GUNET: A GRAPH CONVOLUTIONAL NETWORK UNITED DIFFUSION MODEL FOR STABLE AND DIVER SITY POSE GENERATION

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

ABSTRACT

Pose skeleton images are an important reference in pose-controllable image generation. In order to enrich the source of skeleton images, recent works have investigated the generation of pose skeletons based on natural language. These methods are based on GANs. However, it remains challenging to perform diverse, structurally correct and aesthetically pleasing human pose skeleton generation with various textual inputs. To address this problem, we propose a framework with **GUNet** as the main model, **PoseDiffusion**. It is the first generative framework based on a diffusion model and also contains a series of variants fine-tuned based on a stable diffusion model. PoseDiffusion demonstrates several desired properties that outperform existing methods. 1) Correct Skeletons. GUNet, a denoising model of PoseDiffusion, is designed to incorporate graphical convolutional neural networks. It is able to learn the spatial relationships of the human skeleton by introducing skeletal information during the training process. 2) Diversity. We decouple the key points of the skeleton and characterise them separately, and use cross-attention to introduce textual conditions. Experimental results show that PoseDiffusion outperforms existing SoTA algorithms in terms of stability and diversity of text-driven pose skeleton generation. Qualitative analyses further demonstrate its superiority for controllable generation in Stable Diffusion.

028 029 030 031

032

006

008 009 010

011

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

024

025

026

027

1 INTRODUCTION

033 As an important external control condition in controllable image generation, 2D pose skeleton images 034 are critical to the quality of the generated images. For example, ControlNet(Zhang et al., 2023), HumanSD(Ju et al., 2023), GRPose(Yin et al., 2024) and other models used to create controllable 035 photos need to be provided with one or more 2D pose skeleton images for reference. In addition, many pose sequence generation tasks also need to be provided with the first frame of the pose skeleton. 037 However, in order to obtain the pose skeletons, current methods rely mainly on extracting them from existing images using pose detection models, such as DWPose(Yang et al., 2023) and OpenPose(Cao et al., 2017). This limits the diversity and operability of obtainable pose skeletons. In practice, there 040 are also blender-based applications which allow users to adjust and model the pose by dragging and 041 dropping key points. However, these approaches are time-consuming and require a lot of manual 042 effort. They are also difficult to implement an end-to-end process due to the large amount of manual 043 intervention. In order to get pose skeleton images more easily and flexibly, it is necessary to create a 044 framework for generating pose skeletons directly from natural language.

In recent years, many researchers have explored methods for generating 2D human pose skeletons from textual descriptions. Zhang et al. (2021) trained a generative adversarial network capable of generating single-person poses from text. Roy et al. (2022) proposed the DE-PASS, a dataset with detailed labelling of the facial details in poses, and trained a generative adversarial network for generating single-person poses conditioned on natural language on it, which designed a RefineNet to improve the generation of facial gestures. However, they share a common problem, i.e., the generated pose skeletons suffer from misplaced key points and disproportionate bone lengths. Fig. 1 shows several illustrations of erroneous skeletons generated by a GANs-based method(Zhang et al., 2021).
Fig. 1(a) shows a disproportionate skeleton where the two arms of the character are not the same length. Fig. 1(b) shows a skeleton with misplaced key points. The key point that should be on the



Figure 1: Illustrations of the wrong skeletons generated by GANs-based method. Fig. 1(a) shows a disproportionate skeleton, and Fig. 1(b)shows a skeleton with misplaced key points, and Fig. 1(c)shows a deformed and twisted skeleton.

064 065

054

056

060

061 062

063

feet mistakenly appeared near the shoulders. Fig. 1(c) shows a skeleton in which the right knee is
 folded far beyond the limits of what humans can do, resulting in a partially deformed right leg.

To address the above challenges, we propose PoseDiffusion, a framework capable of generating 069 2D single-person pose skeletons from various texts. We propose to introduce a denoising diffusion probabilistic model for tasks of text-driven human pose skeleton generation. Unlike classical text-to-071 image models that use 3-channel feature maps to represent an RGB image, we propose to represent 072 each key point as a separate feature channel, thus enabling individual prediction of the position of each 073 key point. The above approach can significantly increase the diversity and stability of the generated 074 pose images. In addition, to avoid the model always generating deformed skeletons, PoseDiffusion's 075 GUNet model introduces the key point and skeleton information into the generation process through 076 a GCN. As a result, our model generates correct keypoint locations and appropriate skeleton lengths. 077 Furthermore, in order to verify the effectiveness of introducing the skeleton information, we propose 078 two fine-tuned Stable Diffusion-based variants.

