Predicting Long-Term Human Behaviors in Discrete Representations via Physics-Guided Diffusion



Figure 1. We propose a reachability-guided diffusion model (Left) for generating long-term human behaviors. Our model works in the discrete action space (Right). We visualize a few examples of learned discrete actions from continuous trajectory space using VQ-VAEs. Visualization is done using the SSN 3D virtual human platform [3].

Abstract

Long-term human trajectory prediction is a challenging yet critical task in robotics and autonomous systems. Prior work that studied how to predict accurate short-term human trajectories with only unimodal features often failed in longterm prediction. Reinforcement learning provides a good solution for learning human long-term behaviors but can suffer from challenges in data efficiency and optimization. In this work, we propose a long-term human trajectory forecasting framework that leverages a guided diffusion model to generate diverse long-term human behaviors in a highlevel latent action space, obtained via a hierarchical action quantization scheme using a VQ-VAE to discretize continuous trajectories and the available context. The latent actions are predicted by our guided diffusion model, which uses physics-inspired guidance at test time to constrain generated multimodal action distributions. Specifically, we use reachability analysis during the reverse denoising process to guide the diffusion steps toward physically feasible latent actions. We evaluate our framework on two publicly available human trajectory forecasting datasets: SFU-Store-Nav and JRDB, and extensive experimental results show that our

framework achieves superior performance in long-term human trajectory forecasting.

1. Introduction

The ability to anticipate future behavior is a remarkable yet common skill for humans. We can navigate naturally through complex environments and perform long-term planning. Having an agent that is capable of predicting realistic and plausible human behaviors is essential to human-robot interaction and autonomous systems [32, 44]. Such prediction ability could not only benefit the decisionmaking of an autonomous system, but also generate highquality data that mimics human behaviors. However, creating such an agent has been a challenging problem in the domain of machine learning and robotics.

Reinforcement learning (RL) has been widely studied in behavior learning, but can also be problematic due to reward constraints [37, 66], data limitations [61], high costs of sample complexity [13, 33] and relatively short-horizon behaviors [16, 23]. Imitation learning (IL) is a type of offline RL [25], where an agent is trained to mimic the actions

from an offline human demonstration dataset. IL has shown promising performance in autonomous driving [7], robotics [14], and game-playing [38] on imitating human behaviors. However, IL faces challenges in producing diverse distributions and long-term predictions with only mean squared error (MSE) as the optimization objective. In this work, we seek to model a diverse vet realistic human agent's behavior distribution for long-term trajectory prediction. While an agent often faces an uncountable set of states in the continuous trajectory space, our key insight is that by tokenizing the continuous trajectory space, generative models can better capture the multimodality of the distribution while avoiding the curse of dimensionality. We design a hierarchical action quantization (HAQ) scheme based on vector quantized variational autoencoder (VQ-VAE) [54] to learn the mapping between the continuous trajectory space and discrete latent action space. We then apply imitation learning in the discrete latent action space.

There are several recent advances in generating human behaviors with discrete representations [11, 28, 29, 45, 64], mostly based on autoregressive models using transformers [55]. These autoregressive models have two major drawbacks in the imitation learning setting. First, the learned policy with a distribution shift at test time could cause the agent to drift away from optimal states [41]. Second, the autoregressive model only predicts one token at a time, making it slow in generating long sequences [8]. Recently, diffusion models have been studied to imitate human behaviors [39, 59] or robot actions [9], showing a strong capability of modeling complex action distributions and a stable training process.

In this paper, we use a denoising diffusion probabilistic model (DDPM) [19] with the analog bit approach [8] to achieve accurate long-term human trajectory prediction. Our proposed diffusion model takes states (motion history, environmental information) as the conditional input and samples future discrete latent actions, which are learned from our hierarchical VQ-VAEs. We hypothesize that diffusion models as expressive generative models, with an efficient discrete representation of continuous trajectory space, can generate plausible human behaviors in long-horizon. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work to generate discrete latent human actions with diffusion models. Furthermore, we propose reachability guidance to improve the physical feasibility of sampled trajectories. Our reachability guidance does not require the training of an extra classifier in the pipeline which could be cumbersome [18]. We demonstrate that with the proposed physics-guided diffusion policy, our approach significantly improves the performance in long-term human trajectory prediction tasks.

To summarize, our contribution is threefold. (1) We propose a simple yet efficient approach using a hierarchical VQ-VAE to quantize a continuous human trajectory space

to a discrete set of actions. Our discrete representations capture the multimodality of behaviors and enable the use of IL methods to generate long-term realistic human behaviors. (2) We present a novel paradigm where we model future human behavior distributions in discrete action space using a denoising diffusion probabilistic model (DDPM)-based behavior generator. (3) We introduce reachability guidance: an intuitive physics-inspired guidance that incorporates the laws of physics and safety into the denoising process, allowing our model to generate physically feasible human behaviors (Figure 1).

2. Related Work

2.1. Off Policy Learning

Off-policy learning has proven to be effective in trajectory generation. Offline imitation learning (IL) [4, 10, 34, 66] has emerged as a popular method for learning human actions from pre-collected datasets. When the reward information is available, offline reinforcement learning (RL) [15, 22, 25, 60] has also been explored for learning human actions. However, IL, as a form of supervised learning, often assumes a unimodal action distribution [24] that rarely holds in real-world datasets. To address this issue, positive unlabeled learning [58] is used to focus learning on the expert part of the dataset, thereby reducing the modality in the learning process, and behaviour transformers [45] use transformers with k-means clustering to model multiple modes of human behaviors. In addition, most existing IL methods suffer from covariant shift when applied to online trajectory prediction, limiting the effectiveness in long-term prediction [5, 35, 43, 44]. Online RL has been integrated with IL to address distribution shift through a closed-loop learning fashion [27] but it requires extensive online training time.

