Face the Facts! Evaluating RAG-based Pipelines for Professional Fact-Checking

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

001 Natural Language Processing and Generation systems have recently shown the potential to 003 complement and streamline the costly and timeconsuming job of professional fact-checkers. In this work, we lift several constraints of current state-of-the-art pipelines for automated factchecking based on the Retrieval-Augmented 007 800 Generation (RAG) paradigm. Our goal is to benchmark, following professional factchecking practices, RAG-based methods for 011 the generation of verdicts - i.e., short texts 012 discussing the veracity of a claim - evaluating them on stylistically complex claims and heterogeneous, yet reliable, knowledge bases. Our findings show a complex landscape, where, for example, LLM-based retrievers outperform other retrieval techniques, though they still 017 018 struggle with heterogeneous knowledge bases; larger models excel in verdict faithfulness, 019 while smaller models provide better context adherence, with human evaluations favouring 022 zero-shot and one-shot approaches for informativeness, and fine-tuned models for emotional alignment.

1 Introduction

034

040

Despite the efforts to validate the accuracy of online content, professional fact-checkers are increasingly struggling to keep up with the rapid spread of misinformation (Lewis et al., 2008; Adair et al., 2017; Godler and Reich, 2017; Wang et al., 2018). Therefore, Natural Language Processing (NLP) has been proposed as a viable solution to partially automate the costly process of verifying misleading claims online (Vlachos and Riedel, 2014). Within this context, the task of *verdict production*, i.e. explaining why a claim is true or false, stands as one of the most challenging (Kotonya and Toni, 2020a; Guo et al., 2022).

Framing verdict production as a summarization task over fact-checking articles is a suitable solution due to the possibility of generating highly readable verdicts even for non-expert users (Atanasova, 2024; Kotonya and Toni, 2020b; Russo et al., 2023b). Despite their promising results, summarization-based approaches suffer from two main limitations: (*i*) they rely on the assumption that a fact-checking article always exists for a given claim; and (*ii*), they further assume that claims are already paired with a fact-checking article, which is typical in fact-checking websites but not on social media platforms, where most of the misinformation spreads (Lazer et al., 2018).

043

044

045

046

047

051

054

055

058

060

061

062

063

064

065

066

067

068

069

070

071

072

073

074

075

076

077

078

079

081

Grounding textual generation on retrieved evidence, an approach named Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG), has been shown effective for knowledge-intensive tasks like fact verification (Lewis et al., 2020; Liao et al., 2023; Xu et al., 2023); it also allows to address the limitations of previous summarization approaches, e.g. the assumption that the claims are already paired with gold fact-checking articles. Moreover, RAG-based approaches have proven useful in reducing potential factual inconsistencies, often referred to as "hallucinations" (Zellers et al., 2019; Solaiman et al., 2019), during text generation (Lewis et al., 2020), making them attractive for fact-checking tasks. Thus, researchers have increasingly adopted RAG to enhance the accuracy of the generated verdicts (Zeng and Gao, 2024; Yao et al., 2023).

Current studies depend on fact-checking websites, resulting in verdicts characterized by formal and dry language. This style contrasts sharply with the language used on Social Media Platforms (SMPs), which is typically more complex and includes *noise* such as personal commentary, or emotional content that surrounds the core fact. Such mismatch might pose serious issues when countering misinformation online (Colliander, 2019). We challenge these common assumptions on verdict generation, by testing RAG-based pipelines across scenarios that progressively approximate professional fact-checking practices.



Figure 1: Visual representation of our RAG-based experimental design (the steps for retrieval and generation are indicated by the red and blue lines, respectively). We explored various configurations to tackle increasingly realistic scenarios across different claim styles (neutral, SMP, emotional) and Knowledge Bases (Gold vs. Silver), as well as varying computational demands through multiple retriever architectures (sparse, dense, hybrid, and LLM-based) and five distinct LLMs generation setups (zero-shot, one-shot, fine-tuning).

To this end, we present a thorough evaluation of verdict production across several dimensions of a RAG pipeline, testing key configurations for each of them (see Figure 1): (i) three claim styles differing in realism-neutral (journalistic), SMP (social media-like), and emotional (SMP enriched with affective elements); (ii) four retrieval methods with varying computational costs—sparse, dense, hybrid, and LLM-based; (iii) two pre-processing settings, with and without fact extraction; (iv) five LLMs varying in size and training for verdict generation; (v) three generation setups—zero-shot, fewshot, and fine-tuned; (vi) two types of knowledge bases: a gold KB (with verified fact-checks) and a silver KB (without); and (vii) two document storage strategies-retrieving full articles or smaller chunks.

087 088

090

100

102

105

107

108

110

111

112

113

114

115

We show that LLM-based retrievers consistently outperform other methods, though they face challenges with silver knowledge bases. Dense retrievers manage stylistic variations of the claim effectively but fall short compared to LLMs, whereas sparse retrievers exhibit high sensitivity to noise present in emotional and SMP claims. Hybrid approaches and query pre-processing improve performance. Turning to generation, larger models excel in faithfulness and alignment with gold verdicts, while smaller ones are more consistent in context adherence. Fine-tuning boost verdict similarity but reduce contextual accuracy, with human evaluations favouring verdicts generated under zero/oneshot strategies for informativeness and fine-tuning for emotional alignment.¹

¹Code and data are publicly available at [ANONYMIZED]

2 Related Work

Early approaches to verdict generation leveraged either attention modules to highlight salient tokens from the evidence text (Popat et al., 2018; Shu et al., 2019; Lu and Li, 2020; Wu et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2019), or Horn Rules to reason upon structured knowledge bases (Gad-Elrab et al., 2019; Ahmadi et al., 2019). However, both lack readability, being hard to interpret by common users (Guo et al., 2022). To overcome this issue, researchers started casting verdict production as a summarization task over fact-checking articles, either through extractive (Atanasova et al., 2020), abstractive (Kotonya and Toni, 2020a; Stammbach and Ash, 2020), or hybrid (Russo et al., 2023b) summarization pipelines. More recently, He et al. (2023) introduced a reinforcement learning-based framework for generating counter-misinformation responses to social media content.

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

Ad-hoc data collection strategies for verdict generation rely either on synthetic data generation, like e-FEVER (Stammbach and Ash, 2020), or on journalistic sources, such as LIARPLUS (Alhindi et al., 2018), PUBHEALTH (Kotonya and Toni, 2020b), LIAR++, and FullFact (Russo et al., 2023b). For more realistic, SMP-style claims, He et al. (2023) developed MisinfoCorrect, and Russo et al. (2023a) extended FullFact with VerMouth, incorporating emotional claims and verdicts grounded in trustworthy fact-checking articles.

While the latest approaches provide readable verdicts, they may lack faithfulness due to language models generating factual inaccuracies. Additionally, summarization approaches assume that

trustworthy evidence is always available for the 150 claim under inspection. Therefore, RAG-based 151 approaches have been employed to guide the gen-152 eration of reliable verdicts upon trustworthy evi-153 dence previously retrieved from a knowledge base 154 (KB). To this end, Zeng and Gao (2024) proposed 155 JustiLM, a few-shot RAG-based approach for the 156 generation of verdicts for real-world claims, by 157 leveraging both fact-checking articles and auxil-158 iary evidence during model training. Yao et al. 159 (2023) developed an end-to-end RAG-based sys-160 tem to jointly perform verdict prediction and pro-161 duction in a multimodal setting. Nevertheless, both 162 studies concentrate on journalistic data and writ-163 ing styles, without considering the communication 164 style employed on SMP.

Building on the work of Zeng and Gao (2024), we present an extensive evaluation of RAG pipelines for verdict generation, testing various combinations of retrieval and generation strategies. Progressing toward increasingly realistic scenarios, with respect to the workflow adopted by professional fact-checkers, we address the challenge of handling claims and sources that vary in style and complexity, aiming to closely mimic the kinds of claims that everyday users might encounter on social media platforms. Specifically, we assess the impact of claim writing style on retriever performance, highlighting where differences arise as the style shifts toward that used by SMP users. Finally, we explore the extreme scenario where no factchecking article exists, relying solely on reliable supporting evidence.

3 Experimental Design

In this section, we provide details on the experimental design: from the datasets used, through the retrieval methods adopted, to the configurations of the LLMs employed for verdict generation.

3.1 Dataset

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

183

184

185

187

To study the impact of different styles on a RAG-189 based verdict production task, we used FullFact 190 (Russo et al., 2023b) and VerMouth (Russo et al., 191 2023a) datasets. The two datasets comprise eight 192 different versions of the same claims and verdicts. FullFact provides data written in a journalistic style 194 scraped from fullfact.org while VerMouth proposes 195 the same data rewritten in a SMP style, and also en-196 riched with the six emotional components defined 197 by Ekman (1992). 198

In both datasets, each claim-verdict pair is linked to a human-written fact-checking article, thus compounding to 8 different versions of the same claim: journalistic style (*neutral* hereafter), *SMP* style, anger, surprise, disgust, joy, fear, and sadness. In VerMouth, verdicts were also rewritten to reflect the various styles and emotions present in the claims. Throughout the paper, we will refer to emotion-styled subsets as *emotional* data.² 199

200

201

202

203

204

205

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

234

235

236

237

239

3.2 Retrieval Module

This comprises three elements: a *query* (a claim in our case), a *knowledge base* (KB), and a *retriever*.

