EMPOWERING TEACHERS WITH ENHANCED KNOWLEDGE VIA VARIABLE SCALE DISTILLATION FRAMEWORK

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

Abstract

Knowledge distillation, a widely used model compression technique, enables a smaller student network to replicate the performance of a larger teacher network by transferring knowledge, typically in the form of softened class probabilities or feature representations. However, current approaches often fail to maximize the teacher's feature extraction capabilities, as they treat the semantic information transfer between teacher and student as equal. This paper presents a novel framework that addresses this limitation by enhancing the teacher's learning process through the Variable Scale Distillation Framework. Central to our approach is the Rescale Block, which preserves scale consistency during hierarchical distillation, allowing the teacher to extract richer, more informative features. In extensive experiments on the CIFAR100 dataset, our method consistently outperforms state-of-the-art distillation techniques, achieving an average accuracy improvement of 2.12%. This demonstrates the effectiveness of our approach in fully leveraging the teacher's capacity to guide the student, pushing the boundaries of knowledge distillation.

026 027 028

029

025

006

008 009 010

011

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

1 INTRODUCTION

Knowledge distillation, introduced by Hinton et al. (2015), revolutionized model compression by 031 showing how knowledge from a large teacher network can be distilled into a smaller student network. This process typically involves training a teacher network with extensive parameters and transferring 033 its soft logits to guide the training of the student network. This technique is commonly used in 034 various downstream fields, including object detection Chen et al. (2017); De Rijk et al. (2022), segmentation Liu et al. (2019), etc. Previous work has proposed multiple approaches, including distilling knowledge via feature-based methods Romero et al. (2014); Ahn et al. (2019); Chen et al. 037 (2022); Guo et al. (2023); Tian et al. (2019), logit-based methods Chen et al. (2020a); Hinton et al. (2015); Zheng et al. (2020); Mirzadeh et al. (2020), and relation-based methods Huang et al. (2022); Li et al. (2022); Park et al. (2019); Peng et al. (2019). Further advancements have integrated selfsupervised learning into the knowledge distillation framework Xu et al. (2020); Yang et al. (2021); 040 Lee et al. (2020), leveraging pretext tasks to enhance the distillation process and improve model 041 performance. 042

However, in previous work, both networks receive identical input, neglecting the teacher's stronger
feature extraction and under-utilizing its complex architecture and larger parameter set. To address
this limitation, our work presents a novel approach inspired by image super-resolution Ledig et al.
(2017). It leverages the teacher network's superior feature extraction capability by presenting it
with more detailed, fine-grained image input. Unlike previous methods, we propose Variable Scale
Distillation Framework, where the teacher network is trained on images of varying resolutions to
capture both high-level semantic features and finer details. This methodology allows the teacher
model to fully exploit its larger capacity without altering the original semantic content of the images.

Furthermore, we propose a Rescale Block that ensures scale consistency during hierarchical distilla tion. This is critical in maintaining the alignment of feature maps between teacher and student net works, addressing the common issue of feature mismatch when applying Variable Scale Distillation
 Framework. Different from most previous methods, our method incorporates multi-task training,

combining self-supervised learning with image classification. It enables the teacher model to aggregate knowledge from both tasks and impart it effectively to the student model. Our contributions are listed as follows:

- Variable Scale Distillation Framework: In this novel framework, we enable the teacher network to learn more fine-grained semantic features from high-resolution images, allowing the student model to absorb richer knowledge.
- **Rescale Block**: By maintaining scale consistency between teacher and student feature maps, we solve the feature mismatch problem, ensuring the effectiveness of knowledge transfer.
- **State-of-the-art Performance**: Our method consistently surpasses existing state-of-the-art approaches in tasks such as image classification, few-shot learning, and linear classification, showcasing its generalizability to other downstream applications.



Figure 1: In the Variable Scale Distillation Framework, the teacher network can learn more finegrained feature representations. When training the student network, the feature map processed by the Rescale Block can learn the teacher network's dark knowledge more comprehensively.

