SYNFER: TOWARDS BOOSTING FACIAL EXPRESSION RECOGNITION WITH SYNTHETIC DATA

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

ABSTRACT

Facial expression datasets remain limited in scale due to privacy concerns, the subjectivity of annotations, and the labor-intensive nature of data collection. This limitation poses a significant challenge for developing modern deep learning-based facial expression analysis models, particularly foundation models, that rely on large-scale data for optimal performance. To tackle the overarching and complex challenge, we introduce SynFER (Synthesis of Facial Expressions with Refined Control), a novel framework for synthesizing facial expression image data based on high-level textual descriptions as well as more fine-grained and precise control through facial action units. To ensure the quality and reliability of the synthetic data, we propose a semantic guidance technique to steer the generation process and a pseudo-label generator to help rectify the facial expression labels for the synthetic images. To demonstrate the generation fidelity and the effectiveness of the synthetic data from SynFER, we conduct extensive experiments on representation learning using both synthetic data and real-world data. Experiment results validate the efficacy of the proposed approach and the synthetic data. Notably, our approach achieves a 67.23% classification accuracy on AffectNet when training solely with synthetic data equivalent to the AffectNet training set size, which increases to 69.84% when scaling up to five times the original size. Our code will be made publicly available.

028 029

031

004

006

008 009

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

024

025

026

027

1 INTRODUCTION

033 Facial Expression Recognition (FER) is at the forefront of advancing AI's ability to interpret human emotions, opening new frontiers for various human-centered applications. From automatic emotion detection to early interventions in mental health Ringeval et al. (2019), accurate pain assessment 035 Huang et al. (2024), and enhancing human-computer interaction Abdat et al. (2011), the potential impact of FER systems is profound Moin et al. (2023); Sajjad et al. (2023); Zhu & Luo (2023). In 037 recent years, learning-based FER models have gained significant traction due to their promising performances Li & Deng (2020); Zhang et al. (2021); Farzaneh & Qi (2021). However, despite recent advancements in network architectures and learning methodologies, the progress of existing FER 040 models has been hindered by the inadequate scale and quality of available training data, underscor-041 ing the need to expand datasets with high-quality data to push the boundaries of FER capabilities. 042

Existing FER datasets, such as CK+ (953 sequences) Lucey et al. (2010), FER-2013 (30,000 48×48 043 images) Barsoum et al. (2016), RAF-DB (29,672 images) Li et al. (2017), AFEW (113,355 images) 044 Dhall et al. (2017), and SFEW (1,766 images) Dhall et al. (2011), are small compared to popular image datasets for general image processing (e.g., ImageNet Deng et al. (2009) with 1.4 million 046 images and Laion Schuhmann et al. (2022) with billion-level data). While AffectNet Mollahos-047 seini et al. (2017) compiles a large number of facial images from the web, it still suffers from vital 048 drawbacks. A considerable portion of AffectNet's images are low-quality, and its annotations often contain incorrect labels, which impairs the training process of FER models Le et al. (2023); Yan et al. (2022). Consequently, the absence of high-quality and large-scale FER datasets has delayed 051 the development of FER foundation models. However, collecting a large-scale FER dataset with high-quality facial images and meticulous annotations is almost an unrealistic endeavor due to sub-052 stantial financial and time costs, ethical concerns around facial data collection, and limited resources for large-scale acquisition. Additionally, the subjective interpretation of facial expressions results in 065

066

067

068 069



Figure 1: (a) Examples of synthetic facial expression data generated by our SynFER model, (b) Comparison of training paradigms: training with real-world data versus training with synthetic facial expression data and (c) Performance boost from SynFER generated Data in supervised, selfsupervised, zero-shot, and few-shot (5-shot) learning tasks.

inconsistent labeling by annotators, which exacerbates variability and hinders the creation of reliable datasets.

To address the challenges in developing FER models, we turn to synthesizing high-quality facial 074 expression images paired with reliable labels. This approach draws inspiration from successful 075 strategies employed to expand annotated datasets for other computer vision tasks, such as seman-076 tic segmentation Baranchuk et al. (2021); Chen et al. (2019); Li et al. (2022a) and depth estimation 077 Atapour-Abarghouei & Breckon (2018); Cheng et al. (2020); Guizilini et al. (2022). These advances 078 leverage powerful generative models such as Stable Diffusion Rombach et al. (2022a) and DALL-E 079 Betker et al. (2023), which capture intricate natural image patterns. By tapping into these models, 080 researchers have generated realistic images with their corresponding annotations, thereby boosting 081 model performance. However, applying diffusion models to synthesize facial expression images 082 with reliable FER labels presents two major challenges. (1) the training sets used by these gener-083 ative models often lack diverse facial expression data, limiting their ability to produce images that capture subtle and nuanced emotional semantics; and (2) prior approaches to generate annotations 084 for synthetic images focused on tangible attributes such as pixel-wise layouts, or depth maps. In 085 contrast, facial expressions convey abstract and subjective emotions, making the generation of precise and reliable expression labels much more complex. To the best of our knowledge, none of the 087 existing methods can simultaneously conduct fine-grained control for facial expression generation 088 and generate robust categorical facial expression labels for face images. 089

In this paper, we present SynFER, the first framework capable of synthesizing unlimited, diverse 090 and realistic facial expression images paired with reliable expression labels, to drive advancements 091 in FER models. To address the shortcomings of existing FER datasets, which often lack expression-092 related text paired with facial images, we introduce FEText, a unique hybrid dataset created by curating and filtering data from existing FER and high-quality face datasets. This vision-language 094 dataset serves as the foundation for training our generative model to synthesize facial expression data. To ensure fine-grained control and faithful generation of facial expression images, we inject 096 facial action unit (FAU) information and semantic guidance from external pre-trained FER models. 097 Building upon this, we propose FERAnno, the first diffusion-based label calibrator for FER, which 098 automatically generates reliable annotations for the synthesized images. Together, these innovations position SynFER as a powerful tool for producing large-scale, high-quality facial expression data, 099 offering a significant resource for the development of FER models. 100

We investigate the effectiveness of the synthetic data across various learning paradigms, demonstrating consistent and modest improvement in model performance. As shown in Fig. 1(c), training with the synthetic data yields significant performance boosts across various learning paradigms. Notably, pre-training on the synthetic data (Fig. 1(b)) with MoCo v3 Chen et al. (2021) yields a significant performance boost of +2.90% on AffectNet, surpassing real-world data pre-training. In supervised learning, SynFER improves accuracy by +1.55% for the state-of-the-art FER model, POSTER++ Mao et al. (2024), on AffectNet. We further explore performance scaling of the synthetic data, revealing further gains as dataset size increases.

108 Our key contributions are as follows: (1) we introduce FEText, the first dataset of facial expression-109 related image-text pairs, providing a crucial resource for advancing FER tasks. (2) we propose 110 SynFER, the first diffusion-based data synthesis pipeline for FER, integrating FAUs information 111 and semantic guidance to achieve fine-grained control and faithful expression generation. (3) we 112 develop FERAnno, a novel diffusion-based label calibrator, designed to automatically refine and enhance the annotations of synthesized facial expression images. (4) extensive experiments across 113 various datasets and learning paradigms demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed SynFER, 114 validating the quality and scalability of its synthesized FER data. 115

116 117

118

2 RELATED WORK

119 Facial Expression Recognition (FER): Recent success in deep learning (DL) has largely boosted 120 the performance of the FER task, despite the substantial data requirements for training DL models. To address the limited training data in FER, previous methods mainly focus on developing different 121 learning paradigms, including semi-supervised learning Li et al. (2022b); Yu et al. (2023); Cho et al. 122 (2024), transfer learning Li et al. (2022c); Ruan et al. (2022) and multi-task learning Liu et al. 123 (2023b); Li et al. (2023a). For example, Ada-CM Li et al. (2022b) learns a confidence margin to 124 make full use of the unlabeled facial expression data in a semi-supervised manner. Despite achieving 125 performance gains for FER, these methods remain constrained by limited data. Recently, researchers 126 have explored an alternative data-driven perspective of introducing large-scale face datasets from 127 other facial analysis tasks (e.g., face recognition Zeng et al. (2022)). Meta-Face2Exp Zeng et al. 128 (2022) utilizes large-scale face recognition data to enhance FER by matching the feature distribution 129 between face recognition and FER. However, face data drawn from these datasets lack diverse facial 130 expressions, and thereby couldn't fully unlock the potential of large-scale data in FER.

