# Journalism-Guided Agentic In-context Learning for News Stance Detection

Anonymous ACL submission

# Abstract

Understanding the overall stance of news articles is challenging due to their length and structural complexity. Yet, it is essential for supporting pluralistic and credible media environments. This paper introduces a novel stance detection dataset for Korean news, featuring annotations at both the article level and the segment level, informed by the narrative structure of news articles. Building on this resource, we propose an agentic in-context learning method that prompts a large language model (LLM) with segment-level stance predictions generated by a language model agent. Experiments across multiple LLMs demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed framework for articlelevel stance detection and highlight its broader utility in enhancing diverse news recommendations and analyzing patterns of media bias.

# 1 Introduction

003

007

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

025

027

033

036

037

039

041

With the proliferation of digital platforms, online news consumption has become ubiquitous. In response, major news providers have shifted their publication channels from offline newspapers to online newspapers (Martens et al., 2018; Bhuller et al., 2024), and adopted personalized recommendation algorithms to enhance experience of news readers (Feng et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2023). However, such systems may inadvertently confine users within limited information environments, leading to filter bubbles and echo chambers that intensify political polarization (Flaxman et al., 2016; Duskin et al., 2024). To mitigate these effects, it is essential to automatically identify the perspectives embedded in news content and integrate them into recommendation algorithms, thereby promoting a more balanced media ecosystem.

Stance detection is a natural language processing task that aims to identify the perspective expressed in a text toward a specific target (Küçük and Can, 2020; Hardalov et al., 2022). Applying



Figure 1: Key idea of SAAS, illustrating how articlelevel detection is performed by leveraging segmentlevel predictions generated by a language model agent.

stance detection to news articles can support balanced recommendations that reflect diverse viewpoints, thereby helping users make more informed decisions (Alam et al., 2022; Reuver et al., 2024). Additionally, it enables a data-driven understanding of media bias by allowing outlet-wise comparisons of stance distributions across a range of issues (Kuila et al., 2024).

044

045

051

059

060

061

062

063

064

065

066

067

068

069

070

071

072

Despite the growing need for stance detection methods in news articles, two significant gaps remain in prior research. First, most existing studies focus on short texts, such as individual sentences or tweets (Darwish et al., 2020; Glandt et al., 2021; Evrard et al., 2020). In contrast, news articles are often much longer, sometimes exceeding a thousand words. Within such lengthy texts, nuanced stances may vary across different segments. This makes it challenging for models to accurately infer the overall stance. Second, available datasets are mainly limited to high-resource languages (Li et al., 2021; Mascarell et al., 2021), such as English and German. The resource gap is even more significant for news article-level dataset. To enable more comprehensive and culturally grounded stance detection, it is crucial to develop datasets in non-major languages that reflect country-specific issues and linguistic nuances.

To address these gaps, this study introduces K-NEWS-STANCE, the first dataset for predicting the overall stance of full-length news articles in Korean. The dataset comprises 2,000 news articles,

each manually annotated with its stance toward one
of 39 nationwide issues. In addition to article-level
annotations, we provide stance labels for smaller
news components, including, the headline, concluding paragraph, and quotations within the body
text. In total, K-NEWS-STANCE contains 19,650
segment-level stance annotations.

081

087

100

101

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

Building on this dataset, we propose a novel stance detection method, leveraging a large language model (LLM) through in-context learning, guided by a segment-level stance detection agent. We refer to this method as SAAS (Segment-level Agent for Article-level Stance Detection). As illustrated in Figure 1, the agent model is responsible for predicting stance labels for journalism-guided segments—such as the lead and quotations—which are incorporated into the prompt. This enables the LLM to better infer the overall stance of the article toward a given target issue. Experimental results show that SAAS outperforms existing methods, demonstrating the effectiveness of segment-level agency in article-level stance detection.

We make the following three key contributions.

- We introduce K-NEWS-STANCE, the first Korean dataset for article-level news stance detection, comprising 2,000 articles and 19,650 segments annotated with stance labels.
- We propose SAAS, an agentic in-context learning approach that predicts article-level stance by leveraging segment-level stance predictions generated by a language model agent.
- We present two case studies demonstrating the practical utility of SAAS in supporting pluralistic and trustworthy media environments.

# 2 Related works

Stance detection on news articles Prior research on stance detection and related work involving news data can be broadly categorized as three. First, several studies have focused on stance detection in news headlines (Yoon et al., 2019; Bourgonje et al., 2017; Ghanem et al., 2018; Borges et al., 2019), aligning with the broader trend of applying stance detection to short texts, such as tweets (Ferreira and Vlachos, 2016). Pomerleau and Rao (2017) introduced a dataset for classifying the stance of a news headline toward an unverified claim. The second line of research addresses stance detection in full news articles, which are substantially longer than headlines or tweets (Mets et al., 2024; Lüüsi et al., 2024; Mascarell et al., 2021; Conforti et al., 2020) and therefore pose greater challenges. Reuver et al. (2024) showed that fewshot detection using LLMs struggles with this task. Third, several studies have examined framing and media bias, which are closely related to stance detection. Card et al. (2015) introduced an annotated corpus of news articles for 15 frames across different social issues, which has since been widely used in automated frame analysis (Kwak et al., 2020; Card et al., 2016; Roy and Goldwasser, 2020). Other studies have aimed to predict the political bias of news articles at different levels (Baly et al., 2018, 2020; Hong et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2020). Most recently, Lin et al. (2024b) employed LLMs to predict the political bias of news articles. This study addresses the problem of detecting the overall stance of a news article, a task that has been relatively understudied in prior research.

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

LLM-based stance detection Prior research on 141 stance detection has primarily focused on model-142 ing the relationship between a given text and a 143 target to infer the expressed stance (Küçük and 144 Can, 2020; ALDayel and Magdy, 2021). Early 145 approaches relied on bag-of-words representa-146 tions (Mohammad et al., 2016) and recurrent neu-147 ral networks (Augenstein et al., 2016; Du et al., 148 2017). More recent studies have leveraged pre-149 trained language models. For example, several works have explored methods based on masked 151 language models (MLM) (He et al., 2022; Chai 152 et al., 2022; Li and Caragea, 2021); among them, 153 Li et al. (2021) proposed an uncertainty-aware self-154 training method for BERTweet, while Liu et al. 155 (2022) introduced a stance detection model pre-156 trained on 3.6 million news articles. Building on 157 this, recent studies have investigated the use of 158 instruction-tuned LLMs. A preliminary study by 159 Zhu et al. (2023) employed in-context learning by 160 ChatGPT for stance detection, finding that its per-161 formance lagged behind human annotators. Cruick-162 shank and Ng (2023) compared in-context learning 163 and fine-tuning using open LLMs. More advanced 164 methods have since emerged: Lan et al. (2023) in-165 troduced a multi-agent framework in which LLM 166 experts collaborate on stance prediction; Li et al. 167 (2023) retrieved and filtered background knowl-168 edge from Wikipedia; and Zhang et al. (2024b) 169 extracted diverse forms of stance-related knowl-170 edge from LLMs to train stance classifiers. Our 171 work contributes to this line of research by propos-172

253

254

255

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

ing a novel LLM-based stance detection methodthat employs segment-level signals.

# **3** Problem and Dataset

# 3.1 Target Problem

175

177

178

180

181

182

183

184

185

189

190

191

194 195

197

198

201

207

210

211

213

214

215

217

218

219

We address the task of stance detection in news articles, which involves identifying the positional stance of a news article toward a given social issue. Formally, given a news article A covering a target issue T, the objective is to determine the overall stance of A toward T. The stance label L is categorized into one of three classes: *supportive*, *neutral*, or *oppositional*. A stance detection model  $f(\cdot)$ , which takes A and T as input, is tasked with predicting L. Model performance is evaluated using standard classification metrics.

The target problem represents a specialized case of stance detection, an NLP task aimed at determining the position or attitude expressed in a text regarding a particular target (Küçük and Can, 2020; Hardalov et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2024a). While stance detection has been widely studied in the context of short-form content such as tweets, forum posts, or headlines, its application to long-form journalistic texts remains a formidable challenge due to the complex nature of news articles, which can be summarized in two key aspects.

First, professional journalism typically privileges verification over assertion (Kovach and Rosenstiel, 2021). Adhering to normative ideals of neutrality and balance, news articles often refrain from making overt evaluative claims. Instead, they rely on indirect cues, such as source selection (Zoch and Turk, 1998; Druckman and Parkin, 2005), narrative framing (Nelson et al., 1997; Gentzkow and Shapiro, 2010), and lexical subtleties (Simon and Jerit, 2007; Schuldt et al., 2011), to communicate a stance, if any, toward a given issue. Even when an article expresses a positional preference, it is frequently nuanced, hedged, or ambivalent, making it difficult for models to detect without a deep understanding of rhetorical and discursive context.

Second and relatedly, the stance expressed in a news article is rarely concentrated in a single sentence or paragraph. Rather, it is often distributed across multiple textual layers, including headlines, leads, quotations, and framing devices. These layers may contain conflicting or ambiguous signals, especially in articles that attempt to present multiple sides of an issue. Accordingly, stance detection models must be capable of synthesizing fragmented and context-dependent cues across the entire document, a task made more challenging by the sheer length of the news texts.

Furthermore, despite recent advances in language understanding, LLMs often struggle to retain salient contextual information when processing long documents (Liu et al., 2024), leading to degraded performance (Reuver et al., 2024). This limitation is particularly pronounced in the news domain, where articles are significantly longer and more discursively layered than the short texts such as tweets or single sentences—commonly used in prior stance detection research.

To address these challenges, we propose a hierarchical modeling approach that first infers the stance at the level of smaller discourse units (e.g., paragraphs or sections), and subsequently integrates these local predictions to determine the overall stance of the article. This architecture is designed to retain local context and capture dispersed stance cues in assessing how different parts of a news story contribute to its overall position on an issue.

#### **3.2 Dataset: K-NEWS-STANCE**

Despite prior research on news stance detection (Lüüsi et al., 2024; Mascarell et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2022), most existing studies focus on high-resource languages such as English and German. As a key contribution, this study introduces a new annotated corpus in Korean. The dataset includes manually labeled stance annotations for sub-components of news articles, enabling finegrained analysis and facilitating the development of more advanced stance detection methods.

**Raw data collection** We collected Korean news articles published between June 2022 and June 2024 using BigKinds and Naver News. BigKinds, operated by the Korea Press Foundation (KPF), is a news platform that provides metadata (e.g., headlines, publishers) for weekly nationwide issues across diverse domains, including labor, gender, national, and international affairs. As a governmentaffiliated organization, the KPF curates a comprehensive news archive, ensuring that BigKinds captures social issues of national significance. From this archive, we randomly sampled 47 issues, maintaining temporal balance over the two-year period. Becuase BigKinds does not provide full text, we retrieved the corresponding content using the Naver News search API, a major news aggregator in KoTarget Issue: The National Assembly's Approval of the Ban on Dog Meat Consumption

Headline (Supportive): "Classic Kim Keon-hee"... Dog Meat Ban Wins Rare Praise from Gae-ddal Body Text

-Lead (*Supportive*): A landmark bill banning the slaughter, breeding, and sale of dogs for consumption has passed the National Assembly, marking a pivotal moment in Korea's evolving stance on animal welfare. Commonly referred to as the 'Kim Keon-hee Law'

-Conclusion (*Supportive*): According to a 2023 national survey conducted by Korea Research International on behalf of the Animal Welfare Research Institute Aware, 94.5% of respondents reported not having consumed dog meat in the past year.

**Overall Stance**: Supportive

Headline (*Neutral*): Ban on Dog Meat Consumption: 'A Historic Victory' vs 'Awaiting Constitutional Appeal' Body Text

-Lead (*Neutral*): The passage of Korea's so-called 'Dog Meat Ban Bill' on January 9 has triggered sharply divergent responses from advocacy groups and industry representatives. Animal rights organizations celebrated the vote as a watershed moment, declaring it "a historic victory for animal rights." Meanwhile, the Korea Dog Meat Association, which has fiercely opposed the legislation, condemned the decision as an infringement on basic rights, stating that "the freedom to choose one's occupation has been taken away." The group announced its intention to file a constitutional appeal.

-Conclusion (*Neutral*): The bill, formally titled, 'The Special Act on the Termination of Dog Breeding, Slaughter, Distribution, and Sale for Food Purposes,' bans all commercial activities involving dogs for human consumption, including breeding, slaughter, distribution, and sale.

**Overall Stance**: Neutral

273

291

295

Headline (*Oppositional*): With the ban on Dog Meat Passed, Longtime Boshintang Vender Says, 'I'm at a loss' Body Text

-Lead (*Oppositional*): Confusion and concern are growing among dog meat industry workers following the National Assembly's approval of a bill that will outlaw the dog meat consumption. Although enforcement measures and penalties won't take effect until 2027, the law has already sparked fresh controversy. While officials argue that the legislation will end decades of bitter debate, questions are mounting about how to manage the sudden increase in abandoned dogs and unregulated breeding facilities.

-Conclusion (*Oppositional*): Mr. B, a long-time vendor in the industry, said "Once the suppliers disappeared, I had no choice but to shut down for a while because I simply couldn't get any meat." He added, "My rent is 1.6 million won per month. To stay afloat, I need to make at least 800,000 won per day, but now I'm barely making 200,000. At this rate, I won't be able to keep the doors open." **Overall Stance**: *Oppositional* 

Table 1: Data examples translated in English, of which the remaining body text is omitted for brevity. The colored highlight indicates the stance label for quotations (blue: supportive, red: oppositional).

rea. The data collection comprises 2,989 articles from 31 news outlets, which were then manually annotated for stance in the subsequent step.

Manual annotation In collaboration with a journalism scholar holding a Ph.D. in mass communication, we developed a manual annotation guideline for labeling the stance of a news article toward its target issue, as well as the stance expressed in its sub-components. The guideline is informed by features of the narrative structure known to signal stance, including information selection (Nelson et al., 1997; Zoch and Turk, 1998; Gentzkow and Shapiro, 2010; Druckman and Parkin, 2005), patterns of direct quotation (McGlone, 2005; Han and Federico, 2018; Song et al., 2023), lexical choices (Simon and Jerit, 2007; Schuldt et al., 2011), and cues that imply preferred interpretations or intended actions (Bolsen and Druckman, 2015; McIntyre, 2019).

