IndicIRSuite: Multilingual Dataset and Neural Information Models for Indian Languages

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce Neural Information 002 Retrieval resources for 11 widely spoken Indian Languages (Assamese, Bengali, Gujarati, Hindi, Kannada, Malayalam, Marathi, Oriya, Punjabi, Tamil, and Telugu) from two major Indian language families (Indo-Aryan and Dravidian). These resources include (a) INDIC-007 MARCO, a multilingual version of the MS MARCO dataset in 11 Indian Languages created using Machine Translation, and (b) Indic-ColBERT, a collection of 11 distinct Monolingual Neural Information Retrieval models, each trained on one of the 11 languages in 013 the INDIC-MARCO dataset. To the best of our knowledge, IndicIRSuite is the first at-015 tempt at building large-scale Neural Informa-017 tion Retrieval resources for a large number of Indian languages, and we hope that it will help accelerate research in Neural IR for Indian Languages. Experiments demonstrate that Indic-ColBERT achieves 47.47% improvement in the MRR@10 score averaged over the INDIC-MARCO baselines for all 11 Indian languages except Oriya, 12.26% improvement in the NDCG@10 score averaged over the MIR-ACL Bengali and Hindi Language baselines, and 20% improvement in the MRR@100 Score over the Mr. Tydi Bengali Language baseline.

1 Introduction

037

041

Information Retrieval (IR) models process user queries and search the document corpus to retrieve a ranked list of relevant documents ordered by a relevance score. Classical IR models, like BM25 (Robertson et al., 2009), retrieve documents that have lexical overlap with the query tokens. Recently, there has been a notable upsurge in adopting Neural IR models utilizing language models such as BERT (Devlin et al., 2018), which enable semantic matching of queries and documents. This shift has proven highly effective in retrieving and reranking documents. ColBERTv2(Santhanam et al., 2021), one of the state-of-art neural IR models, has shown 0.185 points improvement in NDCG@10 Score over the BM25 model baseline on the MS MARCO dataset (Thakur et al., 2021). 042

043

044

047

048

053

054

056

060

061

062

063

064

065

066

067

068

069

070

071

072

073

074

076

078

079

081

The importance of dataset size outweighs domain-matching in training neural IR models (Zhang et al., 2022a). Due to the scarcity of largescale domain-specific datasets, Neural IR models are first trained on the MS MARCO passage ranking dataset (Nguyen et al., 2016), and they are subsequently evaluated on domain-specific datasets in a zero-shot manner. MS MARCO dataset contains 39 million training triplets (q, +d, -d) where q is an actual query from the Bing search engine, +d is a human-labeled passage answering the query, and -d is sampled from unlabelled passages retrieved by the BM25 model. The MS MARCO dataset is in English, implying that neural IR models trained on it are effective only with English queries and passages.

Monolingual IR for non-English languages (Zhang et al., 2022b) (Zhang et al., 2021), Multilingual IR (Lawrie et al., 2023), and Cross-lingual IR (Lin et al., 2023) (Sun and Duh, 2020) extend the English IR paradigm to support diverse languages. In Monolingual IR for non-English languages, the query and passages are in the same language, which is not English. In cross-lingual IR, the query is used to create a ranked list of documents such that each document is in the same language, which is different from the query language. In Multilingual IR, the query is used to create a ranked list of documents such that each document is in one of the several languages, which can be the same or different from the query language. In this work, we focus on Monolingual IR for non-English languages.

Monolingual IR for non-English languages involves training an encoder like mBERT (Devlin et al., 2018), on a large-scale general-domain monolingual dataset for non-English languages to minimize the pairwise softmax cross-entropy loss. The trained models are subsequently finetuned or used in a zero-shot manner on small-scale domainspecific datasets. However, there is a notable lack of large-scale datasets like mMARCO (Bonifacio et al., 2021) for training monolingual neural IR models on many low-resource Indian languages. We introduce neural IR resources to address this scarcity and facilitate Monolingual neural IR across 11 Indian languages. Our contributions are:

084

091

096

098

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

 INDIC-MARCO, a multilingual dataset for training neural IR models in 11 Indian Languages (Assamese, Bengali, Gujarati, Hindi, Kannada, Malayalam, Marathi, Oriya, Punjabi, Tamil and Telugu). For every language in INDIC-MARCO, there exists 8.8 Million passages, 1 Million queries, 39 million training triplets (query, relevant document, irrelevant document), and approximately one relevant document per query. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first large-scale dataset for training a neural IR system on 11 widely spoken Indian languages.