131 Synthetic Data: Recently, growing attention has been paid to the advanced generative models (e.g., 132 Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) Goodfellow et al. (2020) and Diffusion Models Rombach 133 et al. (2022b)), which are typically flexible to synthesize training images for a wider range of down-134 stream tasks, including classification Frid-Adar et al. (2018); Azizi et al. (2023), face recognition 135 Kim et al. (2023); Boutros et al. (2023), semantic segmentation Nguyen et al. (2023); Wu et al. 136 (2024; 2023a) and human pose estimation Feng et al. (2023); Zhou et al. (2023). In particular, some 137 studies pioneer to investigate the capabilities of powerful pre-trained diffusion generative models on natural images Nguyen et al. (2023); Wu et al. (2024); Li et al. (2023b). For example, DatasetDM 138 Wu et al. (2024) further introduces a generalized perception decoder to parse the rich latent space of 139 the pre-trained diffusion model for various downstream tasks. However, there remains a significant 140 gap in research on using diffusion models to generate facial expression data, including both images 141 and corresponding labels. In this paper, we address this gap by exploring diffusion-based synthetic 142 data for the first time in FER. Specifically, we propose to apply a fine-tuned diffusion model to facial 143 expression synthesis and introduce the first diffusion-based pseudo-label generator for FER.

144 145 146

147

152

153

154

155

156 157

158

3 PRELIMINARIES

Diffusion models include a forward process that adds Gaussian noise ϵ to convert a clean sample x_0 to noise sample x_T , and a backward process that iteratively performs denoising from x_T to x_0 , where T represents the total number of timesteps. The forward process of injecting noise can be formulated as:

$$x_t = \sqrt{\alpha_t} x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \epsilon \tag{1}$$

 x_t is the noise feature at timestep t and α_t is a predetermined hyperparameter for sampling x_t with a given noise scheduler Song et al. (2020). In the backward process of denoising, given input noise x_t sampled from a Gaussian distribution, a learnable network ϵ_{θ} estimates the noise at each timestep t with condition c. x_{t-1} , the feature at the previous timestep, is then derived as:

$$x_{t-1} = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{t-1}}}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} x_t + \sqrt{\alpha_{t-1}} (\sqrt{\frac{1}{\alpha_{t-1}} - 1} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{\alpha_t} - 1}) \epsilon_\theta(x_t, t, c)$$
(2)

¹⁵⁹ During training, the noise estimation network ϵ_{θ} is guided to conduct denoising with condition c by the learning objective:

$$\min_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x_0, \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}), c, t} \| \epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, c, t) \|_2^2, \tag{3}$$

A young blonde woman with an angry \$ expression has furrowed eyebrows FER FE label: Angry tightly pressed lips, and a clenched Model A young blonde woman Rewrite jaw, all emphasizing the tension in her face beneath her wavy blonde hair. Coarse description FERAnno ded textual prompt Cross Semantic Guidance Кеер Attentior Prior FAU-FE FFR knowledge or Model Refine FAU labels: FER AU4: Brow Lower Model AU5: Upper Lid Raiser Noisy latents Diffusion AU7: Lid Tightener model AU23: Lip Tightener Cross Attentior FER experts Output samples

Figure 2: Overall pipeline of our FER data synthesis process.

With its powerful capability to model complex data distributions, the diffusion model serves as the foundation for generating high-quality FER data. Our SynFER framework is the pioneering work that explores the use of diffusion models to synthesize affective modalities.

178 179 180

181

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173 174

175

176

177

4 Methodology

We begin by introducing i) the overall synthetic pipeline for generating facial expression imagelabel pairs. Next, we detail ii) our approach for producing high-fidelity facial expression images, which are controlled through high-level text descriptions (Sec.4.2.1), fine-grained facial action units corresponding to localized facial muscles (Sec.4.2.3), and a semantic guidance technique (Sec.4.3). Finally, we introduce iii) the FER annotation crafter (FERAnno), a crucial component that thoroughly understands the synthetic facial expression data and automatically generates accurate annotations accordingly(Sec.4.4). This pipeline ensures both precision and reliability in facial expression generation and labeling.

4.1 OVERALL PIPELINE FOR FER DATA SYNTHESIS

192 We introduce the overall pipeline for FER data synthesis (Fig. 2). The process starts with a coarse 193 human portrait description assigned to a specific facial expression. ChatGPT enriches this descrip-194 tion with details such as facial appearance, subtle facial muscle movements, and contextual cues. 195 Simultaneously, facial action unit annotations are generated based on prior FAU-FE knowledge Ek-196 man & Friesen (1978), aligning them with emotion categories to serve as explicit control signals for guiding the facial expression image synthesis. Once the facial expression label, facial action 197 unit labels, and expanded textual prompt are prepared, these inputs condition our diffusion model to generate high-fidelity FER images, guided by semantic guidance to ensure accurate FER semantic. 199 During the denoising process, FERAnno automatically produces pseudo labels for the generated im-200 ages. To further improve labeling accuracy, we ensemble our FERAnno with existing FER models, which collaborate to vote on the accuracy of the predefined FER labels. In cases where discrepan-202 cies arise, the predefined label is refined by averaging the predictions from the ensemble experts. 203 This mechanism effectively reduces the risk of inconsistent or uncertain annotations, ensuring that 204 the final synthesis data is precise and dependable for downstream applications.

205 206

207

4.2 DIFFUSION MODEL TRAINING FOR FER DATA

208 4.2.1 FETEXT DATA CONSTRUCTION

To address the lack of facial expression image-text pairs for diffusion model training, we introduce FEText (Fig. 3), the first hybrid image-text dataset for FER. It combines face images from FFHQ Karras et al. (2019), CelebA-HQ Karras et al. (2017), AffectNet Mollahosseini et al. (2017) and SFEW Dhall et al. (2011), each paired with captions generated by a multi-modal large language model (MLLM). FEText includes 400,000 curated pairs tailored for facial expression tasks.

Resolution Alignment. Due to variations in image resolution across different datasets, we first utilize a super-resolution model Lin et al. (2023) to standardize the resolutions of images from



Figure 3: Overview of our FEText data construction pipeline.

AffectNet and SFEW. Specifically, we incorporate high-resolution images from FFHQ and CelebA-HQ datasets to preserve the model's capacity for high-fidelity image generation. This dual approach allows the model to not only maintain the fidelity of the generated images but also to learn and incorporate the facial expression semantics from AffectNet and SFEW.

Textual Caption Annotation. To generate a textural caption for each face image, we employ the open-source multi-modal language model ShareGPT-4V Chen et al. (2023b), by guiding it with carefully crafted instructions. To ensure that the generated captions are both context-aware and expressive, we clearly define the model's role and provide examples of detailed facial expression descriptions within the prompts. This approach enables the model to generate precise, emotionreflective captions for the input images.

4.2.2 DIFFUSION MODEL FINE-TUNING

241 To facilitate our diffusion model to generate high-fidelity facial expressions, a straightforward ap-242 proach is to fine-tune the model directly on the proposed FEText using the diffusion loss in Eq. 243 3. However, since FEText contains images processed through a super-resolution model, this direct 244 fine-tuning strategy may lead to over-smoothing in the generated images. To address this, we intro-245 duce a two-stage fine-tuning paradigm. In the first stage, the diffusion model is trained on the entire FEText dataset to capture facial expression-related semantics. Then, the second stage mitigates over-246 smoothing by specifically fine-tuning our diffusion model on the CelebA-HQ and FFHQ subsets of 247 FEText, which consist of native high-resolution images. This two-step approach ensures that our 248 model learns expressive facial details while preserving image sharpness. The fine-tuned model then 249 serves as the foundation for controllable facial expression generation, incorporating facial action 250 unit injection (Sec. 4.2.3) and semantic guidance (Sec. 4.3).

251 252 253

227

228

229

230

231

232

239

240

4.2.3 EXPLICIT CONTROL SIGNALS VIA FACIAL ACTION UNITS

254 While fine-tuning the diffusion model using facial expression captions provides general languagebased guidance for facial expression generation, it lacks the precision needed to capture fine-grained facial details, such as localized muscle movements. To overcome this limitation, we propose to in-256 corporate more explicit control signals through Facial Action Units (FAUs), each of which represents 257 a specific facial muscle movement. Inspired by IP-Adapter Ye et al. (2023), we apply a decoupled 258 cross-attention module to integrate FAU embeddings with the diffusion model's generation process. 259 These embeddings are derived by mapping discrete FAU labels into high-dimensional representa-260 tions using a Multi-Layer Perceptron, referred to as the AU adapter. FAU labels for each image in the 261 FEText dataset are annotated using the widely adopted FAU detection model, OpenGraphAU Luo 262 et al. (2022). With the diffusion model's parameters frozen, we train the AU adapter to guide the 263 model in recovering facial images based on the annotated FAU labels, using the objective in Eq. 3. 264

265 266

4.3 SEMANTIC GUIDANCE FOR PRECISE EXPRESSION CONTROL

Due to the imbalanced distribution of FER labels in the training data and the potential ambiguity between certain facial expressions Zhang et al. (2024b), such as *disgust*, relying solely on textual and FAU conditions might not guarantee the faithful generation of these expressions. To address this issue, we propose incorporating semantic guidance on the textual embeddings c^{text} , during the later



Figure 4: Overview of our FERAnno pseudo-label generator.

stages of the denoising process. We leverage external knowledge from open-source FER models to
 steer the generation process, ensuring a more accurate and faithful synthesis of hard-to-distinguish
 facial expressions.