Claim We used claims from FullFact and Ver-Mouth datasets as queries. The two datasets offer three aligned variations of a claim: neutral, SMP, and emotional. Due to noise in SMP and emotional data, i.e., irrelevant information surrounding the main facts, directly using claims as queries can negatively impact the retrievers' performance. Query rewriting, which transforms contextdependent user queries into self-contained ones, has proven to be an effective approach for enhancing retriever performance (Elgohary et al., 2019; Ye et al., 2023). For this reason, we implemented a fact extraction module to simplify claims and remove noise around the main fact we need to retrieve evidence for. In particular, we employed Llama-2-13b-chat-hf, in a one-shot learning setup, to extract the main facts from all SMP and emotional claims. A manual evaluation of the model's output confirmed the effectiveness of the methodology.³ An example of an emotional claim and its related fact is provided below.

Unbelievable! Just heard that 53 people have lost their lives in Gibraltar within 10 days of receiving Pfizer's Covid-19 vaccine. This is beyond alarming and I am absolutely furious. How can we trust these vaccines when they're causing more harm than good?! #PfizerVaccine #COVID19

53 people have lost their lives in Gibral-
tar within 10 days of receiving Pfizer's240Covid-19 vaccine.242

²More details on the datasets are provided in Appendix A. ³The full instruction prompt and the manual evaluation of the fact extraction module are reported in Appendix B.

Retriever We evaluated several retrieval strategies, with varying computational demands to accommodate the potential computational constraints of the target users: (*i*) sparse: BM25 and BM25+ (Robertson et al., 1995), a popular and effective extension of tf-idf; (*ii*) dense: Dragon+ (Lin et al., 2023) and Contriever (Izacard et al., 2021); (*iii*) hybrid, combining BM25+ and Dragon+ retrievers, using BAAI/bge-reranker-large as a reranker (Xiao et al., 2023); and, (*iv*) an instruction-tuned LLM for text embedding, e5-mistral-7b-instruct (Wang et al., 2023),⁴ LLM-Retriever hereafter.

243

244

245

247

259

261

263

264

265

266

267

270

271

272

273

275

277

278

279

281

287

Knowledge Base To build the KB, we employed articles from the FullFact dataset, aligned with Ver-Mouth data. We named this KB as **Gold KB**. We experimented with two approaches: *(i)* indexing entire articles (Gold_KB_{art}); *(ii)* indexing small portions of each article as separate documents, i.e. *chunks*⁵ (Gold_KB_{chunks}).

In a realistic scenario, an up-to-date KB of factchecking articles may not be available, or a factchecking article might not exist (yet) for a given claim. To approximate this scenario, we leveraged knowledge from reliable sources to build a Silver KB. Specifically, we discarded gold fact-checking articles and extracted the evidence used to write and fact-check claims from FullFact's articles. This design choice is grounded in direct collaboration with approximately 20 professional fact-checkers. They emphasized that they do not rely on open web search, but instead consult curated and trustworthy sources, such as the Google Fact Check Tools or predefined lists of reputable websites. The Silver KB thus serves as a faithful proxy for this professional workflow, making our experimental setup more realistic and practically grounded. The Silver KB was collected by following the URLs present in the articles and getting their textual content. Full-Fact articles also typically link to the sources of the claims; however, the reliability of these sources is questionable, and thus we filtered them out. Also, we ignored all links to social networks (Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, and Reddit). Finally, from the remaining URLs, we extracted the text using the Newspaper 3k⁶ Python library.⁷

3.3 Verdict Generation

For the generation of the verdicts, we tested five LLMs, selected based on differences in sizes or the presence of guardrails: Mistral, in its v1.0 and v2.0 versions (Jiang et al., 2023); Llama-2 (Touvron et al., 2023), in its 7B and 13B chat versions;⁸ and Llama-3-8B-Instruct.⁹ We combined the claim and the retrieved evidence to prompt the LLM (see Appendix E.1), and tested generation under different setups, namely zero-shot, one-shot, and fine-tuning. For fine-tuning, we employed Llama-2-13b, the best-performing model in zero-shot and one-shot settings.

289

290

291

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

4 Retrieval Experiments

Retrieval from Gold KB We tested the retrievers on FullFact and VerMouth test sets with an increasing number of retrieved documents (k = 1, ..., 10). For each claim used as a query, we considered as relevant documents the fact-checking article, or its chunks, linked to the claim. For space reasons, results on the emotional datasets will be presented in aggregated form, referred to as the *'emotional'* set. Experiments were carried out integrating into the LlamaIndex (Liu, 2022) framework either Rank-BM25 (Brown, 2020) or HugginFace's models (Wolf et al., 2020); retrieval performance was assessed with ranx (Bassani, 2022).

Table 1 presents retrieval results for each retrieval approach (sparse, dense, hybrid, LLM-Retriever) across all claim's styles (neutral, SMP, emotional) and fact-extraction pre-processings $(SMP_{facts}, emotional_{facts})$ using both KB configurations $(Gold_KB_{art} \text{ and } Gold_KB_{chunks})$. For $Gold_KB_{art}$, we report hit_rate@1 and MRR@1 (Mean Reciprocal Rank), as each claim had only one gold related article. For $Gold_KB_{chunks}$, we report hit_rate@10 and map@10 (Mean Average Precision) to assess whether the retrievers could consistently include at least one gold chunk among the top 10 and the precision of the retrieval system across different recall levels.¹⁰

For article retrieval, all four retrievers achieved high accuracy on neutral claims, with a hit_rate@1 above 90%. When the correct article was not immediately retrieved, they still ranked it highly, as shown by strong MRR@10 scores.

⁴https://hf.co//intfloat/e5-mistral-7b-instruct

⁵We used the LlamaIndex (Liu, 2022) sentence splitter, which minimises text fragmentation by keeping sentence integrity, with a maximum chunk token size of 100.

⁶https://github.com/codelucas/newspaper/

⁷Statistics related to the extra evidence collection are pre-

sented in Appendix C.

⁸https://hf.co/meta-llama/Llama-2-7b-chat-hf; https://hf.co/meta-llama/Llama-2-13b-chat-hf

⁹https://hf.co/meta-llama/Meta-Llama-3-8B-Instruct ¹⁰More details in Appendix D.2.

		sparse	dense	hybrid	LLM-Retriever	sparse	dense	hybrid	LLM-Retriever	
				hit_rate@	1			mrr@10		
	Neutral	0.903	0.905	0.966	0.960	0.931	0.938	0.972	0.978	
S	SMP	0.770	0.799	0.937	0.937	0.817	0.866	0.963	0.962	
Articles	Emotional	0.778	0.839	<u>0.905</u>	0.938	0.838	0.866	<u>0.933</u>	0.964	
Art	SMP _{facts}	0.778	0.801	0.937	0.914	0.837	0.891	0.963	0.947	
	$Emotional_{facts}$	0.835	0.846	<u>0.905</u>	0.932	0.883	0.897	<u>0.933</u>	0.958	
			ł	nit_rate@	10		map@10			
	Neutral	0.963	0.992	1.000	1.000	0.392	0.552	<u>0.573</u>	0.655	
3	SMP	0.856	0.974	0.994	1.000	0.275	0.484	0.536	0.619	
Chunks	Emotional	0.904	0.977	0.994	1.000	0.273	0.482	0.545	0.599	
Ch	SMP _{facts}	0.905	0.972	0.994	1.000	0.304	0.505	0.526	0.601	
	$Emotional_{facts}$	0.939	0.978	<u>0.994</u>	0.999	0.345	0.518	<u>0.552</u>	0.615	

Table 1: Results for the retrieval experiments. We report hit_rate, mrr, and map for retrieval over the Gold_KBart (Articles) and the Gold_KB_{chunks} (Chunks) KBs. SMP_{facts} and Emotional_{facts} indicate input preprocessing with the fact extraction module. The first and second best results are in bold and underlined, respectively.

Performance declined with noisier claims, especially for sparse retrievers, while dense models and the LLM-Retriever showed greater robustness. Hybrid retrieval (combining sparse and dense) performed comparably to the LLM-Retriever.

335

336

337

339

341

343

345

347

351

354

361

367

In chunk retrieval, the LLM-Reranker excelled, achieving a hit_rate@10 that always included a gold chunk and reaching an average MAP@10 of 70%. Sparse retrievers showed low map scores $(\sim 40\%$ for neutral claims), particularly for SMP and emotional claims (<30%), while dense and hybrid approaches followed trends similar to article retrieval. Thus, the low MAP scores indicate that sparse retrievers must retrieve more chunks from the knowledge base to select the relevant content. However, this comes at the cost of retrieving also more non-relevant content, which could potentially compromise the subsequent generation phase.

Overall, the LLM-Retriever consistently outperforms other approaches. Notably, it remains stable even when exposed to different input claim styles, 355 with minimal degradation when noise is introduced. A paired t-test confirms that the performance gains 357 of LLM-Retrieval are statistically significant compared to other methods, with the exception of the hybrid retriever over hit_rate@1. Still, it maintains a slightly higher mean score (0.934 vs. 0.925) and lower variance (0.061 vs. 0.069). Dense retrievers perform worse but show robustness to stylistic 363 variations. In contrast, sparse retrievers are significantly affected by data noise, resulting in performance drops across all three datasets: we find that the fact extraction module we included yields consistent performance improvements, and particularly helps when using sparse and dense retrievers.

