TEXT-DRIVEN ZERO-SHOT DOMAIN ADAPTATION WITH CROSS-MODALITY GRAPH MOTIF MATCHING

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

ABSTRACT

Zero-shot domain adaptive semantic adaptation aims to transfer knowledge from a source domain and learn a target segmenter without access to any target domain data. Some existing methods have achieved notable performances by transforming source features to the target domain through language-driven methods. However, these methods often align language features to global image features coarsely resulting in sub-optimal performance. To address the challenges, we propose a graph motif-based adaptation method designed to balance the efficiency and effectiveness of feature alignment. Our approach involves constructing motif structures based on domain-wise image feature distributions. By increasing the angle between language-vision directed edges, we effectively pull visual features toward the language feature center, thereby achieving cross-modality feature alignment. Additionally, we employ relationship-constraint losses, *i.e.*, directional and contrastive losses, to mitigate the mode-collapse during target feature stylization. These relationship-constraint losses help stabilize the learning process and improve the robustness of the adaptation. Extensive experimental results validate the efficacy of our proposed method. The code for this method will be made available.

026 027 028

029

025

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

023

1 INTRODUCTION

In the field of computer vision, semantic segmentation Zhao & Tao (2023); Kang et al. (2020); Chen et al. (2017) has attracted much attention from researchers due to its pivotal role in scene understanding, *e.g.*, autonomous driving. However, in practical scenarios, there often exists a significant gap in data distribution between the training data, which is used to learn the model, and the test data, upon which the model is deployed. Domain gaps may exist in different weather conditions, such as rain, snow, etc., or different light conditions, such as day and night. These domain gaps Deng et al. (2009) can lead to performance degradation when the source-trained model is deployed in the target domain.

Zero-shot domain adaptive semantic segmentation (ZSDA-SS) has been introduced to address the challenges posed by domain gaps. Unlike unsupervised domain adaptation (UDA), ZSDA-SS does 040 not require any target domain data to be involved in the training stage, making it more practical for real-world applications. Some CLIP-based methods Yang et al. (2024) use the language descrip-041 tion of the target domain as the guide to transform the source image features to the target domain 042 stylized features, and they allow us to learn the target domain segmenters in a zero-shot paradigm. 043 Though these methods have achieved significant performance enhancements, they still exhibit two 044 primary limitations. Firstly, the existing methods cannot accomplish a proper balance between the 045 computation cost and the graininess of alignment across domains. They represent the image feature 046 via a global feature vector, which is then aligned with the text embedding Fahes et al. (2023). This 047 coarse alignment of compressed image features inevitably leads to the loss of domain-specific infor-048 mation, adversely affecting the effectiveness of feature alignment. Secondly, most existing methods typically achieve cross-modality feature alignment by directly increasing the cosine similarities of each paired image and text sample Kerr et al.; Wang et al. (2022); Xiao et al. (2023). This approach, 051 however, focuses solely on the optimization of a single sample pair, which can cause the shared feature space to lack diversity. This may lead to mode-collapse during feature stylization, as the 052 model becomes overly specialized to specific pairs and loses the ability to handle a broader range of styles and variations effectively Gal et al. (2022). Therefore, it is essential to develop a feature align-



Figure 1: Illustration of our proposed zero-shot domain adaptation method. It transforms the source image features into stylized features under the driven of target text descriptions. It constructs a hybrid cross-modality graph and utilizes the motif matching strategy to achieve cross-domain alignment.

ment method that incorporates multiple sample constraints to improve the diversity and robustness
 of feature stylization.

To address these challenges, we propose a graph motif-based zero-shot test time adaptation method, 073 as illustrated in Fig. 1. Our method provides an efficient framework that transfers models from 074 the source domain to multiple target domains simultaneously. We adopt the Prompt-driven Instance 075 Normalization (PIN) module from PØDA to transform the source features into target stylized fea-076 tures. Unlike existing methods, we use the mean and variance parameters to estimate the distribution 077 range of the transformed features for each target domain. We leverage the domain-wise target fea-078 ture distributions along with the text embedding of the domain descriptions to construct a hybrid 079 graph across modalities. Specifically, we define a motif structure that describes the relationships among the extreme features within the distribution range of visual features and the text embedding themselves. By maximizing the angle between the language-vision directed edges within the 081 matched motifs, we guide the visual features to converge around the linguistic feature centers, thus achieving cross-modality feature alignment. Moreover, to prevent the style transformation process 083 from succumbing to mode-collapse, we introduce directional and contrastive losses. These losses 084 act both as constraints and as guidance within the feature space, thereby enhancing the diversity of 085 the transformed target features and improving the overall effectiveness of domain adaptation.

