CROSS-JEM: Accurate and Efficient Cross-encoders for Short-text Ranking Tasks

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

 Ranking a set of items based on their relevance to a given query is a core problem in search and recommendation. Transformer-based rank- ing models are the state-of-the-art approaches for such tasks, but they score each query-item independently, ignoring the joint context of other relevant items. This leads to sub-optimal ranking accuracy and high computational costs. We propose Cross-encoders with Joint Efficient 010 Modeling (CROSS-JEM), a novel ranking ap- proach that enables transformer-based mod- els to jointly score multiple items for a query, maximizing parameter utilization. CROSS- JEM leverages (a) redundancies and token over- laps to jointly score multiple items (short-text phrases in search and recommendations), and (b) a novel training objective that models rank-**ing probabilities. CROSS-JEM** achieves state- of-the-art accuracy on publicly available rank- ing benchmarks with over 4x-lower ranking latency compared to the baselines.

⁰²² 1 Introduction

 We consider the problem of ranking that arises in search and recommendation pipelines, wherein the goal is to rank a set of items based on their rele- vance to a given query. Our work is in the context of two-stage *retrieve-then-rank* pipelines in mod- ern recommendation systems consisting of retrieval and ranking stages [\(Liu et al.,](#page-2-0) [2017;](#page-2-0) [Zhao et al.,](#page-2-1) [2024;](#page-2-1) [Lin et al.,](#page-2-2) [2021;](#page-2-2) [Fan et al.,](#page-2-3) [2022\)](#page-2-3). In this work, we focus on the ranking of short-text items, given a black-box retriever, which appear in a myr- iad of recommendation systems applications. In designing the ranking model, two key axes are the model architecture, and the choice of the loss func- tion. The key performance metrics for such sys- tems are accuracy and inference latency. Existing state-of-the-art ranking approaches use *encoders* with attention layers to encode query-item pairs and *classifiers* to score them [\(Nogueira and Cho,](#page-2-4) [2020;](#page-2-4)

[Nogueira et al.,](#page-2-5) [2019;](#page-2-5) [Zhou et al.,](#page-2-6) [2023\)](#page-2-6). Recent **041** works have proposed using sequence-to-sequence **042** models with encoder-decoder or decoder-only ar- **043** chitectures [\(Nogueira et al.,](#page-2-7) [2020;](#page-2-7) [Zhuang et al.,](#page-2-8) **044** [2023;](#page-2-8) [Zhang et al.,](#page-2-9) [2024\)](#page-2-9). However, all of these **045** models are *pointwise*, scoring query-item pairs in **046** isolation, ignoring the list context, and producing **047** scores that may neither reflect the optimal order nor **048** be calibrated for sorting [\(Qin et al.,](#page-2-10) [2024a\)](#page-2-10). Point- **049** wise transformer models are also computationally 050 expensive and impractical for real-time ranking. **051**

Another line of research is along listwise loss **052** functions [\(Gao et al.,](#page-2-11) [2021;](#page-2-11) [Zhuang et al.,](#page-2-8) [2023;](#page-2-8) **053** [Cao et al.,](#page-2-12) [2007\)](#page-2-12), and aims to improve ranking 054 accuracy by optimizing training objective for the **055** whole list of items, not just query-item pairs. Yet, 056 architecturally, they score items independently, ig- **057** noring inter-item dependencies and query context. **058** Some recent works use pre-trained LLMs for list- **059** wise ranking [\(Sun et al.,](#page-2-13) [2023;](#page-2-13) [Pradeep et al.,](#page-2-14) [2023;](#page-2-14) **060** [Qin et al.,](#page-2-15) [2024b;](#page-2-15) [Zhang et al.,](#page-2-9) [2024\)](#page-2-9). However, **061** these models have a huge parameter count (running **062** into a few billions), limiting their scalability and **063** efficiency. In this work, we bridge this gap by **064** proposing a ranking model that works at the list **065** level, explicitly models inter-item interactions, **066** and achieves superior latency-accuracy tradeoff, **067** making it deployable in real-time scenarios. **068**

2 Method **⁰⁶⁹**

CROSS-JEM learns to rank items for a query by **070** exploiting two insights: a) listwise modeling cap- **071** tures item-item interactions better than pointwise **072** methods; b) items in the candidate set have a high **073** token overlap. Hence, given query q and item set 074 \mathbb{K}_q , the core idea is to form the union set of to- 075 kens $\mathbb{T}_{U_{\alpha}}$ of all items in \mathbb{K}_{q} . CROSS-JEM uses a 076 transformer based encoder to map a sequence of to- **077** kens to a sequence of token level contextual embed- **078** dings. Existing state-of-the-art approaches model **079**