• Indic-ColBERT, a collection of 11 distinct Monolingual Neural Information Retrieval models, each trained on one of the 11 languages in the INDIC-MARCO dataset. Indic-ColBERT achieves 47.47% improvement in the MRR @10 score averaged over the INDIC-MARCO baseline for all 11 Indian languages except Oriya, 12.26% improvement in the NDCG @10 score averaged over the MIRACL Bengali and Hindi Language baselines, and 20% improvement in the MRR@100 Score over the Mr. Tydi Bengali Language baseline. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first effort for a neural IR dataset and models on 11 major Indian languages, thereby providing a benchmark for Indian language IR.

2 Related work

The size of datasets holds greater importance than 122 ensuring domain matching in the training of neural 123 IR models (Zhang et al., 2022a). In terms of size 124 and domain, mMARCO (Bonifacio et al., 2021) is 125 the most similar to our work as it introduces a large-126 scale machine-translated version of MS MARCO 128 in many languages, Hindi being the only Indian language. MIRACL (Zhang et al., 2022b) and Mr. 129 Tydi (Zhang et al., 2021) also introduce datasets 130 and models for Monolingual Neural IR in Hindi, 131 Bengali, and Telugu. 132

FIRE¹ was the most active initiative from 2008 to 2012 for Multilingual IR in Indian languages. FIRE developed datasets for Multilingual IR in six Indian Languages (Bengali, Gujarati, Hindi, Marathi, Oriya, and Tamil). However, the size of these datasets is not large enough to train neural IR systems based on transformer models like mBERT(Devlin et al., 2018) and XLM(Lample and Conneau, 2019). In addition, the text in the FIRE dataset comes from newspaper articles (Palchowdhury et al., 2013), which is domain-specific; hence, the models trained on such datasets cannot generalize well to other domains. Due to the lack of large-scale datasets, Cross-lingual knowledge transfer via Distillation has become popular for neural IR in low-resource languages (Huang et al., 2023a) (Huang et al., 2023b).

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

The key distinction in our work from the earlier approaches is that we introduce monolingual datasets and neural IR models in 11 major Indian Languages (Assamese, Bengali, Gujarati, Hindi, Kannada, Malayalam, Marathi, Oriya, Punjabi, Tamil and Telugu), that can also benefit Crosslingual and Multilingual IR models from the crosslingual transfer effects when trained on a large number of Indian Languages (Zhang et al., 2022a).

3 Datasets

3.1 INDIC-MARCO

We introduce the INDIC-MARCO dataset, a multilingual version of the MS MARCO dataset. We translate the queries and passages in the MS MARCO passage ranking dataset into 11 widely spoken Indian languages (Assamese, Bengali, Gujarati, Hindi, Kannada, Malayalam, Marathi, Oriya, Punjabi, Tamil and Telugu) originating from two major language families (Indo-Aryan and Dravidian). The translation process utilizes the int-8 quantized version of the NLLB-1.3B-Distilled Model (Costa-jussà et al., 2022), available at CTranslate 2^2 (Klein et al., 2020). We chose int-8 quantized version of NLLB-1.3B-Distilled Model for two reasons: (a) it has shown remarkable performance in terms of BLEU scores for many Indian languages as compared to IndicBART (Dabre et al., 2021) and IndicTrans (Ramesh et al., 2022) (b) Quantization (Klein et al., 2020) enables faster inference with less computing power and little or no

