54

58

HINER: Neural Representation for Hyperspectral Image

Anonymous Authors

ABSTRACT

As a prevalent scientific data format with extensive applications, the efficient compression of hyperspectral images (HSI) and ensuring high-quality downstream tasks have garnered significant attention. This paper introduces HINER, a novel approach for compressing HSI using Neural Representation. HINER fully exploits inter-spectral correlations by explicitly encoding of spectral wavelengths and achieves a compact representation of the input HSI sample through joint optimization with a learnable decoder. By additionally incorporating the Content Angle Mapper with the L1 loss, we can supervise the global and local information within each spectral band, thereby enhancing the overall reconstruction quality. For downstream classification on compressed HSI, we theoretically demonstrate the task accuracy is not only related to the classification loss but also to the reconstruction fidelity through a first-order expansion of the accuracy degradation, and accordingly adapt the reconstruction by introducing Adaptive Spectral Weighting. Owing to the inherent capability of HINER to implicitly reconstruct spectral bands using input wavelengths, it can generate arbitrary continuous spectra, even those absent in the original input. Consequently, we propose utilizing Implicit Spectral Interpolation for data augmentation during classification model training, thereby improving overall task accuracy on compressed data. Experimental results on various HSI datasets demonstrate the superior compression performance of our HINER compared to the existing learned methods and also the traditional codecs. Our model is lightweight and computationally efficient, which maintains high accuracy for downstream classification task even on decoded HSIs at high compression ratios.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Computing methodologies → Image compression; Hyperspectral imaging; Image representations.

KEYWORDS

Hyperspectral image compression, implicit neural representation, spectral embedding, classification on compressed HSI

1 INTRODUCTION

The hyperspectral image (HSI) uses tens of spectral bands across a wide range of electromagnetic wavelengths at each pixel position to capture the physical scene [\[1\]](#page-8-0), by which it promises exceptional capabilities for tasks like object detection, material inspection, and

51 52 53 scene analysis for applications in agriculture [\[2\]](#page-8-1), aerospace industry [\[3\]](#page-8-2), remote sensing [\[4\]](#page-8-3), etc. However, compared with the threechannel RGB image, orders of magnitude more spectral channels in each HSI sample present practical challenges for storage and transmission, largely impeding its use in various applications. As a result, efficient lossy HSI compression is highly desired.

In addition to traditional rules-based HSI compression methods using transform [\[5\]](#page-8-4) or linear prediction [\[6\]](#page-8-5), over the past few years, there has been a growing interest in leveraging deep learning techniques for HSI compression [\[7–](#page-8-6)[11\]](#page-8-7). Through the powerful modeling capabilities of neural networks, learned HSI compression has demonstrated noticeable compression efficiency improvement. As one of them, implicit neural representations (INRs) have gained increasing popularity for representing natural signals with intricate characteristics. The fundamental concept behind INR is to represent a signal as a tailored neural network, thus, the compression of the input signal is translated into the compression of the neural model itself. Such INR methods significantly diminish the requisite for extensive training data given the high cost of acquiring large-volume HSIs [\[12\]](#page-8-8), and also streamline the decoding process. Zhang et al. [\[12\]](#page-8-8) and Rezasoltani et al. [\[13,](#page-8-9) [14\]](#page-8-10) have pioneered the exploration of neural representation for HSI compression. Both of them directly adopt the architecture of SIREN [\[15\]](#page-8-11), which employs a cascade of Multi-Layer Perceptions (MLP) with periodic activation functions, for pixel-by-pixel compression of the input HSIs. However, such a pixel-wise approach is built upon the assumption of spatial redundancy and represents HSIs through spatial position embedding, which disregards the strong correlation across spectral bands, leading to performance limitations. Even worse, signal distortion induced by those lossy compression methods notably deteriorates the accuracy of the downstream task (e.g., classification), which makes them extremely difficult to promote in applications.

A practical HSI compression solution pursues 1) high-efficiency R-D performance and lightweight decoding complexity and 2) a negligible accuracy drop using decoded HSI for the downstream task. In principle, each HSI collects a sequence of "frames" (spectral bands) at serial wavelengths, analogous to a video containing a sequence of frames at serial timestamps, which, however, differ fundamentally in inter-frame (spectral) correlations. Intuitively, the frame difference in a video mainly owes to the temporal motion, assuming consistent pixel intensity of objects across all timestamps. In contrast, such a "frame" difference in an HSI is due to reflectance variation at each pixel across spectral bands, typically assuming stationary scenes without temporal motion (see Fig. [1](#page-1-0) and Fig. [3\)](#page-3-0). Consequently, how to efficiently exploit correlations within and across spectral bands is crucial for improving compression performance and also benefiting downstream task on compressed HSI.

To this end, we propose HINER, a novel spectral-wise neural representation for HSI. The proposed HINER employs a positional encoding followed by an MLP to embed the spectral wavelengths of the input HSI sample explicitly. Such an explicit embedding is capable of effectively characterizing and exploiting cross-band

Unpublished working draft. Not for distribution. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

⁵⁵

⁵⁶

⁵⁷

ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia Anonymous Authors

(d) 17-th band (e) 18-th band (f) diff. (reflectance)

Figure 1: Exemplified differences of the video frames (up, dog) and HSI bands (bottom, lion). Temporal motion leads to the difference of video frames while the difference of HSI bands owes to reflectance variation without motion.

correlation, which is then fed into a learnable neural decoder to generate the corresponding decoded HSI. The pursuit of compression is achieved through collaboratively optimizing the encoderdecoder pair to generate more compact representations of spectral embedding and quantized decoder. Furthermore, we also propose to combine the Content Angle Mapper (CAM) measuring angle similarity between the reconstructed spectral band and its original counterpart and pixel-wise L1 loss, which contribute to maintaining global and local fidelity in signal reconstruction jointly.

Simultaneously, the impairment of downstream task performance on lossy compressed HSIs is a practical challenge [\[7\]](#page-8-6). Intuitively, the lossy compression may disrupt both the structural information and spectral continuity inherent in HSIs, which, without additional processing, will inevitably lead to accuracy degradation when optimized for vision tasks such as classification. To address this issue, we first employ a first-order Taylor expansion on the task accuracy degradation caused by the lossy compression, theoretically establishing an intrinsic connection between task accuracy and reconstruction fidelity. By introducing the Adaptive Spectral Weighting (ASW) network on the reconstructed HSIs with both task and reconstruction loss, the accuracy of downstream classification is greatly improved. Furthermore, owing to our INR-based compression approach, the monotonic mapping relationship between wavelengths and spectral bands enables the generation of arbitrary spectral bands, even those not present in the original HSI. Building upon this capability, we propose Implicit Spectral Interpolation (ISI) as a data augmentation technique for training classification model on compressed HSIs, resulting in significant enhancement in overall accuracy.

The main contributions of this paper are as follows:

(1) We propose HINER, a neural representation designed specifically for HSI. By introducing explicit encoding of wavelengths and global CAM loss, HINER effectively exploits spectral redundancy in HSI samples.

- (2) We enhance the performance of downstream classification on lossy HSIs from two perspectives: adjusting the reconstruction to adapt to classification task through ASW, and improving the generalization of classification model with augmented data through ISI.
- (3) Experimental results demonstrate the superior compression efficiency and comparable computational complexity of our proposed HINER compared to existing neural representation methods. Furthermore, there is a notable improvement in task accuracy when deploying classification on decoded HSIs at high compression ratios.

