# Incre-ICAPQ: Iterative Cross Alignment and Prototype Quadruplet Loss for Incremental Few-Shot Relation Classification

**Anonymous ACL submission** 

#### Abstract

In incremental few-shot relation classification task, model performance is always limited by incompatibility between base feature embedding space and novel feature embedding 004 space. To tackle the issue, we present a novel method named Incre-ICAPQ with Iterative Cross Alignment and Prototype Quadruplet loss. Specifically, we incorporate the query instance representation into the encoding of novel prototypes and meanwhile utilize the queryaware prototypes to acquire the query instance representation. To achieve better interaction, we further implement the above dual encod-014 ing iteratively. Moreover, prototype quadruplet loss enlarges the distance between differ-016 ent types of prototypes, especially the relative distance between base and novel classes, and 017 makes the distance between query and prototype of the same class as close as possible. Experimental results on two benchmarks demonstrate that Incre-ICAPQ significantly outperforms the state-of-the-art baseline model.

#### 1 Introduction

034

040

Relation classification (RC), an important sub-task of relation extraction (RE), aims at classifying the relation between two marked entities in a given sentence. For example, the instance "[Newton] $_{e1}$ served as the president of [the Royal Society] $_{e2}$ ' expresses the relation *member\_of* between the two entities Newton and the Royal Society. Some conventional methods (Zeng et al., 2014; Gormley et al., 2015; Soares et al., 2019) for relation classification adopts supervised training and usually suffer from scarcity of manually annotated data. To alleviate this problem, distant supervision (DS) is adopted to automatically label abundant training instances by heuristically aligning knowledge graphs (KGs) with texts (Mintz et al., 2009). However, the existing DS-based methods fail to deal with the problem of long-tail relations in KGs and still suffer from data deficiency (Han et al., 2018).



Figure 1: Visualization of the representations of the query instances and prototypes from BERT-IncreProto (a) and our model BERT-Incre-ICAPQ (b). We randomly sample three base relations and three novel relations from real-world dataset FewRel 1.0, each relation with its corresponding prototype (triangles for base relations and stars for novel relations) and eight query instances (points).

To tackle the above long-tail problem, few-shot RC was proposed, which formulates RC in a fewshot learning scenario. This task requires the models trained with base relations to generalize well to novel relations with only few labeled instances. Base relations are those relations containing adequate instances and can be effectively utilized in the training phase to mimic the test phase on novel relations with few samples. Fine-tuning pretrained models (Bengio, 2012; Gao et al., 2020) is straightforward while suffers from the overfitting problem. Thus, metric based methods (Ravi and Larochelle, 2017; Dong et al., 2020; Geng et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020b) were proposed to grasp the fast-learning ability from previous experiences and then quickly generalize to new concept. These methods have been experimentally proven to be effective.

043

046

047

048

049

051

052

054

058

060

061

062

063

064

065

Taking a step further, incremental few-shot RC (Ren et al., 2020) considers a more realistic scenario, where the model is required to dynamically recognize the novel relations with a few samples, without reducing the base relation identification capability learned on the large-scale data of base relations. Hence in the test phase, the query set consists of instances of not only base relations but also novel relations, which is more challenging. Several related works (Liu et al., 2020a; Chen and Lee, 2020; Kukleva et al., 2021) have been proposed in the field of computer vision and they focus on image classification task. As for the task of incremental few-shot RC, IncreProtoNet (Ren et al., 2020) is the first work, which proposes a two-phase prototypical network model.

066

067

068

071

084

100

101

102

104

105

106

107

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

Specifically, IncreProtoNet contains two separate prototypical networks (Snell et al., 2017). One is pre-trained in the first phase to acquire the base prototypes and base feature extractor, and the other obtains the novel prototypes and novel feature encoder with few-shot episode training in the second phase. However, IncreProtoNet suffers from insufficient interaction between the class prototypes and the query instances. Therefore, in the embedding space, novel relations often overlap significantly with base relations, and query representations are scattered, as shown in Figure 1 (a). In addition, the triplet loss used by IncreProtoNet may be affected by noise samples, and its effectiveness decreases on tasks with domain shift. As a result, low accuracy on novel relation recognition has been witnessed.

To alleviate the above problem, we first propose a novel Iterative Cross Alignment (ICA) mechanism. Specifically, we build an extra Cross Alignment (CA) module to dynamically and interactively encode the novel prototypes and the query instances. On the one hand, the obtaining of novel prototypes is query-aware; namely, the queryrelated support instances contribute more to the final prototypes. On the other hand, the encoding of query instances is prototype-aware, since the query-related prototypes have more influence on the query representations. Further, we propose to iteratively implement the above CA, namely Iterative Alignment (IA), in order to achieve more sufficient interaction and alignment. Besides, Prototype Quadruplet (PQ) loss is proposed to enlarge the distance between different types of prototypes, while making the distance between query and prototype of the same class as close as possible.

