# Anonymous Authors

#### ABSTRACT

The integration of large language models into open-world detection frameworks significantly improves versatility in new environments. Prompt representations derived from these models help establish classification boundaries for both base and novel categories within open-world detectors. However, we are the first to discover that directly fine-tuning language models in detection systems results in redundant attention patterns and leads to suboptimal prompt representations. In order to fully leverage the capabilities of large language models and augment prompt encoding for detection, this study introduces a redundancy assessment metric to identify uniform attention patterns. Furthermore, in areas with high redundancy, we incorporate multimodal inplace prompt tuning (MIPT) to enrich the text prompt with visual clues. Experimental results validate the efficacy of our MIPT framework, achieving a notable increase across benchmarks, e.g. elevating GLIP-L from 22.6% to 25.0% on ODinW-35, and 9.0% improvement on LVIS.

# **CCS CONCEPTS**

• Computing methodologies → Object detection.

# **KEYWORDS**

Open world detection, parameter efficient, multimodal learning

#### **1 INTRODUCTION**

Detection systems with large language models mark pivotal advancements in the open world [55]. As text prompts and visual regions are aligned through contrastive learning [17], new visual regions can be distinguished between classification edges shaped by linguistic world knowledge. This multimodal perception approach decouples the understanding of world knowledge from the only perspective of vision (e.g., traditional single-modal detection [10, 45]), facilitating the development of detection systems towards greater scale and enhanced capabilities.

Recent studies use two different methods to overcome the closedvocabulary limitations. First, using fixed semantic representations from the frozen language model can make full use of the smoothness of the text space for categorizing objects [1, 44, 64]. The classification edge brought by the plain-text-pretrained large language model [4, 37], shows advances in the visual field. While innovative, such methods still have limitations in alignment for detection problems of long-tail and fine-grained categories. This is because alignment can only be unilaterally conducted from the visual backbone, but

51 52 53 54

- 57
- 58

the classification boundaries of the text still lack visual discriminativeness. Transitioning from frozen to tunable language models can offer higher flexibility. Finetuning allows the language model to adapt its pretrained representations to the specific visual domain [8, 61, 69]. Through closer alignment between text prompts and visual regions, language world knowledge recalibrated by visual contrast can lead to better prompt representation, thus providing more precise classification boundaries. Despite the pivotal role of language models, the nuances of how these models learn and adapt within such multimodal frameworks have been largely overlooked.

Initially, language models were designed to understand and generate contextualized texts. When integrated into detection systems [25, 34], text embeddings provide a novel view to define visual classification boundaries. Recent fully-finetuned detection models [25, 34, 67] concatenate categories into a template and finetune the language model to obtain the prompt representation of the textual template. However, our research reveals a degradation in the efficiency of the attention mechanisms for language models when directly fully-finetuned, as shown in the Section 4.2.3. This redundancy can result in model's inability to effectively encode the categories for rich semantics. Thus in turn, falls to detect such fine-grained or long-tail categories, as shown in the left column of Figure 1. Meanwhile, continuing full-scale fine-tuning on such categories would require substantial training costs. As depicted in the Figure 1, comparing to the full-finetuning (e.g GLIP-T [25] with more than 200 million parameters trainable), we aim to propose an efficient method that utilizes a small number of trainable parameters to improve the detection effectiveness for long-tail and fine-grained categories.

To this end, given the language model adaptation for detection that have been previously overlooked, we introduce a novel Multimodal Inplace Prompt Tuning Framework (MIPT) for open-set object detection. As shown in the right column of Figure 1, our MIPT is for the first time designed to identify redundancy within the weight distribution of the language model, and enrich the textual representation through a light-weight integration of visual exemplars. Consequently, text priors imbued with world knowledge are effectively augmented with visual cues, resulting in an enhanced classification boundary. Specifically, we introduce a Jensen-Shannon Redundancy evaluation to detect redundancy by assessing the variance of attention patterns intra- and inter-heads within transformer layers. Upon identifying redundant patterns, we apply multimodal inplace prompt tuning in these layers to refine textual prompts using visual cues, thereby augmenting the semantic depth of text encodings and improving model learning efficacy. Inplace tuning is achieved by performing parameter-efficient fine-tuning [15] for language models, thereby allowing it to reparameterizing internal encoding patterns without changing the original model structure. Furthermore, we develop cross-modal self-distillation to refine multimodal alignment between visual features and the augmented textual features in the fusion layers. Our experimental outcomes on GLIP-T, GLIP-L, and G-DINO-T showcase the remarkable efficacy of the

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

74

76

79

80

81

82

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

Unpublished working draft. Not for distribution.

<sup>55</sup> 

<sup>56</sup> 

ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia

#### Anonymous Authors



Figure 1: A comparison of the baseline detector and our refined MIPT Framework. (a) Fully pretrained large detection models, such as GLIP, experience degradation in the language model, which makes encoding rich semantics for downstream categories challenging (especially failing to detect the fine-grained categories, such as various breeds of cats.). Resolving this issue through full parameter fine-tuning would entail substantial costs. (b) By diagnosing the redundant parts of the pretrained language model within the detector, we introduce visual clues into these redundant layers and employ inplace tuning with minimal parameters. This enhances text encoding and achieves precise fine-tuning with few samples for downstream tasks.

MIPT framework, yielding substantial performance enhancements. Notably, GLIP-L (MIPT) demonstrates an impressive average precision improvement of 9.0% on the LVIS Val v1.0, reaching 25.0% on the ODinW-35, thus affirming our approach's capability to significantly elevate detection accuracy across diverse datasets.

Our contributions can be summarized as:

- (1) We are the first to identify redundancy in attention distribution when integrating large language models into detection frameworks, which emphasizing the need for novel methods to improve fine-tuning and maximize their detection capabilities.
- (2) We introduce the Jensen-Shannon Redundancy metric to efficiently evaluate and pinpoint attention pattern redundancies in language models during fine-tuning for detection tasks, enhancing optimization across transformer heads in multimodal settings.
  - (3) We propose Multimodal Inplace Prompt Tuning, a novel approach that recalibrates prompt representations using visual cues for language models. This adjustment is achieved without altering the original model structure, thus maintaining the integrity and generalizability of the model while significantly improving its performance in detection tasks.

# 2 RELATED WORK

## 2.1 Open-set Object Detection

The domain of open-set object detection has rapidly evolved with the integration of large language models and multimodal learning frameworks [19, 30, 54, 57, 58, 60, 66, 71, 73–76]. MDETR [17] introduces a model that aligns text phrases with visual regions

using a DETR-like architecture. This method improves object detection by using textual queries to guide the detection, resulting in a more versatile understanding of visual content. GenerateU [31], which aims to identify and name objects within images without relying on predefined categories, thus extending beyond the limitations of traditional object detection methods. Works such as GLIP [25] and Grounding DINO [34] have demonstrated the potential of grounding object detection in language, formulating detection as a problem of language grounding to learn instancelevel visual representations with deep language-aware fusion. APE [48] combines detection and grounding into a unified model that can handle diverse tasks simultaneously. While previous work has significantly advanced open-set object detection, training largescale multimodal detection frameworks still requires substantial computational power and data. Therefore, efficiently fine-tuning existing detection frameworks to better adapt to new downstream data presents a cost-effective solution. In this paper, we introduce multimodal inplace prompt tuning. This approach involves freezing the original model and fine-tuning a small number of added parameters to enhance detection performance for better adaptation.