The annotation process consists of two main tasks. The first involves classifying each article into one of four categories: straight news (factual reporting without interpretation), analysis (providing contextual background, implications, or future scenarios), opinion, and others (e.g., interviews). For stance annotation, we exclusively focus on analysis and opinion, as these genres are more likely to contain opinionated content (Alhindi et al., 2020). The second task asks annotators to assess the article's stance toward a given issue, classifying it as *supportive*, *neutral*, or *oppositional*. Beyond the article-level stance annotation, we also label four key structural components of the article: the headline, lead, conclusion, and direct quotations. In cases where stance is ambiguous, annotators are advised to consult additional articles addressing the same issue to enhance contextual understanding and maintain consistency in labeling.

296

297

299

300

301

302

304

308

310

311

312

313

314

315

317

318

Two annotators from our institution were recruited and trained to follow the annotation guidelines meticulously. The annotators labeled all 2,000 articles and 19,650 segments, achieving substantial inter-coder reliability, with Kripendorff's alpha ranging from 0.68 to 0.84 across different segments and article-level annotations. In cases of disagreement, annotation conflicts were resolved through discussion and consensus. The detailed guidelines and labeling interface are shown in Figure A4.

319 320

321

322

323

345

346

347

350

355

356

357

Table 1 presents three annotation examples that illustrate different stances on the same issue. As shown in these examples, segment-level stance labels offer important cues for interpreting the overall position of a news article toward the issue. The original example in Korean is in Table A7.

**Dataset statistics** The final dataset comprises 327 2,000 news articles covering 47 distinct issues. 328 Following prior work on stance detection (Reuver 329 et al., 2024), we divide the dataset into two splits 330 such that each split contains a disjoint set of 331 issues-24 for training and 23 for testing. Accordingly, the training and test sets consist of 999 and 1,001 articles, respectively. This issue-335 level split prevents models from relying on issuespecific cues when predicting stance labels. Table 2 336 presents descriptive statistics for the training and 337 test sets, which are broadly comparable. On average, articles contain 1,483 characters, with lengths ranging from 376 to 8,185 characters. Each article 340 includes an average of 7.8 direct quotations, with 341 the number ranging from 0 to 45. Further analysis 342 343 of label distributions and cross-segment associations is provided in Section A.1. 344

# 4 Proposed Method: SAAS

LLMs can be adapted to new tasks without parameter updates by providing task instructions in the input prompt, a technique known as *in-context learning*. However, applying this approach to the target task is suboptimal due to the length and structural complexity of news articles, which often leads to context loss (Liu et al., 2024) and degraded performance (Bertsch et al., 2025). To address this limitation, we propose SAAS (Segment-level Agent for Article-level Stance Detection), an agentic incontext learning framework that enhances LLM prompts by incorporating stance labels for shorter, journalism-guided structural segments of the article. These labels are predicted by a dedicated language model (LM) agent and used as auxiliary signals to support article-level stance inference.

362Segment-level stance detectionWe employ an363LM agent to infer stance labels for shorter seg-364ments of a given news article. We assume that the365agent can accurately predict the stance of these seg-366ments, thereby assisting the LLM in inferring the367overall stance of the full article based on segment-

	Train	Test	Total
# Articles	999	1001	2000
(S/N/O)	(314/346/339)	(323/330/348)	(637/676/687)
# Issues	24	23	47
# Characters (max)	5451	8185	8185
# Characters (mean)	1478	1489.14	1483.58
# Characters (median)	1348	1318	1335
# Characters (min)	376	413	376
# Quotations (max)	45	20	45
# Quotations (mean)	7.93	7.62	7.78
# Quotations (median)	7	7	8
# Quotations (min)	0	0	0

Table 2: Descriptive statistics (S:Supportive, N:Neutral, O:Oppositional)

level signals.

Specifically, we analyze the following subcomponents of news articles, grounded in journalism research (Mencher and Shilton, 1997): 369

370

372

373

374

375

376

377

379

380

381

385

387

388

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

- Headline: Conveys the core message of the article and is designed to be clear and easily understood at a glance.
- Lead: Typically the first paragraph. Following the inverted pyramid structure, it summarizes the most important information and generally addresses at least three of the six classic questions (5Ws and 1H): Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.
- Conclusion: The final paragraph, which often reinforces the main points or offers final context or interpretation.
- Quotations: Direct speech from sources, included to provide evidence, perspectives, or rhetorical impact.

We consider two types of LMs for segment-level stance prediction: (1) an LLM that performs incontext learning without parameter updates, and (2) a fine-tuned MLM trained on the segment-level annotations. According to the comparison experiments, we adopt a fine-tuned MLM for the bestperforming variant.

Article stance prediction To predict the overall stance of an article toward a target issue, we prompt an LLM with task instructions while augmenting segment-level stance labels predicted by an LM agent. These labels are embedded into the article using an XML-like format, enabling the LLM to incorporate them as contextual cues during inference. The proposed method is model-agnostic and can be applied to any instruction-following LLM. The prompt format and an example are shown in Figure A2.

# 5 Evaluation

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

428

429

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

441

445

448

449

450

451

452

453

We conduct evaluation experiments to assess the effectiveness of the proposed method for articlelevel news stance detection in comparison to existing approaches. Detailed experimental settings are provided in Section A.2.

# 5.1 Baseline Methods

We employ four fine-tuned methods as baseeach demonstrating state-of-the-art lines, performance on stance detection benchmarks. (1) RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019) is fine-tuned for article-level stance detection. (2) CoT Embeddings (Gatto et al., 2023) is a fine-tuned RoBERTa model on the explanation trace of an LLM for determining the stance of a given news article. (3) LKI-BART (Zhang et al., 2024c) is an encoder-decoder model that incorporates contextual knowledge from an LLM into stance detection by prompting the LLM with both the input and target. (4) PT-HCL (Liang et al., 2022) is a hierarchical contrastive learning method designed to distinguish between target-invariant and target-specific features. Model checkpoints are provided in Section A.2.

#### 5.2 Results

Table 3 presents the evaluation results of the baseline and proposed methods on the test set of K-NEWS-STANCE. Table 3a reports the performance of existing state-of-the-art methods, all trained on the training set. Table 3b shows the performance of LLM-based in-context learning methods, including an additional baseline that uses instruction-only prompting and two variants of the proposed SAAS, each listed in a separate row. The second and third columns indicate whether each model incorporates advanced prompting techniques, such as chain-ofthought reasoning (Wei et al., 2022) or few-shot sample augmentations (Brown et al., 2020). The remaining columns present performance across different LLM backbones used for article-level stance prediction. Specifically, we evaluate three proprietary LLMs-GPT-4o-mini, Gemini-2.0-flash, and Claude-3-haiku—used without parameter updates, alongside one open-weight model, Exaone-2.4b, which has been instruction fine-tuned. These models are selected for their strong performance in Korean language understanding (LG AI Research, 2024).

We implemented two SAAS variants based on

the source of segment-level stance prediction. The first assumes an idealized *oracle* scenario in which segment-level stance labels are perfectly accurate, serving as an upper bound on the model's potential performance. The second variant replaces the oracle with a fine-tuned RoBERTa model trained on segment-level annotations from the training set, simulating realistic prediction conditions. We select the RoBERTa model as the representative segment-level agent due to its competitive performance in article-level stance detection, as shown in Table A4.

Three primary observations emerge from Table 3. First, among the baseline methods, PT-HCL achieves the highest performance, with an accuracy of 0.617 and an F1 score of 0.618, followed by the fine-tuned RoBERTa model. These results highlight the effectiveness of contrastive learning and standard MLM fine-tuning for stance detection.

Second, among the LLM-based in-context learning baselines, Gemini-2.0-flash stands out as the best-performing LLM when combined with CoT prompting, reaching an accuracy of 0.661 and F1 of 0.657. This outperforms all fine-tuned baselines. The effectiveness of CoT and few-shot prompting varies across different LLMs, suggesting modelspecific sensitivity to prompting strategies.

Third, as demonstrated by the performance of SAAS (RoBERTa), incorporating the RoBERTabased segment-level agent consistently improves stance detection performance across all LLMs, yielding gains of up to +0.071 in accuracy and +0.1in F1 compared to the in-context learning baselines. In this configuration, Gemini-2.0-flash once again achieves the strongest results when combined with CoT prompting and six-shot augmentation. However, the overall performance remains constrained by the quality of segment-level predictions, as evidenced by the persistent and substantial performance gaps between this setting and the oracle variant across all LLMs. The largest gap of +0.236 in accuracy and +0.238 in F1 was observed for the fine-tuned Exaone-2.4b, which is the highest performance under the oracle setting despite its relatively small model size. This result highlights the potential of SAAS for efficient implementation in practical, resource-constrained scenarios.

Ablation study on segment labels We conduct an ablation study to assess the contribution of stance labels from individual news segments to overall article-level stance prediction. Specifically, 455 456 457

454

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

501

502

RoBl	ERTa	CoT Embeddings		LKI-I	BART	PT-HCL	
Accuracy	F1	Accuracy	F1	Accuracy	F1	Accuracy	F1
$0.594{\pm}0.029$	$0.577 {\pm} 0.046$	$0.582{\pm}0.028$	$0.562 {\pm} 0.039$	$0.545 \pm 0.011$	$0.538 {\pm} 0.014$	0.617±0.007	$0.618 {\pm} 0.008$

Gemini-2.0-flash Exaone-2.4b (finetuned) GPT-4o-mini Claude-3-haiku Method + CoT+6-shot Accuracy E1 Accuracy F1 Accuracy F1 Accuracy F1  $0.594 \pm 0.002$  $0.577 \pm 0.002$  $0.636 \pm 0.001$  $0.628 \pm 0.002$  $0.568 \pm 0.002$ 0.538±0.002 0.554±0.003 0.544±0.003 V 0.597±0.002 0.581±0.003  $0.661 {\pm} 0.002$ 0.657±0.002  $0.561 \pm 0.002$  $0.533 \pm 0.003$  $0.54 \pm 0.001$  $0.539 \pm 0.003$ Baseline  $0.565 \pm 0.003$  $0.54 \pm 0.003$  $0.635 \pm 0.003$  $0.631 \pm 0.004$  $0.545 \pm 0.004$  $0.523 \pm 0.005$  $0.445 \pm 0.004$  $0.431 \pm 0.003$ √  $0.526 \pm 0.003$  $0.494 \pm 0.003$  $0.641 {\pm} 0.005$  $0.635 {\pm} 0.004$  $0.541 \pm 0.004$  $0.51 \pm 0.004$  $0.456 \pm 0.002$  $0.443 {\pm} 0.002$ 0.837±0.004 0.837±0.004  $0.724 \pm 0.001$  $0.706 \pm 0.001$  $0.758 {\pm} 0.001$  $0.753 \pm 0.001$ 0.791±0.002  $0.789 \pm 0.002$ √ SAAS  $0.716 {\pm} 0.002$  $0.692 \pm 0.002$  $0.778 {\pm} 0.001$  $0.776 {\pm} 0.001$  $0.74 {\pm} 0.001$  $0.732 {\pm} 0.001$  $0.813 \pm 0.003$  $0.821 \pm 0.002$ (Oracle) 0.796±0.003 0.798±0.003  $0.772 \pm 0.003$  $0.769 \pm 0.003$  $0.815 {\pm} 0.001$  $0.816 \pm 0.001$  $0.344 \pm 0.004$  $0.308 \pm 0.004$ V  $0.747 \pm 0.001$  $0.74 \pm 0.002$  $0.777 \pm 0.003$  $0.773 \pm 0.003$  $0.794 \pm 0.002$  $0.797 \pm 0.002$  $0.338 \pm 0.005$  $0.3 \pm 0.006$ 0.571±0.002  $0.53 \pm 0.001$  $0.633 \pm 0.003$  $0.619 \pm 0.004$  $0.591 \pm 0.004$  $0.577 \pm 0.004$  $0.584 \pm 0.002$  $0.581 \pm 0.001$ V SAAS  $0.553 \pm 0.001$  $0.509 \pm 0.001$  $0.633 \pm 0.001$  $0.626 \pm 0.001$  $0.579 \pm 0.003$  $0.552 \pm 0.004$ 0.601+0.006  $0.599 \pm 0.006$  $0.607 \pm 0.006$  $0.354 \pm 0.003$ (RoBERTa)  $0.602 \pm 0.007$  $0.662 \pm 0.001$  $0.657 \pm 0.001$  $0.639 \pm 0.002$  $0.638 \pm 0.004$  $0.331 \pm 0.003$ 

(a) Existing methods

(b) LLM in-context learning methods

 $0.672 \pm 0.002$ 

 $0.61 \pm 0.004$ 

 $0.678 \pm 0.002$ 

Table 3: Performance for predicting overall stance of news articles

we evaluate model variants in which the stance label of a particular segment is omitted from the 506 prompt. Table 4 reports the results for two settings: 507 the oracle variant of SAAS using Exaone-2.4b, and the best-performing RoBERTa-agent variant, 509 which uses Gemini-2.0-flash as the backbone LLM with CoT prompting and six-shot sample augmen-511 tation. The first row reports the performance of 512 SAAS in its best configuration, while the subse-513 quent rows show the results of the ablated variants. 514 In the oracle setting, removing the lead segment results in the largest performance drop, while removing the headline or quotations yield the smallest. 517 These findings highlight the importance of incorporating multiple segments in context, rather than 519 focusing on a single segment, such as news headlines, as commonly addressed in prior stance detec-521 tion research (Ferreira and Vlachos, 2016; Bourgonje et al., 2017). When predicted segment-level labels are used, the performance gaps from ablation are reduced. In this setting, removing direct quo-525 tations leads to the smallest drop, suggesting that 526 quote-level stances are more difficult to interpret due to their brevity and subtlety. In Section A.4, we present the results of an additional ablation experiment that evaluates the effectiveness of using journalism-guided segments, compared to label augmentations based on random segments.