2 RELATED WORK

2.1 Implicit Neural Representation

Implicit Neural Representations (INRs) have gained widespread interest for its remarkable capability in representing diverse multimedia signals, including images [\[16,](#page-8-12) [17\]](#page-8-13), videos [\[18–](#page-8-14)[20\]](#page-8-15), and neural radiance fields [\[21,](#page-8-16) [22\]](#page-8-17). Among them, NeRV [\[18\]](#page-8-14) proposed the first frame-wise INR for video, which took frame indices as inputs to generate corresponding RGB frames through content-agnostic position encoding and a learnable decoder. Compared to previous pixel-wise INR methods (e.g., SIREN [\[15\]](#page-8-11), Coin [\[23\]](#page-8-18)), NeRV achieved better reconstruction quality while ensuring faster decoding. However, NeRV fully relied on the implicit learned decoder to characterize the input content and completely ignored the video content dynamics across frames. The subsequent HNeRV [\[24\]](#page-8-19) proposed to explicitly embed frame content instead of index, leading to better reconstruction and faster model convergence for video sequence. Some recent works also attempted to capture temporal correlation by frame difference [\[25\]](#page-8-20), optical flows [\[19\]](#page-8-21), etc. On the other hand, INR has also attracted practitioners in HSI, including super resolution [\[26\]](#page-8-22), reconstruction [\[27\]](#page-8-23), fusion [\[28,](#page-8-24) [29\]](#page-8-25), and compression [\[12–](#page-8-8)[14\]](#page-8-10), showing remarkable potential in practical applications.

2.2 HSI Compression

HSI compression [\[30](#page-8-26)[–34\]](#page-8-27) commonly employs transform coding [\[35\]](#page-8-28) to convert HSI in the pixel domain to a latent space (e.g., frequency domain). Prominent transforms like Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT) [\[36\]](#page-8-29) and Wavelet [\[37\]](#page-8-30) utilized linear transformations that were generally comprised of a set of linear and orthogonal bases. However, such a linear transformation with fixed bases might not fully exploit the redundancy because the content of the underlying image block was non-stationary and did not strictly adhere to the Gaussian distribution assumption [\[38\]](#page-8-31). Chakrabart et al. [\[39\]](#page-8-32) and Guo et al. [\[11\]](#page-8-7) have demonstrated that real-world HSIs exhibited greater kurtosis and heavier tails than assumed Gaussian distribution, indicating a non-Gaussian nature of the HSI source. Therefore, devising transform to better exploit non-stationary content distribution is attracting intensive attention.

Over the past few years, learning-based HSI compression methods [\[9,](#page-8-33) [10,](#page-8-34) [40\]](#page-8-35) have witnessed rapid growth. Dua et al. [\[9\]](#page-8-33) and La et al. [\[10\]](#page-8-34) firstly introduced Auto-Encoder (AE) for lossy HSI compression. Subsequently, Variational Auto-Encoders (VAEs) incorporating variational Bayesian theory, turned to represent latent features of input HSIs from a probabilistic perspective. Building

172 173 174

Figure 2: The pipeline of our proposed HINER, the neural representation dedicated to compressing HSI, which also benefits downstream classification task on compressed HSI samples.

upon the VAE architecture, Guo et al. [\[11\]](#page-8-7) repurposed the hyperprior model [\[41\]](#page-8-36) to compress HSI, where the student's T distribution [\[42\]](#page-8-37) was used to replace the original Gaussian distribution, aligning more closely with the actual distribution of HSIs. Recently, Guo et al. [\[7\]](#page-8-6) further introduced contrastive learning to preserve spectral attributes as much as possible for compression of HSI.

INR-based HSI compression. INRs provide a novel perspective on HSI compression by translating it into model compression. For instance, aforementioned Zhang et al. [\[12\]](#page-8-8) and Rezasoltani et al. [\[13,](#page-8-9) [14\]](#page-8-10) employed post-training quantization [\[43,](#page-8-38) [44\]](#page-8-39) to compress models. However, even 16-bit quantization still resulted in significant performance loss (sometimes exceeding 1dB), which also indicated quite limited model capability of pixel-wise INRs with fully MLP-based network architecture. Considering HSIs can be treated as sequences akin to videos, well-established INRs for video compression can also be applied in HSI compression (though sub-optimal, as will be discussed in Sec. [3.1\)](#page-2-0). Most video-based INRs follow a three-step compression pipeline: 1) pruning [\[45\]](#page-8-40) to reduce model size; 2) quantization to reduce parameter bit-width; 3) entropy coding to reduce parameter statistical redundancy. Through these operations, the model is significantly compressed only with slight performance decline, attributed to elaborate network architecture enhancing model capability. For example, our proposed HINER subtly incorporates convolution, upsampling, GELU, etc., allowing the use of lower quantization bit-width (e.g., 8-bit) with a negligible reconstruction loss.

2.3 HSI Classification

HSI classification, which assigns each spatial pixel to a specific class based on its spectral characteristics, is the most vibrant field of research in the hyperspectral community and has drawn widespread attention [\[46\]](#page-8-41). Extracting more discriminative features is recognized as a crucial procedure for HSI classification [\[47\]](#page-8-42), which achieves rapid advancements propelled by deep learning.

Many well-recognized networks have been widely and successfully applied in HSI classification task, including CNN [\[48](#page-8-43)[–52\]](#page-9-0), AE [\[53\]](#page-9-1), recurrent neural network (RNN) [\[54\]](#page-9-2), graph convolutional network (GCN) [\[55\]](#page-9-3). Recently, transformer-based classification methods [\[56](#page-9-4)[–58\]](#page-9-5) show noticeable accuracy gains due to the self-attention mechanism, which effectively weights neighborhood information in dynamic input [\[59\]](#page-9-6). Hong et al. [\[56\]](#page-9-4) developed a novel model called SpectralFormer (SF), capable of extracting features by aggregating multiple neighboring bands. Additionally, SF implemented cross-layer skip connections to reduce information loss during layer-wise propagation. Given that SF currently exhibits leading performance, we employ it as our baseline classification model for downstream task evaluation.

Classification on compressed HSI. Most current approaches in HSI classification continue to rely on uncompressed data due to the observed accuracy degradation induced by lossy compression. Unlike RGB images which can be visually appreciated by humans, compressed HSI will become completely useless if it cannot be applied to downstream tasks. The idea of using compressed images for classification dates back to the last century [\[60\]](#page-9-7). While some studies have explored the impact of lossy compression on HSI classification outcomes [\[61](#page-9-8)[–65\]](#page-9-9), primarily focusing on predicting classification accuracy for a given compressed HSI, our emphasis is on mitigating degradation for specific compressed samples without uncompressed ground truth.

3 METHOD

In this section, we begin by defining the optimization objective of neural representation for HSI (Sec[.3.1\)](#page-2-0). Subsequently, HINER, a neural representation for HSI compression, is proposed by exploiting the correlations within and across spectral bands (Sec. [3.2\)](#page-3-1). Lastly, We theoretically analyze and address the issue of accuracy degradation in classification task on compressed HSI. (Sec. [3.3\)](#page-4-0). An overview of our overall pipeline is illustrated in Fig. [2.](#page-2-1)

3.1 Preliminary

Let $I = {I_{\lambda}} \big|_{\lambda}^{\beta}$ $\frac{\beta}{\lambda - \alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times C}$ denote an input HSI with spatial resolution of $\overline{H} \times W$ and a total of C spectral bands spanning the wavelength range $\lambda \in [\alpha, \beta]$. The objective of neural representation is to model a mapping function $\mathcal F$ from the embeddings $\pmb e$ to the HSI

ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia Anonymous Authors

Figure 3: Pixel intensity distribution in fixed spatial position.