¹http://fire.irsi.res.in/fire/static/data

²https://forum.opennmt.net/t/nllb-200-withctranslate2/5090

drop in translation quality. The machine transla-180 tion process employs specific hyper-parameters: a 181 beam width of 4, a maximum decoding sequence length of 200 tokens, a batch size of 64, and a batch type equal to 'examples'. Passages from the MS MARCO dataset are split into multiple sen-185 tences using the Moses SentenceSplitter³, ensuring 186 that each sentence serves as a translation unit in a batch of 64 sentences. In contrast, queries with 188 an average length of 5.96 words (Thakur et al., 189 2021) are not sentence-split before translation. We also translate the MS MARCO Dev-Set(Small)⁴ 191 containing 6,390 queries (1.1 grels/query) to ob-192 tain INDIC-MARCO Dev-set(Small). The trans-193 lation process on an Nvidia A100 GPU with 12 194 GB VRAM takes approximately 1584 hours for passages in MS MARCO, 55 hours for queries in MS MARCO, and 1.5 hours for queries in MS 197 MARCO Dev-Set(Small). Upon translation, the re-198 sulting INDIC-MARCO dataset comprises around 199 8.8 million passages, 530k queries, and 39 Million training triplets in 11 Indian languages. This dataset allows for training monolingual neural IR models for each language in the INDIC-MARCO 203 dataset.

4 Models

206

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

222

226

4.1 Baselines

BM25 (Robertson et al., 2009) serves as a strong baseline as it performs better than many neural IR models on domain-specific datasets with exceptions(Thakur et al., 2021). It does not require any training. BM25 retrieves documents containing query tokens and assigns them a score for reranking based on the frequency of query tokens appearing in them and the document length. In this work, we use the BM25 implementation provided by Pyserini⁵ with values for parameters k1=0.82 and b=0.68 for evaluation on INDIC-MARCO Dev-Set obtained after machine translation. We use Whitespace Analyzers to tokenize queries and documents during indexing and searching for all Indian languages except Hindi, Bengali, and Telugu, for which we use language-specific analyzers provided in Pyserini. BM25-tuned (BM25-T) presented in Mr. Tydi(Zhang et al., 2021) is optimized to maximize the MRR@100 score on the Mr. Tydi test-set using a grid search over the range [0.1, 0.6] for k1

and [0.1, 1] for b.

Multilingual Dense Passage Retriever (mDPR) is presented in both Mr. Tydi and MIRACL by replacing the BERT encoder in Dense Passage Retriever(DPR) (Karpukhin et al., 2020) with an mBERT encoder. In Mr. Tydi, mDPR is trained on English QA dataset (Kwiatkowski et al., 2019) and used in a zero-shot manner for indexing and retrieval of documents. In MIRACL, mDPR is trained on the MS MARCO dataset and used in a zero-shot manner for indexing and retrieving documents. Multilingual ColBERT (mCol) is introduced in MIRACL by replacing the BERT encoder in ColBERT(Santhanam et al., 2021) with an mBERT encoder. mCol is trained on the MS MARCO dataset and used in a zero-shot manner for indexing and retrieval of documents.

227

228

229

231

232

233

234

235

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

270

271

272

273

274

275

4.2 Indic-ColBERT

Indic-ColBERT (iCol) is based on ColBERTv2 (Santhanam et al., 2021) with distinctions: it uses mBERT as query-document encoder, and is trained on INDIC-MARCO. Model architecture comprises (a) a query encoder, (b) a document encoder, and (c) max-sim function (same as ColBERTv2). Given a query with q tokens and a document with d tokens, the Query encoder outputs q fix-sized token embeddings, and the document encoder outputs dfix-sized token embeddings. The maximum input sequence length for the query, q_{max} , and, for the document, d_{max} , is set before giving them to the respective encoders. If q is less than q_{max} , we append $q_{max} - q$ [MASK] tokens to the input query, and if q is greater than q_{max} , q is truncated to q_{max} . If d is less than d_{max} , then d is neither truncated nor padded. If d is greater than d_{max} , d is truncated to d_{max} . The max-sim function is used to obtain the relevance score of a document for a query using the encoded representations.