 $0.591 \pm 0.002$ 

 $0.57 \pm 0.002$ 

Error analysis Figure 2 presents the confusion matrices for the best-performing variant of 534 SAAS using the RoBERTa agent and the baseline in-context learning methods, both of which use Gemini-2.0-flash as backbone. The results show 537 that the proposed method achieves higher accuracy across all three target classes. Both models 539

Model	ACC	F1			
SAAS	$0.837 {\pm} 0.004$	$0.837 {\pm} 0.004$			
w/o Headline	$0.827 {\pm} 0.002$	$0.827 {\pm} 0.001$			
w/o Lead	$0.763 {\pm} 0.001$	$0.75 {\pm} 0.001$			
w/o Conclusion	$0.767 {\pm} 0.003$	$0.77 {\pm} 0.003$			
w/o Quotations	$0.828 {\pm} 0.004$	$0.826 {\pm} 0.003$			
(a) SAAS (Oracle)					
Model	ACC	F1			
SAAS	$0.678 {\pm} 0.002$	$0.672 {\pm} 0.002$			
w/o Headline	$0.672 {\pm} 0.003$	$0.67 {\pm} 0.004$			
w/o Lead	$0.61 {\pm} 0.001$	$0.63 {\pm} 0.002$			
w/o Conclusion	$0.663 {\pm} 0.003$	$0.658 {\pm} 0.005$			
w/o Quotations	$0.676 {\pm} 0.002$	$0.669 {\pm} 0.002$			

 $0.608 \pm 0.004$ 

 $0.332 \pm 0.001$ 

 $0.308 \pm 0.001$ 

#### (b) SAAS (RoBERTa)



Table 4: Performance by ablating segment labels

Figure 2: Confusion matrix

exhibit the greatest difficulty in correctly classifying articles with the gold label supportive, followed by *neutral* and *oppositional*. For 323 supportivelabeled articles, the baseline method frequently misclassified them as neutral (128 instances) or oppositional (48 instances). While SAAS performs better overall, it follows a similar error pattern, highlighting the challenge of identifying cues indicative of supportive stances—an area for future investigation.

540

548

# 6 Case studies

551

554

561

567

574

577

581

582

583

587

591

593

597

599

We conduct two case studies to highlight potential applications of SAAS. We additionally collected recent news data for six randomly selected issues from July 2024 to April 2025. The stance labels were manually annotated by the same annotators involved in the primary dataset.

**Diversity in news recommendation** The first case study investigates whether stance predictions by SAAS can enhance political diversity in news recommendations. We assume a scenario in which ten different users are each recommended a set of news articles after reading an initial article. As a baseline recommender based on content similarity, we use a multilingual version of Contriever (Izacard et al., 2022) to retrieve the top-20 most similar articles for each user from the newly collected article pool covering four distinct issues.

We then apply two versions of the Maximum Marginal Relevance (MMR) re-ranking method (Carbonell and Goldstein, 1998) to these initial recommendation. The first is the standard MMR approach, which ranks articles based on embedding similarity. The second, denoted as MMR (SaaS), incorporates predicted stance labels from SAAS, encoded as one-hot vectors, to promote stance diversity during re-ranking.

Table 5 presents the evaluation results, reporting the average values of Diversity and Precision@Kfor varying values of K (from 5 to 10). Diversity is measured as the entropy of the political preference distribution among the recommended articles, with higher entropy indicating greater ideological diversity. Since the political leaning associated with each stance label can vary by issue, we manually map each stance label to one of three political categories: progressive, moderate, and conservative.

The results indicate that re-ranking with stance predictions from SAAS leads to higher diversity scores, with only a slight reduction in precision compared to the baseline. Furthermore, the proposed re-ranking approach achieves a comparable level of precision to the standard MMR while yielding higher diversity. These findings demonstrates the potential of SAAS for promoting politically diverse news recommendations.

**Political bias in news outlets** The second case study demonstrates the utility of SAAS as an analytical tool for identifying media bias. Figure 3 presents a scatterplot in which each point reflects

Mathad	k :	=5	k = 10		
Method	Diversity	Precision	Diversity	Precision	
Contriever	0.535	1	0.723	0.983	
+ MMR	0.622	0.975	0.764	0.969	
+ MMR (SaaS)	0.647	0.983	0.793	0.971	

Table 5: Effects of incorporating predicted stances for prompting diversity in news recommendation



Figure 3: Predicted stance label distribution, grouped by the political leaning of six major news outlets

the distribution of predicted *supportive* and *oppositional* stances across news articles published by six major Korean news outlets. The analysis centers on two salient social issues tied to the 2025 presidential election<sup>1</sup>. Outlets are categorized as either progressive or conservative based on ideological classifications established in prior literature (Han et al., 2023; Song, 2007; Jo, 2003). The resulting clusters reveal clear differences in stance patterns that align with each outlet's known editorial stance. These findings underscore the potential of SAAS to map partisan bias in news coverage and support large-scale analyses of the media bias landscape.

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

### 7 Conclusion

This paper presented a novel dataset for news stance detection in Korean, which includes stance annotations for both whole articles and journalismguided news segments. Building on this resource, we proposed an agentic in-context learning method that improves article-level stance detection by LLMs through the augmentation of segment-level stance labels generated by an LM agent. Two case studies demonstrate the broader applicability of the proposed dataset and method beyond benchmarking, supporting efforts toward pluralistic and credible media environments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ongoing at the time of submission.

# Limitations

626

627

628

632

633

637

638

639

641

642

644

645

647

649

651

656

658

659

667

672

673

While our framework is evaluated on a single dataset, this reflects the fact that, to our knowledge, it is the first resource to pair journalism-guided segment-level labels with article-level stances. The consistent gains observed across five different LLMs-including sizable improvements under the oracle-setting-suggest that the core ideas are not confined to this particular corpus. Nevertheless, validation across additional languages and media ecosystems will be essential. To facilitate, we will publicly release our annotation protocol to lower the barrier to adoption.

Our approach currently incurs additional inference costs due to the invocation of an LM agent at the segment level. Encouragingly, under the oracle setting, Exaone-2.4b achieves the highest performance, suggesting the potential for lightweight deployment. Further, techniques such as post-training quantization (Lin et al., 2024a) may help reduce inference overhead without compromising accuracy.

Finally, the two case studies serve as proof of concept rather than exhaustive benchmarks. They demonstrate how the proposed method can benefit politically diverse recommendations and largescale analyses of the media bias landscape, but do not yet cover the full spectrum of real-world news genres and delivery platforms. Future work could replicate these studies across broader scenarios to validate their impact more comprehensively.

# **Ethics Statement**

We constructed K-NEWS-STANCE for training and evaluating article-level stance detection, based on publicly available news articles retrieved via API. Since these articles are produced under strict jour-660 nalistic standards, the use of this data raises minimal privacy concerns. While the primary purpose of the dataset is to support article-level stance detection, it is also suitable for segment-level stance detection, as demonstrated in our training of a finetuned RoBERTa model for segment-level prediction. Beyond benchmarking, K-NEWS-STANCE has broader applicability for developing and evaluating stance detection models that contribute to pluralistic and credible media environments, as illus-670 trated in our two case studies. The dataset will be released exclusively for academic purposes-such as benchmarking and media research-to respect the intellectual property rights of the original news publishers. Two graduate students (one female and 675

one male) in an author's institution were recruited 676 for manual annotation. In compliance with local 677 wage regulations, they were compensated at a rate 678 of approximately USD 7 per hour. Parts of this 679 manuscript were proofread using ChatGPT. This 680 research was approved by the Institutional Review 681 Board at an author's institution. 682

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

### References

- Mehwish Alam, Andreea Iana, Alexander Grote, Katharina Ludwig, Philipp Müller, and Heiko Paulheim. 2022. Towards analyzing the bias of news recommender systems using sentiment and stance detection. In Companion Proceedings of the Web Conference 2022, WWW '22, page 448-457, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Abeer ALDayel and Walid Magdy. 2021. Stance detection on social media: State of the art and trends. Information Processing & Management, 58(4):102597.
- Tariq Alhindi, Smaranda Muresan, and Daniel Preotiuc-Pietro. 2020. Fact vs. opinion: the role of argumentation features in news classification. In Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics, pages 6139-6149, Barcelona, Spain (Online). International Committee on Computational Linguistics.
- Isabelle Augenstein, Tim Rocktäschel, Andreas Vlachos, and Kalina Bontcheva. 2016. Stance detection with bidirectional conditional encoding. In Proceedings of the 2016 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 876-885, Austin, Texas. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ramy Baly, Giovanni Da San Martino, James Glass, and Preslav Nakov. 2020. We can detect your bias: Predicting the political ideology of news articles. In Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP), pages 4982-4991, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ramy Baly, Georgi Karadzhov, Dimitar Alexandrov, James Glass, and Preslav Nakov. 2018. Predicting factuality of reporting and bias of news media sources. In Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 3528–3539. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Amanda Bertsch, Maor Ivgi, Emily Xiao, Uri Alon, Jonathan Berant, Matthew R. Gormley, and Graham Neubig. 2025. In-context learning with long-context models: An in-depth exploration. In Proceedings of the 2025 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 12119-12149.

836

837

838

839

840

841

Manudeep Bhuller, Tarjei Havnes, Jeremy McCauley, and Magne Mogstad. 2024. How the internet changed the market for print media. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 16(2):318–58.

732 733

735

736

737

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

754

756

757

758

760

761

767

770

776

781

782

783

786

- Toby Bolsen and James N Druckman. 2015. Counteracting the politicization of science. *Journal of Communication*, 65(5):745–769.
- Luís Borges, Bruno Martins, and Pável Calado. 2019. Combining similarity features and deep representation learning for stance detection in the context of checking fake news. *J. Data and Information Quality*, 11(3).
- Peter Bourgonje, Julian Moreno Schneider, and Georg Rehm. 2017. From clickbait to fake news detection: An approach based on detecting the stance of headlines to articles. In *Proceedings of the 2017 EMNLP Workshop: Natural Language Processing meets Journalism*, pages 84–89, Copenhagen, Denmark. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:1877–1901.
- Jaime Carbonell and Jade Goldstein. 1998. The use of mmr, diversity-based reranking for reordering documents and producing summaries. In *Proceedings* of the 21st annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval, pages 335–336.
- Dallas Card, Amber E. Boydstun, Justin H. Gross, Philip Resnik, and Noah A. Smith. 2015. The media frames corpus: Annotations of frames across issues. In Proceedings of the 53rd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 7th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 2: Short Papers), pages 438–444, Beijing, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Dallas Card, Justin Gross, Amber Boydstun, and Noah A. Smith. 2016. Analyzing framing through the casts of characters in the news. In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1410–1420, Austin, Texas. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Heyan Chai, Siyu Tang, Jinhao Cui, Ye Ding, Binxing Fang, and Qing Liao. 2022. Improving multi-task stance detection with multi-task interaction network. In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 2990–3000, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Wei-Fan Chen, Khalid Al Khatib, Henning Wachsmuth, and Benno Stein. 2020. Analyzing political bias and unfairness in news articles at different levels of granularity. In *Proceedings of the Fourth Workshop*

*on Natural Language Processing and Computational Social Science*, pages 149–154, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Costanza Conforti, Jakob Berndt, Mohammad Taher Pilehvar, Chryssi Giannitsarou, Flavio Toxvaerd, and Nigel Collier. 2020. STANDER: An expertannotated dataset for news stance detection and evidence retrieval. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2020*, pages 4086–4101, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Iain J. Cruickshank and Lynnette Hui Xian Ng. 2023. Prompting and fine-tuning open-sourced large language models for stance classification. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.13734*.
- Kareem Darwish, Peter Stefanov, Michaël Aupetit, and Preslav Nakov. 2020. Unsupervised user stance detection on twitter. In *Proceedings of the international AAAI conference on web and social media*, volume 14, pages 141–152.
- James N Druckman and Michael Parkin. 2005. The impact of media bias: How editorial slant affects voters. *The Journal of Politics*, 67(4):1030–1049.
- Jiachen Du, Ruifeng Xu, Yulan He, and Lin Gui. 2017. Stance classification with target-specific neural attention networks. In 26th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI 2017, pages 3988–3994. International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence.
- Kayla Duskin, Joseph S Schafer, Jevin D West, and Emma S Spiro. 2024. Echo chambers in the age of algorithms: An audit of twitter's friend recommender system. In *Proceedings of the 16th ACM Web Science Conference*, WEBSCI '24, page 11–21, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Marc Evrard, Rémi Uro, Nicolas Hervé, and Béatrice Mazoyer. 2020. French tweet corpus for automatic stance detection. In *Proceedings of the Twelfth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*, pages 6317–6322, Marseille, France. European Language Resources Association.
- Chong Feng, Muzammil Khan, Arif Ur Rahman, and Arshad Ahmad. 2020. News recommendation systemsaccomplishments, challenges & future directions. *IEEE Access*, 8:16702–16725.
- William Ferreira and Andreas Vlachos. 2016. Emergent: a novel data-set for stance classification. In *Proceedings of the 2016 conference of the North American chapter of the association for computational linguistics: Human language technologies.* ACL.
- Seth Flaxman, Sharad Goel, and Justin M Rao. 2016. Filter bubbles, echo chambers, and online news consumption. *Public opinion quarterly*, 80(S1):298– 320.