The contributions of this paper can be summarized below:

- We propose a novel incremental few-shot classification model Incre-ICAPQ with ICA mechanism and PQ loss.
- For the first time, we propose the iterative

cross alignment mechinism, which learns the representations of the query instancees and the novel prototypes interactively and iteratively. Besides, a novel prototype quadruplet loss is designed to regulates the feature space distribution. 117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130 131

132

133

134

135

136 137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

• Experiments on FewRel 1.0 and 2.0 datasets demonstrate that our method outperforms the state-of-the-art methods by a large margin.

# 2 Task Formulation

the task of incremental few-shot RC, In first we are given a large dataset containing  $N_{base}$  base relations:  $D_{base} = \bigcup_{b=1}^{N_{base}} \{I_{b,i} = (x_{b,i}, h_{b,i}, t_{b,i}, r_b)\}_{i=1}^{K_b}$ , in which  $K_b$  is the number of instances of relation  $r_b$ , and  $I_{b,i}$  represents its *i*-th instance consisting of the sentence  $x_{b,i}$  and the mentioned entity pair  $(h_{b,i}, t_{b,i})$ . Then we are given a support set  $S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{N_{novel}} \left\{ I'_{n,i} \right\}_{i=1}^{K'_n}$  of  $N_{novel}$  novel relations, where  $K'_n$  is the number of support instances of novel relation  $r'_n$  and  $I'_{n,i}$  is the *i*-th supporting instance. With  $D_{\text{base}}$  and  $\hat{S}$ , the task is to recognize the relations of the instances in the query set  $Q = \bigcup_{q=1}^{N_{base}+N_{novel}} \left\{ I'_{q,i} \right\}_{i=1}^{K''_q}$ , in which  $K''_q$  is the number of query instances of relation  $r''_q$  and  $I''_{q,i}$ is its *i*-th query instance. Therefore, the model is required to dynamically recognize the novel relations based on a few novel support instances while keeping the base relation identification capability learned on the large base dataset.

## 3 Method

In this section, we elaborate on the details of our proposed Incre-ICAPQ method for incremental few-shot RC. First, we give a brief introduction to the IncreProtoNet in Section 3.1. Then, we introduce the overall framework of our model in Section 3.2. Next, we present the proposed ICA mechanism with CA module and IA module in Section 3.3. Moreover, the proposed PQ loss is discussed in Section 3.4.

### 3.1 A Brief Introduction to IncreProtoNet

IncreProtoNet (Ren et al., 2020) is the first work focusing on incremental few-shot RC. The proposed model is a two-phase prototypical network.

In the first phase, a deep prototypical network, consisting of a convolutional neural network based



Figure 2: The framework of Incre-ICAPQ. In the dashed box of ICA, (f) corresponds to equation (6), which represents the obtaining of query representation; and (g) corresponds to equation (8), which represents the update of novel prototypes.

3

encoder and a prototype based classifier, is pre-163 trained on a large training dataset for base relations 164 in a supervised manner to learn the feature embedding space of base relations. Hence the base prototypes, denoted as  $P_{\text{base}} = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{N_{\text{base}}}\}$ , can be obtained by averaging the representations of all 168 the training instances within each base class b: 169

165

167

170

171

173

174

175

176

178

179

182

183

184

186

187

189

$$p_b = \frac{1}{K_b} \sum_{i=1}^{K_b} x_{b,i},$$
 (1)

where  $x_{b,i}$  is the embedding of  $I_{b,i}$  through the base encoder.

In the second phase, another prototypical network, named incremental few-shot prototypical network, is proposed to learn the feature embedding space of novel relations. The support set is encoded to obtain the novel prototypes  $P_{novel}$  =  $\left\{p_1', p_2', \dots, p_{N_{\text{novel}}}'\right\}$  as follows:

$$p'_{n} = \frac{1}{K'_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{K'_{n}} x'_{n,i},$$
(2)

where  $x'_{n,i}$  is the embedding of  $I'_{n,i}$  through the novel encoder. For a query instance q from the query set, the representation  $x_q$  is calculated as the weighted sum of the  $x_q^{base}$  from the base feature embedding space and  $x_q^{novel}$  from the novel feature embedding space:

$$x_q = \omega_b x_q^{base} + \omega_n x_q^{novel},\tag{3}$$

where the weights  $\omega_b$  and  $\omega_n$  are determined by considering the similarity of the query representation with the base prototypes and novel prototypes, respectively. In short, the query representation calculation can be summarized as:

$$x_q = f(x_q^{base}, x_q^{novel}, P_{base}, P_{novel}), \quad (4)$$

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

where f is a composite function and represents a series of operations. More details can be found in the original paper (Ren et al., 2020). Lastly, the probability of q belonging to the *i*-th relation  $r_i$  can be measured as: 11

$$p_{\theta}(r_i \mid q) = \frac{\exp\left(-d\left(\boldsymbol{x}_q, \boldsymbol{p}_i^{all}\right)\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text{base}} + N_{\text{novel}}} \exp\left(-d\left(\boldsymbol{x}_q, \boldsymbol{p}_j^{all}\right)\right)},$$
(5)
where  $\boldsymbol{p}_i^{all}$  is the *i*-th prototype in  $\boldsymbol{P}_{all}$  =

 $\{P_{base}, P_{novel}\}.$ 

Though IncreProtoNet performs well in recognizing instances of base relations, it is still difficult for this model to deal with novel relations. Experimental results in Ren et al. (2020) show that the accuracy for novel relations is much lower than that of base relations, which is unsatisfactory. There are several reasons as follows. First, IncreProtoNet obtains the novel prototypes independent of the query instance, lacking interaction between them. Second, IncreProtoNet ignores the alignment between base relations and novel relations, which is vital in incremental learning scenarios. Third, there is no effective regularization to the feature embedding spaces of base relations and novel relations, which causes discrepancy between them.