# 2.2 Parameter-efficient Fine-tuning

By freezing most of the pretrained parameters and only updating a subset, models can achieve improved performance on downstream tasks [2, 3, 9, 18, 20, 29, 40, 49, 70, 72]. Adapter Tuning [14] have paved the way for more nuanced and efficient adaptation strategies with customized adapters. Since large language models are sensitive to prompt, Prefix-Tuning [27] and P-Tuning [35, 36] enable fine-tuning of models in a more efficient manner, focusing on the

#### ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia



Figure 2: An illustration of our proposed methods. To analyze the redundancy in the language model within the detector and precisely identify which parameters need fine-tuning, we consider both the variance within the attention distribution of the attention heads and between heads, based on the JSR. This approach helps to assess the level of redundancy in an attention layer. Then, by ranking the degrees of redundancy, we can accurately pinpoint the locations that require fine-tuning. After adding a small number of trainable parameters through LoRA in the language model, we concatenate pre-selected visual exemplars with the original category prompt as input. This allows the original language model to learn to refine the classification boundaries through visual exemplars via the MIPT approach.

adaptation of prompt rather than the parameters within the model. The existing methods for adapting large language models, such as adapters, often result in unwanted side effects like inference latency, and the optimization of prompt tuning can be particularly challenging. LoRA [15] employs a low-rank decomposition approach to simulate parameter changes, where the updated weights of the trained low-rank matrices are simply added together to complete the update process. However, the wide variety of above methods and tasks makes choosing the appropriate one a challenge. UniPELT [41] further incorporates various methods into the transformer architecture. However, the fine-tuning methods mentioned above primarily involve adjustments from unimodality and do not concern the learning for multimodal data. To this end, our work aim to aggregate the multimodal information by tuning the text prompt with visual clues through low-rank adaptation.

# 2.3 Multimodal Framework With Visual Prompt

As model sizes increase, especially with large language models, a single set of weights is sufficient to tackle tasks across multiple modalities [5, 6, 12, 28, 33, 43, 51-53, 62, 63, 65, 68]. Inspired by this, MQ-Det [59] proposes modulated pre-training, enabling the detection models to utilize both textual and visual queries without extensive re-training. DINOv [22] delves deeper into visual in-context prompting for open-set segmentation, enabling the model to utilize provided bounding boxes and points as visual prompts. T-Rex2 [16] further addresses the challenge of long-tail categories in open-set detection through the use of visual in-context instructions. 

Constructing detection boundaries for open-set detection often requires the assistance of large language models, but few work has been done to examine whether changes in these language model are adequately adapted to visual tasks. Our approach investigates the redundancy issue of language models in a multimodal framework. It utilizes visual clues to address the suboptimal encoding of text prompts caused by this redundancy.

# 3 METHOD

Training large-scale detection models from scratch incurs considerable computational and data costs [17, 33, 60]. Although previous studies have sought efficient strategies to enhance performance within existing detection frameworks [59, 67], there has been scant research on the suitability of language model modifications for detection tasks. As illustrated in the half bottom of Figure 2, our methods start by evaluating the positions of redundancy within the language model using Jensen-Shannon Redundancy (Sec. 3.2). Then, at the redundant positions, we introduce information from the visual counterpart by multimodal inplace prompt tuning(Sec. 3.3). Furthermore, we can use the features of visual regions and text embeddings after fusion module for further cross-modal distillation and alignment.(Sec. 3.4).

#### 3.1 Preliminary

Our methods mainly based on the grounding paradigm detection framework [25, 34]. As illustrated on the top of Figure 2, images are

first encoded into visual tokens by a visual encoder (e.g. Swin Trans-349 former [38], ViT [7]). Meanwhile, the text of the categories (e.g. 350 351 cat-Abyssinian. cat-Bengal. cat-Persian...), linked together by periods ".", forms the prompt for detection (e.g. prompt: [cat-Abyssinian. 352 cat-Bengal. cat-Persian]). Similar to image encoding, this prompt 353 is fed into the language model (e.g. BERT [4], RoBERTa [37]) and 354 355 encoded into text tokens. After implementing separate encodings for different modalities, deep fusion of visual and text features is 356 357 essential for learning a high-performance phrase grounding model 358 [23, 24, 26, 39, 50]. Through the modal fusion module, a deep integration between the image and language embeddings occurs, 359 merging multimodal information in the final layers of encoding. 360 By utilizing region-word alignment with contrastive learning, posi-361 tive sample pairs of the same category are brought closer together, 362 while negative ones are pushed further apart. Finally, the model 363 364 learns how to perform classification and bounding box regression in detection head from a large amount of region-text pairs. 365

Examining the entire pipeline of open-set multimodal detection, 366 367 large language models play vital role within it. World knowledge pre-trained in large language models aids in constructing effective 368 classification boundaries. Via full-scale training, although it enables 369 370 large language models with world knowledge to better align with 371 detection tasks, it can lead to degradation of attention patterns. If used directly in downstream task inference, it might not perform 372 well, especially for long-tail and fine-grained categories. Continu-373 374 ing to resolve this issue through full-model training would further consume substantial training resources. MQ-Det [59] is the first to 375 integrate visual queries into an established language-only query 376 377 detector. However, MQ-Det introduces visual information into text encoding through a cascaded attention module, then empirically 378 determines the optimal placement of this new module within the 379 380 language model. This hinders the effective utilization of added 381 parameters and the cost of model inference. Our study addresses 382 redundancy in language model detectors by using metrics to effi-383 ciently introduce learnable parameters and improve performance 384 through inplace prompt tuning without raising costs.

#### 3.2 Jensen-Shannon Redundancy (JSR)

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

406

We first introduce the Jensen-Shannon Redundancy (JSR). This novel approach aims to identify redundancy within the attention mechanisms of large language models. JSR applies the Jensen-Shannon divergence to evaluate and minimize redundancy within the attention mechanisms of large language models. This method quantifies divergence in attention distribution both within individual transformer heads (intra-head redundancy) and across different heads (inter-head redundancy). By pinpointing where attention patterns are overly uniform or duplicated, it identifies the most redundant parts of the model.