2 RELATED WORK

060

061

062

063

064 065

066

073

074

075 076 077

079

081 082

083

084

085

087 088

090

091

2.1 KNOWLEDGE DISTILLATION

092 Knowledge distillation trains a smaller network using the supervision signals from both ground truth labels and a larger network Gou et al. (2021). The seminal concept of knowledge distillation was introduced by Hinton et al. (2015), which achieved knowledge transfer through soft 094 probability distributions. When comparing our method with common state-of-the-art knowledge dis-095 tillation methods, FitNet Romero et al. (2014) proposes a method where the student network learns 096 the intermediate features of the teacher network to achieve better performance. AT Zagoruyko & Komodakis (2016b) improves the performance of a student CNN network by forcing it to mimic the 098 attention maps of a powerful teacher network. RKD Park et al. (2019) transfers mutual relations of data examples to the student network. CRD Tian et al. (2019) trains the student network to capture 100 significantly more information in the teacher's representation of the data. SSKD Xu et al. (2020) 101 demonstrates that the seemingly different self-supervision task in knowledge distillation can serve 102 as a simple yet powerful solution. HSAKD Yang et al. (2021) proposes appending several auxiliary 103 classifiers to hierarchical intermediate feature maps to generate diverse self-supervised knowledge 104 and perform the one-to-one transfer to thoroughly teach the student network. To summarize, while 105 these methods have advanced knowledge distillation by enhancing the transfer of knowledge, they often overlook the potential for leveraging diverse input transformations. In response to these lim-106 itations, our work enables the teacher network to provide richer, more comprehensive guidance to 107 the student network.



Figure 2: An overview of our method. Mathematical symbols appearing in the figure will be declared in this chapter later. The teacher network receives images in variable scales and then is trained through the constraints of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{T}}$. The student network receives the original size images and is equipped with Rescale Blocks to ensure scale consistency of hierarchical knowledge distillation. \mathcal{L}_{KD1-1}^{S} , \mathcal{L}_{KD1-2}^{S} , \mathcal{L}_{KD1-3}^{S} , \mathcal{L}_{KD2}^{S} , \mathcal{L}_{agg}^{S} jointly constrain the training of student networks.

2.2 Self-Supervised Learning

131 Self-supervised learning is a paradigm for learning visual features from large-scale unlabeled data 132 Jing & Tian (2020); Liu et al. (2021). It allows us to train deep neural networks without artifi-133 cial labels, providing useful representations for specific downstream tasks. For the pretext tasks 134 of self-supervised learning, many effective methods have been proposed in SimCLR Chen et al. 135 (2020b), including the restoration of the original image Pathak et al. (2016); Zhang et al. (2016); Kolesnikov et al. (2019); Noroozi & Favaro (2016); Gidaris et al. (2018b), and the classification of 136 original categories of processed images Dosovitskiy et al. (2016); Gidaris et al. (2018a); Misra et al. 137 (2016), among others. The color permutation and rotation in Lee et al. (2020) feed the network with 138 augmented images and force it to recognize the rotation angle and channel sorting order. During 139 the training of the student network, it has to understand the semantic information contained in the 140 image, thereby achieving better performance. While previous methods have significantly advanced 141 self-supervised learning to extract rich semantic features, our work integrates self-supervised tasks 142 directly into the knowledge distillation process, forming an aggregated task that combines both 143 classification and self-supervision. This allows for an end-to-end training framework, improving 144 student's performance by leveraging the full potential of the teacher's guidance.

145 146

123

124

125

126

127 128 129

130

3 Method

147 148 149

150

151

152

153

154

In this section, we detail our Variable Scale Distillation Framework. An overview of the proposed approach is illustrated in Figure 2. During the teacher network's training, we apply a self-supervised technique to process the input images. This enables the teacher to capture more fine-grained semantic features. For the student model, we also employ self-supervision to enhance its ability to learn semantic information from the images. Additionally, the student's training is guided by both the teacher network's logits and the parameters from the intermediate feature layers.

- 155 3.1 TEACHER NETWORK
- 156 157 158

3.1.1 VARIABLE SCALE IMAGE REFINEMENT

In most existing knowledge distillation methods, the teacher and student networks typically receive
 identical inputs. However, these methods fail to fully exploit the teacher network's intricate architecture and extensive parameters. To overcome this, we employ the variable scale image refinement
 technique aimed at harnessing the teacher network's advanced feature extraction capabilities.

As discussed in Section 4.1, we experimented with several common input processing techniques (Figure 3) to enhance the teacher network's input by increasing the image size and enriching its semantic content. Based on our findings, we selected bilinear interpolation to double the width and height of the input image, which serves as the core of our Variable Scale Distillation Framework.



Figure 3: Pretext tasks applied to the input of both teacher network and student network. (a) shows rotation-based augmentation. (b) shows channel-permutation-based augmentation.

3.1.2 AGGREGATED-TASK LEARNING WITH SELF-SUPERVISION

To enhance the teacher and student networks' ability to generalize, we introduce an additional self-supervised task that broadens the dimensions of the final vector space during training. This self-supervised transformation preserves the semantic information of the input images, helping the network better capture the underlying structure. To reduce training costs and fully leverage the existing label information in the dataset, we perform training in an aggregated vector space that combines both image classification and self-supervised tasks. This joint training approach allows the model to infer as effectively as an aggregated model after a single training process.