## 3.2 Overall Framework of Incre-ICAPQ

To tackle the above issues, we propose the Incre-ICAPQ model on the basis of IncreProtoNet. Like

IncreProtoNet, our model contains two phases in-219 cluding the base pretraining phase and the few-shot 220 episode training phase. Furthermore, we innova-221 tively propose the ICA mechanism and PQ loss, which are demonstrated in the dashed boxes in Figure 2. Next, we illustrate the detailed design.

## 3.3 Iterative Cross Alignment

228

231

233

240

241

243

244

245

247

248

253

257

260

In the task of incremental few-shot RC, it is important to make an alignment between the base feature embedding space and the novel feature embedding space so as to flexibly encode the query instance and further make correct relation classification. This requires full interaction between base relations and novel relations.

Cross Alignment. To this end, the cross alignment (CA) module is designed to encode the novel prototypes and the query instance in an interactive manner. To be specific, we first initialize the novel prototypes  $P_{novel}$  and the query instance embedding  $x_q$  with equations (2) and (4), respectively. Then, the CA module updates  $p'_n \in P_{novel}$ , encouraging the model pay more attention to those query-related supporting instances,

$$p'_{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{K'_{n}} \gamma_{n,i} x'_{n,i}, \qquad (6)$$

where  $\gamma_{n,i}$  is defined as:

$$\gamma_{n,i} = \frac{\exp\left(-d\left(x_q, x'_{n,i}\right)\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{K'_n} \exp\left(-d\left(x_q, x'_{n,i}\right)\right)}, \quad (7)$$

where d is the euclidean distance. In short, the novel prototype embedding process can be summarized as:

$$P_{novel} = g(x_q, \bigcup_{n=1}^{N_{novel}} \left\{ I'_{n,i} \right\}_{i=1}^{K'_n}).$$
(8)

Correspondingly, the query instance representation  $x_q$  is further updated with equation (4), which requires the model to pay more attention to the queryrelated base prototypes and novel prototypes. Since most of the query instances belong to base relations, the CA module actually enhances the interaction between instances of base relations and novel relations, achieving better alignment between the two feature embedding spaces.

Iterative Alignment. The aligned query representation can help group the different support samples from the same novel class together to optimize

Algorithm 1 Iterative Cross Alignment

Input: Base prototypes  $P_{base}$ , support set S, query instance q and predefined maximum iteration number N.

**Parameter**: Base encoder  $\Theta_1$  and novel encoder  $\Theta_2$ .

**Output**: Novel prototypes  $P_{novel}$ , query instance representation  $x_q$  and probability distribution for relation of q:  $p_{\theta}(r \mid q)$ .

- 1: Initialize novel prototypes  $P_{novel}$  with equation (1).
- 2: Initialize query instance representation  $x_q$  with equation (2).
- 3: for  $t = 1 \rightarrow N$  do
- Update query representation  $x_q^t$ :  $x_q^t = f(x_q^{base}, x_q^{novel}, P_{base}, P_{novel}^{t-1}),$ 4:

5: Update novel prototypes 
$$P_{novel}^{t+1}$$
:  
 $P_{novel}^{t+1} = g(x_q^t, \bigcup_{n=1}^{N_{novel}} \left\{ I'_{n,i} \right\}_{i=1}^{K'_n}).$   
6: end for

7: **return** 
$$P_{novel}$$
,  $x_q$  and  $p_{\theta}(r \mid q)$ .

the novel prototype. Meanwhile, the optimized novel prototype can further help align query representations from different encoders. Inspired by traditional iterative cross-optimization algorithms, such as the EM (McLachlan and Krishnan, 2007) or *k-means* (Hartigan and Wong, 1979) algorithms, we further propose to carry out the above CA in an iterative way, namely Iterative Alignment (IA). The implementation is straightforward, since we just need to iteratively update  $P_{novel}$  and  $x_q$  with equations (6) and (4), respectively, until the predefined maximum number of steps is reached. Finally, the refined novel prototypes and query instance representations are obtained. The IA expands CA from single round to multiple rounds, further promoting the interaction and alignment. Algorithm 1 outlines the key steps of our ICA mechanism.

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

283

284

285

287

**Iterative Cross Alignment for Increment Few-**Shot Domain Adaptation. In the real world, especially common in the few-shot scenario, the test (novel classes) domain and training (base classes) domain are often different, so how to improve the abilities of our model to transfer across domains is also very important. Since the test domain usually has no annotations and could differ vastly from the training domain, we first initialize novel class prototypes with average representation of support

288set instances and the query representations with289initialized novel class prototypes. Then the CA290module cross-aligns novel support instances and291query from different domains. Besides, in the cross-292domain scenario, initial query and novel prototypes293are more likely to be incompatible; therefore, the294ICA mechanism can more significantly improve the295representations of the novel prototypes and query296from different domains.