5 Experiment Setup

We train 11 distinct Indic-ColBERT (iCol) models separately for 50k iterations with a batch size of 128 on the first 6.4 million training triplets from the INDIC-MARCO dataset to optimize the pairwise softmax cross entropy loss function, where each triplet contains a query, a relevant passage and an irrelevant passage in one of the 11 languages on which the model is trained. The mBERT encoder is finetuned from the official "bert-base-multilingualuncased" checkpoint, and the remaining parameters

³https://pypi.org/project/mosestokenizer/

⁴https://ir-datasets.com/MS MARCO-passage.html

⁵https://github.com/castorini/pyserini

Language	N	IRR@1(0	Recall@1000			
	BM25	mCol	iCol	BM25	mCol	iCol	
Assamese	0.078	0.095	0.176	0.449	0.503	0.698	
Bengali	0.112	0.159	0.221	0.622	0.691	0.788	
Gujarati	0.100	0.141	0.232	0.539	0.653	0.805	
Hindi	0.125	0.171	0.223	0.678	0.729	0.772	
Kannada	0.089	0.156	0.219	0.520	0.691	0.787	
Malayalam	0.076	0.124	0.198	0.442	0.603	0.742	
Marathi	0.085	0.143	0.207	0.476	0.655	0.750	
Oriya	0.086	0.002	0.002	0.484	0.022	0.016	
Punjabi	0.113	0.134	0.211	0.603	0.637	0.766	
Tamil	0.088	0.144	0.202	0.495	0.661	0.756	
Telugu	0.1007	0.144	0.206	0.569	0.648	0.749	

Table 1: Results on INDIC-MARCO Dev-Set(Small). mColBERT (mCol) is trained on MS MARCO dataset (Nguyen et al., 2016). Indic-ColBERT are 11 distinct monolingual neural IR models trained on INDIC-MARCO.

Language	Mr. Tydi test-set					MIRACL Dev-set				
	BM25	BM25-T	mDPR	mCol	iCol	BM25	BM25-T	mDPR	mCol	iCol
Bengali	0.418	0.413	0.258	0.414	0.501	0.508	Х	0.443	0.546	0.606
Hindi	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	0.458	Х	0.383	0.470	0.483
Telugu	0.343	0.424	0.106	0.314	0.393	0.494	Х	0.356	0.462	0.479

Table 2: Results on Mr. Tydi test-set (MRR@100) and MIRACL Dev-set (NDCG@10): For Mr. Tydi test-set, we use official BM25, BM25-tuned (BM25-T) and mDPR model scores(Zhang et al., 2021); mCol (mColBERT trained on MS MARCO), and iCol (Indic-ColBERT trained on INDIC-MARCO) are tested in a zero-shot manner. For the MIRACL dev-set, we use official BM25, mDPR, and mCol(mColBERT) model scores (Zhang et al., 2022b); iCol (Indic-ColBERT trained on INDIC-MARCO) is tested in a zero-shot manner.

are trained from scratch.

6 Results

276

277

Indic-ColBERT (iCol) outperforms baseline models (BM25, BM25-T, mDPR, mCol) by 20%, in MRR@100 Score on Mr. Tydi test-set (Refer Table 2) for Bengali Language. For Tel-281 ugu, Indic-ColBERT (iCol) outperforms 3 (BM25, mDPR, mCol) out of 4 baselines in terms of MRR@100 scores. Indic-ColBERT (iCol) outperforms baseline models (BM25, mDPR, mCol) by 19.29% in Bengali and 5.4% in Hindi, in NDCG@10 Score on MIRACL dev-set(Refer Table 2). For Telugu, Indic-ColBERT (iCol) outperforms 2 (mDPR, mCol) out of 3 baselines in 289 terms of NDCG@10 scores. Indic-ColBERT (iCol) outperforms baseline models (BM25, mCol) by 47.47% in MRR@10 Score on INDIC-MARCO Dev-Set(Small) (Refer Table 1) averaged over all 11 Indian languages (excluding Oriya). We do not 294 see any improvements for Oriya because mBERT used in Indic-ColBERT is not pre-trained on Oriya and Assamese. Assamese demonstrates a 125% 297