Let the network function be represented as $f(\cdot)$, which can be decomposed into a feature extractor $f_e(\cdot, \omega_e)$ and a linear classification head $f_c(\cdot, \omega_c)$, where ω represents the weight tensors. Consider $x \in \mathcal{X}$ as a mini-batch from the training dataset \mathcal{X} .

We define a total of M various self-supervised transformations $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{M}$ within the transformation space $\mathcal{M} = \{1, ..., M\}$, and N classification labels within the label space $\mathcal{N} = \{1, ..., N\}$. The aggregated vector space for the task is $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} = \{(1, 1), ..., (M, N)\}$, where \otimes means the cartesian product, and the dimension of \mathcal{A} is $||\mathcal{A}|| = M \times N$.

By applying transformations on x, we obtain $\tilde{x} = \{t_i(x)\}_{i=1}^M$. The feature extractor generates the feature embedding vector $\tilde{z} = f_e(\tilde{x}, \omega_e) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where d is the embedding size. The predicted distribution in the aggregated vector space \mathcal{A} is $p_{agg} = \sigma(f_c(\tilde{z}, \omega_c), \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$, while the standard class probability distribution is $p_{cls} = \sigma(f_c(z, \omega_c), \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where τ is the temperature hyperparameter to scale the smoothness of distribution.

205 206

207

179

181 182 183

185

3.1.3 TRAINING

Considering that the teacher network needs to be trained on the aggregated vector space, we use $\tilde{x^T} = \{t_i^T(x)\}_{i=1}^M$ as the input instead of x, where the superscript T indicates that x is the input of the teacher network. Therefore, the loss function that constrains the convergence of teacher network training is expressed as Eq.(1), where y_a^T means the ground-truth labels of $\tilde{x^T} = \{t_i^T(x)\}_{i=1}^M$ in aggregated space \mathcal{A} . \mathcal{L}_{CE} represents cross entropy loss.

~

$$\mathcal{L}^{T} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \mathcal{L}_{CE}(\sigma(f_{c}^{T}(\tilde{z^{T}}, \omega_{c}), \tau), y_{a}^{T}).$$
(1)

216 3.2 STUDENT NETWORK

218 3.2.1 HIERARCHICAL KNOWLEDGE DISTILLATION 219

During inference, feature maps at different levels capture various semantic features. Lower-level feature maps typically focus on fine-grained details, such as texture, while higher-level feature maps represent more abstract, global information. To effectively utilize the hierarchical feature maps from the teacher network, we employ a Hierarchical KD Branch to transfer the knowledge from multiple layers of the teacher. This allows the student network to learn not only from the final soft targets but also from the intermediate representations that are critical in the teacher's evaluation process.

To further refine the feature representations for guiding the student model, one transformation in the pretext task is doubling the input image's width and height. However, this creates a mismatch in input sizes between the teacher and student networks, posing a challenge for hierarchical distillation. To address this, we designed a Rescale Block that ensures scale consistency between the feature maps during the distillation process. This module also captures the hierarchical feature maps in the aggregated task training, allowing the student to learn from both detailed and high-level features.

3.2.2 TRAINING

We denote $\tilde{x^S} = \{t_i^S(x)\}_{i=1}^M$ as the input of the student model. Then we decompose the total loss of training the student model into two parts: the loss between predictions and ground-truth labels under the aggregated task, and the mimicry loss between the hierarchical feature maps and final logits of the teacher and student models, respectively, during the knowledge distillation.

Loss of aggregated task. Given that the student network and the teacher network follow the same rules and are trained under the aggregation task without considering knowledge distillation, we define the form of this loss function to be similar to Eq.(1). At the same time, we introduce the binary cross entropy loss between the intermediate feature maps and the ground-true classification as Eq.(3).

$$\mathcal{L}_{agg1}^{S} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \mathcal{L}_{CE}(\sigma(f_{c}^{S}(\tilde{z^{S}}, \omega_{c}), \tau), y_{a}^{S}),$$
(2)

245 246

243 244

232

233

247 248 249

250 251

253

254

255

$$\mathcal{L}_{agg2}^{S} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{K} \mathcal{L}_{CE}(\sigma(fmap_{j}^{T}(\tilde{x^{T}}), \tau), y_{a}^{S}).$$
(3)

Loss of knowledge distillation. In the context of knowledge distillation loss, it can be further decomposed into the hierarchical feature map loss L_{KD1}^S and the final logits loss L_{KD2}^S . For the former, we assume that we simultaneously sample K intermediate layers in both the teacher network and student network for knowledge distillation. These are denoted as $fmap_{k=1}^{T/S}(x^{\tilde{T}/S})$, This is quantitatively measured using KL divergence D_{KL} , with the loss formulated as in Eq.(4). In the same form, we define the loss of the latter as Eq.(5).