400 3.2.1 Intra-head Redundancy. Intra-head Redundancy is calculated 401 to measure the redundancy of attention distributions within each 402 individual head of a transformer layer. Given a head h with token 403 length L, let  $D_{i,h}$  represent the attention distribution for the *i*-404 th token, corresponding to the sequence positions. The average 405 attention distribution  $\overline{D}_h$  for head h is computed as the mean of 407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

the attention distributions across all token positions:

$$\bar{D}_{h} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} D_{i,h}.$$
 (1)

Subsequently, the Jensen-Shannon divergence  $JS(D_{i,h}||\bar{D}_h)$  is calculated for each token distribution  $D_{i,h}$  relative to the average distribution  $\bar{D}_h$ . The intra-head redundancy  $U_{\text{intra},h}$  for head h is then defined as the mean Jensen-Shannon divergence across all tokens, which is:

$$U_{\text{intra},h} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} JS(D_{i,h} || \bar{D}_h).$$
(2)

3.2.2 Inter-head Redundancy. Inter-head Redundancy evaluates the consistency of attention distributions across the different heads within the same layer. For each token position *i*, the average attention distribution  $\bar{D}_i$  across all heads *H*, is calculated as:

$$\bar{D}_{i} = \frac{1}{H} \sum_{h=1}^{H} D_{i,h}.$$
(3)

The Jensen-Shannon divergence  $JS(D_{i,h}||\bar{D}_i)$  is then computed for the distribution of each head *h* compared with the average distribution  $\bar{D}_i$ . The inter-head uniformity  $U_{\text{inter}}$  for the layer is determined by averaging these divergences across all token positions, yielding:

$$U_{\text{inter}} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \frac{1}{H} \sum_{h=1}^{H} JS(D_{i,h} || \bar{D}_i).$$
(4)

The final metric for assessing the importance of a layer,  $I_{\text{layer}}$ , is computed as a sum of the weighted intra-head uniformity for all heads and the inter-head uniformity, adjusted by a hyperparameter  $\lambda$  to ensure both components contribute on a comparable scale:

$$R_{\text{layer}} = \lambda \cdot \sum_{h=1}^{H} U_{\text{intra},h} + U_{\text{inter}},$$
(5)

where  $\lambda$  is selected to balance the contributions of intra-head and inter-head redundancy, facilitating a comprehensive assessment of the significance of each layer within the language model.

By employing the JSR, we effectively pinpoint superfluous attention patterns and determine the optimal subset of parameters for fine-tuning. This strategy not only reduces the computational overhead but also ensures that performance enhancements are substantial by strategically targeting areas of the model that contribute the least to information diversity. Further details will be elaborated in the experimental section.

# 3.3 Multimodal Inplace Prompt Tuning (MIPT)

Building upon our proposed Jensen-Shannon Redundancy metric, the next stage of model refinement is implemented through Multimodal Inplace Prompt Tuning. This process aims to enrich the model's text prompts with visual context, thereby addressing the challenge of redundant attention patterns and enhancing prompt representations inplace for improved object detection in open-world scenarios.

| A     | lgorithm 1: PyTorch-style Pseudocode for MIPT   |
|-------|---|
| (1) ‡ | <pre># Initialization of MIPTSelfAttention</pre>  |
| (2)   | :lass MIPTSelfAttention(BertSelfAttention):   |
| (3)   | <pre>definit(self, config):</pre>   |
| (4)   | <pre>super()init(config)</pre>  |
| (5)   | <pre>self.register_parameter("vision_gate", nn.Parameter(torch.zeros(1)))</pre>   |
| (6)   | <pre>self.Q.add_lora()</pre>  |
| (7)   | <pre>self.V.add_lora()</pre>  |
| (8)   | # Forward pass with text and vision inputs  |
| (9)   | <pre>def forward(self, text, vision, text_attention_mask, vision_attention_mask):</pre>                                     |
| (10)  | # The total number of visual exemplars in the vision clues bank   |
| (11)  | vision = self.project_and_norm(vision)  |
| (12)  | <pre>num_vision = vision_attention_mask.shape[-1]</pre>   |
| (13)  | hidden_states = torch.cat((vision, text), dim=1)  |
| (14)  | # QKV operations  |
| (15)  | query_layer, key_layer, value_layer = self.QKV(hidden_states)   |
| (16)  | attention_scores = calc_attention_score(query_layer, key_layer)   |
| (17)  | # Separate visual and textual information   |
| (18)  | vision_value_layer = value_layer[:, :, :num_vision, :]  |
| (19)  | text_value_layer = value_layer[:, :, num_vision:, :]  |
| (20)  | <pre>vision_attention_scores = attention_scores[:, :, num_vision:, :num_vision]</pre>                                       |
| (21)  | <pre>text_attention_scores = attention_scores[:, :, num_vision:, num_vision:]</pre>   |
| (22)  | # Calculate context layer by weighting value layer  |
| (23)  | <pre>text_context_layer = calc_context(text_attention_scores, text_attention_mask, text_value_layer)</pre>                  |
| (24)  | <pre>vision_context_layer = calc_context(vision_attention_scores, vision_attention_mask,</pre>                              |
| (0.5) | V1S10n_Value_layer)   |
| (25)  | # moual merge using vision gate<br>context lawar = tarch tarb(calf vision gate) + vision context lawar + taxt context lawar |
| (26)  | # Dechape and return output   |
| (27)  | # result = (context layer, text attention correc) if output attentions also (context layer)                                 |
| (28)  | outputs - (context_rayer, text_attention_scores) if output_attentions else (Context_rayer,)                                 |
| 29)   |   |

3.3.1 Vision Clues Preparation. Following MQ-Det [59], we expand the coordinates of all bounding boxes by a factor of 1.5, allowing us to capture the contextual backdrop of each target object. Subsequently, the raw images are processed through a visual encoder and a FPN [32] to extract multi-scale feature representations. ROI Align [46] is then employed to project the expanded bounding boxes onto the corresponding feature scale, extracting the target features from the feature map. These extracted object features are cataloged, forming a comprehensive visual clue bank that serves as a reservoir of candidates for subsequent utilization in our MIPT framework.

3.3.2 Inplace Prompt Tuning via Visual Clues. Leveraging JSR, next step begins with a thorough evaluation of redundancy across var-ious layers of the language model. This assessment facilitates an ordered layer selection based on the degree of redundancy, identifying those that are most amendable to enhancement, as shown in Figure 4. Subsequently, we freeze the attention parameters of the original redundant layers. As shown in the line 6 and 7 of the Algo-rithm 1, a LoRA[15] bypass is then integrated into the query and value linear layers of the attention mechanism. The mathematical 

expression is as follows:

$$W = W_0 x + \Delta W x = W_0 x + BAx \tag{6}$$

In equation 6,  $W_0$  represents the frozen pretrained weight matrix of the model. *B* and *A* are the trainable rank decomposition matrices, specifically introduced during the adaptation process. *x* denotes the input to the weight matrix. By refining the model in this fashion, we facilitate the language model's ability to construct nuanced classification boundaries enriched with visual context. This not only streamlines the integration process but also preserves computational efficiency, as the core structural elements of the language model are left unaltered, thereby avoiding the need for additional inference modules.

The core of Multimodal Inplace Prompt Tuning lies in the fusion of self-attention results (context layers) derived from both visual and textual streams. This fusion is guided by the learnable vision gate  $\gamma$ , initialized in the line 5 of the Algorithm 1, which modulates the influence of visual information on the merged attention result (context layer).

