

# TRANSFORMERS PROVABLY LEARN TWO-MIXTURE OF LINEAR CLASSIFICATION VIA GRADIENT FLOW

000  
001  
002  
003  
004  
005 **Anonymous authors**  
006 Paper under double-blind review  
007  
008  
009  
010

## ABSTRACT

011 Understanding how transformers learn and utilize hidden connections between  
012 words is crucial to understand the behavior of large language models. To study  
013 this mechanism, we consider the task of two-mixture of linear classification which  
014 features a hidden correspondence structure between words, and study the training  
015 dynamics of a symmetric two-headed transformer with ReLU neurons. Motivated  
016 by the stage-wise learning phenomenon observed in our experiments, we design  
017 and theoretically analyze a three-stage training algorithm, which can effectively  
018 characterize the actual gradient descent dynamics when we simultaneously train  
019 the neuron weights and the softmax attention. The first stage is a neuron learning  
020 stage, where the neurons align with the underlying signals. The second stage is  
021 an attention feature learning stage, where we analyze the feature learning process  
022 of how the attention learns to utilize the relationship between the tokens to solve  
023 certain hard samples. In the meantime, the attention features evolve from a nearly  
024 non-separable state (at the initialization) to a well-separated state. The third stage  
025 is a convergence stage, where the population loss is driven towards zero. The  
026 key technique in our analysis of softmax attention is to identify a critical sub-  
027 system inside a large dynamical system and bound the growth of the non-linear  
028 sub-system by a linear system. Finally, we discuss the setting with more than  
029 two mixtures. We empirically show the difficulty of generalizing our analysis of  
030 the gradient flow dynamics to the case even when the number of mixtures equals  
031 three, although the transformer can still successfully learn such distribution. On  
032 the other hand, we show by construction that there exists a transformer that can  
033 solve mixture of linear classification given any arbitrary number of mixtures.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

034 Since the invention of self-attention (Vaswani et al., 2017), transformers have become the dominating  
035 backbone architecture in many machine learning applications such as computer vision (Dosovitskiy et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2021), natural language processing (Devlin et al., 2018) and protein  
036 structure prediction (Jumper et al., 2021). Within the past two years, ChatGPT and GPT-4 (OpenAI,  
037 2023; Bubeck et al., 2023) along with other large language models (LLMs) (Touvron et al., 2023;  
038 Manyika & Hsiao, 2023; Gemini, 2023; Anthropic, 2024) have demonstrated astonishing abilities  
039 in language understanding, math Olympiad (AlphaProof & AlphaGeometry, 2024) and coding, etc.  
040

041 Despite the wide range of success of transformers, how those models can achieve such impressive  
042 performance still remains largely unknown. One mystery lies in how transformers are trained to  
043 utilize the connections between words to solve various tasks. For example, consider the prompt  
044 “Bob is watching television in the living room. Where is Bob?”. To answer the question, the model  
045 must utilize the (hidden) correspondence between “Where” and “living room”.  
046

047 There are many previous works studying the mechanism of self-attention under different settings  
048 through training dynamics such as (Li et al., 2024b; Huang et al., 2024; Li et al., 2022; Tarzanagh  
049 et al., 2023b;a; Vasudeva et al., 2024). However, many of those previous works were either focusing  
050 on attentions that are position-based or studying a setting where the words in the dictionary don’t  
051 have explicit relationships between each other. The goal of this work is to study some simple yet  
052 informative setting such that we can get a clear understanding how the softmax attention learns and  
053 utilizes certain connections between words to solve tasks through training. To achieve this goal, we

054 consider the task of mixtures of linear classification. In this task, we have a dictionary consisting  
 055 of group identifiers and classification features, and there is a hidden **correspondence structure**:  
 056 each group identifier is associated with one classification feature. Each input contains a group  
 057 identifier and a corresponding signed classification feature. The rest of tokens are sampled from  
 058 signed classification features from other groups. The label of the sequence is determined by the sign  
 059 of the classification feature corresponding to the group identifier. Thus, a model needs to capture the  
 060 correspondence structure between group identifiers and classification features to solve this task. **To**  
 061 **see how attention can solve this task, later in our training dynamics analysis, we are going to show**  
 062 **that the softmax attention builds such correspondence structure by allocating more weights on the**  
 063 **group identifier when it sees classification feature queries.**

064 Although the intuition suggests that we can construct a transformer to solve this task, it is still  
 065 unknown that how the transformer models can be *trained* to learn such a hidden correspondence  
 066 structure. This inspires the following intriguing question:

067 *How do transformers learn and utilize the hidden correspondence structure to  
 068 solve mixture of linear classification via gradient descent?*

070 **Our contributions.** In this work, we study the training dynamics of a two-headed transformer given  
 071 two mixtures. Our contributions are summarized as follows:

- 072 As a guidance for our theory, we first conduct experiments to observe the training dynamics  
 073 of the transformer where we train all the weights simultaneously. Our experiment results  
 074 show a clear stage-wise learning phenomenon where the neuron weights learn before the  
 075 attention modules.
- 076 Motivated by our experimental observations, we design a three-stage layer-wise training al-  
 077 gorithm and further analyze its gradient flow dynamics. We characterize the feature learn-  
 078 ing process where the attention features evolve from a nearly non-separable state to a well-  
 079 separated state. In particular, our analysis captures how the self-attention associates the  
 080 group signals and classification signals to solve this task. Our analysis closely reflects the  
 081 actual behavior of the gradient descent dynamics when we train all weights simultaneously.
- 082 In order to analyze the change in softmax attention, we formulate a set of relevant variables  
 083 whose evolution can be characterized by a large non-linear dynamical system of ordinary  
 084 differential equations. To make the analysis of the dynamical system tractable, we reduce  
 085 the dimension of the system by identifying some key variables and forming a smaller sub-  
 086 system. We are able to relate the evolution of the smaller system with a linear dynamical  
 087 system to track its dynamics. Our proof techniques can be of independent interest.
- 088 We explore the training dynamics of the transformers when the number of mixtures goes  
 089 beyond two. We empirically show the difficulty of analyzing training dynamics even when  
 090 the number of mixture equals three, although the two-headed transformer model can still  
 091 learn this distribution. On the other hand, we give a general two-headed transformer con-  
 092 struction that can solve mixture of linear classification given arbitrary number of mixtures.

### 093 1.1 RELATED WORK

095 **Mixture of linear regression/classification.** Mixture of linear regression/classification is a classi-  
 096 cal model in statistics and machine learning (De Veaux, 1989; Jordan & Jacobs, 1994) which was  
 097 applied in areas such as object recognition (Quattoni et al., 2004) and machine translation (Liang  
 098 et al., 2006). This problem can be solved by tensor-based methods (Anandkumar et al., 2014; 2012;  
 099 Hsu et al., 2012; Chaganty & Liang, 2013) and the expectation-maximization algorithm (Khalili &  
 100 Chen, 2007; Yi et al., 2014; Balakrishnan et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2015). Previously, mixture of  
 101 linear classification has been used in studying mixtures of experts (Chen et al., 2022).

102 **Training dynamics of transformers.** The training dynamics of transformers have been studied  
 103 under various settings. One particular category is in-context learning. For example, in-context linear  
 104 regression has been studied under linear attention (Zhang et al., 2024), softmax attention (Huang  
 105 et al., 2023), non-linear embedding (Yang et al.), and multi-task with multiple heads (Chen et al.,  
 106 2024). Other in-context learning settings include classification (Li et al., 2024b), causal structure  
 107 (Nichani et al., 2024), Markov chain or n-gram (Edelman et al., 2024; Makkluva et al., 2024; Chen  
 et al.), nearest neighbor (Li et al., 2024c) and chain-of-thought (Li et al., 2024a).

For non-in-context settings, it has been shown that trained transformer can learn spatial structures (Jelassi et al., 2022), topic models (Li et al., 2023b), and feature-position correlation (Huang et al., 2024). In addition, (Tian et al., 2023) showed that self-attention behaves like a discriminative scan algorithm. There is one line of works studying a setting where the attention weight can converge to a SVM solution (Tarzanagh et al., 2023b;a; Vasudeva et al., 2024). In addition, (Li et al., 2022) studied a classification task with label-relevant and label-irrelevant tokens. (Li et al., 2023a) provided analysis of training graph transformers for node classification tasks. (Wang et al.) showed transformers can learn a sparse token selection task which lead to an optimization-based separation between transformers and MLPs. There are also works trying to prove convergence of transformer training via NTK (Wu et al., 2023; Deora et al.) and mean-field (Kim & Suzuki, 2024; Gao et al.).

Our work also study a non-in-context learning setting. However, many of the previous works were either considering attentions that are position-based or studying a setting where the words don't have explicit relationships with each other. Our study complements the above work by considering a setting where the dictionary possesses a correspondence structure.

## 2 SETTINGS

**Notations.** For a vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we use  $\text{diag}(v)$  to denote a diagonal matrix with  $v$  being the diagonal entries. When we subtract the vector  $v$  by a scalar  $a$ , we subtract each entry of  $v$  by  $a$ , i.e.,  $v - a \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $(v - a)_i = v_i - a$ . For a set  $\mathcal{S}$  with elements in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $|\mathcal{S}| = n$ , we can vectorize the set  $\mathcal{S}$  in any arbitrary but fixed order and denote the vector as  $\text{vec}(\mathcal{S}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We use  $\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{O}$  to hide polylogarithmic factors.

### 2.1 DATA DISTRIBUTION

**Definition 2.1** (Mixture of linear classification). *Define the  $K$ -mixture of linear classification data distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  as follows: Assume  $d \geq 2K$ . Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{c_k\}_{k=1}^K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  denote the group signals and  $\mathcal{V} = \{v_k\}_{k=1}^K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  denote the classification signals, where  $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{V}$  is orthonormal. Let  $L$  be the number of tokens per sample. Each data entry  $(X, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times L} \times \{\pm 1\}$  is created as follows:*

1. Sample  $y \sim \text{Uniform}(\{\pm 1\})$ .
2. Sample  $k \sim \text{Uniform}([K])$  and  $l_0 \sim \text{Uniform}([L])$ . Set  $X_{l_0} = c_k$ .
3. Sample  $l_1 \sim \text{Uniform}([L] \setminus \{l_0\})$  and set  $X_{l_1} = yv_k$ .
4. For each  $l_2 \in [L] \setminus \{l_0, l_1\}$ , sample  $k' \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \text{Uniform}([K] \setminus \{k\})$  and set  $X_{l_2} = \epsilon v_{k'}$ , where  $\epsilon \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \text{Uniform}(\{\pm 1\})$ .

Based on our data distribution, the model needs to utilize the correspondence between the group signals and classification signals to solve this task. [We further elaborate our data model as follows.](#) We take the sequence  $X = [c_1, y_2 v_2, y_1 v_1, y_3 v_3]$  with  $y_1, y_2, y_3 \in \{\pm 1\}$  as an example. Based on the form of  $X$ , the token  $c_1$  indicates that this instance is in group 1. Then the label of this sequence depends on the sign associated with  $v_1$  (that shares the same index with  $c_1$ ), and hence is given by  $y_1$ .

**For the training dynamics part of this work, we consider  $K = 2$  and  $L = 3$ ,** and we discuss the setting with arbitrary number of mixtures in Section 6. Since the samples are invariant of permutation between tokens, we can ignore the order of the tokens and define  $X_{k,s,s'} := (c_k, sv_k, s'v_{k'})$  for  $k \neq k' \in [2]$ ,  $s, s' \in \{\pm 1\}$ . We refer to the samples  $X_{k,s,s}$  as **consistent samples** and samples  $X_{k,s,-s}$  as **conflicting samples**.

### 2.2 TRANSFORMER ARCHITECTURE AND LOSS FUNCTION

Given inputs  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times L}$ , we first define a single softmax attention head  $H : \mathbb{R}^{d \times L} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$H(X) = \sum_{l=1}^L w^\top X \text{softmax}(X^\top W_K^\top W_Q x_l)$$

where  $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $W_K, W_Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ , and  $x_l$  denotes the  $l$ -th token in  $X$ . Then, we define our one-layer symmetric two-headed transformer with ReLU neurons  $f : \mathbb{R}^{d \times L} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$f(X) = \sigma(H_+(X) + b) - \sigma(H_-(X) + b) \quad (1)$$

where  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma$  is the ReLU activation function. We denote the weights in  $H_+$  as  $w_+, W_{K+}, W_{Q+}$  and similarly for  $H_-$ . We introduce a shorthand notation  $K_+ = W_{K+}X$  and  $Q_+ = W_{Q+}X$ . For  $\mu, \nu \in X$ , we define the output of attention, or softmax probability, as  $p_{q \leftarrow \mu, k \leftarrow \nu}^{(+)}(X) := \text{softmax}\left(X^\top W_{K+}^\top W_{Q+} \mu\right)_{l(\nu)}$ , where  $l(\nu)$  denotes the index such that  $X_{l(\nu)} = \nu$ .

**Loss Function.** We train  $w_\pm, W_{K\pm}, W_{Q\pm}$  to minimize the population loss  $\mathbb{E}_{(X,y)}[\ell(yf(X))]$  where  $\ell(x) = \log(1 + \exp(-x))$  is the binary cross-entropy loss. The gradient of the cross-entropy loss is given as  $\ell'(x) = -\frac{1}{1+e^x}$  and we denote  $g(x) := 1/(1+e^x)$ .

### 3 GUIDING EXPERIMENTS AND ALGORITHM

#### 3.1 EXPERIMENT RESULTS

To understand the dynamics of the training process, we train the two-headed transformer in Equation (1) on the data distribution in Definition 2.1. In our experiments, all the weights in the transformer are trained simultaneously via gradient descent with learning rate 0.1.




Figure 1: Experiment results. The attention scores and neuron alignment are of the positive head.

We make the following observations from our experimental results in Figure 1, which will guide us to further develop our three-stage training algorithm and our analysis in Section 4:

- Learning for different types of samples follows different orders. From Figure 1a, the loss for the samples with consistent signs drops in the early stage of training, while the loss for the samples with conflicting signs only start to drop after sufficient amount of training.
- There is also a learning order of the weights. From Figure 1b, the neuron weights start to align with the underlying signals since the beginning of the training. On the other hand, by Figure 1c, only after sufficient amount of training, the attention scores start to exhibit noticeable change. We further conduct experiments by fixing the neuron weights as Gaussian and only training the attention. Our results show that the model is not trainable in this case.
- The time when the attention scores exhibit noticeable change coincides with the time when the loss for samples with conflicting signs drops. This suggests that attentions play a central role in learning those samples.
- The attention weights are positively associating the group signals and classification signals from the same group and negatively associating them from different groups. This can be seen from Figure 1c that the score  $c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1$  is increasing to a large positive magnitude and the score  $c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2$  is decreasing to a large negative magnitude.

In order to understand the mechanism of neurons and attentions separately, the second observation suggests to decompose the training process into stages: neurons are trained first, followed by training the attention. The process can be finished by training neurons again to drive the loss towards zero.

### 216 3.2 THREE-STAGE TRAINING ALGORITHM

Motivated by our experiment results, we introduce our three-stage layer-wise training algorithm in Algorithm 1. In our layer-wise training algorithm, in the first stage we train the neuron weights  $w_+, w_-$ . In the second stage, we train the attention weights  $W_{K+}, W_{Q+}, W_{K-}, W_{Q-}$  on the samples with conflicting signs. In the third stage, we train the neuron weights again. Our algorithm design closely reflects our experimental observation in Section 3.

**Algorithm 1** Three-stage Training

```

225 Initialize  $w_{\pm}^{(0)} = 0$ ,  $W_{K\pm}^{(0)}, W_{Q\pm}^{(0)} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \omega^2 \frac{1}{m})$  and  $b$  to be a sufficiently small positive constant
226 such as  $1/2$ .
227 while  $t \leq T_1$  do ▷ Stage 1: training the neuron weights with all samples
228    $\frac{dw_{\pm}^{(t)}}{dt} = -\mathbb{E}_{(X,y)}[\nabla_{w_{\pm}} \ell(yf^{(t)}(X))]$ .
229    $[W_{K\pm}^{(t)}, W_{Q\pm}^{(t)}] = [W_{K\pm}^{(0)}, W_{Q\pm}^{(0)}]$ .
230 end while
231 while  $t \in [T_1, T_2]$  do ▷ Stage 2: training the softmax attention with the conflicting samples
232    $\frac{d[W_{K\pm}^{(t)}, W_{Q\pm}^{(t)}]}{dt} = -\mathbb{E}_{(X,y)}[\nabla_{[W_{K\pm}, W_{Q\pm}]} \ell(yf^{(t)}(X)) | X = X_{k,s,-s}]$ .
233    $w_{\pm}^{(t)} = w_{\pm}^{(T_1)}$ .
234 end while
235 while  $t \in [T_2, T_3]$  do ▷ Stage 3: training the neuron weights to minimize the population loss
236    $\frac{dw_{\pm}^{(t)}}{dt} = -\mathbb{E}_{(X,y)}[\nabla_{w_{\pm}} \ell(yf^{(t)}(X))]$ .
237    $[W_{K\pm}^{(t)}, W_{Q\pm}^{(t)}] = [W_{K\pm}^{(T_2)}, W_{Q\pm}^{(T_2)}]$ .
238 end while
239

```

## 4 MAIN RESULTS

Before we state the main results, we first introduce the parameter conditions used in our analysis.

**Condition 1.** We use the following parameter conditions:

- The attention initialization scale satisfies  $\omega < C < 1$  for some sufficiently small constant  $C$ .
  - The attention weight embedding dimension  $m \geq \text{poly}(1/\omega)$ .

We now state the guarantee for Algorithm 1 under an idealized infinite-width transformer model which we will introduce later in Definition 5.1. Our experiment results show that this idealized model is indeed an accurate model that captures the real training dynamics.