	Neutral	SMP	Emotional	$SMP_{\it facts}$	$\mathbf{Emotional}_{facts}$
LLM-Retriever	0.683	0.652	0.637	0.689	0.671
hybrid	0.683	0.652	0.631	0.602	0.652

Table 2: Hit rate@10 scores for retrieval with LLM-Retrieval and hybrid retriever over the Silver KB.

Retrieval from Silver KB We tested the optimal retriever methodologies from the previous experiments, specifically the LLM-Retriever and the hybrid retriever. As outlined in Section 3.2, the Silver KB consists of reliable sources that have been extracted from the initial fact-checking articles. The evidence compounded 9983 chunks, each corresponding to a fact-checking article considered a gold standard during evaluation.

The results (Table 2) show that modifying the knowledge base strongly impacted retrieval performance both across the three datasets and the two retrieval strategies. In particular, the two retrievers exhibited comparable performance, mirroring the behaviour observed in earlier experiments with the Gold KB. Unlike the previous setting with the Gold KB, the LLM-Retrieval's performance in this context is markedly influenced by the stylistic nature of the claims: neutral formulations consistently yielded higher results, and performance was impacted by the claims' complexity.

Prepending a fact extraction module generally improves retrieval results: even robust retrievers can benefit from preprocessing when dealing with heterogeneous KB (that do not contain gold factchecking articles) and complex (e.g. emotional) claims.

392

393

394

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

5 Generation Experiments

For verdict generation, the claim and its corresponding retrieved evidence were combined into a prompt fed to the five different LLMs (Section 3.3). For evidence retrieval, we employed the best-performing retriever (i.e. LLM-Retriever). We tested the five LLMs under three setups: zero-shot, one-shot, and fine-tuned.¹¹ For fine-tuning, we employed the best-performing model in both the zeroshot and one-shot configurations, Llama-2-13b.

When using the Gold_KB_{art}, we included the top-1 article (i.e. the most relevant) in the prompt, a choice justified by the LLM retriever's remarkable hit_rate@1 results. Conversely, with retrieval from Gold_KB_{chunks}, we fed the model with 10 retrieved chunks, based on its map@10 performance (see Table 1 and Figure D.2).¹²

Automatic Metrics Inspired by previous works 414 (Russo et al., 2023a; Zeng and Gao, 2024), we 415 use ROUGE-LSum (Lin, 2004), BARTScore Yuan 416 et al. (2021), and SummaC (Laban et al., 2022) to 417 evaluate lexical adherence and faithfulness of the 418 generated text to the context provided to the LLM. 419 Further, we used BARTScore, which is unaffected 420 by differences in text length, to compute the se-421 mantic similarity (GoldSim) between the generated 422 and gold verdicts from FullFact and VerMouth. For 423 average performances per dataset and per model 424 see Tables 3 and 4, respectively.¹³ 425

Zero-shot and One-shot Generations with neutral claims yielded better results compared to the SMP and emotional data in both zero-shot and one-shot experiments, indicating that the complexity of claims affects not only the retrieval phase but also the generation phase. Interestingly, when comparing the similarity between the generated and the gold verdicts, the generations with emotional data produced the best results (Table 3). Upon manual inspection we found recurrent patterns in the SMP and emotional data, such as expressions of empathy and politeness typical of ChatGPT that was used to generate the manually curated verdicts of VerMouth ("I understand your frustration", "It is important to note that"). These patterns were also replicated by the models used in this study. Notably, zero-shot experiments generally outperformed one-shot experiments when results were averaged across all the data (Table 3) and LLMs (Table 4). Turning to individual model performances (Table 4), larger models (L1ama-2-13b) demonstrated higher faithfulness to the context and similarity to gold verdicts, whereas smaller ones (mistral-7b-v0.1, 11ama3-8b) showed better contextual adherence in terms of overlaps (ROUGE-LSum) and consistency (SummaC). Misalignments between SummaC and GoldSim were observed, often stemming from the fact that fact-checking articles might contain multiple supporting arguments. When the retriever or LLM selects only a subset, the generated verdict may diverge from the gold verdict in argumentation while remaining contextually accurate.

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

To sum up, generations with neutral claims outperformed those with emotional data in both zeroshot and one-shot experiments but produced more accurate results when paired with emotional data. In terms of faithfulness to context and similarity to the gold, larger models generally performed better. However, smaller models exhibited superior contextual adherence.

LLM Fine-Tuning We fine-tuned Llama-2-13b, the best-performing model in the previous incontext learning experiments, disjointly on the three claim styles. To this end, the model was fed with claims and gold verdicts from the FullFact and VerMouth datasets, complemented by positive and negative contextual information.¹⁴ We randomly sampled 200 entries from both neutral and SMP training datasets; similarly, to obtain a comparably sized dataset for emotional data, we randomly sampled 35 examples for each of the 6 emotional dimensions available.¹⁵ Fine-tuning results (Tables 3 and 4) show that LLaMA-2-13b models improve in faithfulness and similarity w.r.t. gold verdicts across all datasets and for both full articles and text chunks. However, this comes at the cost of lower ROUGE-L scores: without fine-tuning, the models tend to extract and replicate not only the necessary information but also the exact wording from the context. Thus, fine-tuned models abstract better from the context, as expected, and also show better performance in selecting relevant and reliable information. Further, after fine-tuning, the emotional models achieved higher similarity scores to the original claims. Akin to the zero and one-shot configurations, inspection of the generated verdicts

¹¹The instructions used are provided in Appendix E.1.

¹²Examples of generations are provided in Appendix E.5.

¹³Complete results can be found in Appendix E.4, Table 9.

¹⁴Details are provided in Appendix E.2.

¹⁵Fine-tuning details are reported in Appendix E.3.

			Articles				Chunks		
zero shot	Neutral SMP emotional	ROUGE-LSum 0.16 0.15 0.14	BARTScore -2.13 -2.31 -2.48	SummaC 0.35 0.32 0.31	GoldSim -3.03 -3.01 -2.94	ROUGE-LSum 0.25 0.24 0.22	BARTScore -2.61 -2.69 -2.79	SummaC 0.25 0.24 0.23	GoldSim -3.06 -3.03 -2.98
one shot	Neutral SMP emotional	0.16 0.15 0.14	-2.13 -2.42 -2.60	0.33 0.32 0.32	-3.03 -3.01 -2.95	0.26 0.24 0.22	-2.53 -2.73 -2.85	0.24 0.23 0.23	-2.99 -2.95 -2.91
fine tuning	Neutral SMP emotional	0.10 0.10 0.10	-1.45 -2.30 -2.43	0.53 0.33 0.31	-2.71 -2.58 -2.48	0.08 0.10 0.10	-1.42 -2.45 -2.76	0.52 0.32 0.31	-2.75 -2.63 -2.68

Table 3: Generation results per dataset, *averaged across the LLMs*. Retrieved articles or chunks were employed in the generation. The best results for each generation configuration are in bold.

			Articles		Chunks				
		ROUGE-LSum	BARTScore	SummaC	GoldSim	ROUGE-LSum	BARTScore	SummaC	GoldSim
<u>.</u>	mistral-v0.1	0.19	-2.16	0.35	-3.02	0.19	-2.20	0.35	-3.05
shot	mistral-v0.2	0.13	-2.55	0.32	-3.12	0.15	-2.50	0.33	-3.11
-S-	llama3-8b	0.05	-3.21	0.30	-3.54	0.04	-3.37	0.32	-3.65
zer	llama2-7b	0.16	-2.12	0.32	-2.84	0.19	-2.06	0.33	-2.87
	llama2-13b	0.17	-1.89	0.34	-2.73	0.19	-1.89	0.35	-2.77
	mistral-v0.1	0.16	-2.38	0.33	-3.02	0.17	-2.47	0.32	-3.07
-shot	mistral-v0.2	0.12	-2.61	0.31	-3.16	0.13	-2.63	0.36	-3.16
e-s	llama3-8b	0.13	-2.25	0.33	-2.98	0.14	-2.26	0.33	-2.97
ğ	llama2-7b	0.16	-2.35	0.32	-2.93	0.19	-2.34	0.31	-2.89
•	llama2-13b	0.16	-2.31	0.32	-2.90	0.17	-2.22	0.31	-2.79
ft	llama2-13b	0.10	-2.08	0.39	-2.59	0.10	-2.21	0.38	-2.69

Table 4: Generation results per LLM, *averaged across the three datasets* (neutral, SMP, emotional). Retrieved articles or chunks were employed in the generation. The best results for each generation configuration are in bold.

reveals that these models produce empathetic expressions similar to those found in VerMouth.

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

504

505

507

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

Generation with Silver KB Finally, we tackled the scenario where the useful information is spread across several documents: this lifts the constraint of existing datasets wherein a claim is paired to a single article. We used all documents from the Silver KB (Section 3.2) and the LLM-Retriever/Llama-2-13b setup (best-performing in the above). We focused solely on the chunk-based configuration as the information required to build a verdict is (i) often distributed across multiple extra documents, and (ii) it is more likely to be located in specific sections of these extra evidence articles. Results (Table 5) show that, except for ROUGE-LSum, Llama-2-13b's performance is consistently slightly worse when compared to generation using the Gold KB.¹⁶ Still, a qualitative analysis showed that using the Silver KB resulted in verdicts that, in most cases, were consistent with the claim, faithful to the context, and informative.