256 257 258

$$\mathcal{L}_{KD1}^{S} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{K} D_{KL}(\sigma(fmap_{j}^{T}(\tilde{x^{T}}), \tau) \| \sigma(fmap_{j}^{S}(\tilde{x^{S}}), \tau)),$$
(4)

 $\mathcal{L}_{KD2}^{S} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{K} D_{KL}(p_{agg}^{T} \| p_{agg}^{S}).$ (5)

264 265

We combine the loss of aggregated task and loss of knowledge distillation to obtain the overall loss L^S of the student model training as Eq.(6), where λ_1 and λ_2 are the hyper-parameters used to balance different losses.

$$\mathcal{L}^{S} = \lambda_{1} \mathcal{L}^{S}_{KD1} + \lambda_{2} \mathcal{L}^{S}_{KD2} + \lambda_{3} \mathcal{L}^{S}_{agg1} + \lambda_{4} \mathcal{L}^{S}_{agg2}.$$
 (6)

270 4 **EXPERIMENTS**

271 272

Our experiments are divided into three key components. First, in Section 4.1, we present an ablation 273 study to evaluate the effects of various pretext tasks and image processing techniques in our frame-274 work. Next, in Section 4.2, we provide a comprehensive comparison with state-of-the-art methods, 275 demonstrating the efficacy of our proposed approach. Finally, in Section 4.3, we conduct an in-depth 276 analysis of the proposed method. CIFAR100 Krizhevsky (2009) serves as the primary dataset, with 277 STL10 Coates et al. (2011) and TinyImageNet used for linear classification experiments in Section 278 4.3. We employ several network architectures as backbones, including ResNet He et al. (2016), 279 WideResNet Zagoruyko & Komodakis (2016a), VGG Simonyan & Zisserman (2015), ShuffleNet Zhang et al. (2018), and MobileNet Howard et al. (2017). 280

281

283

291

293

295

296 297 298

299 300 301

302 303

305

306

307

308

311

4.1 ABLATION STUDY

284 In this ablation study, we systematically investigate the impact of different transformations, loss functions, and training pipelines on the student network's performance. Our primary focus is on 286 evaluating the effects of our Variable Scale Distillation Framework, designed to exploit the superior 287 feature extraction capabilities of the teacher network. In this setup, the teacher network is WRN40-288 2, and the student network is WRN40-1. As our framework specifically targets the teacher network, we experiment with various processing techniques for the teacher, while maintaining a consistent 289 transformation (rotation) for the student model. 290



Figure 4: Comparison of Top-1 accuracy(%) of student model and teacher model under different transformations (a) and different loss functions (b).

Different transformations. As illustrated in Figure 4(a), the introduction of various pretext tasks 310 x^{T} positively influences the performance of the student network to varying extents. Notably, increasing the input size for the teacher network enhances the performance of the student network as 312 well. In the figure, $4 \times$ indicates that the length and width of the original input image are quadru-313 pled using linear interpolation. The label **Rot.** signifies the use of rotation as a self-supervised task 314 within the aggregated learning framework, while Perm. Denotes the permutation of image channels 315 in different orders. 316

The results demonstrate that employing rotation as a pretext task yields superior accuracy compared 317 to channel permutation. Furthermore, when utilizing the rotation pretext task, the performance of the 318 Variable Scale Distillation Framework is significantly better with a twofold enlargement compared 319 to a fourfold enlargement of the input size. 320

321 Different loss functions. Following the student model loss function defined in Eq.(6), we observed that, aside from the classification loss function \mathcal{L}_{agg1}^{S} and the distillation loss \mathcal{L}_{KD2}^{S} , the remaining 322 components proved to be extraneous. Consequently, we conducted an ablation study focusing on 323 the effectiveness of various loss functions during the training of the student model.

As depicted in Figure 4(b), we selected the combination of loss functions $\mathcal{L}_{KD1}^{S} + \mathcal{L}_{KD2}^{S} + \mathcal{L}_{agg1}^{S}$ as the unified loss function for our approach. This selection is underpinned by the observation that it yields the highest accuracy for the student model, thereby reinforcing the effectiveness of the Variable Scale Distillation Framework.

Different pipelines. To validate the efficacy of the proposed Variable Scale Distillation Framework in enhancing the performance of various distillation techniques, we incorporated our framework into SSKD, training multiple teacher-student pairs.