**Theorem 4.1** (Main theorem). *Under Condition 1 and the infinite-width transformer model in Definition 5.1, there exists  $T_1 = \Theta(1)$ ,  $T_2 - T_1 = O(\log(1/\omega))$ ,  $T_3 - T_2 = O(1/\epsilon)$  such that after running Algorithm 1, we have  $\mathbb{E}[\ell(yf^{(T_3)}(X))] \leq \epsilon$ . The neuron weights of the transformer satisfies*

- Positive alignment with the signed classification signals  $w_+^{(T_3)\top} v_k = \tilde{\Theta}(1)$  and  $w_-^{(T_3)\top}(-v_k) = \tilde{\Theta}(1)$ .
  - Positive alignment with the group signals  $w_\pm^{(T_3)\top} c_k = \tilde{\Theta}(1)$ .
  - Symmetry between heads and groups:  $w_+^{(T_3)\top} v_k = w_-^{(T_3)\top}(-v_k)$ ,  $w_+^{(T_3)\top} v_1 = w_+^{(T_3)\top} v_2$ ,  $w_+^{(T_3)\top} c_k = w_-^{(T_3)\top} c_k$ ,  $w_+^{(T_3)\top} c_1 = w_+^{(T_3)\top} c_2$ .

In particular, the output of the softmax attention for sample  $X_1 + -$  satisfies the following properties:

- Concentration on the group signal key:

$$p_{a'_1 \cdots a'_n | k'_1 \cdots k'_n}^{(+, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) = p_{a'_1 \cdots a'_n | k'_1 \cdots k'_n}^{(-, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) = \Theta(1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
270 \quad & p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(-, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) = \Theta(1) \\
271 \quad & p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(-, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) \geq 0 \\
272 \quad & \\
273 \quad &
\end{aligned}$$

- Near symmetry within a single attention head:

$$\begin{aligned}
275 \quad & \left| p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) \right| \leq \tilde{O}(\omega) \\
276 \quad & \left| p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) \right| \leq \tilde{O}(\omega) \\
277 \quad & \\
278 \quad & \left| p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) \right| \leq \tilde{O}(\omega). \\
279 \quad & \\
280 \quad & \\
281 \quad &
\end{aligned}$$

We now describe how the transformer can correctly classify the sample  $X_{1,+,-}$ . Based on Theorem 4.1, the output of the transformer  $f^{(T_3)}(X_{1,+,-})$  can be analyzed as follows: by the attention’s concentration on the group signal key, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
285 \quad & w_+^{(T_3)\top} c_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) \right) \\
286 \quad & - w_-^{(T_3)\top} c_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(-, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(-, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(-, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) \right) \geq \Omega(1).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, due to attention’s near symmetry property, regardless of queries, we have  $w_+^{(T_3)\top} v_1$  and  $w_+^{(T_3)\top} (-v_2)$  approximately cancelling each other:

$$\begin{aligned}
292 \quad & w_+^{(T_3)\top} (-v_2) \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) \right) \\
293 \quad & + w_+^{(T_3)\top} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+, T_3)}(X_{1,+,-}) \right) \approx 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the positive head can output a value larger than the negative head and thus the sample can be correctly classified. Our results on the behavior of the softmax attention in Theorem 4.1 agrees with our experiment results in Figure 1c. By symmetry, the trained transformer will behave similarly on other samples with conflicting signs.

We now introduce another interpretation of Theorem 4.1 that relates to logistic linear regression. Notice that the transformer architecture in Equation (1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
302 \quad & f(X) = [w_+^\top, w_-^\top, 1] \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\sigma}(H_+(X) + b) \sum_{l=1}^L X \text{softmax}(X^\top W_{K+}^\top W_{Q+} x_l) \\ -\dot{\sigma}(H_-(X) + b) \sum_{l=1}^L X \text{softmax}(X^\top W_{K-}^\top W_{Q-} x_l) \\ \dot{\sigma}(H_+(X) + b)b - \dot{\sigma}(H_-(X) + b)b \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathcal{F}(X)} \quad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

We define the vector  $\mathcal{F}(X) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$  to be the **attention feature** of input  $X$ . Thus, if the transformer model can successfully learn the data distribution  $\mathcal{D}$ , we must have the set of attention features  $\{\mathcal{F}(X)\}_{X \in \mathcal{D}}$  linearly separable. We show in Lemma 5.4 that the attention features under uniform attention is not linearly separable and we describe how the attention features evolve and become well-separated after training in Section 5.2.

Finally, for samples with consistent signs, although their attention features also change after stage 2, we are able to show that those changes do not affect the *separability* of their attention features.

## 5 PROOF OUTLINE

In order to analyze the training dynamics of the softmax attention, first of all, we need to keep track of the dynamics of the score variables  $\mu^\top W_K^\top W_Q \nu$  for  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{V}$ . However, upon writing down the gradient flow updates for  $\mu^\top W_K^\top W_Q \nu$ , it can be seen that their updates are governed by the variables in the form of  $\mu^\top W_K^\top W_K \nu$  and  $\mu^\top W_Q^\top W_Q \nu$  which we call **key and query self-score variables**. By keeping track of the gradient flow updates for those three types of variables all at once, we now have a complete dynamical system where all the terms appearing in the updates are tracked. The gradient flow dynamical system can be found in Appendix B.2.

Before we analyze the training dynamics, we observe one complication brought by random initialization: from our data distribution in Definition 2.1, the two mixtures are symmetric to each other and thus, ideally, the transformer’s behavior should be the same for each class, e.g.,  $c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 = c_2^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2$ . However, due to random initialization,  $c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(0)\top} W_{Q+}^{(0)} v_1 \neq c_2^\top W_{K+}^{(0)\top} W_{Q+}^{(0)} v_2$ . In order to solve the above complication, we consider the infinite-width transformer where the embedding dimension  $m$  of the softmax attention goes to infinity. Within this model, we can derive nice symmetry properties between two groups and between two heads (Appendix C), that are convenient for our analysis.

**Definition 5.1** (Infinite-width transformer). *The infinite-width transformer is defined as the architecture in Equation (1) with  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .*

Our experiment results show that as long as the initialization scale  $\omega$  is small enough, and the embedding width is large enough, the infinite-width transformer can indeed serve as an accurate model reflecting the true dynamics. For clarity, we present all of our results and analysis under the infinite-width transformer model. The finite-width transformer is a *discretized* version of the infinite-width transformer. Thus, the actual gradient flow dynamics can be seen as the infinite-width dynamics with perturbation introduced by finite-width discretization. We discuss how to take the discretization into account in Appendix G.

## 5.1 STAGE 1: NEURONS ALIGN WITH THE SIGNALS

In stage 1 of Algorithm 1, we train the neuron weights and keep the attention weights fixed at their random initialization. Since the neuron weights are zero-initialized, the outputs of the two attention heads are both zero at initialization. Recall that we fix the bias term  $b$  in our transformer (Equation (1)) to be some small positive constant. Thus, there exists a period of time from initialization that the outputs of ReLU will be positive for *all* samples. We first characterize how the neuron weights align with the signals in such a case.

**Lemma 5.2** (Neuron alignment, stage 1.1). *Under Definition 5.1, for  $t \in [0, T_1]$ , if  $H_+^{(t)}(X), H_-^{(t)}(X) > -b$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{D}$ , then for  $k \in \{1, 2\}$ ,*

$$\frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} v_k}{dt} = \frac{1}{8} \left( \sum_{k' \in [2], s=s'} g^{(t)}(X_{k',s,s'}) \right), \quad \frac{dw_-^{(t)\top} v_k}{dt} = -\frac{1}{8} \left( \sum_{k' \in [2], s=s'} g^{(t)}(X_{k',s,s'}) \right).$$

At the same time, for  $k \in \{1, 2\}$ ,

$$\frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} c_k}{dt} = 0, \quad \frac{dw_-^{(t)\top} c_k}{dt} = 0.$$

From the above lemma, we can see that when the output of ReLUs are positive for all samples, the neuron weights will align with the signed classification signals while not aligning with the group signals at all. A direct consequence is that the attention head output  $H_+^{(t)}(X_{1,-,-})$  will keep decreasing until it becomes smaller than  $-b$ , as long as  $b$  is not too large. Then the neuron weight  $w_+$  is no longer able to receive gradient from sample  $X_{1,-,-}$ . We can show that when this happens, the neurons start to positively align with the group signals.

**Lemma 5.3** (Neuron alignment with group signals, stage 1.2). *Under Definition 5.1, for  $t \in [0, T_1]$ , if  $H_+^{(t)}(X_{k,-,-}) < -b$  for  $k \in \{1, 2\}$ , then*

$$\frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} c_k}{dt} = \frac{1}{8} g^{(t)}(X_{k,+,+}).$$

At the same time, the neurons still keep aligning with the signed classification signals. Thus, if  $T_1 = \Theta(1)$ , then we have  $w_+^{(t)\top} v_k = \Theta(1)$  and  $w_+^{(t)\top} c_k = \Theta(1)$  after stage 1.

Although the neuron weights can learn the signals in stage 1, it is not hard to show that the transformer will output zero for samples with conflicting signs like  $X_{1,+,-}$  and thus those samples are not correctly classified. In fact, we can show that under the averaged initial value model, our data distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  is not learnable by merely training the neuron weights.

378    **Lemma 5.4** (Non-separability of uniform attention feature). *There exist no neuron weights  $w_{\pm}$  such  
379    that  $yf(X) > 0$  for all  $X \in \{X_{k,s,-s}\}_{k \in [2], s \in \{\pm 1\}}$  when the softmax attention is uniform.*  
380

381    Recall our definition of attention feature in Equation (2). Lemma 5.4 can be interpreted as that the  
382    uniform attention feature is not linearly separable. Due to randomness, the actual attention feature  
383    at initialization is not exactly uniform. However, by a simple continuity argument, Lemma 5.4 can  
384    be translated as the maximum margin of the initial attention feature is at most  $\tilde{O}(\omega^2/\sqrt{m})$ .<sup>1</sup>  
385

## 386    5.2 STAGE 2: ATTENTION LEARNS FROM THE SAMPLES WITH CONFLICTING SIGNS

388    Since by Lemma 5.4, the samples with conflicting signs are hard to learn by merely training the  
389    neuron weights, in stage 2, we directly train the softmax attention weights  $W_{K\pm}, W_{Q\pm}$  on those  
390    hard samples. As we mentioned in the beginning of Section 5, in order to keep track of how the  
391    softmax attention changes during training, we need to analyze a dynamical system with all the score  
392    variables  $\mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \nu$ , key self-score variables  $\mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} \nu$  and query self-score variables  
393     $\mu^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \nu$ . From the gradient flow update in Appendix B.2, observe that we can write the  
394    dynamical system in the following form:

$$395 \quad \frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} \text{vec}(\{\mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \nu\}_{\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{V}}) \\ \text{vec}(\{\mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} \nu\}_{\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{V}}) \\ \text{vec}(\{\mu^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \nu\}_{\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{V}}) \end{bmatrix} = A^{(t)} \begin{bmatrix} \text{vec}(\{\mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \nu\}_{\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{V}}) \\ \text{vec}(\{\mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} \nu\}_{\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{V}}) \\ \text{vec}(\{\mu^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \nu\}_{\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{V}}) \end{bmatrix}$$

400    where  $A^{(t)}$  is a square matrix with dimension  $|\{\mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \nu\}_{\mu, \nu}| + |\{\mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} \nu\}_{\mu, \nu}| +$   
401     $|\{\mu^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \nu\}_{\mu, \nu}| = 16 + 10 + 10 = 36$ . Thus, directly analyzing the dynamical system can  
402    easily become intractable. We need to come up with ways to utilize the structure of the problem to  
403    reduce the dimension we need to analyze.  
404

405    One observation from Figure 1c is that the score variables  $c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1$  and  $c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2$   
406    exhibit the maximum magnitude change compared with all other score variables. In fact, these two  
407    quantities play a key role in making  $H_+(X_{1,+,-}) > H_-(X_{1,+,-})$ . Thus, if we are able to prove  
408    that  $c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1$  will become sufficiently large at the end of stage 2, then we can make good  
409    progress on proving that the attention features become separable.  
410

411    To make our presentation simpler, for a moment, assume we have the near symmetry properties:  
412

$$\begin{aligned} c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 &\approx -c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 \\ c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 &\approx -c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_2 \\ v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 &\approx -v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 \end{aligned}$$

417    which can indeed be made rigorous in Lemma E.4. Applying this near symmetry property to the  
418    gradient of  $c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1$  at  $t = T_1$  (see Appendix E.5.1), we have  
419

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} \right|_{t=T_1} &\approx \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 4 \left( v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_1 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 4 \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_1 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

425    Due to symmetry and cancellation at initialization, it only depends on 2 self-score variables:  
426     $c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1$  and  $v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1$ . At the same time, the gradient of  $c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1$  and  
427     $v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1$  at  $t = T_1$  also depends only on  $c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1$ . Thus, if this simpler dependence  
428    can hold through the rest of stage 2, then we get a much smaller  $3 \times 3$  system to analyze.  
429    Unfortunately, this is not true. Take the gradient of  $c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1$  as an example. Training the  
430

431    <sup>1</sup>Recall that the maximum margin of a set  $\mathcal{S}$  is defined as  $\gamma := \max_{\|w\|_2=1} \min_{x \in \mathcal{S}} w^\top x$ .

attention weights can break the symmetry that holds at  $T_1$ , and the gradient of  $c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1$  will start to depend on other self-score variables. The way to overcome this issue is to realize that as long as the maximum change in the output of the softmax is not too large, we can bound the effects from other self-score variables and the gradient of  $c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1$  is still mainly contributed by  $c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1$  and  $v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1$ .

Now, we have successfully reduce the dimension of the system that we need to analyze to  $3 \times 3$ . However, there is still a problem we need to handle: the system has a matrix with *non-linear* dependence on those 3 variables. Generally, it is impossible to derive a close-form solution. Fortunately, we can show that (1) those 3 variables are increasing throughout the training and (2) when the change of the softmax attention (from initialization) is within a certain range, the growth of those 3 variables can be lower bounded by a linear dynamical system.

**Lemma 5.5** (Informal version of Lemma E.2). *For  $t \geq T_1$ , when all the score variables are bounded within some range, there exists a constant  $b > 0$  such that*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 \\ c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \\ v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 \end{bmatrix} \geq b \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 \\ c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \\ v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

With Lemma 5.5, we can prove that the score  $c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1$  will become sufficiently large at the end of stage 2.

On the other hand, when the query token is the group signal, we can prove

$$v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 < 0, \quad v_2^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 > 0.$$

By symmetry, this implies  $p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+, t)}(X_{1, +, -}) > p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(-, t)}(X_{1, +, -})$ . This finishes the proof of the trained attention's property on the concentration on the group signal token in Theorem 4.1.

Finally, when  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are the keys, we can prove the near symmetry property between scores:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+} v_1 &\approx -v_2^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+} v_1, \\ v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+} v_2 &\approx -v_2^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+} v_2 \\ v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+} c_1 &\approx -v_2^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+} c_1 \end{aligned}$$

which can be translated to the near symmetry property within a single head in Theorem 4.1.

### 5.3 STAGE 3: CONVERGENCE

In stage 3, we train the neuron weights again. At the end of stage 2, the attention features for samples with conflicting signs becomes well-separated. In addition, we can show that the attention features for the samples with consistent signs are still well-separated. Thus, the training in stage 3 behaves like logistic linear regression on linearly separable data and training the neuron weight can drive the population loss towards zero. This proves the part in Theorem 4.1 that the population loss can become sufficiently small after training.

## 6 GENERAL NUMBER OF MIXTURES

In this section, we study the case when the mixtures have more than two groups. Our experiment results can be found in Appendix A.4. We summarize our experimental findings below.

**Observation 1.** *The transformer architecture in Equation (1) can learn the data distribution for  $K \geq 3$ . However, the near symmetry property in the attention no longer holds. In addition, the training takes longer with more groups.*

On the other hand, we observe that for  $K = 3$ , the training dynamics is still stage-wise and there are score variables exhibiting major growth compared with others. Thus, the techniques developed in our work can be used to study the training dynamics for  $K \geq 3$ .

486 Although the training dynamics for  $K \geq 3$  has some differences from the  $K = 2$  case, we are able  
 487 to provide a construction of transformers that can solve any arbitrary  $K$  mixtures.

488 **Lemma 6.1.** *There exists a transformer architecture solving  $K$ -mixture of linear classification.*

490 *Proof.* The construction is given as follows. Let

$$\begin{aligned} W_{K+}^\top W_{Q+} &= 2C \cdot \sum_{k=1}^K v_k c_k^\top + C \cdot \sum_{k=1}^K c_k c_k^\top + C \cdot \sum_{k=1}^K v_k v_k^\top, \\ W_{K-}^\top W_{Q-} &= -2C \cdot \sum_{k=1}^K v_k c_k^\top + C \cdot \sum_{k=1}^K c_k c_k^\top + C \cdot \sum_{k=1}^K v_k v_k^\top \end{aligned}$$

498 The transformer is given as

$$f(X) = \sum_{l_1} \sum_{k=1}^K v_k^\top X \text{softmax}(X^\top W_{K+}^\top W_{Q+} X_{l_1}) + \sum_{l_1} \sum_{k=1}^K -v_k^\top X \text{softmax}(X^\top W_{K-}^\top W_{Q-} X_{l_1})$$

502 Let  $C \rightarrow \infty$ . First of all, consider classification signal query  $v_k$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^K v_k^\top X \text{softmax}(X^\top W_{K+}^\top W_{Q+} v_k) + \sum_{k=1}^K -v_k^\top X \text{softmax}(X^\top W_{K+}^\top W_{Q+} v_k) \\ &= v_k^\top v_k - v_k^\top v_k = 0 \end{aligned}$$

508 Similar analysis for query  $-v_k$ . On the other hand, for group signal query  $c_k$ , suppose the corre-  
 509 sponding classification signal in  $X$  is  $v_k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^K v_k^\top X \text{softmax}(X^\top W_{K+}^\top W_{Q+} c_k) + \sum_{k=1}^K -v_k^\top X \text{softmax}(X^\top W_{K+}^\top W_{Q+} c_k) \\ &= v_k^\top v_k - v_k^\top c_k = 1 \end{aligned}$$

515 Similar analysis if the corresponding classification signal is  $-v_k$ . Thus, we have  $yf(X) > 0$  for all  
 516  $(X, y) \in \mathcal{D}$ .  $\square$

## 517 7 DISCUSSION

520 In this work, we studied the training dynamics of a two-headed transformer solving two-mixture  
 521 of linear classification. We characterized the feature learning process of how the softmax attention  
 522 utilizes the relationship between the class signals and their corresponding classification signals in  
 523 this task. One open problem is that the current proof techniques critically utilize the fact that both  
 524 the transformer architecture and the data distribution are symmetric. Can we discover some hidden  
 525 structures of the problem such that we can analyze the training dynamics for  $K \geq 3$ ? More interestingly,  
 526 we demonstrated that during training, the attention features evolved from a near non-separable  
 527 state to a well-separated state, i.e, the maximum margin of the attention features increases during  
 528 training. What properties does the data distribution need to have such that the maximum margin can  
 529 increase in training? We leave those interesting questions as future works.