The lower results can be explained by the substantial difference between the Gold and the Silver KBs: a gold fact-checking article refers to a single claim and contains all the information needed to generate the verdict. Therefore, when using the Gold KB, out of a total of ten chunks, a robust retriever – such as LLM-Retriever – can identify a larger number of informative chunks. Conversely, in the case of the Silver KB, for each claim, on average there exist four related articles (see Appendix C, Table 7) that are most likely to provide partial information about the verdict. Therefore, realistic retrieval scenarios for professional factcheckers involve large, informationally sparse, and repetitive document collections, meaning 10 retrieved chunks may lack sufficient information for a good verdict.

Human Evaluation Automatic metrics for NLG evaluation are known to correlate poorly with human judgements. Several works showed how optimizing for such metrics (e.g. ROUGE) is largely suboptimal (Paulus et al., 2018; Scialom et al., 2019), and they suffer from weak interpretability and failure to capture nuances (Sai et al., 2022). Therefore, we also provide a comprehensive human evaluation of the generated verdicts.

We enlisted three expert evaluators,¹⁷ and we

540

517

¹⁶Compare with Tables 4, 3, and Appendix E.4 - Table 9.

¹⁷A senior researcher and two MSc graduates; all volunteer

		ROUGE-LSum	BARTScore	SummaC	GoldSim
ot	Neutral	0.23	-2.17	0.28	-3.04
-sh	SMP	0.21	-2.30	0.28	-2.84
zero-shot	Emotional	0.19	-2.50	0.26	-2.73
ž	Mean	0.21	-2.32	0.28	-2.87
Ħ	Neutral	0.24	-2.39	0.24	-3.02
she	SMP	0.21	-2.57	0.25	-2.90
one-shot	Emotional	0.18	-2.68	0.26	-2.76
0	Mean	0.21	-2.55	0.25	-2.89
pa	Neutral	0.12	-2.18	0.39	-3.00
ŝ	SMP	0.13	-2.64	0.26	-2.59
fine tuned	Emotional	0.13	-2.97	0.25	-2.70
ĥ	Mean	0.13	-2.60	0.30	-2.77

Table 5: Generation results with Silver KB.

545

547

551

557

561

563

564

567

568

569

570

572

574

576

focused on the data generated using Llama2-13b and the LLM-Retrieval over the Gold KB, as this 542 configuration yielded the best results in both the 543 544 retrieval and generation phases (see Sections 4, 5). The evaluators were provided with pairs of verdicts (either gold or generated using zero-shot, oneshot, or fine-tuned models) and their corresponding claims. They were instructed to assess the best verdict based on three aspects: effectiveness, informativeness, and emotional/empathetic coverage. 550 We sampled 72 claims equally distributed among 552 the test sets, and provided the evaluators with six combinations of verdict pairs, compounding to 432 553 samples to be evaluated on the three evaluation di-554 mensions; thus, the reported human evaluation is 555 based on a total of 1296 data points. In Figure 2 556 (left) we report how many times, in percentage, the human annotators preferred each of the four ver-558 dict generation setups (gold, zero-shot, one-shot, fine-tuning) across the three claim styles (neutral, 560 SMP, emotional). The interannotator agreement (Cohen's κ) was 0.7, indicating good agreement. 562

> Results show that zero-shot and one-shot approaches were largely preferred in terms of informativeness. Gold and fine-tuned configurations were considered the best in terms of emotional matching between the claim and the related verdicts, which can be explained by the fact that finetuned models learned to mimic the emotional style of the gold training data. This is supported by the effectiveness evaluation in Figure 2 (right), where the delta between the preferences for zero/one-shot configurations and gold/fine-tuned ones is higher for neutral claims and it decreases as the emotional component increases. Nevertheless, on average, zero and one-shot configurations remain the most

		emo	tion			effectiveness					
all data	35.2	47.2	53.7	63.9	neutral	65.3	68.1	27.8 38.	38.9	1 100	
10	zero-shot	one-shot	fine-tuned	gold	neu	03.5	00.1	27.0	30.9	-80	
		informa	tiveness								
all data	83,3	71.3	25.9	19.4	SMP	51.4	56.9	37.5	54.2	-60	
	zero-shot	one-shot	fine-tuned	gold							
	effectiveness				ional	50.0	56.9	44.4	48.6	-20	
all data	55.6	60.6	36.6	47.2	emotik	30.0	3013		40.0	L.	
~	zero-shot	one-shot	fine-tuned	gold		zero-shot	one-shot	fine-tuned	gold	-	

Figure 2: Human evaluation results: Percentages of preference for the four generation setups across the datasets.

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

preferred. This result could stem from FullFact's verdicts' intended use alongside articles, not as standalone social corrections, and consequently aren't completely self-contained in grounding, with the full context available on the article page. ¹⁸ A fine-grained analysis of annotator agreement across the three aspects revealed a high κ score for informativeness (0.8), and moderately strong scores for the more subjective dimensions of emotional coverage and effectiveness (both at 0.6).

6 Conclusions

The fight against misinformation has become increasingly demanding, amplified by the vast reach of popular social media. With this work, we provided a comprehensive overview of how RAGbased approaches can effectively be employed for the automatic generation of verdicts when addressing realistic fact-checking scenarios. We thus present an extensive experimental analysis which explores different approaches at each stage of these pipelines, accounting for diverse computational constraints relevant to researchers, practitioners, and fact-checking organizations.

Our results show that LLM-based retrievers consistently demonstrate superior adaptability and performance in retrieval tasks, outperforming other methods. However, they face challenges when dealing with heterogeneous knowledge bases, where performance declines. Hybrid retrieval approaches offer a cost-effective alternative, while dense retrievers remain robust to stylistic variations. Notably, incorporating fact extraction modules enhances retrieval effectiveness across setups; thus, query preprocessing is particularly beneficial for addressing more complex claims. Although claim complexity reduces generation accuracy, larger models achieve greater faithfulness to context and alignment with gold-standard verdicts. Human evaluations also favour zero-shot and one-shot approaches, particularly for their informativeness.

evaluators are proficient in English, experts in NLP, and knowledgeable about social media platforms' communication styles and dynamics, particularly in the context of misinformation.

¹⁸Further details are provided in Appendix F.

627

632

634

639

643

644

645

647

656

657

662

7 Limitations

The data employed are limited to the English language only. However, we believe that the proposed
RAG-based pipeline can still be adapted to a multilingual setting by adopting appropriate/multilingual retrievers and LLMs.

Moreover, the datasets employed in the study are closely associated with FullFact topic domain (and style) and are confined to the SMP/emotional communication style adopted in VerMouth dataset. However, to the best of our knowledge, Full-Fact and VerMouth are the sole available aligned datasets that allow a thorough examination of the impact of the claim's communication style on a RAG-based verdict production task. Nonetheless, we are confident that the single modules employed in our work can be easily adapted to novel domains or SMP communication styles.

Prompt engineering in this study followed an iterative process, adapting existing prompts from prior RAG-based applications to fit the specific requirements of our task-for instance, aligning with the emotional framing of claims as proposed by Russo et al. (2023). However, we did not perform an exhaustive exploration of alternative prompt designs. This decision was driven by the absence of established metrics for evaluating prompt quality in this context and the high cost associated with conducting extensive human evaluations. Importantly, our primary objective was not to achieve state-of-the-art performance in RAG-based verdict generation, but rather to surface key challenges and identify effective strategies across a range of realistic configurations.

In this work, we focus on utilizing the extra evidence provided by fact-checking articles as a proxy for using the web as a knowledge base. This approach allows us to bypass the inherently complex challenges of determining source relevance and reliability, issues beyond the scope of this paper. Consequently, we strike a balance between leveraging external information and maintaining manageable complexity. Furthermore, our experiments reveal that even this constrained setting presents significant challenges. Therefore, we argue that mastering the use of extra evidence in this context is a crucial first step before moving towards more sophisticated and resource-intensive methods.

8 Ethical Statement

Our work is motivated by the potential to improve the accuracy and efficiency of automated fact-checking systems. However, we acknowledge that the development of such technologies can potentially, as any human artefact, be exploited by malicious actors. In this case, the technological building blocks (e.g. the LLMs) can be tuned to accomplish goals opposite to ours (e.g. generate persuasive fake news). We argue that, while malicious actors would keep pursuing their goals regardless of the community efforts, our work provides a contribution to keep up to their pace and fostering advancements by relying exclusively on publicly available data and models, and by publicly releasing novel artefacts (e.g. the fine-tuned Llama2-13b checkpoints).

