Dynamic Multi-granularity Attribution Network for Aspect-based Sentiment Analysis

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

 Aspect-based sentiment analysis (ABSA) aims to predict the sentiment polarity of a specific aspect within a given sentence. Most exist- ing methods predominantly leverage seman- tic or syntactic information based on attention scores, which are susceptible to interference caused by irrelevant contexts and often lack sentiment knowledge at a data-specific level. In this paper, we propose a novel *Dynamic Multi- granularity Attribution Network* (DMAN) from the perspective of attribution. Initially, we lever- age Integrated Gradients to dynamically ex- tract importance scores for each token, which contain underlying reasoning knowledge for sentiment analysis. Subsequently, we aggre-016 gate attribution representations from multiple semantic granularities in natural language, en- hancing profound understanding of the seman- tics. Finally, we integrate attribution scores with syntactic information to more accurately capture the relationships between aspects and their relevant contexts during the sentence un- derstanding process. Extensive experiments on five benchmark datasets demonstrate the effec-025 tiveness of our proposed method.

⁰²⁶ 1 Introduction

 Aspect-based sentiment analysis (ABSA) is a fine- grained classification task that focuses on identify- ing the sentiment polarity of specific aspects within a sentence [\(Jiang et al.,](#page-8-0) [2011;](#page-8-0) [Pontiki et al.,](#page-9-0) [2014\)](#page-9-0). For instance, given a sentence "*The street is very crowded, but the atmosphere is pleasant*", the task aims to predict sentiment polarity associated with two aspects *"street"* and *"atmosphere"*, which are negative and positive respectively.

 The core challenge of ABSA is to model the connection between aspect and its contexts, espe- cially those parts that express opinions and ideas. [T](#page-8-1)o this end, various studies [\(Tang et al.,](#page-9-1) [2016;](#page-9-1) [Fan](#page-8-1) [et al.,](#page-8-1) [2018;](#page-8-1) [Chen et al.,](#page-8-2) [2020;](#page-8-2) [Zhang et al.,](#page-10-0) [2021\)](#page-10-0) concentrate on attention mechanisms to model the

Figure 1: (a) Attention mechanism assigns high scores to words unrelated to aspect *service*. (b) We construct attention weights on irrelated words and overlook opinion words, but still yield right prediction.

relationships between aspect and its context. In **042** [a](#page-9-2)ddition, many methods [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-10-1) [2019;](#page-10-1) [Tang](#page-9-2) **043** [et al.,](#page-9-2) [2020;](#page-9-2) [Li et al.,](#page-9-3) [2021;](#page-9-3) [Zhang et al.,](#page-10-2) [2022b\)](#page-10-2) **044** leverage syntactic information derived from depen- **045** dency trees to better capture the interactions be- **046** tween aspects and opinion expressions. Recently, **047** methods incorporating Pre-trained Language Mod- **048** [e](#page-9-4)ls [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-10-3) [2022a;](#page-10-3) [Yin and Zhong,](#page-10-4) [2024;](#page-10-4) [Sun](#page-9-4) **049** [et al.,](#page-9-4) [2024\)](#page-9-4) have demonstrated impressive results **050** in ABSA. Despite these significant advancements, **051** critical challenges persist when directly applying **052** attention mechanisms or syntactic information to **053** this fine-grained task. 054

Specifically, attention-based methods may inap- **055** propriately assign high attention scores to words **056** that are irrelevant to the aspect. [Li et al.](#page-9-3) [\(2021\)](#page-9-3); **057** [Zhang et al.](#page-10-2) [\(2022b\)](#page-10-2); [Ma et al.](#page-9-5) [\(2023\)](#page-9-5) propose **058** that's because attention mechanisms are vulnera- **059** ble to noise within sentences. As shown in Figure **060** [1](#page-0-0) (a) , the aspect "service" receives disproportion- **061** ately high attention scores for the unrelated opinion **062** words "pretty" and "good". Furthermore, some re- **063** search that focuses on interpretability of attention **064** [m](#page-8-3)echanisms [\(Serrano and Smith,](#page-9-6) [2019;](#page-9-6) [Jain and](#page-8-3) **065** [Wallace,](#page-8-3) [2019;](#page-8-3) [Bibal et al.,](#page-8-4) [2022\)](#page-8-4) have indicated **066** that attention scores do not always correlate with **067** significance. [Serrano and Smith](#page-9-6) [\(2019\)](#page-9-6) have dicovered that removing features deemed important by **069** attention scores leads to less prediction flip than **070** [g](#page-8-3)radient-based strategies. Besides, [Jain and Wal-](#page-8-3) **071** [lace](#page-8-3) [\(2019\)](#page-8-3) have observed shuffling the attention **072**

 weights often does not affect the final prediction, which is consistent with our observations shown in Figure [1](#page-0-0) (b). To sum up, while attention mech- anisms have improved the performance of ABSA, 077 they often operate as a black box, leaving their ability to accurately capture critical opinion words remains debatable. This underscores the need for methods that efficiently capture keywords for rea- soning sentiment polarity. Additionally, although leveraging syntactic knowledge has shown to im- prove performance, it is important to recognize that not all syntactic information is equally beneficial to this fine-grained task. Syntactic information ir- relevant to the aspect can be redundant and may even introduce noise rather than provide useful insights. Therefore, it is crucial to focus on extract- ing relevant syntactic information, emphasizing the identification of important words within sentences.

 To address the aforementioned issues, we intro- duce attribution analysis into ABSA and propose a Dynamic Multi-granularity Attribution Network (DMAN). Attribution information reflects the im- portance of different tokens towards the prediction, which contain reasoning knowledge of the senti- ment at data-driven level. Initially, we employ Inte- grated Gradients (IG) [\(Sundararajan et al.,](#page-9-7) [2017\)](#page-9-7), a well-established gradient-based attribution method, to compute the importance scores of tokens. In-101 spired by the observation [\(Brouwer et al.,](#page-8-5) [2021;](#page-8-5) [Zhang et al.,](#page-10-3) [2022a\)](#page-10-3) that the significance of essen- tial words dynamically changes during semantic comprehension, we design multi-step attribution analysis to capture the dynamic significance of to- kens during the comprehension process. More con- cretely, we utilize stacked self-attention blocks in conjunction with IG to calculate attribution scores for each layer, and adopt a Top-K strategy to filter out dimensions with low values, thereby reducing the impact of trivial dimensions. Subsequently, we incorporate semantic representations at both token and span levels to derive multi-granularity attribu- tion scores, ensuring more comprehensive semantic concepts. Finally, we construct the adjacency ma- trices based on the dependency tree, and then use obtained attribution scores to initialize different 118 adjacency matrices for different layers of GCNs, which facilitates the dynamic capture of key syn- tactic knowledge during throughout the process of sentence comprehension.