## 530 REFERENCES

- 532 AlphaProof and teams AlphaGeometry. Ai achieves silver-medal standard solving international  
 533 mathematical olympiad problems. 2024. URL [https://deepmind.google/discover/  
 534 blog/ai-solves-imo-problems-at-silver-medal-level/](https://deepmind.google/discover/blog/ai-solves-imo-problems-at-silver-medal-level/).
- 535 Animashree Anandkumar, Daniel Hsu, and Sham M Kakade. A method of moments for mixture  
 536 models and hidden markov models. In *Conference on learning theory*, pp. 33–1. JMLR Workshop  
 537 and Conference Proceedings, 2012.
- 538 Animashree Anandkumar, Rong Ge, Daniel J Hsu, Sham M Kakade, Matus Telgarsky, et al. Tensor  
 539 decompositions for learning latent variable models. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 15(1):2773–2832, 2014.

- 540 Anthropic. The claude 3 model family: Opus, sonnet, haiku. 2024.  
 541
- 542 Sivaraman Balakrishnan, Martin J Wainwright, and Bin Yu. Statistical guarantees for the em algo-  
 543 rithm: From population to sample-based analysis. 2017.
- 544 Sébastien Bubeck, Varun Chandrasekaran, Ronen Eldan, Johannes Gehrke, Eric Horvitz, Ece Ka-  
 545 mar, Peter Lee, Yin Tat Lee, Yuanzhi Li, Scott Lundberg, et al. Sparks of artificial general  
 546 intelligence: Early experiments with gpt-4. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.12712*, 2023.
- 547
- 548 Arun Tejasvi Chaganty and Percy Liang. Spectral experts for estimating mixtures of linear regres-  
 549 sions. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 1040–1048. PMLR, 2013.
- 550
- 551 Siyu Chen, Heejune Sheen, Tianhao Wang, and Zhuoran Yang. Unveiling induction heads: Provable  
 552 training dynamics and feature learning in transformers. In *ICML 2024 Workshop on Theoretical  
 553 Foundations of Foundation Models*.
- 554 Siyu Chen, Heejune Sheen, Tianhao Wang, and Zhuoran Yang. Training dynamics of multi-head  
 555 softmax attention for in-context learning: Emergence, convergence, and optimality. *arXiv preprint  
 556 arXiv:2402.19442*, 2024.
- 557
- 558 Zixiang Chen, Yihe Deng, Yue Wu, Quanquan Gu, and Yuanzhi Li. Towards understanding the  
 559 mixture-of-experts layer in deep learning. *Advances in neural information processing systems*,  
 560 35:23049–23062, 2022.
- 561 Richard D De Veaux. Mixtures of linear regressions. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 8  
 562 (3):227–245, 1989.
- 563
- 564 Puneesh Deora, Rouzbeh Ghaderi, Hossein Taheri, and Christos Thrampoulidis. On the optimization  
 565 and generalization of multi-head attention. *Transactions on Machine Learning Research*.
- 566
- 567 Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep  
 568 bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*, 2018.
- 569
- 570 Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas  
 571 Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, et al. An im-  
 572 age is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. In *International Confer-  
 573 ence on Learning Representations*, 2020.
- 574
- 575 Benjamin L Edelman, Ezra Edelman, Surbhi Goel, Eran Malach, and Nikolaos Tsilivis. The  
 576 evolution of statistical induction heads: In-context learning markov chains. *arXiv preprint  
 577 arXiv:2402.11004*, 2024.
- 578
- 579 Cheng Gao, Yuan Cao, Zihao Li, Yihan He, Mengdi Wang, Han Liu, Jason M Klusowski, and  
 580 Jianqing Fan. Global convergence in training large-scale transformers.
- 581
- 582 Team Gemini. Gemini: a family of highly capable multimodal models. *arXiv preprint  
 583 arXiv:2312.11805*, 2023.
- 584
- 585 Daniel J Hsu, Sham M Kakade, and Percy S Liang. Identifiability and unmixing of latent parse trees.  
 586 *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 25, 2012.
- 587
- 588 Yu Huang, Yuan Cheng, and Yingbin Liang. In-context convergence of transformers. *arXiv preprint  
 589 arXiv:2310.05249*, 2023.
- 590
- 591 Yu Huang, Zixin Wen, Yuejie Chi, and Yingbin Liang. Transformers provably learn feature-position  
 592 correlations in masked image modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.02233*, 2024.
- 593
- 594 Samy Jelassi, Michael Sander, and Yuanzhi Li. Vision transformers provably learn spatial structure.  
 595 *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:37822–37836, 2022.
- 596
- 597 Michael I Jordan and Robert A Jacobs. Hierarchical mixtures of experts and the em algorithm.  
 598 *Neural computation*, 6(2):181–214, 1994.

- 594 John Jumper, Richard Evans, Alexander Pritzel, Tim Green, Michael Figurnov, Olaf Ronneberger,  
 595 Kathryn Tunyasuvunakool, Russ Bates, Augustin Žídek, Anna Potapenko, et al. Highly accurate  
 596 protein structure prediction with alphafold. *nature*, 596(7873):583–589, 2021.  
 597
- 598 Abbas Khalili and Jiahua Chen. Variable selection in finite mixture of regression models. *Journal  
 599 of the american Statistical association*, 102(479):1025–1038, 2007.
- 600 Juno Kim and Taiji Suzuki. Transformers learn nonlinear features in context: Nonconvex mean-field  
 601 dynamics on the attention landscape. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.01258*, 2024.
- 602 Hongkang Li, Meng Wang, Sijia Liu, and Pin-Yu Chen. A theoretical understanding of shallow  
 603 vision transformers: Learning, generalization, and sample complexity. In *The Eleventh Interna-  
 604 tional Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022.
- 605 Hongkang Li, Meng Wang, Tengfei Ma, Sijia Liu, Zaixi Zhang, and Pin-Yu Chen. What improves  
 606 the generalization of graph transformer? a theoretical dive into self-attention and positional en-  
 607 coding. *NeurIPS 2023 Workshop: New Frontiers in Graph Learning*, 2023, 2023a.
- 608 Hongkang Li, Meng Wang, Songtao Lu, Xiaodong Cui, and Pin-Yu Chen. How do nonlinear trans-  
 609 formers acquire generalization-guaranteed capability? In *ICML 2024 Workshop on Theoretical  
 610 Foundations of Foundation Models*, 2024a. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=HizDrDvxLP>.
- 611 Hongkang Li, Meng Wang, Songtao Lu, Xiaodong Cui, and Pin-Yu Chen. Training nonlinear trans-  
 612 formers for efficient in-context learning: A theoretical learning and generalization analysis. *arXiv  
 613 preprint arXiv:2402.15607*, 2024b.
- 614 Yuchen Li, Yuanzhi Li, and Andrej Risteski. How do transformers learn topic structure: Towards a  
 615 mechanistic understanding. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 19689–19729.  
 616 PMLR, 2023b.
- 617 Zihao Li, Yuan Cao, Cheng Gao, Yihan He, Han Liu, Jason M Klusowski, Jianqing Fan, and Mengdi  
 618 Wang. One-layer transformer provably learns one-nearest neighbor in context. 2024c.
- 619 Percy Liang, Alexandre Bouchard-Côté, Dan Klein, and Ben Taskar. An end-to-end discriminative  
 620 approach to machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on Compu-  
 621 tational Linguistics and 44th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*,  
 622 pp. 761–768, 2006.
- 623 Ze Liu, Yutong Lin, Yue Cao, Han Hu, Yixuan Wei, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Baining Guo.  
 624 Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows. In *Proceedings of the  
 625 IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pp. 10012–10022, 2021.
- 626 Ashok Vardhan Makkula, Marco Bondaschi, Chanakya Ekbote, Adway Girish, Alliot Nagle, Hyeji  
 627 Kim, and Michael Gastpar. Local to global: Learning dynamics and effect of initialization for  
 628 transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.03072*, 2024.
- 629 James Manyika and Sissie Hsiao. An overview of bard: an early experiment with generative ai. *AI.  
 630 Google Static Documents*, 2, 2023.
- 631 Eshaan Nichani, Alex Damian, and Jason D Lee. How transformers learn causal structure with  
 632 gradient descent. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.14735*, 2024.
- 633 OpenAI. Gpt-4 technical report, 2023.
- 634 Ariadna Quattoni, Michael Collins, and Trevor Darrell. Conditional random fields for object recog-  
 635 nition. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 17, 2004.
- 636 Davoud Ataei Tarzanagh, Yingcong Li, Christos Thrampoulidis, and Samet Oymak. Transformers  
 637 as support vector machines. In *NeurIPS 2023 Workshop on Mathematics of Modern Machine  
 638 Learning*, 2023a.
- 639 Davoud Ataei Tarzanagh, Yingcong Li, Xuechen Zhang, and Samet Oymak. Max-margin token  
 640 selection in attention mechanism. In *Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing  
 641 Systems*, 2023b.

- 648 Yuandong Tian, Yiping Wang, Beidi Chen, and Simon Du. Scan and snap: Understanding training  
649 dynamics and token composition in 1-layer transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.16380*, 2023.  
650
- 651 Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée  
652 Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al. Llama: Open and  
653 efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*, 2023.
- 654 Bhavya Vasudeva, Puneesh Deora, and Christos Thrampoulidis. Implicit bias and fast convergence  
655 rates for self-attention. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.05738*, 2024.
- 656
- 657 Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez,  
658 Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural informa-*  
659 *tion processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- 660 Zhaoran Wang, Quanquan Gu, Yang Ning, and Han Liu. High dimensional em algorithm: Statistical  
661 optimization and asymptotic normality. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 28,  
662 2015.
- 663
- 664 Zixuan Wang, Stanley Wei, Daniel Hsu, and Jason D Lee. Transformers provably learn sparse token  
665 selection while fully-connected nets cannot. In *Forty-first International Conference on Machine*  
666 *Learning*.
- 667
- 668 Yongtao Wu, Fanghui Liu, Grigoris Chrysos, and Volkan Cevher. On the convergence of encoder-  
669 only shallow transformers. In *Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Sys-*  
670 *tems*, 2023.
- 671 Tong Yang, Yu Huang, Yingbin Liang, and Yuejie Chi. In-context learning with representations:  
672 Contextual generalization of trained transformers. In *ICML 2024 Workshop on Theoretical Foun-*  
673 *dations of Foundation Models*.
- 674 Xinyang Yi, Constantine Caramanis, and Sujay Sanghavi. Alternating minimization for mixed linear  
675 regression. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 613–621. PMLR, 2014.
- 676 Ruiqi Zhang, Spencer Frei, and Peter L Bartlett. Trained transformers learn linear models in-context.  
677 *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 25(49):1–55, 2024.
- 678
- 679
- 680
- 681
- 682
- 683
- 684
- 685
- 686
- 687
- 688
- 689
- 690
- 691
- 692
- 693
- 694
- 695
- 696
- 697
- 698
- 699
- 700
- 701

702	<b>CONTENTS</b>	
703		
704		
705	<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
706	1.1 Related Work . . . . .	2
707		
708	<b>2 Settings</b>	<b>3</b>
709	2.1 Data distribution . . . . .	3
710	2.2 Transformer architecture and loss function . . . . .	3
711		
712		
713	<b>3 Guiding Experiments and Algorithm</b>	<b>4</b>
714	3.1 Experiment results . . . . .	4
715	3.2 Three-stage Training Algorithm . . . . .	5
716		
717		
718	<b>4 Main Results</b>	<b>5</b>
719		
720		
721	<b>5 Proof Outline</b>	<b>6</b>
722	5.1 Stage 1: Neurons align with the signals . . . . .	7
723	5.2 Stage 2: Attention learns from the samples with conflicting signs . . . . .	8
724	5.3 Stage 3: Convergence . . . . .	9
725		
726		
727	<b>6 General Number of Mixtures</b>	<b>9</b>
728		
729		
730	<b>7 Discussion</b>	<b>10</b>
731		
732	<b>A Additional Experiment Results</b>	<b>16</b>
733	A.1 Softmax attention . . . . .	16
734	A.2 Only train the attention . . . . .	16
735	A.3 Full attention scores of two mixtures . . . . .	17
736	A.4 Experiments on Multi-mixture Models . . . . .	17
737		
738		
739		
740	<b>B Gradient Flow</b>	<b>18</b>
741	B.1 Gradients of each weight matrices . . . . .	18
742	B.2 Gradient Flow Dynamical System . . . . .	19
743		
744		
745	<b>C Symmetry</b>	<b>19</b>
746		
747		
748	<b>D Stage 1: Training neurons to learn the signals</b>	<b>23</b>
749	D.1 Stage 1.1: Growth of neuron alignment with classification signals . . . . .	23
750	D.2 Stage 1.2: Growth of neuron alignment with group signals . . . . .	24
751	D.3 Properties at the end of stage 1 . . . . .	25
752		
753		
754	<b>E Stage 2: Self-attention learns from the conflicting (hard) samples</b>	<b>26</b>
755	E.1 Growth of scores . . . . .	26


---

756	E.2 Growth of asymmetry . . . . .	29
757	E.3 When the query is the group signal . . . . .	31
758	E.4 Properties at the end of stage 2 . . . . .	34
759	E.5 The updates of the softmax attention at $t = T_1$ . . . . .	35
760	E.5.1 The scores . . . . .	35
761	E.5.2 The key self-scores . . . . .	37
762	E.5.3 The query self-scores . . . . .	39
763	E.6 Sum of partial gradients . . . . .	40
764	E.7 Expanding the updates . . . . .	42
765	E.7.1 The key self-score . . . . .	42
766	E.7.2 The query self-score . . . . .	45
767	E.7.3 The scores . . . . .	47
768	<b>F Stage 3</b>	<b>52</b>
769	<b>G Handling the finite-width discretization</b>	<b>55</b>
770	<b>H Probability</b>	<b>57</b>
771		
772		
773		
774		
775		
776		
777		
778		
779		
780		
781		
782		
783		
784		
785		
786		
787		
788		
789		
790		
791		
792		
793		
794		
795		
796		
797		
798		
799		
800		
801		
802		
803		
804		
805		
806		
807		
808		
809		

810            **A ADDITIONAL EXPERIMENT RESULTS**  
 811

812            **A.1 SOFTMAX ATTENTION**  
 813

814            We provide the softmax probability of the positive head on different samples.  
 815




840            Figure 2: Softmax attention of the positive head on different samples. The vertical axis denotes the  
 841            query tokens and the horizontal axis denotes the key tokens.  
 842

843            **A.2 ONLY TRAIN THE ATTENTION**  
 844

845            Recall that in Section 3, we observed there is a learning order when all the weights are trained  
 846            simultaneously: the attention weights learn after the neuron weights. To further study whether  
 847            this learning order is necessary, we conduct another experiment where we initialize the neurons as  
 848            Gaussian and only train the attention. Our result suggests that in this case, the transformer is no  
 849            longer trainable.




863            Figure 3: The loss for training only the attention while keeping the neurons fixed at initialization.

864 A.3 FULL ATTENTION SCORES OF TWO MIXTURES  
865  
866  
867


(a) Score

880 Figure 4: Full attention scores for  $K = 2$ .  
881  
882883 A.4 EXPERIMENTS ON MULTI-MIXTURE MODELS  
884


885 In this section, we provide experiment results on synthetic data for multi-mixture models. Specifi-  
886 cally, Figure 5, Figure 6 and Figure 7 respectively indicate that the training dynamics of 3-mixture,  
887 4-mixture and 8-mixture models are all showing some similarities as that for 2-mixture model,  
888 demonstrating the broad applicability of our developed theoretical results.




(a) Loss



(b) Neuron alignment







(c) Scores with width 65



(d) Scores with width 260

915 Figure 5: The experiment results for the case with  $K = 3$  mixtures.  
916  
917

Figure 6: The experiment results for the case with  $K = 4$  mixtures.Figure 7: The experiment results for the case with  $K = 8$  mixtures.