We perform extensive qualitative experiments and quantitative evaluations on popular benchmarks.
 First, we demonstrate significant improvements in text-driven 2D pose skeleton generation. Second, we show the generation capabilities of two fine-tuned variants. In addition, we discuss additional possibilities of PoseDiffusion for multiplayer pose generation.

In summary, our proposed PoseDiffusion has several desired properties that outperform the prior arts:

- 1) Correct Skeletons. Benefit from our designed denoising model GUNet, PoseDiffusion can use the connection information of the human skeleton as a reference during the denoising process, so as to generate human pose skeletons with the correct location of key points and appropriate length of the bones.
- 2) *Diversity.* Benefit from our bold attempt at the conditional diffusion model, decoupled representation of human posture skeleton, and cross-attention design of multimodal information, PoseDiffusion can achieve higher diversity in generating results.
- 092 093 094

095

096

084 085

087

090

091

2 RELATED WORKS

2.1 Pose or Keypoint-guided Text-driven Image Generation

With the advent of Stable Diffusion(Rombach et al., 2022)(SD), work on text-driven image generation 098 has made tremendous progress. To avoid pose distortion of people or objects in the generated images, many works use pose skeletons to guide text-driven image generation. ControlNet(Zhang et al., 2023) 100 incorporates additional inputs such as pose information into the SD, allowing the user to precisely 101 control image details during the generation process. HumanSD(Ju et al., 2023)introduces a specific 102 human pose coding module to improve the generation accuracy of fine-grained pose manipulation 103 tasks. T2I-Adapter(Mou et al., 2024) is an insertion module that combines textual cues with additional 104 visual guidance signals to help the generative model better map complex textual descriptions to 105 image content. GRPose(Yin et al., 2024) uses GNNs to process the relationship of skeleton points, which helps the SD model better understand the reference poses, thus generating images with better 106 pose consistency. All of the above work requires an additional picture of the pose skeleton. Current 107 approaches mainly rely on extracting the pose skeleton from existing images using pose detection

108 models such as DWPose(Yang et al., 2023) and OpenPose(Cao et al., 2017), etc. This limits the 109 diversity and tractability of the available pose skeletons. To address this problem, we need to delve 110 into its upstream work, synthesising high-quality human pose skeletons from diverse texts, thereby 111 enriching the source of pose skeletons for text-driven image generation.

- 112
- 113 114

2.2 GENERATING HUMAN POSE SKELETONS FROM NATURAL LANGUAGE

Previous work has done some research on generating human poses from natural language. Zhou 115 et al. (2019) change the pose of a person in a given image based on a textual description. Zhang 116 et al. (2021) trained a generative adversarial network capable of generating complex poses from 117 natural language descriptions. Although they achieved good results in terms of action complexity 118 and versatility, they did not take into account the spatial relationships between different key points of 119 human poses, resulting in the generation of poses that are subject to problems such as deformities or 120 skeletal disproportions. To solve this problem, we propose a new text-driven human pose skeleton 121 generation pipeline based on denoising diffusion probabilistic model(DDPM)(Ho et al., 2020). Where 122 the denoising model is based on U-Net, and introduces a graph neural network to introduce the spatial 123 information of the pose. Benefiting from the properties of the DDPM, our pipeline is able to generate 124 more diverse samples.

125

126 2.3 TEXT-CONDITIONED U-NET 127

U-Net was initially applied to solve the problem of medical image segmentation(Ronneberger et al., 128 2015; Oktay et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2018), and more recent work combines U-Net with diffusion 129 processes for image generation(Rombach et al., 2022; Dhariwal & Nichol, 2021; Ju et al., 2023; 130 Zhang et al., 2023). These works achieve state-of-the-art performance on a variety of tasks such as 131 unconditional image generation, text-driven image generation(Rombach et al., 2022), and image-132 driven image generation, and inspired our work. Text-conditioned U-Net injects textual information 133 into image latent features through a cross-attention mechanism, thus effectively guiding the image 134 content during the generation process. The downsampling aims at gradually compressing the spatial 135 dimensions of the input feature maps to extract higher-level global semantic information, while the 136 upsampling is used to progressively recover the spatial resolution, combining the global semantic 137 information with the detailed features, to generate an output image of the same size as the input image. 138

139 140

141

146

149

151

153

154

3 METHOD

142 We propose a diffusion model-based framework, PoseDiffusion, for generating diverse and skeletally 143 structurally stable 2D human pose skeletons(text2pose). We first give the problem definition in Sec. 144 3.1, then we provide a general description of the proposed PoseDiffusion in Sec. 3.2, followed by the diffusion model in Sec. 3.3 and the U-Net based denoising model, GUNet, in Sec. 3.4. 145