Method		Parameters	SODO		MS MARCO-Titles	
			MAP@5	MAP@10	MRR@5	MRR@10
Sparse Models	BM25		32.80	39.26	23.71	24.57
Early-interaction	monoBERT (Nogueira and Cho, 2020)	66M	46.79	48.04	30.89	32.47
Late-interaction	ColBERT (Khattab and Zaharia, 2020)	109M	36.10	37.68	30.25	32.00
Dual Encoders	DPR (Karpukhin et al., 2020) ANCE (Xiong et al., 2021) INSTRUCTOR [*] (Su et al., 2023)	66M 66M 335M	47.32 48.31 49.47	48.48 49.41 50.81	28.78 28.48 28.84	30.87 30.53 30.55
Seq2Seq	RankT5-6L RankT5-base* (Zhuang et al., 2023)	74M 223M	49.50 45.66	50.75 49.47	30.73 27.87	32.52 29.75
Ranking LLMs	RankingGPT-7B* (Zhang et al., 2024)	7B	47.64	50.62	28.66	30.47
Ours	CROSS-JEM-6L	66M	52.40	53.05	33.82	35.45

Table 1: All baselines and our method, CROSS-JEM are fine-tuned on the corresponding datasets, except for the large pre-trained models (indicated with asterisk (*)), which are used as is without any further fine-tuning.

 ranking in a pointwise approach. Thus, contex- tual embeddings are obtained for each query-item pair individually, leading to N encoder passes for 083 the N items in \mathbb{K}_q and a high computational cost. CROSS-JEM embeds all *unique* tokens in item set \mathbb{K}_q in single encoder pass by inferring over the 086 combined set of query tokens \mathbb{T}_q and the union of 087 all item tokens, \mathbb{T}_{U_q} . Since the number of tokens in the item union set is significantly smaller than 089 the sum of the number of tokens in \mathbb{K}_q , CROSS- JEM enables highly efficient computation of con- textual embeddings. Next, a pooled representation 092 for each pair (q, k_j) is computed as the mean of the **contextual embeddings of tokens in** \mathbb{T}_q **combined** 094 with the intersection of \mathbb{T}_{U_q} and \mathbb{T}_{k_j} . A linear 095 classifier $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ computes the relevance score **associated with each pair** (q, k_j) **. The pooled rep-** resentations for all $k_j \in \mathbb{K}_q$ are batched together $(e^{qk} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d})$ allowing for the computation of all **logits** $[f_q]_j = \langle w, e^{q k_j} \rangle$ in a single shot. CROSS-JEM is trained with a novel listwise objec-

 tive proposed in this work, called Ranking Prob- ability Loss (RPL), that models the joint ranking probabilities of items rather than their pointwise relevance. Different from existing listwise losses such as ListNet [\(Cao et al.,](#page-2-12) [2007\)](#page-2-12), RPL factors in **he availability of all logits** $[f_{q_i}]$ **. Given the scores f**_{q_i} and the ground-truth y_i for a query q_i , and an **item** k_j **, RPL penalizes ranking** k_j **above any item** k_k with higher ground-truth score. Formally, RPL (\mathcal{L}^{RPL}) is:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{|\mathbb{Q}_{tr}|} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Big(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{L}_j} [\mathbf{y}_i]_k \Big) \log \Big(\text{SM} \Big(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{L}_j} [\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{q}_i}]_k \Big) \Big), \tag{1}
$$

112 where SM denotes the SoftMax operator and \mathbb{L}_j is 113 **defined as** $L_j = \{k \in \{1, N\} : [\mathbf{y}_i]_k < [\mathbf{y}_i]_j\}.$

3 Experiments **¹¹⁴**

Experimental Setup: We use Stack Overflow **115 Duplicate Questions** [\(Liu et al.,](#page-2-20) [2018\)](#page-2-20) (**SODQ**) 116 [a](#page-2-21)nd a short-text version of MS MARCO [\(Dai and](#page-2-21) **117** [Callan,](#page-2-21) [2020\)](#page-2-21), where we only keep webpage titles **118** (MS MARCO-Titles) to align it with short-text **119** ranking applications. We use the mean average pre- **120** cision (MAP) and mean reciprocal rank (MRR) for **121** evaluation. MAP is a generalization of MRR when **122** there are multiple positive items per query, *i.e.,* on **123 MS MARCO, MAP@K** = MRR@K, $\forall K$. 124 Results: Table [1](#page-1-0) shows that CROSS-JEM outper- **125** forms cross-encoders and dual encoders by up to **126** 3%, and sparse models (such as BM25) by 20% **127** in terms of accuracy, demonstrating the effective- **128** ness of its listwise ranking. We also report that **129** CROSS-JEM, which uses a 6-layer BERT as the **130** base encoder, has the same number of parameters **131** as monoBERT, but can support over $4 \times$ lower la- **132** tency than monoBERT $(9.8 \text{ ms vs } 41.3 \text{ ms})$ for 133 scoring 700 items per query on A100 GPUs. **134**