## B GRADIENT FLOW

### B.1 GRADIENTS OF EACH WEIGHT MATRICES

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{dw_+^{(t)}}{dt} &= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)}(X) y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L X p_l^{(+,t)} \right] \\
 \frac{dw_-^{(t)}}{dt} &= -\mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)}(X) y \dot{\sigma}_-^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L X p_l^{(+,t)} \right] \\
 \frac{dW_{K+}^{(t)}}{dt} &= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)}(X) y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{h=1}^L w_+^\top X_h \sum_{h'=1}^L \frac{\partial p_{l,h}^{(+,t)}}{\partial s_{l,h}^{(+)}} q_{+l}^{(t)} x_{h'}^\top \right] \\
 &= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)}(X) y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{h=1}^L w_+^\top X_h \sum_{h'=1}^L p_{l,h}^{(+,t)} (\mathbb{I}(h=h') - p_{l,h'}^{(+,t)}) q_{+l}^{(t)} x_{h'}^\top \right] \\
 &= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)}(X) y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \left( -w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} q_{+l}^{(t)} (X p_l^{(+,t)})^\top + q_{+l}^{(t)} w_+^\top X \text{diag}(p_l^{(+,t)}) X^\top \right) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)}(X) y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L q_{+l}^{(t)} \left( -w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} + w_+^\top X \text{diag}(p_l^{(+,t)}) \right) X^\top \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)}(X) y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^\top X - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top \right] \\
\frac{dW_{Q+}^{(t)}}{dt} &= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)}(X) y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L w_+^\top \sum_{h=1}^L X_h \sum_{h'=1}^L p_{l,h}^{(+,t)} (\mathbb{I}(h=h') - p_{l,h'}^{(+,t)}) k_{+h'}^{(t)} x_l^{(i)\top} \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)}(X) y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \left( -w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} (K_+^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)}) + K_+^{(t)} \text{diag}(p_l^{(+,t)}) X^\top w_+ \right) x_l^\top \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)}(X) y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L K_+^{(t)} \left( -w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} + \text{diag}(p_l^{(+,t)}) X^\top w_+ \right) x_l^\top \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)}(X) y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top \right]
\end{aligned}$$

## B.2 GRADIENT FLOW DYNAMICAL SYSTEM

Let  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{C}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} \mu}{dt} &= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)}(X) y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \left( X p_l^{(+,t)} \right)^\top \right] \mu \\
\frac{d\nu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu}{dt} &= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)}(X) y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \mu^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top \nu \right] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)}(X) y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \nu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top \mu \right] \\
\frac{d\nu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} \mu}{dt} &= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)}(X) y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \nu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^\top X - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top \mu \right] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)}(X) y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^\top X - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top \nu \right] \\
\frac{d\nu^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu}{dt} &= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)}(X) y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \nu^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top \mu \right] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)}(X) y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \mu^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top \nu \right]
\end{aligned}$$

## C SYMMETRY

In this section, we derive symmetry results for the infinite-width transformer model. We first characterize the initial values of the softmax attention weights:

**Lemma C.1.** *The initial values of the attention weight in the infinite-width transformer satisfy:*

$$\nu^\top W_{K\pm}^{(0)\top} W_{Q\pm}^{(0)} \mu = \mathbb{E}_{\widehat{W}_K, \widehat{W}_Q} [\nu^\top \widehat{W}_K^\top \widehat{W}_Q \mu] = 0$$

$$\nu^\top W_{K\pm}^{(0)\top} W_{K\pm}^{(0)} \mu = \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}_{\widehat{W}_K} \left[ \nu^\top \widehat{W}_K^\top \widehat{W}_K \mu \right] = 0, & \nu \neq \mu \\ \mathbb{E}_{\widehat{W}_K} \left[ \mu^\top \widehat{W}_K^\top \widehat{W}_K \mu \right] = \omega^2, & \nu = \mu \end{cases}$$

$$\nu^\top W_{Q\pm}^{(0)\top} W_{Q\pm}^{(0)} \mu = \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}_{\widehat{W}_Q} \left[ \nu^\top \widehat{W}_Q^\top \widehat{W}_Q \mu \right] = 0, & \nu \neq \mu \\ \mathbb{E}_{\widehat{W}_Q} \left[ \mu^\top \widehat{W}_Q^\top \widehat{W}_Q \mu \right] = \omega^2, & \nu = \mu \end{cases}$$

where  $\widehat{W}_K, \widehat{W}_Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$  and  $(\widehat{W}_K)_{ij}, (\widehat{W}_Q)_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \omega^2 \frac{1}{m})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(W_{K+})_i, (W_{Q+})_i$  denote the  $i$ -th row of  $W_{K+}, W_{Q+}$ , respectively. Then, we have  $\nu^\top W_{K\pm}^{(0)\top} W_{Q\pm}^{(0)} \mu = \sum_{i=1}^m (W_{K+}^{(0)})_i^\top \nu (W_{Q+}^{(0)})_i^\top \mu$ . Notice that  $(W_{K+}^{(0)})_i^\top \nu \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \omega^2 \frac{1}{m})$ ,  $(W_{Q+}^{(0)})_i^\top \mu \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \omega^2 \frac{1}{m})$ . Thus,  $\nu^\top W_{K\pm}^{(0)\top} W_{Q\pm}^{(0)} \mu$  has the same distribution as  $\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i$  where  $a_i, b_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \omega^2)$ . By the Law of Large Number,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \nu^\top W_{K\pm}^{(0)\top} W_{Q\pm}^{(0)} \mu = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i = \mathbb{E}[a_1 b_1] = \mathbb{E}[a_1] \mathbb{E}[b_1] = 0.$$

The rest can be proved similarly with an additional fact that for  $w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ ,  $w^\top \mu$  is independent of  $w^\top \nu$  if  $\mu \perp \nu$ .  $\square$

The symmetry results contain two parts: symmetry between two groups and symmetry between two attention heads.

**Lemma C.2** (Symmetry between two groups). *Under the infinite-width transformer model in Definition 5.1, let  $\mu_1, \nu_1 \in \{c_1, v_1\}$  and  $\mu_2, \nu_2 \in \{c_2, v_2\}$  such that  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are the same type signals (i.e., either  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{C}$  or  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{V}$ ) and  $\nu_1, \nu_2$  are the same type signals. For all  $t$ ,*

$$w_+^{(t)\top} \mu_1 = w_+^{(t)\top} \mu_2 \quad (3)$$

$$\nu_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu_1 = \nu_2^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu_2, \quad \nu_2^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu_1 = \nu_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu_2 \quad (4)$$

$$\nu_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} \mu_1 = \nu_2^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} \mu_2, \quad \nu_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} \mu_2 = \nu_2^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} \mu_1 \quad (5)$$

$$\nu_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu_1 = \nu_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu_2, \quad \nu_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu_2 = \nu_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu_1 \quad (6)$$

The symmetry between the two groups also holds for the negative head.

**Corollary C.3.** *Let  $s, s' \in \{\pm 1\}$ . For all  $t$ ,*

$$p^{(+,t)}(X_{1,s,s'}) = p^{(+,t)}(X_{2,s,s'}), \quad p^{(-,t)}(X_{1,s,s'}) = p^{(-,t)}(X_{2,s,s'})$$

$$H_+^{(t)}(X_{1,s,s'}) = H_+^{(t)}(X_{2,s,s'}), \quad H_-^{(t)}(X_{1,s,s'}) = H_-^{(t)}(X_{2,s,s'})$$

$$f^{(t)}(X_{1,s,s'}) = f^{(t)}(X_{2,s,s'})$$

*Proof of Lemma C.2.* First of all, by Definition 5.1, all the equalities hold at  $t = 0$ . Thus, we only need to show the gradient flow updates satisfy all the equalities if all the equalities hold at time  $t$ .

Assume all the equalities hold at time  $t$ . This implies that  $p^{(+,t)}(X_{1,s,s'}) = p^{(+,t)}(X_{2,s,s'})$  (recall that since our model doesn't have any positional encoding, we can consider ordered inputs in our analysis), which further implies that  $H_+^{(t)}(X_{1,s,s'}) = H_+^{(t)}(X_{2,s,s'})$ ,  $H_-^{(t)}(X_{1,s,s'}) = H_-^{(t)}(X_{2,s,s'})$  and  $f^{(t)}(X_{1,s,s'}) = f^{(t)}(X_{2,s,s'})$  for all  $s, s' \in \{\pm 1\}$ .

First, we prove Equation (3):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} \mu_1}{dt} &= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \left( X p_l^{(+,t)} \right)^\top \right] \mu_1 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{s,s'} \left[ \frac{1}{2} g^{(t)}(X_{1,s,s'}) s \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)}(X_{1,s,s'}) \sum_{l=1}^L \left( X_{1,s,s'} p_l^{(+,t)}(X_{1,s,s'}) \right)^\top \right] \mu_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} g^{(t)}(X_{2,s,s'}) s \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)}(X_{2,s,s'}) \sum_{l=1}^L \left( X_{2,s,s'} p_l^{(+,t)}(X_{2,s,s'}) \right)^\top \mu_1 \\
& = \mathbb{E}_{s,s'} \left[ \frac{1}{2} g^{(t)}(X_{2,s,s'}) s \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)}(X_{2,s,s'}) \sum_{l=1}^L \left( X_{2,s,s'} p_l^{(+,t)}(X_{2,s,s'}) \right)^\top \mu_2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} g^{(t)}(X_{1,s,s'}) s \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)}(X_{1,s,s'}) \sum_{l=1}^L \left( X_{1,s,s'} p_l^{(+,t)}(X_{1,s,s'}) \right)^\top \mu_2 \right] \\
& \quad \quad \quad (\text{by } p^{(+,t)}(X_{1,s,s'}) = p^{(+,t)}(X_{2,s,s'})) \\
& = \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \left( X p_l^{(+,t)} \right)^\top \right] \mu_2 = \frac{d w_+^{(t)\top} \mu_2}{dt}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove the first equality in Equation (4):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \nu_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu_1}{dt} \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{s,s'} \left[ g^{(t)} s \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \mu_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,s,s'} - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,s,s'} p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X_{1,s,s'}^\top \nu_1 \right] \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{s,s'} \left[ g^{(t)} s \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \mu_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,s,s'} - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,s,s'} p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X_{2,s,s'}^\top \nu_1 \right] \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{s,s'} \left[ g^{(t)} s \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \nu_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X_{1,s,s'}^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X_{1,s,s'} p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)}(X_{1,s,s'})_l^\top \mu_1 \right] \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{s,s'} \left[ g^{(t)} s \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \nu_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X_{2,s,s'}^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X_{2,s,s'} p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)}(X_{2,s,s'})_l^\top \mu_1 \right] \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{s,s'} \left[ g^{(t)} s \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \mu_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,s,s'} - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,s,s'} p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X_{2,s,s'}^\top \nu_2 \right] \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{s,s'} \left[ g^{(t)} s \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \mu_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,s,s'} - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,s,s'} p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X_{1,s,s'}^\top \nu_2 \right] \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{s,s'} \left[ g^{(t)} s \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \nu_2^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X_{2,s,s'}^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X_{2,s,s'} p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)}(X_{2,s,s'})_l^\top \mu_2 \right] \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{s,s'} \left[ g^{(t)} s \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \nu_2^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X_{1,s,s'}^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X_{1,s,s'} p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)}(X_{1,s,s'})_l^\top \mu_2 \right] \\
& = \frac{d \nu_2^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu_2}{dt}
\end{aligned}$$

The rest equalities in Equation (4), Equation (5) and Equation (6) can be proved similarly.  $\square$

**Symmetry between two heads:** notice that for the negative head, we can view it as training the model  $-f(X)$  on the dataset where the label is  $-y$  and the set of classification signals is  $\{-v_1, -v_2\}$ .

**Lemma C.4** (Symmetry of two heads). *Suppose Definition 5.1 holds. Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{C}$ . For all  $t$ ,*

$$w_+^{(t)\top} \mu_1 = w_-^{(t)\top} \mu_1 \cdot (-1)^{\mathbb{I}(\mu_1 \in \mathcal{V})} \quad (7)$$

$$\mu_2^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu_1 = \mu_2^\top W_{K-}^{(t)\top} W_{Q-}^{(t)} \mu_1 \cdot (-1)^{\mathbb{I}(\mu_2 \in \mathcal{V})} (-1)^{\mathbb{I}(\mu_1 \in \mathcal{V})} \quad (8)$$

$$\mu_2^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} \mu_1 = \mu_2^\top W_{K-}^{(t)\top} W_{K-}^{(t)} \mu_1 \cdot (-1)^{\mathbb{I}(\mu_2 \in \mathcal{V})} (-1)^{\mathbb{I}(\mu_1 \in \mathcal{V})} \quad (9)$$

$$1134 \quad \mu_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu_1 = \mu_2^\top W_{Q-}^{(t)\top} W_{Q-}^{(t)} \mu_1 \cdot (-1)^{\mathbb{I}(\mu_2 \in \mathcal{V})} (-1)^{\mathbb{I}(\mu_1 \in \mathcal{V})} \quad (10)$$

$$1135$$

1136 **Corollary C.5.** Let  $s, s' \in \{\pm 1\}$ . For all  $t$ ,

$$1137 \quad p^{(+,t)}(X_{k,s,s'}) = p^{(-,t)}(X_{k,-s,-s'})$$

$$1138 \quad H_+^{(t)}(X_{k,s,s'}) = H_-^{(t)}(X_{k,-s,-s'})$$

$$1139 \quad sf^{(t)}(X_{k,s,s'}) = -sf^{(t)}(X_{k,-s,-s'}).$$

$$1140$$

$$1141$$

1142 *Proof of Lemma C.4.* First, all the equalities are satisfied when  $t = 0$  by Definition 5.1. Assume all  
1143 the equalities hold at time  $t$  and we are going to show that the gradients with respect to  $t$  also satisfy  
1144 the equalities. Equation (8) implies that  $p^{(+,t)}(X_{k,s,s'}) = p^{(-,t)}(X_{k,-s,-s'})$ , which further implies  
1145  $H_+^{(t)}(X_{k,s,s'}) = H_-^{(t)}(X_{k,-s,-s'})$  and  $sf^{(t)}(X_{k,s,s'}) = -sf^{(t)}(X_{k,-s,-s'})$ .

$$1146$$

1147 Define  $\mu' := \mu \cdot (-1)^{\mathbb{I}(\mu \in \mathcal{V})}$  for  $\mu \in \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{C}$  and  $X'_{k,s,s'} := [c'_k, v'_k, v'_{k'}] = [c_k, -v_k, -v_{k'}] =$   
1148  $X_{k,-s,-s'}$ .

$$1149$$

1150 We first prove Equation (7):

$$1151 \quad \frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} \mu_1}{dt} = \mathbb{E}_{k,s,s'} \left[ g^{(t)} s \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \left( X_{k,s,s'} p_l^{(+,t)} \right)^\top \right] \mu_1$$

$$1152$$

$$1153 \quad \frac{dw_-^{(t)\top} \mu'_1}{dt} = -\mathbb{E}_{k,s,s'} \left[ g^{(t)} s \dot{\sigma}_-^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \left( X_{k,s,s'} p_l^{(-,t)} \right)^\top \right] \mu'_1$$

$$1154$$

$$1155 \quad = \mathbb{E}_{k,s,s'} \left[ g^{(t)} s \dot{\sigma}_-^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \left( X'_{k,s,s'} p_l^{(-,t)} \right)^\top \right] \mu'_1$$

$$1156$$

$$1157$$

$$1158$$

$$1159$$

1160 which implies

$$1161$$

$$1162 \quad \frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} \mu_1}{dt} = \frac{dw_-^{(t)\top} \mu'_1}{dt}.$$

$$1163$$

$$1164$$

1165 We next prove Equation (8):

$$1166$$

$$1167 \quad \frac{d\nu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu}{dt}$$

$$1168$$

$$1169 \quad = \mathbb{E}_{k,s,s'} \left[ g^{(t)} s \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \mu^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X_{k,s,s'} - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{k,s,s'} p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X_{k,s,s'}^\top \nu \right]$$

$$1170$$

$$1171 \quad + \mathbb{E}_{k,s,s'} \left[ g^{(t)} s \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \nu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X_{k,s,s'}^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X_{k,s,s'} p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} (X_{k,s,s'})_l^\top \mu \right]$$

$$1172$$

$$1173$$

$$1174$$

$$1175 \quad \frac{d(\nu')^\top W_{K-}^{(t)\top} W_{Q-}^{(t)} \mu'}{dt}$$

$$1176$$

$$1177 \quad = -\mathbb{E}_{k,s,s'} \left[ g^{(t)} s \dot{\sigma}_-^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L (\mu')^\top W_{Q-}^{(t)\top} q_{-l}^{(t)} p_l^{(-,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_-^{(t)\top} X_{k,s,s'} - w_-^{(t)\top} X_{k,s,s'} p_l^{(-,t)} \right) X_{k,s,s'}^\top \nu' \right]$$

$$1178$$

$$1179 \quad - \mathbb{E}_{k,s,s'} \left[ g^{(t)} s \dot{\sigma}_-^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L (\nu')^\top W_{K-}^{(t)\top} K_-^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X_{k,s,s'}^\top w_- - w_-^\top X_{k,s,s'} p_l^{(-,t)} \right) p_l^{(-,t)} (X_{k,s,s'})_l^\top \mu' \right]$$

$$1180$$

$$1181$$

$$1182$$

$$1183 \quad = \mathbb{E}_{k,s,s'} \left[ g^{(t)} s \dot{\sigma}_-^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L (\mu')^\top W_{Q-}^{(t)\top} q_{-l}^{(t)} p_l^{(-,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_-^{(t)\top} X'_{k,s,s'} - w_-^{(t)\top} X'_{k,s,s'} p_l^{(-,t)} \right) X'^\top_{k,s,s'} \nu' \right]$$

$$1184$$

$$1185$$

$$1186 \quad + \mathbb{E}_{k,s,s'} \left[ g^{(t)} s \dot{\sigma}_-^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L (\nu')^\top W_{K-}^{(t)\top} K_-^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X'^\top_{k,s,s'} w_- - w_-^\top X'_{k,s,s'} p_l^{(-,t)} \right) p_l^{(-,t)} (X'_{k,s,s'})_l^\top \mu' \right]$$

$$1187$$

1188

1189

1190 which implies

$$\frac{d\nu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu}{dt} = \frac{d(\nu')^\top W_{K-}^{(t)\top} W_{Q-}^{(t)} \mu'}{dt}.$$

1194 The rest of equalities Equation (9) and Equation (10) can be proved similarly.  $\square$ 

1195

1196

## D STAGE 1: TRAINING NEURONS TO LEARN THE SIGNALS

1197

1198

## D.1 STAGE 1.1: GROWTH OF NEURON ALIGNMENT WITH CLASSIFICATION SIGNALS

1199

1200

1201 Due to our zero-initialization on the neuron weights, both attention heads are outputting zero. Since  
1202 we add a small positive bias in the ReLU, for a short amount of time from the random initialization,  
1203 we can ignore the ReLU activation.