122 In summary, our contributions could be summa-**123** rized as follows:

- To the best of our knowledge, we are the **124** first to introduce attribution analysis into the **125** ABSA task, which provides data-specific in- **126** sights for reasoning sentiment polarity. **127**
- We propose a novel model DMAN that lever- **128** ages IG to dynamically extract attribution **129** scores of tokens from multi-granularity per- **130** spectives. Furthermore, we integrate these **131** scores with syntax to capture essential syntac- **132** tic elements during sentence comprehension. **133**
- Extensive experiments on five public bench- **134** mark datasets show the effectiveness and in- **135** terpretability of our proposed DMAN. **136**

2 Related Works **¹³⁷**

2.1 Aspect-based Sentiment Analysis **138**

The goal of ABSA is to identify the sentiment po- **139** larity of specific aspect in the sentence. In recent **140** years, various approaches have utilized attention **141** mechanisms to investigate the semantic correla- **142** [t](#page-10-5)ions between contexts [\(Tang et al.,](#page-9-1) [2016;](#page-9-1) [Wang](#page-10-5) **143** [et al.,](#page-10-5) [2016;](#page-10-5) [Ma et al.,](#page-9-8) [2017;](#page-9-8) [Fan et al.,](#page-8-1) [2018;](#page-8-1) [Tan](#page-9-9) **144** [et al.,](#page-9-9) [2019;](#page-9-9) [Liang et al.,](#page-9-10) [2019;](#page-9-10) [Pang et al.,](#page-9-11) [2021;](#page-9-11) **145** [Zhang et al.,](#page-10-0) [2021\)](#page-10-0). For instance, [Ma et al.](#page-9-8) [\(2017\)](#page-9-8) **146** proposed the interactive attention networks to in- **147** teractively learn attentions in the contexts and tar- **148** gets. [Fan et al.](#page-8-1) [\(2018\)](#page-8-1) exploited a novel multi- **149** grained attention network to capture the interaction **150** between aspect and context. [Tan et al.](#page-9-9) [\(2019\)](#page-9-9) de- **151** signed dual attention mechanisms to distinguish **152** conflicting opinions. [Zhang et al.](#page-10-0) [\(2021\)](#page-10-0) proposed **153** a cross-domain feature learning module with an **154** aspect-oriented multi-head attention mechanism. **155**

In addition, various research [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-10-1) [2019;](#page-10-1) **156** [Huang and Carley,](#page-8-6) [2019;](#page-8-6) [Sun et al.,](#page-9-12) [2019;](#page-9-12) [Wang](#page-10-6) **157** [et al.,](#page-10-6) [2020;](#page-10-6) [Tang et al.,](#page-9-2) [2020;](#page-9-2) [Li et al.,](#page-9-3) [2021;](#page-9-3) [Tian](#page-10-7) **158** [et al.,](#page-10-7) [2021;](#page-10-7) [Zhang et al.,](#page-10-2) [2022b;](#page-10-2) [Yin and Zhong,](#page-10-4) **159** [2024\)](#page-10-4) proposes different methods that leverage syn- **160** tactic knowledge to model relationships between as- **161** pects and contexts. For instance, [Wang et al.](#page-10-6) [\(2020\)](#page-10-6) **162** proposed a relational graph attention network to **163** encode the new tree structure. [Li et al.](#page-9-3) (2021) designed a dual graph convolutional network to model **165** syntax structures and semantic correlations simulta- **166** neously. [Tian et al.](#page-10-7) [\(2021\)](#page-10-7) exploited an approach to **167** explicitly utilize dependency types with type-aware **168** graph convolutional networks, and [Yin and Zhong](#page-10-4) **169** [\(2024\)](#page-10-4) proposed a double-view graph Transformer **170** to alleviate the over-smoothing problem. **171**

-
-
- (1) **237**
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-

, (2) **250**

251

, (3) **252**

 The core idea underlying these methods is to comprehend the semantics and syntax of sentences, thereby directing greater attention to significant words. Distinct from these approaches, our study pioneers the investigation of ABSA from an attribu- tion perspective, unveiling the reasoning processes behind sentiment polarity at a data-driven level.

179 2.2 Attribution Analysis

 The purpose of attribution analysis [\(Baehrens et al.,](#page-8-7) [2010;](#page-8-7) [Ancona et al.,](#page-8-8) [2018;](#page-8-8) [Brunner et al.,](#page-8-9) [2020\)](#page-8-9) is to assign importance scores the intermediate or input elements of a network, which matches well with the objectives of sentiment analysis. There are various types of attribution methods. Occlusion- based techniques [\(Zeiler and Fergus,](#page-10-8) [2014\)](#page-10-8) deter- mine the significance of each feature by occluding it and comparing the resulting output to the original. Gradient-based methods [\(Sundararajan et al.,](#page-9-7) [2017;](#page-9-7) [Ding et al.,](#page-8-10) [2019;](#page-8-10) [Serrano and Smith,](#page-9-6) [2019;](#page-9-6) [Brun-](#page-8-9) [ner et al.,](#page-8-9) [2020;](#page-8-9) [Bibal et al.,](#page-8-4) [2022\)](#page-8-4) use the gradient information of features to approximate their im- portance. Compared to occlusion-based methods, gradient-based methods are generally faster as they require only a single forward pass. Perturbation- based methods [\(Guan et al.,](#page-8-11) [2019;](#page-8-11) [De Cao et al.,](#page-8-12) [2020;](#page-8-12) [Ivanovs et al.,](#page-8-13) [2021\)](#page-8-13) add noise to features to evaluate their significance for model predictions.

 Attribution analysis has not been extensively ex- plored in aspect-based sentiment analysis. In our work, we take the initiative to investigate whether attribution analysis can enhance ABSA perfor-mance and provide more reliable interpretations.

²⁰⁴ 3 Methods

 In this section, we describe our proposed DMAN in detail. Specifically, we begin with the problem definition, followed by encoder module and the overall architecture of DMAN.

 Problem Definition. Given a sentence-aspect pair (s, a), where $s = \{w_1, w_2, ..., w_n\}$ is a sentence 211 with n words, and $a = \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_m\}$ is the given aspect. ABSA aims to predict sentiment polarity of aspect a in the sentence s.