References

- Bill Adair, Chengkai Li, Jun Yang, and Cong Yu. 2017. Progress toward "the holy grail": The continued quest to automate fact-checking. In Computation+ Journalism Symposium, (September). Naser Ahmadi, Joohyung Lee, Paolo Papotti, and Mohammed Saeed. 2019. Explainable fact checking with probabilistic answer set programming. In Conference on Truth and Trust Online. Tariq Alhindi, Savvas Petridis, and Smaranda Muresan. 2018. Where is your evidence: Improving factchecking by justification modeling. In Proceedings of the First Workshop on Fact Extraction and VERification (FEVER), pages 85–90, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics. Pepa Atanasova. 2024. Generating fact checking explanations. In Accountable and Explainable Methods for Complex Reasoning over Text, pages 83-103. Springer. Pepa Atanasova, Jakob Grue Simonsen, Christina Lioma, and Isabelle Augenstein. 2020. Generating fact checking explanations. In *Proceedings of the 58th* Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 7352–7364, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics. Elias Bassani. 2022. ranx: A blazing-fast python library for ranking evaluation and comparison. In ECIR (2), volume 13186 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 259-264. Springer. Dorian Brown. 2020. Rank-BM25: A Collection of BM25 Algorithms in Python.
- Jonas Colliander. 2019. "this is fake news": Investigating the role of conformity to other users' views713when commenting on and spreading disinformation715

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

827

771

in social media. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 97:202–215.

716

718

719

721

723

725

726

727

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

740

741

742

743

745

747

748

749

750

751

752

754

755

759

763

764

765

770

- Tim Dettmers, Artidoro Pagnoni, Ari Holtzman, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2023. Qlora: Efficient finetuning of quantized llms. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 36, pages 10088–10115. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Paul Ekman. 1992. An argument for basic emotions. Cognition & Emotion, 6:169–200.
- Ahmed Elgohary, Denis Peskov, and Jordan Boyd-Graber. 2019. Can you unpack that? learning to rewrite questions-in-context. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP), pages 5918–5924, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
 - Mohamed H. Gad-Elrab, Daria Stepanova, Jacopo Urbani, and Gerhard Weikum. 2019. Exfakt: A framework for explaining facts over knowledge graphs and text. In *Proceedings of the Twelfth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, WSDM '19, page 87–95, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
 - Yigal Godler and Zvi Reich. 2017. Journalistic evidence: Cross-verification as a constituent of mediated knowledge. *Journalism*, 18(5):558–574.
- Zhijiang Guo, Michael Schlichtkrull, and Andreas Vlachos. 2022. A survey on automated fact-checking. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 10:178–206.
- Bing He, Mustaque Ahamad, and Srijan Kumar. 2023. Reinforcement learning-based countermisinformation response generation: A case study of covid-19 vaccine misinformation. In *Proceedings* of the ACM Web Conference 2023, WWW '23, page 2698–2709, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Gautier Izacard, Mathilde Caron, Lucas Hosseini, Sebastian Riedel, Piotr Bojanowski, Armand Joulin, and Edouard Grave. 2021. Unsupervised dense information retrieval with contrastive learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.09118*.
- Albert Q Jiang, Alexandre Sablayrolles, Arthur Mensch, Chris Bamford, Devendra Singh Chaplot, Diego de las Casas, Florian Bressand, Gianna Lengyel, Guillaume Lample, Lucile Saulnier, et al. 2023. Mistral 7b. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.06825.
- Neema Kotonya and Francesca Toni. 2020a. Explainable automated fact-checking: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.03870*.
- Neema Kotonya and Francesca Toni. 2020b. Explainable automated fact-checking for public health claims. In Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP),

pages 7740–7754, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Philippe Laban, Tobias Schnabel, Paul N. Bennett, and Marti A. Hearst. 2022. SummaC: Re-visiting NLIbased models for inconsistency detection in summarization. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 10:163–177.
- David M. J. Lazer, Matthew A. Baum, Yochai Benkler, Adam J. Berinsky, Kelly M. Greenhill, Filippo Menczer, Miriam J. Metzger, Brendan Nyhan, Gordon Pennycook, David Rothschild, Michael Schudson, Steven A. Sloman, Cass R. Sunstein, Emily A. Thorson, Duncan J. Watts, and Jonathan L. Zittrain. 2018. The science of fake news. *Science*, 359(6380):1094–1096.
- Justin Matthew Wren Lewis, Andy Williams, Robert Arthur Franklin, James Thomas, and Nicholas Alexander Mosdell. 2008. The quality and independence of british journalism.
- Patrick Lewis, Ethan Perez, Aleksandra Piktus, Fabio Petroni, Vladimir Karpukhin, Naman Goyal, Heinrich Küttler, Mike Lewis, Wen-tau Yih, Tim Rocktäschel, Sebastian Riedel, and Douwe Kiela. 2020. Retrieval-augmented generation for knowledgeintensive nlp tasks. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 33, pages 9459– 9474. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Hao Liao, Jiahao Peng, Zhanyi Huang, Wei Zhang, Guanghua Li, Kai Shu, and Xing Xie. 2023. Muser: A multi-step evidence retrieval enhancement framework for fake news detection. In *Proceedings of the* 29th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD '23, page 4461–4472, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Chin-Yew Lin. 2004. ROUGE: A package for automatic evaluation of summaries. In *Text Summarization Branches Out*, pages 74–81, Barcelona, Spain. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Sheng-Chieh Lin, Akari Asai, Minghan Li, Barlas Oguz, Jimmy Lin, Yashar Mehdad, Wen-tau Yih, and Xilun Chen. 2023. How to train your dragon: Diverse augmentation towards generalizable dense retrieval. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pages 6385–6400, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Jerry Liu. 2022. LlamaIndex.

- Yi-Ju Lu and Cheng-Te Li. 2020. GCAN: Graph-aware co-attention networks for explainable fake news detection on social media. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 505–514, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Romain Paulus, Caiming Xiong, and Richard Socher. 2018. A deep reinforced model for abstractive summarization. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.

- 913 914 915 916 917 918 919 920
- 921 922 923
- 930
- 924 925 926 927 928
- 929

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

889 890 891

884

885

- Kashyap Popat, Subhabrata Mukherjee, Andrew Yates, and Gerhard Weikum. 2018. Declare: Debunking fake news and false claims using evidence-aware deep learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.06416. pages 18–22.
- Stephen E Robertson, Steve Walker, Susan Jones, Micheline M Hancock-Beaulieu, Mike Gatford, et al. 1995. Okapi at trec-3. Nist Special Publication Sp, 109:109.

829

832

833

839

840

841

845

847

851

857

858

864

865

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

879

- Daniel Russo, Shane Kaszefski-Yaschuk, Jacopo Staiano, and Marco Guerini. 2023a. Countering misinformation via emotional response generation. In Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 11476-11492, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Daniel Russo, Serra Sinem Tekiroğlu, and Marco Guerini. 2023b. Benchmarking the generation of fact checking explanations. Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics, 11:1250–1264.
- Ananya B. Sai, Akash Kumar Mohankumar, and Mitesh M. Khapra. 2022. A survey of evaluation metrics used for nlg systems. ACM Comput. Surv., 55(2).
- Thomas Scialom, Sylvain Lamprier, Benjamin Piwowarski, and Jacopo Staiano. 2019. Answers unite! unsupervised metrics for reinforced summarization models. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP), pages 3246-3256.
- Kai Shu, Limeng Cui, Suhang Wang, Dongwon Lee, and Huan Liu. 2019. defend: Explainable fake news detection. In Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining, KDD '19, page 395-405, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Irene Solaiman, Miles Brundage, Jack Clark, Amanda Askell, Ariel Herbert-Voss, Jeff Wu, Alec Radford, and Jasmine Wang. 2019. Release strategies and the social impacts of language models.
- Dominik Stammbach and Elliott Ash. 2020. e-fever: Explanations and summaries forautomated fact checking. In Conference for Truth and Trust Online.
- Serra Sinem Tekiroğlu, Yi-Ling Chung, and Marco Guerini. 2020. Generating counter narratives against online hate speech: Data and strategies. In Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 1177-1190, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti Bhosale, et al. 2023. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288.

- Andreas Vlachos and Sebastian Riedel. 2014. Fact checking: Task definition and dataset construction. In Proceedings of the ACL 2014 workshop on language technologies and computational social science,
- Liang Wang, Nan Yang, Xiaolong Huang, Linjun Yang, Rangan Majumder, and Furu Wei. 2023. Improving text embeddings with large language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.00368.
- Patrick Wang, Rafael Angarita, and Ilaria Renna. 2018. Is this the era of misinformation yet: Combining social bots and fake news to deceive the masses. In Companion Proceedings of the The Web Conference 2018, WWW '18, page 1557-1561, Republic and Canton of Geneva, CHE. International World Wide Web Conferences Steering Committee.
- Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Rémi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, Joe Davison, Sam Shleifer, Patrick von Platen, Clara Ma, Yacine Jernite, Julien Plu, Canwen Xu, Teven Le Scao, Sylvain Gugger, Mariama Drame, Quentin Lhoest, and Alexander M. Rush. 2020. Huggingface's transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing.
- Lianwei Wu, Yuan Rao, Yongqiang Zhao, Hao Liang, and Ambreen Nazir. 2020. DTCA: Decision treebased co-attention networks for explainable claim verification. In Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 1024-1035, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shitao Xiao, Zheng Liu, Peitian Zhang, and Niklas Muennighoff. 2023. C-pack: Packaged resources to advance general chinese embedding.
- Peng Xu, Wei Ping, Xianchao Wu, Lawrence McAfee, Chen Zhu, Zihan Liu, Sandeep Subramanian, Evelina Bakhturina, Mohammad Shoeybi, and Bryan Catanzaro. 2023. Retrieval meets long context large language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.03025.
- Fan Yang, Shiva K. Pentyala, Sina Mohseni, Mengnan Du, Hao Yuan, Rhema Linder, Eric D. Ragan, Shuiwang Ji, and Xia (Ben) Hu. 2019. Xfake: Explainable fake news detector with visualizations. In The World Wide Web Conference, WWW '19, page 3600-3604, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Barry Menglong Yao, Aditya Shah, Lichao Sun, Jin-Hee Cho, and Lifu Huang. 2023. End-to-end multimodal fact-checking and explanation generation: A challenging dataset and models. In Proceedings of the 46th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval, SIGIR '23, page 2733–2743, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.