Joseph Gatto, Omar Sharif, and Sarah Preum. 2023. Gautier Izacard, Mathilde Caron, Lucas Hosseini, Se-Chain-of-thought embeddings for stance detection on bastian Riedel, Piotr Bojanowski, Armand Joulin, and Edouard Grave. 2022. Unsupervised dense insocial media. In Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023, pages 4154formation retrieval with contrastive learning. Trans-4161, Singapore. Association for Computational Linactions on Machine Learning Research. guistics. Dong-si Jo. 2003. Hyeon yi-seop, president of media Matthew Gentzkow and Jesse M Shapiro. 2010. What today - confident in achieving a profitable first year drives media slant? evidence from us daily newswith page increases and the internet. Newspaper and papers. In Econometrica, volume 78, pages 35-71. Broadcasting, pages 86-87. Wiley Online Library. Bill Kovach and Tom Rosenstiel. 2021. The elements Bilal Ghanem, Paolo Rosso, and Francisco Rangel. of journalism, revised and updated 4th edition: What 2018. Stance detection in fake news a combined feanewspeople should know and the public should exture representation. In Proceedings of the First Workpect. Crown. shop on Fact Extraction and VERification (FEVER), pages 66-71, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Dilek Küçük and Fazli Can. 2020. Stance detection: A Computational Linguistics. survey. ACM Comput. Surv., 53(1). Kyle Glandt, Sarthak Khanal, Yingjie Li, Doina Caragea, and Cornelia Caragea. 2021. Stance de-Alapan Kuila, Somnath Jena, Sudeshna Sarkar, and tection in COVID-19 tweets. In Proceedings of the Partha Pratim Chakrabarti. 2024. "news has various 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Compushades": Quantifying and analyzing media bias at tational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint aspect-level granularity. ACM Journal on Computing Conference on Natural Language Processing (Voland Sustainable Societies, 2(4):1-51. ume 1: Long Papers), pages 1596-1611, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics. Haewoon Kwak, Jisun An, and Yong-Yeol Ahn. 2020. A systematic media frame analysis of 1.5 million gogamza. 2023. kobart-base-v2. https:// new york times articles from 2000 to 2017. In Prohuggingface.co/gogamza/kobart-base-v2. Acceedings of the 12th acm conference on web science, cessed: 2025-05-14. pages 305-314. Jiyoung Han and Christopher M Federico. 2018. The polarizing effect of news framing: Comparing Xiaochong Lan, Chen Gao, Depeng Jin, and Yong the mediating roles of motivated reasoning, self-Li. 2023. Stance detection with collaborative stereotyping, and intergroup animus. Journal of role-infused llm-based agents. arXiv preprint Communication, 68(4):685–711. arXiv:2310.10467. Jiyoung Han, Youngin Lee, Junbum Lee, and Meey-LG AI Research. 2024. Exaone 3.5: Series of large oung Cha. 2023. News comment sections and online language models for real-world use cases. arXiv echo chambers: The ideological alignment between *preprint arXiv:2412.04862.* partisan news stories and their user comments. Journalism, 24(8):1836-1856. Ang Li, Bin Liang, Jingqian Zhao, Bowen Zhang, Min Momchil Hardalov, Arnav Arora, Preslav Nakov, and Yang, and Ruifeng Xu. 2023. Stance detection on so-Isabelle Augenstein. 2022. A survey on stance decial media with background knowledge. In Proceedtection for mis- and disinformation identification. In ings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Findings of the Association for Computational Lin-Natural Language Processing, pages 15703–15717, guistics: NAACL 2022, pages 1259-1277, Seattle, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguis-United States. Association for Computational Lintics. guistics. Yingjie Li and Cornelia Caragea. 2021. Target-aware Zihao He, Negar Mokhberian, and Kristina Lerman. data augmentation for stance detection. In Proceed-2022. Infusing knowledge from Wikipedia to enings of the 2021 Conference of the North Amerihance stance detection. In Proceedings of the 12th can Chapter of the Association for Computational Workshop on Computational Approaches to Subjec-Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, pages tivity, Sentiment & Social Media Analysis, pages 71-1850–1860, Online. Association for Computational 77, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics. Linguistics. Jiwoo Hong, Yejin Cho, Jiyoung Han, Jaemin Jung, and Yingjie Li, Tiberiu Sosea, Aditya Sawant, Ajith Jayara-James Thorne. 2023. Disentangling structure and man Nair, Diana Inkpen, and Cornelia Caragea. 2021. style: Political bias detection in news by inducing P-stance: A large dataset for stance detection in politdocument hierarchy. In Findings of the Association ical domain. In Findings of the Association for Comfor Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023, pages putational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021, pages 5664-5686, Singapore. Association for Computa-2355-2365, Online. Association for Computational tional Linguistics. Linguistics.

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

880

881

882

883

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

- 953 954
- 955 956
- 957 958
- 959
- 960 961
- 962 963
- 964

970 971

969

- 972
- 974 975
- 976 977
- 978 979

980 981

- 982 983
- 984 985
- 986 987 988

989 990

995 996

- 997 998
- 999

1001 1002 1003

- 1004 1005 1006
- 1007 1008
- 1009

- Bin Liang, Zixiao Chen, Lin Gui, Yulan He, Min Yang, and Ruifeng Xu. 2022. Zero-shot stance detection via contrastive learning. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2022*, WWW '22, page 2738–2747, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Ji Lin, Jiaming Tang, Haotian Tang, Shang Yang, Wei-Ming Chen, Wei-Chen Wang, Guangxuan Xiao, Xingyu Dang, Chuang Gan, and Song Han. 2024a. Awq: Activation-aware weight quantization for ondevice llm compression and acceleration. *Proceedings of Machine Learning and Systems*, 6:87–100.
- Luyang Lin, Lingzhi Wang, Xiaoyan Zhao, Jing Li, and Kam-Fai Wong. 2024b. IndiVec: An exploration of leveraging large language models for media bias detection with fine-grained bias indicators. In *Findings* of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EACL 2024, pages 1038–1050, St. Julian's, Malta. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Nelson F. Liu, Kevin Lin, John Hewitt, Ashwin Paranjape, Michele Bevilacqua, Fabio Petroni, and Percy Liang. 2024. Lost in the middle: How language models use long contexts. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 12:157–173.
- Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2019. Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.11692*.
- Yujian Liu, Xinliang Frederick Zhang, David Wegsman, Nicholas Beauchamp, and Lu Wang. 2022. POLI-TICS: Pretraining with same-story article comparison for ideology prediction and stance detection. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: NAACL 2022*, pages 1354–1374, Seattle, United States. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Lauri Lüüsi, Uku Kangur, Roshni Chakraborty, and Rajesh Sharma. 2024. Political stance detection in Estonian news media. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Workshop on Computational Linguistics for Uralic Languages*, pages 12–28, Helsinki, Finland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Bertin Martens, Luis Aguiar, Estrella Gomez-Herrera, and Frank Mueller-Langer. 2018. The digital transformation of news media and the rise of disinformation and fake news.
- Laura Mascarell, Tatyana Ruzsics, Christian Schneebeli, Philippe Schlattner, Luca Campanella, Severin Klingler, and Cristina Kadar. 2021. Stance detection in German news articles. In *Proceedings of the Fourth Workshop on Fact Extraction and VERification (FEVER)*, pages 66–77, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Matthew S McGlone. 2005. Quoted out of context: Contextomy and its consequences. *Journal of Communication*, 55(2):330–346.

Karen McIntyre. 2019. Solutions journalism: The effects of including solution information in news stories about social problems. *Journalism Practice*, 13(1):16–34.

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1017

1019

1020

1022

1024

1025

1026

1028

1029

1030

1031

1033

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1058

1059

1060

- Melvin Mencher and Wendy P Shilton. 1997. *News reporting and writing*. Brown & Benchmark Publishers Madison, WI.
- Mark Mets, Andres Karjus, Indrek Ibrus, and Maximilian Schich. 2024. Automated stance detection in complex topics and small languages: the challenging case of immigration in polarizing news media. *Plos one*, 19(4):e0302380.
- Saif Mohammad, Svetlana Kiritchenko, Parinaz Sobhani, Xiaodan Zhu, and Colin Cherry. 2016. SemEval-2016 task 6: Detecting stance in tweets. In Proceedings of the 10th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation (SemEval-2016), pages 31– 41, San Diego, California. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Thomas E Nelson, Rosalee A Clawson, and Zoe M Oxley. 1997. Media framing of a civil liberties conflict and its effect on tolerance. *American Political Science Review*, 91(3):567–583.
- Sungjoon Park, Jihyung Moon, Sungdong Kim, Won Ik Cho, Ji Yoon Han, Jangwon Park, Chisung Song, Junseong Kim, Youngsook Song, Taehwan Oh, et al. 2021. Klue: Korean language understanding evaluation. In *Thirty-fifth Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems Datasets and Benchmarks Track (Round 2).*
- Dean Pomerleau and Delip Rao. 2017. Fake news challenge. http://www.fakenewschallenge.org/. Accessed: 2025-04-14.
- Myrthe Reuver, Suzan Verberne, and Antske Fokkens. 2024. Investigating the robustness of modelling decisions for few-shot cross-topic stance detection: A preregistered study. In *Proceedings of the 2024 Joint International Conference on Computational Linguistics, Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC-COLING 2024)*, pages 9245–9260, Torino, Italia. ELRA and ICCL.
- Shamik Roy and Dan Goldwasser. 2020. Weakly supervised learning of nuanced frames for analyzing polarization in news media. In *Proceedings of the* 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP), pages 7698–7716, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jonathon P Schuldt, Sara H Konrath, and Norbert Schwarz. 2011. "global warming" or "climate change"? whether the planet is warming depends on question wording. *Public opinion quarterly*, 75(1):115–124.
- Adam F Simon and Jennifer Jerit. 2007. Toward a<br/>theory relating political discourse, media, and public<br/>opinion. Journal of communication, 57(2):254–271.1062<br/>1063

1065Seonyeong Song, Hyeonho Song, Kunwoo Park, Jiy-<br/>oung Han, and Meeyoung Cha. 2023. Detecting con-<br/>textomized quotes in news headlines by contrastive<br/>learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.04465.

1069

1070

1071 1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1082

1083

1085

1086

1087

1089

1090

1091

1092 1093

1095

1097

1098

1099

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

- Yonghoi Song. 2007. Internet news media and issue development: A case study on the roles of independent online news services as agenda-builders for antius protests in south korea. *new media & society*, 9(1):71–92.
- Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten Bosma, Fei Xia, Ed Chi, Quoc V Le, Denny Zhou, et al. 2022. Chain-of-thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:24824–24837.
  - Chuhan Wu, Fangzhao Wu, Yongfeng Huang, and Xing Xie. 2023. Personalized news recommendation: Methods and challenges. *ACM Trans. Inf. Syst.*, 41(1).
  - Seunghyun Yoon, Kunwoo Park, Joongbo Shin, Hongjun Lim, Seungpil Won, Meeyoung Cha, and Kyomin Jung. 2019. Detecting incongruity between news headline and body text via a deep hierarchical encoder. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 33, pages 791–800.
  - Bowen Zhang, Genan Dai, Fuqiang Niu, Nan Yin, Xiaomao Fan, Senzhang Wang, Xiaochun Cao, and Hu Huang. 2024a. A survey of stance detection on social media: New directions and perspectives. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.15690*.
    - Ruike Zhang, Yuan Tian, Penghui Wei, Daniel Dajun Zeng, and Wenji Mao. 2024b. An LLM-enabled knowledge elicitation and retrieval framework for zero-shot cross-lingual stance identification. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2024*, pages 12253–12266, Miami, Florida, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
    - Zhao Zhang, Yiming Li, Jin Zhang, and Hui Xu. 2024c. LLM-driven knowledge injection advances zero-shot and cross-target stance detection. In Proceedings of the 2024 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies (Volume 2: Short Papers), pages 371–378, Mexico City, Mexico. Association for Computational Linguistics.
  - Yiming Zhu, Peixian Zhang, Ehsan-Ul Haq, Pan Hui, and Gareth Tyson. 2023. Can chatgpt reproduce human-generated labels? a study of social computing tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.10145*.
- Lynn M Zoch and Judy VanSlyke Turk. 1998. Women making news: Gender as a variable in source selection and use. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 75(4):762–775.

Segment	Supportive (%)	Neutral (%)	<b>Oppositional</b> (%)
Headline	24	45	31
Lead	22.5	49.1	28.4
Conclusion	27	43.1	29.9
Quotations	29.5	38.6	31.9
Article	31.6	35.1	33.2

Table A1: Stance label distribution

# Appendix

1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

# A.1 Dataset Details

Α

Label distribution Table A1 summaizes the distribution of stance labels at both article and segment levels. While the article-level stance labels are relatively balanced across classes, neutral stances appear more frequently at the segment level. Figure A1 visualizes the relationship between segment-level and article-level stance labels using Cramer's V. Article-level stance shows strong associations with the stances expressed in the headline, lead, and conclusion, each yielding Cramer's V values of approximately 0.7. In contrast, stance labels derived from quotations exhibit weaker associations, with Cramer's V values around 0.3. We also observe a strong correlation between the headline and lead stances, suggesting a shared rheotorical framing established early in the article.

Title	1.00	0.72	0.41	0.25	0.29	0.66	
Lead	0.72	1.00	0.40	0.24	0.27	0.64	- 0.8
Conclusion	0.41	0.40	1.00	0.22	0.24	0.63	- 0.6
Quote (majority)	0.25	0.24	0.22	1.00	0.11	0.35	- 0.4
Quote (individual)	0.29	0.27	0.24	0.11	1.00	0.30	- 0.2
Article	0.66	0.64	0.63	0.35	0.30	1.00	
	Title	Lead	Conclusior	Quote	Quote	Article	- 0.0

Figure A1: Stance label associations

List of target issues and media outlets Table A2 presents a comprehensive list of the target issues in K-NEWS-STANCE. The dataset contains articles from 31 media outlets, including the following top-10 news agencies: Kyunghyang Shinmun (경향신문), Segye Ilbo (세계일보), Korea JoongAng Daily (중앙일보), Kookmin Ilbo (국민일보), Seoul Shinmun (서울신문), Chosun Daily (조선

1145일보), Seoul Economic Daily (서울경제), Korea1146Economic Daily (한국경제), MoneyToday (머니1147투데이), and Hankook Ilbo (한국일보). Table A31148provides the list of target issues used in the newly1149collected dataset for two case studies.

### A.2 Experimental Setups

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157

1158

1159

1160

1195

For evaluation, we use macro F1 and accuracy, which are standard metrics for multi-class classification. We report the average performance over ten runs, along with the standard error, by varying the random seed from 42 to 51. The training split is used to train the fine-tuned baseline models and the segment-level stance prediction agents. Fewshot samples are selected from the training set via similarity search, using KLUE-RoBERTa-large as the dense retriever.

1161 Experiments were conducted using a machine equipped with three Nvidia RTX A6000 GPUs 1162 (48GB per each) and 128GB of RAM. All ex-1163 1164 periments were run in a software environment configured with Python 3.9.19, PyTorch 2.5.1, 1165 Transformers 4.52.0, and vLLM 0.8.5. For the 1166 RoBERTa-based models-including the segment-1167 level stance agent and three fine-tuned baselines (RoBERTa, CoT Embeddings, PT-HCL)-we used 1169 KLUE-RoBERTa-large, a pretrained checkpoint 1170 trained on a Korean corpus (Park et al., 2021). 1171 Based on validation experiments, we set the learn-1172 ing rate as  $3 \times 10^{-5}$ , with a batch size of 32 1173 for CoT Embeddings and 16 for all other mod-1174 els. AdamW was used for optimizer and froze the 1175 bottom seven layers. GPT-40-mini was used for 1176 CoT Embeddings and LKI-BART. We employed 1177 KoBART-base-v2 (gogamza, 2023) for LKI-BART. 1178 For training KoBART, we set the learning rate as 1179  $3 \times 10^{-5}$ , batch size of 16, and used AdamW opti-1180 mizer. We accessed GPT-4o-mini, Claude-3-haiku, 1181 and Gemini-2.0-flash via API. We set the temper-1182 ature as 1.0, and max tokens as 1000 for chain-1183 of-thought prompting and 100 for others for all 1184 LLM API calls. For the full fine-tuning of Exaone-3.5-2.4B, we used the AdamW optimizer with a 1186 learning rate of 5e-5, weight decay of 0.01, and 1187 100 warmup steps. Training was conducted for 10 1188 epochs with a per-device batch size of 6 for both 1189 training and evaluation. We used  $\lambda = 0.3$  as the 1190 diversity hyperparameter in MMR. The hyperpa-1191 rameters were selected based on the settings re-1192 ported in the original studies that introduced these 1193 methods. 1194

The model ids and parameter sizes used in the

experiments are provided below.