3.1 TASK DEFINITION 147

148 Human pose skeleton H contains a set of heatmaps h_i , where $i \in \{1, 2, ..., K\}$, K denotes the number of key points, and S is the size of heatmaps. Heatmaps are modelled with Gaussian distribution 150 centred on the coordinates of the keypoints to obtain a 2-dimensional image, where each pixel represents the probability of occurrence of the key point, with darker colours indicating a higher 152 probability. Fig. 2 shows a set of heatmaps of a pose skeleton with 17 key points. In text2pose, given a set of descriptions $\{text_i\}$ and a set of random noise samples with the same shape as H, the denoising model will generate a set of heatmaps from the random noise that match the given 155 descriptions.





Diffusion Process $\epsilon \sim N(o, I)$ Pose to $t \sim Uniform(\{1, 2, \dots, T\})$ Heatmap $q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0) = \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t \mathbf{x}_0} + \epsilon \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t}$ $x_0 \sim q(x_0)$ Denoising Model A man is Text Text sitting on $\mathcal{L} = \| \boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t, text) \|$ Encode Embedding the sofa. Training Process Latent Training & Inference $\epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t}, t, text)$ Feature Inference Process ~ Positional Embedding Element-wise Addition $\frac{1-\alpha_t}{\sqrt{1-\alpha_t}}\epsilon_\theta(x_t,t,text))$ **Reverse Process** Heatmap $t - 1, x_{t-1}$ t, x_t to Pose Predicted $p(x_T) = \mathcal{N}(x_T; 0, I)$ Heatma

Figure 3: Pipeline overview. In *Diffusion Process*, we transform the pose skeleton into a set of heatmaps via Pose2Heatmap and then add noise to them on timesteps. In the training process, inputs of the *Denoising Model* are noisy latent from *Diffusion Process* and text embedding with timestep embedding, and the output is the predicted noise. *Reverse Process* samples the noise to obtain heatmaps that match the input text and transform them into the pose skeleton via Heatmap2Pose.

185 186 187

188

197

199 200

201

202

203

204

162

163

164

165

166

167

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

181

182

183

3.2 PIPELINE OVERVIEW

189 Our proposed PoseDiffusion pipeline is shown in Fig. 3. First, we construct a text-based pose skeleton 190 generation pipeline using the denoising diffusion model(Nichol & Dhariwal, 2021)(DDPM). The 191 basic of the denoising model is GUNet, a U-UNet-like model. GUNet introduces spatial information 192 of skeletons such as key point locations and connectivity relationships by a spatial module. To 193 enable GUNet to handle textual conditions, we use a cross-attention mechanism to fuse cross-modal features. In addition to this, we change the input dimension of GUNet to match the skeleton features 194 represented using a set of heatmaps. The above design significantly improves the pose skeleton 195 generated by PoseDiffusion. We will describe each part of the pipeline in the following subsections. 196

3.3 DIFFUSION MODEL

The diffusion model is a generative model that progressively denoises Gaussian noise through a learnable probabilistic model. The forward process of diffusion is a Markov chain that starts from the initial data x_0 and gradually adds noise with variance β_t to the data x_{t-1} over T timesteps to obtain a set of noise samples x_t with distribution $q(x_t | x_{t-1}) = N(x_t; \sqrt{1 - \beta_t} x_{t-1}, \beta_t I)$. The distribution of the whole forward noise addition process can be calculated by Eq. 1:

$$q(x_{1:T} \mid x_0) = q(x_0) \prod_{t=1}^{T} q(x_t \mid x_{t-1})$$
(1)

209 210 211

The inverse process is the reversal of the forward process, where we sample from $q(x_t | x_{t-1})$ and progressively reconstruct the true sample, which means estimating $q(x_{t-1} | x_t)$ at the moment t = T. Estimating the previous state from the current state requires knowledge of all the previous gradients. Thus, it is necessary to train a neural network model to estimate $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1} | x_t)$ based on the learned weights θ and the current state at time t. This trajectory can be performed by Eq. 2: 216 217 218

223

228

229

230

231

$$p_{\theta} (x_{t-1} \mid x_t) = N (x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta} (x_t, t), \sum_{\theta} (x_t, t))$$

$$p_{\theta} (x_{0:T}) = p (x_T) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{\theta} (x_{t-1} \mid x_t)$$
(2)