Layout Initialization. During inference, we select a random face image x^s from FEText and invert it to initialize the noise sample x_T^s (Eq. 1). Since early diffusion stages shape the global layout of the image Zhang et al. (2023); Pan et al. (2023); Mao et al. (2023), this strategy helps preserve the natural facial structure, ensuring the generated images are coherent, high-quality, and visually consistent with real-world expressions.

296 Semantic Guidance. In the early steps of the diffusion process, the generation process is condi-297 tioned on the original textual condition c^{text} . To further induce the generation of facial expression 298 images corresponding to their FER labels y, we iteratively update the textual condition in the sub-299 sequent time steps. Specifically, a facial expression classifier $f(\cdot)$ is utilized for the injection of 300 complex semantics.

To guide the generated images towards the specific class y, we propose to do so by updating the textual embeddings. Given an intermediate denoised sample x_t at timestep t, following Eq. 15 in DDPM Ho et al. (2020), we first estimate the one-step prediction of the original image \hat{x}_0 as:

$$\hat{x}_0 = (x_t - \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t})\epsilon_\theta(x_t, t, c^{\text{text}}, c^{\text{au}}) / \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}$$
(4)

We then calculate the classification loss with:

287

304 305

306 307 308

309 310

311 312

313

314

315

$$\mathcal{L}_{g} = -y \log(h(f(\hat{x}_{0}))_{i}) \tag{5}$$

Given the guidance loss \mathcal{L}_q , the textual embedding is updated with the corresponding gradient:

$$c_{t-1}^{\text{text}} = c_t^{\text{text}} + \lambda_g \frac{\nabla_{c_t^{\text{text}}} \mathcal{L}_g}{||\nabla_{c_t^{\text{text}}} \mathcal{L}_g||_2}$$
(6)

where λ_g and c_{t-1}^{text} denote the step size and the updated textual embedding at timestep t-1, respectively. In the latter steps of the diffusion process, the noise estimator network ϵ_{θ} is conditioned on the updated textual embeddings rather than the original one.

316 317 4.4 DIFFUSION-BASED LABEL CALIBRATOR (FERANNO)

0

To ensure semantic alignment between each synthesized face image and its assigned facial expression label, we introduce FERAnno, a label calibration framework designed to validate the consistency of the generated data. By analyzing the facial patterns of each synthesized image, FERAnno categorizes them and compares the post-categorized labels with their pre-assigned facial expression labels. This verification process helps identify and filter out samples with mismatched labels, preventing them from negatively impacting downstream FER model training. Specifically, FER-Anno is a diffusion-based label calibrator equipped with a deep understanding of facial semantics. It leverages the multi-scale intermediate features and cross-attention maps inherent in the diffusion
 model to predict accurate FER labels, as depicted in Fig. 4. This ensures only high-quality, correctly
 labeled samples are included in the training pipeline, leading to more reliable model performance.

Image Inversion. To extract facial features and cross-attention maps with the diffusion model ϵ_{θ} , we first inverse the generated image x_0 back to the noise sample x_t at a denoising timestep t, following a predefined scheduler, as described in Eq. 1. To preserve facial details, we set t = 1 during the inversion process, ensuring that the facial features remain as close as possible to the original generated image x_0 . This partially denoised sample is then passed through the trained denoising network, allowing us to extract rich facial features and cross-attention maps from intermediate layers, which are critical for capturing detailed facial patterns.

Feature Extraction. Given the inverted noise sample x_1 and the corresponding textual condition c^{text} and AU condition c^{au} , we can extract the multi-scale feature representations and textual crossattention maps from the U-Net ϵ_{θ} as $\{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{A}\} = \epsilon_{\theta}(x_1, t_1, c^{\text{text}}, c^{\text{au}})$, where \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{A} denote the multi-scale feature representations and the cross-attention maps, respectively. \mathcal{F} contains multiscale feature maps from different layers of the U-Net ϵ_{θ} with four different resolutions. \mathcal{A} contains the cross-attention maps drawn from the 16 cross-attention blocks in ϵ_{θ} . Both the feature representation \mathcal{F} and the cross-attention maps \mathcal{A} are regrouped according to their resolutions.

Multi-scale Features and Attention Maps Fusion. Given that the multi-scale feature maps F
 capture global information essential for image generation, and the cross-attention maps provide
 class-discriminative information as well as relationships between object locations Tang et al. (2022);
 Caron et al. (2021), FERAnno fuses both features and attention maps within a dual-branch encoder
 architecture for pseudo-label annotation. An overview of this architecture is shown in Fig. 4.

346 We first compute the mean of the regrouped attention maps, denoted as \mathcal{A}_{reg} , yielding a set of 347 averaged attention maps $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$. Both the feature maps \mathcal{F} and the averaged attention maps \mathcal{A} are then 348 passed through a residual convolution block to prepare them for further processing. To effectively 349 integrate information at different scales, we introduce a bi-directional cross-attention block to fuse 350 the features and attention maps. 1×1 convolutions are employed at various stages to adapt the 351 fusion across multiple resolution layers. Finally, the fused feature maps and attention maps are 352 concatenated and passed through a linear layer, which outputs a probability vector for predicting 353 facial expression classes.

354 355

356 357

358

359

360 361

362 363 364

5 EXPERIMENTS

We conduct extensive experiments to evaluate both the generation quality of our synthetic data (Sec. 5.1) and its effectiveness in FER tasks (Sec. 5.2). For more details on experimental setup, implementation details are provided in the appendix.

5.1 GENERATION QUALITY

Method			User study (Ours vs.)(%)					
	$\text{FID}\left(\downarrow\right)$	HPSv2(↑)	$FS(\uparrow)$	MPS (\uparrow)	FER Acc.(†)	FAU Acc.(†)	EA (†)	FF (†)
Stable Diffusion	88.40	0.263	2.01	2.00	20.06	87.72	2.86	1.79
PixelArt	145.23	0.271	3.79	5.26	15.52	84.57	24.26	10.00
PlayGround	81.76	0.265	2.86	3.73	21.56	87.28	7.50	5.00
FineFace	74.61	0.268	3.29	1.48	38.05	89.68	5.73	6.41
SynFER	16.32	0.280	4.26	-	55.14	93.31	59.64	76.79

Table 1: Ours vs.' shows the proportion of users who prefer our method over the alternative. An MPS above 1.00 and results above 50% in the user study indicate our method outplays the counterpart. FS, FER Acc., FAU Acc., EA and FF denote FaceScore Liao et al. (2024), FER accuracy, facial action unit accuracy, expression alignment and face fidelity, respectively.

We present both objective metrics and subjective user studies, comparing our method to existing state-of-the-art (SOTA) diffusion models Rombach et al. (2022a); Chen et al. (2023a); Li et al. (2024) and the latest facial expression generation technique, FineFace Varanka et al. (2024).

Meth	Iethod Pre-train Data		RAF-DB	AffectNet	SFEW	
mem	Dataset	Scale		meenee	SILW	
MCF	Laion-Face	20M	65.22	65.28	32.61	
FRA PCL	VGGFace2 VoxCeleb	3.3M 1.8M	73.89 74.47	57.38 68.35	- 39.68	
SimC	R AffectNet	0.2M	78.65	74.16	46.79	
SimC SimC	LR Ours LR AffectNet+Ours	1.0M 1.2M	80.24 (+1.59) 81.52 (+2.87)	75.36 (+1.20) 75.64 (+1.48)	47.62 (+0.83) 48.52 (+1.73)	
BYOI	AffectNet	0.2M	78.24	73.26	48.70	
BYOI BYOI	. Ours AffectNet+Ours	1.0M 1.2M	80.96 (+2.72) 81.25 (+3.01)	75.27 (+2.01) 75.83 (+2.57)	51.35 (+2.65) 51.70 (+3.00)	
MoCo	v3 AffectNet	0.2M	79.05	74.53	49.34	
MoCo	v3 Ours	1.0M	81.17 (+2.12)	77.43 (+2.90)	50.78 (+1.44)	
MOCC	v5 Anecunet+Ours	1.2IVI	01.00 (+2.03)	11.02 (+3.29)	51.20 (+1.92)	

Method **RAF-DB** AffectNet ResNet-18 87.48 50.32 ResNet-18 + Ours 87.97 51.65 90.94 65.34 Ada-DF Ada-DF + Ours 91.21 66.82 POSTER++ 91.59 67.49 POSTER++ + Ours 91.95 69.04 91.78 66.94 APViT APViT + Ours 92.05 67.26 FERAnno 92.56 70.38

Table 2: Linear probe performance comparisons of SSL models on three FER datasets.