1196

1222

1223

1224

1227

1228

1229

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238

1240

• GPT-4o-mini: gpt-4o-mini-2024-07-18	1197
(Parameter size: unknown)	1198
• Claude-3-haiku:	1199
claude-3-haiku-20240307 (Parameter	1200
size: unknown)	1201
• Gemini-2.0-flash: gemini-2.0-flash (Pa-	1202
rameter size: unknown)	1203
• EXAONE-3.5-2.4b: https://huggingface.	1204
co/LGAI-EXAONE/EXAONE-3.5-2.	1205
4B-Instruct (Parameter size: 2.14B)	1206
• Klue-RoBERTa-large: https://	1207
huggingface.co/klue/roberta-large	1208
(Parameter size: 337M)	1209
• Klue-RoBERTa-base: https://	1210
huggingface.co/klue/roberta-base	1211
(Parameter size: 111M)	1212
• KoBART-base-v2: https://huggingface.	1213
co/gogamza/kobart-base-v2 (Parameter	1214
size: 124M)	1215
<ul> <li>mContriever: https://huggingface.co/</li></ul>	1216
facebook/mcontriever (Parameter size:	1217
178M)	1218
A.3 Used Prompts	1219
In Figure A2 and A3, we present the English-	1220

In Figure A2 and A3, we present the Englishtranslated prompt in English and its original Korean version, respectively, used to prompt an LLM for article-level stance detection. For training the RoBERTa model used as the segment-level stance prediction agent, we used the following input template: [CLS] issue [SEP] segment [SEP].

#### A.4 Supplementary Results

**Using an LLM as the segment-level agent** Table A4 presents the performance of two additional variants of SAAS for predicting the overall stance of news articles. Specifically, these variants use Gemini-2.0-flash as the segment-level stance detection agent, with the first using an instruction-only prompt and the second incorporating six-shot samples. Gemini-2.0-flash was selected due to its strong performance on segment-level stance detection (Table A5). We present the best performance by SAAS(RoBERTa) by varying the LLM backbones for article-level stance detection for reference.

The results show that SAAS with a fine-tuned 1241 1242 RoBERTa agent generally outperforms the LLMbased variant, with the sole exception being GPT-1243 40-mini, where the LLM-based approach per-1244 forms comparably. Since the best performance 1245 across different LLM backbones is achieved by the 1246 RoBERTa-based variant, we report its results in Table 3, demonstrating SAAS's effectiveness over baseline method. Nevertheless, given that LLM-1249 1250 based segment agent require few or no labeled examples, the competitive performance of SAAS 1251 using LLM agents highlights its potential for zero-1252 and few-shot stance scenarios.

1254

1255

1256

1257

1258

1260

1262

1263

1264

1265

1266

1268

1269

1270

1271

1272

1273

1274

1275

1276

1277 1278

1280

1281

1282

1283

1284

1286

1287

1288

1289

1290

1291

Segment-level stance prediction We evaluate the performance of language model agents inn predicting stance labels for individual news segments. Specifically, we compare three approaches: fine-tuning a MLM, zero-shot inference with an LLM, and six-shot in-context learning with an LLM. For MLM fine-tuning and few-shot selection, we use the segment-level stance labels and corresponding news text from the training split of K-NEWS-STANCE. Table A5a reports the accuracy and macro F1 scores for eight models.

We find that LLMs generally outperform finetuned RoBERTa models. Given that LLMs require few or no labeled examples, these results highlights their effectiveness for stance detection in short texts. However, despite their strong performance at the segment level, SAAS with an LLM agent underperforms in article-level stance detection compared to the variant using the RoBERTa agent, as observed in Table A4.

To better understand this discrepancy, we analyze class-wise performance across segment types, as shown in Tables A5b to A5e. We observe that the RoBERTa model generally performs better in classifying *neutral*-labeled segments, as reflected in higher F1 scores for the *neutral* class. We hypothesize that accurately identifying neutral segments is a key factor contributing to the effectiveness of a segment-level stance agent.

**Effects of journalism-guided segments** Table A6 present the results of an ablation experiment to understand the impact of journalism-guided segments, adopted in SAAS. The first row indicates the best performance of the proposed method using Gemini 2.0 flash as a backbone LLM with CoT prompting and six-shot sample augmentation. The second is the performance by its counterpart, where randomly selected sentences become the tar-

get of label augmentation. We sample the sentences without replacement until it reaches the total segment length to control for the length effect. Results show decreases in performance with an accuracy of 0.029 and F1 of 0.027, demonstrating the advantage of adopting journalism-guided segments. 1292

1293

1294

1295

1297

1298

1299

1300

1302

1303

1304

1305

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310

1311

1312

1313

1314

1315

1316

1317

1318

1319

1320

1321

1322

1323

1324

1325

1326

1327

**Qualitative error analysis** From a qualitative analysis of incorrect predictions made by SAAS using RoBERTa—our best-performing model—we identified two primary error patterns.

The first stems from the model's failure to interpret positive descriptions as indicative of a supportive stance, often resulting in the misclassification of supportive articles as neutral. This is the most prominent error type observed in the quantitative error analysis (Figure 2). For example, a news article expresses a favorable view on the issue of "Han Dong-hoon: Cutting National Assembly Seats to 250 is on the Table" by outlining the benefits of the policy proposed by Han. However, the segmentlevel agent fails to capture this supportive framing, which may subsequently cause the LLM to predict a neutral stance.

The second error pattern arises during the orchestration of segment-level stance labels. Even when segment-level predictions are accurate, as simulated in the oracle setting, the LLM sometimes fails to infer the correct overall stance. This issue is especially pronounced in articles that contain multiple quotations expressing divergent or conflicting viewpoints.

These two patterns point to potential future directions for improving the article-level stance detection: enhancing the segment-level detection model and selectively incorporating the most salient quotations, rather than considering all of them for label augmentation.

Date	Issue (in Korean)	Issue (in English)
2022-06-15	하묵여대 파업 8익만에 척히 안정우익제는 지속 추진	Truckers' Strike Ends After 8 Days Safety Freight Rates to Continue
2022-06-16	내년 최저임금 업종 구분 없이 동일 적용	Next Year's Minimum Wage to be Applied Uniformly Regardless of Inductory
2022-06-22	尹정부 탁워전 폐기 곳식화	Yoon Administration Officially Ends Nuclear Phase-Out Policy
2022-07-17	이재명 당대표 선거 춬마 선언	Lee Jae-myung Announces Candidacy for Party Leadership Election
2022-07-31	초등 입학연령 하향 추진	Push for Lowering Elementary School Entry Age
2022-08-10	박민영 대통령실 청년대변인 발탁	Park Min-young Appointed as Presidential Spokesperson for Youth
2022-10-06	정부 여성가족부 폐지 정부조직개편안 확정	Analis Government Finalizes Plan to Abolish Ministry of Gender Equality and Eamily
2022-10-11	정부 학업성취도 자율평가 확대 추진 번무부 초번소녀 기주 마 13세로 1녀 하햔	Government Expands Voluntary Academic Achievement Assessment Ministry of Justice Lowers Age Threshold for Juvenile Offenders to
2022-11-07	☆ 대통령 키우더 푹사개 2마리 정부 바화	13 Moon Jae-in Hands Over Two Punesan Does to the State
2022-12-21	정부, 다주택자 부동산 규제 대폭 완화	Government Eases Real Estate Regulations for Multiple Homeowners
2022-12-21	윤석열 대통령 "노조부패, 척결해야할 3대 부패"	President Yoon Calls "Union Corruption is One of Three Major Evils to Be Eradicated"
2023-01-12	정부 '강제징용 배상 일본 기업 대신 지급' 공식화	Korea Announces Plan to Compensate Forced Labor Victims On Behalf of Japanese Companies
2023-02-15	노란봉투법 국회 상임위 통과	Labor-Friendly 'Yellow Envelope Act' Passes National Assembly Standing Committee
2023-02-21	법원 동성 부부 배우자도 건강보험 피부양자 인정	Court Recognizes Same-Sex Partners as Legal Dependents for Health
2023-03-06	주 52시간 근로시간 개편 최대 69시간 가능	Government Proposes Overhaul of 52-Hour Workweek, Allowing Up
2023-03-23	헌재 '검수완박' 절차는 위헌, 법안은 유효	Constitutional Court: Prosecutorial Reform Law Upheld, Procedure
2023-03-23	'양곡관리법 개정안' 민주당 주도 본회의 통과	Democratic Party Leads Passage of Revised Grain Management Act
2023-04-05	학폭 가해기록 보존기간 연장·입시반영 검토	School Bullying Records May Be Kept Longer, Reflected in College
2023-05-22	등록 재산에 가상자산 포함법안 통과	Bill Passed to Include Cryptocurrency in Public Asset Declarations
2023-06-18	당성, 중대 범죄자 신상공개 특별법 주신	of Serious Criminals
2023-06-28 2023-07-04	'줄생동보제' 법사위 소위 동과 IAEA 보고서 일본 오염수 방류 문제 없다	'Birth Notification System Bill' Advances in Parliament IAEA Report Says Japan's Fukushima Water Release Poses No Safety
2023-07-12	정부 · 여당, 실업급여 하한액 낮추거나 폐지까지 검토	Concerns Government and Ruling Party Consider Lowering or Abolishing Min-
2023-07-20	환경부 4대강 16개보 모두 존치	All 16 Weirs on Four Rivers to Remain Intact, Says Environment
2023-07-31	정부, 외국인 가사도우미 100여명 시범 도입	Seoul to Test Foreign Domestic Worker Program with Initial 100
2023-08-22	새 대법원장 후보에 이균용 서울고법 부장판사	Lee Kyun-yong, Nominated as New Chief Justice Candidate
2023-08-23	안되구 중다 '중직 팀의 예정 위해 의정 세도칩 검도	Prime Winister Han Duck-soo Considers Kennroducing Conscripted Police to Prevent Heinous Crimes
2023-09-26	언제 '대북 전단 금지법' 위언 설정 검찰 이재명 '백현동 배임혐의' 불구속 기소	Prosecution Indicts Lee Jae-myung Without Detention over Prosecution Indicts Lee Jae-myung Without Detention over
2023-11-02	국민의힘 '김포 서울 편입' 특위 발족	PPP Launches Special Committee for Gimpo-Seoul Integration
2023-11-05	내년 6월까지 공매도 전면 금지	Short Selling Fully Banned Until June 2025
2023-12-07	민주당, 전당대회서 권리당원 표 비중 확대	DP Boosts Role of Rank-and-File Members in Party Convention Votes
2023-12-21	금리 4% 넘는 자영업자 최대 300만 원 환급	Business Owners Paying Over 4% Interest Eligible for Government Refunds
2023-12-27	이준석 국민의힘 탈당 후 신당 창당 돌입 하도호 "그히이의 250명 여리 주이겠다"	Lee Jun-seok Leaves PPP and Begins Forming New Party
2024-01-10	안중훈 국외의권 230 중으도 돌이었다 이즈서 "경차 스바과 티러드 서서 그 보므케아"	Table
2024-01-29	- 기판금 76월·포장한 거대는 억영 한국구애악 	Firefighting Forces
2024-01-09 2024-02-06	/ 개 역중 급시법 국외 존외의 중과 내녀 이대 저워 2처 며 주워	Medical School Admissions to Increase by 2 000 Next Vear
2024-02-00	데코 ㅋ데 8년 4년 8 8 년   주국 저 법무부 장과 시다 차다 서어	Former Justice Minister Cho Kuk Declares Launch of New Porty
2024-02-13	그 ㅋ 코 ㅂㅜㅜ ㅎ코 코ㅎ ㅎㅎ 코코   하돗후 국회 세종시로 와저 이저 곳얀 박표	Justice Minister Han Dong-hoon Proposes Relocating the National
2024 04 24	하가에서 머그 가고 이하다 '리비 시티 시오' 바프	Assembly to Sejong City Secul Announces 'Piver City' Project to Create Living Working and
2024-04-24	- 편하게 되고 적고 할만의 되러지난 사람 결과 	Leisure Spaces on the Han River
2024-04-10	· 경기 책장을 개벽신당 이군의 네표 당신 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Keionn Party Leader Lee Jun-seok Wins Parliamentary Seat in Hwaseong, Gyeonggi
2024-05-08	- ㅋㅋ 한어 띄지 국내 산뇨 여중 버의 쿼이H '미히지 웨이' 궤도	Court Pajacts Hive's Attempt to Dismiss Min Has in
2024-06-03	- 요근 아이드, 고려고 애규 세종 유석염 대통령 "동해 140억배럼 석유 · 가스 매장 추정"	President Yoon: East Sea May Hold 14 Billion Barrels of Oil and Gas

Table A2: A comprehensive list of target issues in K-NEWS-STANCE

Study	Date	Issue (in Korean)	Issue (in English)
	2024-07-21	군, 북한 오물풍선 잇단 살포에 대북 방송 전면 시행	Military to Resume Full-Scale Propaganda Broadcasts to
			North Following Repeated Trash Balloon Incidents
	2024-08-12	윤석열 대통령, 검찰총장 후보자 심우정 법무장관 지명	President Yoon Nominates Vice Justice Minister Shim
December 1.4			Woo-jung for Prosecutor General
Recommendation	2024-12-03	사상초유 감사원장 탄핵안 내일 표결	Unprecedented Impeachment Motion Against Board of
			Audit and Inspection Chairman Faces Vote Tomorrow
	2024-12-27	민주, 한 권한대행 탄핵안 발의27일 표결	Minjoo Party Files Impeachment Motion Against Acting
			Prime Minister Han; Vote Set for 27th
	2024-12-26	한덕수 권한대행 탄핵안 본회의 통과	Acting PM Han Duck-soo Impeachment Passes Parliament
Media bias	2025-04-30	대법원, 이재명 대선후보 공직선거법 사건 파기환송	Supreme Court Remands Lee Jae-myung's Election Law
			Violation Case

Table A3: List of target issues covered in the two case studies.

