be partially achieved by creating dumps of the stream data. However, this approach does not scale well with streaming data. Finally, provenance can be tracked only for stream data sources.

**Linked Stream Data** [13]. Sequeda and Corcho proposed a URI based mechanism to identify and access stream data coming from sensor networks. This proposal takes into account temporal and spacial aspect that are relevant from a query perspective. LSD enables discovery by referencing streams. However, authors did not propose any protocol extensions that would support streaming access. Neither they discussed the problem of recalling old portion of the stream. Provenance is possible by means of data source descriptions (Sensors), but not in terms of stream transformations.

**Streaming Linked Data** [5]. Barbieri et al. proposed to publish data streams as Linked Data by means of an RSP engine. To this extent they introduced the concepts of Stream Graph (or s-graph) and Instantaneous Graph (or igraph). This makes possible fully solves the problem of discovery and we can partially track the provenance of the RSP engine activity. However, they did not

consider any protocol extension nor described the problem of recall. VoIS build on SLD introducing a better description of data stream access and provenance.

**Linked Data Notification (LDN)** [6]. Capadisli et al. proposed a protocol – now officially a W3C recommendation⁴ – that aims at making Web Notifications de-referenceable, persistent and reusable, i.e. compliant to Linked Data principles. To this extent, they designed a protocol orchestrates the communication between *senders*, *receives* and *consumers*. Stream Access might be possible using LDN since they are not bounded to any specific transmission protocols, although the communication methods between consumer and receives are RESTful. We can track the provenance of the involved actors, but not specifically stream transformations. The Stream Discovery and Recall challenges are not in the scope of the work, which targets communication between actors. Finally, neither Stream Discovery nor Recall challenges are in the scope of the work, which targets communication/sharing rather than exploration and querying.

### 6 Conclusion and Future Work

In this paper, we advocated Data Streams as part of the Linked Data ecosystem. To this extent, we presented four challenges that we perceived in the Web of Data Streams. We elicit the requirements for a vocabulary able to support machines in automatically addressing those challenges. And, last but not least, we contribute the Vocabulary of Interlinked Datastreams (VoIS).

VoIS has the potential to enable:

- **Stream Discovery** – it allows identifying streams that are relevant to one task according to the metadata the publishers used to describe the nature of their streams, the endpoints where they can be accessed and any other relevant information (encoded with a specialized vocabulary).
- **Stream Access** – it describes the information necessary to extend the Linked Data content negotiation approach in order to allow accessing data streams by the means of streaming APIs and consuming on the fly the time-varying data that flows on them.
- **Stream Recall**, i.e. it offers a way for a stream publisher to expose as Linked Data a recent portion of the (naturally unbounded) data streams and, thus, permits to issue one-time SPARQL query to recall recent time-varying data.
- **Provenance**, i.e. it enables provenance tracking in terms of stream source and transformations both at the level of the entire data stream and at the level of the time-varying data elements that flow on it.

As for future works, evaluating the vocabulary both functionally and statistically is our current priority. We plan to do the former by asking for reviews to Semantic Web / Stream Reasoning experts, Linked Data practitioners and students. We plan to do the latter following ontology evaluation best practices. Moreover, we are working on the implementations of a machinery (based on [3]) that practically demonstrates the advantages of using VoIS.

⁴ https://linkedresearch.org/ldn/
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