To provide a simplified representation of the diffusion process, Ho et al. (2020) formulated it as Eq. 3:

$$q\left(x_{t} \mid x_{0}\right) = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha_{t}}}x_{0} + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha_{t}}}, \epsilon \in N\left(0, I\right)$$

$$(3)$$

where $\alpha_t = 1 - \beta_t$ and $\bar{\alpha}_t = \prod_{s=0}^t \alpha_s$. Thus we can simply sample the noise samples and generate x_t directly from this formula. Here, we follow the approach used in GLIDE(Nichol et al., 2021) and predict the noise term ϵ . The expression for the neural network prediction during the sampling process can be simplified to $\epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t, text)$. We optimize the denoising model using the loss function as shown in Eq. 4:

$$\mathcal{L} = E_{t \in [1,T], x_0 \sim q(x_0), \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0,I)} \left[\left\| \epsilon - \epsilon_\theta \left(x_t, t, text \right) \right\| \right]$$
(4)

To generate samples from a given textual description, we perform noise reduction on the sequence from $p(x_T) = N(x_T; 0, I)$. From Equation. 2, we know that we need to estimate $\mu_{\theta}(x_t, t, text)$ and $\sum_{\theta} (x_t, t, text)$. To simplify this, we set $\sum_{\theta} (x_t, t, text)$ to a constant β_t , and $\mu_{\theta}(x_t, t, text)$ is approximated as Eq. 5:

239 240 241

242 243

244

236

237

238

$$\mu_{\theta}\left(x_{t}, t, text\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_{t}}} \left(x_{t} - \frac{1 - \alpha_{t}}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t}}} \epsilon_{\theta}\left(x_{t}, t, text\right)\right)$$
(5)

3.4 GUNET AND COMPONENTS

Since our skeleton generation task requires spatial information of the skeleton during denoising process, it makes CNNs, a structure for processing image data, unable to model the structure of pose skeleton. To solve this problem, Wen et al. (2023) proposed a U-Net-like structure based on GNNs. However, GNNs are unable to perform detailed feature extraction on the representation of the pose skeleton, which is a kind of 2D image data. Therefore, we propose GUNet that combines the advantages of both CNN and GNN, as shown in Fig. 4.

Text Encoder. In this paper, we use BERT(Devlin, 2018) to obtain the embedding of the text. Specifically, the sentence T describing a pose skeleton is firstly be spilt by the tokenizer of BERT to get $T_{token} = \{t_1, t_2, .t_L..,\}$. Then, T_{token} is input to the embedding layer of BERT for encoding, using the embedding of CLS token as the sentence representation, i.e., $T \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 768}$.

Pose Encoder and Pose Decoder. Pose Encoder and Decoder are the Pose2Heatmap and Heatmap2Pose modules in Fig. 3. These are two modules that require no training and enable the transformation between a pose skeleton and a set of heatmaps corresponding to it. Specifically, the COCO dataset comes with keypoint coordinates for each pose skeleton of the class. We generate a heatmap of size $S \times S$ for each keypoints of the pose skeleton, and the value of each pixel point of the heatmap represents the probability that the keypoint occurs here. Assuming that the coordinate of the key point K_i is (μ_x, μ_y) , then, the pixel value at coordinate (x, y) is computed by Eq. 6

262 263

264

$$heatmap(x,y) = e^{-\frac{(x-\mu_x)^2 + (y-\mu_y)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$
(6)

Eventually, each pose skeleton is represented as a set of heatmaps by Pose2Heatmap, $H \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times S \times S}$, where *K* denotes the number of keypoints of a skeleton, and *S* denotes the size of the heatmap. Heatmap2Pose module directly obtains the coordinates of the largest pixel value on the heatmap as the keypoint coordinate. Finally, the key points are connected and coloured using OpenPose's(Cao et al., 2017) skeleton connection and color rules to get the pose skeleton. 270GUNet. In GUNet, we define the human pose271as a graph structure, notated as $\mathcal{G} = (V, E, A)$,272where V represents the set of node features, E273represents the set of edges, and A is the adjacency274matrix defining the relationships between nodes,275defined as Eq. 7:

 $A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, \text{ if there is an edge between} \\ \text{node } i \text{ and node } j \\ 0, \text{ others} \end{cases}$



Figure 4: GUNet. It is a U-Net-like structure consisting of downsampling, upsampling, middle blocks and a spatial block. The sampling and the middle blocks consist of CNN layers, a self-attention layer, and a cross-attention layer. One of the middle blocks is followed by a spatial block containing a graph convolutional neural network layer and a skip connection.

Although the graph structure is fixed for different human pose skeletons, as the pose changes, the associated heatmap sets will be different and the features of the node set V will be updated.