 Encoder. We utilize BERT as sentence encoder to extract aspect-specific context representations. We construct input as "[CLS] s [SEP] a [SEP]" to map each word into a real-value vector, getting sen- tence embedding $E_0 = \{e_1, e_2, ..., e_n\}$ and aspect **embedding** $E_a = \{e_{a_1}, e_{a_2}, ..., e_{a_m}\}.$

220 Overall architecture. As illustrated in Figure [2,](#page-3-0)

our proposed Dynamic Multi-granularity Attribu- **221** tion Network comprises three primary components: **222** (1) Multi-step Attribution Extraction, (2) Multi- **223** granularity Attribution, and (3) Dynamic Syntax **224** Concentration. The technical details will be elabo- **225** rated on as follows. **226**

3.1 Multi-step Attribution Extraction **227**

Integrated Gradients. [\(Sundararajan et al.,](#page-9-7) [2017\)](#page-9-7) **228** proposed IG for attributing the prediction of a deep **229** network to its input or intermediate features. For- **230** mally, suppose a function F to represent a network, 231 and let x be the input feature and x' be the baseline **232** feature, IG considers the straight line path from **233** x' to x and aggregate the gradients at all points 234 along the path. The Integrated Gradients of i-th **235** dimension is defined as $IG_i(F, x)$ as follows: 236

IG_i(F, x) =
$$
(x_i - x'_i) \times \int_{\alpha=0}^1 \frac{\partial F(x' + \alpha \times (x - x'_i))}{\partial x_i} d\alpha
$$
. (1)

Attribution Extraction. In this study, we design **238** a stacked self-attention architecture to facilitate se- **239** mantic comprehension and dynamically caputre **240** attribution knowledge at each layer. Unlike tradi- **241** tional methods that utilize attention mechanisms **242** for final classification, we treat the attention layers **243** as black boxes for semantic understanding, concen- **244** trating on the gradient variations of tokens. Specifi- **245** cally, given sentence embedding H_0 from encoder, 246 we process it through multiple blocks consisting of 247 Self-Attention and Feed-Forward Networks (FFN), **248** which can be formulated as follows: 249

$$
E'_{l} = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{(E_{l-1}W_{l}^{q})(E_{l-1}W_{l}^{k})^{T}}{\sqrt{d_{k}}}\right)E_{l-1}W_{l}^{v}, (2)
$$

$$
E_l = \max(0, E'_l W_l^1 + b_l^1) W_l^2 + b_l^2, \tag{3}
$$

where W_l^k , W_l^q \mathcal{U}_l^q , W_l^v , W_l^1 , W_l^2 are learnable model 253 parameters of *l*-th layer, $E_l \in \{e_1^l, e_2^l, ..., e_n^l\}$ is 254 the product of *l*-th layer while E_{l-1} is the output 255 from the preceding layer. **256**

Then we map the final features from the **257** stacked blocks into a probability distribution $P_c = 258$ $[P_1, ..., P_C] \in R^C$, where c presents the sentiment 259 polarity labels. In our approach, we denote the **260** function $E \to P^c$ as F^c , and we conduct exhaus-
261 tive attribution analysis for each dimension of input **262** features and obtain attribution scores of i-th token, **263** which could be denoted as IG_i : : **264**

Figure 2: The overall architecture of our proposed DMAN, which consists of three modules arranged from left to right: Multi-step Attribution Extraction, Multi-granularity Attribution, and Dynamic Syntax Concentration.

(4)

$$
IG_i(F^c, E) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} IG_{ij}(F^c, E)
$$

266
$$
= \sum_{j=1}^m (e_{ij} - e'_{ij}) \times \int_{\alpha=0}^1 \frac{\partial F^c(e'_{ij} + \alpha \times (e_{ij} - e'_{ij}))}{\partial e_{ij}} d\alpha.
$$

265

 During the process, we employ an efficient ap- proximation technique for estimating integral cal- culations, which significantly enhanced computa-tional efficiency:

271
$$
\text{IG}_{ij}(F^c, E) \approx \sum_{t=1}^T < \nabla_{e_i} F^c(e'_{ij} + \Delta e_k), (e_{ij} - e'_{ij}) > \\
= \frac{(e_{ij} - e'_{ij})}{T} \times \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\partial F^c(e'_{ij} + \frac{t}{T} \times (e_{ij} - e'_{ij}))}{\partial e_{ij}}.\n\tag{5}
$$

 In our implementation, we use zero vectors as baseline features to reflect the significance of each token. Symbols are excluded from consideration, and absolute values are used to aggregate attri- butions across each dimension, thereby deriving token-level attribution values. Recognizing that not all dimensions hold equal significance, selecting the crucial dimensions becomes essential. During the computational process, we observed that cer- tain dimensions consistently maintain low values, failing to effectively differentiate between various tokens or stages. Therefore, we employ the Top-K algorithm to filter out dimensions with low attribu-tion influence, which is denoted as:

287
$$
IG'_{i}(F^{c}, E) = |\text{Top} - K(IG_{i}(F^{c}, E))|.
$$
 (6)

In our study, attribution analysis is conducted **288** on each self-attention block to thoroughly eluci- **289** date the dynamic semantic comprehension. The **290** attribution value of the k-th layer is denoted as V_k : 291

$$
V_K = \|_{i=1}^n \operatorname{IG}'_i(F^c, E_k), \tag{7}
$$

where ∥ represents the concatenation operation and **293** $V_k \in \{v_1^k, v_2^k, ..., v_n^k\}$ $\binom{k}{n}$. 294

3.2 Multi-granularity Attribution **295**

Most existing ABSA approaches focus on single **296** granularity representation, overlooking the fact **297** that texts are comprehensive representations con- **298** structed across multiple granularity levels (i.e. to- **299** ken, span, sentence). To the end, our method ex- **300** tracts attribution from both token and span gran- **301** ularities, providing hierarchical information that **302** aids in a deeper understanding of the underlying **303** motivations behind sentiment. **304**

The first granularity is the token level. Given the **305** vector V_k , v_i^k represents the attribution value of the 306 i-th token, offering a fine-grained level of represen- **307** tation. he second granularity is the span, which may **308** consist of consecutive words. To ensure semantic **309** coherence, we extract phrases that convey complete **310** meaning as spans. For instance, in the sentence **311** "*The Mona Lisa is a famous painting housed in the* **312** *Louvre Museum*", "*Mona Lisa*" and "*Louvre Mu-* **313** *seum*" are meaningful spans. We utilize spa $Cy¹$ $Cy¹$ $Cy¹$ toolkit to construct spans $s_{span} = [s_1, s_2, ..., s_n],$ 315 where $s_i = [w_j, ..., w_{j+q_i-1}]$ denotes *i*-th token 316

314

¹We use spaCy toolkit: https://spacy.io/

$$
\mathcal{L}_A = -\sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{c=1}^C y_i^c \log(p_i^c), \quad (13) \quad 366
$$

where y_i^c is the ground truth label, C is the number 367 of labels, M is the number of training samples. **368**

Sentiment Classification. After obtaining dy- **369** namic syntax-enhanced representation H^k , we con- 370 catenate it with original sentence representation **371** E_0 to get the final sentiment classification features. 372 Then we map it to the probabilities over sentiment **373** polarities over a softmax layer: **374**

$$
z = [H^k, E_0], \tag{14}
$$

$$
\hat{y} = softmax(W_z z + b_z), \qquad (15) \qquad \text{377}
$$

where W_z and b_z are trainable parameters. Finally, 378 we use cross-entropy loss as our objective function: **379**

$$
\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_i^c \log(\hat{y}_i^c).
$$
 (16)

| Datasets | Positive | | Neutral | | Negative | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|------|----------------|------|-----------------|------|--|
| | Train | Test | Train | Test | Train | Test | |
| Lap14 | 994 | 341 | 464 | 169 | 870 | 128 | |
| Rest ₁₄ | 2164 | 728 | 637 | 196 | 807 | 196 | |
| Rest ₁₅ | 912 | 326 | 36 | 34 | 256 | 182 | |
| Rest ₁₆ | 1240 | 469 | 69 | 30 | 439 | 117 | |
| MAMS | 3380 | 400 | 5042 | 607 | 2764 | 329 | |

Table 1: The statistics of five benchmark datasets.