1204

1205

**Lemma D.1** (Neuron alignment with the classification signal, stage 1.1). *Under Definition 5.1, for  
t ∈ [0, T<sub>1</sub>], if H<sub>+</sub><sup>(t)</sup>(X), H<sub>-</sub><sup>(t)</sup>(X) > -b for all X ∈ D, then for k ∈ {1, 2},*

1206

1207

1208

1209

1210

1211

1212

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} v_k}{dt} &= \frac{1}{8} \left( \sum_{k' \in [2], s=s'} g^{(t)}(X_{k',s,s'}) \right) \\ \frac{dw_-^{(t)\top} v_k}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{8} \left( \sum_{k' \in [2], s=s'} g^{(t)}(X_{k',s,s'}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

1213

1214

*Proof.* Take k = 1. By the gradient flow update, we have

1215

1216

1217

1218

1219

1220

1221

1222

1223

1224

1225

1226

1227

1228

1229

1230

1231

1232

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} v_1}{dt} &= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \left( X p_l^{(+,t)} \right)^\top \right] v_1 \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \sum_{l=1}^L \left( X p_l^{(+,t)} \right)^\top \right] v_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \left( \sum_{s=s'} g^{(t)}(X_{1,s,s'}) \sum_{l=1}^L p_{q \leftarrow l, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,s,s'}) \right) + \frac{1}{8} \left( \sum_{s \neq s'} s g^{(t)}(X_{1,s,s'}) \sum_{l=1}^L p_{q \leftarrow l, k \leftarrow sv_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,s,s'}) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8} \left( \sum_{s=s'} g^{(t)}(X_{2,s,s'}) \sum_{l=1}^L p_{q \leftarrow l, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,s,s'}) \right) - \frac{1}{8} \left( \sum_{s \neq s'} s' g^{(t)}(X_{2,s,s'}) \sum_{l=1}^L p_{q \leftarrow l, k \leftarrow s'v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,s,s'}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \left( \sum_{k' \in [2], s=s'} g^{(t)}(X_{k',s,s'}) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{by Corollary C.3}$$

 $\square$ 

1233

1234

**Lemma D.2** (No neuron alignment of group signals, stage 1.1). *Under Definition 5.1, as long as  
H<sub>+</sub><sup>(t)</sup>(X), H<sub>-</sub><sup>(t)</sup>(X) > -b for all X, and for k ∈ {1, 2},*

1235

1236

1237

1238

1239

$$\frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} c_k}{dt} = 0, \quad \frac{dw_-^{(t)\top} c_k}{dt} = 0.$$

*Proof.* By the gradient flow update, we have

1240

1241

$$\frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} c_k}{dt} = \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \left( X p_l^{(+,t)} \right)^\top \right] c_k$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \sum_{l=1}^L \left( X p_l^{(+,t)} \right)^\top \right] c_k \\
&= \frac{1}{8} \left( \sum_{s'} g^{(t)}(X_{k,+}, s') \sum_{l=1}^L p_{q \leftarrow l, k \leftarrow c_k}^{(+,t)} - \sum_{s'} g^{(t)}(X_{k,-}, s') \sum_{l=1}^L p_{q \leftarrow l, k \leftarrow c_k}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
&= 0 \tag{by and Corollary C.5}
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary D.3** (Duration of stage 1.1). *There exists a time  $T_{0.5} = \Theta(1)$  such that  $H_s^{(T_{0.5})}(X_{k,-s}, -s) \leq -b$  for  $s \in \{\pm 1\}$ .*

*Proof.* Lemma D.1 and Lemma D.2 imply that  $g^{(t)}(X) = \Omega(1)$  for  $t \leq O(1)$ . Since  $b$  is chosen to be a sufficiently small constant, there exists a time  $T_{0.5} = \Theta(1)$  such that  $H_s^{(T_{0.5})}(X_{k,-s}, -s) \leq -b$  for  $s \in \{\pm 1\}$ . □

## D.2 STAGE 1.2: GROWTH OF NEURON ALIGNMENT WITH GROUP SIGNALS

In this sub-stage, we are going to show that (1) the neuron weight will keep positively aligning with the signed classification signal and (2) the neuron weights start to positively align with the group signal.

**Lemma D.4** (Growth of classification signals, stage 1.2). *Under Definition 5.1, for  $t \in [0, T_1]$ , if  $H_+^{(t)}(X_{k,-}, -) < -b$  for all  $k \in [2]$ , then*

$$\frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} v_k}{dt} = \frac{1}{8} \left( \sum_{k' \in [2]} g^{(t)}(X_{k',+}, +) \right).$$

Similarly, if  $H_-^{(t)}(X_{k,+}, +) < -b$  for all  $k \in [2]$ , then

$$\frac{dw_-^{(t)\top} v_k}{dt} = -\frac{1}{8} \left( \sum_{k' \in [2]} g^{(t)}(X_{k',-}, -) \right).$$

*Proof.* Take  $k = 1$ . If  $H_+^{(t)}(X_{k',-}, -) < -b$  for all  $k' \in [2]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} v_1}{dt} &= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \left( X p_l^{(+,t)} \right)^\top \right] v_1 \\
&= \frac{1}{8} \left( g^{(t)}(X_{1,+}, +) \sum_{l=1}^L p_{q \leftarrow l, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,+}, +) \right) + \frac{1}{8} \left( \sum_{s \neq s'} s g^{(t)}(X_{1,s}, s') \sum_{l=1}^L p_{q \leftarrow l, k \leftarrow s v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,s}, s') \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8} \left( g^{(t)}(X_{2,+}, +) \sum_{l=1}^L p_{q \leftarrow l, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,+}, +) \right) - \frac{1}{8} \left( \sum_{s \neq s'} s' g^{(t)}(X_{2,s}, s') \sum_{l=1}^L p_{q \leftarrow l, k \leftarrow s' v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,s}, s') \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{8} \left( \sum_{k' \in [2]} g^{(t)}(X_{k',+}, +) \right). \tag{by Corollary C.3}
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma D.5** (Growth of group signals, stage 1.2). *For  $t \in [0, T_1]$ , if  $H_+^{(t)}(X_{k,-}, -) < -b$  for  $k \in \{1, 2\}$ , then*

$$\frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} c_k}{dt} = \frac{1}{8} g^{(t)}(X_{k,+}, +).$$

1296    Similarly, if  $H_-^{(t)}(X_{k,+,+}) < -b$  for  $k \in \{1, 2\}$ , then  
 1297

$$\frac{dw_-^{(t)\top} c_k}{dt} = \frac{1}{8} g^{(t)}(X_{k,-,-}).$$

1301    *Proof.* If  $H_+^{(t)}(X_{k,-,-}) < -b$ , then  
 1302

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} c_k}{dt} &= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \left( X p_l^{(+,t)} \right)^\top \right] c_k \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \left( \sum_{s'} g^{(t)}(X_{k,+,-}) \sum_{l=1}^L p_{q \leftarrow l, k \leftarrow c_k}^{(+,t)}(X_{k,+,-}) - g^{(t)}(X_{k,-,+}) \sum_{l=1}^L p_{q \leftarrow l, k \leftarrow c_k}^{(+,t)}(X_{k,-,+}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{8} g^{(t)}(X_{k,+,+}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{by Corollary C.5})$$

1312    Similarly, if  $H_-^{(t)}(X_{k,+,+}) < -b$  for  $k \in [2]$ , then  
 1313

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dw_-^{(t)\top} c_k}{dt} &= -\mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_-^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \left( X p_l^{(-,t)} \right)^\top \right] c_k \\ &= -\frac{1}{8} \left( - \sum_{s'} g^{(t)}(X_{k,-,-}) \sum_{l=1}^L p_{q \leftarrow l, k \leftarrow c_k}^{(-,t)}(X_{k,-,-}) + g^{(t)}(X_{k,+,-}) \sum_{l=1}^L p_{q \leftarrow l, k \leftarrow c_k}^{(-,t)}(X_{k,+,-}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{8} g^{(t)}(X_{k,-,-}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{by Corollary C.5})$$

1322     $\square$   
 1323

### 1324    D.3 PROPERTIES AT THE END OF STAGE 1

1325    **Corollary D.6** (Activation of different types of samples). *For  $t \in [T_{0.5}, T_1]$  and  $s \in \{\pm 1\}$ ,  $k \in [K]$ ,  
 1326    only  $\dot{\sigma}_s^{(t)}(X_{k,-s,-s}) = 0$  and all the rest of cases equal 1.*

1329    *Proof.* First of all, by the neuron signal alignment lemma stage 1.2 (Lemma D.4 and Lemma D.5),  
 1330    we have

$$\frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} (v_1 + v_2)}{dt} > \frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} c_1}{dt}.$$

1334    Since the attention is uniform during stage 1, by Corollary D.3, we continue to have  $\dot{\sigma}_+(X_{k,-,-}) = 0$  in stage 1.2.  $\square$   
 1335

1337    **Corollary D.7** (Neuron alignments at the end of stage 1). *There exists a time  $T_1 = \Theta(1)$  such that  
 1338     $w_+^{(T_1)\top} \mu = \Theta(1)$  for all  $\mu \in \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{C}$ .*

1340    *Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Lemma D.4 and Lemma D.5.  $\square$   
 1341

1343    As a result of stage 1, we can show that the transformer model now can produce a positive margin  
 1344    on the consistent samples but it fails to classify the conflicting samples.

1345    **Theorem D.8** (Stage 1). *Under Definition 5.1, we have  $f^{(T_1)}(X_{k,s,s}) = s \cdot \Omega(1)$  and  
 1346     $f^{(T_1)}(X_{k,s,-s}) = 0$ .*

1348    *Proof.* Since the attention during stage 1 is uniform, this is a direct consequence of Lemma D.1,  
 1349    Lemma D.4, Lemma D.5 and the symmetry between two heads in Lemma C.4.  $\square$

1350    E STAGE 2: SELF-ATTENTION LEARNS FROM THE CONFLICTING (HARD)  
 1351    SAMPLES  
 1352

1353    E.1 GROWTH OF SCORES  
 1354

1355    The following result states that there exists a range where (1) the growth of  
 1356     $c_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_k$  and  $-c_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_{k'}$  dominates other score variables; (2) the growth of  
 1357     $v_k^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_k, -v_k^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_{k'}$  dominates other query self-score variables; and (3) the  
 1358    growth of  $c_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_k, -c_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_{k'}$  dominates other key self-score variables.

1360    **Lemma E.1.** *For  $t \geq T_1$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that as long as  
 1361     $\max_{\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{C}} |\mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \nu| \leq C$ , then there exist a constant  $0 < c < 1$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} 1363 \quad & c \cdot \min_{k \neq k' \in [K]} \left( c_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_k, -c_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_{k'} \right) \\ 1364 \quad & \geq \max \left( \left( \bigcup_{\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{V}} \left\{ \left| \mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \nu \right| \right\} \right) \setminus \left( \bigcup_{k \neq k' \in [K]} \left\{ \left| c_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_k \right|, \left| c_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_{k'} \right| \right\} \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 1369 \quad & c \cdot \min_{k \neq k' \in [K]} \left( v_k^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_k, -v_k^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_{k'} \right) \\ 1370 \quad & \geq \max \left( \bigcup_{k \neq k' \in [K]} \left\{ \left| v_k^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_k \right|, \left| v_k^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_{k'} \right|, \left| c_k^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_{k'} \right| \right\} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 1375 \quad & c \cdot \min_{k \neq k' \in [K]} \left( c_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_k, -c_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_{k'} \right) \\ 1376 \quad & \geq \max \left( \bigcup_{k \neq k' \in [K]} \left\{ \left| v_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_k \right|, \left| v_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_{k'} \right|, \left| v_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_{k'} \right| \right\} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

1380    and

$$1382 \quad \|W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_k\|_2^2 \geq \|W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_k\|_2^2, \quad \|W_{K+}^{(t)} c_k\|_2^2 \geq \|W_{K+}^{(t)} v_k\|_2^2. \quad (14)$$

1384    *Proof.* The proof is by inspecting the gradient flow updates in Appendix E.5 and proving by induction. At  $t = T_1$ , all the scores equal 0 and further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1387 \quad & \frac{dc_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_k}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1}, -\frac{dc_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_{k'}}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1} = \frac{4}{3} g^{(T_1)} \omega^2 m \left( w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+, T_1)} \right) \\ 1388 \quad & = \frac{4}{3} g^{(T_1)} \omega^2 \frac{2}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \end{aligned}$$

1392    On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1394 \quad & \arg \max \frac{d}{dt} \Bigg|_{t=T_1} \left( \left( \bigcup_{\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{V}} \left\{ \left| \mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \nu \right| \right\} \right) \setminus \left( \bigcup_{k \neq k' \in [K]} \left\{ \left| c_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_k \right|, \left| c_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_{k'} \right| \right\} \right) \right) \\ 1395 \quad & = \frac{dv_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1}{dt} \Bigg|_{t=T_1} \end{aligned}$$

1400    and

$$\begin{aligned} 1402 \quad & \frac{dv_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1}{dt} \Bigg|_{t=T_1} = \frac{4}{3} g^{(T_1)} \omega^2 w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+, T_1)} = \frac{4}{3} g^{(T_1)} \omega^2 \frac{1}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \end{aligned}$$

1404 Thus, Equation (11) is satisfied at  $t = T_1$ .  
 1405

1406 Next, we have  $\|W_{Q+}^{(T_1)}v_k\|_2^2 = \omega^2$ ,  $v_k^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)}v_{k'} = 0$ ,  $v_k^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)}c_k = 0$ ,  
 1407  $v_k^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)}c_{k'} = 0$  and  $c_k^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)}c_{k'} = 0$ . Further,  
 1408

$$\frac{dv_k^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_{k'}}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1}, \frac{dv_k^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_k}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1}, \frac{dv_k^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_{k'}}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1}, \frac{dc_k^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_{k'}}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1} = 0$$

1412 Hence, Equation (12) is satisfied at  $t = T_1$ .  
 1413

1414 Similarly, we have  $\|W_{K+}^{(T_1)}c_k\|_2^2 = \omega^2$ ,  $c_k^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)}c_{k'} = 0$ ,  $v_k^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)}c_k = 0$ ,  
 1415  $v_k^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)}c_{k'} = 0$  and  $v_k^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)}v_{k'} = 0$ . In addition,  
 1416

$$\frac{dc_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_{k'}}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1}, \frac{dv_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_k}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1}, \frac{dv_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_{k'}}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1}, \frac{dv_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_{k'}}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1} = 0$$

1420 Thus, Equation (13) is satisfied at  $t = T_1$ . Finally, Equation (14) is also satisfied at  $t = T_1$ .  
 1421

1422 Now, assume Equation (11), Equation (12) and Equation (13) hold at some  $t > T_1$ . We are going to  
 1423 show that the gradients at  $t$  also satisfy those inequalities. We are going to prove the inequality be-  
 1424 tween  $c_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_k$  and  $v_k^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1$ . The proof for the rest of cases is similar by checking  
 1425 the gradient flow dynamical system in Appendix E.5 as they all follow similar structures.

1426 First of all, notice that if we replace  $p^{(+,t)}$  with  $p^{(+,T_1)}$  in  $\frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt}$  and  $\frac{dv_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1}{dt}$ , it  
 1427 holds that there exists a constant  $0 < c' < 1$  such that  
 1428

$$\begin{aligned} 1429 & c' \cdot \frac{1}{3} g^{(t)} 2 \left( v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 \right) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) \\ 1430 & + \frac{1}{3} g^{(t)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_2 \right) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) \\ 1431 & \geq \left( \frac{1}{3} g^{(t)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 \right) \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) \right. \\ 1432 & \left. + \frac{1}{3} g^{(t)} 2 \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \right) \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

1439 since  $w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} = \frac{2}{3} w_+^{(t)\top} c_1$  and  $w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} = \frac{1}{3} w_+^{(t)\top} c_1$ . Next, we calculate  
 1440 the deviation of replacing  $p^{(+,t)}$  with  $p^{(+,T_1)}$  in the gradients  
 1441

$$\begin{aligned} 1442 & \frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} \\ 1443 & - \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,T_1)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) X^\top c_1 \right] \right. \\ 1444 & \left. + \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) p_l^{(+,T_1)} x_l^\top v_1 \right] \right) \\ 1445 & = \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} \left( p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) \right. \right. \\ 1446 & \left. \left. - p_l^{(+,T_1)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) \right) X^\top c_1 \right] \\ 1447 & + \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \left( \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} \right. \right. \\ 1448 & \left. \left. + c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \left( \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+, T_1)} \right) p_l^{(+, T_1)} \Big) x_l^\top v_1 \Big] \\
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  not too large such that  $\max_{\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{C}} |\mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \nu| \leq C$ , and thus  $\|p^{(+, t)} - p^{(+, T_1)}\|_\infty = O(1)$  and then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} - \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+, T_1)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+, T_1)} \right) X^\top c_1 \right. \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \left. + \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+, T_1)} \right) p_l^{(+, T_1)} x_l^\top v_1 \right] \right] \right) \right| \\
& \leq c'' \left( \frac{1}{3} g^{(t)} 2 \left( v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 \right) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+, T_1)} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{3} g^{(t)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_2 \right) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+, T_1)} \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for some sufficiently small constant  $c'' > 0$ . This implies that if  $c$  satisfies  $c' + \Theta(1) < c < 1$  then

$$c \frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} \geq \frac{dv_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1}{dt}.$$

□

Based on Lemma E.1, we can show that there exists a time range where the dynamical system exhibits exponential growth.