Fanghua Ye, Meng Fang, Shenghui Li, and Emine Yilmaz. 2023. Enhancing conversational search: Large language model-aided informative query rewriting. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pages 5985–6006, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.

939

942

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

959

- Weizhe Yuan, Graham Neubig, and Pengfei Liu. 2021. Bartscore: Evaluating generated text as text generation. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 34, pages 27263–27277. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Rowan Zellers, Ari Holtzman, Hannah Rashkin, Yonatan Bisk, Ali Farhadi, Franziska Roesner, and Yejin Choi. 2019. Defending against neural fake news. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32.
- Fengzhu Zeng and Wei Gao. 2024. JustiLM: Fewshot Justification Generation for Explainable Fact-Checking of Real-world Claims. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 12:334– 354.

A Dataset Details

In this work, we employed data from the FullFact (Russo et al., 2023b) and VerMouth (Russo et al., 2023a) datasets. The FullFact dataset consists of claim-article-verdict triplets extracted from the FullFact website¹⁹. The VerMouth dataset extends the FullFact dataset. Starting from FullFact's triplets, Russo et al. (2023a) leveraged an authorreviewer pipeline (Tekiroğlu et al., 2020) to rewrite the data according to social media platform style, either in a general manner or with an embedded emotional component. For more comprehensive details on the datasets, we encourage readers to refer to the original papers (Russo et al., 2023b,a). In Table 6, we detail the distribution of entries across the training, evaluation, and test sets for each dataset, namely FullFact (Russo et al., 2023b) and VerMouth (Russo et al., 2023a).

			Train	Eval	Test
	Fu	ıllFact	1470	184	174
		SMP	1470	184	174
VerMouth	emotions	anger disgust fear happiness sadness surprise	1265 1339 1440 1200 1404 1433	158 164 179 165 173 181	158 163 171 149 171 170

Table 6: FullFact and VerMouth data distribution.

B Fact Extraction Module

In order to remove noise from VerMouth's claims (Russo et al., 2023a), we prepend a fact extraction module before passing the claim to the retriever. To this end, we prompted Llama-2-13b and provided an example of the expected output (one-shot). Hereafter we report the prompt employed:

Extract from the following text the main fact. Remove possible opinions or emotional statement. Report results in the following format: FACT:[main fact]

Here there is an example: TEXT:"I just heard about the Covid-19 vaccines & sadly they don't seem to be very effective in preventing the virus. Really disappointing! # vaccineineffective #covid19vaccine" FACT:"The Covid-19 vaccines offer very little protection against the disease."

Now extract the main fact from the following text: TEXT:{claim}

¹⁹https://fullfact.org

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

To evaluate the performance of the fact extrac-1001 tion module, we randomly selected 70 instances, 1002 evenly distributed across the different claim types. 1003 An expert evaluator was provided with a list of 1004 claims from the VerMouth dataset along with the 1005 corresponding extracted facts generated by the 1006 Llama-2-13b model. The evaluator was then asked 1007 to assess whether the model had successfully iden-1008 tified and extracted the underlying fact. Results 1009 show that in only 3 cases (4%), the model failed 1010 to extract the fact. Notably, in these instances, the 1011 original claims framed the information as an opin-1012 ion rather than an objective fact. Consequently, the 1013 model reproduced the speaker's opinion instead of 1014 isolating the factual content. An example is pre-1015 sented below.

1017

1018

1020

1021

1023

1024

1025

1026

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1040

1042

1043 1044

1045

1046

1047

1048

"As a student, the thought of having more teachers than necessary disgusts me. It's not about quantity, it's about quality education. Let's invest in our teachers and give them the support they need to make a real difference in students' lives. #educationreform #qualityoverquantity"

"The speaker believes that investing in teachers and providing them with support is important for quality education."

C Extra Evidence Extraction Details

In Table 7, we present detailed information about the additional evidence extracted from FullFact fact-checking articles, used to approximate the realistic scenario in which a gold fact-checking article is not available or does not exist (yet). From the original FullFact fact-checking articles we removed links to social networks and the source URL of the claim. Indeed, the claims fact-checked by FullFact vary in nature, often originating from social media posts, images, videos, and sometimes misleading headlines. Consequently, the source of the claim might not always provide additional information beyond the claim itself that can be used for verification. Furthermore, even if the claim's source contains extra text, the information can potentially be misleading. Therefore, following our "reliability requirement" we filtered out the claim sources.

D Retrieval Experiments Details

D.1 Retrievers Details

For the LLM-Retrieval configuration, we employed e5-mistral-7b-instruct, making slight modifi-

	extra art	extra	words	sent	chunks
all	4093	4	970	38	69412
test	672	4	868	35	9983

Table 7: Statistics for all additional evidence extracted from FullFact fact-checking articles and the test set used in our experiments. We report the total number of extra evidence documents (*extra art*); the average number of extra documents per fact-checking article (*extra*); the average number of words (*words*) and sentences (*sent*); and the total number of chunks (*chunks*).

cations to the original prompt to better align it with 1049 our task requirements. The following prompt was 1050 employed: 1051 Instruct: Retrieve relevant documents to support 1052 or refute the given claim. 1053 Query: **D.2** Retrieval Results 1055 In Figure 3 we report hit_rate, Mean Reciprocal 1056 Rank (MRR), and Mean Average Precision (MAP) for the retrieval experiments. 1058 **Generation Experiments Details** Ε 1059 **E.1** Model's instruction 1060 Hereafter, the instruction employed for the zero-1061 shot setting. A similar instruction was modified 1062 by adding an example from the training sets in the 1063 one-shot configuration. Based on the provided context, respond to the 1065 claim, ensuring a thorough explanation. Use only 1066 the given context. Reply in no more than three 1067 sentences. Avoid mentioning the context in the 1068 reply. Match the communication style of the claim and address the possible emotional 1070 component present in it, if needed. If the 1071 1072 context is insufficient, state that you don't know. Format your response as follows: 1074 Reply: [your_reply] 1075 The context information is provided below (in 1077 between xml tags). 1078 1079 <context> 1080 1081 {context_str} </context> Claim: "{query_str}" Training Set Creation **E.2**

In order to fine-tune the LLM for the RAG-based 1088 verdict production task, three main elements are needed: a claim, a gold answer, and the context 1090



Figure 3: Retrieval results for each type of retriever (sparse, dense, LLM, hybrid) across Gold_KB_{art} and Gold_KB_{chunks} are presented for all claim styles, both with (SMP Facts, Emotional Facts) and without (neutral, SMP, emotional) claim pre-processing. The metrics reported include hit_rate and MRR for retrieval over Gold_KB_{art}, and hit_rate and MAP for Gold_KB_{chunks}, for increasing values of retrieved documents/chunks (k = 1, ..., 10).

comprising the knowledge needed to reply. In Full-Fact and VerMouth, the knowledge is present in the form of a fact-checking article. This comes in useful when the entire article is used as a context, but when working with chunks a proper selection of the most informative chunks must be performed. To this end, we started from the gold verdicts present in the two aforementioned datasets, and we ranked each article's chunks given the verdict information

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1099

using a cross-encoder reranking model, i.e. the BAAI/bge-reranker-large²⁰. Both for the articles and the chunk configurations, we add to the context of each training entry some negative examples, as in testing time the retrieved content might comprise articles or chunks that are not gold. To do so, we employed BM25 for retrieving 10 arti-

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

1105

²⁰https://hf.co/BAAI/bge-reranker-large



Figure 4: Complete results for the human evaluation. Each matrix refers to the results obtained for each verdict evaluation aspect. The matrices report how many times, in percentage, the human annotators preferred each of the four generation setups (gold, zero-shot, one-shot, fine-tuning).

cles/chunks for each gold verdict and selected the 1107 non-gold retrieved context. An example of training 1108 input is provided in Table 8. 1109

E.3 Fine-Tuning Details 1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

We fine-tuned the Llama-2-13b²¹ chat model on different subsamples of training data from the Full-Fact and VerMouth datasets. From the training dataset, created following the procedure explained in Section E.2, we randomly extracted 200 entries each from the FullFact and SMP datasets. For the emotional datasets, we sampled 35 entries for each emotion, totalling 210 training entries. An example of input is shown in Table 8.

All models were trained on a single Ampere A40 with 48GB memory using the QLoRA strategy (Dettmers et al., 2023), with a low-rank approximation set to 64, a low-rank adaptation set to 16, and a dropout rate of 0.1. Evaluation steps were set at 25, and the batch size was 4. All models were trained for 3 epochs with a learning rate of 10^{-4} .

E.4 Generation Results

In Table 9 we report the complete results for zeroshot and one-shot experiments using chunks and articles as information context.