 $\mathbf{I}\!:\! \mathcal{F}(\pmb{e}) \rightarrow \mathbf{I}$ using a neural network. This work suggests to process HSI spectral-wisely. Given the spectral band I_{λ} with wavelength λ , a learnable decoder $\mathcal{D}(\cdot)$ is employed for reconstruction by inputting spectral embedding e_{λ} . Our goal is to minimize the distortion between the input I_{λ} and its reconstructed counterpart \hat{I}_{λ} with the restricted model parameter θ . As a result, the rate-distortion (R-D) optimization objective can be formulated as:

$$
\arg\min \sum_{\lambda=\alpha}^{\beta} \mathcal{L}(I_{\lambda}, \hat{I}_{\lambda}) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{e}, \mathcal{D}} \sum_{\lambda=\alpha}^{\beta} \mathcal{L}(I_{\lambda}, \mathcal{D}(e_{\lambda})),
$$

s.t. $\theta(\mathbf{e}) + \theta(\mathcal{D}) \leq \theta$, (1)

where $\mathcal L$ represents the distortion loss. The bitrate $\theta(\mathbf{e})$ used for embeddings and the decoder parameter $\theta(\mathcal{D})$ collectively comprise the overall bitrate consumption, subject to the constraint of θ .

As a comparative neural representation in video compression, NeRV [\[18\]](#page-8-14) completely relied on a learnable decoder for implicit representation without any content embeddings. Since the embedding is generated by fixed position encoding of temporal indices, the only consumed bitrate is $\theta(\mathcal{D})$ without $\theta(\mathbf{e})$. HNeRV [\[24\]](#page-8-19) firstly proposed the hybrid neural representation framework, which incorporated a learnable encoder to produce additional embeddings from frame content. Through a small amount of bitrate consumption by $\theta(\mathbf{e})$, such explicit content embeddings greatly improved the coding efficiency and model convergence.

388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404 405 406 Although explicit content embedding has demonstrated remarkable performance in video compression, an accompanying issue has arisen: can this success be replicated directly on HSI? As mentioned above, frame differences in a video primarily stem from non-monotonic temporal motion, which makes monotonic frame indices inadequate for capturing pixel correlations among neighboring frames (see Fig. [3\(a\)\)](#page-3-2). Therefore, capturing differentiated content from each frame can yield better temporal embedding compared to content-agnostic frame indices. Conversely, in HSI, such "frame" differences originate from reflectance variation at each object across spectral wavelengths, where we often assume stationary objects without temporal motion. As can be seen in Fig. [3\(b\),](#page-3-3) there is a potential mapping relationship between wavelengths and pixel intensities (each pixel in HSI corresponds to a specific object class, such as tree, soil, etc.). Consequently, content embedding is sub-optimal for representing HSI which requires fully leveraging spectral correlation. To address this, we propose HINER, a neural representation fully exploiting spectral redundancy in Sec. [3.2.](#page-3-1)

407

Furthermore, we theoretically investigate and overcome the problem of performance degradation in downstream classification on compressed HSIs built upon the characteristic of HINER in Sec. [3.3.](#page-4-0)

3.2 Neural Representation for HSI

Spectral Wavelength Embedding. To capture spectral correlation, we take a straightforward yet highly effective approach by explicitly embedding spectral wavelength λ .

In the specific implementation, HINER explicitly encodes the normalized $λ \sim U(0, 1)$ using a learnable encoder E to generate the spectral embeddings $\mathbf{e} = \{e_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda}^{\beta}$ $\sum_{\lambda=\alpha}^{\rho}$, which is then forwarded to the decoder D for the reconstruction of the HSI. According to Eq. [\(1\)](#page-3-4), the encoder does not consume bitrate and is only used to produce the spectral embeddings that need to be further encoded. However, considering that the training time of the entire neural representation model is equivalent to the encoding time of the HSI, it is crucial to design an efficient encoder with the following two characteristics: 1) Maintaining a low level of computational complexity; 2) Efficiently capturing spectral correlation.

Inspired by the practice of [\[21\]](#page-8-16) in neural radiance fields, $\mathcal E$ is built as a composition of two functions $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{P}$, by which

$$
e_{\lambda} = \mathcal{E}(\lambda) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}(\lambda)), \tag{2}
$$

where ${\cal M}$ stands for a tiny learnable MLP layer, and ${\cal P}$ denotes the frequency Positional Encoding (PE) [\[21,](#page-8-16) [66\]](#page-9-10) to map λ into a higher dimensional space $\mathcal{P} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{2l}$, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{P}(\lambda) = \left(\sin(b^0 \pi \lambda), \cos(b^0 \pi \lambda), \dots, \sin(b^{l-1} \pi \lambda), \cos(b^{l-1} \pi \lambda)\right). \tag{3}
$$

The rationale behind not directly inputting λ s into the MLP layer without positional encoding is due to the well-known spectral bias [\[16,](#page-8-12) [67\]](#page-9-11) in MLP. This bias tends to prioritize learning lowfrequency components of the signal, potentially leading to the network's inability to adequately model high-frequency variation. [\[21,](#page-8-16) [66,](#page-9-10) [67\]](#page-9-11). This phenomenon is detailed in supplementary material.

By jointly optimizing the encoder and decoder, such a lightweight encoder is sufficient for information extraction and facilitates faster model convergence in training, which is also known as the encoding process for INR methods. The bitrate overhead of such spectral embedding is negligible (see Sec. [4.4\)](#page-6-0), but it dramatically improves the performance of HINER by exploiting the inter-band correlation for better coding efficiency.

Content Angle Mapper. In general, INR models are typically optimized using L-p loss function [\[14,](#page-8-10) [24,](#page-8-19) [68\]](#page-9-12). However, the pixelwise L-p loss lacks the ability to supervise global content similarity within spectral band. To address this limitation, some recent works [\[18,](#page-8-14) [69\]](#page-9-13) introduce the Structure Similarity Index Measure (SSIM) loss by considering the correlations in luminance, contrast, and structure of the images, which may not apply to single spectral band. Drawing inspiration from the spectral angle mapper used for pixel correlation analysis [\[70,](#page-9-14) [71\]](#page-9-15), we introduce the Content Angle Mapper (CAM) to calculate the angle between the original spectral band vector \vec{I}_{λ} and its reconstructed counterpart $\vec{\hat{I}}_{\lambda}$. Minimizing CAM enables us to spatially exploit global content correlation in each spectral band. Additionally, L1 loss is also incorporated for pixel-wise supervision, which is proved to be more appropriate for scenes characterized by complex textures with high-frequency

information [\[25,](#page-8-20) [72\]](#page-9-16). Consequently, the optimization objective for training HINER can be formulated as:

$$
\mathcal{L}_R = \underbrace{\sum_{\lambda=\alpha}^{\beta} ||\hat{I}_{\lambda} - I_{\lambda}||}_{L_1 \text{ loss}} + \underbrace{Y \cdot \sum_{\lambda=\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{180}{\pi} \arccos\left(\frac{\vec{I}_{\lambda}^T \cdot \vec{I}_{\lambda}}{||\vec{I}_{\lambda}^T ||_2 ||\vec{I}_{\lambda}||_2}\right)}_{CAM}, \quad (4)
$$

where the vector $\vec{I}_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$ denotes the flattened spectral band I_{λ} , $m = H \times W$ is determined by spatial resolution, and γ is introduced to make a trade-off between these two losses.