2.2. Discretizing continuous action space

Although real-world human actions occur in a continuous space, directly learning continuous actions from a limited pre-collected dataset often results in unsatisfactory performance [29]. Therefore, action space discretization has been investigated while avoiding the exponential growth of the state dimension. One common approach treats each action dimension as independent [6, 50, 51, 57], while an alternative approach is sequential discretization through learned causal dependence [31, 52, 53]. Leveraging human demonstration for action quantization has also been proven effective in generating a discrete set of reasonable actions [11]. Recently, vector quantized variational autoencoders (VQ-VAE) have been adopted for off-policy learning [51], resulting in a small discrete action space while improving the learning performance. Furthermore, action discretization plays an important role in hierarchical learning methods to help identify sub-goals and facilitate action generation over long horizons [21].

2.3. Diffusion Models

Diffusion models [46, 48] are a class of generative models that are designed to sample from complex distributions through a reverse stochastic differential equation (SDE) process. Later on, the development of denoising diffusion probabilistic models (DDPM) [19] and denoising diffusion implicit models (DDIM) [47] showed remarkable efficacy in image generation tasks. To enhance the conditional input in the generation process, classifier guidance [12] and classifier-free guidance [18] are introduced. Moreover, diffusion models also demonstrate their exceptional ability to sample behaviors or trajectories from multimodal distributions in the field of robotics [1, 9, 20, 39, 49]. With the attention mechanism first proposed in DDPM [19], diffusion models also show superior performance in modeling sequential correlation of the data.

3. Background

3.1. Problem Formulation

Our objective is to learn a robust policy that could generate long-term plausible human behaviors given the current and previous states in the last H time steps. In this paper, we are particularly interested in human navigational behaviors. We aim to generate a long-term future trajectory for the target agent over the next Ttime steps. Formally, this means learning the future trajectory conditional distribution p(X|X, C), where X = $\{x^{t+1}, y^{t+1}, \cdots, x^{t+T}, y^{t+T}\}$ represents the future trajectory, $X = \{x^{t-H}, y^{t-H}, \cdots, x^t, y^t\}$ the past trajectory including the current state, and $C = \{c^{t-\hat{H}}, \cdots, c^t\}$ any other possible contextual observation features such as information related to the scene or the other nearby agents. We define the state of the agent at a given time t to be $s^{t} = (x^{t}, y^{t}, c^{t})$, with (x, y) representing position. A detailed time horizon is shown in Figure 2.

As mentioned earlier, we incorporate physics priors during the learning process to encourage feasible human behavior generation. To facilitate the use of the proposed control-theoretic methods, we use a dynamically extended Dubins Car to approximately model the dynamics of a human:

$$\dot{x} = v \cos \theta, \quad \dot{y} = v \sin \theta$$

$$\dot{v} = u_1, \quad \dot{\theta} = u_2 \tag{1}$$

where v is the speed of movement and θ is the orientation of the human. The state of the above system (x, y, v, θ) represents the augmented human state (ignoring the context c) used only for the purposes of incorporating physics priors. We define $\hat{u} = (u_1, u_2)$ as the control variables of our system, where u_1 is the acceleration, u_2 is the angular velocity.¹

3.2. Imitation Learning (IL)

Imitation learning constructs an optimal policy by mimicking a set of expert demonstrations, without knowing any reward function. Assume the agents have access to a dataset of expert demonstrations $\mathcal{D} = \{s^0, \hat{u}^0, \dots, s^T, \hat{u}^T\}$ produced by the expert policy π_β , the goal is to learn a policy π that imitates π_β . The simplest approach is through behavioral cloning (BC) where the policy is trained by maximizing the log-likelihood of actions, $\mathbb{E}_{s,\hat{u}\sim\mathcal{D}}[\log \pi(\hat{u}|s)]$.

3.3. Vector quantized variational autoencoder

In this work, we use the VQ-VAE [54] to tokenize continuous human trajectories. It comprises an encoder that maps observations onto a sequence of discrete latent variables and a decoder that reconstructs the observations from the discrete latent variables. The encoder E outputs a continuous embedding $E(\mathbf{s})$ from the input space $\mathbf{s} = \{s^{t-T_{vq}}, \dots, s^t\}$ and discretization is done by finding the index of the nearest prototype vector in the codebook \mathbf{e}_j to the encoder embedding $E(\mathbf{s})$ based on the distance, where $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$. The process can be described as:

Quantize(E(s)) =
$$\mathbf{e}_i$$
 where $i = \underset{j}{\arg\min} ||E(s) - \mathbf{e}_j||$
(2)

Let z denote the final quantized latent code for input s, i.e., $z = e_i$; the decoder D will reconstruct the original input s based on latent code z.² In addition to the reconstruction objective, a codebook loss and a commitment loss are added to move codebook vectors closer to the encoder embeddings. The overall optimization objective of VQ-VAE can be described as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{vq} = \|\mathbf{s} - D(\mathbf{z})\|_2^2 + \|sg[E(\mathbf{s})] - \mathbf{z}\|_2^2 + \beta \|sg[\mathbf{z}] - E(\mathbf{s})\|_2^2$$
(3)

where sg stands for the stop gradient operator and β is a hyper-parameter for the commitment loss. The parameters of the encoder and decoder are both optimized by this objective.

4. Method

Our goal is to generate diverse and feasible long-term human behaviors. The overall framework consists of three parts: Hierarchical Action Quantization, Action Diffusion Policy, and Reachability Guidance, which are illustrated in Figure 3. We consider a goal-oriented navigational problem

 $^{^{1}}$ Note that since Equation 1 is differentially flat, all other variables can be easily computed given (x^{t}, y^{t}) . 2 In practice, a collection of vectors are quantized and decoded in parallel.