E.5 Generation Examples

In Table 10 and 11 we show examples of generations with claims from both FullFact and VerMouth (anger emotion) datasets. Each table comprises the following information: the claim; the gold verdict; the generations with Llama-2-13b-chat model in zero-shot, one-shot, and fine-tuning settings; the relevant evidence retrieved (either chunks, Table 10, or articles, Table 11).

²¹https://hf.co/meta-llama/Llama-2-13b-chat-hf

F **Human Evaluation Details**

For the human evaluation of the generated verdict, 1141 we enrolled three volunteer evaluators. They were 1142 provided with pairs of verdicts (either gold or gener-1143 ated using zero-shot, one-shot, or fine-tuned mod-1144 els) and their corresponding claims. They were 1145 instructed to assess the best verdict based on three 1146 aspects: effectiveness, informativeness, and emo-1147 tional/empathetic coverage. Hereafter, we list the 1148 tasks/questions that evaluators were required to fol-1149 low when judging the verdicts pair. 1150

1140

1171

1172

Informativeness

Tell which of the two verdicts contains	1151
more information supporting its stance.	1152
Emotional Coverage	
Some of the claims can express a variety	1153
of emotions. Tell which of the two ver-	1154
dicts better takes into consideration the	1155
emotion of the claim by responding with	1156
empathy.	1157
Effectiveness	
Which of the two is an overall better ver-	1158
dict (with respect to the claim) that could	1159
be used to answer the claim?	1160
Given that the claims presented may cover sen-	1161
sitive subjects, we have incorporated a cautionary	1162
note in the task description: <i>"This task may contain</i>	1163
text that some readers find offensive.". Additionally,	1164
we briefed the evaluators on the study's objectives	1165
and assured them that all collected data would be	1166
anonymized and solely utilized for research pur-	1167
poses.	1168
In Figure 4 we report the full outcome of the hu-	1169
man evaluation showing the details of the selected	1170

I man evaluation, showing the details of the selected verdicts over the three datasets according to emotional coverage, informativeness and effectiveness. <s>[INST] <<SYS>> Based on the provided context, respond to the claim, ensuring a thorough explanation. Use only the given context. Reply in no more than three sentences. Avoid mentioning the context in the reply. Match the communication style of the claim and address the possible emotional component present in it, if needed. If the context is insufficient, state that you don't know. Format your response as follows:

Reply: [your_reply]

<</SYS>>

The context information is provided below (in between xml tags).

<context>

A meme shared on Facebook features actor John Krasinski in The Office with a whiteboard with edited text, which says: "3 countries refused the covid vaccine", followed by: "Now all 3 of their presidents have died unexpectedly". Beneath the image are the names of the former presidents of Haiti (Jovenel Moïse), Tanzania (John Magufuli) and Zambia (Kenneth Kaunda).

The president did not refuse the Covid-19 vaccines for Zambia. In fact, in March 2021, the Zambian health minister announced plans to vaccinate all over 18s in the country. Similar claims have been fact checked before.

This survey covers households in England and Wales and so does not cover groups (such as those living in student halls of residence), who have "potentially high proportions of drug use", meaning the true figure could be higher. Comparing England & Wales to other countries in Europe is difficult because not all countries have up to date data.

It's correct that cocaine use among 16 to 24 years olds in England and Wales is at its highest level for around a decade. In 2017/18 6% said they had used at least once in the previous year. The claim referred to Britain, but used data covering only England & Wales. We're focusing on England & Wales as data for Scotland and Wales are not available for the most recent year.

There is no evidence to suggest that the death was related to Mr Magufuli's stance on the Covid-19 vaccines. There has been some speculation from Tanzanian opposition leaders, and on social media, that Mr Magufuli's death may have been caused by Covid-19, however this has been discredited. President Kaunda died of pneumonia at a military hospital in Lusaka in June 2021, age 97.

President Magufuli reportedly said that home treatments such as steam inhalation were preferable to "dangerous foreign vaccines", and in February 2021 the country's health minister said that Tanzania had no plans to accept Covid-19 vaccines. Mr Magufuli's successor, president Samia Suluhu Hassan announced that the president's death in March 2021 was due to heart disease.

Arrests have been made but there are still many unknown details about the assasination. There is no evidence to suggest that there is a link to the lack of progress made regarding Haiti's vaccine roll out.

President Moïse did not explicitly refuse all of the Covid-19 vaccines, but the country did initially refuse the AstraZeneca vaccine due to safety concerns. There have also been administrative and supply difficulties around the arrival of vaccinations to Haiti, and concerns about the logistics of organising the vaccine roll out. Mr Moïse was fatally shot at home on 7 July 2021.

The posts suggest that the death of these three men is linked to a refusal of the Covid-19 vaccines. There is no evidence to support this claim. Haiti is eligible for provision of Covid-19 vaccines through the Covax programme, but roll out has been slow, with Haiti yet to give any doses to residents, and only receiving its first vaccines this week.

The Royal College of Obstetricians & Gynaecologists (RCOG) says that there is "no evidence" to suggest that the Covid-19 vaccines affect fertility. Similar statements have been issued by the British Fertility Association, the NHS and Public Health England. The RCOG also states that there is no biologically plausible mechanism by which the vaccine would cause fertility problems.

</context>

Claim: "The presidents of Haiti, Tanzania, & Zambia all passed away suddenly & unexpectedly. Reports suggest it may be connected to their refusal to accept the Covid-19 vaccines for their countries. This is a tragic loss for the world & for the people of those countries. #vaccinesaveslives #RIP" [/INST]

Reply: "I'm sorry to hear about the recent passing of the presidents of Haiti, Tanzania, and Zambia. However, it's important to clarify that the president of Zambia did not refuse vaccines and passed away from pneumonia at a ripe old age, while the president of Tanzania passed away from a heart condition. The assassination of the president of Haiti is a tragic event, but there is no evidence linking it to vaccine refusal. Let's honor their legacies and focus on ways to prevent further loss of life."

Table 8: Training example for Llama-2-13b model. The positive passages are highlighted in green, while negative in red.

			Articles				Chunks		
				Zero-sh	ot				
model	dataset	ROUGE-LSum	BARTScore	SummaC	GoldSim	ROUGE-LSum	BARTScore	SummaC	GoldSin
	fullfact	0,216	-1,948	0,381	-3,101	0,202	-1,992	0,361	-3,112
mistral-7b-v0.1	SMP	0,185	-2,153	0,333	-2,990	0,190	-2,207	0,351	-3,060
	emotional	0,174	-2,389	0,322	-2,953	0,188	-2,411	0,327	-2,990
	fullfact	0,130	-2,315	0,331	-3,084	0,144	-2,251	0,334	-3,101
mistral-7b-v0.2	SMP	0,140	-2,516	0,327	-3,144	0,145	-2,453	0,335	-3,078
	emotional	0,131	-2,803	0,312	-3,134	0,145	-2,789	0,317	-3,143
	fullfact	0,050	-3,143	0,310	-3,516	0,035	-3,439	0,319	-3,648
llama3-8b	SMP	0,047	-3,330	0,295	-3,644	0,032	-3,461	0,328	-3,715
	emotional	0,054	-3,142	0,282	-3,466	0,041	-3,217	0,305	-3,575
	fullfact	0,168	-1,979	0,330	-2,914	0,181	-1,988	0,330	-2,932
llama2-7b	SMP	0,160	-2,118	0,321	-2,861	0,195	-2,022	0,330	-2,898
	emotional	0,159	-2,253	0,311	-2,755	0,197	-2,171	0,318	-2,781
	fullfact	0,176	-1,714	0,353	-2,787	0,195	-1,718	0,355	-2,811
llama2-13b	SMP	0,169	-1,849	0,331	-2,714	0,186	-1,879	0,352	-2,752
	emotional	0,156	-2,118	0,323	-2,691	0,183	-2,058	0,338	-2,754
				One-sho	ot				
	fullfact	0,173	-2,186	0,336	-3,073	0,180	-2,224	0,341	-3,166
mistral-7b-v0.1	SMP	0,160	-2,369	0,339	-3,043	0,161	-2,523	0,325	-3,018
	emotional	0,145	-2,586	0,307	-2,947	0,162	-2,664	0,302	-3,012
	fullfact	0,134	-2,347	0,321	-3,177	0,136	-2,393	0,320	-3,206
mistral-7b-v0.2	SMP	0,123	-2,630	0,301	-3,160	0,130	-2,623	0,304	-3,117
	emotional	0,116	-2,865	0,318	-3,138	0,125	-2,871	0,321	-3,148
	fullfact	0,133	-1,960	0,351	-3,003	0,135	-1,905	0,344	-2,988
llama3-8b	SMP	0,138	-2,322	0,323	-3,011	0,137	-2,377	0,321	-3,013
	emotional	0,131	-2,469	0,328	-2,927	0,134	-2,485	0,334	-2,902
	fullfact	0,171	-2,091	0,327	-2,977	0,197	-2,016	0,325	-2,889
llama2-7b	SMP	0,158	-2,408	0,305	-2,922	0,181	-2,467	0,304	-2,911
	emotional	0,147	-2,561	0,317	-2,875	0,191	-2,549	0,306	-2,869
	fullfact	0,185	-2,057	0,322	-2,942	0,199	-1,992	0,309	-2,840
llama2-13b	SMP	0,154	-2,355	0,314	-2,920	0,172	-2,235	0,316	-2,803
	emotional	0,139	-2,523	0,314	-2,839	0,152	-2,441	0,303	-2,737

Table 9: Complete results for each model tested on the three datasets in zero-shot and one-shot settings. Results for both chunks and article configurations are reported.