4 Experiments **³⁸²**

4.1 Datasets **383**

We evaluate our DMAN on five public standard **384** [d](#page-9-0)atasets, including Lap14 and Rest14 from [\(Pontiki](#page-9-0) **385** [et al.,](#page-9-0) [2014\)](#page-9-0), Rest15 from [\(Pontiki et al.,](#page-9-13) [2015\)](#page-9-13), **386** Rest16 from [\(Pontiki et al.,](#page-9-14) [2016\)](#page-9-14), and MAMs from **387** [\(Jiang et al.,](#page-8-14) [2019\)](#page-8-14). We adopt the official data splits, **388** which strictly keep the same as previous papers, 389 and we use the accuracy and macro-averaged F1 **390** value as the main evaluation metrics. Each sample **391** in these datasets consists of a sentence, an aspect, **392** and the sentiment polarity. The statistics of the **393** datasets are presented in Table [1.](#page-4-0) **394**

 belongs to a span a span starting at the j-th token **and containing** q_i **tokens. Subsequently, for tokens** belonging to a specific span, we employ mean pool-ing to obtain span-level attribution values:

321
$$
\hat{v}_i^k = \left(\sum_j^{j+q_i-1} v_j^k\right) / q_i, \tag{8}
$$

322 where \hat{v}_i^k is span-granularity attribution of *i*-th to-323 ken, thus we obtain $\hat{V}_k = \{\hat{v}_1^k, \hat{v}_2^k, ..., \hat{v}_n^k\}$. Then, **324** we design a simple linear operation to integrate **325** token-level and span-level attribution values:

$$
\overline{V}_k = (\alpha V_k + (1 - \alpha)\hat{V}_k) / \tau_k, \tag{9}
$$

327 where \overline{V}_K is integrated multi-granularity attribu-328 tion score of k-th layer, α and τ_k is the coefficient **329** hyperparameter of the k-th layer.

330 3.3 Dynamic Syntax Concentration

 Leveraging syntactic information has significantly improved the performance of ABSA [\(Tang et al.,](#page-9-2) [2020;](#page-9-2) [Li et al.,](#page-9-3) [2021;](#page-9-3) [Zhang et al.,](#page-10-2) [2022b\)](#page-10-2). How- ever, we propose that syntactic information within a sentence does not always hold equal importance. As semantic understanding is a dynamic process, the the critical syntactic elements also change dy-namically in response to this process.

 In our approach, we adjust dependency rela- tionships based on multi-step attribution scores to achieve dynamic syntax concentration. Specifi- cally, we construct adjacent matrix A according to the dependency tree derived from spaCy:

$$
A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if link}(i,j) = True \text{ or } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$
 (10)

345 where $link(i, j)$ represents whether *i*-th and *j*-th **346** token have a dependency relationship. To model **347** the dynamic changes of key syntactic information **348** during sentence comprehension, we utilize attribu-349 tion \overline{V}_k to derive the dynamic adjacency matrix A^k . Then, we employ GCNs to capture syntactic **351** knowledge, which can be formulated as:

$$
A^k = \overline{V}_k \otimes A,\tag{11}
$$

354
$$
h_i^k = \text{ReLU}(\sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}^k W^k h_j^{k-1} + b^k), \quad (12)
$$

355 where h_i^k is the *i*-th token representation of *k*-th 356 **are GCN**, W^k and b^k are learnable parameters. The 357 output of the k-th layer is $H^k = \{h_0^k, h_1^k, ..., h_n^k\},\$ 358 and initial input $H_0 = E_0$. With these above calcu-**359** lations, we finally obtain dynamic syntax-enhanced **360** representations for subsequent classification.

353

3.4 Model Training **361**

Attribution Analysis. During the process of multi- **362** step attribution extraction, we map the final rep- **363** resentation into a probability distribution P, and **364** apply the following function to extract attribution: **365**

j

376

381

-
-
-

Table 2: Experiment results (%) comparison on five publicly benchmark datasets. The best scores are bolded, and the second best ones are underlined. All models are based on BERT.

395 4.2 Implementation Details

 In the implementation, we build our framework based on *bert-based-uncased* with max length as 90. We employ the AdamW optimizer to optimize parameters. The embedding size is set to 768. The batch size is manually tested in [16, 32] and the learning rate is carefully tuned amongst [1e-5, 2e-5, 4e-5]. The dropout rate is set to 0.1. The number of Multi-step is finally set to 2 and the K value of Top-K is tested between 10 and 300. Correspond- ingly, the number of GCN layers is set to 2. The hyper-parameter α is set to 0.6, and τ_k is adjusted amongst [0.04, 0.07] for different layers. We con-duct experiments on a single NVIDIA 4090 GPU.

409 4.3 Baselines

 To validate the effectiveness of our approach, we compared it with advanced baseline models. To ensure a fair comparison, all selected baselines are based on the *bert-based-uncased* architecture.

414 BERT-SPC [\(Song et al.,](#page-9-15) [2019\)](#page-9-15) feed the contexts **415** and aspects into the BERT model for the sentence **416** pair classification task.

417 RGAT [\(Wang et al.,](#page-10-6) [2020\)](#page-10-6) generate a unified **418** aspect-oriented dependency tree proposes a rela-**419** tional graph attention network to encode the tree.

- **420** DGEDT [\(Tang et al.,](#page-9-2) [2020\)](#page-9-2) propose a dependency **421** graph dual-transformer network by considering flat **422** representations and graph-based representations.
- **423** DualGCN [\(Li et al.,](#page-9-3) [2021\)](#page-9-3) propose a dual graph **424** convolutional networks model that considers syn-**425** tax structures and semantic correlations.

426 T-GCN [\(Tian et al.,](#page-10-7) [2021\)](#page-10-7) propose an approach to **427** explicitly utilize dependency types for ABSA with **428** type-aware graph convolutional networks.