During the forward pass, the process starts by projecting and normalizing visual inputs. Visual counterparts are first passed through ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696



Figure 3: An illustration of Cross modal Self-distillation. After the transformation through the fusion block, the image features and text features are further enhanced in terms of relevant information within each modality, while irrelevant information is diminished. Through Cross modal Selfdistillation, the LoRA module is adjusted to allow the fusion block to learn to finely discern areas of high relevance in the target images based on the detached text features.

a linear transformation and normalization process. Specifically, given a set of visual embeddings, we apply a linear transformation to match the dimensionality of the text embeddings. This transformed visual information is then normalized to ensure stability and consistency in the scale of features, as shown in the line 11. The process is followed by concatenating these visual features with textual inputs to create a unified feature set, as shown in line 13. The purpose of this is to keep the computational process of the original text encoding unchanged. This combined feature set is then processed through a modified attention mechanism, first by performing Query, Key, Value (QKV) operations, as shown in line 15, and then by calculating attention scores to establish relevancies across the concatenated multimodal data, as shown in line 16. Distinctly, this method separates visual and textual features, as illustrated from the line 18 to line 21 in the Algorithm 1, computes attention results for each modality by applying their respective attention scores. The textual self-attention results ( $C_T$ ), as shown in the line 23, is obtained directly from the textual stream's attention mechanism, without further modification. This preserves the integrity and richness of textual information, serving as a robust foundation for subsequent fusion. Meanwhile, to obtain the visual self-attention results ( $C_V$ ), the attention of the text token will be calculated to assign the weight of several visual examples of the same class, thus weighting these visual examples to obtain a visual counterpart  $C_V$  optimized for this text token, as shown in line 24.

A pivotal aspect of this method is inplace tuning for the visual self-attention results using the shared attention from the text, which is achieved through the application of Low-Rank Adaptation [15] to fine-tune the existing attention weights. The modal merge operation, as shown in line 26, computes the final modal-merged results *C* by combining both modalities:

$$C = \tanh(\gamma) \cdot C_V + C_T \tag{7}$$

This equation illustrates how the model dynamically adjusts the contribution of visual information, ensuring that the final attention result leverages complementary cues from both modalities. The addition of  $C_T$  directly, without scaling, ensures that textual information retains its foundational role, while  $tanh(\gamma) \cdot C_V$  introduces a modulated visual perspective.

#### 3.4 Cross modal Self-distillation (CMSD)

Continuing with MIPT, we further delve into the Cross modal Selfdistillation method. Take the fusion module of GLIP for example, which contains three submodules:

$$I_{i2t}^{i}, T_{i2t}^{i} = \text{CrossAttn}(I^{i}, T^{i}), \quad i \in \{0, 1, \dots, L-1\}$$
 (8)

$$I^{i+1} = \text{ImageEncoderLayer}(I^i + I^i_{i2t}), \tag{9}$$

$$T^{l+1} = \text{TextEncoderLayer}(T^{l} + T^{l}_{i2t}), \tag{10}$$

where L is the number of fusion block, CrossAttn denotes the fusion operation for text and image. ImageEncoderLayer takes the image features  $I^i$  and image features reconstructed from text  $I^i_{t2i}$  as inputs. In a similar way, TextEncoderLayer takes the text features  $T^i$  and text features reconstructed from image  $T^i_{i2t}$  as inputs. CMSD uses the output from CrossAttn as input for the cosine similarity loss, with the goal of further aligning the semantic gap between image regions and category prompts. Concretely, as depicted in Figure 3, firstly, text tokens and corresponding target image undergo CrossAttn fusion, where their features are combined. After fusion, the text features are detached from the computation graph to serve as targets, and then, based on the cosine distance, the gap between the image features and the text features is narrowed. We freeze the original parameters of CrossAttn in the fusion block and then introduce a trainable LoRA module to encourage CrossAttn to better distill cross-modal knowledge. This optimizes the congruence between the text token and corresponding ground truth region. This is mathematically expressed as:

Align = 
$$\frac{1}{N_{\text{num_gt}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{num_gt}}} \left(1 - \text{CosSim}(I^{i+1}, T^{i+1})\right)$$
 (11)

In this formula,  $N_{\text{num_gt}}$  denotes the number of ground truth regions in the image,  $I^{i+1}$  symbolizes the pooled visual features, and  $T^{i+1}$  represents the targeted text embeddings. The Cross modal Self-distillation seeks to minimize this alignment loss, ensuring that the visual features are finely tuned to reflect the textual data, thus fostering a deep, semantic synergy between the visual and textual information. This process improves the model's ability to recognize and categorize objects based on both visual and textual cues, bridging the gap between the abstract semantic knowledge in language models and the concrete visual information captured by the detection system.

#### **4 EXPERIMENT**

# 4.1 Experimental Setup

4.1.1 *Benchmarks.* In our research, we have assessed the effectiveness of our proposed methods across two distinctive benchmarks to demonstrate their robustness and versatility in object detection tasks.

The first benchmark utilized is the LVIS dataset [11], which contains a wide array of detection targets spanning more than 1200 categories. This dataset is notably leveraged for evaluating detection outcomes on long-tail distributed data. Consistent with

ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia

| Model           | Backbone | LVIS MiniVal (%) |        |        | LVIS Val v1.0 (%) |      |        | %)     | ODinW-13 (%) | ODinW-35 (%)      |                   |
|-----------------|----------|------------------|--------|--------|-------------------|------|--------|--------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Widdel          |          | AP               | $AP_r$ | $AP_c$ | $AP_f$            | AP   | $AP_r$ | $AP_c$ | $AP_f$       | AP <sub>avg</sub> | AP <sub>avg</sub> |
| MDETR [17]      | R101     | 24.2             | 20.9   | 24.9   | 24.3              | 22.5 | 7.4    | 22.7   | 25.0         | 25.1              | 10.7              |
| GLIP-T [25]     | Swin-T   | 26.0             | 20.8   | 21.4   | 31.0              | 17.2 | 10.1   | 12.5   | 25.5         | 41.9              | 18.7              |
| GLIP-L [25]     | Swin-L   | 37.3             | 28.2   | 34.3   | 41.5              | 26.9 | 17.1   | 23.3   | 35.4         | 51.0              | 22.6              |
| GLIPv2-T [67]   | Swin-T   | 29.0             | -      | -      | -                 | -    | -      | -      | -            | 50.7              | 22.3              |
| G-DINO-T [34]   | Swin-T   | 25.7             | 15.2   | 21.9   | 30.9              | -    | -      | -      | -            | 49.8              | 21.7              |
| BARON [56]      | R50      | -                | -      | -      | -                 | 29.5 | 23.2   | 29.3   | 32.5         | -                 | -                 |
| OWL-ViT [42]    | ViT-L    | -                | -      | -      | -                 | 34.6 | -      | -      | -            | -                 | 18.8              |
| MQ-GLIP-T [59]  | Swin-T   | 30.4             | 21.0   | 27.5   | 34.6              | 22.6 | 15.4   | 18.4   | 30.4         | 45.6              | 20.8              |
| MQ-GLIP-L [59]  | Swin-L   | 43.4             | 34.5   | 41.2   | 46.9              | 34.7 | 26.9   | 32.0   | 41.3         | 54.1              | 23.9              |
| G-DINO-T (MIPT) | Swin-T   | 29.5             | 17.4   | 25.5   | 35.2              | 21.9 | 11.2   | 17.3   | 31.7         | 49.7              | 21.9              |
| GLIP-T (MIPT)   | Swin-T   | 30.4             | 20.7   | 26.9   | 35.1              | 23.1 | 17.8   | 18.4   | 30.5         | 47.3              | 21.8              |
| GLIP-L (MIPT)   | Swin-L   | 45.0             | 36.9   | 42.8   | 48.5              | 35.9 | 28.7   | 32.9   | 42.3         | 54.1              | 25.0              |

Table 1: Directly transferred evaluation on multiple benchmarks. "-" indicates that the work does not have a reported number.



