Brain Artery Segmentation for Structural MRI

Bertram Sabrowsky-Hirsch1BERTRAM.SABROWSKY-HIRSCH@RISC-SOFTWARE.ATAhmed Alshenoudy1AHMED.ALSHENOUDY@RISC-SOFTWARE.ATStefan Thumfart1STEFAN.THUMFART@RISC-SOFTWARE.ATMichael Giretzlehner1MICHAEL.GIRETZLEHNER@RISC-SOFTWARE.AT1 Unit Medical Informatics, RISC Software GmbH, Hagenberg, Austria

Josef Scharinger² ² Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria JOSEF.SCHARINGER@JKU.AT

Abstract

The visualization of brain arteries in neuroimaging scans is essential for evaluating neurological disorders effectively. In this paper, we propose a deep learning-based method for the segmentation of brain arteries in structural MR images (sMRI), where their delineation poses a significant challenge due to the lack of contrast. Our fully automated strategy leverages two modules: one for generating pseudo labels from angiographic MR images and another for pairing these labels with five distinct sMRI sequences. The process enables us to construct a large dataset of 2626 labelled images for 669 patients used to train our segmentation model. In our experiments, our model achieved an average Dice Similarity Coefficient (DSC) of 0.66 across all sMRI around the central Circle of Willis structure in a 5-fold cross validation. We outline our results for each evaluated sMRI sequence, out of which we identify PD with a DSC of 0.7 as the best alternative to angiographic images. **Keywords:** artificial intelligence, segmentation, brain arteries, sMRI

1. Introduction

Neurological disorders are often caused or directly intertwined with cerebrovascular diseases. If available, angiographic images such as the time-of-flight MR angiography (TOF) are generally preferable for the assessment of arteries due to their superior contrast and hence have been the subject of extensive research concerning automatic segmentation methods. However, the accurate delineation of arteries within structural MR images (sMRI) opens possibilities for a comprehensive assessment that includes the surrounding brain tissue. In that regard, works such as (Lee et al., 2019) have shown that sMRI are suitable for the assessment of brain arteries in the clinical practice. Furthermore, (Verleger et al., 2014) proposed a masked registration approach to significantly improve the alignment of brain arteries in SMRI we aim to similarly improve the alignment of sMRI and TOF images, primarily for accurate image fusion.

In our previous work (Sabrowsky-Hirsch et al., 2024b), we have shown that it is possible to automatically segment large brain arteries around the Circle of Willis (CoW) in sMRI and further annotate four circulatory regions in the arterial tree (left, right, anterior and posterior). However, this approach had the following limitations: It relied on automatically generated ground-truth annotations, which were limited to larger brain arteries, and was inhibited by the registration method (Klein et al., 2010) used to align the TOF and sMRI, which required meticulous supervision as it would frequently converge to a poor alignment. We recently addressed the alignment problem through a robust geometric registration method (Sabrowsky-Hirsch et al., 2024a), in which we use annotated circulatory regions to compute accurate alignments.

In this paper, we propose an automated strategy to overcome the limitations of our previous approach: We use pseudo labels predicted based on gold-standard segmentations of brain arteries and improve the alignment of these labels with the sMRI through our geometry-based registration method. The process can be automatically applied to new data to retrain and improve the resulting segmentation model.

2. Methodology

Our experiments are based on a large collection of MR data outlined in Figure 1(a), which comprises four public datasets. Three are subsets of the IXI dataset¹ collected at three different institutions (GUYS, HH and IOP), and the TubeTK dataset² (TTK). Available MR sequences are TOF, PD, T1, T2, T1-Flash (FL) and T1-MPRage (MPR).

Figure 1(b) outlines our method, which combines two separate modules for segmentation and registration to prepare the final dataset D used for training and evaluation of our model M_C . The segmentation module employs an nnU-Net (Isensee et al., 2021) model M_A trained on publicly available gold-standard ground-truth for 45 patients of IXI (Chen et al., 2022) and 42 patients of TTK (Aylward and Bullitt, 2002), on which it achieved an average Dice Similarity Coefficient (DSC) of 0.82 in a 5-fold cross validation. The model was used to predict pseudo labels L for all TOF images. The registration module employs our previous model for the annotation of large brain arteries M_B (Sabrowsky-Hirsch et al., 2024b) to annotate the TOF and sMRI. The annotated vasculature was then aligned utilizing our geometry-based registration method (Sabrowsky-Hirsch et al., 2024a). The resulting transformations were finally used to warp and resample the sMRI to the space of the TOF images. In the final step, the TOF and the resampled \overline{sMRI} together with the pseudo labels L were used to train an nnU-Net model M_C for the segmentation of brain arteries in all available MR sequences.

1. http://brain-development.org/ixidataset/

^{2.} https://public.kitware.com/Wiki/TubeTK/Data



Figure 1: Overview of datasets and MR sequences (left) and our proposed method (right).