SSEGCN [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-10-2) [2022b\)](#page-10-2) design an aspect- **429** aware attention mechanism to enhance the node **430** representations with GCN. **431**

MGFN [\(Tang et al.,](#page-10-9) [2022\)](#page-10-9) leverage the richer syn- **432** tax dependency relation label information and af- **433** fective semantic information of words. **434**

TF-BERT [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-10-10) [2023\)](#page-10-10) propose a novel **435** table filling based model, which considers the con- **436** sistency of multi-word opinion expressions. **437**

RSC [\(Wang et al.,](#page-10-11) [2023\)](#page-10-11) propose two straightfor- **438** ward effective methods to leverage the explanation **439** for preventing the learning of spurious correlations. **440** TextGT [\(Yin and Zhong,](#page-10-4) [2024\)](#page-10-4) design a novel **441** double-view graph Transformer on text and a new **442** algorithm to implement edge features in graphs. **443**

4.4 Main Results **444**

The experiment results of different methods on **445** five benchmark datasets are presented in Table **446** [2.](#page-5-0) Our DMAN consistently outperforms all com- **447** pared baselines on the Lap14, Rest14, Rest15, and **448** MAMs datasets, and achieves overall better results **449** than the baselines on the Rest16 dataset, demon- **450** strating the effectiveness of our method. Compared **451** to methods utilizing attention scores and depen- **452** dency graphs (e.g., RGAT, DualGCN, SSEGCN), **453** our attribution-based DMAN effectively reduces **454** noise interference from irrelevant opinion words **455** that could be introduced through attention scores. **456** Compared to more methods that leverage syntac- **457** tic information in different ways (e.g. T-GCN, **458** MGFN), our DMAN still achieves better perfor- **459** mance, validating that integrating attribution scores 460 to dynamically capture keywords facilitates a more **461** effective use of syntactic information. Furthermore, **462**

| Models | Lap14 | | Rest ₁₄ | | Rest ₁₅ | | Rest ₁₆ | | MAMs | |
|------------------------|-------|-------|--------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|-------------|-------|
| | Acc | F1 | Acc | F1 | Acc | F1 | Acc | F1 | Acc | F1 |
| Our DMAN | 82.29 | 78.91 | 87.59 | 82.47 | 86.30 | 72.97 | 92.85 | 77.37 | 85.55 | 85.01 |
| w/o multi-attribution | 80.88 | 76.37 | 86.34 | 79.95 | 84.63 | 68.84 | 91.87 | 75.74 | 83.83 | 83.04 |
| w/o token-level | 81.66 | 77.83 | 87.05 | 80.35 | 85.37 | 71.00 | 92.04 | 75.90 | 84.73 | 84.08 |
| w/o span-level | 81.82 | 78.06 | 87.23 | 81.76 | 85.74 | 71.68 | 92.36 | 76.89 | 85.03 | 84.36 |
| w/o syntax information | 81.03 | 77.39 | 86.61 | 81.09 | 85.19 | 70.86 | 91.71 | 75.17 | 84.13 | 83.39 |

Table 3: Ablation study results (%) of our DMAN on five benchmark datasets.

 As MAMs is a challenging dataset that is large- scale and has multi-aspect within sentences, our method still has significant improvements. This further demonstrates DMAN's capability to effec- tively focus on aspect-related opinion words and capture attribution knowledge towards sentiment.

469 4.5 Ablation Study

 To further investigate the effectiveness of each com- ponent in our model, we conducted ablation studies on the five datasets. The results are shown in Table [3.](#page-6-0) In the model without multi-granularity, the per- formance of DMAN suffers from a sharp degrada- tion, with accuracy decreases of 1.41%, 1.48% and 1.72% on Lap14, Rest15 and MAMs datasets, re- spectively. These results demonstrate the effective- ness of our proposed multi-step attribution frame- work, which can accurately identify the critical words for sentiment expression and dynamically leverage the effective syntactic structures. In the model w/o syntax information, we do not initial ad- jacent matrix based on dependency tree. The results show that syntactic information offers crucial clues for correlations between words, effectively miti- gating potential attribution errors and significantly enhancing classification precision. Moreover, we conduct experiments only using single-granularity attribution. The performance decreases demon- strate that the integration of multi-granularity rep- resentations significantly enhances the precise com-prehension of semantics.

493 4.6 Further Analysis

 Effect of Top-K. To mitigate the interference of noisy dimensions, we have employed the Top-K strategy on the attribution scores to filter out di- mensions with relatively low significance. In this section, we explore the impact of varying K val- ues. Specifically, we conducted experiments on the Rest14 and MAMs datasets, testing a range of K values from 100 to 300. The results, illustrated

Figure 3: Accuracy (%) and macro-F1 value (%) on Rest14 dataset with different K values in Top-K strategy.

Figure 4: Accuracy $(\%)$ and macro-F1 value $(\%)$ on MAMs dataset with different K values in Top-K strategy.

in Figure [3](#page-6-1) and Figure [4](#page-6-2) show that accuracy and **502** macro-F1 scores on both datasets initially improve **503** as K increases, but then plateau or slightly decrease. **504** We conjecture that low K values fail to adequately 505 capture attribution knowledge, while high K values **506** may introduce noise. Thus, selecting an appropri- **507** ate K value is crucial for optimal performance. **508**

Effect of Attribution Steps. To investigate how **509** the number of attribution steps influences perfor- **510** mance, we evaluated our DMAN with varying steps 511 on the Rest14, Lap14, and MAMs datasets. No- **512** tably, to maintain compatibility with our frame- **513** work, the number of GCN layers must increase **514** correspondingly as the number of attribution steps **515** increases. As depicted in Figure [5,](#page-7-0) our model **516** achieves optimal performance with 2 steps, while **517** performance significantly declines with further in- **518**

Figure 5: Accuracy (%) of DMAN on Rest14, Lap14 and MAMs datasets with different attribution steps.

(b) visualization for *service*.

Figure 6: Visualization of attention scores and multistep attribution scores on two aspects, *price* and *service*. score denotes attention scores, 1-step and 2-step denote attribution scores of 1st and 2nd layers.

 creases in the number of layers. We attribute this phenomenon to two primary factors. Firstly, when the number of GCN layers becomes exces- sive, node representations face the issue of over- smoothing, leading to vanishing gradients and in- formation redundancy. Secondly, due to the rela- tively small size of ABSA datasets, the network is prone to overfitting as the model complexity in- creases, which results in a situation where gradients convey less effective attribution knowledge.