As shown in Fig. 4, GUNet is a U-Net like structure consisting of three down-sampling blocks, three up-sampling blocks, one middle block and one spatial block. Consistent with previous work(Nichol & Dhariwal, 2021), both the downsampling, upsampling and middle blocks consist of CNN layers, and each block contains a self-attention layer and a cross-attention layer for maintaining cross-modal semantic consistency between the textual conditions and the images. We insert a spatial block containing a GCN layer and a skip connection after the middle block. The reason is that the image features include a lot of detailed information at the original resolution.

(7)

When the latent embedding N reaches the spatial block of GUNet, we rearrange the dimensions of $N \in \mathbb{R}^{bs \times K_{MID} \times S_{MID} \times S_{MID}}$ to $N \in \mathbb{R}^{K_{MID} \times S_{MID} \times S_{MID}}$ to facilitate smooth graph convolution computation. The rearranged latent embedding, which serves as the feature for the node set in the graph structure, is then fed into the graph convolution layer. The graph convolution can be formulated as shown in Eq.8.

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}\left(\bar{N}\right) = \sigma\left(\Phi\left(A_{gcn}, N\right)W\right) \tag{8}$$

where $W \in \mathbb{R}^{bs \times bs}$ denotes the trainable parameters, σ is the activation function, and $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the rule aggregation function that determines how neighbouring features are aggregated into the target node. In this function we directly use the form in the most popular vanilla GCN, defining a symmetric normalised summation function as $\Phi(A_{gcn}, N) = A_{gcn}N$, where $A_{gcn} = D^{-\frac{1}{2}}(A+I)D^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{V \times V}$ is the normalised adjacency matrix of the graph \mathcal{G} , I is the unit array, and D is the diagonal matrix, where D = (A + I). The output of the GraphConv layer is then summed with its input to achieve the skip connection, ensuring the stability of the features. Finally, the positions of bs and K_{MID} in $N \in \mathbb{R}^{K_{MID} \times bs \times S_{MID} \times S_{MID}}$ are exchanged again to produce the output of the spatial module, which is then upsampled by GUNet to generate the predicted heatmap.

4 EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we discuss the experimental design and its results. We selected two baseline models
based on GAN(Zhang et al., 2021): WGAN-LP Regression (for regression prediction) and WGANLP (for heatmap prediction). In addition, we included several baseline models proposed in this paper,
including SD1.5-T2Pose(Rombach et al., 2022) with full fine-tuning, PoseAdapter adapted from
IPAdapter(Ye et al., 2023), and UNet-T2H, i.e., GUNet without GCNs. We provide a comprehensive
comparison of these models with the GUNet proposed in this paper. The dataset, baseline models,
and the qualitative and quantitative results are presented separately below.

324 4.1 DATASET & BASELINE MODELS 325

We use the COCO (Lin et al., 2014) to train and evaluate our models. This dataset contains over 100k annotated images of everyday scenes, each accompanied by five natural language descriptions. Among these, about 16k images feature a single person, with each person annotated by the coordinates of 17 keypoints. To ensure data quality, we filtered approximately 12k single-person images, selecting only those with at least 8 visible keypoints. For each image, one of the five descriptions was randomly selected as the image's label, forming image-text pairs. These pairs were then divided into training and validation sets with a 4:1 ratio.

Our baseline models come from three main sources. First, we fine-tuned Stable Diffusion in two ways: SD1.5-T2P and PoseAdapter, which introduces a pose coding layer. Second, we modified GUNet by removing the graph convolutional neural network layer, resulting in a model we denote as UNet-T2H, where the human posture skeleton is represented as a set of heatmaps. Third, we included two models based on WGAN, focusing on different methods of human posture skeleton representation: WGAN-LP for heatmap prediction and WGAN-LP R for coordinate regression prediction.

The experimental results of the baseline model will be discussed in Sections 4.2 and 4.3.

341 4.2 QUALITATIVE RESULTS342

340

346

353

358 359 360

361 362

364

365 366 367

368

We conducted a series of generative experiments using the above models. We take five text descriptions randomly from the validation set, including common daily actions such as ride, stand, sit, fly a kite, etc., and then compare these generated skeletons with ground truth.



Figure 5: Some qualitative poses generated by the model, using the ground truth pose in the first line as a reference.

The results in Fig.5 show that the human pose skeleton generated by our GUNet (second row of Fig. 5) conforms to the given textual description. And, our results show fewer misplaced keypoints and disproportionate skeletons than other baseline models.