MRR@10 improvement over the BM25 baseline, attributed to its linguistic similarity with Bengali (indicated by the mColBERT model outperforming BM25 by 21% in MRR@10 Score) and the high-quality data in INDIC-MARCO, further enhancing the MRR@10 score by 104%, making INDIC-MARCO a significant contributor to the advancement for a low-resource language like Assamese which mBERT does not support. 298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

7 Summary, conclusion, and future work

We present IndicIRSuite, featuring INDIC-MARCO, a multilingual neural IR dataset in 11 Indian languages, and Indic-ColBERT, comprising 11 monolingual neural IR models based on Col-BERTv2. Our results demonstrate performance enhancements over baselines in Mr. Tydi, MIR-ACL, and INDIC-MARCO, particularly benefiting low-resource languages like Assamese. INDIC-MARCO proves valuable for such languages, not supported by models like mBERT but linguistically akin to Bengali. Future work includes expanding IndicIRSuite to Multilingual and Crosslingual IR.

368 369 370 371 373 374 375 376 377 378 379 380 381 382 384 386 387 388 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

Limitations

The primary limitation of our study is the absence 321 of a comprehensive comparison of the trained IR 322 models across out-of-domain datasets beyond MIR-323 ACL and Mr. Tydi. It is imperative to delve deeper into the translation quality, specifically assessing whether it exhibits pronounced "translationese." A more exhaustive examination is warranted, particu-327 larly in cases where the proposed models, such as Indic-ColBERT, demonstrate subpar performance compared to baseline models, as observed in the instance where Indic-ColBERT lags behind the 331 BM25 Baseline for the Telugu Language in Mr. Tydi test-set and MIRACL Dev-set.

334 Ethics Statement

We want to emphasize our commitment to uphold-335 ing ethical practices throughout this work. This 336 work publishes a large-scale machine-translated dataset for neural information retrieval in 11 Indian languages - Assamese, Bengali, Gujarati, Hindi, Kannada, Malayalam, Marathi, Oriya, Punjabi, Tamil, and Telugu. MS MARCO passage ranking 341 Dataset in the English language used as a Source 342 dataset for translation is publicly available, and no annotators were employed for data collection. We have cited the datasets and relevant works used in this study.

References

347

350

351

357

361

362

366

367

- Luiz Bonifacio, Vitor Jeronymo, Hugo Queiroz Abonizio, Israel Campiotti, Marzieh Fadaee, Roberto Lotufo, and Rodrigo Nogueira. 2021. mmarco: A multilingual version of the ms marco passage ranking dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.13897*.
- Marta R Costa-jussà, James Cross, Onur Çelebi, Maha Elbayad, Kenneth Heafield, Kevin Heffernan, Elahe Kalbassi, Janice Lam, Daniel Licht, Jean Maillard, et al. 2022. No language left behind: Scaling human-centered machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.04672*.
- Raj Dabre, Himani Shrotriya, Anoop Kunchukuttan, Ratish Puduppully, Mitesh M Khapra, and Pratyush Kumar. 2021. Indicbart: A pre-trained model for indic natural language generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.02903*.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2018. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*.