As illustrated in Table 1, the application of the Variable Scale Distillation Framework resulted in significant improvements in the accuracy of the student model across different configurations. This finding underscores that our innovative approach not only allows the teacher network to extract more fine-grained dark knowledge but also enables the student model to acquire more effective information that enhances its classification capabilities.

Table 1: Ablation experiments of the Variable Scale Distillation Framework on SSKD.

Teacher Student	WRN40-2 WRN40-1	WRN40-2 WRN16-2	ResNet32x4 ResNet 8x4
SSKD	76.04	76.13	76.20
SSKD + our framework	76.66	77.54	80.21
Δ	$0.62\uparrow$	$1.41\uparrow$	4.01 ↑

Correlation matrix of logits. To further investigate the mechanisms by which our proposed method enhances the classification performance of the student model, we visualized the correlation matrix of logits from a selected teacher-student pair in Figure 5. The results reveal that the integration of the Variable Scale Distillation Framework facilitates the transfer of finer-grained dark knowledge to the student model. Consequently, the correlation of logits between the teacher and student models across different categories is notably reduced compared to baseline methods, as evidenced by the lighter hues (Figure 5(b)) in the visual representation.



Figure 5: Visualization of the correlation matrix of logits from teacher-student pair without our method (the left one with darker color), and with our method (the right one with lighter color).

4.2 COMPARISON WITH STATE-OF-THE-ART METHODS

In this section, we present a comprehensive comparison of our proposed method against contemporary state-of-the-art (SOTA) approaches across ten distinct teacher-student pairs. The experimental results are organized into two tables, delineating scenarios where the teacher and student networks share similar architectures (Table 2) versus those with different architectures (Table 3).

381						
382	Teacher Student	WRN40-2 WRN16-2	WRN40-2 WRN40-1	ResNet56 ResNet20	ResNet32×4 ResNet8×4	VGG13 VGG8
383	Student	WIR(10 2		Resi (et20	Resident	1000
384	Without KD	73.64	72.24	69.63	72.51	70.68
385	KD	74.92	73.54	70.66	73.33	72.98
386	FitNet	75.75	74.12	71.60	74.31	73.54
387	AT	75.28	74.45	71.78	74.26	73.62
388	RKD	75.40	73.87	71.48	74.47	73.72
389	CRD	76.04	75.52	71.68	75.90	74.06
390	SSKD	76.04	76.13	71.49	76.20	75.33
391	HSAKD	78.67	<u>78.12</u>	<u>73.73</u>	77.69	<u>75.93</u>
392	ours	81.82	79.27	77.81	83.28	79.35

378 Table 2: Top-1 accuracy (%) on CIFAR100 through different SOTA methods of knowledge distil-379 lation between similar architectures. The numbers in **Bold** and underline denote the best and the 380 second-best results.

Table 3: Top-1 accuracy (%) on CIFAR100 through different SOTA methods of knowledge distillation between different architectures. The numbers in **Bold** and <u>underline</u> denote the best and the second-best results.

Teacher Student	VGG13 MobileNetV2	ResNet50 MobileNetV2	ResNet32×4 ShuffleNetV1	ResNet32×4 ShuffleNetV2	WRN-40-2 ShufflNetV1
Without KD	65.79	65.79	70.77	73.12	70.77
KD	67.37	67.35	74.07	74.45	74.83
FitNet	68.58	68.54	74.82	75.11	75.55
AT	69.34	69.28	74.76	75.30	75.61
RKD	68.50	68.46	74.20	75.74	75.45
CRD	68.49	70.32	75.46	75.72	75.96
SSKD	71.53	72.57	78.44	78.61	77.40
HSAKD	79.27	79.43	78.39	<u>80.86</u>	<u>80.11</u>
ours	76.28	<u>77.94</u>	81.55	82.49	83.69

393

394

395

396 397

410 411

The second partition of each table details the accuracy of the student network when trained indepen-412 dently, without the influence of the teacher network. In contrast, the third partition showcases the 413 top-1 accuracy of the student network when various knowledge distillation methods are employed.

414 Remarkably, across all five teacher-student pairs with similar architectures, our method consis-415 tently outperforms existing techniques, achieving superior accuracy. Notably, in the ResNet32x4 416 & ResNet8x4 configuration, the accuracy of the student network trained via our approach surpasses 417 that of the best-competing methods by a substantial margin of 5.59%. This improvement highlights 418 the efficacy of our Variable Scale Distillation Framework innovations in facilitating more effective 419 knowledge transfer from teacher to student, ultimately enhancing the student's performance.