529 4.7 Visualization on Attribution

 To demonstrate the effectiveness of attribution anal- ysis in our approach, we selected samples with mul- tiple aspects and visualized the attention scores and multi-step attribution scores in Figure [6](#page-7-1) (a) and (b). Specifically, given the sentence "*The price is reasonable although the service is poor*" with two aspects, "*price*" and "*service*", attention scores are shown to be susceptible to noise within the sentence, often assigning relatively high scores to irrelevant words (e.g., "*is poor*" for "*price*"). In contrast, our proposed DMAN more accurately

Figure 7: Accuracy (%) on Lap14 and MAMs datasets with different α values for granularity fusion.

sonable" for "*price*", "*poor*" for "*service*"). Fur- **542** thermore, the progression of attribution scores from **543** the first to the second step illustrates the process **544** of semantic understanding, clearly indicating the **545** effectiveness and interpretability of our model in **546** dynamically capturing aspect-related contexts. **547**

4.8 Impact of α **in Multi-granularity** 548

In the Multi-granularity Attribution Module, we **549** introduce α to balance token granularity and span 550 granularity. To investigate their impact on model **551** performance, we conducted experiments with dif- **552** ferent values of α on Lap14 and MAMs datasets. 553 As illustrated in Figure [7,](#page-7-2) the performance im- **554** proves with increasing α value and reaches a peak, 555 and then declines. This suggests that effectively **556** integrating multi-granularity representations can **557** provide a more comprehensive understanding of **558** sentence semantics. Specifically, considering that 559 ABSA is a fine-grained classification task, we do 560 not employ sentence-level representations. **561**

5 Conclusion **⁵⁶²**

0.0

54 Excelses assume the control of the control of the set of the In this paper, we propose a novel Dynamic Multi- **563** granualarity Attribution Network (DMAN) for the **564** ABSA task, which is different from traditional mod- **565** els that rely on attention scores. Specifically, we **566** first leverage Integrated Gradients to extract multi- **567** step attribution during semantic comprehension, **568** and Top-K strategy is adopted to filter out unimpor- **569** tant dimensions. We then consider multiple granu- **570** larities of semantic concepts, fusing attribution rep- **571** resentations from both token-level and span-level. **572** Finally, we integrate these attribution values with **573** dependency trees to dynamically capture relevant **574** syntactic knowledge, thereby enhancing semantic **575** understanding for sentiment classification. Exten- **576** sive experiments on five public datasets demon- **577** strate the effectiveness of our proposed DMAN. **578**

⁵⁷⁹ Limitations

 One of the primary limitations of our approach is that our method does not always provide accu- rate attributions when addressing sentences with overly complex content and structure. Actually, this is a common limitation among most ABSA methods. Additionally, Our framework comprises two components: attribution analysis and sentiment classification. The complexity of the model struc- ture results in increased computational costs during training process.

⁵⁹⁰ Ethics Statement

 Our work will not cause ethical issues, and the datasets we use are publicly available. Addition- ally, we do not involve the collection or use of any private information.

⁵⁹⁵ References

- **596** Marco Ancona, Enea Ceolini, Cengiz Öztireli, and **597** Markus Gross. 2018. [Towards better understanding](https://openreview.net/forum?id=Sy21R9JAW) **598** [of gradient-based attribution methods for deep neural](https://openreview.net/forum?id=Sy21R9JAW) **599** [networks.](https://openreview.net/forum?id=Sy21R9JAW) In *International Conference on Learning* **600** *Representations*.
- **601** David Baehrens, Timon Schroeter, Stefan Harmeling, **602** Motoaki Kawanabe, Katja Hansen, and Klaus-Robert **603** Müller. 2010. How to explain individual classifica-**604** tion decisions. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 11:1803–1831.
- **605** Adrien Bibal, Rémi Cardon, David Alfter, Rodrigo **606** Wilkens, Xiaoou Wang, Thomas François, and **607** Patrick Watrin. 2022. [Is attention explanation? an](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2022.acl-long.269) **608** [introduction to the debate.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2022.acl-long.269) In *Proceedings of the* **609** *60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Compu-***610** *tational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages **611** 3889–3900, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Compu-**612** tational Linguistics.
- **613** Harm Brouwer, Francesca Delogu, Noortje J. Ven-**614** huizen, and Matthew W. Crocker. 2021. [Neurobe-](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.615538)**615** [havioral correlates of surprisal in language compre-](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.615538)**616** [hension: A neurocomputational model.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.615538) *Frontiers in* **617** *Psychology*, 12.
- **618** Gino Brunner, Yang Liu, Damian Pascual, Oliver **619** Richter, Massimiliano Ciaramita, and Roger Wat-**620** tenhofer. 2020. [On identifiability in transformers.](https://openreview.net/forum?id=BJg1f6EFDB) In **621** *International Conference on Learning Representa-***622** *tions*.
- **623** Chenhua Chen, Zhiyang Teng, and Yue Zhang. 2020. **624** [Inducing target-specific latent structures for aspect](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.emnlp-main.451) **625** [sentiment classification.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.emnlp-main.451) In *Proceedings of the 2020* **626** *Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Lan-***627** *guage Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 5596–5607, On-**628** line. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Nicola De Cao, Michael Sejr Schlichtkrull, Wilker Aziz, **629** and Ivan Titov. 2020. [How do decisions emerge](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.emnlp-main.262) **630** [across layers in neural models? interpretation with](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.emnlp-main.262) **631** [differentiable masking.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.emnlp-main.262) In *Proceedings of the 2020* **632** *Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Lan-* **633** *guage Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 3243–3255, On- **634** line. Association for Computational Linguistics. **635**
- Shuoyang Ding, Hainan Xu, and Philipp Koehn. 2019. **636** [Saliency-driven word alignment interpretation for](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/W19-5201) **637** [neural machine translation.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/W19-5201) In *Proceedings of the* **638** *Fourth Conference on Machine Translation (Volume* **639** *1: Research Papers)*, pages 1–12, Florence, Italy. **640** Association for Computational Linguistics. **641**
- Feifan Fan, Yansong Feng, and Dongyan Zhao. 2018. **642** [Multi-grained attention network for aspect-level sen-](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D18-1380) **643** [timent classification.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D18-1380) In *Proceedings of the 2018 Con-* **644** *ference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language* **645** *Processing*, pages 3433–3442, Brussels, Belgium. **646** Association for Computational Linguistics. **647**
- Chaoyu Guan, Xiting Wang, Quanshi Zhang, Runjin **648** Chen, Di He, and Xing Xie. 2019. [Towards a deep](https://proceedings.mlr.press/v97/guan19a.html) **649** [and unified understanding of deep neural models in](https://proceedings.mlr.press/v97/guan19a.html) **650** [NLP.](https://proceedings.mlr.press/v97/guan19a.html) In *Proceedings of the 36th International Con-* **651** *ference on Machine Learning*, volume 97 of *Pro-* **652** *ceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 2454– **653** 2463. PMLR. **654**
- [B](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D19-1549)inxuan Huang and Kathleen Carley. 2019. [Syntax-](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D19-1549) **655** [aware aspect level sentiment classification with graph](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D19-1549) **656** [attention networks.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D19-1549) In *Proceedings of the 2019 Con-* **657** *ference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language* **658** *Processing and the 9th International Joint Confer-* **659** *ence on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-* **660** *IJCNLP)*, pages 5469–5477, Hong Kong, China. As- **661** sociation for Computational Linguistics. 662
- Maksims Ivanovs, Roberts Kadikis, and Kaspars Ozols. 663 2021. [Perturbation-based methods for explaining](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2021.06.030) **664** [deep neural networks: A survey.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2021.06.030) *Pattern Recognition* **665** *Letters*, 150:228–234. **666**
- [S](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N19-1357)arthak Jain and Byron C. Wallace. 2019. [Attention is](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N19-1357) **667** [not Explanation.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N19-1357) In *Proceedings of the 2019 Con-* **668** *ference of the North American Chapter of the Asso-* **669** *ciation for Computational Linguistics: Human Lan-* **670** *guage Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Pa-* **671** *pers)*, pages 3543–3556, Minneapolis, Minnesota. **672** Association for Computational Linguistics. **673**
- Long Jiang, Mo Yu, Ming Zhou, Xiaohua Liu, and **674** Tiejun Zhao. 2011. [Target-dependent Twitter senti-](https://aclanthology.org/P11-1016) **675** [ment classification.](https://aclanthology.org/P11-1016) In *Proceedings of the 49th An-* **676** *nual Meeting of the Association for Computational* **677** *Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages **678** 151–160, Portland, Oregon, USA. Association for **679** Computational Linguistics. **680**
- Qingnan Jiang, Lei Chen, Ruifeng Xu, Xiang Ao, and **681** Min Yang. 2019. [A challenge dataset and effec-](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D19-1654) **682** [tive models for aspect-based sentiment analysis.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D19-1654) In **683** *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical* **684** *Methods in Natural Language Processing and the* **685**