Region	Dataset	TOF	$^{\rm PD}$	T1	T2	MPR	\mathbf{FL}
Full	All	$0.91{\pm}0.06$	$0.57{\pm}0.06$	$0.49 {\pm} 0.05$	$0.53 {\pm} 0.06$	$0.46 {\pm} 0.06$	$0.40{\pm}0.05$
	Guys	$0.93 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.59{\pm}0.05$	$0.49 {\pm} 0.05$	$0.55 {\pm} 0.05$	-	-
	HH	$0.94{\pm}0.01$	$0.56{\pm}0.06$	$0.52{\pm}0.04$	$0.51{\pm}0.06$	-	-
	IOP	$0.86{\pm}0.04$	$0.55 {\pm} 0.04$	$0.43{\pm}0.04$	$0.53{\pm}0.05$	-	-
	TTK	$0.82{\pm}0.08$	-	-	$0.48{\pm}0.06$	$0.46{\pm}0.06$	$0.40{\pm}0.05$
CoW	All	$0.94{\pm}0.04$	$0.70{\pm}0.06$	$0.64{\pm}0.06$	$0.67 {\pm} 0.07$	$0.61{\pm}0.08$	$0.58 {\pm} 0.06$
	Guys	$0.95{\pm}0.01$	$0.71{\pm}0.07$	$0.64{\pm}0.07$	$0.69 {\pm} 0.07$	-	-
	HH	$0.96{\pm}0.01$	$0.69{\pm}0.06$	$0.67 {\pm} 0.04$	$0.66{\pm}0.06$	-	-
	IOP	$0.91{\pm}0.02$	$0.70 {\pm} 0.04$	$0.61{\pm}0.05$	$0.68{\pm}0.04$	-	-
	TTK	$0.87 {\pm} 0.07$	-	-	$0.60 {\pm} 0.07$	$0.61 {\pm} 0.08$	$0.58 {\pm} 0.06$

Table 1: DSC for each sMRI sequence, outlining different datasets and regions, respectively.

3. Results and Discussion

We trained and evaluated the model in a 5-fold cross validation and report results in Table 1 and Figure 2. The DSC metric was evaluated in both, the full image region and a smaller region around the CoW, respectively. Most of the deviation from the ground-truth can be attributed to undersegmentation of smaller arteries in the sMRI, which is strongest outside the CoW region. Larger vessels are accurately detected across all sMRI. This observation can be partially explained by the generally lower resolution of the sMRI, which inhibits the detection of smaller vessels. The PD sequence yielded the best results followed by T2.

Conclusion: We proposed a novel method for the segmentation of brain arteries in sMRI, which extends our previous work on the topic. Using our method, new data can be automatically processed to extend the training dataset and further improve the model. In our next steps we plan to further refine the alignment of arteries through a non-linear registration step. Details on our registration method as well as an upcoming in-depth publication will be shared with our evaluation code at: github.com/risc-mi/cerebral-artery-segmentation.



Figure 2: Visualizations and DSC scores for the full (top) and CoW region (bottom) of examples for each MR sequence. Oversegmentation is shown in magenta and undersegmented ground-truth in light-blue.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the FFG (Austrian Research Promotion Agency) under the grant 872604 (MEDUSA) and research subsidies granted by the government of Upper Austria. RISC Software GmbH is a member of UAR (Upper Austrian Research) Innovation Network.

References

- S.R. Aylward and E. Bullitt. Initialization, noise, singularities, and scale in height ridge traversal for tubular object centerline extraction. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 21(2):61–75, 2002. doi: 10.1109/42.993126.
- Ying Chen, Darui Jin, Bin Guo, and Xiangzhi Bai. Attention-assisted adversarial model for cerebrovascular segmentation in 3d tof-mra volumes. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 41(12):3520–3532, 2022. doi: 10.1109/TMI.2022.3186731.
- Fabian Isensee, Paul F Jaeger, Simon AA Kohl, Jens Petersen, and Klaus H Maier-Hein. nnu-net: a self-configuring method for deep learning-based biomedical image segmentation. Nature methods, 18(2):203–211, 2021. doi: 10.1038/s41592-020-01008-z.
- Stefan Klein, Marius Staring, Keelin Murphy, Max A. Viergever, and Josien P. W. Pluim. elastix: A toolbox for intensity-based medical image registration. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 29(1):196–205, 2010. doi: 10.1109/TMI.2009.2035616.
- Mi Ji Lee, Soohyun Cho, Jihoon Cha, Seonwoo Kim, Sung Tae Kim, Oh Young Bang, Chin-Sang Chung, Kwang Ho Lee, and Gyeong-Moon Kim. Visualization of basilar artery atherosclerotic plaques by conventional t2-weighted magnetic resonance imaging: A casecontrol study. *Plos one*, 14(2):e0212570, 2019. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0212570.
- Bertram Sabrowsky-Hirsch, Ahmed Alshenoudy, Josef Scharinger, Matthias Gmeiner, and Stefan Thumfart. Robust multi-modal registration of cerebral vasculature. In *Medical Image Understanding and Analysis*, Cham, 2024a. Springer Nature Switzerland. Accepted for publication.
- Bertram Sabrowsky-Hirsch, Philipp Moser, Stefan Thumfart, and Josef Scharinger. Segmentation and anatomical annotation of cerebral arteries in non-angiographic mri. In *Proceedings of the 2023 6th International Conference on Digital Medicine and Image Processing*, DMIP '23, page 74–81, New York, NY, USA, 2024b. Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9798400709425. doi: 10.1145/3637684.3637696.
- Tobias Verleger, Michael Schönfeld, Dennis Säring, Susanne Siemonsen, Jens Fiehler, and Nils Daniel Forkert. Aligning 3d time-of-flight mra datasets for quantitative longitudinal studies: evaluation of rigid registration techniques. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 32(10): 1390–1395, 2014. ISSN 0730-725X. doi: 10.1016/j.mri.2014.08.011.