**Lemma E.2** (Score growth lemma). *For  $t \geq T_1$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that as long as  $\max_{\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{C}} |\mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \nu| \leq C$ , then there exists a constant  $b > 0$  such that*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 \\ c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \\ v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 \end{bmatrix} \geq b \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 \\ c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \\ v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

*Proof.* First, as long as  $\|p_l^{(+, t)}(X) - p_l^{(+, T_1)}(X)\|_\infty = O(1)$  for all  $X$  and  $l$ , we have  $g^{(t)}(X) \geq \Omega(1)$ . Now, applying Lemma E.1 to the update of  $c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1$  in Appendix E.5.1, we can derive that there exists a constant  $b_1 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} \geq b_1 \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 + v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 \right)$$

and similarly, there exists  $b_2, b_3$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1}{dt} \geq b_2 \cdot c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 \\
& \frac{dv_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} \geq b_3 \cdot c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1
\end{aligned}$$

Take  $b = \min(b_1, b_2, b_3)$  we finish the proof. □

**Lemma E.3.** *There exists a  $T_2$  such that  $T_2 - T_1 = O(\log \frac{1}{\omega^2})$  and*

$$c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_2)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_2)} v_1, -c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_2)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_2)} v_2 = \Theta(1).$$

*Proof.* We can define a process  $x(t)$  by

$$x(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \omega^2 \\ \omega^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{b}{\sqrt{m}} Ax, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

1512 and by Lemma E.2, we have  
 1513

$$1514 \quad x(t) \leq \begin{bmatrix} c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1+t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1+t)} v_1 \\ c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1+t)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1+t)} c_1 \\ v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1+t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1+t)} v_1 \end{bmatrix}. \\ 1515 \\ 1516 \\ 1517$$

1518 Now, we analyze  $x(t)$ . We can compute the eigen-decomposition of  $A$  as  
 1519

$$1520 \quad \det(A - \lambda I) = \det \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix} = -\lambda^3 + 2\lambda = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = 0, \pm\sqrt{2}. \\ 1521 \\ 1522$$

1523 The eigenvectors can be found by finding the null space of  $A - \lambda I$ :  
 1524

$$1525 \quad \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ 1526 \\ 1527$$

1528 Thus, the eigenvector for  $\lambda = 0$  is  
 1529

$$1530 \quad C \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ 1531 \\ 1532$$

1533 and the eigenvectors for the non-zero eigenvalues are  
 1534

$$1535 \quad C \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ 1536 \\ 1537$$

1538 Therefore, plugging in the initial value  $x(0)$ , we have  
 1539

$$1540 \quad x(t) = \frac{\omega^2}{2} \left( \exp(\sqrt{2}bt) \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2} \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \exp(-\sqrt{2}bt) \begin{bmatrix} -\sqrt{2} \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ 1541 \\ 1542$$

1543 Hence, there exists  $T_2 - T_1 \leq O(\log \frac{1}{\omega^2})$  such that  $c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_2)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_2)} v_1 \geq x(T_2 - T_1) \geq \Omega(1)$ .  $\square$   
 1544

## 1546 E.2 GROWTH OF ASYMMETRY

1548 The following result shows that there exists a near-symmetry between the score and self-score vari-  
 1549 ables.

1550 **Lemma E.4.** For  $t \geq T_1$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that as long as  
 1551  $\max_{\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{C}} |\mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \nu| \leq C$ , if we define  
 1552

1553  $\Delta^{(t)} :=$

$$1554 \quad \max_{\mu \in \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{C}} \left\{ \left| (c_1 + c_2)^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu \right|, \left| (v_1 + v_2)^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu \right|, \left| \mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (c_1 + c_2) \right|, \left| \mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (v_1 + v_2) \right| \right. \\ 1555 \quad \left. \left| (c_1 + c_2)^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} \mu \right|, \left| (v_1 + v_2)^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} \mu \right|, \left| (c_1 + c_2)^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu \right|, \left| (v_1 + v_2)^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu \right| \right\} \\ 1556 \\ 1557 \\ 1558$$

1559 then

$$1560 \quad \frac{\frac{d\Delta^{(t)}}{dt}}{\frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t')\top} W_{Q+}^{(t')} v_1}{dt}} \leq \tilde{O}(\omega). \\ 1561 \\ 1562 \\ 1563$$

1564 and

$$1565 \quad \Delta^{(t)} \leq \tilde{O}(\omega)$$

1566 *Proof.* First of all, by the symmetry between two groups in Lemma C.2, we have  
 1567

$$1568 (c_1 + c_2)^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 = c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (v_1 + v_2)$$

$$1569 (c_1 + c_2)^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 = c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (c_1 + c_2)$$

$$1570 (v_1 + v_2)^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 = v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (v_1 + v_2)$$

$$1571 (v_1 + v_2)^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 = v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (c_1 + c_2)$$

1572  
 1573 Thus,

$$1574 \Delta^{(t)} = \max_{\mu \in \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{C}} \left\{ (c_1 + c_2)^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu, (v_1 + v_2)^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu, \right. \\ 1575 \left. (c_1 + c_2)^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} \mu, (v_1 + v_2)^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} \mu, (c_1 + c_2)^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu, (v_1 + v_2)^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu \right\}$$

1576 At  $t = T_1$ , we have  $\Delta^{(T_1)} = \omega^2$  and by the updates in Appendix E.5, we have  
 1577

$$1578 \frac{d\Delta^{(t)}}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1} = 0$$

1579 Define  $\Delta p^{(t)} = \max_{l_1, l_2 \in [L], X \in \mathcal{D}} |p_{l_1, l_2}^{(t)}(X) - \frac{1}{3}|$ . For  $t \geq T_1$ , from the gradients of the score  
 1580 variables in Appendix E.5 and Appendix E.6, we have  
 1581

$$1582 \left| \frac{d\Delta^{(t)}}{dt} \right| \leq O \left( |\Delta^{(t)} \Delta p^{(t)}| \right) \quad (15)$$

1583 This can be seen from the following. Take  $\frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt}$  as an example: Define  
 1584

$$1585 \frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} \Big|_{p^{(+,t)}=p^{(+,T_1)}} \\ 1586 := \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(+,T_1)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) X^\top c_1 \Big| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \\ 1587 + \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) p_l^{(+,T_1)} x_l^\top v_1 \Big| X_{k,s,-s} \right]$$

1588 Then, following similar steps in deriving Appendix E.5, it is not hard to derive that if we replace  
 1589  $p^{(+,t)}$  by  $p^{(+,T_1)}$  in  $\frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt}$ , we have  
 1590

$$1591 \frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} \Big|_{p^{(+,t)}=p^{(+,T_1)}} \\ 1592 = \frac{1}{3} g^{(t)} 2 \left( v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right) \\ 1593 + \frac{1}{3} g^{(t)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_2 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right)$$

1594 and thus,  
 1595

$$1596 \frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (v_1 + v_2)}{dt} \Big|_{p^{(+,t)}=p^{(+,T_1)}=\frac{1}{3}} = 0.$$

1597 Further, by the chain rule, we have  
 1598

$$1599 \frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (v_1 + v_2)}{dt} = c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} \frac{dW_{Q+}^{(t)} (v_1 + v_2)}{dt} + \frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top}}{dt} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (v_1 + v_2)$$

1620 Therefore, expanding  $\frac{dW_{Q+}^{(t)}(v_1+v_2)}{dt}$  via Appendix E.6, we have  
 1621  
 1622 
$$\left| \frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)}(v_1+v_2)}{dt} \right| = \left| \frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)}(v_1+v_2)}{dt} - \frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)}(v_1+v_2)}{dt} \Big|_{p^{(+,t)}=p^{(+,T_1)}=\frac{1}{3}} \right|$$
  
 1623  
 1624  
 1625 
$$\leq O\left(\left|\Delta^{(t)}\Delta p^{(t)}\right|\right)$$
  
 1626  
 1627 Applying similar analysis to all other asymmetry variables, we get Equation (15).  
 1628

1629 Now, we analyze the growth of  $\Delta^{(t)}$ . Consider a specific time  $T'$  such that  $c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T')\top} W_{Q+}^{(T')} v_1 =$   
 1630  $\Theta(\omega)$  for the first time and by Lemma E.1, we have  $|\Delta p^{(t)}| \leq O(\omega)$  for all  $t \leq T'$ . Further, by  
 1631 Lemma E.2 and similar analysis in Lemma E.3, we have  $T' - T_1 \leq O(\log(1/\omega))$ . Thus,  
 1632

$$1633 |\Delta^{(T')}| \leq |\Delta^{(T_1)}| + \int_{T_1}^{T'} \left| \frac{d\Delta^{(t)}}{dt} \right| dt \leq \omega^2 + \tilde{O}(\omega^2).$$

1634 This implies that  
 1635

$$1636 \frac{\Delta^{(T')}}{c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T')\top} W_{Q+}^{(T')} v_1} \leq \frac{\tilde{O}(\omega^2)}{\Theta(\omega)} \leq \tilde{O}(\omega),$$

1637 Finally, comparing the gradient of  $\Delta^{(t)}$  with the gradient of  $c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1$  for  $t \in [T', T_2]$  (fol-  
 1638 lowing similar analysis in Lemma E.1), we have  
 1639

$$1640 \frac{\frac{d\Delta^{(t)}}{dt}}{\frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T')\top} W_{Q+}^{(T')} v_1}{dt}} \leq \tilde{O}(\omega).$$

1641 where the  $\tilde{O}$  is independent of  $t$ . Notice that this inequality on the ratio of gradients also  
 1642 holds for  $t \leq T'$  since  $\Delta p^{(t)} \leq O(\omega)$  for  $t \leq T'$ . This implies that  $|\Delta^{(T_2)}| \leq |\Delta^{(T')}| +$   
 1643  $\tilde{O}(\omega)|c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_2)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_2)} v_1 - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T')\top} W_{Q+}^{(T')} v_1| \leq \tilde{O}(\omega)$ .  $\square$   
 1644

### 1645 E.3 WHEN THE QUERY IS THE GROUP SIGNAL

1646 The main goal of this section is to analyze the group signal queries. To do this, we need to know the  
 1647 sign of the group signal queries. This can be analyzed by induction-like argument over all the scores  
 1648 and self-scores below.

1649 **Lemma E.5** (Sign of all the score and self-score variables). *For  $t \in [T_1, T_2]$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} 1650 \quad & c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 \geq 0, \quad c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 \leq 0 \\ 1651 \quad & v_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 \leq 0, \quad v_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 \geq 0 \\ 1652 \quad & c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 \geq 0, \quad c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 \leq 0 \\ 1653 \quad & v_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 \leq 0, \quad v_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 \geq 0 \\ 1654 \quad & c_1 W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 \geq 0, \quad c_1 W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 \leq 0 \\ 1655 \quad & c_1 W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 \geq 0, \quad c_1 W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 \leq 0 \\ 1656 \quad & v_1 W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 \geq 0, \quad v_1 W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 \leq 0 \\ 1657 \quad & c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \leq 0, \quad c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \geq 0 \\ 1658 \quad & c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \geq 0, \quad c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_2 \leq 0 \\ 1659 \quad & v_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \geq 0, \quad v_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \leq 0 \\ 1660 \quad & c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \leq 0, \quad c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \geq 0 \\ 1661 \quad & c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \geq 0, \quad c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_2 \leq 0 \\ 1662 \quad & v_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \geq 0, \quad v_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \leq 0 \\ 1663 \quad & c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \leq 0, \quad c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \geq 0 \\ 1664 \quad & c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \geq 0, \quad c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_2 \leq 0 \\ 1665 \quad & v_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \geq 0, \quad v_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \leq 0 \\ 1666 \quad & c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \leq 0, \quad c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \geq 0 \\ 1667 \quad & c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \geq 0, \quad c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_2 \leq 0 \\ 1668 \quad & v_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \geq 0, \quad v_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \leq 0 \\ 1669 \quad & c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \leq 0, \quad c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \geq 0 \\ 1670 \quad & c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \geq 0, \quad c_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_2 \leq 0 \\ 1671 \quad & v_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \geq 0, \quad v_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \leq 0 \\ 1672 \end{aligned}$$

1673 *Proof.* First of all, at  $t = T_1$ , all the variables are zero and thus the results are satisfied. Now,  
 1674 assume all the inequalities hold for some  $t \geq T_1$ , we show that the gradients of those score variables

also satisfy those inequalities. We first prove the inequalities in the first column. First of all, by Lemma E.2, we have

$$\frac{dc_1 W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt}, \frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1}{dt}, \frac{dv_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} \geq 0.$$

We now prove  $v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 \leq 0$  as an example and the signs of all the other score variables can be analyzed similarly. By Appendix E.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dv_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1}{dt} &= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,+,-} \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,-,+} \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top c_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \end{aligned}$$

We first analyze the sign of the sum of the first two terms.

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,+,-} \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,-,+} \right] \\ &= g^{(t)} \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,+,-}) \right) \\ &\quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\ &\quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_2) \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( -p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,+,-}) \right) \\ &\quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,+,-}) w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \right) \\ &\quad + g^{(t)} \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_1) \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_1) w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,-,+}) \right) \\ &\quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\ &\quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 \cdot w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,-,+}) \right) \\ &\quad \left. + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,-,+}) w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\Delta s^{(t)} := \max_{\mu \in \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{C}} \left\{ \left| (c_1 + c_2)^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu \right|, \left| (v_1 + v_2)^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} \mu \right|, \right.$$

$$1728 \quad | \mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (c_1 + c_2) |, | \mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (v_1 + v_2) | \Big\}$$

$$1729$$

$$1730$$

1731 Notice that

$$1732 \quad p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,+,-}) = O(\Delta s^{(t)})$$

$$1733 \quad p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} = p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) (1 - p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-})) / 2 + O(\Delta s^{(t)})$$

$$1734 \quad p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} = p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) (1 - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+})) / 2 + O(\Delta s^{(t)})$$

$$1735 \quad p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} = p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) (1 - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+})) / 2 + O(\Delta s^{(t)})$$

$$1736$$

1737 Now consider the function  $y(x) = (1 - x)x/2$ . Notice that if  $x_1 < \frac{1}{3}$  and  $\frac{1}{3} < x_2 < \frac{2}{3}$ , then  
1738  $y(x_2) > y(x_1)$ . Therefore, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that if  $|c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1| \leq C$ , we  
1739 have

$$1741 \quad p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) (1 - p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-})) / 2 > p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) (1 - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+})) / 2$$

$$1742$$

1743 Next,

$$1744 \quad p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) (1 - p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-})) / 2 - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) (1 - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+})) / 2$$

$$1745 \quad = \Theta(c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1) > O(\Delta s^{(t)})$$

$$1746$$

$$1747$$

1748 where the inequality is by Lemma E.4. Thus,

$$1749 \quad c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right)$$

$$1750 \quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,+,-}) \right)$$

$$1751 \quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_1) \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right)$$

$$1752 \quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_1) w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,-,+}) \right)$$

$$1753 \quad 1754$$

$$1755 \quad 1756$$

$$1757 \leq 0$$

1758 Similarly,

$$1759 \quad c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_2) \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \right)$$

$$1760 \quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( -p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,+,-}) \right)$$

$$1761 \quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \right)$$

$$1762 \quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 \cdot w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,-,+}) \right)$$

$$1763 \quad 1764$$

$$1765 \quad 1766$$

$$1767 \leq 0$$

$$1768$$

1769 Finally, since  $v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 \leq 0$ ,  $v_2^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 \geq 0$ ,

$$1770$$

$$1771 \quad c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow -c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow -c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,+,-}) w_+^{(t)\top} v_1$$

$$1772 \quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow -c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow -c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,-,+}) w_+^{(t)\top} v_1$$

$$1773 \quad 1774 \leq 0$$

$$1775$$

1776 Thus,

$$1777 \quad \frac{dv_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1}{dt} \leq 0.$$

$$1778$$

$$1779$$

1780 The inequalities in the second column can be proved by noticing the symmetric structure of the  
1781 gradients of score variables in Appendix E.6. Thus, the gradient of the variables in the second  
1782 column has the exact opposite sign of the corresponding variables in the first column.  $\square$

1782 E.4 PROPERTIES AT THE END OF STAGE 2  
17831784 **Lemma E.6** (Activation in stage 2). *For  $t \in [T_1, T_2]$ ,*

1785 
$$\forall k \in [2], s \in \{\pm 1\} : \sigma_+^{(t)}(X_{k,s,-s}) > 0$$
  
1786

1788 *Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Corollary D.7 and Lemma E.4.  $\square$   
17891790 **Theorem E.7** (Attention at the end of stage 2). *There exists a  $T_2 = O(\log(1/\omega))$  such that*  
1791

- 1792 •
- For samples  $X_{1,+,+}$  and  $X_{1,-,-}$ ,*

- 1793 –
- when the query token is the group signal  $c_1$ ,*

1794 
$$\begin{aligned} |p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,+,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,-,-})| &\leq \tilde{O}(\omega) \\ |p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,+,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,-,-})| &\leq \tilde{O}(\omega) \\ |p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,+,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,-,-})| &\leq \tilde{O}(\omega) \end{aligned}$$
  
1795

- 1802 –
- when the query token is the classification signal,*

1803 
$$\begin{aligned} |p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,+,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,-,-})| &\leq \tilde{O}(\omega) \\ |p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,+,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,-,-})| &\leq \tilde{O}(\omega) \\ |p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,+,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,-,-})| &\leq \tilde{O}(\omega) \\ |p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,+,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,-,-})| &\leq \tilde{O}(\omega) \\ |p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,+,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,-,-})| &\leq \tilde{O}(\omega) \\ |p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,+,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,-,-})| &\leq \tilde{O}(\omega) \end{aligned}$$
  
1804

- 1815 •
- For samples  $X_{1,+, -}$  and  $X_{1,-,+}$ ,*

- 1816 –
- when the query is the group signal  $c_1$ ,*

1817 
$$\begin{aligned} p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,+, -}) &> p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,-,+}) \\ |p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,+, -}) - p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,-,+})| &\leq \tilde{O}(\omega) \\ |p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,-, +}) - p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+, T_2)}(X_{1,-,+})| &\leq \tilde{O}(\omega) \end{aligned}$$
  
1818

- 1824 –
- when the query is the classification signal,*

1825 
$$\begin{aligned} p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+, t)}(X_{1,+, -}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+, t)}(X_{1,-,+}) &= \Theta(1) \\ p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+, t)}(X_{1,+, -}) - p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+, t)}(X_{1,-,+}) &= \Theta(1) \\ |p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+, t)}(X_{1,+, -}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+, t)}(X_{1,-,+})| &\leq \tilde{O}(\omega) \\ |p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+, t)}(X_{1,+, -}) - p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+, t)}(X_{1,-,+})| &\leq \tilde{O}(\omega) \\ |p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+, t)}(X_{1,+, -}) - p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+, t)}(X_{1,-,+})| &\leq \tilde{O}(\omega) \end{aligned}$$
  
1826

1835 *Proof.* The proof is a direct consequence of Lemma E.3, Lemma E.4 and Lemma E.5.  $\square$   
1836