420 421 422

4.3 FURTHER ANALYSIS

423 Efficacy under the few-shot scenario. We assess the effectiveness of our method by comparing it 424 with established approaches such as KD, CRD, SSKD, and HSAKD in few-shot scenarios, retaining 425 25%, 50%, and 75% of the training samples, as summarized in Table 4. Notably, in the 25% few-426 shot scenario, the student model trained using our methodology achieves an accuracy of 70.50%. 427 This performance is particularly striking when contrasted with the conventional KD method, which 428 attains only a marginally higher accuracy of 70.66% in the 100% data training scenario. This dis-429 crepancy can be attributed to our incorporation of rotation as a pretext task, which enriches the dataset in few-shot situations. Furthermore, the features extracted from the teacher network in our 430 framework provide enhanced guidance for the student network's learning process, distinguishing 431 our approach from other methods.

Table 4: Top-1 accuracy (%) comparison on CIFAR100 in few-shot scenario with various ratio of
training samples. The values in the table are the accuracy of the student network when ResNet56
and ResNet20 are used as teacher-student pairs.

few-shot ratio	25%	50%	75%	100%
KD	65.15	68.61	70.34	70.66
CRD	65.80	69.91	70.98	71.68
SSKD	67.82	70.08	70.18	71.49
HSAKD	68.50	72.18	73.26	73.73
ours	70.50	74.55	76.28	77.81

Table 5: Linear classification top-1 accuracy (%) of transfer learning on STL-10 and TinyImageNet. We use WRN40-2 as the teacher network and ShuffleNetV1 as the student network.

$CIFAR100 \rightarrow STL10$	$CIFAR100 \rightarrow TinyImageNet$
73.25	32.05
73.77	33.28
73.47	33.75
74.44	34.30
74.74	34.54
75.62	38.65
77.91	47.22
	$CIFAR100 \rightarrow STL10$ 73.25 73.77 73.47 74.44 74.74 75.62 77.91

⁴⁵³ 454

465

443

455 **Linear classification.** We further explored the generalization capabilities of the student model's feature extractor. To this end, we froze the encoder of the student model trained on CIFAR100 456 and subsequently trained two classification heads on STL10 and TinyImageNet, respectively. The 457 results of this evaluation are presented in Table 5. Remarkably, the student networks trained via 458 our method outperformed all other mainstream approaches. This outcome suggests that our method 459 equips the network with knowledge that transcends the specific images within the training dataset. 460 The integration of Variable Scale Distillation Framework and self-supervised tasks facilitates a fo-461 cus on more salient semantic features during the learning process, thereby enabling the network to 462 achieve superior generalization performance across diverse datasets. 463

4.4 LIMITATIONS

In this study, we focused on specific pretext tasks such as image rotation and channel permutation to improve the generalization ability of the student model. That said, we did not explore other potentially effective self-supervised tasks, such as random masking, which could present another promising avenue for enhancing the feature extraction capabilities of both the teacher and student models. The primary reason is to maintain computational efficiency and simplicity in our experimentation pipeline, as the training of models with random masking typically requires additional resources and longer convergence times due to the need for feature recovery mechanisms.

We acknowledge that incorporating random masking could potentially further improve the student's ability to learn fine-grained and contextually rich representations from the teacher. Future work could explore the combination of our framework with random masking to assess its effectiveness in distillation scenarios.

477 478

479

5 CONCLUSION

In this study, we propose the Variable Scale Distillation Framework, and integrating it with selfsupervised tasks to create a unified framework. Furthermore, to maintain size consistency among feature maps during hierarchical distillation, we present the Rescale Block, which facilitates effective feature map alignment. This innovative approach empowers student networks to acquire richer and more generalized semantic feature representations, ultimately achieving state-of-the-art performance on standard image classification benchmarks within the realm of knowledge distillation.