- Zhiqi Huang, Puxuan Yu, and James Allan. 2023a. Cross-lingual knowledge transfer via distillation for multilingual information retrieval.
- Zhiqi Huang, Puxuan Yu, and James Allan. 2023b. Improving cross-lingual information retrieval on low-resource languages via optimal transport distillation.
 In Proceedings of the Sixteenth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining. ACM.
- Vladimir Karpukhin, Barlas Oğuz, Sewon Min, Patrick Lewis, Ledell Wu, Sergey Edunov, Danqi Chen, and Wen-tau Yih. 2020. Dense passage retrieval for open-domain question answering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.04906*.
- Guillaume Klein, François Hernandez, Vincent Nguyen, and Jean Senellart. 2020. The opennmt neural machine translation toolkit: 2020 edition. In *Proceedings of the 14th Conference of the Association for Machine Translation in the Americas (Volume 1: Research Track)*, pages 102–109.
- Tom Kwiatkowski, Jennimaria Palomaki, Olivia Redfield, Michael Collins, Ankur Parikh, Chris Alberti, Danielle Epstein, Illia Polosukhin, Jacob Devlin, Kenton Lee, Kristina Toutanova, Llion Jones, Matthew Kelcey, Ming-Wei Chang, Andrew M. Dai, Jakob Uszkoreit, Quoc Le, and Slav Petrov. 2019. Natural questions: A benchmark for question answering research. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 7:452–466.
- Guillaume Lample and Alexis Conneau. 2019. Crosslingual language model pretraining. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.07291*.
- Dawn Lawrie, Eugene Yang, Douglas W Oard, and James Mayfield. 2023. Neural approaches to multilingual information retrieval. In *European Conference on Information Retrieval*, pages 521–536. Springer.
- Jimmy Lin, David Alfonso-Hermelo, Vitor Jeronymo, Ehsan Kamalloo, Carlos Lassance, Rodrigo Nogueira, Odunayo Ogundepo, Mehdi Rezagholizadeh, Nandan Thakur, Jheng-Hong Yang, et al. 2023. Simple yet effective neural ranking and reranking baselines for cross-lingual information retrieval. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.01019*.
- Tri Nguyen, Mir Rosenberg, Xia Song, Jianfeng Gao, Saurabh Tiwary, Rangan Majumder, and Li Deng. 2016. Ms marco: A human generated machine reading comprehension dataset. *choice*, 2640:660.
- Sauparna Palchowdhury, Prasenjit Majumder, Dipasree Pal, Ayan Bandyopadhyay, and Mandar Mitra. 2013. Overview of fire 2011. In Multilingual Information Access in South Asian Languages: Second International Workshop, FIRE 2010, Gandhinagar, India, February 19-21, 2010 and Third International Workshop, FIRE 2011, Bombay, India, December 2-4, 2011, Revised Selected Papers, pages 1–12. Springer.
- Gowtham Ramesh, Sumanth Doddapaneni, Aravinth Bheemaraj, Mayank Jobanputra, Raghavan Ak,

Ajitesh Sharma, Sujit Sahoo, Harshita Diddee, Divyanshu Kakwani, Navneet Kumar, et al. 2022. Samanantar: The largest publicly available parallel corpora collection for 11 indic languages. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 10:145–162.

424

425

426 427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435 436

437

438

439 440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458 459

460

461

- Stephen Robertson, Hugo Zaragoza, et al. 2009. The probabilistic relevance framework: Bm25 and beyond. *Foundations and Trends*® *in Information Retrieval*, 3(4):333–389.
- Keshav Santhanam, Omar Khattab, Jon Saad-Falcon, Christopher Potts, and Matei Zaharia. 2021. Colbertv2: Effective and efficient retrieval via lightweight late interaction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.01488*.
- Shuo Sun and Kevin Duh. 2020. Clirmatrix: A massively large collection of bilingual and multilingual datasets for cross-lingual information retrieval. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 4160–4170.
 - Nandan Thakur, Nils Reimers, Andreas Rücklé, Abhishek Srivastava, and Iryna Gurevych. 2021. Beir: A heterogenous benchmark for zero-shot evaluation of information retrieval models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.08663*.
 - Xinyu Zhang, Xueguang Ma, Peng Shi, and Jimmy Lin. 2021. Mr. tydi: A multi-lingual benchmark for dense retrieval. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.08787*.
- Xinyu Zhang, Kelechi Ogueji, Xueguang Ma, and Jimmy Lin. 2022a. Towards best practices for training multilingual dense retrieval models.
- Xinyu Zhang, Nandan Thakur, Odunayo Ogundepo, Ehsan Kamalloo, David Alfonso-Hermelo, Xiaoguang Li, Qun Liu, Mehdi Rezagholizadeh, and Jimmy Lin. 2022b. Making a miracl: Multilingual information retrieval across a continuum of languages. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.09984*.