Compression. To further reduce the actual bitrate consumption of our HINER, we follow HNeRV and employ the same quantization and entropy coding methods for model compression. In model quantization, the floating-point vector μ_{float} (e.g., weight or bias in a convolutional layer) is quantized using:

$$
\mu_{int} = clip \left(\left[\frac{\mu_{float} - \min(\mu)}{s_{\mu}} \right], 0, 2^{b} - 1 \right),
$$

where
$$
s_{\mu} = \frac{\max(\mu) - \min(\mu)}{2^{b} - 1},
$$
 (5)

 $\lvert \cdot \rvert$ rounds the input to the nearest integer. *b* denotes the quantization bit-width, and s_{μ} is the linear scaling factor. We utilize the Huffman [\[73\]](#page-9-17) coding as the lossless entropy coding method to further compress model parameters after quantization.

3.3 Classification on Compressed HSI

HSI classification refers to assigning a predefined label to each individual pixel [\[74\]](#page-9-18), which is similar to the semantic segmentation task for the RGB image. The degradation in classification performance on compressed HSIs is related to the intrinsic characteristics of HSI, where pixel intensity corresponds to the spectral reflectance of objects across multiple spectral bands [\[7\]](#page-8-6). As depicted in Fig. [3,](#page-3-0) two categories with similar spectral reflectance (e.g., class 1 and class 4) may become indistinguishable after lossy compression. We enhance the performance of classification on lossy HSIs from two perspectives: 1) adjusting the compressed reconstruction to adapt to classification task; 2) improving the generalization of classification model with augmented data.

Adaptive Spectral Weighting (ASW). We first conduct theoretical analysis on the classification loss \mathcal{L}_C . Having the classification model parameterized by θ , the original uncompressed HSI I, as well as the reconstructed HSI \hat{I} , we employ additive noise [\[75,](#page-9-19) [76\]](#page-9-20) to model the compression loss, i.e., $I = \hat{I} + u(\hat{I})$. Consequently, the task performance degradation induced by compression can be defined as:

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{L}_C(\boldsymbol{\theta},I) - \mathcal{L}_C(\boldsymbol{\theta},\hat{I})\right].\tag{6}
$$

Here, $\mathcal{L}_C (\bm{\theta}, \bm{I})$ and $\mathcal{L}_C (\bm{\theta}, \hat{\bm{I}})$ stand for classification losses on uncompressed and compressed HSI respectively. With the first-order expansion on Eq. [\(6\)](#page-4-1), we will derive:

$$
\mathcal{L}_C(\theta, I) - \mathcal{L}_C(\theta, \hat{I}) \approx \nabla_{\hat{I}} \mathcal{L}_C(\theta, \hat{I})^T \cdot \mathbf{u}(\hat{I}),
$$
 (7)

where the degradation is approximated as the first-order error for task loss \mathcal{L}_C . An intuitive solution is to optimize the input $\hat{\mathbf{I}}$ based on the gradient $\nabla_{\hat{\mathbf{I}}} \mathcal{L}_C(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{I}})^T$ while ensuring $\hat{\mathbf{I}}$ close to *I*, characterized by $||I - \hat{I}|| = ||u(\hat{I})|| < \epsilon$. However, directly

1.50 1.75 2.00 2.25 2.50 2.75 $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ \sim 1.5 2.0 2.5

1. L 1. I

0.4 0.2 0.0 0.2 0.4

optimizing \hat{I} can be challenging to converge due to its high spatial and spectral resolution. Thus, we introduce a tiny learnable module named Adaptive Spectral Weighting (ASW) to adjust \hat{I} , as shown in Fig. [2.](#page-2-1) With ASW, the optimization of \hat{I} is converted into the optimization of network parameters, which can be easily solved by gradient descent:

Figure 4: Loss landscape [\[78\]](#page-9-21) of trained classification model.

0.4 0.2 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.75 1.00 1.25

(a) Trained using reconstructed HSI

0.4 0.2 0.0 0.2 0.4

$$
\theta_{ASW} = \arg\min \mathcal{L}_C + \beta \cdot \mathcal{L}_R,\tag{8}
$$

where optimizing \mathcal{L}_C aims to make the gradient $\nabla \mathcal{L}_C \rightarrow 0$ and optimizing \mathcal{L}_R aims to constrain \boldsymbol{u} .

ASW first spectral-wisely re-weights the reconstructed HSI by multiplying learned factors, followed by an MLP comprising 1x1 conv for cross-spectral information aggregation. The rationale behind ASW lies in the varying importance of spectral bands for reconstruction and downstream classification [\[77\]](#page-9-22). Thus, ASW facilitates the translation from perception-oriented reconstruction to classification-oriented reconstruction. More details can be found in the supplementary material.

Implicit Spectral Interpolation (ISI). HINER establishes a monotonic continuous mapping from spetral wavelengths to spectral bands. This enables HINER to reconstruct corresponding spectral bands for arbitrary wavelengths, even if these wavelengths or bands do not exist in the original discrete HSI sample (in some literatures, this function is also refered to as HSI reconstruction [\[79\]](#page-9-23) or spectral super-resolution [\[80\]](#page-9-24)). Leveraging this continuous mapping, we can easily construct an augmented sample set S containing multiple randomly sampled HSI by adding random variables to the input wavelengths of HINER

$$
S = \sum HINER\left(\lambda + U(-\eta, \eta)\right),\tag{9}
$$

where $U(-\eta, \eta)$ represent a uniform distribution that adds random variables to λ . Training the classification model with the augmented data set S significantly enhances performance on compressed HSI. It's important to note that we do not introduce ground truth HSI during training, thus improving the practical applicability of ISI. The rationale behind ISI lies in the fact that data augmentation can enhance the classification model's generalization on compressed HSI data [\[76,](#page-9-20) [81–](#page-9-25)[83\]](#page-9-26), thereby leading to improved accuracy. One intuitive manifestation of generalization is the flatness of the loss landscape [\[78\]](#page-9-21). A flatter loss landscape, indicative of better generalization, exhibits relatively small loss changes under parameter perturbations, whereas a sharp loss landscape indicates otherwise. As depicted in Fig. [4,](#page-4-2) the classification model trained with ISI exhibits

(b) Trained w/ ISI (flatter)

0.4 0.2 0.0 0.2 0.4 1.0

ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia Anonymous Authors

Figure 5: R-D performance comparisons across HSI datasets.

Figure 6: Visualization comparisons of NeRV, HNeRV and our HINER on Pavia University dataset. The residual between the reconstruction and the ground truth (GT) is accompanied by each reconstructed result.

a flatter loss landscape, in which Fig. [4\(a\)](#page-4-3) can also be considered a special case of $\eta = 0$. We provide detailed explanation in the supplementary material.

3.4 Discussion

So far, we have developed a neural representation framework, HINER, with a series of optimizations tailored for HSI, aligning better with its intrinsic characteristics and also aiding in maintaining performance of downstream tasks on compressed reconstruction. Unlike content-agnostic NeRV [\[18\]](#page-8-14) or content-embedded HNeRV [\[24\]](#page-8-19), we attempt to explicitly exploit cross-spectral correlations through wavelength embedding and fully supervise local and global reconstruction fidelity within a specific band by combining L1 and CAM loss. Our HINER also differs significantly from the previous pixel-wise compression methods [\[12,](#page-8-8) [14\]](#page-8-10) which neglect spectral redundancies. We also thoroughly consider the degradation of downstream classification task caused by lossy compression. By using ASW for reweighting the compressed reconstruction and ISI for data augmentation in training classification model, we effectively address the issue of loss in task accuracy, which was not mentioned in previous works [\[12,](#page-8-8) [14\]](#page-8-10).