Mathad		16 shot	GPT-4o-mini		Gemini-2.0-flash		Claude-3-haiku		Exaone-2.4b (finetuned)	
Wiethou	+ 001	+0-51101	Accuracy	F1	Accuracy	F1	Accuracy	F1	Accuracy	F1
SAAS (Ro	BERTa)		$0.607 \pm 0.006$	$0.602{\pm}0.007$	0.678±0.002	$0.672 {\pm} 0.002$	$0.639 {\pm} 0.002$	$0.638{\pm}0.004$	$0.601 \pm 0.006$	$0.599 {\pm} 0.006$
			$0.545 \pm 0.002$	$0.549 {\pm} 0.002$	$0.572 \pm 0.001$	$0.549 {\pm} 0.001$	$0.55 {\pm} 0.004$	$0.526 {\pm} 0.006$	$0.536 \pm 0.002$	$0.529 {\pm} 0.001$
SAAS	√		$0.56 {\pm} 0.001$	$0.538{\pm}0.002$	$0.598 \pm 0.001$	$0.583 {\pm} 0.002$	$0.56 {\pm} 0.004$	$0.531{\pm}0.005$	0.541±0.002	$0.536 {\pm} 0.002$
(Gemini-2.0-flash)		V	$0.558 {\pm} 0.003$	$0.539{\pm}0.004$	0.597±0.004	$0.581{\pm}0.005$	0.596±0.004	$0.584{\pm}0.004$	$0.325 \pm 0.006$	$0.31{\pm}0.007$
	√	V	0.572±0.002	$0.554{\pm}0.002$	$0.592 \pm 0.003$	$0.576 {\pm} 0.003$	$0.589 {\pm} 0.002$	$0.579 {\pm} 0.003$	$0.329 \pm 0.002$	$0.304{\pm}0.001$
			$0.548 \pm 0.016$	$0.553{\pm}0.016$	$0.609 \pm 0.001$	$0.587{\pm}0.001$	$0.575 {\pm} 0.001$	$0.559{\pm}0.001$	0.566±0.001	$0.575 {\pm} 0.001$
Ganini 20 flash	√		$0.583 {\pm} 0.008$	$0.571 {\pm} 0.009$	$0.625 \pm 0.003$	$0.613 {\pm} 0.004$	$0.573 \pm 0.004$	$0.547{\pm}0.003$	$0.558 \pm 0.002$	$0.556 {\pm} 0.002$
(Gemm-2.0-masn		√	$0.608 \pm 0.004$	$0.598 {\pm} 0.004$	0.617±0.003	$0.605 {\pm} 0.003$	0.599±0.004	$0.59 {\pm} 0.004$	$0.343 \pm 0.005$	$0.322{\pm}0.004$
w/ 6-shot)	√	$\checkmark$	0.611±0.003	$0.602{\pm}0.004$	0.63±0.003	$0.617{\pm}0.003$	$0.592{\pm}0.006$	$0.587 {\pm} 0.007$	0.331±0.004	$0.3 {\pm} 0.003$

Table A4: Performance for predicting overall stance of news articles by SAAS with LLM agents

#### [System Prompt]

Stance detection is the task of determining the expressed or implied opinion, or stance, of a statement toward a certain, specified target. You are given an issue and a news article about that issue. Your task is to classify the article's stance toward the given issue as one of the following: supportive, neutral, or oppositional.

The criteria for each label are as follows:

- Supportive: The article shows a favorable tone toward the issue, emphasizes quotes in support of the issue, and predominantly uses positive or optimistic language.

- Neutral: The article maintains an objective tone, balances quotes from both supportive and critical perspectives, and uses neutral language.

- Oppositional: The article shows a skeptical tone toward the issue,

emphasizes quotes that criticize the issue, and predominantly uses negative or pessimistic language.

Additional information is provided on the stance of the headline, lead, conclusion, and quotes regarding the issue. Each segment is marked with XML tags, and the final stance should be determined by taking into account the detailed stance labels of each part.

#### [User Prompt]

Issue: Government confirms organizational restructuring plan to abolish Ministry of Gender Equality and Family Headline: <Headline stance="Oppositional">MOGEF downgraded to a department... Concerns "Gender equality policies will be buried in the giant MOHW"</Headline>

Article: <Lead stance="Oppositional">Under the government restructuring plan announced on the 6th, the Ministry of Gender Equality and Family (MOGEF) faces demotion to a department under the MOHW after 21 years as an independent ministry. The government emphasizes that MOGEF's functions will be retained and may create synergy with the MOHW's welfare policy capabilities. Even experts who support the reorganization question whether the enormous MOHW can respond quickly to gender equality issues.</Lead>

MOGEF highlights that integrating its youth policies with MOHW's child welfare functions can yield synergistic effects. On this day, Minister Kim Hyunsook announced a 'Support Plan for In- and Out-of-School Youth' and said, <Quotation stance="Neutral">If we became a well-authorized department under the MOHW, we could have included more in today's announcement</Quotation> According to the Ministry of the Interior and Safety's restructuring plan, functions like support for career-interrupted women will be transferred to the Ministry of Employment and Labor, The four core functions—youth, family, women and gender equality, and rights (e.g., support for victims of sexual/domestic violence)—will be transferred to the Population, Family, and Gender Equality Bureau under the MOHW. Some argue that organizations led by ministers and those led by department heads have significantly different authority within government. Huh Min-sook, a legislative researcher at the National Assembly, said, <Quotation stance="Oppositional">Quotation> MOGEF already lacked budget and authority, making cooperation difficult — demoting it will only weaken it further</Quotation>

There are concerns that the control tower responsible for formulating gender equality policies and overseeing their implementation across all government agencies will disappear.Park Sun-young, senior researcher at the Korean Women's Development Institute, stated, <Quotation stance="Oppositional">Gender equality policy is about coordination across all ministries — that's why MOGEF was created, </Quotation> and pointed out that <Quotation stance="Oppositional">putting it under the implementation-focused MOHW would undermine its effectiveness.</Quotation> Even experts who criticize MOGEF's performance say transferring and downsizing its functions to the MOHW would hinder gender equality policy. Jung Jae-hoon, professor at Seoul Women's University, noted <Quotation stance="Supportive">a "gender ghetto" phenomenon had emerged in which gender issues were discussed only among women within MOGEF</Quotation> and stated that <Quotation stance="Supportive">a agenda/Quotation> and stated that <Quotation stance="Supportive">a gender equality should be established to elevate the issue to the level of the President's agenda</Quotation>. Hong Sung-geol, professor of public administration at Kookmin University, stated that <Quotation stance="Supportive">in the case of family policy, separating it from the MOHW's welfare agenda had led to fragmented policy momentum</Quotation>and viewed the restructuring positively. However, he also stated, <Quotation stance="Oppositional">A gender equality committee that can evaluate all ministries' policies is the ideal approach</Quotation>

<Conclusion Stance="Oppositional">Within MOGEF, concerns are rising that the policies will be treated as secondary if placed under the MOHW. One MOGEF official said, <Quotation stance="Oppositional">Our role is to protect those who cannot raise their voices, based on awareness of diversity and gender</Quotation>and added, <Quotation stance="Oppositional">These duties are bound to become insignificant within the vast MOHW</Quotation></Conclusion>

Figure A2: The English-translated prompt used in SAAS, shown with an illustrative input. Blue italic text highlights the user input.

### [System Prompt]

입장 분류는 특정 대상에 대한 텍스트의 명시적 또는 묵시적인, 의견이나 입장을 결정하는 작업입니다.

이슈와 뉴스 기사가 제공되며, 당신의 임무는 주어진 이슈에 대한 뉴스 기사의 입장을 지지적, 중립적 혹은 비판적 중 하나로 분류하는 것입니다.

각 라벨의 판단 기준은 다음과 같습니다:

- 지지적: 이슈에 대해 호의적인 논조, 옹호하는 입장의 인용문을 중심으로 배치하며, 긍정적·낙관적 어조가 지배적인 경우

- 중립적: 이슈에 대해 객관적인 논조, 옹호하거나 비판하는 입장의 인용문을 균형 있게 배치하며, 중립적 어조를 사용하는 경우

- 비판적: 이슈에 대해 회의적인 논조, 비판하는 입장의 인용문을 중심으로 배치하며, 부정적·비관적 어조가 지배적인 경우

추가 정보로 이슈에 대한 제목, 도입부, 결론부, 직접인용구의 입장 정보가 각각 제공됩니다. 각 위치는 XML 태그로 표시되며, 세부 라벨 정보를 함께 고려하여 최종 입장을 결정하세요.

#### [User Prompt]

Issue: 정부 여성가족부 폐지 정부조직개편안 확정

Issue: 성부 여성가속무 폐지 성부소식개편안 확성 Headline: <제목 입장="비판적">'본부'로 격하된 여가부..."공룡 복지부에서 성평등 정책 묻힐 것" 우려</제목> Article: <도입부 입장="비판적"> 6일 발표된 정부조직 개편안에 따라 여성가족부가 출범 21년 만에 독립부처 에서 보건복지부 산하 본부로 격하될 위기에 처했다. 정부는 여가부 기능은 유지되고, 보건복지부의 복지 정책 기능과 시너지를 낼 수 있다는 점을 강조한다. 그러나 여가부 개편에 찬성하는 전문가들도 '공룡 부처'가 되는 보 건복지부가 성평등 문제에 기만하게 대처할 수 있을지 의문을 제기하고 있다.</도입부> 여가부는 복지부의 아동복지 기능과 여가부의 청소년 정확 등이 통합되면 시너지 효과를 낼 수 있다는 점을 강조하 고 있다. 기회수 여가부 자란은 이난 '하고 아파 청소년 지원 가하 대책'은 바프하며 < 지적이요그 이자-"중리적">

고 있다. 김현숙 여가부 장관은 이날 '학교 안팎 청소년 지원 강화 대책'을 발표하며 <직접인용구 입장= "중립적"> 복지부 산하의 상당한 권한을 가진 본부가 된다면 오늘 여가부가 발표한 내용보다 더 많은 내용이 담길 수 있었을 것</직접인용구>이라고 말했다. 행정안전부의 정부조직 개편안에 따르면 기존 여가부 기능 중 경력단절여성 지 원 등 여성고용 기능은 고용노동부로 이관하고, △청소년 △가족 △여성 및 성평등 △권익(성폭력, 가정폭력 등 피해자 지원) 등 4대 기능은 복지부 산하 인구가족양성평등본부로 이관된다.

그러나 장관이 이끄는 조직과 본부장이 이끄는 조직이 정부 부처 내에서 갖는 위상이 다르다는 주장이 나온다. 히민숙 국회 입법조사관은<직접 인용구 입장="비판적">기존의 여가부도 예산과 권한이 작아 다른 부처와 협 력하기 어려웠는데 본부로 격하시킨다면 힘을 더 빼는 것</직접 인용구>이라고 했다. 성평등 정책을 수립하고 정부조직 전반에서 성평등이 지켜지는지 점검할 컨트롤타워가 사라진다는 우려가 나온다. 박선영 한국여성정책 연구원 선임연구위원은<직접 인용구 입장="비판적">양성평등 정책은 전 부처에 대한 조정 업무이고, 그래서 연구원 선임연구위원은<직접 인용구 입장="비판적">양성평등 정책은 전 부처에 대한 조정 업무이고, 그래서 여성가족부가 만들어졌던 것</직접 인용구>이라며<직접 인용구 입장="비판적">집행 기능 중심의 복지부에 집 어넣으면 실효성이 떨어질 것</직접 인용구>이라고 지적했다. 기존 여성가족부가 정책 기능을 제대로 수행하지 못했다고 평가하는 전문가들도 기능을 복지부로 축소·이관해선 성평등 정책을 펴기 어렵다고 지적했다. 정재훈 서울여대 사회복지학과 교수는<직접 인용구 입장="지지적">성차별 문제와 관련해 그동안 정부에선 여성가족부 에서 여성끼리 모여 논의해도 된다는 '성게토화(Gender Ghetto)' 현상이 나타났다</직접 인용구>며<직접 인용구 입장="지지적">대통령 직속 성평등위원회를 설치해 성평등 문제를 대통령 의제로 끌어올리는 게 필요하다</ 직접 인용구>고 지적했다. 홍성걸 국민대 행정학과 교수는<직접 인용구 입장="지지적">가족정적의 경우 그동 안 복지부의 복지 정책과 분리되면서 정책 동력이 분산되는 부정적인 측면이 있었다</직접 인용구>면서 여가부 개편의 시너지 효과를 긍정적으로 평가했다. 다만 홍 교수도 <직접 인용구 입장="비판적">성평등 정책은 전부 개편의 제 나타한 수 있는 약성평득위원회를 마득어 맡기는 게 바람진하다</진정 이용구>고 만핵다 치의 모든 정책을 테스트할 수 있는 양성평등위원회를 만들어 맡기는 게 바람직하다</직접 인용구>고 말했다. <결론부 입장="비판적">여가부 내부에서도 복지부 산하로 들어가면 정책들이 '곁가지 취급' 받을 거라는 우려가 나온다. 한 여가부 관계자는<직접 인용구 입장= "비판적">여가부의 일은 다양성, 젠더에 대한 인식을 갖고서 스스 로 목소리를 낼 수 없는 이들을 보호하는 것</직접 인용구>이라며<직접 인용구 입장= "비판적">방대한 복지부로 갔을 때 이런 업무의 비중은 미미해질 수밖에 없을 것</직접 인용구>이라고 했다.</결론부>

Figure A3: The original Korean-language prompt used in SAAS, shown with an illustrative input. Blue italic text highlights the user input.