#### 3.4 Prototype Quadruplet Loss

301

305

307

313

314

3

In our method, there are two feature embedding spaces for base and novel classed separately and the query instance is encoded by the two jointly. Therefore, it is important to measure which embedding space contributes more and further estimate which prototype is the nearest. In addition, the feature spaces of base classes and novel classes should be separated as much as possible when they are embedded into the same space. To this end, we design a novel *Prototype Quadruplet* loss ( $\mathcal{L}_{PQ}$ ), denoted as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{PQ} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{novel}} \max(0, \delta_1 + d_1 - d_2) + \max(0, \delta_2 + d_1 - d_3),$$
(9)

where  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  are hyper-parameters, M is the total number of training episodes, and three distances  $d_1, d_2, d_3$  are defined as follows:

$$d_1 = d\left(f\left(a_i^k\right), P_{p,i}^k\right),\tag{10}$$

$$d_2 = d\left(f\left(a_i^k\right), P_{n,i}^k\right),\tag{11}$$

15 
$$d_3 = d\left(P_{n,novel,i}^k, P_{n,base,i}^k\right), \qquad (12)$$

where  $\left(a_{i}^{k}, P_{p,i}^{k}, P_{n,novel,i}^{k}, P_{n,base,i}^{k}\right)$  is a quadru-316 plet consisting of the anchor instance, the positive 317 prototype from the same novel class, the first nega-318 tive prototype from another novel class and the second negative prototype from one of the base classes, 320  $f(\cdot)$  is the feature extractor, and  $P_{n,i}^k$  is randomly 321 selected from  $P_{n,novel,i}^k$  or  $P_{n,base,i}^k$ . Different from IncreProtoNet, inspired by the triplet-center loss 323 (He et al., 2018), which can further enhance the dis-324 criminative power of the features, we also learn the 325 center representation of each class and then require that the distances between anchors and centers from 327

the same class are smalller than those from different classes. Note that  $p^k$ ,  $P^k_{n,novel,i}$ ,  $P^k_{n,base,i}$  are all virtual instances and denote the corresponding prototypes.

In addition, to enhance the abilities of our model to transfer across domains, inspired by the quadruplet loss (Chen et al., 2017) which introduces the absolute distance between the positive and negative sample pairs, we add  $d_3$  to better align different domains, which narrows the domain gap and further alleviates the issue of incompatible feature embedding between base classes and novel classes, so as to achieve more effective domain adaptation.

Finally, the joint loss function  $\mathcal{L}$  is a trade-off between the cross-entropy loss  $\mathcal{L}_{CE}$  and the above  $\mathcal{L}_{PQ}$  by a hyper-parameter  $\lambda$ :

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{CE} + \lambda \cdot \mathcal{L}_{PQ}.$$
 (13)

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

357

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

369

370

371

373

### 4 Experiments

## 4.1 Datasets and Evaluation Metrics

Datasets. We carry out extensive experiments on two benchmark datasets. The first one is FewRel 1.0 (Han et al., 2018), which contains 80 relations and provides 700 instances for each relation. We adopt the same split as Ren et al. (2020). To be specific, 54 relations are randomly selected as the base relations each with 550 instances for base pretraining, 50 instances for episode training and 100 instances for testing. 10 other relations each with 700 instances are sampled as the novel relations for the episode training. The rest 16 relations each with 700 instances are used as the novel relations in testing. The other dataset is FewRel 2.0 (Gao et al., 2019b), which is constructed on top of the FewRel 1.0 by adding a new test set in a quite different domain (i.e., medicine), requiring the models to transfer across domains.

**Evaluation Metrics.** To compare our proposed method with the state-of-the-art methods, we adopt the same evaluation metrics as Ren et al. (2020), namely, three kinds of classification accuracy, including classification accuracy for instances of base relations, novel relations, and all relations. Since the number of base relations is much larger than that of novel relations, the classification accuracy for instances of all relations depends largely on that of base relations.