4 EXPERIMENTS

4.1 Setup

Datasets. We conduct the evaluation on four popular HSI datasets with varying resolutions: 1) The Indian Pines dataset with size of 145 \times 145 \times 200; 2) The Pavia University dataset with size of 610 \times 340×103 ; 3) The Pavia Centre dataset with size of $1096 \times 715 \times 102$; 4) The CHILD [\[84\]](#page-9-27) dataset with size of $960 \times 1056 \times 145$.

Metrics. For compression performance comparison, Peak Signalto-Noise Ratio (PSNR) is used to measure the reconstruction quality, and bits per pixel per band (bpppb) reports the consumption of compressed bitrate. The classification performance on compressed HSI is evaluated using Overall Accuracy (OA), Average Accuracy (AA) , and Kappa Coefficient (κ) .

Baselines. For compression performance comparison, we select the frame-wise neural representation methods, namely NeRV [\[18\]](#page-8-14) and HNeRV [\[24\]](#page-8-19), as well as the pixel-wise methods specifically designed for HSI compression, FHNeRF [\[12\]](#page-8-8) and Rezasoltani [\[14\]](#page-8-10). JPEG2000 [\[85\]](#page-9-28) and VVC [\[86\]](#page-9-29) are exemplified to represent traditional image and video codecs. For JPEG2000, we employ OpenJPEG to independently compress each spectral band. For VVC, we utilize the reference software VTM 16.0 and conduct compression experiments HINER: Neural Representation for Hyperspectral Image and the state of the state of the state of the state and the state of the state of the state and the state of the state and the state of the state of the state of the st

Figure 7: Encoding & decoding complexity comparisons.

Figure 8: Regression capacity comparisons.

with both intra and inter (i.e., Random Access) profiles. The intra profile compresses each spectral band separately while the inter profile processes HSIs in spectral order as the coding of videos. For downstream classification, we use SpectralFormer (SF) [\[56\]](#page-9-4) as the baseline, known for its leading performance.

Implementation. We faithfully reproduce the compared methods following their default settings on the HSI dataset. For the training of HINER, we adopt the Adam optimizer [\[87\]](#page-9-30) with a batch size of 1. The initial learning rate is 0.001 with a cosine descent strategy. Stride sizes used for upsampling in the decoder are configured at (5, 3, 2, 2) for Indian Pines, (5, 4, 3, 2) for Pavia University, (5, 4, 3, 2, 2) for Pavia Centre, and (5, 4, 4, 2, 2) for CHILD, respectively. Unless specified otherwise, all experiments are conducted using PyTorch with an Nvidia RTX 3090 for totally 300 epochs. For classification, the learning rate is 0.0005 with 0.005 weight decay. Epochs for Indain Pines and Pavia University are 300 and 480, respectively.

4.2 HSI Compression

739 740 741 742 743 744 745 746 Performance. We present the R-D curves in Fig. [5](#page-5-0) across various datasets. The proposed HINER clearly outperforms other neural representation methods. Leveraging efficient spectral wavelength embeddings, HINER not only significantly surpasses the contentagnostic method (i.e., NeRV), but also proves better than the content embedding method (i.e., HNeRV) in the HSI dataset. Compared with pixel-wise FHNeRF and Rezasoltani, such a band-wise representation of HINER exhibits superior rate-distortion advantage. Furthermore, our method is far better than the earlier image codec JPEG2000 across all datasets and superior to the VVC intra coding in Pavia University and Pavia Centre, in which our HINER is even comparable with the VVC inter coding. However, there is still a performance gap between learning-based methods and the VVC inter coding in Indian Pines and CHILD. One possible reason is that these two datasets capture simple scenarios with few texture information, which is easy for motion prediction in VVC thus greatly improving the coding efficiency. Fig. [6](#page-5-1) visualizes the reconstruction results of neural representation methods on Pavia University. Notably, our method exhibits a much closer reconstruction to the original data.

747 748 749 750 751 752 753 Complexity. We also report the encoding and decoding time of our HINER, as well as HNeRV, FNeRF, Rezasoltani, and VVC inter profile in Fig. [7.](#page-6-1) Our model presents faster encoding and decoding compared to VVC, e.g., up to $10 \times /6 \times$ encoding/decoding time reduction. The encoding of ours is also faster than HNeRV due to the lightweight spectral encoder. When compared with FHNeRF and Rezasoltani, spectral-wise HINER exhibits faster encoding, and

the gap further increases with model size. Although the inclusion of the upsampling and GELU [\[88\]](#page-9-31) operation slows down our decoding than fully MLP-based FHNeRF and Rezasoltani, HINER achieves a PSNR improvement of more than 5 dB while still maintaining over 400 band-per-second decoding speed at Pavia University.

Regression. To evaluate the efficiency of HINER, we conduct comparisons regarding regression capacity in Fig. [8.](#page-6-2) As shown, those methods incorporating additional information embeddings, i.e., HNeRV and ours, lead to better reconstruction quality and faster model convergence speed than others. Moreover, our method shows the best performance, indicating the effectiveness of spectral embedding for HSI representation. An interesting observation is that Rezasoltani and FHNeRF exhibit rapid saturation in earlier training, probably due to pure MLP architecture limits the model capacity, and such pixel-wise representation is inadequate to capture cross-spectral redundancies.

4.3 Classification on Compressed HSI

When lossy compressed HSIs are widely used for storage and transmission due to their lower space-time resource occupation, downstream servers will not access the original ground truth data. Thus, related services, such as classification, have to rely solely on the compressed HSI. However, as shown in Table [1,](#page-7-0) lossy compression results in a significant degradation when optimized for classification (SF♣ vs. SF), primarily due to the spectral information that determines the class of objects has been compromised. Our proposed ASW and ISI, effectively alleviate degradation and maintain considerable accuracy even under high compression ratios, e.g., up to ×109, approaching the levels trained with ground truth. Notably, our approach even surpasses the performance of SF trained with ground truth data in the IndianPine. One potential explanation for this phenomenon is that our theoretical framework aids in mitigating data bias [\[89,](#page-9-32) [90\]](#page-9-33) between the training and testing sets to some extent, when $u(I)$ in Eq. [7](#page-4-4) is interpreted as a measure of data bias. This is an interesting topic for further study in the future.

4.4 Ablation Study

Spectral Wavelength Embedding. As mentioned above, by explicitly encoding the spectral wavelength λ s, the spectral correlation is embedded to assist the decoder reconstruction. One deduction is that when we randomly reorder the spectral bands, i.e., shuffling the original mapping from wavelengths to corresponding bands,

754

Table 1: Quantitative performance of classification. ♣ represents the results trained with compressed HSI without ground truth. CR denotes compression ratio. The best results are highlighted in **bold**.

the permutation of spectra would be disturbed, thereby affecting the reconstruction results of HINER. The case 1 in Table [2](#page-7-1) confirms this deduction, in which our performance suffers from the shuffle operation compared to the default configuration. However, as a comparison, HNeRV is immune from the band shuffle without any performance loss, indicating that content embeddings fail to capture the inter-band correlation.

In addition, we also examine the effectiveness of the explicit encoder by case 2 in Table [2.](#page-7-1) We solely remove the encoder $\mathcal E$ so that the input HSI is fully represented by the decoder with the fixed position encoding as in NeRV. As observed, such a pattern greatly decreases the coding efficiency, which illustrates the effectiveness of our explicit encoder in learning spectral wavelength embeddings for the decoder.

Table 2: Ablations on spectral wavelength embedding.