Method	CFE 1-shot	E_C 5-shot	Emotic 1-shot	onNet_C 5-shot	RA 1-shot	F_C 5-shot
InfoPatch	54.19	67.29	48.14	59.84	41.02	57.98
InfoPatch*	55.21	68.73	48.52	61.16	41.88	59.54
LR+DC	53.20	64.18	52.09	60.12	42.90	56.74
LR+DC*	54.65	65.28	51.96	60.14	43.87	57.90
STARTUP	54.89	67.79	52.61	61.95	43.97	59.14
STARTUP*	56.25	69.93	52.87	62.12	45.18	61.23
CDNet	56.99	68.98	55.16	63.03	46.07	63.03
CDNet*	57.74	70.64	56.79	65.63	46.97	64.34

Table 4: Performance comparisons with SOTA few-shot learning methods on 5-way few-shot FER tasks with a 95% confidence interval. (*) indicates training with both realworld data and our synthesis data.

Table 3: Comparison of supervised learning models (with and without our synthetic data) and the label calibrator FERAnno.

	RAF-DB		Affe	ctNet	FERPlus	
Method	UAR	WAR	UAR	WAR	UAR	WAR
FaRL	24.98	38.53	26.95	26.95	24.27	35.26
FLAVA	14.35	38.69	14.26	14.26	12.50	28.43
CLIP ViT-B/16	38.66	36.16	34.35	34.35	34.33	45.14
Exp-CLIP ViT-B/16	48.96	54.50	39.98	39.98	40.81	53.02
Exp-CLIP* ViT-B/16	51.23	56.32	41.68	41.68	42.33	56.02
CLIP ViT-L/14	47.22	41.13	34.46	34.47	33.82	46.67
Exp-CLIP ViT-L/14	58.70	65.37	44.27	44.27	48.28	55.42
Exp-CLIP* ViT-L/14	60.41	68.34	46.85	46.85	50.26	57.98

Table 5: Zero-shot performance comparison of CLIP for FER, reporting both Weighted Average Recall (WAR) and Unweighted Average Recall (UAR) as in previous works Zhao et al. (2024). (*) indicates models trained with both real-world and synthetic data.

407 We compute FID between the synthesis images and the test set of the AffectNet Mollahosseini et al. 408 (2017). HPSv2 Wu et al. (2023b) and MPS Zhang et al. (2024a) evaluate the human preferences 409 of the overall synthesis images, while FaceScore Liao et al. (2024) measures the quality of the generated faces. Tab. 1 shows that our method outperforms popular diffusion models and the SOTA 410 facial expression generation method FineFace Varanka et al. (2024), across all metrics of image 411 quality, human preference and facial expression accuracy. Notably, the advantages of SynFER in 412 both FE Acc. and AU Acc. indicate its outstanding controllability in facial expression generation. 413

414 415

379

380

381

389

390

391 392 393

396 397

399

400 401

402

403

404

405

406

5.2 EFFECTIVENESS OF SYNTHETIC DATASET

416 Self-supervised Representation Learning. We trained self-supervised learning (SSL) models, in-417 cluding BYOL Grill et al. (2020), MoCo v3 Chen et al. (2021), and SimCLR Chen et al. (2020), 418 using real-world data, our synthetic data, and a combination of both. The linear probe performance 419 of these models was evaluated on three widely used facial expression recognition (FER) datasets: 420 RAF-DB Li et al. (2017), AffectNet Mollahosseini et al. (2017), and SFEW Dhall et al. (2011), with 421 results reported in Tab. 2. All SSL models were trained with a ResNet-50 architecture He et al. 422 (2016). Notably, state-of-the-art methods in self-supervised facial representation learning, such as 423 MCF Wang et al. (2023), FRA Gao & Patras (2024), and PCL Liu et al. (2023c), were pre-trained on much larger face datasets like LAION-Face Zheng et al. (2022), VGGFace2 Cao et al. (2018), and 424 VoxCeleb Nagrani et al. (2020). However, these models underperformed on FER tasks compared to 425 ours, highlighting that existing large-scale face datasets may lack the high-quality and diverse facial 426 expression patterns required for accurate FER. Results demonstrate that combining real-world and 427 synthetic data consistently boosts SSL baselines. Remarkably, even when MoCo v3 was trained 428 solely on our synthetic data, it achieved a 2.12% improvement on RAF-DB, underscoring the effec-429 tiveness of our approach in capturing critical facial expression details that are essential for FER. 430

Supervised Representation Learning. We validate the effectiveness of SynFER for supervised 431 representation learning by evaluating its performance on RAF-DB and AffectNet (Tab. 3). We com-

8

436 437

438

439

440

441

442



Method	HPSv2	FE Acc.	AU Acc.	RAF-DB	AffectNet
Real-world Data	-	-	-	91.59	67.49
SD	0.263	20.06	87.72	89.42	65.36
w/ FEText	0.267	34.62	88.91	90.54	66.62
w/ FEText+FAUs	0.275	48.74	92.37	91.68	67.68
w/ FEText+FAUs+SG	0.280	55.14	93.31	91.95	68.13

Table 6: Ablation study on the influence of AU injection and semantic guidance (SG) on both the generation quality and supervised representation learning. SD denotes Stable Diffusion, which is used as a baseline.

Figure 5: Generated samples. The first and second rows are fear and disgust, respectively.

443 pare with SOTA FER models, including Ada-DF Liu et al. (2023a), POSTER++ Mao et al. (2024), 444 and APViT Xue et al. (2022). The results demonstrate that incorporating synthetic data consistently 445 enhances both baseline models and the latest SOTAs in supervised facial expression recognition. 446 Notably, APViT benefits from the synthetic data with improvements of 0.27% on RAF-DB and 447 0.32% on AffectNet. While the improvements in supervised learning are more modest compared to 448 self-supervised learning, they remain consistent. This is likely due to the stricter distribution align-449 ment required in supervised learning between synthetic training data and real-world test data. In the 450 following section on scaling behavior analysis, we provide further insights, showcasing the use of 451 the distribution alignment technique, Real-Fake Yuan et al. (2023), to alleviate this problem.

452 Few-shot Learning. Addressing the challenge of limited labeled FER data across different scenar-453 ios, we explore the potential of synthetic data to enhance few-shot learning, as presented in Tab. 4. 454 Following the protocol established by CDNet Zou et al. (2022), we train models on five basic expres-455 sion datasets and evaluate them on three compound expression datasets: CFEE_C Du et al. (2014), 456 EmotionNet_C Fabian Benitez-Quiroz et al. (2016), and RAF_C Li et al. (2017). To benchmark our 457 approach, we compare it against SOTA few-shot learning methods, including InfoPatch Liu et al. (2021), LR+DC Yang et al. (2021), and STARTUP Phoo & Hariharan (2021). The results clearly 458 demonstrate that integrating synthetic data consistently enhances few-shot FER performance across 459 key metrics. This highlights the ability of synthetic data, with its broader range of FER patterns, to 460 bridge the gap in data-limited scenarios, allowing models to better generalize to complex, real-world 461 expressions in few-shot tasks. 462

463 Multi-modal Fine-tuning. The synthesis data encompasses multiple modalities, including generated images, textual prompts, and FER labels. To assess its impact on multi-modal fine-tuning for 464 FER, we focus on fine-tuning the vision-language foundation model CLIP Wang et al. (2022), as its 465 performance on face-related tasks is widely regarded as sub-optimal Guo et al. (2023); Chen et al. 466 (2024). Building on Exp-CLIP Zhao et al. (2024), we fine-tune the models on CAER-S Lee et al. 467 (2019) and evaluate their zero-shot performances on the datasets outlined in Tab. 5. Our results show 468 that the inclusion of detailed textual prompts and a larger training image set significantly enhances 469 the generalization ability of Exp-CLIP in understanding facial expressions, achieving significant 470 improvements such as +2.58% UAR on the AffectNet dataset.