Models	1-shot learning			5-shot learning		
	Base	Novel	Both	Base	Novel	Both
Proto	$43.20\pm0.12$	$39.86\pm0.26$	$42.91\pm0.22$	$66.74 \pm 0.05$	$57.33\pm0.15$	$65.94 \pm 0.11$
HATT Proto	$51.58\pm0.11$	$45.16\pm0.18$	$51.03\pm0.15$	$67.77\pm0.13$	$61.12\pm0.09$	$67.20\pm0.08$
BERT-PAIR	$76.03\pm0.05$	$58.29\pm0.13$	$75.30\pm0.11$	$80.01\pm0.03$	$64.34\pm0.14$	$78.68 \pm 0.12$
ProtoNet (Increment)	$75.63\pm0.04$	$18.44\pm0.02$	$70.78\pm0.03$	$75.07\pm0.03$	$47.11\pm0.04$	$72.70\pm0.02$
Imprint	$62.62\pm0.13$	$16.79\pm0.34$	$58.73\pm0.27$	$67.72\pm0.09$	$16.49\pm0.31$	$63.38\pm0.25$
AttractorNet	$66.48 \pm 0.19$	$5.32\pm0.25$	$61.29\pm0.23$	$68.26 \pm 0.22$	$6.45\pm0.26$	$62.78 \pm 0.24$
GloVe-IncreProtoNet	$70.96\pm0.21$	$48.38\pm0.11$	$69.36\pm0.15$	$72.54\pm0.16$	$61.57\pm0.11$	$71.54\pm0.13$
GloVe-Incre-ICAPQ	$\textbf{72.15} \pm \textbf{0.18}$	$\textbf{54.47} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	$\textbf{70.42} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	$\textbf{72.70} \pm \textbf{0.06}$	$\textbf{71.91} \pm \textbf{0.10}$	$\textbf{72.63} \pm \textbf{0.13}$
BERT-IncreProtoNet	$82.10\pm0.04$	$60.15\pm0.11$	$80.65\pm0.10$	$84.64\pm0.04$	$65.77\pm0.09$	$82.26\pm0.08$
BERT-Incre-ICAPQ	$\textbf{82.56} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{63.25} \pm \textbf{0.09}$	$\textbf{81.50} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	$\textbf{84.90} \pm \textbf{0.05}$	$\textbf{69.50} \pm \textbf{0.06}$	$\textbf{83.64} \pm \textbf{0.04}$

Table 1: Average classification accuracy (%) on the FewRel 1.0 dataset.

# 377 378 379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 387 388 389 390

397

398

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

374

376

# **4.2 Implementation Details**

To systematically validate the effectiveness of the proposed ICA method, we experiment with two kinds of word embedding initialization methods, namely, GloVe (Pennington et al., 2014) and BERT (Devlin et al., 2019). Besides, the compared methods are all evaluated in both 1-shot and 5-shot learning. The hidden dimension of feature extractor is 230, as well as the prototype dimension. The stochastic gradient descent (SGD) is employed for optimization and the initial learning rate in episode training is set as 0.1, except for BERT as 0.001. For the PQ loss, the two margins  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  are set as 5.0 and 10.0 respectively, while the balance weight  $\lambda$  is set as 1.

# 4.3 Comparison Methods

First of all, we compare with several few-shot learning models, namely, Proto (Han et al., 2018), HATT Proto (Gao et al., 2019a) and BERT-PAIR (Gao et al., 2019b) and the incremental few-shot learning model ProtoNet (Increment) (Snell et al., 2017). Besides, following (Ren et al., 2020), we compare with Imprint (Qi et al., 2018) and LwoF (Gidaris and Komodakis, 2018) models which are the incremental few-shot learning models in the computer vision field. Finally, we take IncreProtoNet as our baseline, which is the current state of the art.

## 4.4 Main Results

Our model gains significant improvement in incremental few-show learning tasks. From Table 1, we can observe that for the FewRel 1.0 dataset, our model achieves the best in both 1-shot and 5shot tasks. Compared with the best baseline model IncreProtoNet, our model remarkably improves the novel class classification accuracy by 3-10%, while maintaining high accuracy on base class recognition. This shows that the proposed ICA mechanism and PQ loss can greatly promote the models' recognition capabilities for novel classes. We conjecture this is because the ICA mechanism can obtain more effective novel prototypes and better align the query representations from different encoders. 408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

The more support set instances, the larger the improvement for novel class classification. As can be seen from Table 1, using either GloVe or BERT as the initial text encoder, the improvement on the 5-shot learning is more significant than that of 1-shot learning for novel class. This is because when there are more support set samples, the ICA mechanism and PQ loss can help separate the base and novel classes, reduce the distance between similar classes, and make the query of novel class and corresponding prototype as close as possible.

# 4.5 Domain Adaptation

To further demonstrate the superiority of our method, we extend the few-shot domain adaptation (few-shot DA) task in FewRel 2.0 (Gao et al., 2019b) to the incremental few-shot domain adaptation (incre-few-shot DA) task in our work. Different from the original incre-few-shot RC, the novel instances in the test set are replaced by new instances from the medical domain. Since the domain of novel instances in the test set is no longer consistent with the training set, the models are required to be able to transfer across domains, which is more challenging.

Table 2 illustrates the comparison results of Incre-ProtoNet and our model, and we have two observations: (1) Huge drops on almost all met-

1-shot learning 5-shot learning Models Base Both Base Novel Both Novel GloVe-IncreProtoNet  $71.37\pm0.25$  $36.85\pm0.13$  $68.44 \pm 0.18$  $71.71\pm0.22$  $49.15\pm0.14$  $69.80 \pm 0.17$ GloVe-Incre-ICAPO 71.39 ±0.11  $\textbf{37.03} \pm \textbf{0.15}$  $\textbf{68.48} \pm \textbf{0.14}$  $\textbf{73.11} \pm \textbf{0.15}$  $\textbf{55.58} \pm \textbf{0.10}$  $\textbf{71.63} \pm \textbf{0.11}$ BERT-IncreProtoNet  $86.27 \pm 0.06$  $52.68 \pm 0.20$  $83.42 \pm 0.11$  $\textbf{87.83} \pm \textbf{0.05}$  $56.70 \pm 0.14$  $85.19\pm0.09$ **BERT-Incre-ICAPQ**  $\textbf{86.72} \pm \textbf{0.04}$  $\textbf{52.85} \pm \textbf{0.16}$  $\textbf{84.58} \pm \textbf{0.12}$  $87.49\pm0.16$  $\textbf{65.27}{\pm 0.08}$  $\textbf{85.60} \pm \textbf{0.14}$ 

Table 2: Results (%) of incre-few-shot DA on the FewRel 2.0 dataset.