Figure 4: An illustration of redundancy evaluation via JSR.

GLIP, for directly transferred evaluation, owing to its extensive category range where not all classes can be included in a single text prompt, we segmented the categories into multiple prompts. Each prompt encapsulated 40 classes, and the model was queried multiple times using varying prompts. The performance was reported on both the LVIS Minival subset, comprising 5000 images, and the comprehensive LVIS V1.0 validation set.

The second benchmark is the ODinW dataset [21], which aggregates 35 public object detection datasets to evaluate the comprehensive performance of pretrained large-scale detection models. To further evaluate core model performance, we utilized its less noisy subset, ODinW-13, which provides a concentrated measure of a model's fundamental detection capabilities.

4.1.2 Implementation details. In the implementation details of our experiments, we employed GLIP [25] and G-DINO [34] as our baseline detectors. Firstly, through the Jensen-Shannon Redundancy analysis, we pinpointed the layers with the highest redundancy within the large language models, selecting the top six for further optimization. For instance, as illustrated in the Figure 4, we utilized Jensen-Shannon Redundancy to assess the redundancy of the 12 attention layers in the BERT[4] within GLIP-T. Based on these results, we selected the 7-12 layers of the BERT model for subsequent fine-tuning. We then incorporated the LoRA module (via MIPT) serving as tunable parameters to adjust original attention weights for different modalities. Pre-extracted visual exemplars were utilized. For each category, five random images were chosen and processed through the vision encoder and ROIPool [46] to extract specific regional features [59]. For pre-training on Object365 [47], we conducted a single epoch with all original model parameters frozen, adjusting only the newly introduced parameters. During this stage, the learning rate for LoRA was set to 0.00001, and the learning rate for the gates was 0.0005. The pre-training utilized 8 V100 GPUs for GLIP-T and G-DINO-T, and 16 V100 GPUs were employed for GLIP-L.

# 4.2 Main Results

4.2.1 Comparison with The Baselines. In the comparison of our methods, we benchmarked against several leading approaches in open-vocabulary detection as shown in the Table 1, including MDETR [17], GLIP [25], G-DINO [34], BARON [56], and OWL-ViT [42], which utilize various backbones like ResNet101 [13], Swin Transformers [38], and Vision Transformers [7]. Our experiments revealed that the MIPT-enhanced versions of G-DINO-T, GLIP-T, and GLIP-L significantly outperformed their original counterparts. For example, G-DINO-T (MIPT) improved its Average Precision (AP) on the ODinW-13 benchmark by 3.8%, and GLIP-T (MIPT) showed an increase of 4.4% on the LVIS MiniVal dataset. Most notably, GLIP-L (MIPT) demonstrated exceptional performance, not only in comparison with its baseline model-achieving an AP increase of 7.7% on LVIS MiniVal-but also outshining all other models across every benchmark dataset, including the highest AP on ODinW-35 at 25.0%. This comprehensive performance uplift underscores the advantage of the MIPT framework in enhancing detection systems. MIPT's fine-tuning of under 1% of the model's parameters underscores the framework's efficiency, achieving state-of-the-art results with minimal parameter increase, a testament to the efficacy of incorporating visual clues and targeted parameter updates.

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

870

4.2.2 Few-shot Tuning. Furthermore, we conducted a comparative 813 study on the effectiveness of few-shot tuning. As shown in the 814 Table 2, GLIP-T model fine-tunes across all its parameters, total-815 ing 233.4 million, which is resource-intensive and less adaptable 816 to rapid deployment scenarios. In contrast, our MIPT-enhanced 817 GLIP-T involves fine-tuning less than 1% of the total parameters, 818 a stark reduction that still results in superior performance. In the 819 3-shot and 5-shot settings on the ODinW-13 benchmark, GLIP-T 820 821 (MIPT) not only demonstrates a notable increase in accuracy (57.2% 822 and 58.2%, respectively) but does so with an incredibly marginal trainable parameters (only 0.8% compared with original GLIP-T). 823 This illustrates the efficiency of MIPT in achieving high precision 824 in object detection with minimal computational overhead, making 825 it particularly suitable for applications requiring quick adaptation 826 to new environments with limited examples. 827

| Model         | Trainable parame  | ODinW-13 (%) |        |  |
|---------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|--|
| Widdel        | Trainable paranis | 3-shot       | 5-shot |  |
| GLIP-T [25]   | 233.4M            | 54.9         | 56.4   |  |
| GLIP-T (MITP) | 1.94M             | 57.2         | 58.2   |  |

Table 2: Comparison of GLIP-T (fully-finetuned) and GLIP-T with Multimodal Inplace Prompt Tuning (MITP) on the ODinW-13 benchmark in 3-shot and 5-shot settings, showing performance improvements with minimal parameter increase.

4.2.3 Visualization of Redundant Attention Mechanisms. The visualizations shown in the Figure 5 represent the attention mechanisms within certain heads of a transformer model, specifically focusing on the redundancy of these mechanisms. Each vertical panel depicts the attention distribution from one particular head (e.g., Head 0-6 denotes head 6 in layer 0, Head 3-11 denotes head 11 in layer 3, etc.) to prompt concatenated by various category tokens such as 'ball', 'ballet skirt', and 'banana'. The strength and pattern of attention, indicated by the thickness and the number of lines connecting tokens, highlight the model's focus. For instance, denser clusters suggest concentrated attention, which could signal redundancy if such patterns do not contribute to diverse semantic understanding. These visualizations are critical for identifying and rectifying redundant attention, thereby improving the model's efficiency and accuracy. This process forms an integral part of optimizing language models for detection tasks, as explored in our experiments.

4.2.4 Ablation Study. Our ablation study investigates the individ-860 ual and combined effects of Jensen-Shannon Redundancy (JSR), 861 Cross-modal Self-distillation, and Multimodal Inplace Prompt Tun-862 ing (MIPT) on the performance of our object detection model. The 863 study's findings, illustrated in the Table 3, clearly demonstrate 864 the incremental improvements achieved by integrating these com-865 ponents into our framework. Initially, without any of these tech-866 niques, the model (original GLIP-T) achieves baseline performances 867 868 of 41.9% on ODinW-13 and 26.0% on LVIS MiniVal. Implementing MIPT to the first 6 layers of BERT improves these scores to 43.2% 869

#### Anonymous Authors



Figure 5: illustrates the difference between a pretrained BERT model(the first row) and the BERT model within GLIP that has been fine-tuned for a detection task(the second row). It indicates that in GLIP, the diversity of the heads in BERT gradually diminishes as a result of subsequent fine-tuning.

and 29.4%, respectively. The addition of JSR further enhances performance, indicating its effectiveness in reducing redundancy and focusing model training on impactful features. Combining all three techniques yields the best results, pushing the scores to 47.3% on ODinW-13 and 30.4% on LVIS MiniVal, validating our approach in enhancing detection accuracy through strategic component integration.