471 472

473 5.3 ABLATION STUDY

474 Effectiveness of FAU Control. We validate the effectiveness of SynFER by examining how Facial 475 Action Units (FAUs) enhance the generation process and refine facial details. As illustrated in Fig. 5, 476 samples generated with FAU control (third column) exhibit facial expressions that more accurately 477 match their assigned labels compared to those generated with only text guidance (second column). For example, the 'fear' expression, driven by FAUs like Inner Brow Raiser and Lip Stretcher, be-478 comes more distinct (third column, second row), making it easier to differentiate from other emo-479 tions such as 'surprise.' Similarly, 'disgust' is more pronounced with FAUs like Lid Tightener. 480 Without FAU control, facial expressions (second column) tend to blur, as different categories show 481 overlapping features. Quantitative results in Tab. 6 highlight the impact of FAU control: FER accu-482 racy increases from 34.62% to 48.74%, and FAU detection accuracy rises from 88.91% to 92.37%. 483 This also translates into improved downstream performance on RAF-DB and AffectNet. 484

Effectiveness of Semantic Guidance. We further explore the impact of semantic guidance (SG) on both generation quality and supervised representation learning, as shown in Fig. 5 and Tab. 6. By

486 updating text embeddings to better align with the target facial expression category, SG improves the 487 accuracy of the generated expressions by 6.4%, compared to static text and FAUs. The samples in 488 the last column of Fig. 5 show more exaggerated facial expressions than those in the third column, 489 with SG enhancing the intensity.

490 **Reliability of FERAnno.** We assess the reliability of FERAnno as a label calibrator by evaluating its 491 performance on two FER datasets and visualizing its attention maps in Tab. 3 and Fig. 6. FERAnno 492 significantly outperforms previous SOTAs, achieving a +0.51% improvement on RAF-DB and a 493 +1.34% improvement on AffectNet over the second-best models. The attention maps in Fig. 6 494 further demonstrate FERAnno's ability to accurately locate facial expression-related facial features, 495 such as jaw-dropping and furrowed eyebrows, highlighting the diffusion model's great semantic 496 understanding and fine-grained facial expression recognition.

497 Synthetic Data Scaling Analysis. Following Tian et al. (2024); 498 Fan et al. (2024), we investigate the scaling behavior of synthetic 499 data in both self-supervised and supervised learning paradigms. To 500 highlight the potential of synthetic FER data, we train models ex-501 clusively on synthetic images, without combining real-world data. The results in Fig. 7 (a)-(b) show a stronger scaling effect in self-502 503 supervised learning compared to supervised learning, where performance improves significantly with more data. This difference is 504 likely due to the need for better distribution alignment in supervised 505 learning Yuan et al. (2023). While SynFER focuses on address-506 ing FER data scarcity, aligning the synthetic data distribution with 507 real-world data is crucial for supervised tasks. To further explore 508 this, we apply the Real-Fake technique Yuan et al. (2023) for real 509 and synthetic data distribution alignment, and present the results in 510 Fig. 7 (c). Compared to standard supervised learning, Real-Fake 511 demonstrates a clear performance boost. 512



Figure 6: Synthesis images and attention maps in the finetuned diffusion model.



Fake technique)

Figure 7: Scaling up the synthetic FER dataset. MoCo v3 (ResNet-50) Chen et al. (2021) is used for SSL pre-training, and linear probe performance is evaluated on AffectNet and RAF-DB. The SOTA FER model, POSTER++ Mao et al. (2024), is trained using supervised learning (with and without the Real-Fake technique Yuan et al. (2023)) on our synthetic dataset and evaluated on the same two target FER datasets. \star is model's performance trained on corresponding real data.

6 CONCLUSION

531 In this paper, we propose a synthesis data framework SynFER for facial expression recognition to 532 address the data shortage in the field. By consolidating existing FER data and annotating with multi-533 modal large language models, we introduce the first facial expression-related image-text pair hybrid 534 dataset FEText. We further propose to inject facial action unit information and external knowledge from existing FER models to ensure both fine-grained control and faithful generation of the facial 536 expression images. To incorporate the generated images into training, we propose a diffusion-based 537 label calibrator to help rectify the robust facial expression annotations for the synthesized images. After constructing the data synthesis methodology, we investigate the effectiveness of the synthesis 538 data across different learning paradigms, demonstrating consistent and superior performances. We further study the scaling behavior of the synthesis data for FER.

524 525

517

518

521

522

523

526

527

528

529

540 REFERENCES

565

566

567

581

582

583

- Faiza Abdat, Choubeila Maaoui, and Alain Pruski. Human-computer interaction using emotion
 recognition from facial expression. In 2011 UKSim 5th European Symposium on Computer Modeling and Simulation, pp. 196–201. IEEE, 2011.
- Amir Atapour-Abarghouei and Toby P Breckon. Real-time monocular depth estimation using synthetic data with domain adaptation via image style transfer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 2800–2810, 2018.
- Shekoofeh Azizi, Simon Kornblith, Chitwan Saharia, Mohammad Norouzi, and David J Fleet.
 Synthetic data from diffusion models improves imagenet classification. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.08466*, 2023.
- 552 Dmitry Baranchuk, Ivan Rubachev, Andrey Voynov, Valentin Khrulkov, and Artem Babenko. Label-553 efficient semantic segmentation with diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.03126*, 2021.
- Emad Barsoum, Cha Zhang, Cristian Canton Ferrer, and Zhengyou Zhang. Training deep networks
 for facial expression recognition with crowd-sourced label distribution. In *Proceedings of the* 18th ACM international conference on multimodal interaction, pp. 279–283, 2016.
- James Betker, Gabriel Goh, Li Jing, Tim Brooks, Jianfeng Wang, Linjie Li, Long Ouyang, Juntang
 Zhuang, Joyce Lee, Yufei Guo, et al. Improving image generation with better captions. *Computer Science. https://cdn. openai. com/papers/dall-e-3. pdf*, 2(3):8, 2023.
- Fadi Boutros, Jonas Henry Grebe, Arjan Kuijper, and Naser Damer. Idiff-face: Synthetic-based face
 recognition through fizzy identity-conditioned diffusion model. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 19650–19661, 2023.
 - Qiong Cao, Li Shen, Weidi Xie, Omkar M Parkhi, and Andrew Zisserman. Vggface2: A dataset for recognising faces across pose and age. In 2018 13th IEEE international conference on automatic face & gesture recognition (FG 2018), pp. 67–74. IEEE, 2018.
- Mathilde Caron, Hugo Touvron, Ishan Misra, Hervé Jégou, Julien Mairal, Piotr Bojanowski, and
 Armand Joulin. Emerging properties in self-supervised vision transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pp. 9650–9660, 2021.
- Haodong Chen, Haojian Huang, Junhao Dong, Mingzhe Zheng, and Dian Shao. Finecliper: Multimodal fine-grained clip for dynamic facial expression recognition with adapters. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.02157*, 2024.
- Junsong Chen, Jincheng Yu, Chongjian Ge, Lewei Yao, Enze Xie, Yue Wu, Zhongdao Wang, James
 Kwok, Ping Luo, Huchuan Lu, et al. Pixart-alpha: Fast training of diffusion transformer for
 photorealistic text-to-image synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.00426*, 2023a.
- Lin Chen, Jisong Li, Xiaoyi Dong, Pan Zhang, Conghui He, Jiaqi Wang, Feng Zhao, and Dahua
 Lin. Sharegpt4v: Improving large multi-modal models with better captions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.12793*, 2023b.
 - Ting Chen, Simon Kornblith, Mohammad Norouzi, and Geoffrey Hinton. A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations. In *International conference on machine learning*, pp. 1597–1607. PMLR, 2020.
- Xinlei Chen, Saining Xie, and Kaiming He. An empirical study of training self-supervised vision transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pp. 9640–9649, 2021.
- Yuhua Chen, Wen Li, Xiaoran Chen, and Luc Van Gool. Learning semantic segmentation from synthetic data: A geometrically guided input-output adaptation approach. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 1841–1850, 2019.
- Bin Cheng, Inderjot Singh Saggu, Raunak Shah, Gaurav Bansal, and Dinesh Bharadia. S 3 net:
 Semantic-aware self-supervised depth estimation with monocular videos and synthetic data. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 52–69. Springer, 2020.