Table 3: Ablation Studies. † indicates Incre-ICAPQ without the ICA mechanism; and ‡ indicates Incre-ICAPQ without the PQ loss.

Models	1-shot learning			5-shot learning		
	Base	Novel	Both	Base	Novel	Both
GloVe-IncreProtoNet	$70.96 \pm 0.21$	$48.38\pm0.11$	$69.36\pm0.15$	$72.54\pm0.16$	$61.57\pm0.11$	$71.54\pm0.13$
GloVe-Incre-ICAPQ †	$72.03\pm0.12$	$52.47 \pm 0.05$	$69.42\pm0.01$	$72.32\pm0.04$	$67.36\pm0.10$	$71.94\pm0.08$
GloVe-Incre-ICAPQ ‡	$71.15 \pm 0.03$	$53.97 \pm 0.12$	$69.82\pm0.10$	$71.12\pm0.06$	$69.14\pm0.16$	$71.64\pm0.11$
GloVe-Incre-ICAPQ	$\textbf{72.15} \pm \textbf{0.18}$	$\textbf{54.47} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	$\textbf{70.42} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	$\textbf{72.70} \pm \textbf{0.06}$	$\textbf{71.91} \pm \textbf{0.10}$	$\textbf{72.63} \pm \textbf{0.13}$
BERT-IncreProtoNet	$82.10\pm0.04$	$60.15\pm0.11$	$80.65\pm0.10$	$84.64\pm0.04$	$65.77\pm0.09$	$82.26\pm0.08$
BERT-Incre-ICAPQ †	$82.20\pm0.13$	$62.72\pm0.15$	$80.67\pm0.08$	$84.04\pm0.12$	$68.06 \pm 0.28$	$82.15\pm0.10$
BERT-Incre-ICAPQ ‡	$82.15\pm0.14$	$63.07\pm0.09$	$80.92\pm0.13$	$\textbf{84.98} \pm \textbf{0.10}$	$69.36\pm0.12$	$83.25\pm0.15$
BERT-Incre-ICAPQ	$\textbf{82.56} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{63.25} \pm \textbf{0.09}$	$\textbf{81.50} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	$84.90\pm0.05$	$\textbf{69.50} \pm \textbf{0.06}$	$\textbf{83.64} \pm \textbf{0.04}$

rics have been witnessed for both IncreProtNet and our model, which demonstrates the difficulty of incre-few-shot DA. However, the performance of our method deteriorates much slower than that of IncreProtoNet. (2) Our model outperforms Incre-ProtoNet on all metrics. Especially in 5-shot settings, the accuracy of novel relation recognition is improved by more than 7% in absolute percentage. It indicate that our proposed ICA mechanism provides more accurate, robust and general representations for the relation prototypes and query instances.

## 4.6 Ablation Studies

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

As shown in Table 3, on the FewRel 1.0 dataset, compared with the baseline IncreProtoNet, our model can get a large improvement with either the ICA mechanism or the PQ loss. Especially for the ICA mechanism, benefited from the full interaction brought by it, better query representation and novel prototype representation greatly improve the model's ability in incremental few-shot learning tasks. Furthermore, these two designs are complementary to each other, and combining them together, we can achieve even larger improvement.

### 4.7 Visualization Analysis

We visualize different types of query representations and prototype representations. As shown in Figure 3, benefited from the ICA mechanism and PQ loss, prototypes of different classes are pushed apart, and the representations of different queries are more accurate and fall close to the corresponding prototype of the same class.

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

### 4.8 Impact of the Iteration Number of ICA

As shown in Table 4, the ICA mechanism with two (N=2) or three (N=3) iterations achieves better results than the single iteration (N=1). This shows that the ICA mechanism which optimizes query representation and novel prototype representation step by step can effectively improve the accuracy of incremental few-shot learning. In addition, when N is greater than 3, the accuracy of the model decreases. The reason is probably that larger N leads to overfitting of the model. Finally, it can be seen from Table 4 that no matter how many times the model is iteratively aligned, our models are significantly better than the current best baseline IncreProtoNet.

# 5 Related Work

RC is a fundamental task in natural language pro-<br/>cessing, aiming to recognize the semantic relation490between two marked entities in a sentence. With<br/>the development of deep learning in recent years,<br/>many models based on neural networks have been<br/>proposed for this task and achieved great progress.490



Figure 3: Visualization of the representations of the query instances and prototypes when BERT-Incre-ICAPQ is equipped without (a) ICA mechanism and without (b) PQ loss.