CLAIM: Research says that nearly half of people who catch Covid develop depression afterwards.

GOLD VERDICT: This is not what the research says. It measured rates of depression among Covid survivors—but not whether they developed depression after catching the disease.

zero-shot	one-shot	fine-tuning
The claim is partially accurate but mis- leading. The study in question found that 48% of participants experienced moderate or severe depression, but it did not establish a causal link between Covid-19 and depression. The study also did not compare depression rates among those who had Covid with those who had not, nor did it consider the nor- mal background rate of depression in Bangladesh. Additionally, the study did not find that Covid-19 directly causes depression. Instead, it suggests that people suffering from depression may be more likely to experience long- term symptoms after recovering from Covid-19.	The claim is misleading and not en- tirely accurate. The research in ques- tion found that nearly half of the par- ticipants reported experiencing depres- sion "alongside" Covid, but it does not necessarily imply that Covid caused the depression. The study did not compare the prevalence of depression among those who caught Covid to those who did not, nor did it account for the normal background rate of de- pression in Bangladesh. Additionally, the study did not find any causal link between Covid and depression. The researchers themselves noted that the findings may not reflect the impact of Covid-19, especially for depression, which may have developed prior to the pandemic. It is important to be cau- tious when interpreting the results of scientific studies and not draw conclu- sions that go beyond the evidence.	The study found that 48% of people who had Covid had symptoms of mod- erate or severe depression. But the re- searchers themselves say that this does not necessarily reflect the impact of Covid, and that it may have developed before the pandemic.

1 - The study in question explicitly said that its findings didn't necessarily reflect the impact of Covid-19.The newspaper said: "Nearly half of people struck down with Covid-19 also develop depression, according to new research."The research in question surveyed the mental health of 1,002 people in Bangladesh who had tested positive for Covid at some point in the past.

2 - These people were a self-selected sample, meaning they heard about the survey and wanted to take part, so they might not be representative of people as a whole. The study found that about 48% had moderate or severe depression. However, it does not tell us that they "go on" to develop depression as a result of catching Covid, or even that they developed it after catching Covid.

3 - One of the study authors says it describes people suffering from depression "alongside" Covid. The researchers themselves say in the paper: "The findings may not reflect the impact of COVID-19, especially for depression, which may have developed prior to the COVID-19 pandemic."There is evidence that rates of depression have increased in Great Britain and elsewhere during the pandemic.

4 - The Telegraph has muddled up the meaning of a scientific study on depression among people who have had Covid-19.

5 - The research doesn't compare its findings with depression rates among these people before they got the disease, nor with people who hadn't been infected at all, nor with the normal background rate of depression in Bangladesh (which may have risen during the pandemic). A press release from Anglia Ruskin University, which worked on the research, does not say that Covid was found to cause depression.

6 - There is other evidence that people may be at higher risk of developing mental health problems after recovering from Covid. The extent of this and why it might happen are not yet fully understood, however.

7 - 26% had symptoms of moderate or severe levels of depression with no real change across the first six weeks, which may be what the "one in four" claim was meant to refer to. Feelings of defeat and entrapment decreased over time, while positive wellbeing increased. Although the findings of the study were not as dramatic as claimed in the video, researchers said there was cause for concern.

8 - So Mr Hancock was right to say that one in 20 people would have long-term symptoms after a Covid infection—if you define "long-term" as more than eight weeks. However, he was wrong to compare this to the one-in-10 figure for people under 50, because this describes those who suffered from symptoms that lasted more than four weeks—rather than eight. As the research paper explains,

9 - Mr Hancock was also wrong to say that there was "no correlation" between the severity of the initial illness and the length of the symptoms afterwards. The research paper says people with long Covid were "more likely to have required hospital assessment in the acute period." Overall, 13.9% of the confirmed cases in the study had visited hospital. However, among those reporting symptoms for at least four weeks this rose to 31.5%,

10 - and among those reporting symptoms for at least eight weeks it was 43.9%. The app data also suggested that "Individuals reporting more than 5 symptoms in the first week (the median number reported) were significantly more likely to go on to experience LC28 symptoms lasting at least four weeks." In short, more severe cases of Covid seemed more likely to result in long Covid afterwards.

Table 10: Example of generation using FullFact claim, e5-mistral as a retriever and Llama-2-13b-chat for the generation of the verdict. In green are highlighted the gold chunks retrieved.

CLAIM: I'm fuming over the fact that the worst violent and sexual criminals are let off the hook halfway through their sentence. How is this justice? I feel outraged and betrayed by the justice system." #JusticeForVictims

GOLD VERDICT: I understand your frustration and anger towards the justice system. It is important to note that judges in England and Wales can already choose to keep dangerous offenders in prison for up to two thirds of their sentence. I hope this information provides some clarity. #JusticeForVictims

one-shot

fine-tuning

It's understandable that you feel outraged and betrayed by the justice system, but it's important to note that the claim that the worst violent and sexual criminals are let off the hook halfway through their sentence is not entirely accurate. While it is true that some criminals are eligible for release halfway through their sentence, this is not automatic and is subject to the discretion of the judge. Additionally, judges already have the power to keep serious criminals in prison for longer than the normal halfway point, and they consider a variety of factors when determining the appropriate sentence. It's also worth noting that the proposed policy change by the Conservative party would not necessarily lead to longer sentences for all criminals, as judges may continue to sentence in the same way as they currently do. It's important to ensure that any changes to the justice system are fair, just, and proportionate, and that the needs of victims and the community are taken into account.

zero-shot

I understand your frustration, but it's important to note that the current sentencing procedure already allows for judges to keep serious criminals in prison for longer periods of time. The proposed change to mandatory twothirds sentences would not necessarily lead to more time being served, as judges would still have discretion to sentence criminals based on the circumstances of the case. Additionally, the proposal only applies to the most serious violent and sexual offenders, and it's important to remember that the justice system is designed to balance punishment with rehabilitation and public protection. Let's focus on ensuring that victims receive the support and justice they deserve, while also ensuring that the system is fair and effective for all parties involved.

Thank you for sharing your concerns. It's important to note that the justice system is designed to provide a balance between punishment and rehabilitation. While it's understandable to feel outraged when it comes to serious crimes, it's important to remember that the current sentencing system already allows for judges to keep serious criminals in prison for longer periods of time if they deem it necessary. Additionally, the government has recently announced plans to make it mandatory for the most serious violent and sexual offenders to serve two-thirds of their sentence in prison, which may address your concerns. However, it's important to remember that the justice system is complex and there are many factor

At the Conservative party conference last week, justice secretary Robert Buckland pledged to make it mandatory for the most serious violent and sexual offenders to serve two thirds of their sentence in prison (and not half the sentence as is normal). The Ministry of Justice told us this would apply to "the most serious sexual and violent offenders where the offence carries a maximum life sentence." However, to suggest that the most serious criminals are automatically released from prison halfway through their sentences obscures the fact that judges already have discretion to keep serious criminals in prison for longer. There are various sentences a judge can hand down and in most cases sentences are non-custodial (where no time is served in prison). By far the most common sentence for crimes in England and Wales is a fine, but what's of interest here are sentences which carry mandatory prison time. Typically in these cases a criminal will be given a standard determinate sentence. This usually requires them to spend half of their sentence in prison and the other half on license in the community, supervised by the probation service. For example, a standard two year sentence would involve one year in prison and one year on license. Being on licence means you can be recalled to prison if you breach the terms of your licence. As well as standard sentences, judges in England and Wales can hand down what are called 'extended determinate sentences' to criminals who commit any of over 100 serious offences. The judge can make this decision if: These offenders are either entitled to be released two thirds of the way through their sentence, or can apply for parole at that point. Life sentences work slightly differently. With a life sentence a criminal is required to spend a minimum time in prison and is then able to apply for parole. If they are released, they remain on license for the rest of their life. Compared to existing extended sentences, the Conservatives' proposal appears to apply to criminals who commit a slightly different group of offences (those that carry a maximum of life rather than this list of serious offences). There is also apparently no requirement for a judge to determine if a criminal poses a risk to the public when giving this new kind of sentence. While the current sentencing procedure does not dramatically change the ability to put serious criminals in prison for two thirds of their term, it would, in practice, significantly increase the number of criminals receiving two thirds sentences. That's because judges rarely hand down extended sentences. The Ministry of Justice says that in 2018 there were around 4,000 standard sentences with halfway release handed down to criminals who committed sexual or violent offences which carry the maximum penalty of life. By comparison, in 2018 judges in England and Wales handed down 398 extended sentences. There is an open question over whether the policy would in fact lead to serious criminals spending more time in prison, because it's possible that judges could change how they currently sentence. [...]

Table 11: Example of generation using VerMouth anger claim, e5-mistral as a retriever and Llama-2-13b-chat for the generation of the verdict. The article has been cut for space reasons. The complete article's text can be found at https://fullfact.org/crime/extended-sentences/