Embedding Size. As mentioned in Sec. [3.1,](#page-2-0) the consumed bitrate of wavelength embeddings $\theta(\mathbf{e})$ and the decoder parameter $\theta(\mathcal{D})$ comprise the overall compressed bitrate. Given a certain rate constraint, it is necessary to make a trade-off between $\theta(\mathbf{e})$ and $\theta(\mathcal{D})$ for optimal compression efficiency. In Table [3,](#page-7-2) we evaluate the impact of embedding size on the reconstruction quality under a fixed total size of 0.5MB with 150 training epochs. We use size of height \times width \times channel to denote a certain band embedding, thereby changing $\theta(\mathbf{e})$. It is suggested that the embedding size of $6 \times 3 \times 16$ with only 5% bitrate consumption is the optimal choice.

Content Angle Mapper. Table [4](#page-7-3) presents a quantitative comparison under different loss functions. Introducing global supervision with CAM besides pixel-wise L1 loss yields improved HSI reconstruction quality. Furthermore, our proposed CAM demonstrates

Table 3: Ablations on embedding size.

	Embedding Size Embedding + Decoder	PSNR
$12 \times 6 \times 16$	$0.12 + 0.37$ MB	42.16 dB
$6 \times 3 \times 32$	$0.06 + 0.43 \text{ MB}$	42.58 dB
$6 \times 3 \times 16$	$0.03 + 0.47 \text{ MB}$	43.03 dB
$6 \times 3 \times 8$	$0.02 + 0.48$ MB	42.82 dB
$4 \times 2 \times 16$	$0.02 + 0.48$ MB	42.6 dB

superior performance compared to the commonly used SSIM, indicating that CAM is more suitable for HSI reconstruction.

Table 4: Ablation on the loss function.

	0.5M	1 M	2M
L1	43.78	44.66	45.97
$L1+SSIM$	43.75	44.82	46.26
$L1+CAM$		44.14 45.55	47.85

Classification. Table [5](#page-7-4) illustrates the gradual transformation from using the original SF to our proposed method dedicated for compressed HSI. By adjusting the compressed reconstruction to adapt to classification task through ASW, and improving the generalization of classification model with augmented compressed HSI samples through ISI, we can achieve considerable accuracy improvement under high compression ratio.

Table 5: Ablation on proposed classification.

5 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose HINER, a novel neural representation for HSI. By explicitly embedding spectral wavelengths and introducing global CAM supervision, HINER effectively exploits correlation within and across spectral bands in HSI. Simultaneously, we thoroughly consider the degradation of downstream classification task induced by lossy compression. Through using ASW for classification-oriented reconstruction and ISI for data augmentation in training classification model, we effectively mitigate the task degradation. Experimental results demonstrate notable improvements in compression efficiency, model convergence, and classification accuracy compared to previous explorations. However, there is still plenty of room to improve our methodology. For instance, it falls short of the latest VVC in certain datasets, and still requires a few labels to supervise the classification model. These encourage us to pursue a more compact representation of HSIs and explore strategies involving soft label supervision in future work.

HINER: Neural Representation for Hyperspectral Image and the state of the state of the state of the state and the state of the state of the state and the state of the state and the state of the state of the state of the st