594 595 596 597	Yunseong Cho, Chanwoo Kim, Hoseong Cho, Yunhoe Ku, Eunseo Kim, Muhammadjon Boboev, Joonseok Lee, and Seungryul Baek. Rmfer: Semi-supervised contrastive learning for facial expression recognition with reaction mashup video. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision</i> , pp. 5913–5922, 2024.
598 599 600 601	Victor Guilherme Turrisi Da Costa, Enrico Fini, Moin Nabi, Nicu Sebe, and Elisa Ricci. solo- learn: A library of self-supervised methods for visual representation learning. <i>Journal of Machine</i> <i>Learning Research</i> , 23(56):1–6, 2022.
602 603 604	Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, and Li Fei-Fei. Imagenet: A large-scale hi- erarchical image database. In 2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 248–255. Ieee, 2009.
605 606 607 608	Abhinav Dhall, Roland Goecke, Simon Lucey, and Tom Gedeon. Static facial expression analy- sis in tough conditions: Data, evaluation protocol and benchmark. In 2011 IEEE international conference on computer vision workshops (ICCV workshops), pp. 2106–2112. IEEE, 2011.
609 610 611	Abhinav Dhall, Roland Goecke, Shreya Ghosh, Jyoti Joshi, Jesse Hoey, and Tom Gedeon. From individual to group-level emotion recognition: Emotiw 5.0. In <i>Proceedings of the 19th ACM international conference on multimodal interaction</i> , pp. 524–528, 2017.
612 613	Shichuan Du, Yong Tao, and Aleix M Martinez. Compound facial expressions of emotion. <i>Proceedings of the national academy of sciences</i> , 111(15):E1454–E1462, 2014.
614 615 616	Paul Ekman and Wallace V Friesen. Facial action coding system. <i>Environmental Psychology & Nonverbal Behavior</i> , 1978.
617 618 619 620	C Fabian Benitez-Quiroz, Ramprakash Srinivasan, and Aleix M Martinez. Emotionet: An accurate, real-time algorithm for the automatic annotation of a million facial expressions in the wild. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 5562–5570, 2016.
621 622 623	Lijie Fan, Kaifeng Chen, Dilip Krishnan, Dina Katabi, Phillip Isola, and Yonglong Tian. Scaling laws of synthetic images for model training for now. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)</i> , pp. 7382–7392, June 2024.
625 626 627	Amir Hossein Farzaneh and Xiaojun Qi. Facial expression recognition in the wild via deep attentive center loss. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF winter conference on applications of computer vision</i> , pp. 2402–2411, 2021.
628 629 630	Runyang Feng, Yixing Gao, Tze Ho Elden Tse, Xueqing Ma, and Hyung Jin Chang. Diffpose: Spatiotemporal diffusion model for video-based human pose estimation. In <i>Proceedings of the</i> <i>IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision</i> , pp. 14861–14872, 2023.
631 632 633 634	Maayan Frid-Adar, Eyal Klang, Michal Amitai, Jacob Goldberger, and Hayit Greenspan. Synthetic data augmentation using gan for improved liver lesion classification. In 2018 IEEE 15th international symposium on biomedical imaging (ISBI 2018), pp. 289–293. IEEE, 2018.
635 636 637	Zheng Gao and Ioannis Patras. Self-supervised facial representation learning with facial region awareness. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recog-</i> <i>nition</i> , pp. 2081–2092, 2024.
638 639 640	Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. Generative adversarial networks. <i>Communications of the ACM</i> , 63(11):139–144, 2020.
641 642 643 644 645	Jean-Bastien Grill, Florian Strub, Florent Altché, Corentin Tallec, Pierre Richemond, Elena Buchatskaya, Carl Doersch, Bernardo Avila Pires, Zhaohan Guo, Mohammad Gheshlaghi Azar, et al. Bootstrap your own latent-a new approach to self-supervised learning. <i>Advances in neural information processing systems</i> , 33:21271–21284, 2020.
646 647	Vitor Guizilini, Kuan-Hui Lee, Rareş Ambruş, and Adrien Gaidon. Learning optical flow, depth, and scene flow without real-world labels. <i>IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters</i> , 7(2):3491–3498, 2022.

648 649 650	Jiayi Guo, Hayk Manukyan, Chenyu Yang, Chaofei Wang, Levon Khachatryan, Shant Navasardyan, Shiji Song, Humphrey Shi, and Gao Huang. Faceclip: Facial image-to-video translation via a brief text description. <i>IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology</i> , 2023.
651 652 653	Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recog- nition. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 770–778, 2016.
655 656	Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. <i>Advances in neural information processing systems</i> , 33:6840–6851, 2020.
657 658 659 660	Yuhao Huang, Jay Gopal, Bina Kakusa, Alice H Li, Weichen Huang, Jeffrey B Wang, Amit Persad, Ashwin Ramayya, Josef Parvizi, Vivek P Buch, et al. Naturalistic acute pain states decoded from neural and facial dynamics. <i>bioRxiv</i> , 2024.
661 662	Tero Karras, Timo Aila, Samuli Laine, and Jaakko Lehtinen. Progressive growing of gans for improved quality, stability, and variation. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.10196</i> , 2017.
664 665 666	Tero Karras, Samuli Laine, and Timo Aila. A style-based generator architecture for generative adversarial networks. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 4401–4410, 2019.
667 668 669	Minchul Kim, Feng Liu, Anil Jain, and Xiaoming Liu. Dcface: Synthetic face generation with dual condition diffusion model. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition</i> , pp. 12715–12725, 2023.
670 671 672 673	Nhat Le, Khanh Nguyen, Quang Tran, Erman Tjiputra, Bac Le, and Anh Nguyen. Uncertainty-aware label distribution learning for facial expression recognition. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)</i> , pp. 6088–6097, January 2023.
674 675 676	Jiyoung Lee, Seungryong Kim, Sunok Kim, Jungin Park, and Kwanghoon Sohn. Context-aware emotion recognition networks. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision</i> , pp. 10143–10152, 2019.
677 678 679 680	Daiqing Li, Huan Ling, Seung Wook Kim, Karsten Kreis, Sanja Fidler, and Antonio Torralba. Big- datasetgan: Synthesizing imagenet with pixel-wise annotations. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF</i> <i>Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition</i> , pp. 21330–21340, 2022a.
681 682 683	Daiqing Li, Aleks Kamko, Ehsan Akhgari, Ali Sabet, Linmiao Xu, and Suhail Doshi. Playground v2. 5: Three insights towards enhancing aesthetic quality in text-to-image generation. <i>arXiv</i> preprint arXiv:2402.17245, 2024.
684 685 686 687	Hangyu Li, Nannan Wang, Xi Yang, Xiaoyu Wang, and Xinbo Gao. Towards semi-supervised deep facial expression recognition with an adaptive confidence margin. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 4166–4175, 2022b.
688 689	Shan Li and Weihong Deng. Deep facial expression recognition: A survey. <i>IEEE transactions on affective computing</i> , 13(3):1195–1215, 2020.
690 691 692 693	Shan Li, Weihong Deng, and JunPing Du. Reliable crowdsourcing and deep locality-preserving learning for expression recognition in the wild. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 2852–2861, 2017.
694 695 696	Ximan Li, Weihong Deng, Shan Li, and Yong Li. Compound expression recognition in-the-wild with au-assisted meta multi-task learning. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition</i> , pp. 5734–5743, 2023a.
697 698 699 700	Yingjian Li, Zheng Zhang, Bingzhi Chen, Guangming Lu, and David Zhang. Deep margin-sensitive representation learning for cross-domain facial expression recognition. <i>IEEE Transactions on Multimedia</i> , 25:1359–1373, 2022c.
701	Ziyi Li, Qinye Zhou, Xiaoyun Zhang, Ya Zhang, Yanfeng Wang, and Weidi Xie. Open-vocabulary object segmentation with diffusion models. 2023b.