Models	5-shot learning			
Woucis	Base	Novel	Both	
GloVe-IncreProtoNet	72.43	61.57	71.54	
GloVe-Incre-ICAPQ (N=1)	72.33	69.91	71.92	
GloVe-Incre-ICAPQ (N=2)	72.55	68.91	72.45	
GloVe-Incre-ICAPQ (N=3)	72.17	71.91	72.68	
GloVe-Incre-ICAPQ (N=4)	73.23	70.61	72.13	
BERT-IncreProtoNet	84.54	65.77	82.26	
BERT-Incre-ICAPQ (N=1)	84.25	67.50	82.95	
BERT-Incre-ICAPQ (N=2)	84.36	69.50	82.24	
BERT-Incre-ICAPQ (N=3)	84.89	69.10	83.46	
BERT-Incre-ICAPQ (N=4)	84.43	68.10	82.13	

Table 4: Impact of the iteration number of ICA.

For example, Zeng et al. (2014) and dos Santos et al. (2015) utilized convolutional neural networks to capture the global and local semantic information. Later, some attention-based models (Wang et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2016; Jin et al., 2020) have been proposed to better capture the more useful semantic information. These models are may suffer from the scarcity of high-quality training data. To mitigate the problem, some works (Mintz et al., 2009; Jia et al., 2019; Qin et al., 2018) adopt DS to construct large-scale datasets, while ignore the effect of long-tail relations.

497

498

499

503

507

508

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

Few-shot RC aims to learn high-quality features with only a small number of training samples. Early works employed the paradigm of pretraining and fine-turning (Bengio, 2012; Donahue et al., 2014; Gao et al., 2020), which aimed to acquire and transfer konwledge from support set containing instances of common relations. Later, metric learning methods (Vinyals et al., 2016; Snell et al., 2017) were proposed to learn different representations across relations. One representative work is prototypical networks (Snell et al., 2017), aiming to learn robust class representations and classify the query set based on the distance to the class prototypes in the feature space. A series of works (Han et al., 2018; Gao et al., 2019a,b) employed prototypical network in few-shot RC and achieved excellent performance.

Incremental learning is a setting where new information is arriving continuously while prior knowledge needs to be maintained. Combining incremental learning with few-shot RC, incremental few-shot RC constitutes a more realistic scenario, where the model is required to leverage the representations of base relations learned from largescale training dataset meanwhile effectively learn the representations of novel relations from a few support instances. To deal with this task, Ren et al. (2020) proposed a prototypical network based model consisting of two encoders for base relations and novel relations, respectively. In this paper, we argue that the previous work (Ren et al., 2020) is sub-optimal and introduce a preferable solution.

## 6 Conclusion

In this paper, we presented a novel and effective approach with iterative cross alignment and prototype quadruplet loss for the task of incremental few-shot learning. Benefit from the extensive interaction offered by the iterative cross alignment and the feature space regularization brought by the prototype quadruplet loss, our method outperformed the state-of-the-art baseline method significantly, as verified in our extensive experiments. Finally, in our future works, we aim to further improve the performance of our model under the one-shot task setting, as well as accelerate the training process.

548

549

550

551

552

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

### References

553

554

555

556

558

559

561

562

564

565

568

570

571

573

574

575

580

585

586

587

588

589

590

592

593

597

599

- Yoshua Bengio. 2012. Deep learning of representations for unsupervised and transfer learning. In Proceedings of ICML Workshop on Unsupervised and Transfer Learning, pages 17–36.
- Kuilin Chen and Chi-Guhn Lee. 2020. Incremental few-shot learning via vector quantization in deep embedded space. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- Weihua Chen, Xiaotang Chen, Jianguo Zhang, and Kaiqi Huang. 2017. Beyond triplet loss: a deep quadruplet network for person re-identification. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 403-412.

Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), pages 4171-4186.

Jeff Donahue, Yangqing Jia, Oriol Vinyals, Judy Hoffman, Ning Zhang, Eric Tzeng, and Trevor Darrell. 2014. DeCAF: A deep convolutional activation feature for generic visual recognition. In International Conference on Machine Learning, pages 647–655.

Bowen Dong, Yuan Yao, Ruobing Xie, Tianyu Gao, Xu Han, Zhiyuan Liu, Fen Lin, Leyu Lin, and Maosong Sun. 2020. Meta-information guided metalearning for few-shot relation classification. In Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics, pages 1594–1605.