929 **REFERENCES**

- [1] Pramod K Varshney and Manoj K Arora. Advanced image processing techniques for remotely sensed hyperspectral data. Springer Science & Business Media, 2004.
- [2] Charles T Willoughby, Mark A Folkman, and Miguel A Figueroa. Application of hyperspectral-imaging spectrometer systems to industrial inspection. In Three-Dimensional and Unconventional Imaging for Industrial Inspection and Metrology, volume 2599, pages 264–272. SPIE, 1996.
- [3] Hans Grahn and Paul Geladi. Techniques and applications of hyperspectral image analysis. John Wiley & Sons, 2007.
- [4] Alexander FH Goetz, Gregg Vane, Jerry E Solomon, and Barrett N Rock. Imaging spectrometry for earth remote sensing. science, 228(4704):1147–1153, 1985.
- [5] Azam Karami, Mehran Yazdi, and Grégoire Mercier. Compression of hyperspectral images using discerete wavelet transform and tucker decomposition. IEEE journal of selected topics in applied earth observations and remote sensing, 5(2):444–450, 2012.
- [6] Jarno Mielikainen, Pekka Toivanen, and Arto Kaarna. Linear prediction in lossless compression of hyperspectral images. Optical Engineering, 42(4):1013–1017, 2003.
- [7] Yuanyuan Guo, Yanwen Chong, and Shaoming Pan. Hyperspectral image compression via cross-channel contrastive learning. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 2023.
- [8] Yaman Dua, Vinod Kumar, and Ravi Shankar Singh. Comprehensive review of hyperspectral image compression algorithms. Optical Engineering, 59(9):090902– 090902, 2020.
- [9] Yaman Dua, Ravi Shankar Singh, Kshitij Parwani, Smit Lunagariya, and Vinod Kumar. Convolution neural network based lossy compression of hyperspectral images. Signal Processing: Image Communication, 95:116255, 2021.
- [10] Riccardo La Grassa, Cristina Re, Gabriele Cremonese, and Ignazio Gallo. Hyperspectral data compression using fully convolutional autoencoder. Remote Sensing, 14(10):2472, 2022.
- [11] Yuanyuan Guo, Yanwen Chong, Yun Ding, Shaoming Pan, and Xiaolin Gu. Learned hyperspectral compression using a student's t hyperprior. Remote Sensing, 13(21):4390, 2021.
- [12] Lili Zhang, Tianpeng Pan, Jiahui Liu, and Lin Han. Compressing hyperspectral images into multilayer perceptrons using fast-time hyperspectral neural radiance fields. IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, 2024.
- [13] Shima Rezasoltani and Faisal Z Qureshi. Hyperspectral image compression using sampling and implicit neural representations. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.01558, 2023.
- [14] Shima Rezasoltani and Faisal Z Qureshi. Hyperspectral image compression using implicit neural representations. In 2023 20th Conference on Robots and Vision (CRV), pages 248–255. IEEE, 2023.
- [15] Vincent Sitzmann, Julien Martel, Alexander Bergman, David Lindell, and Gordon Wetzstein. Implicit neural representations with periodic activation functions. Advances in neural information processing systems, 33:7462–7473, 2020.
- [16] Shaowen Xie, Hao Zhu, Zhen Liu, Qi Zhang, You Zhou, Xun Cao, and Zhan Ma. Diner: Disorder-invariant implicit neural representation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 6143–6152, 2023.
- [17] Zhen Liu, Hao Zhu, Qi Zhang, Jingde Fu, Weibing Deng, Zhan Ma, Yanwen Guo, and Xun Cao. Finer: Flexible spectral-bias tuning in implicit neural representation by variable-periodic activation functions. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.02434, 2023.
- [18] Hao Chen, Bo He, Hanyu Wang, Yixuan Ren, Ser Nam Lim, and Abhinav Shrivastava. Nerv: Neural representations for videos. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 34:21557–21568, 2021.
- [19] Joo Chan Lee, Daniel Rho, Jong Hwan Ko, and Eunbyung Park. Ffnerv: Flowguided frame-wise neural representations for videos. In Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia, pages 7859–7870, 2023.
- [20] Xinjie Zhang, Ren Yang, Dailan He, Xingtong Ge, Tongda Xu, Yan Wang, Hongwei Qin, and Jun Zhang. Boosting neural representations for videos with a conditional decoder. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.18152, 2024.
- [21] Ben Mildenhall, Pratul P Srinivasan, Matthew Tancik, Jonathan T Barron, Ravi Ramamoorthi, and Ren Ng. Nerf: Representing scenes as neural radiance fields for view synthesis. Communications of the ACM, 65(1):99–106, 2021.
- [22] Chen Wang, Xian Wu, Yuan-Chen Guo, Song-Hai Zhang, Yu-Wing Tai, and Shi-Min Hu. Nerf-sr: High quality neural radiance fields using supersampling. In Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia, pages 6445–6454, 2022.
- [23] Emilien Dupont, Adam Goliński, Milad Alizadeh, Yee Whye Teh, and Arnaud Doucet. Coin: Compression with implicit neural representations. arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.03123, 2021.
- [24] Hao Chen, Matthew Gwilliam, Ser-Nam Lim, and Abhinav Shrivastava. Hnerv: A hybrid neural representation for videos. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 10270–10279, 2023.
- [25] Qi Zhao, M Salman Asif, and Zhan Ma. Dnerv: Modeling inherent dynamics via difference neural representation for videos. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF
- Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 2031–2040, 2023. [26] Kaiwei Zhang, Dandan Zhu, Xiongkuo Min, and Guangtao Zhai. Implicit neural representation learning for hyperspectral image super-resolution. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 61:1–12, 2022.
- [27] Huan Chen, Wangcai Zhao, Tingfa Xu, Guokai Shi, Shiyun Zhou, Peifu Liu, and Jianan Li. Spectral-wise implicit neural representation for hyperspectral image reconstruction. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, 2023.
- [28] Xinying Wang, Cheng Cheng, Shenglan Liu, Ruoxi Song, Xianghai Wang, and Lin Feng. Ss-inr: Spatial-spectral implicit neural representation network for hyperspectral and multispectral image fusion. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 2023.
- [29] Lin He, Zhou Fang, Jun Li, Jocelyn Chanussot, and Antonio Plaza. Two spectralspatial implicit neural representations for arbitrary-resolution hyperspectral pansharpening. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 2024.
- [30] Justin T Rucker, James E Fowler, and Nicolas H Younan. Jpeg2000 coding strategies for hyperspectral data. In Proceedings. 2005 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 2005. IGARSS'05., volume 1, pages 4–pp. IEEE, 2005.
- [31] Bojun Meng, Oscar C Au, Chi-Wah Wong, and Hong-Kwai Lam. Efficient intraprediction algorithm in h. 264. In Proceedings 2003 International Conference on Image Processing (Cat. No. 03CH37429), volume 3, pages III–837. IEEE, 2003.
- [32] Barbara Penna, Tammam Tillo, Enrico Magli, and Gabriella Olmo. Progressive 3-d coding of hyperspectral images based on jpeg 2000. IEEE Geoscience and remote sensing letters, 3(1):125–129, 2006.
- [33] Emmanuel Christophe, Corinne Mailhes, and Pierre Duhamel. Hyperspectral image compression: adapting spiht and ezw to anisotropic 3-d wavelet coding. IEEE Transactions on Image processing, 17(12):2334–2346, 2008.
- [34] Tong Qiao, Jinchang Ren, Meijun Sun, Jiangbin Zheng, and Stephen Marshall. Effective compression of hyperspectral imagery using an improved 3d dct approach for land-cover analysis in remote-sensing applications. International journal of remote sensing, 35(20):7316–7337, 2014.
- [35] Barbara Penna, Tammam Tillo, Enrico Magli, and Gabriella Olmo. Transform coding techniques for lossy hyperspectral data compression. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 45(5):1408–1421, 2007.
- [36] Nasir Ahmed, T_ Natarajan, and Kamisetty R Rao. Discrete cosine transform. IEEE transactions on Computers, 100(1):90–93, 1974.
- [37] Marie Farge. Wavelet transforms and their applications to turbulence. Annual review of fluid mechanics, 24(1):395–458, 1992.
- [38] Vivek K Goyal. Theoretical foundations of transform coding. IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, 18(5):9–21, 2001.
- [39] Ayan Chakrabarti and Todd Zickler. Statistics of real-world hyperspectral images. In CVPR 2011, pages 193–200. IEEE, 2011.
- [40] Burkni Palsson, Jakob Sigurdsson, Johannes R Sveinsson, and Magnus O Ulfarsson. Hyperspectral unmixing using a neural network autoencoder. IEEE Access, 6:25646–25656, 2018.
- [41] Johannes Ballé, David Minnen, Saurabh Singh, Sung Jin Hwang, and Nick Johnston. Variational image compression with a scale hyperprior. arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.01436, 2018.
- [42] Zhenhai Yang, Kai-Tai Fang, and Samuel Kotz. On the student's t-distribution and the t-statistic. Journal of Multivariate Analysis, 98(6):1293–1304, 2007.
- [43] Yifu Ding, Haotong Qin, Qinghua Yan, Zhenhua Chai, Junjie Liu, Xiaolin Wei, and Xianglong Liu. Towards accurate post-training quantization for vision transformer. In Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia, pages 5380–5388, 2022.
- [44] Junqi Shi, Ming Lu, and Zhan Ma. Rate-distortion optimized post-training quantization for learned image compression. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, 2023.
- [45] Xiaotong Lu, Teng Xi, Baopu Li, Gang Zhang, Weisheng Dong, and Guangming Shi. Bayesian based re-parameterization for dnn model pruning. In Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia, pages 1367–1375, 2022.
- [46] Pedram Ghamisi, Naoto Yokoya, Jun Li, Wenzhi Liao, Sicong Liu, Javier Plaza, Behnood Rasti, and Antonio Plaza. Advances in hyperspectral image and signal processing: A comprehensive overview of the state of the art. IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Magazine, 5(4):37–78, 2017.
- [47] Shutao Li, Weiwei Song, Leyuan Fang, Yushi Chen, Pedram Ghamisi, and Jon Atli Benediktsson. Deep learning for hyperspectral image classification: An overview. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 57(9):6690–6709, 2019.
- [48] Jun Yue, Wenzhi Zhao, Shanjun Mao, and Hui Liu. Spectral–spatial classification of hyperspectral images using deep convolutional neural networks. Remote Sensing Letters, 6(6):468–477, 2015.
- [49] Viktor Slavkovikj, Steven Verstockt, Wesley De Neve, Sofie Van Hoecke, and Rik Van de Walle. Hyperspectral image classification with convolutional neural networks. In Proceedings of the 23rd ACM international conference on Multimedia, pages 1159–1162, 2015.
- [50] Wenzhi Zhao and Shihong Du. Spectral–spatial feature extraction for hyperspectral image classification: A dimension reduction and deep learning approach. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 54(8):4544–4554, 2016.
- [51] Zilong Zhong, Jonathan Li, Zhiming Luo, and Michael Chapman. Spectral–spatial residual network for hyperspectral image classification: A 3-d deep learning framework. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 56(2):847–858, 2017.