4 Conclusions and Future Scope **¹³⁵**

CROSS-JEM is the first joint ranking approach that **136** can effectively model listwise ranking in both the **137** model architecture and training objective with real- **138** time latency constraints. Overcoming the limita- **139** tions of pointwise approaches, it establishes a new **140** state-of-the-art with significantly lower computa- **141** tional costs on publicly available ranking bench- **142** marks. The scope of this work is on ranking short 143 texts, a common requirement in both industrial **144** sponsored search applications and academic bench- **145** marks. CROSS-JEM opens up new directions for **146** designing accurate ranking architectures and algo- **147** rithms, accounting for task-specific constraints. **148**

¹⁴⁹ References

- **150** Z. Cao, T. Qin, T.-Y. Liu, M.-F. Tsai, and H. Li. 2007. **151** [Learning to rank: from pairwise approach to listwise](https://doi.org/10.1145/1273496.1273513) **152** [approach.](https://doi.org/10.1145/1273496.1273513) In *Proceedings of the 24th international* **153** *conference on Machine learning*, ICML '07, pages **154** 129–136, New York, NY, USA. ACM.
- **155** Zhuyun Dai and Jamie Callan. 2020. Context-aware **156** document term weighting for ad-hoc search. In *Pro-***157** *ceedings of The Web Conference 2020*, pages 1897– **158** 1907.
- **159** Y. Fan, X. Xie, Y. Cai, J. Chen, X. Ma, X. Li, R. Zhang, **160** J. Guo, et al. 2022. Pre-training methods in informa-**161** tion retrieval. *Foundations and Trends® in Informa-***162** *tion Retrieval*, 16(3):178–317.
- **163** [L](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-72240-1_26). Gao, Z. Dai, and J. Callan. 2021. [Rethink training](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-72240-1_26) **164** [of BERT rerankers in multi-stage retrieval pipeline.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-72240-1_26) **165** In *Advances in Information Retrieval: 43rd Euro-***166** *pean Conference on IR Research, ECIR 2021*, page **167** 280–286, Berlin, Heidelberg. Springer-Verlag.
- **168** V. Karpukhin, B. Oguz, S. Min, P. Lewis, L. Wu, **169** S. Edunov, D. Chen, and W.-T. Yih. 2020. Dense **170** passage retrieval for open-domain question answer-**171** ing. In *EMNLP*.
- **172** O. Khattab and M. Zaharia. 2020. Colbert: Efficient **173** and effective passage search via contextualized late **174** interaction over BERT. In *Proceedings of the 43rd* **175** *International ACM SIGIR conference on research* **176** *and development in Information Retrieval*, pages 39– **177** 48.
- **178** J. Lin, R. Nogueira, and Yates A. 2021. Pretrained **179** Transformers for Text Ranking: BERT and Beyond. **180** In *NAACL*.
- **181** S. Liu, F. Xiao, W. Ou, and L. Si. 2017. Cascade ranking **182** for operational e-commerce search. In *Proceedings* **183** *of the 23rd ACM SIGKDD International Conference* **184** *on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages **185** 1557–1565.
- **186** X. Liu, C. Wang, Y. Leng, and C. X. Zhai. 2018. **187** [LinkSO: a dataset for learning to retrieve similar](https://doi.org/10.1145/3283812.3283815) **188** [question answer pairs on software development fo-](https://doi.org/10.1145/3283812.3283815)**189** [rums.](https://doi.org/10.1145/3283812.3283815) In *4th ACM SIGSOFT International Workshop* **190** *on NLP for Software Engineering*, pages 2–5.
- **191** [R](https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.04085). Nogueira and K. Cho. 2020. [Passage re-ranking with](https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.04085) **192** [BERT.](https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.04085) *Preprint*, arXiv:1901.04085.
- **193** R. Nogueira, Z. Jiang, R. Pradeep, and J. Lin. 2020. **194** [Document ranking with a pretrained sequence-to-](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.findings-emnlp.63)**195** [sequence model.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.findings-emnlp.63) In *Findings of the Association* **196** *for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2020*, pages **197** 708–718, Online.