Figure 2. Illustration of past horizon H and future horizon T in continuous trajectory space (Top). A discrete action \mathbf{a}^{τ} tokenizes all states in a period of T_{vq} in continuous trajectory space (Bottom).

where the human agent's objective is to navigate in the environment to reach a terminal state. In our proposed framework, our *Action Diffusion Policy* models a multimodal and high-level future action distribution p(A|S) conditioned on low-level past observations $S = \{X, C\}$, where A represents the predicted discrete latent action sequence in the future. Our *Hierarchical Action Quantization* maps each discrete latent action X. To incorporate physics information into the reverse diffusion process, we introduce the *Reachability Guidance*. We now explain the details of each component.

4.1. Hierarchical Action Quantization

Naturally, human actions can be represented with discrete representations. Previous works in human trajectory prediction [30, 44, 62] mostly represent human trajectories in continuous space. However, we aim to learn a contextaware discrete representation of human high-level actions by training a VQ-VAE model.

In contrast to the vanilla VQ-VAE, in this work we propose to formulate a hierarchical action quantization (HAQ) structure to produce the discrete latent code, inspired by [40]. The motivation behind this is that we want to capture the multimodality of human actions by modeling the context information c^t separately from $\{x^t, y^t\}$. In order to achieve this, we use a two-level hierarchical structure. The hierarchy contains a *top* level encoder E_{top} that learns the context information $C_{vq} = \{c^{t-T_{vq}}, \dots, c^t\}$, i.e. body pose; a *bottom* level encoder E_{top} that learns the trajectory information $X_{vq} = \{x^{t-T_{vq}}, y^{t-T_{vq}}, \dots, x^t, y^t\}$, and a HAQ decoder D that reconstructs the original input X_{vq} . The HAQ encoding process of the network can be written as:

$$\mathbf{h}_{\text{top}} = E_{\text{top}}(C_{vq}), \ \mathbf{a}_{\text{top}} = \text{Quantize}(\mathbf{h}_{\text{top}})$$
$$\mathbf{h}_{\text{bot}} = E_{\text{bot}}(X_{vq}, \mathbf{h}_{\text{top}}), \ \mathbf{a}_{\text{bot}} = \text{Quantize}(\mathbf{h}_{\text{bot}})$$
(4)

where **h** is the continuous latent variables obtained from the encoders, Quantize process is defined as in Equation 2, \mathbf{a}_{bot} is our final discrete action representation, and later we refer it as **a** for brevity. The overall network is optimized by the objective defined in Equation 3 for both *top* and *bot*- tom codebooks, with the reconstruction objective only applying to input X_{vq} . After training our VQ-VAE, we obtain a semantic-rich codebook that is conditioned on contextual information and we can represent a sequence of low-level continuous states with a discrete high-level action (see Figure 1(Right)).

4.2. Action Diffusion Policy

In this section, we introduce our diffusion policy under the imitation learning formulation. With a learned HAQ encoder, we can represent future continuous trajectory space \mathbb{X} in discrete space: $A = \{\mathbf{a}^1, \mathbf{a}^2, \cdots, \mathbf{a}^{\tau}\}$, where $\tau = T/T_{vq}$ (See Figure 2). Given previous continuous states S, we can represent a behavior cloning policy as $\pi(A|S) = p(A|S)$ (subsection 3.2). We apply a conditional diffusion model to the discrete latent action space, to model p(A|S). The behavior cloning policy $\pi(A|S)$ can be then optimized by the diffusion objective, which aims to sample A from the same distribution as D [59].

In this work, we adopt denoising diffusion probabilistic models (DDPMs) [19] as our behavior cloning policy. And to allow our diffusion policy to generate discrete actions, we use the *analog bits* approach from the bit diffusion model [8]. We start with a short introduction to diffusion models. Starting from a noisy discrete action sequence A_k , where $A_K \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$, a sequence of A_{K-1}, \dots, A_0 is predicted through K iterations of denoising steps, each with a decreasing level of noise until the "clean" output A_0 is formed. During training, which is also called forward diffusion process, noisy input is generated as $A_k = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_k}A + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_k}\epsilon$, for some variance schedule $\bar{\alpha}_k$, random noise $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$. A denoising network \mathcal{G}_{θ} is trained to predict the noise that was added to the input, conditioned on some past states S, by minimizing the following objective:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{DDPM},\theta} := \mathbb{E}_{S,A,k,\epsilon} \left[\left\| \mathcal{G}_{\theta} \left(S, A_k, k \right) - \epsilon \right\|_2^2 \right]$$
(5)

During the reverse diffusion process, which often refers to the sampling time, with the variance schedule parameters α and σ , the "cleaner" input is generated as follows:

$$A_{k-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_k}} \left(A_k - \frac{1 - \alpha_k}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_k}} \mathcal{G}_\theta\left(S, A, k\right) \right) + \sigma_k \epsilon \quad (6)$$

Discrete action sequence prediction. While DDPMs are often used in continuous space for image generation, we adopt the *analog bits* [8] method to generate discrete action sequences with the same continuous diffusion models. The *analog bits* approach is twofold: First, during training, discrete data are represented by bits and then cast into real numbers, which can be directly modeled by the DDPMs. We denote this process as *int2bit*, where in our case a discrete action a^{τ} from a codebook of size J (subsection 3.3) can be represented using $n = [\log_2 J]$ bits, as $\{0, 1\}^n$. Then



Figure 3. **Overview of our framework.** Hierarchical Action Quantization (HAQ) encoder learns a discrete representation of human behaviors. Our diffusion policy generates 6 discrete future actions conditioned on past observations. During each reverse denoising process, reachability guidance is used to enforce some physical constraints. The final output is a long-term future human trajectory reconstructed from discrete future actions using the HAQ decoder.