 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Lan- guage Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP), pages 6280– 6285, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computa-tional Linguistics.

- **690** Ruifan Li, Hao Chen, Fangxiang Feng, Zhanyu Ma, Xi-**691** aojie Wang, and Eduard Hovy. 2021. [Dual graph](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2021.acl-long.494) **692** [convolutional networks for aspect-based sentiment](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2021.acl-long.494) **693** [analysis.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2021.acl-long.494) In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meet-***694** *ing of the Association for Computational Linguistics* **695** *and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natu-***696** *ral Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, **697** pages 6319–6329, Online. Association for Computa-**698** tional Linguistics.
- **699** Yunlong Liang, Fandong Meng, Jinchao Zhang, Jinan **700** Xu, Yufeng Chen, and Jie Zhou. 2019. [A novel](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D19-1559) **701** [aspect-guided deep transition model for aspect based](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D19-1559) **702** [sentiment analysis.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D19-1559) In *Proceedings of the 2019 Con-***703** *ference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language* **704** *Processing and the 9th International Joint Confer-***705** *ence on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-***706** *IJCNLP)*, pages 5569–5580, Hong Kong, China. As-**707** sociation for Computational Linguistics.
- **708** Dehong Ma, Sujian Li, Xiaodong Zhang, and Houfeng **709** Wang. 2017. Interactive attention networks for **710** aspect-level sentiment classification. In *Proceedings* **711** *of the 26th International Joint Conference on Artifi-***712** *cial Intelligence*, IJCAI'17, page 4068–4074. AAAI **713** Press.
- **714** Fukun Ma, Xuming Hu, Aiwei Liu, Yawen Yang, **715** Shuang Li, Philip S. Yu, and Lijie Wen. 2023. [AMR-](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.acl-long.19)**716** [based network for aspect-based sentiment analysis.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.acl-long.19) **717** In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the* **718** *Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume* **719** *1: Long Papers)*, pages 322–337, Toronto, Canada. **720** Association for Computational Linguistics.
- **721** Shiguan Pang, Yun Xue, Zehao Yan, Weihao Huang, and **722** Jinhui Feng. 2021. [Dynamic and multi-channel graph](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2021.findings-acl.232) **723** [convolutional networks for aspect-based sentiment](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2021.findings-acl.232) **724** [analysis.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2021.findings-acl.232) In *Findings of the Association for Com-***725** *putational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021*, pages **726** 2627–2636, Online. Association for Computational **727** Linguistics.
- **728** Maria Pontiki, Dimitris Galanis, Haris Papageorgiou, **729** Ion Androutsopoulos, Suresh Manandhar, Moham-**730** mad AL-Smadi, Mahmoud Al-Ayyoub, Yanyan **731** Zhao, Bing Qin, Orphée De Clercq, Véronique **732** Hoste, Marianna Apidianaki, Xavier Tannier, Na-**733** talia Loukachevitch, Evgeniy Kotelnikov, Nuria Bel, 734 Salud María Jiménez-Zafra, and Gülşen Eryiğit. **735** 2016. [SemEval-2016 task 5: Aspect based sentiment](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/S16-1002) **736** [analysis.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/S16-1002) In *Proceedings of the 10th International* **737** *Workshop on Semantic Evaluation (SemEval-2016)*, **738** pages 19–30, San Diego, California. Association for **739** Computational Linguistics.
- **740** Maria Pontiki, Dimitris Galanis, Haris Papageorgiou, **741** Suresh Manandhar, and Ion Androutsopoulos. 2015. **742** [SemEval-2015 task 12: Aspect based sentiment anal-](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/S15-2082)**743** [ysis.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/S15-2082) In *Proceedings of the 9th International Work-***744** *shop on Semantic Evaluation (SemEval 2015)*, pages

486–495, Denver, Colorado. Association for Compu- **745** tational Linguistics. **746**