486 REFERENCES

525

526 527

528

529

530

- Sungsoo Ahn, Shell Xu Hu, Andreas Damianou, Neil D. Lawrence, and Zhenwen Dai. Variational information distillation for knowledge transfer. In 2019 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Jun 2019. doi: 10.1109/cvpr.2019.00938. URL http: //dx.doi.org/10.1109/cvpr.2019.00938.
- 492 Defang Chen, Jian-Ping Mei, Can Wang, Yan Feng, and Chun Chen. Online knowledge distillation
 493 with diverse peers. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 3430–3437,
 494 Jun 2020a. doi: 10.1609/aaai.v34i04.5746. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1609/aaai.
 495 v34i04.5746.
- 496
 497
 498
 498
 499
 499
 496
 497
 498
 499
 498
 499
 498
 499
 498
 499
 499
 499
 491
 491
 491
 491
 492
 493
 494
 494
 495
 495
 495
 496
 496
 496
 497
 498
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 490
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 492
 493
 494
 494
 494
 495
 495
 496
 496
 497
 498
 499
 498
 499
 498
 499
 498
 499
 498
 499
 499
 498
 499
 498
 499
 498
 499
 498
 499
 498
 499
 498
 499
 498
 499
 498
 499
 498
 499
 498
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
 499
- Guobin Chen, Wongun Choi, Xiang Yu, Tony Han, and Manmohan Chandraker. Learning efficient
 object detection models with knowledge distillation. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- Ting Chen, Simon Kornblith, Mohammad Norouzi, and GeoffreyE. Hinton. A simple framework
 for contrastive learning of visual representations. *Cornell University arXiv, Cornell University arXiv*, Feb 2020b.
- Adam Coates, AndrewY. Ng, and Honglak Lee. An analysis of single-layer networks in un supervised feature learning. International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statis tics, International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, Jun 2011.
- Philip De Rijk, Lukas Schneider, Marius Cordts, and Dariu Gavrila. Structural knowledge distillation for object detection. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:3858–3870, 2022.
- Alexey Dosovitskiy, Philipp Fischer, Jost Tobias Springenberg, Martin Riedmiller, and Thomas Brox. Discriminative unsupervised feature learning with exemplar convolutional neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, pp. 1734–1747, Sep 2016. doi: 10.1109/tpami.2015.2496141. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/tpami. 2015.2496141.
- Spyros Gidaris, Praveer Singh, and Nikos Komodakis. Unsupervised representation learning by pre dicting image rotations. *Le Centre pour la Communication Scientifique Directe HAL Diderot, Le Centre pour la Communication Scientifique Directe HAL Diderot*, Apr 2018a.
- Spyros Gidaris, Praveer Singh, and Nikos Komodakis. Unsupervised representation learning by predicting image rotations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.07728*, 2018b.
 - Jianping Gou, Baosheng Yu, Stephen J Maybank, and Dacheng Tao. Knowledge distillation: A survey. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 129(6):1789–1819, 2021.
 - Ziyao Guo, Haonan Yan, Hui Li, and Xiaodong Lin. Class attention transfer based knowledge distillation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 11868–11877, 2023.
- Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Jun 2016.
 doi: 10.1109/cvpr.2016.90. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/cvpr.2016.90.
- Geoffrey Hinton, Oriol Vinyals, and Jeff Dean. Distilling the knowledge in a neural network. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:1503.02531, 2015.
- Andrew Howard, Menglong Zhu, Bin Chen, Dmitry Kalenichenko, Weijun Wang, Tobias Weyand,
 Marco Andreetto, and Hartwig Adam. Mobilenets: Efficient convolutional neural networks for
 mobile vision applications. *arXiv: Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, arXiv: Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, arXiv: Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, Apr 2017.*