during sampling, we draw samples following the same procedures in DDPMs, and apply a simple thresholding before decoding back into discrete data. We denote this process as *bit2int*. The whole process can be described with the following:

$$A_0 = int2bit(A)$$
, forward diffusion (7)

$$A = bit2int(A_{k-1}), \text{ reverse diffusion}$$
(8)

4.3. Reachability Guidance

Given that a diffusion policy does not inherently have knowledge on fundamental physics laws, it is highly possible to generate human behaviors that are infeasible and clearly disobey the physics world. In this work, we apply a physical constraint during the diffusion process, which we call *reachability guidance*. The motivation is simple: every step of our diffusion process produces an intermediate sequence of discrete actions A_{k-1} , and by applying the reachability guidance, we could guide the diffusion process towards generating samples that are physically feasible. The complete procedure is shown below:

Reachability. Hamilton-Jacobi (HJ) reachability analysis is a formal method that can verify the performance and safety of a dynamic system [2]. Given the assumed dynamics of the human in Equation 1, we can compute a Backward Reachable Set (BRS) based on the discrete action \mathbf{a}^{τ} . The BRS represents the set of states such that the trajectories that start from this set can reach the given discrete action \mathbf{a}^{τ} (See Figure 1(Left)). To calculate the BRS, we first decode the discrete action \mathbf{a}^{τ} using HAQ decoder D to obtain the states $\{s^{t-T_{vq}}, \dots, s^t\}$ where $(x^t, y^t, \theta^t, v^t)$ can be derived from s^t , then the process can be written as:

$$S = \mathbf{BRS}(D(\mathbf{a}^{\tau})) \tag{9}$$

where S represents the possible positions that the agent could be located in order to feasibly reach the starting states

Algorithm 1 Reachability guided diffusion sampling

- **Input:** Past states S, denoising network \mathcal{G}_{θ} , denoising timestep k.
- **Output:** Denoised action A_0 .
- 1: $A_K \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$
- 2: **for** k from K to 0 **do**
- 3: $\mu, \Sigma \leftarrow \mathcal{G}(A_k, k, S)$
- 4: $A'_k \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ > Sample A'_k without guidance 5: Compute $p_\gamma(r|A'_k)$ > Reachability guidance 6: $\tilde{\mu} \leftarrow \mu + s \Sigma \nabla_{A'_k} \log p_\gamma(r|A'_k)$ > Compute the new
- mean 7: $A_{k-1} \sim \mathcal{N}(\tilde{\mu}, \Sigma) \triangleright$ Sample A_{k-1} with guidance 8: end for
- 9: return A_0
- in \mathbf{a}^{τ} , and **BRS** represents the mathematical calculation of the backward reachable set. For the sake of brevity, we will

the backward reachable set. For the sake of brevity, we will defer to [2] for readers interested in the details of reachability. Intuitively, the BRS should cover all the states from the previous discrete action: $D(\mathbf{a}^{\tau-1}) \subseteq S$ Thus, to determine whether an action is physically feasible, we can formulate a classification problem where:

$$p(\mathbf{a}^{\tau-1}) = \begin{cases} 1, & D(\mathbf{a}^{\tau-1}) \subseteq \mathcal{S} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(10)

Then the probability that a sequence of actions $A = \{\mathbf{a}^1, \mathbf{a}^2, \cdots, \mathbf{a}^{\tau}\}$ is physically feasible can be easily calculated as:

$$p_{\gamma}(r|A) = \frac{1}{\tau - 1} \sum_{\tau'=1}^{\tau - 1} p(\mathbf{a}^{\tau'})$$
(11)

More generally, we can write p_{γ}^{3} in term of any intermediate latent A_{k} , $p_{\gamma}(r|A_{k})$. For brevity, we leave out the

 $^{^{3}\}gamma$ does not represent network parameters here.

quantize operation as defined in Equation 7 in the reachability analysis process.

Guidance. Classifier guidance is a useful technique for improving diffusion models [12, 46, 48]. The process involves training an additional classifier with class labels on noisy inputs and using a classifier gradient to guide the diffusion sampling process. Similarly, one can also use the gradient of reachability "classification" to guide the diffusion process. We believe that this is a simple approach to force some physical constraint to the network, and only applied during the diffusion sampling time. Here we show a brief derivation on how to modify an unconditional reverse diffusion process $p_{\theta} (A_k | A_{k+1})$ to condition on the reachability analysis result $p_{\gamma} (r | A_k)$, where

$$p_{\theta,\gamma}\left(A_{k}|A_{k+1},r\right) = Zp_{\theta}\left(A_{k}|A_{k+1}\right)p_{\gamma}\left(r|A_{k}\right) \quad (12)$$

And Z is a normalizing constant. Recall that:

$$p_{\theta}\left(A_{k}|A_{k+1}\right) = \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma) \tag{13}$$

 $\log p_{\theta} \left(A_k | A_{k+1} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(A_k - \mu \right)^T \Sigma^{-1} \left(A_k - \mu \right) + C$ (14)

We can approximate $\log p_{\gamma}(r|A_k)$ using a Taylor expansion around $A_k = \mu$:

$$\log p_{\gamma} \left(r|A_{k} \right) \approx \log p_{\gamma} \left(r|A_{k} \right)|_{A_{k}=\mu} + \left(A_{k} - \mu \right) \nabla_{A_{k}} \log p_{\gamma} \left(r|A_{k} \right)|_{A_{k}=\mu}$$
(15)

Letting $g = \nabla_{A_k} \log p_{\gamma} (r|A_k)|_{A_k = \mu}$, we can derive an approximation of desired distribution:

$$\log \left(p_{\theta} \left(A_k | A_{k+1} \right) p_{\gamma} \left(r | A_k \right) \right) \approx -\frac{1}{2} \left(A_k - \mu \right)^T \Sigma^{-1} \left(A_k - \mu \right) + \left(A_k - \mu \right) g \qquad (16)$$

And finally:

$$\log p_{\theta,\gamma}\left(A_k | A_{k+1}, r\right) = \log p(z), z \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu + \Sigma g, \Sigma)$$
(17)

This derivation suggests that the conditional distribution can be approximated by shifting the mean of unconditional distribution by Σg . Following [12], a scale factor s is also added to the gradient calculation.