702 Zhenyi Liao, Qingsong Xie, Chen Chen, Hannan Lu, and Zhijie Deng. Facescore: Bench-703 marking and enhancing face quality in human generation. 2024. URL https://api. 704 semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:270711518. 705 Xinqi Lin, Jingwen He, Ziyan Chen, Zhaoyang Lyu, Ben Fei, Bo Dai, Wanli Ouyang, Yu Qiao, 706 and Chao Dong. Diffbir: Towards blind image restoration with generative diffusion prior. arXiv 707 preprint arXiv:2308.15070, 2023. 708 709 Chen Liu, Yanwei Fu, Chengming Xu, Siqian Yang, Jilin Li, Chengjie Wang, and Li Zhang. Learn-710 ing a few-shot embedding model with contrastive learning. In Proceedings of the AAAI conference 711 on artificial intelligence, volume 35, pp. 8635–8643, 2021. 712 Shu Liu, Yan Xu, Tongming Wan, and Xiaoyan Kui. A dual-branch adaptive distribution fusion 713 framework for real-world facial expression recognition. In ICASSP 2023-2023 IEEE International 714 Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), pp. 1–5. IEEE, 2023a. 715 716 Yang Liu, Xingming Zhang, Janne Kauttonen, and Guoying Zhao. Uncertain facial expression 717 recognition via multi-task assisted correction. IEEE Transactions on Multimedia, 2023b. 718 Yuanyuan Liu, Wenbin Wang, Yibing Zhan, Shaoze Feng, Kejun Liu, and Zhe Chen. Pose-719 disentangled contrastive learning for self-supervised facial representation. In Proceedings of the 720 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 9717–9728, 2023c. 721 722 Patrick Lucey, Jeffrey F Cohn, Takeo Kanade, Jason Saragih, Zara Ambadar, and Iain Matthews. The 723 extended cohn-kanade dataset (ck+): A complete dataset for action unit and emotion-specified 724 expression. In 2010 ieee computer society conference on computer vision and pattern recognition-725 workshops, pp. 94-101. IEEE, 2010. 726 Cheng Luo, Siyang Song, Weicheng Xie, Linlin Shen, and Hatice Gunes. Learning multi-727 dimensional edge feature-based au relation graph for facial action unit recognition. In Proceed-728 ings of the Thirty-First International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI-22, pp. 729 1239–1246, 2022. 730 731 Jiafeng Mao, Xueting Wang, and Kiyoharu Aizawa. Guided image synthesis via initial image editing 732 in diffusion model. In Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia, pp. 733 5321-5329, 2023. 734 Jiawei Mao, Rui Xu, Xuesong Yin, Yuanqi Chang, Binling Nie, Aibin Huang, and Yigang Wang. 735 Poster++: A simpler and stronger facial expression recognition network. Pattern Recognition, pp. 736 110951, 2024. 737 738 Anam Moin, Farhan Aadil, Zeeshan Ali, and Dongwann Kang. Emotion recognition framework 739 using multiple modalities for an effective human-computer interaction. The Journal of Supercomputing, 79(8):9320-9349, 2023. 740 741 Ali Mollahosseini, Behzad Hasani, and Mohammad H Mahoor. Affectnet: A database for facial ex-742 pression, valence, and arousal computing in the wild. *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, 743 10(1):18-31, 2017. 744 745 Arsha Nagrani, Joon Son Chung, Weidi Xie, and Andrew Zisserman. Voxceleb: Large-scale speaker 746 verification in the wild. Computer Speech & Language, 60:101027, 2020. 747 Quang Nguyen, Truong Vu, Anh Tran, and Khoi Nguyen. Dataset diffusion: Diffusion-748 based synthetic data generation for pixel-level semantic segmentation. In A. Oh, T. Nau-749 mann, A. Globerson, K. Saenko, M. Hardt, and S. Levine (eds.), Advances in Neural 750 Information Processing Systems, volume 36, pp. 76872–76892. Curran Associates, Inc., 751 2023. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2023/ 752 file/f2957e48240c1d90e62b303574871b47-Paper-Conference.pdf. 753 Zhihong Pan, Riccardo Gherardi, Xiufeng Xie, and Stephen Huang. Effective real image editing 754 with accelerated iterative diffusion inversion. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International 755 Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), pp. 15912–15921, October 2023.

756 757 758 759	Cheng Perng Phoo and Bharath Hariharan. Self-training for few-shot transfer across extreme task differences. In 9th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2021, Virtual Event, Austria, May 3-7, 2021. OpenReview.net, 2021. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=O3Y56aqpChA.
760 761 762 763 764 765	Fabien Ringeval, Björn Schuller, Michel Valstar, Nicholas Cummins, Roddy Cowie, Leili Tavabi, Maximilian Schmitt, Sina Alisamir, Shahin Amiriparian, Eva-Maria Messner, et al. Avec 2019 workshop and challenge: state-of-mind, detecting depression with ai, and cross-cultural affect recognition. In <i>Proceedings of the 9th International on Audio/visual Emotion Challenge and Workshop</i> , pp. 3–12, 2019.
766 767 768	Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High- resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF confer-</i> <i>ence on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 10684–10695, 2022a.
769 770 771	Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High- resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF confer-</i> <i>ence on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 10684–10695, 2022b.
772 773 774 775	Delian Ruan, Rongyun Mo, Yan Yan, Si Chen, Jing-Hao Xue, and Hanzi Wang. Adaptive deep disturbance-disentangled learning for facial expression recognition. <i>International Journal of Computer Vision</i> , 130(2):455–477, 2022.
776 777 778 779	Muhammad Sajjad, Fath U Min Ullah, Mohib Ullah, Georgia Christodoulou, Faouzi Alaya Cheikh, Mohammad Hijji, Khan Muhammad, and Joel JPC Rodrigues. A comprehensive survey on deep facial expression recognition: challenges, applications, and future guidelines. <i>Alexandria Engi-</i> <i>neering Journal</i> , 68:817–840, 2023.
780 781 782 783	Christoph Schuhmann, Romain Beaumont, Richard Vencu, Cade Gordon, Ross Wightman, Mehdi Cherti, Theo Coombes, Aarush Katta, Clayton Mullis, Mitchell Wortsman, et al. Laion-5b: An open large-scale dataset for training next generation image-text models. <i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems</i> , 35:25278–25294, 2022.
784 785 786	Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. <i>arXiv</i> preprint arXiv:2010.02502, 2020.
787 788 789	Raphael Tang, Linqing Liu, Akshat Pandey, Zhiying Jiang, Gefei Yang, Karun Kumar, Pontus Stene- torp, Jimmy Lin, and Ferhan Ture. What the daam: Interpreting stable diffusion using cross attention. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.04885</i> , 2022.
790 791 792	Yonglong Tian, Lijie Fan, Phillip Isola, Huiwen Chang, and Dilip Krishnan. Stablerep: Synthetic images from text-to-image models make strong visual representation learners. <i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems</i> , 36, 2024.
793 794 795 796	Tuomas Varanka, Huai-Qian Khor, Yante Li, Mengting Wei, Hanwei Kung, Nicu Sebe, and Guoying Zhao. Towards localized fine-grained control for facial expression generation. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.20175</i> , 2024.
797 798 799 800	Suchen Wang, Yueqi Duan, Henghui Ding, Yap-Peng Tan, Kim-Hui Yap, and Junsong Yuan. Learn- ing transferable human-object interaction detector with natural language supervision. In <i>Proceed-</i> <i>ings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition</i> , pp. 939–948, 2022.
801 802	Yue Wang, Jinlong Peng, Jiangning Zhang, Ran Yi, Liang Liu, Yabiao Wang, and Chengjie Wang. Toward high quality facial representation learning. 2023.
803 804 805 806 807	 Weijia Wu, Yuzhong Zhao, Mike Zheng Shou, Hong Zhou, and Chunhua Shen. Diffumask: Synthesizing images with pixel-level annotations for semantic segmentation using diffusion models. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision</i>, pp. 1206–1217, 2023a.
808 809	Weijia Wu, Yuzhong Zhao, Hao Chen, Yuchao Gu, Rui Zhao, Yefei He, Hong Zhou, Mike Zheng Shou, and Chunhua Shen. Datasetdm: Synthesizing data with perception annotations using diffusion models. <i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems</i> , 36, 2024.