| JSR | Distillation | MIPT | ODinW-13 (%) | LVIS MiniVal (%) |
|-----|--------------|------|--------------|------------------|
| X   | ×            | ×    | 41.9         | 26.0             |
| X   | ×            | 1    | 43.2         | 29.4             |
| 1   | ×            | 1    | 46.8         | 30.1             |
| ~   | 1            | 1    | 47.3         | 30.4             |

 Table 3: Ablation on Jensen-Shannon Redundancy, Cross

 modal Self-distillation and Multimodal Inplace Prompt Tun 

 ing

# 5 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we present a novel strategy for enhancing open-set object detection by integrating large language models with multimodal inplace prompt tuning Framework. Our approach starts with the utilization of Jensen-Shannon Redundancy (JSR) to pinpoint and mitigate redundant attention patterns in language models adapted for detection tasks. This targeted fine-tuning improves computational efficiency and detection effectiveness. Further incorporation of MIPT, which enriches text prompts with visual clues, significantly boosts performance on the LVIS and ODinW datasets, demonstrating notable accuracy and adaptability improvements, especially in few-shot scenarios. Our findings highlight the transformative potential of combining metric-based redundancy evaluations with multimodal fine-tuning to optimize large language models for complex detection frameworks. Future work could expand this approach to include more modalities and applications, propelling further advancements in multimodal learning and object detection.

#### ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

#### 929 **REFERENCES**

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

- Ankan Bansal, Karan Sikka, Gaurav Sharma, Rama Chellappa, and Ajay Divakaran. 2018. Zero-shot object detection. In Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV). 384–400.
- [2] Arnav Chavan, Zhuang Liu, Deepak Gupta, Eric Xing, and Zhiqiang Shen. 2023. One-for-All: Generalized LoRA for Parameter-Efficient Fine-tuning. arXiv:2306.07967 [cs.LG]
- [3] Tim Dettmers, Artidoro Pagnoni, Ari Holtzman, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2024. Qlora: Efficient finetuning of quantized llms. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36 (2024).
- [4] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2018. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805 (2018).
- [5] Xiaoyi Dong, Pan Zhang, Yuhang Zang, Yuhang Cao, Bin Wang, Linke Ouyang, Xilin Wei, Songyang Zhang, Haodong Duan, Maosong Cao, Wenwei Zhang, Yining Li, Hang Yan, Yang Gao, Xinyue Zhang, Wei Li, Jingwen Li, Kai Chen, Conghui He, Xingcheng Zhang, Yu Qiao, Dahua Lin, and Jiaqi Wang. 2024. InternLM-XComposer2: Mastering Free-form Text-Image Composition and Comprehension in Vision-Language Large Model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.16420 (2024).
- [6] Xiaoyi Dong, Pan Zhang, Yuhang Zang, Yuhang Cao, Bin Wang, Linke Ouyang, Songyang Zhang, Haodong Duan, Wenwei Zhang, Yining Li, Hang Yan, Yang Gao, Zhe Chen, Xinyue Zhang, Wei Li, Jingwen Li, Wenhai Wang, Kai Chen, Conghui He, Xingcheng Zhang, Jifeng Dai, Yu Qiao, Dahua Lin, and Jiaqi Wang. 2024. InternLM-XComposer2-4KHD: A Pioneering Large Vision-Language Model Handling Resolutions from 336 Pixels to 4K HD. arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.06512 (2024).
- [7] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, et al. 2020. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929 (2020).
- [8] Yu Du, Fangyun Wei, Zihe Zhang, Miaojing Shi, Yue Gao, and Guoqi Li. 2022. Learning to prompt for open-vocabulary object detection with vision-language model. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 14084–14093.
- [9] Peng Gao, Shijie Geng, Renrui Zhang, Teli Ma, Rongyao Fang, Yongfeng Zhang, Hongsheng Li, and Yu Qiao. 2024. Clip-adapter: Better vision-language models with feature adapters. *International Journal of Computer Vision* 132, 2 (2024), 581–595.
- [10] Ross Girshick. 2015. Fast r-cnn. In Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision. 1440–1448.
- [11] Agrim Gupta, Piotr Dollar, and Ross Girshick. 2019. Lvis: A dataset for large vocabulary instance segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 5356–5364.
- [12] Bo He, Hengduo Li, Young Kyun Jang, Menglin Jia, Xuefei Cao, Ashish Shah, Abhinav Shrivastava, and Ser-Nam Lim. 2024. MA-LMM: Memory-Augmented Large Multimodal Model for Long-Term Video Understanding. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR).
- [13] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. 2016. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 770–778.
- [14] Neil Houlsby, Andrei Giurgiu, Stanislaw Jastrzebski, Bruna Morrone, Quentin De Laroussilhe, Andrea Gesmundo, Mona Attariyan, and Sylvain Gelly. 2019. Parameter-efficient transfer learning for NLP. In International conference on machine learning. PMLR, 2790–2799.
- [15] Edward J Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, and Weizhu Chen. 2021. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09685 (2021).
- [16] Qing Jiang, Feng Li, Zhaoyang Zeng, Tianhe Ren, Shilong Liu, and Lei Zhang. 2024. T-Rex2: Towards Generic Object Detection via Text-Visual Prompt Synergy. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.14610 (2024).
- [17] Aishwarya Kamath, Mannat Singh, Yann LeCun, Gabriel Synnaeve, Ishan Misra, and Nicolas Carion. 2021. Mdetr-modulated detection for end-to-end multimodal understanding. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 1780–1790.
- [18] Rabeeh Karimi Mahabadi, James Henderson, and Sebastian Ruder. 2021. Compacter: Efficient low-rank hypercomplex adapter layers. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 34 (2021), 1022–1035.
- [19] Weicheng Kuo, Yin Cui, Xiuye Gu, AJ Piergiovanni, and Anelia Angelova. 2022. Fvlm: Open-vocabulary object detection upon frozen vision and language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.15639 (2022).
- [20] Brian Lester, Rami Al-Rfou, and Noah Constant. 2021. The power of scale for parameter-efficient prompt tuning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.08691 (2021).
- [21] Chunyuan Li, Haotian Liu, Liunian Li, Pengchuan Zhang, Jyoti Aneja, Jianwei Yang, Ping Jin, Houdong Hu, Zicheng Liu, Yong Jae Lee, et al. 2022. Elevater: A benchmark and toolkit for evaluating language-augmented visual models. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35 (2022), 9287–9301.