	Madal	A	E1	F1	F1	F1
Type	Model	Accuracy	FI	(supportive)	(neutral)	(oppositional)
Fine-tuned	RoBERTa-base	$0.582 {\pm} 0.004$	$0.559 {\pm} 0.006$	$0.442 {\pm} 0.017$	$0.649 {\pm} 0.005$	$0.587 {\pm} 0.011$
MLM	RoBERTa-large	0.606±0.006	$0.583{\pm}0.01$	0.453±0.031	$0.662{\pm}0.005$	$0.634{\pm}0.011$
ТТМ	GPT-4o-mini	$0.592\pm0.002$	$0.578 \pm 0.003$	$0.476\pm0.001$	$0.570\pm0.002$	$0.687\pm0.004$
LLIVI	Gemini-2.0-flash	0.647±0.004	$\textbf{0.638} \pm \textbf{0.003}$	0.575±0.003	$0.594{\pm}0.002$	$0.745 {\pm} 0.001$
(zero-snot)	Claude-3-haiku	$0.587 \pm 0.001$	$0.58 {\pm} 0.004$	$0.49 \pm 0.003$	$0.542{\pm}0.002$	$0.71 \pm 0.003$
ТТМ	GPT-4o-mini	$0.598 {\pm} 0.004$	$0.583 {\pm} 0.004$	$0.469 \pm 0.004$	$0.574 {\pm} 0.003$	$0.707 {\pm} 0.004$
LLIVI (6 shot)	Gemini-2.0-Flash	0.671±0.004	$0.664 {\pm} 0.004$	$0.6 {\pm} 0.004$	$0.623 {\pm} 0.003$	$0.771 {\pm} 0.004$
(6-shot)	Claude-3-haiku	$0.637 {\pm} 0.002$	$0.636 {\pm} 0.002$	0.613±0.003	$0.534{\pm}0.003$	$0.761 {\pm} 0.001$

(a) Performance	on all	segments
-----------------	--------	----------

Tumo	Madal	A	E1	F1	F1	F1
Type	Model	Accuracy	L1	(supportive)	(neutral)	(oppositional)
Fine-tuned	RoBERTa-base	$0.636 {\pm} 0.005$	$0.576 {\pm} 0.008$	$0.428 {\pm} 0.021$	$0.719 {\pm} 0.005$	$0.581 {\pm} 0.011$
MLM	RoBERTa-large	0.664±0.006	$0.61{\pm}0.008$	0.45±0.021	$0.731 {\pm} 0.007$	$0.648{\pm}0.02$
LLM	GPT-4o-mini	$0.65 \pm 0.004$	$0.636 {\pm} 0.003$	0.577±0.004	$\textbf{0.683} \pm \textbf{0.004}$	$0.647 {\pm} 0.001$
	Gemini-2.0-flash	$\textbf{0.658} \pm \textbf{0.004}$	$\textbf{0.642} \pm \textbf{0.005}$	$0.563\pm0.002$	$0.68\pm0.002$	$\textbf{0.682} \pm \textbf{0.003}$
(zero-snot)	Claude-3-haiku	$0.623\pm0.004$	$0.597\pm0.003$	$0.469\pm0.003$	$0.668\pm0.004$	$0.655\pm0.004$
LLM	GPT-4o-mini	$0.656\pm0.002$	$0.636\pm0.004$	$0.554 \pm 0.003$	$0.695\pm0.003$	$0.659\pm0.002$
	Gemini-2.0-Flash	$\textbf{0.702} \pm \textbf{0.002}$	$\textbf{0.687} \pm \textbf{0.004}$	$\textbf{0.603} \pm \textbf{0.003}$	$\textbf{0.724} \pm \textbf{0.003}$	$\textbf{0.733} \pm \textbf{0.002}$
(0-shot)	Claude-3-haiku	$0.64\pm0.003$	$0.635\pm0.004$	$0.56\pm0.001$	$0.619\pm0.003$	$0.727 \pm 0.001$

(b) Performance on	Headline
--------------------	----------

Туре	Model	Accuracy	F1	F1	F1	F1
				(supportive)	(neutral)	(oppositional)
Fine-tuned	RoBERTa-base	$0.675 {\pm} 0.007$	0.61±0.008	$0.465 \pm 0.014$	$0.752 {\pm} 0.008$	0.612±0.019
MLM	RoBERTa-large	0.681±0.006	$0.608 {\pm} 0.012$	$0.475 {\pm} 0.018$	$0.758 {\pm} 0.004$	$0.592{\pm}0.029$
LLM (zero-shot)	GPT-4o-mini	$0.668\pm0.005$	$0.62\pm0.004$	$0.518 \pm 0.004$	$\textbf{0.74} \pm \textbf{0.002}$	$0.601\pm0.003$
	Gemini-2.0-flash	$\textbf{0.677} \pm \textbf{0.002}$	$\textbf{0.648} \pm \textbf{0.002}$	$\textbf{0.554} \pm \textbf{0.003}$	$0.727 \pm 0.002$	$\textbf{0.662} \pm \textbf{0.004}$
	Claude-3-haiku	$0.581\pm0.003$	$0.548 \pm 0.003$	$0.387\pm0.002$	$0.641\pm0.001$	$0.616\pm0.003$
LLM (6-shot)	GPT-4o-mini	$\textbf{0.676} \pm \textbf{0.004}$	$0.634\pm0.002$	$0.499\pm0.001$	$\textbf{0.741} \pm \textbf{0.001}$	$\textbf{0.661} \pm \textbf{0.005}$
	Gemini-2.0-Flash	$0.58\pm0.003$	$0.575\pm0.002$	$0.686\pm0.002$	$0.541 \pm 0.001$	$0.5\pm0.003$
	Claude-3-haiku	$0.637 {\pm} 0.005$	$0.636 {\pm} 0.004$	$0.761 {\pm} 0.007$	$0.534{\pm}0.007$	$0.613 {\pm} 0.003$

Tuna	Model	Acouroou	E1	F1	F1	F1
Type	Widdei	Accuracy	1.1	(supportive)	(neutral)	(oppositional)
Fine-tuned	RoBERTa-base	$0.576 {\pm} 0.005$	$0.546 {\pm} 0.005$	$0.422 \pm 0.019$	$0.63 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.586 {\pm} 0.012$
MLM	RoBERTa-large	0.594±0.006	$0.559{\pm}0.01$	0.431±0.025	$0.65{\pm}0.005$	$0.597{\pm}0.018$
LLM	GPT-4o-mini	$0.634\pm0.002$	$0.603\pm0.005$	$0.447\pm0.004$	$0.675\pm0.004$	$0.686\pm0.003$
	Gemini-2.0-flash	$\textbf{0.681} \pm \textbf{0.003}$	$\textbf{0.661} \pm \textbf{0.004}$	$\textbf{0.545} \pm \textbf{0.004}$	$\textbf{0.703} \pm \textbf{0.002}$	$\textbf{0.735} \pm \textbf{0.004}$
(2010-\$1101)	Claude-3-haiku	$0.592\pm0.002$	$0.576\pm0.003$	$0.457\pm0.004$	$0.598 \pm 0.002$	$0.671\pm0.001$
LLM	GPT-4o-mini	$0.635\pm0.005$	$0.602\pm0.004$	$0.431\pm0.002$	$\textbf{0.667} \pm \textbf{0.005}$	$0.708 \pm 0.004$
	Gemini-2.0-Flash	$\textbf{0.66} \pm \textbf{0.005}$	$\textbf{0.65} \pm \textbf{0.004}$	$\textbf{0.56} \pm \textbf{0.002}$	$0.65\pm0.005$	$\textbf{0.74} \pm \textbf{0.004}$
(o-snot)	Claude-3-haiku	$0.6 \pm 0.002$	$0.593 \pm 0.005$	$0.56 \pm 0.003$	$0.625\pm0.002$	$0.593 \pm 0.003$

(c) Performance on  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Lead}}$ 

(d) Performance on Conclusion

Type	Model	Acouroou	<b>E</b> 1	F1	F1	F1
Type	Widdei	Accuracy	1.1	(supportive)	(neutral)	(oppositional)
Fine-tuned	RoBERTa-base	$0.541 \pm 0.006$	$0.536 {\pm} 0.007$	$0.443 \pm 0.02$	$0.582{\pm}0.006$	$0.582{\pm}0.01$
MLM	RoBERTa-large	$0.571 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.563 {\pm} 0.013$	$0.452{\pm}0.037$	$0.591{\pm}0.006$	$0.647 {\pm} 0.006$
LLM	GPT-4o-mini	$0.57\pm0.004$	$0.552\pm0.002$	$0.465\pm0.005$	$0.494 \pm 0.005$	$0.697 \pm 0.004$
	Gemini-2.0-flash	$\textbf{0.636} \pm \textbf{0.002}$	$\textbf{0.622} \pm \textbf{0.001}$	$\textbf{0.58} \pm \textbf{0.004}$	$\textbf{0.524} \pm \textbf{0.004}$	$\textbf{0.761} \pm \textbf{0.004}$
(zero-shot)	Claude-3-haiku	$0.582\pm0.004$	$0.573\pm0.003$	$0.504\pm0.004$	$0.486\pm0.002$	$0.729\pm0.001$
LLM	GPT-4o-mini	$0.575\pm0.003$	$0.557\pm0.002$	$0.461\pm0.002$	$0.494\pm0.003$	$0.715\pm0.002$
	Gemini-2.0-Flash	$\textbf{0.669} \pm \textbf{0.003}$	$\textbf{0.658} \pm \textbf{0.002}$	$0.608\pm0.002$	$\textbf{0.581} \pm \textbf{0.003}$	$0.786 \pm 0.002$
(0-shot)	Claude-3-haiku	$0.649\pm0.003$	$0.64\pm0.003$	$\textbf{0.614} \pm \textbf{0.005}$	$0.5\pm0.003$	$\textbf{0.805} \pm \textbf{0.003}$

(e) Performance on Quotation

Table A5: Segment-level stance detection performance

Label augmentation	Accuracy	F1
For journalism-guided segments (i.e., SAAS)	$0.678 {\pm} 0.002$	$0.672 {\pm} 0.002$
For randomly selected segments	$0.649 {\pm} 0.001$	$0.645 {\pm} 0.002$

Table A6: Performance by ablating journalism-guided segments labels

Headline (Supportive): "역시 김건희" 개식용금지법 통과에 개딸들 이례적 환호 Body Text
Body Text
Lood (Sunnawina), '가거하면' 변화이 과사용그가면 그히 토고
-Lead (Supportive). 심선의합 월정한개적중급시합국외중과
개 식용 금지 주장해온 김건희 여산에개딸들 환호 "정말 다행, 감사합니다"
식용을 목적으로 개를 도살하거나 사육·승식하는 것을 금지하는 법안이 국회 본회의를 통과했다. 김건희 여사의
꾸순한 노력 끝에 '김건의법'이라 물리는 법안이 동과되며 개딸들 사이에서는 이례석인 완오가 터져나왔다.
 -Conclusion (Supportive): 코리아리서치이터내셔너이 도무보기무제여구소 허웨어 이리근 저구 서이나너 2000며으
대산으로 지해하 '2023 개 신용에 대하 국미이신조사'에 따르며 운단자인 94 5%는 지난 1년 돈아 개고기를 먹으
적이 없다고 응답했다.
Overall Stance: Supportive
Headline (Neutral): '개 식용 금지법' 통과에 "기념비적 역사" vs "헌법소원 낼 것"
Body Text
-Lead (Neutral): 동물단체-육견협회, 엇갈린 반응
"동물권 승리" vs "먹을 권리 강탈"
이른바 '개 식용 금지법'이 9일 국회를 통과하자 동물단체들은
"기념비적인역사가 쓰였다"며 일제히 환영했다. 반면 이를 줄곧 반대해 온 대한육견협회는
"식업선택의 자유를 빼앗았다"며 헌법소원을 내겠다는 뜻을 밝혔다.
 Conclusion (Nautral), 이나 그히 보히이에서 이겨되 '게이 사용 모거이 사용 드산 미 오토 드 조사에 과하 트냬버'
- Conclusion (Neutrul). 이럴 국외 근외의에서 의실된 개의 적용 국적의 사육·오철 및 규동 등 공격에 전한 특별합 으 시요 모저이 개 다사, 사우, 즈시 개나 개르 위리리 하시푸이 으로, 파매르 그지하는 거우 곡가리 하다
Overall Stance: Neutral
Headline (Onnositional): 개 식용 금지법 통과 "20년 보신탕 팔았는데 삭긱 말말"
Body Text
-Lead (Oppositional): 관련업주 당혹, 2027년부터 처벌
처벌 수위·적정성 놓고 논란 제기
사육 · 유기견 급증 해결 급선무
개 식용 금지법이 9일 국회 본회의를 통과하면서 개 식용 논쟁이 다시 불거졌다. 정부는 이번 법안을 통해 더이상의
논란을 막겠다는 입장이지만 처벌 수위, 처벌의 적정성을 두고 또 다른 논란이 제기되고 있다.
-CONClusion ( <i>Oppositional</i> ): 순선에서 20년 간 영양당집을 운영한 A씨는
20년 간 3 사였는데 급시되던 이번 입장을 해야 될 지 역억 아내 3 가 요리로 고려하니까 다다하다" 제 최
다. 따라르 어즈 R씨는 "자어자이 싸 어어져 고기 그하 고도 어어 모으 다고 실 저도 이다"며
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
목 내는 형편"이라고 토로했다. 법안 통과로 인해 처벌 수위와 적정성에 대한 논란이 재적한되었고, 사육 중인 개의
급증과 유기견 문제 해결도 시급하다는 지적이 나왔다.
Overall Stance: Oppositional