5. Experiments

We evaluate our methods on human trajectory forecasting on two publicly available datasets: SFU-Store-Nav (SSN) [65] and JRDB [56] datasets. Both datasets consist of real human trajectories with associated visual information. We sample both datasets at 3Hz and split the dataset into training, validation, and testing sets with proportions of 80%,



Figure 4. Comparison between different forecasting timesteps (T = 10, 20, 30) on SSN and JRDB datasets in terms of ADE. Our model is better at generating long-term future trajectories, while still maintaining comparable performance in short-term prediction.

5%, and 15% respectively. Following prior works in human trajectory forecasting [17, 44, 67], we use two error metrics to evaluate the generated human trajectories:

- Average Displacement Error (ADE): Mean l₂ distance between ground truth trajectories and generated trajectories.
- Final Displacement Error (FDE): l_2 distance between ground truth trajectories and generated trajectories at the end of time horizon T.

Since the models generate multimodal output, we report the minimum ADE and FDE from 20 randomly generated samples instead. In addition to these two commonly used metrics, we introduced two more metrics that are relatively new to the domain of human trajectory forecasting:

- Multimodality [64]: Mean l₂ distance between N pairs of generated trajectories under same input condition. We set N = 20.
- Goal Rate (M): Proportions of generated trajectories which reach at least M number of goals for the entire sequence. We let M = 1. (Only applicable to SSN dataset.) Note that we perform all evaluations on low-level representations. We always decode the generated discrete actions into continuous human trajectories and run evaluations.

5.1. Implementation Details

For our action quantization, the codebook size is set to 256 \times 128, where the number of discrete actions is 256, and the dimension of each action token is 128. We set $\beta = 1$ and $T_{vq} = 5$ for training. We use multilayer perceptrons (MLPs) as our HAQ encoders and decoder. The VQ-VAE network is optimized using AdamW [26] with a learning rate of 1e-6 and batch size of 128. For both SSN and JRDB datasets, visual images are extracted into 2D body pose features with dimensions of 50. Our discrete diffusion model has two variants: diffusion-MLP and diffusion-Transformer [39]. The diffusion-MLP is an MLP model with 3 hidden layers. And the diffusion-Transformer is a standard transformer [55] model with 2 encoder blocks and multi-head at-

Dataset	SSN			JRDB	
Methods	ADE/FDE \downarrow	MModality \uparrow	Goal Rate ↑	ADE/FDE \downarrow	MModality \uparrow
LSTM[67]	1.19/2.01	-	0.39	3.71/4.78	-
LSTM-CNN [67]	1.03/1.97	-	0.45	3.51/4.61	-
CVAE [30]	0.79/1.14	0.19	0.67	2.12/2.77	0.41
Transformer [62]	0.76/1.32	0.27	0.71	1.89/2.85	0.49
Diffusion-BC [39]	0.84/1.21	0.24	0.59	2.33/3.13	0.38
Transformer-discrete	0.73/1.16	0.21	0.76	1.91/2.81	0.33
Ours (MLP)	0.72/1.19	0.23	0.75	1.77/2.93	0.36
Ours (no guidance)	0.73/1.05	0.27	<u>0.87</u>	1.63/2.61	0.43
Ours	0.68/0.97	<u>0.25</u>	0.88	1.49/2.53	0.39

Table 1. **Quantitative comparison** of our method and baselines with T = 30. Our model achieves the best or second-best performances on all datasets. The first-best is highlighted by **bold**, and the second-best is highlighted by <u>underline</u>.

Method	$\begin{array}{c} \text{SSN} \\ \text{ADE/FDE} \downarrow \end{array}$	JRDB ADE/FDE↓
Transformer + VQVAE	0.79/1.39	1.93/2.83
Ours + VQVAE	0.77/1.20	1.72/2.74
Diffusion-BC	0.84/1.21	2.33/3.13
Diffusion-BC + guidance	0.75/1.17	2.01/2.89
Ours (Full)	0.68/0.97	1.49/2.53

Table 2. Ablation studies of quantization choice and reachability guidance on SSN and JRDB datasets.

	SSN		
# of BRS	ADE/FDE \downarrow	MModality \uparrow	
1 (v = 1.5)	0.73/1.03	0.27	
2 (v = 1, 1.5)	0.69/1.01	0.25	
3 (v = 0.5, 1, 1.5)	0.68/0.97	0.25	

Table 3. **Ablation study** of different number of reachable sets on SSN dataset.

tentions. We use 10 diffusion steps and square cosine noise scheduler [36]. The diffusion network is optimized with AdamW [26] with a learning rate of 1e-4. We calculate a set of backward reachable sets (BRS) based on different maximum traveling speed assumptions. We assume a maximum turn rate of 1 rad/s, and a maximum acceleration of 0.5 m/s². The maximum speed is set to be {0.5, 1, 1.5} m/s for SSN dataset, and {1, 2, 3} m/s for JRDB dataset. Reachable set calculation is done with the helperOC Matlab toolbox ⁴. Each learned discrete action represents 5 timesteps (1.5s). For evaluation, the observation length is set as 10 timesteps (3s) and we are predicting 6 future discrete actions, which

is 30 timesteps (9s).

5.2. Results

We seek to answer the following questions in our evaluation.