To demonstrate the effectiveness of introducing the spatial relationship of the human skeleton, we compare the results of GUNet (the second row of Fig.5) with those of UNet-T2H (the fourth row of Fig. 5). The UNet-T2H results exhibit clear proportion issues, such as the arms being noticeably longer than normal in the first and second poses of the fourth row, whereas GUNet's results do not have this issue.

To verify the superior performance of the diffusion model compared to GAN, we compare the results of GUNet (the second row of Fig. 5) with those of WGAN-LP (the third row of Fig. 5). It can be seen that the GUNet results are more uniform and coordinated in terms of the distribution of the torso and limbs, such as those generated in the second column, while the poses generated by WGAN-LP are more localised, which is not conducive for subsequent models such as ControlNet to analyse the semantics of the poses.

To evaluate the diversity of human pose skeletons generated by different models, we selected two different scenarios of action descriptions and used each model to generate five human pose skeletons. Since it was demonstrated in the previous paragraph that the model without skeleton connection information significantly underperforms the model with skeleton connection information, we simplify the experiments by using only GUNet, WGAN-LP and PoseAdapter for generation.



Figure 6: Comparison of the diversity of poses generated by different models.

The results in Fig. 6 show that the pose skeletons generated by WGAN-LP and PoseAdapter exhibit high similarity under the same textual description, i.e., not much of the change in the main skeleton, whereas the pose skeletons generated by GUNet displays greater diversity and variability.



Figure 7: Comparison of the aesthetics of different model-generated poses combined with Controlnet to generate real images.

432 Finally, to verify the effect of the quality of the human pose skeleton on ControlNet-generated images, 433 we combined pose skeletons generated by different models with ControlNet to generate images via 434 stable diffusion. As can be seen in Fig.7, in WGAN-LP, the poses of the characters often do not match 435 the pose skeletons. It is necessary to point out here that the images do not exactly follow the structure 436 of the pose images that are referenced, as mentioned in Zhang et al. (2023). However, images from WGAN-LP are prone to errors such as multiple arms, which reflects that the pose skeleton generated 437 by WGAN-LP deviates from the real pose distribution and is not coordinated enough as a whole. 438 On the contrary, we introduced the human skeleton information in GUNet and PoseAdapter, which 439 makes the pose of the characters in images generated by ControlNet match well with the skeleton 440 generated by GUNet and PoseAdapter. 441

442 443

4.3 QUANTITATIVE RESULTS

The previous section qualitatively analysed the performance of different models by observing the
 results generated by the models. In this section, we will design a series of experiments for quantitative
 evaluation to further validate our conclusions.

448 To validate the accuracy and diversity of model-449 generated poses, this paper uses MSE and variance as quantitative assessment metrics. Since 450 models based on Stable Diffusion fine-tuning gen-451 erate pose images directly without first generating 452 intermediate state keypoints, we calculated MSE 453 and variance for four models: GUNet, UNet-T2H, 454 WGAN-LP, and WGAN-LP R. First, we gener-455 ated 10 pairs of human posture skeletons for the 456 natural language descriptions in the validation set 457 with these models and then compared the coordi-458 nates of each keypoint of the generated posture 459 skeletons with the corresponding ground truth to 460 calculate MSE, taking the average value as the ac-461 curacy score. Second, we calculated the variance between the coordinates of these 10 pairs of pos-462

ture skeletons and took the average value on the validation set as the diversity metric. The experimental results are shown in the first and second columns of Table. 1, where GUNet excels in both MSE and variance metrics, achieving the smallest MSE and the largest variance, representing the highest stability and diversity achieved.

Table 1: Quantitative result 1

METHODS	MSE	WAR
GUNet(Ours)	262.2	244.5
UNet-T2H	278.4	233.4
WGAN-LP	286.9	172.2
WGAN-LP R	290.8	131.2

To compare the aesthetic scores of different model-generated pose skeletons and ControlNet-generated images combined in Stable Diffusion, we employ Hpsv2(Wu et al., 2023) as a quantitative evaluation tool and invite users to make preference choices through a blind selection evaluation. Hpsv2 is a state-of-the-art benchmarking framework for evaluating text-to-image generative models based on the large-scale human preference dataset HPDv2, designed to accurately predict human preferences for generating images.

409

481

463

As shown in Table. 2, we performed Hpsv2 471 on GUNet, WGAN-LP, and PoseAdapter with 472 scores of 0.2535, 0.2446, and 0.2561, respec-473 tively. These results are consistent with the intu-474 ition from the qualitative evaluation in Sec.4.2, 475 where the images generated by diffusion-based 476 models have higher aesthetic scores. This further 477 reflects that with the introduction of human pose skeleton information, the generated pose skele-478 tons are closer to real human beings, and perform 479 better in guiding the generation of real-life im-480

ages. WGAN-LP, on the other hand, does not introduce human pose information and thus performs poorly in the guidance.