- [22] Feng Li, Qing Jiang, Hao Zhang, Tianhe Ren, Shilong Liu, Xueyan Zou, Huaizhe Xu, Hongyang Li, Chunyuan Li, Jianwei Yang, et al. 2023. Visual In-Context Prompting. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.13601 (2023).
- [23] Gen Li, Nan Duan, Yuejian Fang, Ming Gong, and Daxin Jiang. 2020. Unicoder-vl: A universal encoder for vision and language by cross-modal pre-training. In Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence, Vol. 34. 11336–11344.
- [24] Liunian Harold Li, Mark Yatskar, D Yin, CJ Hsieh, and KW Chang. 1908. Visualbert: A simple and performant baseline for vision and language. arXiv 2019. arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.03557 3 (1908).
- [25] Liunian Harold Li, Pengchuan Zhang, Haotian Zhang, Jianwei Yang, Chunyuan Li, Yiwu Zhong, Lijuan Wang, Lu Yuan, Lei Zhang, Jenq-Neng Hwang, et al. 2022. Grounded language-image pre-training. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 10965–10975.
- [26] Xiujun Li, Xi Yin, Chunyuan Li, Pengchuan Zhang, Xiaowei Hu, Lei Zhang, Lijuan Wang, Houdong Hu, Li Dong, Furu Wei, et al. 2020. Oscar: Object-semantics aligned pre-training for vision-language tasks. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XXX 16.* Springer, 121–137.
- [27] Xiang Lisa Li and Percy Liang. 2021. Prefix-tuning: Optimizing continuous prompts for generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.00190 (2021).
- [28] Yanwei Li, Yuechen Zhang, Chengyao Wang, Zhisheng Zhong, Yixin Chen, Ruihang Chu, Shaoteng Liu, and Jiaya Jia. 2023. Mini-Gemini: Mining the Potential of Multi-modality Vision Language Models. arXiv:2403.18814 (2023).
- [29] Dongze Lian, Daquan Zhou, Jiashi Feng, and Xinchao Wang. 2022. Scaling & shifting your features: A new baseline for efficient model tuning. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35 (2022), 109–123.
- [30] Feng Liang, Bichen Wu, Xiaoliang Dai, Kunpeng Li, Yinan Zhao, Hang Zhang, Peizhao Zhang, Peter Vajda, and Diana Marculescu. 2023. Open-vocabulary semantic segmentation with mask-adapted clip. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 7061–7070.
- [31] Chuang Lin, Yi Jiang, Lizhen Qu, Zehuan Yuan, and Jianfei Cai. 2024. Generative Region-Language Pretraining for Open-Ended Object Detection. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.10191 (2024).
- [32] Tsung-Yi Lin, Piotr Dollár, Ross Girshick, Kaiming He, Bharath Hariharan, and Serge Belongie. 2017. Feature pyramid networks for object detection. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 2117–2125.
- [33] Ziyi Lin, Chris Liu, Renrui Zhang, Peng Gao, Longtian Qiu, Han Xiao, Han Qiu, Chen Lin, Wenqi Shao, Keqin Chen, et al. 2023. Sphinx: The joint mixing of weights, tasks, and visual embeddings for multi-modal large language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.07575 (2023).
- [34] Shilong Liu, Zhaoyang Zeng, Tianhe Ren, Feng Li, Hao Zhang, Jie Yang, Chunyuan Li, Jianwei Yang, Hang Su, Jun Zhu, et al. 2023. Grounding dino: Marrying dino with grounded pre-training for open-set object detection. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.05499 (2023).
- [35] Xiao Liu, Kaixuan Ji, Yicheng Fu, Weng Lam Tam, Zhengxiao Du, Zhilin Yang, and Jie Tang. 2021. P-tuning v2: Prompt tuning can be comparable to fine-tuning universally across scales and tasks. arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.07602 (2021).
- [36] Xiao Liu, Yanan Zheng, Zhengxiao Du, Ming Ding, Yujie Qian, Zhilin Yang, and Jie Tang. 2023. GPT understands, too. AI Open (2023).
- [37] Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2019. Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach. arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.11692 (2019).
- [38] Ze Liu, Yutong Lin, Yue Cao, Han Hu, Yixuan Wei, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Baining Guo. 2021. Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision. 10012–10022.
- [39] Jiasen Lu, Dhruv Batra, Devi Parikh, and Stefan Lee. 2019. Vilbert: Pretraining task-agnostic visiolinguistic representations for vision-and-language tasks. Advances in neural information processing systems 32 (2019).
- [40] Gen Luo, Yiyi Zhou, Tianhe Ren, Shengxin Chen, Xiaoshuai Sun, and Rongrong Ji. 2024. Cheap and quick: Efficient vision-language instruction tuning for large language models. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36 (2024).
- [41] Yuning Mao, Lambert Mathias, Rui Hou, Amjad Almahairi, Hao Ma, Jiawei Han, Wen-tau Yih, and Madian Khabsa. 2021. Unipelt: A unified framework for parameter-efficient language model tuning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.07577 (2021).
- [42] M Minderer, A Gritsenko, A Stone, M Neumann, D Weissenborn, A Dosovitskiy, A Mahendran, A Arnab, M Dehghani, Z Shen, et al. 2022. Simple openvocabulary object detection with vision transformers. arxiv 2022. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.06230 2 (2022).
- [43] Long Qian, Juncheng Li, Yu Wu, Yaobo Ye, Hao Fei, Tat-Seng Chua, Yueting Zhuang, and Siliang Tang. 2024. Momentor: Advancing Video Large Language Model with Fine-Grained Temporal Reasoning. arXiv:2402.11435 [cs.CV]
- [44] Shafin Rahman, Salman Khan, and Nick Barnes. 2020. Improved visual-semantic alignment for zero-shot object detection. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference* on artificial intelligence, Vol. 34. 11932–11939.