- 1836 E.5 THE UPDATES OF THE SOFTMAX ATTENTION AT  $t = T_1$   
 1837
- 1838 In this section, we give out the explicit updates for the scores and self-scores at  $t = T_1$ .  
 1839  
 1840 The equations in this section are derived by the following:  
 1841
- 1842 1. Notice that  $p_{l_1, l_2}^{(+, T_1)} = \frac{1}{3}$  for  $l_1, l_2 \in [L]$  and thus  $w_+^{(T_1)} X_{k, s, -s} p_l^{(+, T_1)} = \frac{1}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_k$  where  
 1843  $s \in \{\pm 1\}$ .
  - 1844 2. Therefore, by Corollary D.7,  $w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_k = \Omega(1)$  and thus  $\dot{\sigma}_+^{(T_1)}(X_{1, +, -}) =$   
 1845  $\dot{\sigma}_+^{(T_1)}(X_{1, -, +}) = \dot{\sigma}_+^{(T_1)}(X_{2, +, -}) = \dot{\sigma}_+^{(T_1)}(X_{2, -, +}) = 1$ .
  - 1846 3. By symmetry between two groups and two heads in Lemma C.2 and Lemma C.4, we  
 1847 have  $w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 = w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_2$  and  $g^{(T_1)}(X_{1, +, -}) = g^{(T_1)}(X_{1, -, +}) = g^{(T_1)}(X_{2, +, -}) = g^{(T_1)}(X_{2, -, +})$ . Thus, we denote  $g^{(T_1)} = g^{(T_1)}(X_{1, +, -})$ .
- 1848  
 1849  
 1850  
 1851 E.5.1 THE SCORES  
 1852
- 1853
- $$\begin{aligned} & \left. \frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} \right|_{t=T_1} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+, t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+, t)} \right) X^\top c_1 \middle| X_{k, s, -s} \right] \Big|_{t=T_1} \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+, t)} \right) p_l^{(+, t)} x_l^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k, s, -s} \right] \Big|_{t=T_1} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+, T_1)} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+, T_1)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right) \\ &\left. \frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2}{dt} \right|_{t=T_1} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - v_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+, T_1)} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( -c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_1 + c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+, t)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - v_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( -c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_1 + c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right) \\ &\left. \frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1}{dt} \right|_{t=T_1} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+, t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+, t)} \right) X^\top c_1 \middle| X_{k, s, -s} \right] \Big|_{t=T_1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top c_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \Big|_{t=T_1} \\
& = \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( w_+^{(T_1)} c_1 - w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( -w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( -\frac{1}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right) \\
& \frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2}{dt} \Bigg|_{t=T_1} \\
& = \frac{1}{3} g^{(t)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_2 - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_1 \right) \left( w_+^{(T_1)} c_2 - w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_2 - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_1 \right) \left( -w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{3} g^{(t)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_2 - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_1 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_2 \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_2 - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_1 \right) \left( -\frac{1}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right) \\
& \frac{dv_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1}{dt} \Bigg|_{t=T_1} \\
& = \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \Bigg|_{t=T_1} \\
& \quad + \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top c_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \Bigg|_{t=T_1} \\
& = \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( -w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( -w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( -\frac{1}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( -\frac{1}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right) \\
& \frac{dv_2^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1}{dt} \Bigg|_{t=T_1} \\
& = \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( -c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_1 + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( -w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_2^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - v_2^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( -w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( -c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_1 + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( -\frac{1}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_2^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - v_2^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( -\frac{1}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

1944  
1945  $\frac{dv_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1}$   
1946  
1947  
1948  
1949  $= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \Big|_{t=T_1}$   
1950  
1951  
1952  $+ \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \Big|_{t=T_1}$   
1953  
1954  
1955  $= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( -w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right)$   
1956  
1957  $+ \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right)$   
1958  
1959  $= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( -\frac{1}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right)$   
1960  
1961  $+ \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right)$   
1962  
1963  $\frac{dv_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1}$   
1964  
1965  
1966  
1967  $= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - v_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( -w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right)$   
1968  
1969  $+ \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( -v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_1 + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right)$   
1970  
1971  $= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - v_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( -\frac{1}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right)$   
1972  
1973  $+ \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( -v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_1 + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right)$   
1974  
1975 E.5.2 THE KEY SELF-SCORES  
1976  
1977  $\frac{dv_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1}$   
1978  
1979  
1980  
1981  $= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^\top X - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_2 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \Big|_{t=T_1}$   
1982  
1983  
1984  $+ \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_2^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^\top X - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \Big|_{t=T_1}$   
1985  
1986  $= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)}$   
1987  
1988  $+ \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( -v_2^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_1 + v_2^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)}$   
1989  
1990  $= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \frac{1}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1$   
1991  
1992  $+ \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( -v_2^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_1 + v_2^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \frac{1}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1$   
1993  
1994  $\frac{dv_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1}$   
1995  
1996  
1997  $= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 4 \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( -w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right)$

1998  
1999  
2000  
2001  
2002  
 $\frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_2}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1}$   
2003  
2004  
2005  
2006  
 $= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^\top X - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top c_2 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \Big|_{t=T_1}$   
2007  
2008  
2009  
 $+ \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_2^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^\top X - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top c_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \Big|_{t=T_1}$   
2010  
2011  
 $= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_2 - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_1 \right) \left( w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_2 - w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right)$   
2012  
2013  
 $+ \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_2^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - c_2^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right)$   
2014  
2015  
 $= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_2 - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_1 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_2 \right)$   
2016  
2017  
 $+ \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_2^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - c_2^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right)$   
2018  
2019  
 $\frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1}$   
2020  
2021  
2022  
 $= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 4 \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right)$   
2023  
2024  
 $= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 4 \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right)$   
2025  
2026  
2027  
2028  
 $\frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1}$   
2029  
2030  
2031  
2032  
 $= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^\top X - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \Big|_{t=T_1}$   
2033  
2034  
2035  
 $+ \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^\top X - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top c_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \Big|_{t=T_1}$   
2036  
2037  
2038  
 $= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( -w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right)$   
2039  
2040  
 $+ \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right)$   
2041  
2042  
 $= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( -\frac{1}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} X c_1 \right)$   
2043  
2044  
 $+ \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right)$   
2045  
2046  
 $\frac{dc_2^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1}$   
2047  
2048  
 $= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_2^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - c_2^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( -w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right)$   
2049  
2050  
 $+ \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_2 - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_1 \right) \left( w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_2 - w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{3}g^{(T_1)}2 \left( c_2^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - c_2^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( -\frac{1}{3}w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3}g^{(T_1)}2 \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_2 - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{Q+}^{(T_1)} v_1 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3}w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

### E.5.3 THE QUERY SELF-SCORES

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{dv_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1} \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top v_2 \Big| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \Big|_{t=T_1} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top v_1 \Big| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \Big|_{t=T_1} \\
&= \frac{1}{3}g^{(T_1)}2 \left( -v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_1 + v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3}g^{(T_1)}2 \left( v_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - v_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{3}g^{(T_1)}2 \left( -v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_1 + v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3}w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3}g^{(T_1)}2 \left( v_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - v_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3}w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right) \\
&\frac{dv_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1} \\
&= \frac{1}{3}g^{(T_1)}2 \left( v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3}g^{(T_1)}2 \left( v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{3}g^{(T_1)}2 \left( v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3}w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3}g^{(T_1)}2 \left( v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3}w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right) \\
&\frac{dc_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1} \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top c_2 \Big| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \Big|_{t=T_1} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top c_1 \Big| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \Big|_{t=T_1} \\
&= \frac{1}{3}g^{(T_1)}2 \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_2 - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_1 \right) \left( -w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3}g^{(T_1)}2 \left( c_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - c_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( -w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+,T_1)} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{3}g^{(T_1)}2 \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_2 - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_1 \right) \left( -\frac{1}{3}w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3}g^{(T_1)}2 \left( c_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - c_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( -\frac{1}{3}w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

2106       $\frac{dc_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1}$   
2107  
2108  
2109       $= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( -c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_2 + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_1 \right) \left( -w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+, T_1)} \right)$   
2110       $+ \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( -w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+, T_1)} \right)$   
2111  
2112  
2113       $= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( -c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_2 + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_1 \right) \left( -\frac{1}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right)$   
2114  
2115       $+ \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( -\frac{1}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right)$   
2116  
2117  
2118  
2119  
2120       $\frac{dc_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1}$   
2121  
2122  
2123       $= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+, t)} \right) p_l^{(+, t)} x_l^\top v_2 \middle| X_{k, s, -s} \right] \Big|_{t=T_1}$   
2124  
2125  
2126       $+ \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+, t)} \right) p_l^{(+, t)} x_l^\top c_1 \middle| X_{k, s, -s} \right] \Big|_{t=T_1}$   
2127  
2128  
2129       $= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_2 - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_1 \right) \left( w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+, T_1)} \right)$   
2130  
2131       $+ \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - v_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( -w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+, T_1)} \right)$   
2132  
2133       $= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_2 - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_1 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right)$   
2134  
2135  
2136       $+ \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - v_2^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( -\frac{1}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right)$   
2137  
2138       $\frac{dc_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} \Big|_{t=T_1}$   
2139  
2140  
2141       $= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+, T_1)} \right)$   
2142  
2143       $+ \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( -w_+^{(T_1)\top} X p_l^{(+, T_1)} \right)$   
2144  
2145       $= \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_1 - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} c_2 \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right)$   
2146  
2147       $+ \frac{1}{3} g^{(T_1)} 2 \left( v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_1 - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(T_1)\top} W_{K+}^{(T_1)} v_2 \right) \left( -\frac{1}{3} w_+^{(T_1)\top} c_1 \right)$   
2148  
2149  
2150      **E.6 SUM OF PARTIAL GRADIENTS**  
2151  
2152  
2153       $\frac{dW_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} + \frac{dW_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2}{dt} :$   
2154  
2155  
2156       $\mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+^{(t)} - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+, t)} \right) p_l^{(+, t)} x_l^\top v_1 \middle| X_{1, +, -} \right]$   
2157  
2158  
2159       $+ \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+^{(t)} - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+, t)} \right) p_l^{(+, t)} x_l^\top v_2 \middle| X_{2, +, -} \right]$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= g^{(t)} \left( W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right. \\
&\quad + W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \\
&\quad + W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_2) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_2) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \\
&\quad + W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \\
&\quad + W_{K+}^{(t)} c_2 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \\
&\quad \left. + W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_1) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
&= \frac{dW_{Q+}^{(t)}(c_1 + c_2)}{dt} : \\
&\quad \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top (c_1 + c_2) \middle| X_{k,+,-} \right] \\
&= g^{(t)} \left( W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right. \\
&\quad + W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \\
&\quad + W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_2) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_2) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \\
&\quad + W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \\
&\quad + W_{K+}^{(t)} c_2 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \\
&\quad \left. + W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_1) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
&= \frac{dW_{K+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} + \frac{dW_{K+}^{(t)} v_2}{dt} : \\
&\quad \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^\top X - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_1 \middle| X_{1,+,-} \right] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^\top X - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_2 \middle| X_{2,+,-} \right] \\
&= g^{(t)} \left( W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right. \\
&\quad + W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
&\quad + W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_2) p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
&\quad + W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
&\quad + W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
&\quad \left. + W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_1) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

2214

2215

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{dW_{K+}^{(t)}(c_1 + c_2)}{dt} : \\
& \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^\top X - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top (c_1 + c_2) \middle| X_{k,+,-} \right] \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right. \\
& \quad + W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \quad + W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_2) p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \quad + W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \quad + W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \quad \left. + W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_1) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

2234

## E.7 EXPANDING THE UPDATES

2235

To help us analyze the sign of the scores and self-scores in Lemma E.5, we rearrange the gradient flow updates for those variables into forms that are convenient for such analysis.

2236

## E.7.1 THE KEY SELF-SCORE

2237

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} = \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^\top X - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \\
& \quad + \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^\top X - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top c_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \\
& \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^\top X - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,+,-} \right] \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right. \\
& \quad + c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \quad + c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_2) p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \quad - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \quad - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \quad \left. - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_1) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,+,-}) \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)}(-v_2) \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \\
& + c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)}(-v_2) w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,+,-}) \right) \\
& + c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow -c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow -c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -c_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& + c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow -c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 + c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow -c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,+,-}) w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \Big) \\
& \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^\top X - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,-,+} \right] \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)}(-v_1) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right. \\
& + c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow -c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& + c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)}(-v_2) p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow -c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -c_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \left. - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)}(-v_1) \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \right. \\
& + c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,-,+}) \right) \\
& + c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \\
& + c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,-,+}) \right) \\
& + c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow -c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow -c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -c_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \left. + c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) p_{q \leftarrow -c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) - c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 p_{q \leftarrow -c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,-,+}) \right) \\
& \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^\top X - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top c_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right. \\
& + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow -c_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)}(-v_2) p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)}(-v_1) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow -c_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \left. - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

2322

2323

2324  $\frac{dv_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} = 2\mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^\top X - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right]$

2325

2326

2327

2328  $\mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^\top X - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,+,-} \right]$

2329

2330  $= g^{(t)} \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right.$

2331  $+ v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right)$

2332  $+ v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_2) p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right)$

2333  $- v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right)$

2334  $- v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \right)$

2335  $- v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_1) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right)$

2336

2337

2338

2339

2340

2341

2342

2343

2344  $= g^{(t)} \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \right.$

2345

2346  $+ v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,+,-}) \right)$

2347  $+ v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_2) \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \right)$

2348  $+ v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_2) w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,+,-}) \right)$

2349  $+ v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \right)$

2350

2351

2352

2353

2354

2355

2356

2357  $\mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^\top X - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,-,+} \right]$

2358

2359

2360  $= g^{(t)} \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_1) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right.$

2361  $+ v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right)$

2362  $+ v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right)$

2363  $- v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_2) p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right)$

2364  $- v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \right)$

2365

2366

2367

2368

2369

2370

2371

2372

2373

2374

2375

$- v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right)$

$= g^{(t)} \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_1) \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \right.$

$+ v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,-,+}) \right)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (-w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+}) p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} (-w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+}) \right) \\
& + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,-,+}) \right) \\
& + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} \right) - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} \right) \\
& + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,-,+})
\end{aligned}$$

### E.7.2 THE QUERY SELF-SCORE

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{dc_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} \\
& = \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \\
& + \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top c_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \\
& \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,+,-} \right] \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right. \\
& + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \\
& + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_2) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_2) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \\
& - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \\
& - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_2 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \\
& \left. - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_1) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \left( \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} + \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right. \\
& + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,+,-}) \right) \\
& + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_2) \left( \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} + \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 w_+^{(t)\top} v_2 \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,+,-}) \right) \\
& + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \\
& \left. - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_2 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,-,+} \right] \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_1) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \\
& + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \\
& - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_2) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_2) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \\
& - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_2 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \\
& - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \Big) \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_1) \left( \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} + \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right. \\
& \quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,-,+}) \right) \\
& \quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \left( \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} + \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 w_+^{(t)\top} v_2 \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,-,+}) \right) \\
& \quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \\
& \quad \left. - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_2 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top c_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right. \\
& \quad + v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \\
& \quad + v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_2) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_2) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \\
& \quad - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_1) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \\
& \quad - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \\
& \quad \left. - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \left( \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} + \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right. \\
& \quad + v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) \right) \\
& \quad + v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_2) \left( \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} + \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \quad + v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 w_+^{(t)\top} v_2 \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) \right) \\
& \quad + v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \\
& \quad \left. - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \frac{dc_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1}{dt} = 2\mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top c_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

2484  
2485  
2486    $\mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top c_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right]$   
2487    $= g^{(t)} \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)}$   
2488     $+ c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)}$   
2489     $+ c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_2) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_2) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)}$   
2490     $- c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_1) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}$   
2491     $- c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)}$   
2492     $- c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)}$   
2493  
2494  
2495  
2496  
2497  
2498  
2499  
2500  
2501  
2502  
2503  
2504  
2505  
2506  
2507  
2508  
2509  
2510  
2511  
2512  
2513  
2514  
2515  
2516  
2517    $\frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1}{dt} = \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top c_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right]$   
2518     $+ \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top c_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right]$   
2519  
2520  
2521  
2522  
2523  
2524  
2525  
2526  
2527  
2528  
2529  
2530  
2531  
2532  
2533  
2534  
2535  
2536  
2537

### E.7.3 THE SCORES

2516  
2517    $\frac{dc_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1}{dt} = \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top c_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right]$   
2518     $+ \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top c_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right]$   
2519  
2520  
2521  
2522  
2523  
2524  
2525  
2526  
2527  
2528  
2529  
2530  
2531  
2532  
2533  
2534  
2535  
2536  
2537

2538     $\mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top c_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right]$

2539     $= g^{(t)} \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)}$

2540     $+ c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)}$

2541     $+ c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_2) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_2) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)}$

2542     $- c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_1) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}$

2543     $- c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)}$

2544     $- c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \right)$

2545     $= g^{(t)} \left( c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \left( \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} + \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right)$

2546     $+ c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) \right)$

2547     $+ c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_2) \left( \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} + \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \right)$

2548     $+ c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 w_+^{(t)\top} v_2 \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) \right)$

2549     $+ c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)}$

2550     $- c_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right)$

2551     $\vdots$

2552     $\vdots$

2553     $\vdots$

2554     $\vdots$

2555     $\vdots$

2556     $\vdots$

2557     $\vdots$

2558     $\vdots$

2559     $\vdots$

2560     $\vdots$

2561     $\vdots$

2562     $\vdots$

2563     $\vdots$

2564     $\vdots$

2565     $\vdots$

2566     $\vdots$

2567     $\vdots$

2568     $\frac{dv_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1}{dt} = \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right]$