- 540 Tao Huang, Shan You, Fei Wang, Chen Qian, and Chang Xu. Knowledge distillation from a stronger 541 teacher. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 35:33716–33727, 2022. 542 Longlong Jing and Yingli Tian. Self-supervised visual feature learning with deep neural networks: 543 A survey. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 43(11):4037–4058, 544 2020. 546 Alexander Kolesnikov, Xiaohua Zhai, and Lucas Beyer. Revisiting self-supervised visual represen-547 tation learning. Cornell University - arXiv, Cornell University - arXiv, Jan 2019. 548 549 Alex Krizhevsky. Learning multiple layers of features from tiny images. Jan 2009. 550 Christian Ledig, Lucas Theis, Ferenc Huszár, Jose Caballero, Andrew Cunningham, Alejandro 551 Acosta, Andrew Aitken, Alykhan Tejani, Johannes Totz, Zehan Wang, et al. Photo-realistic sin-552 gle image super-resolution using a generative adversarial network. In Proceedings of the IEEE 553 conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 4681–4690, 2017. 554 555 Hankook Lee, SungJu Hwang, and Jinwoo Shin. Self-supervised label augmentation via input trans-556 formations. International Conference on Machine Learning, International Conference on Machine Learning, Jul 2020. 558 Gang Li, Xiang Li, Yujie Wang, Shanshan Zhang, Yichao Wu, and Ding Liang. Knowledge dis-559 tillation for object detection via rank mimicking and prediction-guided feature imitation. Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 1306-1313, Jul 2022. doi: 561 10.1609/aaai.v36i2.20018. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v36i2.20018. 562 563 Xiao Liu, Fanjin Zhang, Zhenyu Hou, Li Mian, Zhaoyu Wang, Jing Zhang, and Jie Tang. Selfsupervised learning: Generative or contrastive. IEEE transactions on knowledge and data engi-564 neering, 35(1):857-876, 2021. 565 566 Yifan Liu, Ke Chen, Chris Liu, Zengchang Qin, Zhenbo Luo, and Jingdong Wang. Structured 567 knowledge distillation for semantic segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference 568 on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 2604–2613, 2019. 569 570 Seyed Iman Mirzadeh, Mehrdad Farajtabar, Ang Li, Nir Levine, Akihiro Matsukawa, and Hassan Ghasemzadeh. Improved knowledge distillation via teacher assistant. Proceedings of the AAAI 571 Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 5191–5198, Jun 2020. doi: 10.1609/aaai.v34i04.5963. 572 URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v34i04.5963. 573 574 Ishan Misra, C. Lawrence Zitnick, and Martial Hebert. Shuffle and Learn: Unsupervised Learning 575 Using Temporal Order Verification, pp. 527–544. Jan 2016. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-46448-0_32. 576 URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46448-0_32. 577 Mehdi Noroozi and Paolo Favaro. Unsupervised learning of visual representations by solving jigsaw 578 puzzles. In European conference on computer vision, pp. 69-84. Springer, 2016. 579 580 Wonpyo Park, Dongju Kim, Yan Lu, and Minsu Cho. Relational knowledge distillation. In Proceed-581 ings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 3967–3976, 582 2019. 583 584 Deepak Pathak, Philipp Krahenbuhl, Jeff Donahue, Trevor Darrell, and Alexei A. Efros. Context encoders: Feature learning by inpainting. In 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and 585 Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Jun 2016. doi: 10.1109/cvpr.2016.278. URL http://dx.doi. 586 org/10.1109/cvpr.2016.278. 588 Baoyun Peng, Xiao Jin, Dongsheng Li, Shunfeng Zhou, Yichao Wu, Jiaheng Liu, Zhaoning Zhang, 589 and Yu Liu. Correlation congruence for knowledge distillation. In 2019 IEEE/CVF International 590 Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), Oct 2019. doi: 10.1109/iccv.2019.00511. URL http: //dx.doi.org/10.1109/iccv.2019.00511. 592
- 593 Adriana Romero, Nicolas Ballas, SamiraEbrahimi Kahou, Antoine Chassang, Carlo Gatta, and Yoshua Bengio. Fitnets: Hints for thin deep nets. *arXiv: Learning,arXiv: Learning*, Dec 2014.

594	Karen Simonyan and Andrew Zisserman. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image
595	recognition. International Conference on Learning Representations, International Conference on
596	Learning Representations, Jan 2015.

- Yonglong Tian, Dilip Krishnan, and Phillip Isola. Contrastive representation distillation. *Learn-ing, Learning*, Oct 2019.
- Guodong Xu, Ziwei Liu, Xiaoxiao Li, and Chen Change Loy. *Knowledge Distillation Meets Self- Supervision*, pp. 588–604. Jan 2020. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-58545-7_34. URL http://dx.
 doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-58545-7_34.
- Chuanguang Yang, Zhulin An, Linhang Cai, and Yongjun Xu. Hierarchical self-supervised augmented knowledge distillation. In *Proceedings of the Thirtieth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Aug 2021. doi: 10.24963/ijcai.2021/168. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.24963/ijcai.2021/168.
- Sergey Zagoruyko and Nikos Komodakis. Wide residual networks. In Proceedings of the British Machine Vision Conference 2016, Jan 2016a. doi: 10.5244/c.30.87. URL http://dx.doi. org/10.5244/c.30.87.
- Sergey Zagoruyko and Nikos Komodakis. Paying more attention to attention: Improving the performance of convolutional neural networks via attention transfer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.03928*, 2016b.
- 615Richard Zhang, Phillip Isola, and Alexei A. Efros. Colorful Image Colorization, pp. 649–666.616Jan 2016. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-46487-9_40. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/617978-3-319-46487-9_40.
- Kiangyu Zhang, Xinyu Zhou, Mengxiao Lin, and Jian Sun. Shufflenet: An extremely efficient convolutional neural network for mobile devices. In 2018 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, Jun 2018. doi: 10.1109/cvpr.2018.00716. URL http://dx. doi.org/10.1109/cvpr.2018.00716.
- Li Zheng, Ying Huang, Defang Chen, Tianren Luo, Ning Cai, and Zhigeng Pan. Online knowledge distillation via multi-branch diversity enhancement. *Cornell University arXiv, Cornell University arXiv*, Oct 2020.