How does our model compare to prior work in long-term human trajectory forecasting? In Table 1, we compare our method to a large number of commonly used baselines. Our methods achieve the **best** ADE and FDE while maintaining a good level of diversity on both datasets. To further investigate our method's ability in long-term forecasting, we evaluate it across different forecasting horizons. In Figure 4, we evaluate from T = 10 (short-term) to T = 30 (long-term) and observe that as the forecasting horizon increases, our model significantly outperforms all other baselines. This result demonstrates that our model has superior performance in long-term human trajectory forecasting while still maintaining comparable performance in the short-term.

How does diffusion policy compare to the autoregressive model? We are curious how a diffusion model would perform against the autoregressive model when the inputs are discrete actions. Transformer-discrete is a variant of Transformer [62] which is trained with the same quantized actions as our method. As can be seen in Table 1, the variant Transformer-discrete outperforms the original model Transformer, suggesting the advantage of using discrete actions. Both our model and MLP-variant outperform the Transformer-discrete with lower ADE/FDE and higher Multimodality score, which indicates that the use of the discrete diffusion model is more effective in modeling a multimodal action distribution in discrete space.

How effective is the reachability guidance to the performance of our model? To understand how reachability guidance influences the performance of our model, we implement a variant of our model which does not use reachability guidance. In Table 1, both ADE and FDE increase

⁴https://github.com/HJReachability/helperOC

with the reachability guidance, but the multimodality score decreases. This suggests that there is a trade-off between physical feasibility and multimodality. We hypothesize that more diverse multimodal actions could lead to some states that are not physically feasible; our reachability guidance places a constraint on those states.

5.3. Ablation Study

We further perform an extensive ablation study to understand the contributions of individual components to our model performance. In Table 2, we investigate (1) the effect of our proposed hierarchical action quantization and (2) the performance of reachability guidance with the continuous diffusion model. First, we replace the hierarchical action quantization component with a simple VQ-VAE on Transformer-discrete and our method. We could see a significant decrease in performance on both datasets, indicating the importance of our hierarchical action quantization scheme. Then we integrate our reachability guidance with the continuous diffusion baseline: Diffusion-BC, resulting in a 12% performance increase. This highlights the flexibility and importance of the reachability guidance. Finally, our second ablation study Table 3 investigates the effect of the backward reachable set. As one would expect, calculating a more restrictive condition for the reachable sets can result in a slight improvement in terms of ADE and FDE with a little sacrifice in diversity. When there is only one BRS calculated with a very relaxed assumption, the model has a similar performance as the one without reachability guidance. Thus, a more carefully designed set of dynamic assumptions could maximize the performance of the reachability guidance.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we present our discrete diffusion framework that generates future human behaviors with physicsinspired guidance. Our goal is for robots to be able to imitate realistic and diverse human behaviors in the long term. To achieve this, we propose reachability guidance to enforce physical constraints during the diffusion process in discrete action space. The proposed reachability guidance can be used on any diffusion model without retraining. Experimental results on human trajectory forecasting datasets demonstrate the superior performance of our framework. The limitations of our framework include: the dynamics of humans, and assumptions for reachable set calculation. Recent developments in the diffusion models [42, 63] have shown promising results incorporating physics in the sampling process, we hope the proposed framework and reachability guidance could open up new directions for future work. We believe our framework is robust to other tasks. *e.g.*, autonomous driving, motion generation, etc, which are yet to be explored.

References

- Anurag Ajay, Yilun Du, Abhi Gupta, Joshua Tenenbaum, Tommi Jaakkola, and Pulkit Agrawal. Is conditional generative modeling all you need for decision-making? *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2211.15657, 2022. 3
- Somil Bansal, Mo Chen, Sylvia Herbert, and Claire J Tomlin. Hamilton-jacobi reachability: A brief overview and recent advances. In *CDC*, 2017. 5
- [3] Bronwyn Biro, Zhitian Zhang, Mo Chen, and Angelica Lim. The sfu-store-nav 3d virtual human platform for humanaware robotics. In *ICRA, Workshop on Long-term Human Motion Prediction*, 2021. 1
- [4] Mariusz Bojarski, Davide Del Testa, Daniel Dworakowski, Bernhard Firner, Beat Flepp, Prasoon Goyal, Lawrence D Jackel, Mathew Monfort, Urs Muller, Jiakai Zhang, et al. End to end learning for self-driving cars. arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.07316, 2016. 2
- [5] Yuning Chai, Benjamin Sapp, Mayank Bansal, and Dragomir Anguelov. Multipath: Multiple probabilistic anchor trajectory hypotheses for behavior prediction. arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.05449, 2019. 2
- [6] Yevgen Chebotar, Quan Vuong, Karol Hausman, Fei Xia, Yao Lu, Alex Irpan, Aviral Kumar, Tianhe Yu, Alexander Herzog, Karl Pertsch, et al. Q-transformer: Scalable offline reinforcement learning via autoregressive q-functions. In *CoRL*, 2023. 2
- [7] Dian Chen and Philipp Krähenbühl. Learning from all vehicles. In CVPR, 2022. 2
- [8] Ting Chen, Ruixiang Zhang, and Geoffrey Hinton. Analog bits: Generating discrete data using diffusion models with self-conditioning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.04202*, 2022. 2, 4
- [9] Cheng Chi, Siyuan Feng, Yilun Du, Zhenjia Xu, Eric Cousineau, Benjamin Burchfiel, and Shuran Song. Diffusion policy: Visuomotor policy learning via action diffusion. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.04137, 2023. 2, 3
- [10] Felipe Codevilla, Matthias Müller, Antonio López, Vladlen Koltun, and Alexey Dosovitskiy. End-to-end driving via conditional imitation learning. In *ICRA*, 2018. 2
- [11] Robert Dadashi, Léonard Hussenot, Damien Vincent, Sertan Girgin, Anton Raichuk, Matthieu Geist, and Olivier Pietquin. Continuous control with action quantization from demonstrations. arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.10149, 2021. 2
- [12] Prafulla Dhariwal and Alexander Nichol. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. *NeurIPS*, 2021. 3, 6
- [13] Yan Duan, Xi Chen, Rein Houthooft, John Schulman, and Pieter Abbeel. Benchmarking deep reinforcement learning for continuous control. In *ICML*, 2016. 1
- [14] Pete Florence, Corey Lynch, Andy Zeng, Oscar A Ramirez, Ayzaan Wahid, Laura Downs, Adrian Wong, Johnny Lee, Igor Mordatch, and Jonathan Tompson. Implicit behavioral cloning. In *CoRL*, 2022. 2
- [15] Justin Fu, Aviral Kumar, Ofir Nachum, George Tucker, and Sergey Levine. D4rl: Datasets for deep data-driven reinforcement learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.07219, 2020. 2