Table 2: Quantitative result 2

METHODS	HPSV2	PP	PI
GUNet(Ours)	0.2535	43%	31%
WGAN-LP	0.2446	7%	22%
PoseAdapter	0.2561	50%	47%

In the blind selection evaluation, we designed two experiments for posture skeleton and ControlNetgenerated pictures. In pose skeleton part, we generate pose skeletons for a batch of pose description texts and ask the users to choose the one that most closely resembles a real human being, as well as the most aesthetically pleasing skeleton. From the results in the second column of Table. 2, where PP and PI mean user preference for pose skeleton and ControlNet-generated images. PP scores

486 for different models are 43%, 7% and 50%, respectively. The scores of PoseAdapter and GUNet 487 are much higher than that of WGAN-LP, indicating that the diffusion-based model generates more 488 scientific and aesthetically pleasing pose skeletons from the user' point of view. In ControlNet part, 489 we generate real images using SD combined with ControlNet, and take the pose skeleton generated 490 as the references. Users are asked to choose the images that best match their reference pose skeleton, as well as the ones that are most aesthetically pleasing. As shown in Table. 2, the PI scores are 491 31%, 22% and 47%, respectively. Although GUNet is rated lower than PoseAdapter, overall, our 492 diffusion-based models far outperform WGAN-LP, which suggests that a scientifically aesthetically 493 pleasing skeleton is important for guiding the effectiveness of controllable image generation. 494

495 In addition, by analysing the difference between GUNet and PoseAdapter in terms of user preferences, 496 we conclude that PoseAdapter performs better in terms of compatibility with ControlNet and SD since it is a Stable Diffusion fine-tuned-based model. However, PoseAdapter has a fatal drawback 497 since it treats the pose skeleton as a holistically generated picture, resulting in its generation effect 498 being overly dependent on the quality of the training data, which cannot be further optimised by 499 decoupling the key points when generating misconnections. As a result, although PoseAdapter 500 performs well in ControlNet, the scope for future extensions and optimisations is extremely limited. 501 In contrast, GUNet benefits from the decoupling of key points, which makes it more advantageous 502 for multi-person pose generation and enhanced site-specific pose control tasks. 503

5 **CONCLUSIONS**

504

505 506

514

515 516

522

523 524

525

526

527

531

This paper focuses on text-driven 2D pose generation based on the diffusion model, and proposes a 507 PoseDiffusion architecture that includes GUNet, PoseAdapter and so on. GUNet combines UNet 508 with GCN to capture the spatial information of the pose. Extensive experiments and in-depth analyses 509 verify the effectiveness of the proposed framework in text-driven 2D human pose generation. In 510 addition, we also analyse the reasons for the differences in effectiveness presented by GUNet and 511 PoseAdapter, as well as the fact that GUNet's decoupled generation approach holds greater potential 512 in the direction of future multi-person pose generation. 513

FUTURE 6

Future work should further explore the task of multi-person pose generation and detail control. In 517 multi-person pose generation, the inability to distinguish the key points of different individuals leads 518 to unsatisfactory results in generating interactions such as holding hands or hugging. Therefore, the 519 decoupling feature of GUNet can be considered to solve this problem. Meanwhile, the decoupling 520 feature also has great potential for fine-grained control of poses. 521

REFERENCES

- Zhe Cao, Tomas Simon, Shih-En Wei, and Yaser Sheikh. Realtime multi-person 2d pose estimation using part affinity fields. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 7291-7299, 2017.
- Jacob Devlin. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. arXiv 528 preprint arXiv:1810.04805, 2018. 529
- 530 Prafulla Dhariwal and Alexander Nichol. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. Advances in neural information processing systems, 34:8780–8794, 2021. 532
- Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. Advances in 533 neural information processing systems, 33:6840–6851, 2020. 534
- Xuan Ju, Ailing Zeng, Chenchen Zhao, Jianan Wang, Lei Zhang, and Qiang Xu. Humansd: A native 536 skeleton-guided diffusion model for human image generation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF 537 International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 15988–15998, 2023. 538
- Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In Computer Vision-

551

565

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

578

579

580 581

582

583

584

540 ECCV 2014: 13th European Conference, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6-12, 2014, Proceedings, 541 Part V 13, pp. 740–755. Springer, 2014. 542