- Cicero dos Santos, Bing Xiang, and Bowen Zhou. 2015. Classifying relations by ranking with convolutional neural networks. In Proceedings of the 53rd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 7th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 626–634.
- Tianyu Gao, Adam Fisch, and Danqi Chen. 2020. Making pre-trained language models better few-shot learners. arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.15723.
- Tianyu Gao, Xu Han, Zhiyuan Liu, and Maosong Sun. 2019a. Hybrid attention-based prototypical networks for noisy few-shot relation classification. In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, volume 33, pages 6407-6414.
- Tianyu Gao, Xu Han, Hao Zhu, Zhiyuan Liu, Peng Li, Maosong Sun, and Jie Zhou. 2019b. FewRel 2.0: Towards more challenging few-shot relation classification. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP), pages 6251-6256.
- Xiaoqing Geng, Xiwen Chen, Kenny Q Zhu, Libin Shen, 609 and Yinggong Zhao. 2020. MICK: A meta-learning 610 framework for few-shot relation classification with 611 small training data. In Proceedings of the 29th ACM 612 International Conference on Information & Knowl-613 edge Management, pages 415-424. 614 Spyros Gidaris and Nikos Komodakis. 2018. Dynamic 615 few-shot visual learning without forgetting. In Pro-616 ceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision 617 and Pattern Recognition, pages 4367–4375. 618 Matthew R Gormley, Mo Yu, and Mark Dredze. 2015. 619 Improved relation extraction with feature-rich com-620 positional embedding models. In Proceedings of the 621 2015 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural 622 Language Processing, pages 1774–1784. 623 Xu Han, Hao Zhu, Pengfei Yu, Ziyun Wang, Yuan Yao, 624 Zhiyuan Liu, and Maosong Sun. 2018. FewRel: A 625 large-scale supervised few-shot relation classification 626 dataset with state-of-the-art evaluation. In Proceed-627 ings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods 628 in Natural Language Processing, pages 4803–4809. 629 John A Hartigan and Manchek A Wong. 1979. Algo-630 rithm as 136: A k-means clustering algorithm. Jour-631 nal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series C (Applied 632 Atatistics), 28(1):100-108. 633 Xinwei He, Yang Zhou, Zhichao Zhou, Song Bai, and 634 Xiang Bai. 2018. Triplet-center loss for multi-view 635 3D object retrieval. In Proceedings of the IEEE Con-636 ference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 637 pages 1945-1954. 638 Wei Jia, Dai Dai, Xinyan Xiao, and Hua Wu. 2019. 639 ARNOR: Attention regularization based noise reduc-640 tion for distant supervision relation classification. In 641 Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Asso-642 ciation for Computational Linguistics, pages 1399-643 644 Yanliang Jin, Dijia Wu, and Weisi Guo. 2020. Attention-645 based LSTM with filter mechanism for entity relation 646 classification. Symmetry, 12(10):1729. 647 Anna Kukleva, Hilde Kuehne, and Bernt Schiele. 2021. 648 Generalized and incremental few-shot learning by 649 explicit learning and calibration without forgetting. 650 In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Con-651 ference on Computer Vision, pages 9020-9029. 652 Qing Liu, Orchid Majumder, Alessandro Achille, 653 Avinash Ravichandran, Rahul Bhotika, and Stefano 654 Soatto. 2020a. Incremental few-shot meta-learning 655 via indirect discriminant alignment. In European 656 Conference on Computer Vision, pages 685-701. 657 Springer. 658 Xiaoqian Liu, Fengyu Zhou, Jin Liu, and Lianjie Jiang. 659 2020b. Meta-learning based prototype-relation network for few-shot classification. Neurocomputing, 661

662

383:224-234.

1408.

Geoffrey J McLachlan and Thriyambakam Krishnan. 2007. *The EM algorithm and extensions*, volume 382. John Wiley & Sons.

666

671

673

674

676

677

678

679

691

703

706

710

- Mike Mintz, Steven Bills, Rion Snow, and Dan Jurafsky. 2009. Distant supervision for relation extraction without labeled data. In *Proceedings of the Joint Conference of the 47th Annual Meeting of the ACL and the 4th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing of the AFNLP*, pages 1003– 1011.
- Jeffrey Pennington, Richard Socher, and Christopher D Manning. 2014. GloVe: Global vectors for word representation. In *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1532–1543.
- Hang Qi, Matthew Brown, and David G Lowe. 2018. Low-shot learning with imprinted weights. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5822–5830.
- Pengda Qin, Weiran Xu, and William Yang Wang. 2018. Robust distant supervision relation extraction via deep reinforcement learning. In Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 2137–2147.
- Sachin Ravi and Hugo Larochelle. 2017. Optimization as a model for few-shot learning. In *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Learning Representations*, pages 224–234.
- Haopeng Ren, Yi Cai, Xiaofeng Chen, Guohua Wang, and Qing Li. 2020. A two-phase prototypical network model for incremental few-shot relation classification. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 1618– 1629.
- Jake Snell, Kevin Swersky, and Richard S Zemel. 2017. Prototypical networks for few-shot learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.05175*.
- Livio Baldini Soares, Nicholas FitzGerald, Jeffrey Ling, and Tom Kwiatkowski. 2019. Matching the blanks: Distributional similarity for relation learning. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 2895– 2905.
- Oriol Vinyals, Charles Blundell, Timothy Lillicrap, Daan Wierstra, et al. 2016. Matching networks for one shot learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 29:3630–3638.
- Linlin Wang, Zhu Cao, Gerard De Melo, and Zhiyuan
  Liu. 2016. Relation classification via multi-level attention CNNs. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1298–1307.

Daojian Zeng, Kang Liu, Siwei Lai, Guangyou Zhou, and Jun Zhao. 2014. Relation classification via convolutional deep neural network. In *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Computational Linguistics: Technical Papers*, pages 2335–2344. 716

717

718

720

721

722

723

725

726

727

Peng Zhou, Wei Shi, Jun Tian, Zhenyu Qi, Bingchen Li, Hongwei Hao, and Bo Xu. 2016. Attention-based bidirectional long short-term memory networks for relation classification. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 207– 212.