2569     $+ \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right]$

2570     $\vdots$

2571     $\vdots$

2572     $\vdots$

2573     $\vdots$

2574     $\vdots$

2575     $\vdots$

2576     $\vdots$

2577     $\vdots$

2578     $\vdots$

2579     $\vdots$

2580     $\vdots$

2581     $\vdots$

2582     $\vdots$

2583     $\vdots$

2584     $\vdots$

2585     $\vdots$

2586     $\vdots$

2587     $\vdots$

2588     $\vdots$

2589     $\vdots$

2590     $\vdots$

2591     $= g^{(t)} \left( v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \right)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,+,-}) \right) \\
& + v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_2) \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \\
& + v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_2) w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,+,-}) \right) \\
& + \left( v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow -c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} + v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow -c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \\
& + v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow -c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow -c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow -c_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \Big) \\
& \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,-,+} \right] \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_1) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right. \\
& + v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow -c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& + v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_2) p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow -c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -c_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \left. - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_1) \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \right. \\
& + v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,-,+}) \right) \\
& + v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \\
& + v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,-,+}) \right) \\
& + \left( v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow -c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} + v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow -c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) \\
& + v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow -c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \left. - v_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow -c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -c_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \\
& \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,+,-} \right] \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right. \\
& + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} \\
& \left. + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_2) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_2) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \\
& -v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_2 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \\
& -v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_1) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \left( \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} + \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right. \\
& \quad + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,+,-}) \right) \\
& \quad - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \left( \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} + \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \quad + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 w_+^{(t)\top} v_2 \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,+,-}) \right) \\
& \quad + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \\
& \quad - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_2 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \Big) \\
& \\
& \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,-,+} \right] \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_1) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right. \\
& \quad + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \\
& \quad + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \\
& \quad - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_2) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_2) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \\
& \quad - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_2 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \\
& \quad - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \Big) \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_1) \left( \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} + \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right. \\
& \quad + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,-,+}) \right) \\
& \quad + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \left( \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} + \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \quad + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 w_+^{(t)\top} v_2 \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,-,+}) \right) \\
& \quad + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \\
& \quad - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_2 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \Big) \\
& \\
& \frac{dv_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1}{dt} = \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top c_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \\
& \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,+,-} \right] \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right. \\
& \quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_2) p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \quad - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \quad - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \quad \left. - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_1) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \right. \\
& \quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,+,-}) \right) \\
& \quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_2) \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,+,-} - p_{q \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \\
& \quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( -p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,+,-}) \right) \\
& \quad \left. + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,+,-}) w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \right) \\
& \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} q_{+l}^{(t)} p_l^{(+,t)\top} \text{diag} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} X - w_+^{(t)\top} X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) X^\top v_1 \middle| X_{k,-,+} \right] \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_1) p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right. \\
& \quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \quad - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_2) p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \quad - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& \quad \left. - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_1 p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_1) \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \right. \\
& \quad + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} (-v_1) w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) \left( p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,-,+}) \right) \\
& \quad \left. + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow c_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{2,-,+} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \right) \\
& + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} v_2 \cdot w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) \left( p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,-,+}) \right) \\
& + c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_1 p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - c_1^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)} c_2 p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{2,-,+}) w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \Bigg) \\
& \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} K_+^{(t)} \text{diag} \left( X^\top w_+ - w_+^\top X p_l^{(+,t)} \right) p_l^{(+,t)} x_l^\top c_1 \middle| X_{k,s,-s} \right] \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right. \\
& + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \\
& + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_2) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_2) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \\
& - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_1) \left( w_+^{(t)\top} (-v_1) - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \\
& - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \\
& \left. - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} v_2 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& = g^{(t)} \left( v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 \left( \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} + \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \right. \\
& + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_1 w_+^{(t)\top} v_1 \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) \right) \\
& + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} (-v_2) \left( \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} + \left( -w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
& + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} v_2 w_+^{(t)\top} v_2 \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) \right) \\
& + v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,+,-} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,+,-}) \\
& \left. - v_1^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)} c_1 \left( w_+^{(t)\top} c_1 - w_+^{(t)\top} X_{1,-,+} p_{q \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} (X_{1,-,+}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

## F STAGE 3

In stage 3, we show that training the neuron weights can drive the training loss to be arbitrarily small. This is possible since the attention features now are linearly separable with at least a constant margin. This can be seen by considering the neuron weight  $w_+ = c_1 + c_2 + v_1 + v_2$  and  $w_- = c_1 + c_2 - v_1 - v_2$ . Simple calculation can show that this will make  $yf(X) \geq \Omega(1)$  for all  $(X, y) \in \mathcal{D}$ .

**Lemma F.1** (Growth of classification token alignment). Let  $g_+^{(t)} := g^{(t)}(X_{1,+,+})$  and  $g_-^{(t)} := g^{(t)}(X_{1,+,-})$ . For  $t \in [T_2, T_3]$ ,  $k \in [2]$ ,

$$\frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} v_k}{dt} = \Theta(g_+^{(t)}) + \tilde{O}\left(\omega g_-^{(t)}\right).$$

*Proof.* First of all, by symmetry, we have  $g_+^{(t)} = g^{(t)}(X_{2,+,+}) = g^{(t)}(X_{1,-,-}) = g^{(t)}(X_{2,-,-})$  and  $g_-^{(t)} = g^{(t)}(X_{2,+,-}) = g^{(t)}(X_{1,-,+}) = g^{(t)}(X_{2,-,+})$ . In stage 3, we have

$$\frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} v_1}{dt}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \left( X p_l^{(+,t)} \right)^\top \right] v_1 \\
&= \frac{1}{8} g_+^{(t)} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,+,+}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,+,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,+}) \right. \\
&\quad + \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)}(X_{1,-,-}) \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,-}) \right) \right. \\
&\quad + p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,+,+}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,+,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,+,-}) \\
&\quad \left. + \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)}(X_{2,-,-}) \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,-,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,-,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,-,-}) \right) \right) \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8} g_-^{(t)} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,+,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,+,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,+,-}) \right. \\
&\quad + p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,+}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,+}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,+}) \\
&\quad - p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,+,-}) \\
&\quad \left. - p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,-,+}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem E.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,+,+}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,+,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,+}) \\
&+ \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)}(X_{1,-,-}) \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,-}) \right) \\
&+ p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,+,+}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,+,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,+,-}) \\
&+ \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)}(X_{2,-,-}) \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,-,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,-,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,-,-}) \right) \\
&= \Theta(1)
\end{aligned}$$

and by symmetry between two groups Lemma C.2

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,+,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,+,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,+,-}) \\
&+ p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,+}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,+}) + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,+}) \\
&- p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,+,-}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,+,-}) \\
&- p_{q \leftarrow c_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{2,-,+}) \\
&= \tilde{O}(\omega)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} v_1}{dt} = \Theta(g_+^{(t)}) + \tilde{O}\left(\omega g_-^{(t)}\right).$$

□

**Lemma F.2** (Growth of group token alignment). Let  $g_+^{(t)} := g^{(t)}(X_{1,+,+})$  and  $g_-^{(t)} := g^{(t)}(X_{1,+,-})$ . For  $t \in [T_2, T_3]$ ,  $k \in [2]$ ,

$$\frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} c_k}{dt} \geq \Omega(g_-^{(t)}) + \tilde{O}\left(\omega g_+^{(t)}\right)$$

*Proof.* In stage 3, by Theorem E.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} c_1}{dt} \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ g^{(t)} y \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)} \sum_{l=1}^L \left( X p_l^{(+,t)} \right)^\top \right] c_1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{8} g_+^{(t)} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,+,+}) + p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,+,+}) + p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,+,+}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \dot{\sigma}_+^{(t)}(X_{1,-,-}) \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,-}) \right) \right) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8} g_-^{(t)} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,+-}) + p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,+-}) + p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,+-}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,+}) - p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,+}) \right) \right) \\
&\geq \Theta(g_-^{(t)}) + \tilde{O}\left(\omega g_+^{(t)}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem F.3** (Convergence). *There exists  $T_3$  such that  $T_3 - T_2 = O(1/\epsilon)$  and  $\mathbb{E}[\ell(yf^{(t)}(X))] \leq \epsilon$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g_+^{(t)} := g^{(t)}(X_{1,+,+})$  and  $g_-^{(t)} := g^{(t)}(X_{1,+-})$ . For consistent samples  $X_{k,s,s}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{df^{(t)}(X_{1,+,+})}{dt} &= \frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} v_1}{dt} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} v_2}{dt} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} c_1}{dt} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{dw_-^{(t)\top} v_1}{dt} \dot{\sigma}_-^{(t)} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(-,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(-,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(-,t)} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{dw_-^{(t)\top} v_2}{dt} \dot{\sigma}_-^{(t)} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(-,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(-,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(-,t)} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{dw_-^{(t)\top} c_1}{dt} \dot{\sigma}_-^{(t)} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(-,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(-,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(-,t)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \frac{dw_-^{(t)\top} v_1}{dt} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(-,t)}(X_{1,+,+}) + p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(-,t)}(X_{1,+,+}) + p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(-,t)}(X_{1,+,+}) \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{dw_-^{(t)\top} v_2}{dt} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(-,t)}(X_{1,+,+}) + p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(-,t)}(X_{1,+,+}) + p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(-,t)}(X_{1,+,+}) \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{dw_-^{(t)\top} c_1}{dt} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(-,t)}(X_{1,+,+}) + p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(-,t)}(X_{1,+,+}) + p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(-,t)}(X_{1,+,+}) \right) \\
&= \frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} v_1}{dt} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,-}) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} v_2}{dt} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow v_2}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,-}) \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} c_1}{dt} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow v_1, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,-}) + p_{q \leftarrow v_2, k \leftarrow c_1}^{(+,t)}(X_{1,-,-}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{df^{(t)}(X_{1,+,+})}{dt} &= \Omega\left(\frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} v_1}{dt} + \frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} v_2}{dt}\right) + \tilde{O}\left(\omega \frac{dw_-^{(t)\top} c_1}{dt}\right) \\
&= \Omega(g_+^{(t)}) + \tilde{O}\left(\omega g_-^{(t)}\right) \quad (\text{by Lemma F.1})
\end{aligned}$$

For conflicting samples  $X_{k,s,-s}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{df^{(t)}(X_{1,+,-})}{dt} &= \frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} v_1}{dt} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} v_2}{dt} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} c_1}{dt} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(+,t)} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{dw_-^{(t)\top} v_1}{dt} \dot{\sigma}_-^{(t)} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(-,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(-,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow v_1}^{(-,t)} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{dw_-^{(t)\top} v_2}{dt} \dot{\sigma}_-^{(t)} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(-,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(-,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -v_2}^{(-,t)} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{dw_-^{(t)\top} c_1}{dt} \dot{\sigma}_-^{(t)} \left( p_{q \leftarrow c_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(-,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_1, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(-,t)} + p_{q \leftarrow -v_2, k \leftarrow -c_1}^{(-,t)} \right) \\
&= \Omega \left( \frac{dw_-^{(t)\top} c_1}{dt} \right) + \tilde{O} \left( \omega \frac{dw_+^{(t)\top} v_1}{dt} \right) \\
&= \Omega(g_-^{(t)}) + \tilde{O} \left( \omega g_+^{(t)} \right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{by Lemma F.2}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\mathbb{E}[\ell(yf^{(t)}(X))]}{dt} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d\ell(f^{(t)}(X_{1,+,+}))}{dt} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d\ell(f^{(t)}(X_{1,+-}))}{dt} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \ell'(f^{(t)}(X_{1,+,+})) \frac{df^{(t)}(X_{1,+,+})}{dt} + \frac{1}{2} \ell'(f^{(t)}(X_{1,+-})) \frac{df^{(t)}(X_{1,+-})}{dt} \\
&= -\Omega \left( (g_+^{(t)})^2 + (g_-^{(t)})^2 \right) \\
&= -\Omega \left( \ell^2(f^{(t)}(X_{1,+,+})) + \ell^2(f^{(t)}(X_{1,+-})) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\mathbb{E}[\ell(yf^{(t)}(X))] \leq O \left( \frac{1}{t - T_2 + 1} \right).$$

□

## G HANDLING THE FINITE-WIDTH DISCRETIZATION

In this section, we describe how to incorporate the finite-width discretization. As we mentioned in the beginning of Section 5, the gradient flow for the finite width transformer can be seen as the gradient flow for infinite-width transformer model with perturbation. Thus, we need to calculate the deviation of the finite-width gradient flow from the infinite-width gradient flow. Since we only train the neuron weights in stage 1 and stage 3, we only need to consider how the finite-width discretization will affect stage 2.

First, at initialization, by the standard concentration bound, we have the following:

**Lemma G.1.** *With probability at least  $1 - \delta$  over the randomness of the initialization of  $W_K, W_Q$ , for any  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{V}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle W_K^{(0)} \mu, W_Q^{(0)} \nu \rangle| &\leq \omega^2 \left( \sqrt{\frac{4}{m} \log \frac{8}{\delta}} + \frac{4}{m} \log \frac{8}{\delta} \right) \\
|\langle W_K^{(0)} \mu, W_K^{(0)} \nu \rangle| &\leq \omega^2 \left( \sqrt{\frac{4}{m} \log \frac{8}{\delta}} + \frac{4}{m} \log \frac{8}{\delta} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\left| \left\langle W_Q^{(0)}\mu, W_Q^{(0)}\nu \right\rangle \right| \leq \omega^2 \left( \sqrt{\frac{4}{m} \log \frac{8}{\delta}} + \frac{4}{m} \log \frac{8}{\delta} \right),$$

and for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{V}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_K^{(0)}\mu\|_2^2 &= \omega^2 \left( 1 \pm \left( \sqrt{\frac{4}{m} \log \frac{8}{\delta}} + \frac{4}{m} \log \frac{8}{\delta} \right) \right) \\ \|W_Q^{(0)}\mu\|_2^2 &= \omega^2 \left( 1 \pm \left( \sqrt{\frac{4}{m} \log \frac{8}{\delta}} + \frac{4}{m} \log \frac{8}{\delta} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since  $W_K^{(0)}\mu, W_Q^{(0)}\nu \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \omega^2 I)$ , we apply Lemma H.2 to get the corresponding bound.  $\square$

Next, recall that from Section 5.2 the score and self-score variables evolve according to the dynamical system

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} \text{vec}(\{\mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)}\nu\}_{\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{V}}) \\ \text{vec}(\{\mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)}\nu\}_{\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{V}}) \\ \text{vec}(\{\mu^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)}\nu\}_{\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{V}}) \end{bmatrix} = A^{(t)} \begin{bmatrix} \text{vec}(\{\mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)}\nu\}_{\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{V}}) \\ \text{vec}(\{\mu^\top W_{K+}^{(t)\top} W_{K+}^{(t)}\nu\}_{\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{V}}) \\ \text{vec}(\{\mu^\top W_{Q+}^{(t)\top} W_{Q+}^{(t)}\nu\}_{\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{V}}) \end{bmatrix}$$

for some matrix  $A^{(t)}$  with  $\|A^{(t)}\|_\infty = O(1)$ . By Lemma E.3, stage 2 lasts  $O(\log(1/\omega))$  time. Then, we can bound the effect of the perturbation to the dynamical system as follows. Consider the dynamical system

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = A(t)x(t), \quad x(0) = x_0$$

and the perturbed dynamical system

$$\frac{dx_\epsilon(t)}{dt} = (A(t) + \Delta A(t))x_\epsilon(t), \quad x_\epsilon(0) = x_0 + \epsilon$$

where  $\epsilon$  and  $\Delta A(t)$  are the perturbation introduced by the finite-width discretization. Notice that we have  $\|\Delta A(s)\| \leq c\|\delta x(s)\|$  for some constant  $c$  for all  $s \in [T_1, T_2]$ . Define  $\delta x(t) = x_\epsilon(t) - x(t)$ . We have

$$\frac{d\delta x(t)}{dt} = A(t)\delta x(t) + \Delta A(t)x_\epsilon(t)$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta x(t)\| &\leq \int_0^t \|A(s)\delta x(s) + \Delta A(s)x_\epsilon(s)\| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|A(s)\| \|\delta x(s)\| + \|\Delta A(s)\| \|x_\epsilon(s)\| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|\delta x(s)\| (\|A(s)\| + c\|x_\epsilon(s)\|) ds \end{aligned}$$

Applying Grönwall's inequality, we have

$$\|\delta x(t)\| \leq \|\delta x(0)\| \exp \left( \int_0^t \|A(s)\| + c\|x_\epsilon(s)\| ds \right) = \text{poly}(1/\omega)/\sqrt{m}$$

since  $\|A(t)\| \leq O(1)$  and  $\|x_\epsilon(s)\| \leq O(1)$  and  $t \leq O(\log(1/\omega))$ . Thus, picking  $m \geq \text{poly}(1/\omega)$  can make the perturbation to the gradient flow system at most  $O(\omega)$ .

3024 **H PROBABILITY**  
 3025

3026 **Lemma H.1** (Bernstein's inequality for bounded random variables). *Assume  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  are  $n$  i.i.d.  
 3027 random variables with  $\mathbb{E}[Z_i] = 0$  and  $|Z_i| \leq M$  for all  $i \in [n]$  almost surely. Let  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i$ .  
 3028 Then, for all  $t > 0$ ,*

3029 
$$\mathbb{P}[Z > t] \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2/2}{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}[Z_j^2] + Mt/3}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\min\left\{\frac{t^2}{2\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}[Z_j^2]}, \frac{t}{2M}\right\}\right)$$

3030 which implies with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ,

3031 
$$Z \leq \sqrt{2 \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}[Z_j^2] \log \frac{1}{\delta}} + 2M \log \frac{1}{\delta}.$$

3032 **Lemma H.2.** *For  $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $w_1, w_2 \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, I_m/m)$ ,*

3033 
$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left[|\|w_1\|_2^2 - 1| \geq \sqrt{\frac{4}{m} \log \frac{2}{\delta}} + \frac{4}{m} \log \frac{2}{\delta}\right] &\leq \delta \\ \mathbb{P}\left[|\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle| \geq \sqrt{\frac{4}{m} \log \frac{2}{\delta}} + \frac{4}{m} \log \frac{2}{\delta}\right] &\leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

3034 *Proof.* We have

3035 
$$\mathbb{E}[\|w_1\|_2^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^m w_{1,i}^2\right] = 1$$

3036 Notice that  $w_{1,i}^2$  is a sub-Gamma random variable with parameters  $(\frac{4}{m^2}, \frac{4}{m})$ . Thus, by Bernstein's  
 3037 inequality,

3038 
$$\mathbb{P}\left[|\|w_1\|_2^2 - \mathbb{E}[\|w_1\|_2^2]| \geq \sqrt{\frac{4}{m} \log \frac{2}{\delta}} + \frac{4}{m} \log \frac{2}{\delta}\right] \leq \delta$$

3039 Next,

3040 
$$\mathbb{E}[\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^m w_{1,i} w_{2,i}\right] = 0$$

3041 By Bernstein's inequality,

3042 
$$\mathbb{P}\left[|\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle| \geq \sqrt{\frac{4}{m} \log \frac{2}{\delta}} + \frac{4}{m} \log \frac{2}{\delta}\right] \leq \delta$$

3043  $\square$