- Chong Mou, Xintao Wang, Liangbin Xie, Yanze Wu, Jian Zhang, Zhongang Qi, and Ying Shan. T2i-543 adapter: Learning adapters to dig out more controllable ability for text-to-image diffusion models. 544 In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, volume 38, pp. 4296–4304, 2024.
- 546 Alex Nichol, Prafulla Dhariwal, Aditya Ramesh, Pranav Shyam, Pamela Mishkin, Bob McGrew, 547 Ilya Sutskever, and Mark Chen. Glide: Towards photorealistic image generation and editing with 548 text-guided diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.10741, 2021. 549
- Alexander Quinn Nichol and Prafulla Dhariwal. Improved denoising diffusion probabilistic models. 550 In International conference on machine learning, pp. 8162–8171. PMLR, 2021.
- 552 Ozan Oktay, Jo Schlemper, Loic Le Folgoc, Matthew Lee, Mattias Heinrich, Kazunari Misawa, 553 Kensaku Mori, Steven McDonagh, Nils Y Hammerla, Bernhard Kainz, et al. Attention u-net: 554 Learning where to look for the pancreas. arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.03999, 2018. 555
- Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-556 resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 10684–10695, 2022. 558
- 559 Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical 560 image segmentation. In Medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention-MICCAI 561 2015: 18th international conference, Munich, Germany, October 5-9, 2015, proceedings, part III 562 18, pp. 234–241. Springer, 2015. 563
- Prasun Roy, Subhankar Ghosh, Saumik Bhattacharya, Umapada Pal, and Michael Blumenstein. Tips: Text-induced pose synthesis. In European Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 161–178. Springer, 2022. 566
 - Haomin Wen, Youfang Lin, Yutong Xia, Huaiyu Wan, Qingsong Wen, Roger Zimmermann, and Yuxuan Liang. Diffstg: Probabilistic spatio-temporal graph forecasting with denoising diffusion models. In Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems, pp. 1–12, 2023.
- Xiaoshi Wu, Yiming Hao, Keqiang Sun, Yixiong Chen, Feng Zhu, Rui Zhao, and Hongsheng Li. Human preference score v2: A solid benchmark for evaluating human preferences of text-to-image synthesis. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.09341, 2023. 574
- 575 Zhendong Yang, Ailing Zeng, Chun Yuan, and Yu Li. Effective whole-body pose estimation with 576 two-stages distillation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer 577 Vision, pp. 4210-4220, 2023.
 - Hu Ye, Jun Zhang, Sibo Liu, Xiao Han, and Wei Yang. Ip-adapter: Text compatible image prompt adapter for text-to-image diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.06721, 2023.
 - Xiangchen Yin, Donglin Di, Lei Fan, Hao Li, Chen Wei, Xiaofei Gou, Yang Song, Xiao Sun, and Xun Yang. Grpose: Learning graph relations for human image generation with pose priors. arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.16540, 2024.
- Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. Adding conditional control to text-to-image 585 diffusion models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, 586 pp. 3836–3847, 2023. 587
- 588 Yifei Zhang, Rania Briq, Julian Tanke, and Juergen Gall. Adversarial synthesis of human pose from 589 text. In Pattern Recognition: 42nd DAGM German Conference, DAGM GCPR 2020, Tübingen, 590 Germany, September 28–October 1, 2020, Proceedings 42, pp. 145–158. Springer, 2021. 591
- Xingran Zhou, Siyu Huang, Bin Li, Yingming Li, Jiachen Li, and Zhongfei Zhang. Text guided 592 person image synthesis. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 3663–3672, 2019.

594 595	Zongwei Zhou, Md Mahfuzur Rahman Siddiquee, Nima Tajbakhsh, and Jianming Liang. Unet++: A nested u-net architecture for medical image segmentation. In <i>Deep Learning in Medical Image</i>
596	Analysis and Multimodal Learning for Clinical Decision Support: 4th International Workshop,
597	DLMIA 2018, and 8th International Workshop, ML-CDS 2018, Held in Conjunction with MICCAI
598	2018, Granada, Spain, September 20, 2018, Proceedings 4, pp. 3–11. Springer, 2018.
599	2010, Oranaaa, opani, September 20, 2010, 110000000, 51, pp. 5-11. opiniger, 2010.
600	
601	
602	
603	
604	
605	
606	
607	
608	
609	
610	
611	
612	
613	
614	
615	
616	
617	
618	
619	
620	
621	
622	
623	
624	
625	
626	
627	
628	
629	
630	
631	
632	
633	
634	
635	
636	
637	
638	
639	
640	
641	
642	
643	
644	
645	
646	
647	