- [16] Shixiang Gu, Ethan Holly, Timothy Lillicrap, and Sergey Levine. Deep reinforcement learning for robotic manipulation with asynchronous off-policy updates. In *ICRA*, 2017. 1
- [17] Agrim Gupta, Justin Johnson, Li Fei-Fei, Silvio Savarese, and Alexandre Alahi. Social gan: Socially acceptable trajectories with generative adversarial networks. In *CVPR*, 2018.
 6
- [18] Jonathan Ho and Tim Salimans. Classifier-free diffusion guidance. arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.12598, 2022. 2, 3
- [19] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *NeurIPS*, 2020. 2, 3, 4
- [20] Michael Janner, Yilun Du, Joshua B Tenenbaum, and Sergey Levine. Planning with diffusion for flexible behavior synthesis. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.09991, 2022. 3
- [21] Kalle Kujanpää, Joni Pajarinen, and Alexander Ilin. Hierarchical imitation learning with vector quantized models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.12962*, 2023. 3
- [22] Aviral Kumar, Aurick Zhou, George Tucker, and Sergey Levine. Conservative q-learning for offline reinforcement learning. *NeurIPS*, 2020. 2
- [23] Vikash Kumar, Emanuel Todorov, and Sergey Levine. Optimal control with learned local models: Application to dexterous manipulation. In *ICRA*, 2016. 1
- [24] Sergey Legine. Supervised learning of behaviors. https: //rail.eecs.berkeley.edu/deeprlcoursefa21/static/slides/lec-2.pdf. 2
- [25] Sergey Levine, Aviral Kumar, George Tucker, and Justin Fu. Offline reinforcement learning: Tutorial, review, and perspectives on open problems. arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.01643, 2020. 1, 2
- [26] Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. Decoupled weight decay regularization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05101*, 2017. 6, 7
- [27] Yiren Lu, Justin Fu, George Tucker, Xinlei Pan, Eli Bronstein, Rebecca Roelofs, Benjamin Sapp, Brandyn White, Aleksandra Faust, Shimon Whiteson, et al. Imitation is not enough: Robustifying imitation with reinforcement learning for challenging driving scenarios. In *IROS*, 2023. 2
- [28] Thomas Lucas, Fabien Baradel, Philippe Weinzaepfel, and Grégory Rogez. Posegpt: Quantization-based 3d human motion generation and forecasting. In *ECCV*, 2022. 2
- [29] Jianlan Luo, Perry Dong, Jeffrey Wu, Aviral Kumar, Xinyang Geng, and Sergey Levine. Action-quantized offline reinforcement learning for robotic skill learning. In *CoRL*, 2023. 2
- [30] Karttikeya Mangalam, Harshayu Girase, Shreyas Agarwal, Kuan-Hui Lee, Ehsan Adeli, Jitendra Malik, and Adrien Gaidon. It is not the journey but the destination: Endpoint conditioned trajectory prediction. In *ECCV*, 2020. 4, 7
- [31] Luke Metz, Julian Ibarz, Navdeep Jaitly, and James Davidson. Discrete sequential prediction of continuous actions for deep rl. arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.05035, 2017. 2
- [32] Reuth Mirsky, Ignacio Carlucho, Arrasy Rahman, Elliot Fosong, William Macke, Mohan Sridharan, Peter Stone, and Stefano V Albrecht. A survey of ad hoc teamwork: Definitions, methods, and open problems. In *EUMAS*, 2022. 1