810 Xiaoshi Wu, Yiming Hao, Keqiang Sun, Yixiong Chen, Feng Zhu, Rui Zhao, and Hongsheng Li. 811 Human preference score v2: A solid benchmark for evaluating human preferences of text-to-812 image synthesis. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.09341, 2023b. 813 Fanglei Xue, Qiangchang Wang, Zichang Tan, Zhongsong Ma, and Guodong Guo. Vision trans-814 former with attentive pooling for robust facial expression recognition. IEEE Transactions on 815 Affective Computing, 14(4):3244–3256, 2022. 816 817 Huan Yan, Yu Gu, Xiang Zhang, Yantong Wang, Yusheng Ji, and Fuji Ren. Mitigating label-noise for 818 facial expression recognition in the wild. In 2022 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia 819 and Expo (ICME), pp. 1-6, 2022. doi: 10.1109/ICME52920.2022.9859818. 820 Shuo Yang, Lu Liu, and Min Xu. Free lunch for few-shot learning: Distribution calibration. In 821 9th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2021, Virtual Event, Austria, 822 May 3-7, 2021. OpenReview.net, 2021. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id= 823 JWOiYxMG92s. 824 825 Hu Ye, Jun Zhang, Sibo Liu, Xiao Han, and Wei Yang. Ip-adapter: Text compatible image prompt adapter for text-to-image diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.06721, 2023. 826 827 Jun Yu, Zhongpeng Cai, Renda Li, Gongpeng Zhao, Guochen Xie, Jichao Zhu, Wangyuan Zhu, 828 Qiang Ling, Lei Wang, Cong Wang, Luyu Qiu, and Wei Zheng. Exploring large-scale unlabeled 829 faces to enhance facial expression recognition. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on 830 Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR) Workshops, pp. 5803–5810, June 2023. 831 Jianhao Yuan, Jie Zhang, Shuyang Sun, Philip Torr, and Bo Zhao. Real-fake: Effective training data 832 synthesis through distribution matching. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.10402, 2023. 833 834 Dan Zeng, Zhiyuan Lin, Xiao Yan, Yuting Liu, Fei Wang, and Bo Tang. Face2exp: Combating 835 data biases for facial expression recognition. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on 836 *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 20291–20300, June 2022. 837 Sixian Zhang, Bohan Wang, Junqiang Wu, Yan Li, Tingting Gao, Di Zhang, and Zhongyuan Wang. 838 Learning multi-dimensional human preference for text-to-image generation. In Proceedings of 839 the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 8018–8027, 2024a. 840 841 Yuhang Zhang, Chengrui Wang, and Weihong Deng. Relative uncertainty learning for facial expres-842 sion recognition. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 34:17616–17627, 2021. 843 Yuhang Zhang, Yaqi Li, Xuannan Liu, Weihong Deng, et al. Leave no stone unturned: mine ex-844 tra knowledge for imbalanced facial expression recognition. Advances in Neural Information 845 Processing Systems, 36, 2024b. 846 847 Yuxin Zhang, Nisha Huang, Fan Tang, Haibin Huang, Chongyang Ma, Weiming Dong, and Chang-848 sheng Xu. Inversion-based style transfer with diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF* 849 conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 10146–10156, 2023. 850 Zengqun Zhao, Yu Cao, Shaogang Gong, and Ioannis Patras. Enhancing zero-shot facial expression 851 recognition by llm knowledge transfer, 2024. 852 853 Yinglin Zheng, Hao Yang, Ting Zhang, Jianmin Bao, Dongdong Chen, Yangyu Huang, Lu Yuan, 854 Dong Chen, Ming Zeng, and Fang Wen. General facial representation learning in a visual-855 linguistic manner. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 18697-18709, 2022. 856 857 Jieming Zhou, Tong Zhang, Zeeshan Hayder, Lars Petersson, and Mehrtash Harandi. Diff3dhpe: A 858 diffusion model for 3d human pose estimation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International 859 Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 2092–2102, 2023. 860 861 Qihao Zhu and Jianxi Luo. Toward artificial empathy for human-centered design: A framework. In International Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and Information in 862 Engineering Conference, volume 87318, pp. V03BT03A072. American Society of Mechanical 863

Engineers, 2023.

Xinyi Zou, Yan Yan, Jing-Hao Xue, Si Chen, and Hanzi Wang. Learn-to-decompose: cascaded decomposition network for cross-domain few-shot facial expression recognition. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 683–700. Springer, 2022.

A APPENDIX

A.1 HYPER-PARAMETER STUDIES

Step Size λ in Semantic Guidance. For hyper-parameter analysis, we consider five configurations of the step size λ in semantic guidance. Due to computational resource constraints, we provide results of self-supervised learning with MoCo v3 Chen et al. (2021) on 0.2M synthetic data for pre-training and report the linear probe performances on RAF-DB Li et al. (2017). Experiment results are shown in Fig. 8. It can be seen that when λ is relatively small, its influence on the performance is relatively small. However, as λ continues to increase, the downstream performance is severely degraded. This is because an excessive λ would lead to severely disrupted images, as shown in Fig. 9.



Figure 8: Hyper-parameter study on the λ in semantic guidance. We report the linear probe performances of MoCo v3 Chen et al. (2021) pre-trained with 0.2M synthetic data on RAF-DB Li et al. (2017).



Figure 9: Visualizations of images generated with different values of λ .

A.2 EXPERIMENT SETTING AND IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

Self-Supervised Learning. We use the widely adopted self-supervised learning library solo-learn
 Da Costa et al. (2022) for experiments and follow the default settings in solo-learn for various methods. Detailed settings are shown in the tables below:

Others. As all the methods for comparisons in supervised learning (Tab. 3), few-shot learning (Tab. 4) and multi-modal fine-tuning (Tab. 5) are open-source, we thus only need to rewrite the

Config		Pre-Training	Linear Probe			
comg	SimCLR	BYOL	MoCo v3	SimCLR	BYOL	MoCo v3
batch size	64	64	64	32	32	32
optimizer	Lars	Lars	Lars	SGD	SGD	SGD
base learning rate	0.3	0.1	0.3	1e-3	1e-3	1e-3
weight decay	1e-4	1e-6	1e-6	1e-4	1e-4	1e-4
learning rate schedule	warmup cosine	warmup cosine	warmup cosine	step (60,80)	steps (60,80)	steps (60,80)
epochs	200	200	200	100	100	100
augmentation	RRC	RRC	RRC	RRC+RHF	RRC+RHF	RRC+RHF

Table 7: Implementation details on self-supervised pre-training. RRC and RHF denote random resize crop and random horizontal flip, respectively.

corresponding code for dataset reading to incorporate the synthetic data. We follow the default setting in each open-source code of the compared methods.

Facial Action Unit Setting. We use pre-defined facial action unit (FAU) labels to generate images corresponding to specific facial expressions as shown below:

Facial Expression	FAU
Нарру	AU6 + AU12
Sad	AU1 + AU4 + AU15
Surprise	AU1 + AU2 + AU5 + AU26
Fear	AU1 + AU2 + AU4 + AU5 + AU7 + AU20 + AU26
Angry	AU4 + AU5 + AU7 + AU23
Disgust	AU9 + AU15 + AU16

Table 8: FAU annotations to generate specific classes of facial expression images.

Step of Performing Semantic Guidance. During the synthesis process, the total denoising steps of the diffusion model are set as 50. Semantic guidance requires backward gradient computation, which would cost a large amount of GPU hours. Thereby, we only perform semantic guidance in the latter steps, which is set as the last 5 steps of the denoising. Another reason to perform semantic guidance at the latter steps is that estimated results at early steps tend to be blurry and degraded facial images, performing semantic guidance on such images might to incorrect results.



Figure 10: Synthetic images comparison between the over-smoothing images and the natural images.

972 A.3 OVER-SMOOTHING OF SUPER RESOLUTION TRAINING DATA

As shown in Fig. 10, we provide comparisons between the over-smoothing synthetic images and the more natural ones. Due to the large amount of super-resolution data in FEText, it can be seen that solely performing fine-tuning on the entire FEText significantly degrades the realism of the images, while the proposed two-stage fine-tuning strategies in Sec. 4.2.2 could effectively prevent over-smoothing.

979 980

990 991

992

993 994 995

996

997

998

999

1000

1002

1004

1008

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014 1015

1016

1017

1021

1023

A.4 LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE WORK

981 While the effectiveness of the proposed synthetic data framework has been demonstrated through 982 extensive experiments, its current use is limited to augmenting the training set. A more efficient and 983 optimized approach for leveraging synthetic data remains unexplored and warrants further investiga-984 tion. Additionally, the generation process remains relatively slow, particularly when incorporating 985 semantic guidance, which is crucial for ensuring accurate and faithful data generation. Moreover, 986 this work focuses exclusively on facial expression recognition. However, it is important to note that 987 the synthetic data framework has potential applications in other areas of facial affective computing, such as facial action unit detection and affective valence and arousal recognition. These avenues are 988 left for future exploration. 989

A.5 FETEXT

More examples from FEText are shown in Fig. 11.



The woman in the image is displaying a neutral facial expression. Her eyes are open and focused, and her nose is straight. Her cheeks are slightly puffed out, and her lips are slightly parted, giving her a slight smile. Her eyebrows are relaxed, and her gaze is directed straight ahead. The neutral expression suggests that she is neither happy nor sad, but rather in a state of calm or neutrality.

The man in the image is displaying a Happy facial expression. His eyes are open and looking directly at the camera, indicating that he is engaged and attentive. His nose is straight, and his cheeks are slightly puffed out, adding to the overall cheerfulness of his expression. His mouth is slightly open, and his teeth are visible, which is a common feature of a happy smile. The mans gaze is directed straight at the camera, suggesting that he is comfortable and at ease.

The woman in the image is displaying a neutral facial expression. Her eyes are open, and her gaze is directed to the left. Her nose is straight, and her lips are slightly parted as if she is about to speak. The cheeks of her face are slightly puffed out, and her eyebrows are arched, giving her a thoughtful appearance. The background of the image is blurred, but it appears to be a yellowish-green color, which contrasts with the womans skin tone.

The man in the image is displaying a sad facial expression. His eyes are closed, and his nose is prominent. His cheeks are slightly puffed out, and his eyebrows are furrowed. The mouth is slightly open, and the lips are slightly parted. The gaze is directed downwards, and the overall expression conveys a sense of sadness or melancholy.

Figure 11: Examples from FEText.