- Maria Pontiki, Dimitris Galanis, John Pavlopoulos, Har- **747** ris Papageorgiou, Ion Androutsopoulos, and Suresh **748** Manandhar. 2014. [SemEval-2014 task 4: Aspect](https://doi.org/10.3115/v1/S14-2004) **749** [based sentiment analysis.](https://doi.org/10.3115/v1/S14-2004) In *Proceedings of the 8th* **750** *International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation (Se-* **751** *mEval 2014)*, pages 27–35, Dublin, Ireland. Associa- **752** tion for Computational Linguistics. **753**
- [S](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/P19-1282)ofia Serrano and Noah A. Smith. 2019. [Is attention in-](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/P19-1282)
terpretable? In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meet*[terpretable?](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/P19-1282) In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, **756** pages 2931–2951, Florence, Italy. Association for **757** Computational Linguistics. **758**
- Youwei Song, Jiahai Wang, Tao Jiang, Zhiyue Liu, and **759** Yanghui Rao. 2019. [Attentional encoder network for](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:67855317) **760** [targeted sentiment classification.](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:67855317) In *International* **761** *Conference on Artificial Neural Networks.* **762**
- [C](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N19-1035)hi Sun, Luyao Huang, and Xipeng Qiu. 2019. [Uti-](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N19-1035) **763** [lizing BERT for aspect-based sentiment analysis via](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N19-1035) **764** [constructing auxiliary sentence.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N19-1035) In *Proceedings of* **765** *the 2019 Conference of the North American Chap-* **766** *ter of the Association for Computational Linguistics:* 767 *Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and* **768** *Short Papers)*, pages 380–385, Minneapolis, Min- **769** nesota. Association for Computational Linguistics. **770**
- Xinjie Sun, Kai Zhang, Qi Liu, Meikai Bao, and Yan- **771** jiang Chen. 2024. Harnessing domain insights: A **772** prompt knowledge tuning method for aspect-based **773** sentiment analysis. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, page **774** 111975. **775**
- Mukund Sundararajan, Ankur Taly, and Qiqi Yan. 2017. **776** Axiomatic attribution for deep networks. In *Proceed-* **777** *ings of the 34th International Conference on Machine* **778** *Learning - Volume 70*, ICML'17, page 3319–3328. **779** JMLR.org. **780**
- [X](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D19-1342)ingwei Tan, Yi Cai, and Changxi Zhu. 2019. [Recog-](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D19-1342) **781** [nizing conflict opinions in aspect-level sentiment clas-](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D19-1342) **782** [sification with dual attention networks.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D19-1342) In *Proceed-* **783** *ings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods* **784** *in Natural Language Processing and the 9th Inter-* **785** *national Joint Conference on Natural Language Pro-* **786** *cessing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 3426–3431, Hong **787** Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguis- **788** tics. **789**
- [D](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D16-1021)uyu Tang, Bing Qin, and Ting Liu. 2016. [Aspect level](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D16-1021) **790** [sentiment classification with deep memory network.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D16-1021) 791 In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference on Empirical* **792** *Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 214– **793** 224, Austin, Texas. Association for Computational **794** Linguistics. **795**
- Hao Tang, Donghong Ji, Chenliang Li, and Qiji Zhou. **796** 2020. [Dependency graph enhanced dual-transformer](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.acl-main.588) **797** [structure for aspect-based sentiment classification.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.acl-main.588) In **798** *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Asso-* **799** *ciation for Computational Linguistics*, pages 6578– **800** 6588, Online. Association for Computational Lin- **801** guistics. **802**
- Siyu Tang, Heyan Chai, Ziyi Yao, Ye Ding, Cuiyun Gao, Binxing Fang, and Qing Liao. 2022. [Affective](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2022.emnlp-main.359) [knowledge enhanced multiple-graph fusion networks](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2022.emnlp-main.359) [for aspect-based sentiment analysis.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2022.emnlp-main.359) In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Nat- ural Language Processing*, pages 5352–5362, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Association for Com-putational Linguistics.
- Yuanhe Tian, Guimin Chen, and Yan Song. 2021. [Aspect-based sentiment analysis with type-aware](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2021.naacl-main.231) [graph convolutional networks and layer ensemble.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2021.naacl-main.231) In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computa- tional Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 2910–2922, Online. Association for Computa-tional Linguistics.
- Kai Wang, Weizhou Shen, Yunyi Yang, Xiaojun Quan, and Rui Wang. 2020. [Relational graph attention net-](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.acl-main.295) [work for aspect-based sentiment analysis.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.acl-main.295) In *Pro- ceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Asso- ciation for Computational Linguistics*, pages 3229– 3238, Online. Association for Computational Lin-guistics.
- Qianlong Wang, Keyang Ding, Bin Liang, Min Yang, and Ruifeng Xu. 2023. [Reducing spurious correla-](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.findings-emnlp.193) [tions in aspect-based sentiment analysis with explana-](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.findings-emnlp.193) [tion from large language models.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.findings-emnlp.193) In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pages 2930–2941, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yequan Wang, Minlie Huang, Xiaoyan Zhu, and Li Zhao. 2016. [Attention-based LSTM for aspect-](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D16-1058) [level sentiment classification.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D16-1058) In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 606–615, Austin, Texas. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [S](https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v38i17.29911)huo Yin and Guoqiang Zhong. 2024. [Textgt: A double-](https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v38i17.29911) [view graph transformer on text for aspect-based sen-](https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v38i17.29911) [timent analysis.](https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v38i17.29911) *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 38(17):19404–19412.
- Matthew D. Zeiler and Rob Fergus. 2014. Visualizing and understanding convolutional networks. In *Com- puter Vision – ECCV 2014*, pages 818–833, Cham. Springer International Publishing.
- [C](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D19-1464)hen Zhang, Qiuchi Li, and Dawei Song. 2019. [Aspect-](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D19-1464) [based sentiment classification with aspect-specific](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D19-1464) [graph convolutional networks.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D19-1464) In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natu- ral Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 4568–4578, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Kai Zhang, Qi Liu, Hao Qian, Biao Xiang, Qing Cui, Jun Zhou, and Enhong Chen. 2021. [Eatn: An effi-](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:235544863) [cient adaptive transfer network for aspect-level sen-](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:235544863) [timent analysis.](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:235544863) *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 35:377–389.
- Kai Zhang, Kun Zhang, Mengdi Zhang, Hongke Zhao, **860** Qi Liu, Wei Wu, and Enhong Chen. 2022a. [Incorpo-](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2022.findings-acl.285) **861** [rating dynamic semantics into pre-trained language](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2022.findings-acl.285) **862** [model for aspect-based sentiment analysis.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2022.findings-acl.285) In *Find-* **863** *ings of the Association for Computational Linguis-* **864** *tics: ACL 2022*, pages 3599–3610, Dublin, Ireland. **865** Association for Computational Linguistics. **866**
- Mao Zhang, Yongxin Zhu, Zhen Liu, Zhimin Bao, Yun- **867** fei Wu, Xing Sun, and Linli Xu. 2023. [Span-level](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.acl-long.515) **868** [aspect-based sentiment analysis via table filling.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.acl-long.515) In **869** *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the As-* **870** *sociation for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1:* **871** *Long Papers)*, pages 9273–9284, Toronto, Canada. **872** Association for Computational Linguistics. **873**
- Zheng Zhang, Zili Zhou, and Yanna Wang. 2022b. **874** [SSEGCN: Syntactic and semantic enhanced graph](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.362) **875** [convolutional network for aspect-based sentiment](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.362) **876** [analysis.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.362) In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of* **877** *the North American Chapter of the Association for* **878** *Computational Linguistics: Human Language Tech-* **879** *nologies*, pages 4916–4925, Seattle, United States. **880** Association for Computational Linguistics. **881**