- [33] Volodymyr Mnih, Koray Kavukcuoglu, David Silver, Andrei A Rusu, Joel Veness, Marc G Bellemare, Alex Graves, Martin Riedmiller, Andreas K Fidjeland, Georg Ostrovski, et al. Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. *nature*, 2015. 1
- [34] Nigamaa Nayakanti, Rami Al-Rfou, Aurick Zhou, Kratarth Goel, Khaled S Refaat, and Benjamin Sapp. Wayformer: Motion forecasting via simple & efficient attention networks. In *ICRA*, 2023. 2
- [35] Jiquan Ngiam, Benjamin Caine, Vijay Vasudevan, Zhengdong Zhang, Hao-Tien Lewis Chiang, Jeffrey Ling, Rebecca Roelofs, Alex Bewley, Chenxi Liu, Ashish Venugopal, et al. Scene transformer: A unified multi-task model for behavior prediction and planning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.08417, 2021. 2
- [36] Alexander Quinn Nichol and Prafulla Dhariwal. Improved denoising diffusion probabilistic models. In *ICML*, 2021. 7
- [37] Xinlei Pan, Yurong You, Ziyan Wang, and Cewu Lu. Virtual to real reinforcement learning for autonomous driving. arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.03952, 2017. 1
- [38] Tim Pearce and Jun Zhu. Counter-strike deathmatch with large-scale behavioural cloning. In *CoG*, 2022. 2
- [39] Tim Pearce, Tabish Rashid, Anssi Kanervisto, Dave Bignell, Mingfei Sun, Raluca Georgescu, Sergio Valcarcel Macua, Shan Zheng Tan, Ida Momennejad, Katja Hofmann, et al. Imitating human behaviour with diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.10677, 2023. 2, 3, 6, 7
- [40] Ali Razavi, Aaron Van den Oord, and Oriol Vinyals. Generating diverse high-fidelity images with vq-vae-2. Advances in neural information processing systems, 2019. 4
- [41] Siddharth Reddy, Anca D Dragan, and Sergey Levine. Sqil: Imitation learning via reinforcement learning with sparse rewards. arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.11108, 2019. 2
- [42] Davis Rempe, Zhengyi Luo, Xue Bin Peng, Ye Yuan, Kris Kitani, Karsten Kreis, Sanja Fidler, and Or Litany. Trace and pace: Controllable pedestrian animation via guided trajectory diffusion. In CVPR, 2023. 8
- [43] Nicholas Rhinehart, Rowan McAllister, Kris Kitani, and Sergey Levine. Precog: Prediction conditioned on goals in visual multi-agent settings. In CVPR, 2019. 2
- [44] Tim Salzmann, Boris Ivanovic, Punarjay Chakravarty, and Marco Pavone. Trajectron++: Dynamically-feasible trajectory forecasting with heterogeneous data. In ECCV 2020, 2020. 1, 2, 4, 6
- [45] Nur Muhammad Shafiullah, Zichen Cui, Ariuntuya Arty Altanzaya, and Lerrel Pinto. Behavior transformers: Cloning k modes with one stone. *NeurIPS*, 2022. 2
- [46] Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Eric Weiss, Niru Maheswaranathan, and Surya Ganguli. Deep unsupervised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics. In *ICML*, 2015. 3, 6
- [47] Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02502, 2020. 3
- [48] Yang Song, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Diederik P Kingma, Abhishek Kumar, Stefano Ermon, and Ben Poole. Score-based generative modeling through stochastic differential equations. arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.13456, 2020. 3, 6

- [49] Ajay Sridhar, Dhruv Shah, Catherine Glossop, and Sergey Levine. Nomad: Goal masked diffusion policies for navigation and exploration. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.07896, 2023. 3
- [50] Yunhao Tang and Shipra Agrawal. Discretizing continuous action space for on-policy optimization. In *AAAI*, 2020. 2
- [51] Arash Tavakoli, Fabio Pardo, and Petar Kormushev. Action branching architectures for deep reinforcement learning. In *AAAI*, 2018. 2
- [52] Arash Tavakoli, Mehdi Fatemi, and Petar Kormushev. Learning to represent action values as a hypergraph on the action vertices. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.14680*, 2020. 2
- [53] Chen Tessler, Guy Tennenholtz, and Shie Mannor. Distributional policy optimization: An alternative approach for continuous control. *NeurIPS*, 2019. 2
- [54] Aaron Van Den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, et al. Neural discrete representation learning. Advances in neural information processing systems, 2017. 2, 3
- [55] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. *NeurIPS*, 2017. 2, 6
- [56] Edward Vendrow, Duy Tho Le, Jianfei Cai, and Hamid Rezatofighi. Jrdb-pose: A large-scale dataset for multiperson pose estimation and tracking. In *CVPR*, 2023. 6
- [57] Nino Vieillard, Marcin Andrychowicz, Anton Raichuk, Olivier Pietquin, and Matthieu Geist. Implicitly regularized rl with implicit q-values. arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.07041, 2021. 2
- [58] Qiang Wang, Robert McCarthy, David Cordova Bulens, Kevin McGuinness, Noel E O'Connor, Francisco Roldan Sanchez, Nico Gürtler, Felix Widmaier, and Stephen J Redmond. Improving behavioural cloning with positive unlabeled learning. In *CoRL*, 2023. 2
- [59] Zhendong Wang, Jonathan J Hunt, and Mingyuan Zhou. Diffusion policies as an expressive policy class for offline reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.06193*, 2022. 2, 4
- [60] Yifan Wu, George Tucker, and Ofir Nachum. Behavior regularized offline reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.11361*, 2019. 2
- [61] Denis Yarats, Rob Fergus, Alessandro Lazaric, and Lerrel Pinto. Mastering visual continuous control: Improved data-augmented reinforcement learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.09645, 2021. 1
- [62] Ye Yuan, Xinshuo Weng, Yanglan Ou, and Kris M Kitani. Agentformer: Agent-aware transformers for socio-temporal multi-agent forecasting. In CVPR, 2021. 4, 7
- [63] Ye Yuan, Jiaming Song, Umar Iqbal, Arash Vahdat, and Jan Kautz. Physdiff: Physics-guided human motion diffusion model. In CVPR, 2023. 8
- [64] Jianrong Zhang, Yangsong Zhang, Xiaodong Cun, Shaoli Huang, Yong Zhang, Hongwei Zhao, Hongtao Lu, and Xi Shen. T2m-gpt: Generating human motion from textual descriptions with discrete representations. arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.06052, 2023. 2, 6
- [65] Zhitian Zhang, Jimin Rhim, Mahdi TaherAhmadi, Kefan Yang, Angelica Lim, and Mo Chen. Sfu-store-nav: A mul-

timodal dataset for indoor human navigation. *Data in Brief*, 2020. 6

- [66] Zhejun Zhang, Alexander Liniger, Dengxin Dai, Fisher Yu, and Luc Van Gool. End-to-end urban driving by imitating a reinforcement learning coach. In *CVPR*, 2021. 1, 2
- [67] Zhitian Zhang, Jimin Rhim, Angelica Lim, and Mo Chen. A multimodal and hybrid framework for human navigational intent inference. In *IROS*, 2021. 6, 7