- [52] Mercedes E Paoletti, Juan Mario Haut, Javier Plaza, and Antonio Plaza. A new deep convolutional neural network for fast hyperspectral image classification. ISPRS journal of photogrammetry and remote sensing, 145:120–147, 2018.
- Yushi Chen, Zhouhan Lin, Xing Zhao, Gang Wang, and Yanfeng Gu. Deep learning-based classification of hyperspectral data. IEEE Journal of Selected topics in applied earth observations and remote sensing, 7(6):2094–2107, 2014.
- [54] Renlong Hang, Qingshan Liu, Danfeng Hong, and Pedram Ghamisi. Cascaded recurrent neural networks for hyperspectral image classification. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 57(8):5384–5394, 2019.
- 1052 1053 [55] Danfeng Hong, Lianru Gao, Jing Yao, Bing Zhang, Antonio Plaza, and Jocelyn Chanussot. Graph convolutional networks for hyperspectral image classification. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 59(7):5966–5978, 2020.
	- [56] Danfeng Hong, Zhu Han, Jing Yao, Lianru Gao, Bing Zhang, Antonio Plaza, and Jocelyn Chanussot. Spectralformer: Rethinking hyperspectral image classification with transformers. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 60:1–15, 2021.
	- [57] Xin He, Yushi Chen, and Zhouhan Lin. Spatial-spectral transformer for hyperspectral image classification. Remote Sensing, 13(3):498, 2021.
	- [58] Di Wang, Jing Zhang, Bo Du, Liangpei Zhang, and Dacheng Tao. Dcn-t: Dual context network with transformer for hyperspectral image classification. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 2023.
	- [59] Ming Lu, Fangdong Chen, Shiliang Pu, and Zhan Ma. High-efficiency lossy image coding through adaptive neighborhood information aggregation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.11448, 2022.
	- [60] Karen L Oehler and Robert M Gray. Combining image compression and classification using vector quantization. IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence, 17(5):461–473, 1995.
	- [61] Mohamed Elkholy, Mohamed M Hosny, and Hossam M Farid El-Habrouk. Studying the effect of lossy compression and image fusion on image classification. Alexandria Engineering Journal, 58(1):143–149, 2019.
	- [62] Alaitz Zabala and Xavier Pons. Effects of lossy compression on remote sensing image classification of forest areas. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 13(1):43–51, 2011.
	- $\!$ Jingru Wei, Li Mi, Ye Hu, Jing Ling, Yawen Li, and Zhenzhong Chen. Effects of lossy compression on remote sensing image classification based on convolutional sparse coding. IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, 19:1–5, 2021.
- 1071 1072 1073 [64] Fernando García-Vílchez, Jordi Muñoz-Marí, Maciel Zortea, Ian Blanes, Vicente González-Ruiz, Gustavo Camps-Valls, Antonio Plaza, and Joan Serra-Sagristà. On the impact of lossy compression on hyperspectral image classification and unmixing. IEEE Geoscience and remote sensing letters, 8(2):253–257, 2010.
- 1074 1075 1076 [65] Zhenzhong Chen, Ye Hu, and Yingxue Zhang. Effects of compression on remote sensing image classification based on fractal analysis. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote sensing, 57(7):4577–4590, 2019.
	- [66] Matthew Tancik, Pratul Srinivasan, Ben Mildenhall, Sara Fridovich-Keil, Nithin Raghavan, Utkarsh Singhal, Ravi Ramamoorthi, Jonathan Barron, and Ren Ng. Fourier features let networks learn high frequency functions in low dimensional domains. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 33:7537–7547, 2020.
	- [67] Nasim Rahaman, Aristide Baratin, Devansh Arpit, Felix Draxler, Min Lin, Fred Hamprecht, Yoshua Bengio, and Aaron Courville. On the spectral bias of neural networks. In International Conference on Machine Learning, pages 5301–5310. PMLR, 2019.
	- [68] Dingquan Li, Tingting Jiang, and Ming Jiang. Norm-in-norm loss with faster convergence and better performance for image quality assessment. In Proceedings of the 28th ACM International conference on multimedia, pages 789–797, 2020.
	- [69] Jim Nilsson and Tomas Akenine-Möller. Understanding ssim. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.13846, 2020.
	- [70] Zhaoyang Wang, Dongyang Li, Mingyang Zhang, Hao Luo, and Maoguo Gong. Enhancing hyperspectral images via diffusion model and group-autoencoder super-resolution network. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.17285, 2024.
- [71] Yudong Wang, Liang-Jian Deng, Tian-Jing Zhang, and Xiao Wu. Ssconv: Explicit spectral-to-spatial convolution for pansharpening. In Proceedings of the 29th ACM international conference on multimedia, pages 4472–4480, 2021.
- [72] Emmanuel J Candes and Terence Tao. Decoding by linear programming. IEEE transactions on information theory, 51(12):4203–4215, 2005.
- [73] David A Huffman. A method for the construction of minimum-redundancy codes. Proceedings of the IRE, 40(9):1098–1101, 1952.
- [74] Mathieu Fauvel, Yuliya Tarabalka, Jon Atli Benediktsson, Jocelyn Chanussot, and James C Tilton. Advances in spectral-spatial classification of hyperspectral images. Proceedings of the IEEE, 101(3):652–675, 2012.
- [75] Yi Zhang, Hongwei Qin, Xiaogang Wang, and Hongsheng Li. Rethinking noise synthesis and modeling in raw denoising. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pages 4593–4601, 2021.
- [76] Xiuying Wei, Ruihao Gong, Yuhang Li, Xianglong Liu, and Fengwei Yu. Qdrop: Randomly dropping quantization for extremely low-bit post-training quantization. In International Conference on Learning Representations, 2021.
- [77] Xichuan Zhou, Xuan Zou, Xiangfei Shen, Wenjia Wei, Xia Zhu, and Haijun Liu. Btc-net: Efficient bit-level tensor data compression network for hyperspectral image. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 2023.
- [78] Hao Li, Zheng Xu, Gavin Taylor, Christoph Studer, and Tom Goldstein. Visualizing the loss landscape of neural nets. Advances in neural information processing systems, 31, 2018.
- [79] Antonio Robles-Kelly. Single image spectral reconstruction for multimedia applications. In Proceedings of the 23rd ACM international conference on Multimedia, pages 251–260, 2015.
- [80] Hongyuan Wang, Lizhi Wang, Chang Chen, Xue Hu, Fenglong Song, and Hua Huang. Learning spectral-wise correlation for spectral super-resolution: Where similarity meets particularity. In Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia, pages 7676–7685, 2023.
- [81] Behnam Neyshabur, Srinadh Bhojanapalli, David McAllester, and Nati Srebro. Exploring generalization in deep learning. Advances in neural information processing systems, 30, 2017.
- [82] Yiding Jiang, Behnam Neyshabur, Hossein Mobahi, Dilip Krishnan, and Samy Bengio. Fantastic generalization measures and where to find them. arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.02178, 2019.
- [83] Mengzhu Wang, Jianlong Yuan, Qi Qian, Zhibin Wang, and Hao Li. Semantic data augmentation based distance metric learning for domain generalization. In Proceedings of the 30th ACM international conference on multimedia, pages 3214–3223, 2022.
- [84] Erqi Huang, Maoqi Zhang, Zhan Ma, Linsen Chen, Yiyu Zhuang, and Xun Cao. High-fidelity hyperspectral snapshot of physical world: System architecture, dataset and model. IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing, 16(4):608-621, 2022.
- [85] Qian Du and James E Fowler. Hyperspectral image compression using jpeg2000 and principal component analysis. IEEE Geoscience and Remote sensing letters, 4(2):201–205, 2007.
- [86] Benjamin Bross, Ye-Kui Wang, Yan Ye, Shan Liu, Jianle Chen, Gary J Sullivan, and Jens-Rainer Ohm. Overview of the versatile video coding (vvc) standard and its applications. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, 31(10):3736–3764, 2021.
- [87] Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980, 2014.
- [88] Dan Hendrycks and Kevin Gimpel. Gaussian error linear units (gelus). arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.08415, 2016.
- [89] Heinrich Jiang and Ofir Nachum. Identifying and correcting label bias in machine learning. In International conference on artificial intelligence and statistics, pages 702–712. PMLR, 2020.
- [90] Byungju Kim, Hyunwoo Kim, Kyungsu Kim, Sungjin Kim, and Junmo Kim. Learning not to learn: Training deep neural networks with biased data. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), June 2019.