- **198** R. Nogueira, W. Yang, K. Cho, and J. Lin. 2019. Multi-**199** stage document ranking with BERT. *arXiv preprint* **200** *arXiv:1910.14424*.
- R. Pradeep, S. Sharifymoghaddam, and J. Lin. 2023. **201** RankZephyr: Effective and robust zero-shot list- **202** wise reranking is a breeze! *arXiv preprint* **203** *arXiv:2312.02724*. **204**
- Z. Qin, R. Jagerman, K. Hui, H. Zhuang, J. Wu, L. Yan, **205** J. Shen, T. Liu, J. Liu, D. Metzler, X. Wang, and **206** M. Bendersky. 2024a. [Large language models are ef-](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2024.findings-naacl.97) **207** [fective text rankers with pairwise ranking prompting.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2024.findings-naacl.97) **208** In *Findings of the Association for Computational Lin-* **209** *guistics: NAACL 2024*, pages 1504–1518, Mexico **210** City, Mexico. **211**
- Z. Qin, R. Jagerman, K. Hui, H. Zhuang, J. Wu, L. Yan, **212** J. Shen, T. Liu, J. Liu, D. Metzler, et al. 2024b. Large **213** language models are effective text rankers with pair- **214** wise ranking prompting. In *Findings of the Associ-* **215** *ation for Computational Linguistics: NAACL 2024*, **216** pages 1504–1518. **217**
- H. Su, W. Shi, J. Kasai, Y. Wang, Y. Hu, M. Ostendorf, **218** W.-T. Yih, N. A. Smith, L. Zettlemoyer, and T. Yu. **219** 2023. [One embedder, any task: Instruction-finetuned](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.findings-acl.71) **220** [text embeddings.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.findings-acl.71) In *Findings of the Association for* **221** *Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023*, pages 1102– **222** 1121, Toronto, Canada. **223**
- W. Sun, L. Yan, X. Ma, S. Wang, P. Ren, Z. Chen, **224** D. Yin, and Z. Ren. 2023. Is ChatGPT good at **225** search? investigating large language models as re- **226** ranking agents. In *Proceedings of the 2023 Con-* **227** *ference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language* **228** *Processing*, pages 14918–14937. **229**
- L. Xiong, C. Xiong, Y. Li, K.-F. Tang, J. Liu, P. Bennett, **230** J. Ahmed, and A. Overwijk. 2021. Approximate nearest neighbor negative contrastive learning for **232** dense text retrieval. In *ICLR*. **233**
- L. Zhang, Y. Zhang, D. Long, P. Xie, M. Zhang, and **234** M. Zhang. 2024. [A two-stage adaptation of large](https://aclanthology.org/2024.findings-acl.706) **235** [language models for text ranking.](https://aclanthology.org/2024.findings-acl.706) In *Findings of the* **236** *Association for Computational Linguistics ACL 2024*, **237** pages 11880–11891, Bangkok, Thailand. **238**
- [W](https://doi.org/10.1145/3637870). X. Zhao, J. Liu, R. Ren, and J.-R. Wen. 2024. [Dense](https://doi.org/10.1145/3637870) **239** [text retrieval based on pretrained language models:](https://doi.org/10.1145/3637870) **240** [A survey.](https://doi.org/10.1145/3637870) *ACM Trans. Inf. Syst.*, 42(4). **241**
- Y. Zhou, T. Shen, X. Geng, C. Tao, C. Xu, G. Long, **242** B. Jiao, and D. Jiang. 2023. [Towards robust ranker](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.findings-acl.332) **243** [for text retrieval.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.findings-acl.332) In *Findings of the Association for* **244** *Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023*, pages 5387– **245** 5401, Toronto, Canada. **246**
- H. Zhuang, Z. Qin, R. Jagerman, K. Hui, J. Ma, J. Lu, **247** J. Ni, X. Wang, and M. Bendersky. 2023. [RankT5:](https://doi.org/10.1145/3539618.3592047) **248** [Fine-Tuning T5 for Text Ranking with Ranking](https://doi.org/10.1145/3539618.3592047) [Losses.](https://doi.org/10.1145/3539618.3592047) In *Proceedings of the 46th International* **250** *ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Devel-* **251** *opment in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR '23, page **252** 2308–2313, New York, NY, USA. **253**