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1067

1068

1069

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1102

- [45] Joseph Redmon, Santosh Divvala, Ross Girshick, and Ali Farhadi. 2016. You only look once: Unified, real-time object detection. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 779–788.
- [46] Shaoqing Ren, Kaiming He, Ross Girshick, and Jian Sun. 2015. Faster r-cnn: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks. Advances in neural information processing systems 28 (2015).
- [47] Shuai Shao, Zeming Li, Tianyuan Zhang, Chao Peng, Gang Yu, Xiangyu Zhang, Jing Li, and Jian Sun. 2019. Objects365: A large-scale, high-quality dataset for object detection. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision. 8430–8439.
- [48] Yunhang Shen, Chaoyou Fu, Peixian Chen, Mengdan Zhang, Ke Li, Xing Sun, Yunsheng Wu, Shaohui Lin, and Rongrong Ji. 2023. Aligning and Prompting Everything All at Once for Universal Visual Perception. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.02153 (2023).
- [49] Yi-Lin Sung, Jaemin Cho, and Mohit Bansal. 2022. Vl-adapter: Parameter-efficient transfer learning for vision-and-language tasks. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 5227–5237.
- [50] Hao Tan and Mohit Bansal. 2019. Lxmert: Learning cross-modality encoder representations from transformers. arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.07490 (2019).
- [51] Weihan Wang, Qingsong Lv, Wenmeng Yu, Wenyi Hong, Ji Qi, Yan Wang, Junhui Ji, Zhuoyi Yang, Lei Zhao, Xixuan Song, et al. 2023. Cogvlm: Visual expert for pretrained language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.03079 (2023).
- [52] Weiyun Wang, Yiming Ren, Haowen Luo, Tiantong Li, Chenxiang Yan, Zhe Chen, Wenhai Wang, Qingyun Li, Lewei Lu, Xizhou Zhu, et al. 2024. The All-Seeing Project V2: Towards General Relation Comprehension of the Open World. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.19474 (2024).
- Weiyun Wang, Min Shi, Qingyun Li, Wenhai Wang, Zhenhang Huang, Linjie
   Xing, Zhe Chen, Hao Li, Xizhou Zhu, Zhiguo Cao, et al. 2023. The All-Seeing Project: Towards Panoptic Visual Recognition and Understanding of the Open World. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.01907 (2023).
  - [54] Jianzong Wu, Xiangtai Li, Henghui Ding, Xia Li, Guangliang Cheng, Yunhai Tong, and Chen Change Loy. 2023. Betrayed by captions: Joint caption grounding and generation for open vocabulary instance segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 21938–21948.
- [55] Jianzong Wu, Xiangtai Li, Shilin Xu, Haobo Yuan, Henghui Ding, Yibo Yang, Xia Li, Jiangning Zhang, Yunhai Tong, Xudong Jiang, et al. 2024. Towards open vocabulary learning: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* (2024).
- [56] Size Wu, Wenwei Zhang, Sheng Jin, Wentao Liu, and Chen Change Loy. 2023.
   Aligning bag of regions for open-vocabulary object detection. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 15254–15264.
- [57] Xiaoshi Wu, Feng Zhu, Rui Zhao, and Hongsheng Li. 2023. Cora: Adapting clip for open-vocabulary detection with region prompting and anchor pre-matching. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 7031-7040.
  - [58] Chi Xie, Zhao Zhang, Yixuan Wu, Feng Zhu, Rui Zhao, and Shuang Liang. 2024. Described Object Detection: Liberating Object Detection with Flexible Expressions. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36 (2024).
  - [59] Yifan Xu, Mengdan Zhang, Chaoyou Fu, Peixian Chen, Xiaoshan Yang, Ke Li, and Changsheng Xu. 2024. Multi-modal queried object detection in the wild. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36 (2024).
  - [60] Bin Yan, Yi Jiang, Jiannan Wu, Dong Wang, Ping Luo, Zehuan Yuan, and Huchuan Lu. 2023. Universal instance perception as object discovery and retrieval. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 15325–15336.
  - [61] Lewei Yao, Jianhua Han, Xiaodan Liang, Dan Xu, Wei Zhang, Zhenguo Li, and Hang Xu. 2023. Detclipv2: Scalable open-vocabulary object detection pre-training via word-region alignment. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 23497–23506.
  - [62] Qinghao Ye, Haiyang Xu, Guohai Xu, Jiabo Ye, Ming Yan, Yiyang Zhou, Junyang Wang, Anwen Hu, Pengcheng Shi, Yaya Shi, Chaoya Jiang, Chenliang Li, Yuanhong Xu, Hehong Chen, Junfeng Tian, Qi Qian, Ji Zhang, and Fei Huang. 2023. mPLUG-Owl: Modularization Empowers Large Language Models with Multimodality. arXiv:2304.14178 [cs.CL]
  - [63] Qinghao Ye, Haiyang Xu, Jiabo Ye, Ming Yan, Anwen Hu, Haowei Liu, Qi Qian, Ji Zhang, Fei Huang, and Jingren Zhou. 2023. mPLUG-Owl2: Revolutionizing Multi-modal Large Language Model with Modality Collaboration. arXiv:2311.04257 [cs.CL]
  - [64] Alireza Zareian, Kevin Dela Rosa, Derek Hao Hu, and Shih-Fu Chang. 2021. Open-vocabulary object detection using captions. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 14393–14402.
- [65] Jun Zhan, Junqi Dai, Jiasheng Ye, Yunhua Zhou, Dong Zhang, Zhigeng Liu, Xin Zhang, Ruibin Yuan, Ge Zhang, Linyang Li, et al. 2024. AnyGPT: Unified Multimodal LLM with Discrete Sequence Modeling. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.12226 (2024).
- [66] H Zhang, F Li, S Liu, L Zhang, H Su, J Zhu, LM Ni, and HY Shum. 2022. Dino: Detr with improved denoising anchor boxes for end-to-end object detection. arXiv 2022. arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.03605 5 (2022).

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157

1158

1159

- [67] Haotian Zhang, Pengchuan Zhang, Xiaowei Hu, Yen-Chun Chen, Liunian Li, Xiyang Dai, Lijuan Wang, Lu Yuan, Jenq-Neng Hwang, and Jianfeng Gao. 2022. Glipv2: Unifying localization and vision-language understanding. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35 (2022), 36067–36080.
- [68] Pan Zhang, Xiaoyi Dong, Bin Wang, Yuhang Cao, Chao Xu, Linke Ouyang, Zhiyuan Zhao, Shuangrui Ding, Songyang Zhang, Haodong Duan, Wenwei Zhang, Hang Yan, Xinyue Zhang, Wei Li, Jingwen Li, Kai Chen, Conghui He, Xingcheng Zhang, Yu Qiao, Dahua Lin, and Jiaqi Wang. 2023. InternLM-XComposer: A Vision-Language Large Model for Advanced Text-image Comprehension and Composition. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.15112 (2023).
- [69] Yiwu Zhong, Jianwei Yang, Pengchuan Zhang, Chunyuan Li, Noel Codella, Liunian Harold Li, Luowei Zhou, Xiyang Dai, Lu Yuan, Yin Li, et al. 2022. Regionclip: Region-based language-image pretraining. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 16793–16803.
- [70] Kaiyang Zhou, Jingkang Yang, Chen Change Loy, and Ziwei Liu. 2022. Conditional prompt learning for vision-language models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF* conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 16816–16825.
- [71] Xingyi Zhou, Rohit Girdhar, Armand Joulin, Philipp Krähenbühl, and Ishan Misra. 2022. Detecting twenty-thousand classes using image-level supervision. In European Conference on Computer Vision. Springer, 350–368.
- [72] Xin Zhou, Dingkang Liang, Wei Xu, Xingkui Zhu, Yihan Xu, Zhikang Zou, and Xiang Bai. 2024. Dynamic Adapter Meets Prompt Tuning: Parameter-Efficient Transfer Learning for Point Cloud Analysis. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.
- [73] Chaoyang Zhu, Yiyi Zhou, Yunhang Shen, Gen Luo, Xingjia Pan, Mingbao Lin, Chao Chen, Liujuan Cao, Xiaoshuai Sun, and Rongrong Ji. 2022. Seqtr: A simple yet universal network for visual grounding. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*. Springer, 598–615.
- [74] Xizhou Zhu, Weijie Su, Lewei Lu, Bin Li, Xiaogang Wang, and Jifeng Dai. 2020. Deformable detr: Deformable transformers for end-to-end object detection. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.04159 (2020).
- [75] Xueyan Zou, Zi-Yi Dou, Jianwei Yang, Zhe Gan, Linjie Li, Chunyuan Li, Xiyang Dai, Harkirat Behl, Jianfeng Wang, Lu Yuan, et al. 2023. Generalized decoding for pixel, image, and language. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 15116–15127.
- [76] Xueyan Zou, Jianwei Yang, Hao Zhang, Feng Li, Linjie Li, Jianfeng Wang, Lijuan Wang, Jianfeng Gao, and Yong Jae Lee. 2024. Segment everything everywhere all at once. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36 (2024).