Table A7: The original example in Korean. The colored highlight indicates the stance label for quotations (blue: supportive, red: oppositional).

```
Settings (DA)
 Tabel Studio = Projects / 개식용 금지법 국회 본회의 통과 / Labeling
#39 < >
  전체 기사 내용
    보신탕집 사라진다...초고속 동과된 '개식용금지법', 남겨진 과제는
    한국의 개 사용 문화가 사라지는 수순에 접어들었다. 1991년 동물보호법이 제정된 지 33년 민이다. 유예가간 3년을 두고, 2027년부턴 개사육농장, 보신방접 등 개를 식용 목적으로 기르거나 도삶 유통 판매하는 모든 행위가 형사처벌 대상이 된다.
동물보호단체는 일제히 환호했지만, 개사육 농장주들은 강하게 반법하면서 여진이 이어질 것으로 보인다.
    국회는 9일 오후 본회의를 열어 "개의 식용 목적의 사육 도살 및 유통 등 종식에 관한 특별법"(개식용 종식 특별법)을 통과시켰다. 보신탕 문화가 자리 잡은 한국에서 민감한 사안이지만, 개식용 종식에 대한 여야 의견이 일치하면서 이례적으로 빠른 속
    도로 법안이 처리됐다. 지난해 12월 12일 국회 농림축산식품해양수산위원회 법안소위를 통과한 이후 최종적으로 본회의를 통과하기까지 채 한 달도 걸리지 않았다
    식용 목적 개 도살 '최대 3년 징역'
    법안에 따르면 ▶4용을 목적으로 개를 도설할 경우 3년 이하의 장역 또는 3000만원 이하의 법금 ▶4용을 목적으로 개를 사우 중식하거나 가로 만든 식품을 유통할 시에 2년 이하의 정역 또는 2000만원 이하의 법급에 쳐하
주는 물론이고 개를 도설하고 유통하는 업자, 개고기로 만든 음식을 판매하는 보신당집 업주 등 개식용 관련 업종에 종시하는 모두가 형시처벌 대상이 되는 것이다. 단, 처벌 조항은 법안 공포 후 3년이 지닌 2027년부터 획용!
                                                                                                                                                                                                        배질 수 있다. 개사육농장
    아울러 법안 공포 지후부터 개사육능장과 보신당집, 개 식용 목적역 도실 처리 유통-판매 시설 등은 모두 신규 또는 추가로 설치 운영하는 것이 금지된다. 또한 가존 업주들은 시설의 영청 주소 규모 영업 시설 등을 3개월 이내에 관형에 신고하고, 폐업
또는 전업 등에 관한 사항이 포함된 "개식용 종식 이형계획세를 반드시 제출해야 한다. 위반 시 3000원 이하 과태표여 치분될 수 있다. 개사육농장 운영 현황 파악 등 정부 실태조사를 위한 근거 조항도 법안에 담겼다.
    '정당한 보상'→'필요한 지원'_..지원 규모는 미정
    개식용 종식 특별법은 속청 '김건희법'이라 불릴 정도로 윤석열 정부의 주요 입법 과제였지만, 법안 통과를 일단락 지은 정부의 생법은 여전히 복잡하다. 남겨진 과제들이 산적하기 때문이다.
      장 큰 속제는 개석을 관련 압주들에 대한 지원 문제다. 당초 원안은 폐업하거나 다른 업품으로 전업할 경우 '정당한 보상이 이뤄질 수 있도록 지원해야 한다'고 열시했다. 하지만 최종안에서 '필요한 지만'으로 표현이'
개지 정부로부터 보상을 받을 수 있다고 오래할 우리가 있다(국회 전문위원 검토보고시)는 정부 의견을 반영하면시다. 동물보호단체에서 입법 과정에서 꾸준히 지적하던 사안이기도 하다. 구체적인 지원 범위와 규
하는 것으로 남겨왔다.
    농장주 측에선 '보상' 문구가 빠진 데 대해 경에게 반발하고 나섰다. 주영봉 대한육건법의 위원장은 '보상이 없다면 모든 정봉 기반을 그날 빼앗아 가겠다는 소리'라며 '전실적으로 다른 축증으로 전업하인 어려운 만큼 패입밖에 많이 없는데, 납득을 만
한 자본해 및 돌요하다'고 밝혔다. 몇시 북간법회는 농장 게 디이러 최소 200만원의 보상금이 필요하다고 요구했는데, 이는 정부의 2022년 실태조사 기존(52만 미리)으로도 1조원 이상의 예산을 필요로 하기 때문에 현실적으로 쉽지 않다. 휴어형
상복북 강련조 가내다 '반길 11월 안산상품의 지지에서 '보상 에는 가도하다'고 했다.
    법안은 비접 관법 저원 계획 등은 정부 관계자의 개사육능성, 동물보호단체, 전문가 등이 참여하는 개식용 등식 위원회를 설치해 조물하도록 규정하고 있다. 하지만 이미저도 제대로 단 논의가 이해질 가능성은 낮다. 날서 문제인 정부에서 설치해 현
재지지 존속하고 있는 "가서 문 쪽비 눈면을 위한 위원되도 개사육능성 동물보호단체 긴 의견 대답인 이야친 제 아무런 소득을 내지 못하고 유영유실해보기 때문이다. 정보 관계자는 "지문 등을 위해 만드시 위원회 법의가 필요한 것은 아니지만, 최
대한 다양한 일본은 중철 개막이고, 말했다.
    남겨진 개 수십만 마리는..."희생 최소화 방법 고민해야"
    농장에서 흘러난 개에 대해선 어떻게 할 것인지에 대한 문제도 시급히 고민해야 할 지점이다. 만일 개들을 버려든 채 폐업해버릴 경우 동물보호법상 동물유기에 해당하고, 강제 설치분을 할 경우 동물확대에 해당하는 만큼 행사처벌을 받을 수 있다. 정
부는 원론적으로 폐업 작업한 농장주가 남은 개들을 확업자야 한다는 입장이다. 하지만 농가동 평균 400여여리의 개를 사육하는 현실에서 농장주들이 남은 개들을 원활히 처리할 방법은 오랜터다. 전국 동물보호소 역시 이미 포화된 상태인 만큼 않
    은 개들이 안락사될 우려가 크다.
    유명해 비료구조네트워크 대표는 "개식용 중식은 모든 동물보호단체들의 영원이었고, 말로 할 수 없을 장도로 기쁜 알'이리면서도 '그동안 중식에만 초점을 맞췄다면, 이젠 남은 개들의 회생을 어떻게 최소화할 수 있을지 고민해야 하는 시점이다. 3년
의 유해가간 동안 개식용 관련 불법적 요소들을 장리하고, 그 과장에서 동물보호단체들도 협조할 수 있는 부분은 최대한 협조할 계획"이라고 밝혔다.
  Q0. 이 기사의 유형은 무엇인가요?
     스트레이트<sup>13</sup> 🗹 기획/해설/분석<sup>12</sup> 🗌 사설/칼럼<sup>13</sup> 🗌 기타
  Q1. 기사 제목이 [ '개 식용 금지법' 국회 본회의 통과 ]이라는 사건에 대해 어떤 입장을 취하고 있나요?
    보신탕집 사라진다...초고속 통과된 '개식용금지법', 남겨진 과제는
     지지적<sup>[5]</sup> 중립적<sup>[5]</sup> 당 비판적<sup>[7]</sup>
  Q2. 기사의 도입부는 [ '개 식용 금지법' 국회 본회의 통과 ]이라는 사건에 대해 어떤 입장을 취하고 있나요?
    한국의 개 식용 문화가 사려지는 수순에 접어들었다. 1991년 동물보호법이 제정된 지 33년 만이다. 유메가간 3년을 두고, 2027년부턴 개사육농경, 보신방접 등 개를 식용 목적으로 기르거나 도삶 유통 판매하는 모든 행위가 형사치별 대상이 된다.
동물보호단체는 알페히 환호했지만, 개사육 농장주들은 강하게 반발하면서 여간이 이어질 것으로 보인다.
     지지적(비 🗸 중립적(*) 비판적(*)
   인용구를 드래그하여 [ '개 식용 금지법' 국회 본회의 통과 ]이라는 사건에 대한 입장을 태그하고, 화자를 입력하세요:
   지지적 q 비판적 w 중립적 e 관련 없을 t
    한국의 개 식용 문화가 사라지는 수순이 집어들었다. 1991년 동물보호법이 제정된 지 33년 만이다. 유메가간 3년을 두고, 2027년부턴 개사육농장, 보신당집 등 개를 식용 목적으로 기르거나 도실·유통 판매하는 모든 행위가 형사처벌 대상이 된다.
동물보호단체는 일제히 환호했지만, 개사육 농장주들은 강하게 반별하면서 여진이 이어질 것으로 보인다.
    국회는 9일 오후 분회 명을 열어 개의 식용 목적의 사육 도설 및 유통 등 중시에 관한 특별법(개식용 중식 특별법)을 통과시켰다. 보신방 문화가 지리 잡은 한국에서 민감한 사언이지만, 개식용 중식에 대한 여야 의견이 일치하면서 이례적으로 빠른 속
도로 법인이 처리했다. 지난해 12월 11일 국회 농립중산식동원영상산위원회 법인소위를 통좌한 이후 최종적으로 분회의를 통좌하기까지 확 탈도 걸리지 않았다.
    신용 목전 개 도삭 '최대 3녀 진영'
    법안에 따르면 ▶ 4용을 목적으로 개를 도살할 경우 3년 이하의 장역 또는 3000만원 이하의 별근 ▶ 석용을 목적으로 개를 사목 중식하거나 개료 만든 식품을 유통할 시에 2년 이하의 장액 또는 2000만원 이하의 벌급에 치해될 수 있다. 개사목능장
주는 물론이고 개를 도살하고 유통하는 업자, 개고기로 만든 음식을 판매하는 보신당집 업주 등 개식을 관련 업종에 증사하는 모두가 형사처벌 대상이 되는 것이다. 단, 처벌 조항은 법만 공포 후 3년이 지난 2027년부터 적용된다.
    아울러 법안 공포 지옥부턴 계사육농담과 보신당집, 계 식용 목적의 도살 처리 유통-편폐 시설 등은 모두 신규 또는 추가로 설치 운영하는 것이 공지된다. 또한 가존 업주들은 시설의 명칭 주소 규모.영업 사실 등용 3개월 이내에 관정에 신고하고, 폐업
또는 진업 등에 관한 사장이 포함된 "사식용 풍식 이행계획사를 반드시 제출해야 한다. 위반 시 300만원 이야 과태료에 치분될 수 있다. 개사육농장 운영 현황 파악 등 정부 실태조사를 위한 근거 조용도 법안에 당겼다.
    '정당한 보상'→'필요한 지원'...지원 규모는 미정
    개식용 중식 특별법은 속성 '김건희법'이라 불릴 정도로 윤석열 정부의 주요 입법 과제였지만, 법안 통과를 일단락 지은 정부의 생법은 여전히 복잡하다. 남겨진 과제들이 산적하기 때문이다.
    가장 큰 속제는 개식용 관련 업주들에 대한 지원 문제다. 당초 원안은 쾌업하거나 다른 업종으로 적업할 경우 생당한 보상이 이뤄질 수 있도록 지원해야 한다고 영시했다. 하지만 최종안에선 웹요한 지환으로 표현이 바뀌었다. 불법의 소지가 많은 곳
들까지 정부로부터 보상을 받을 수 있다고 오해할 우리가 있다(국회 전문위원 검토보고시)는 정부 의견을 반영하면서다. 동물보호단체에서 입법 과정에서 꾸준히 지적하던 사안이기도 하다. 구체적인 지원 범위와 규모, 방식 등은 대통령왕을 통해 결
    정하는 것으로 남겨뒀다
   농장주 속에서 '보상' 문구가 빠진 데 대해 강하게 반영하고 나섰다. 주영불 대한연경법회 위원장은 <mark>'보상이 없다면 모든 성물 가만을 그냥 빼앗아 가겠다는 소함</mark>'다며 <mark>'형실적으로 다른 측중으로 전영하진 여러운 만큼 폐압밖에 담이 없는데,</mark> 날특<mark>별</mark>
<mark>만한 지원적이 필요하다</mark>'고 밝혔다. 앞서 욕진업회는 농장 개 1미러당 최소 200만원의 보상금이 필요하다고 요구했는데, 아는 정부의 2022년 실태조사 기존(S2만 마리)으로도 1조원 이상의 여산을 필요로 하기 때문에 현실적으로 쉽지 않다. 승미
형 농식품부 장련도 지난해 12월 19월 인사장문회 자리에서 '열정 정부는 규도되다'고 밝혔다.
    법안은 폐압간업 지원 계획 등은 정부 관계자와 개사육능장, 동물보호단체, 전문가 등이 참여하는 "개식용 중식 위원회'를 설치해 조율하도록 규정하고 있다. 하지만 이마지도 제대로 된 논의가 이뤄질 가능성은 낮다. 앞서 문제인 정부에서 설치대 현
재까지 존속하고 있는 "개식용 문제 논의를 위한 위원하도 개사육능장과 동물보호단체 간 의견 대집만 이야긴 제 아무런 소득을 내지 못하고 유명유실하였기 때문이다. 정부 관계자는 "집면 등을 위해 맨트시 위원회 합의가 원모한 것은 아니지만, 최
    대한 다양한 의견을 수렴할 계획"이라고 밝혔다.
    남겨진 개 수십만 마리는..."회생 최소화 방법 고민해야"
   동집에서 플러난 개에 대해난 어떻게 할 것인지에 대한 문제도 시금의 고민해야 할 지점이다. 만열 개들을 버려든 제 폐업에버릴 경우 등물보호법상 동물유기에 해당하고, 강제 살처본을 할 경우 동물학대에 해당하는 만큼 형사
부는 원론적으로 폐압 전업한 농장주가 남은 개들을 확인져야 한다는 입장이다. 하지만 농가동 평균 4000여파리에 개를 사육하는 현실에서 농장주들이 남은 개들을 원활해 치려할 방법은 요한하다. 전국 동물보호소 역시 이미
은 개들이 인역사들 우려가 크다.
    유명해 비급구조네트워크 대표는 '캐셔용 중사은 모든 동물보호단체들의 영원이었고, 말로 할 수 없을 정도로 기존 알아야면서도 '그들던' 중식에만 총점을 맞췄다면, 이번 남은 개들의 희생을 어떻게 최소화할 수 있을지 고민해야 하는 시점이다. 3
년의 유예기간 동안 개식용 관련 불법식 요소들을 정리하고, 그 과정에서 동물보호단체들도 법조할 수 있는 부분은 최대한 법조할 계속 '이라고 밝혔다.
   Q3. 기사의 결론 부분은 [ '개 식용 금지법' 국회 본회의 통과 ]이라는 사건에 대해 어떤 입장을 취하고 있나요?
   유정책 비금구조네트워크 대표는 "개식용 중시은 모든 동물발호타체들의 영원이었고, 말로 할 수 없을 정도로 기본 얇이리면서도 "그동안 중시에만 초점을 맞췄다면, 이전 남은 개들의 희생을 아떻게 최소화할 수 있을지 고민해야 하는 시점이다. 3년
의 유택기간 동안 개식용 관련 불법적 요소들을 장리하고, 그 귀장에서 동물보호타체들도 협조할 수 있는 부분은 최대한 협조할 계획"이라고 밝혔다.
  ☑ 지지적<sup>(4)</sup> 중립적<sup>(4)</sup> 비판적<sup>(4)</sup>
  Q4. 본문 전체는 [ '개 식용 금지법' 국회 본회의 통과 ]이라는 사건에 대해 어떤 입장을 취하고 있나요?
    지지적[1] 중립적일 🛃 비판적[2]
```

Figure A4: Labeling interface