087 We summarize our contributions as follows:

- We propose a graph motif-based method for the zero-shot domain adaptive semantic segmentation (ZSDA-SS) problem. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work to address the ZSDA-SS task by matching graph motifs across modalities and domains.
- We introduce directional and contrastive losses to constrain the stylization process and prevent mode-collapse.
- Extensive experiments conducted on benchmark tasks demonstrate that our method achieves state-of-the-art adaptation performance.

2 BACKGROUND

065

066

067

068 069

090

092

093

095

096

098 099

100

2.1 UNSUPERVISED DOMAIN ADAPTATION

Unsupervised Domain adaptation (UDA) has been extensively studied for its potential in model generalization and deployment. It has been widely utilized in many files of computer vision, such as image classification He et al. (2016); Sener et al. (2016); Wang & Jiang (2022); Wang et al. (2021), image segmentation Cao et al. (2023); Zhou et al. (2023); Jin et al. (2023); He et al. (2020); Wu
et al. (2024), object detection Redmon et al. (2016); Wu et al. (2022; b); Liu et al. (2023b; c), and image clustering Liu et al. (2022; 2023a). DANN Ganin & Lempitsky (2015) utilizes Generative Adversarial Networks to introduce a Gradient Reversal Layer that addresses domain adaptation challenges effectively. CIGAR Liu et al. (2023b) proposes to transform the image features into graphs

108 and employ a graph-matching method to realize feature alignment. The concept of One-Shot Un-109 supervised Domain Adaptation (OSUDA) is further explored by researchers who aim to transfer 110 knowledge using only one example from the target domain. Luo et al. Luo et al. (2020) propose 111 to use a generator to extract style information from images, which helps in reducing overfitting is-112 sues in domain adaptation. Wu et al. Wu et al. (2022a) employ a patch-matching method to blend information from target styles to enhance adaptation accuracy. Lengyel et al. [2021] 113 propose Zero-Shot Domain Adaptation (ZSDA), a setting in which no target domain data is available 114 throughout the adaptation process PØDA Fahes et al. (2023) proposes to utilize the image encoder of 115 the language-vision model as the backbone to extract image features. They propose a Prompt-driven 116 Instance Normalization to transfer the source features into target domains and fine-tune the target 117 model. ULDA Yang et al. (2024) extends PØDA in a hierarchical manner. They propose to align the 118 transformed target domain features at global, category, and pixel levels. However, it significantly 119 increases the training computational cost and thus limits their practical applications. 120

120

2.2 VISION-LANGUAGE MODELS

122 123

Extracting the relationship between vision and language modalities has been an important research 124 area in recent years Lu et al. (2019); Lee et al. (2009); Tan & Bansal (2019); Gao et al. (2019); Devlin 125 et al. (2018); Dosovitskiy et al. (2020); Liu et al. (2021). CLIP Radford et al. (2021) proposes 126 to employ a contrastive learning method to learn the feature similarities between language-vision 127 sample pairs, setting a foundational precedent for subsequent research in this area. CoOp Zhou 128 et al. (2022b) proposes to use learnable variables to represent the text prompt, instead of using fixed hand-craft prompts. By the learnable prompts, CoOp gains a stronger ability to extract text features. 129 CoCoOp Zhou et al. (2022a) proposes to extract the image features as the condition of text prompts 130 to further enhance the relationship between visual and linguistic features. The CLIP-based model 131 uses a lot of training data so that it contains a wealth of knowledge, which makes it obtain excellent 132 zero-shot image classification capability. This robust knowledge base allows these models to bridge 133 the gap between textual and visual data effectively, catalyzing advancements in text-driven image 134 editing applications such as image stylization. StyleCLIP Patashnik et al. (2021) introduces a latent 135 mapper that aligns the features of an input image with text guidance descriptions. CLIPStyler Kwon 136 & Ye (2022) utilizes text descriptions to define the desired style and employs CLIP to transform 137 the image into the specified style by minimizing the distance between the transformed image and 138 the description text in the shared feature space. It is realized by pulling the distance between the 139 converted image and the description text in the shared feature space. The vision-language pretrained models have been widely employed in many other computer vision fields Kerr et al.; Wang et al. 140 (2022); Xiao et al. (2023). 141

142 143

144 145

146

3 PRELIMINARY

3.1 PROMPT-DRIVEN ZERO-SHOT DOMAIN ADAPTATION (PØDA)

PØDA Fahes et al. (2023) is a recent method designed to deal with the ZSDA-SS problem using the pretrained vision-language model CLIP. It consists of two steps, *i.e.*, i) the stylization of target domain features, and ii) the fine-tuning of the target segmenter.

The first step of PØDA leverages the text description of the target domain as a prompt to extract language knowledge, which guides the alignment of visual features across domains. Specifically, it introduces a Prompt-driven Instance Normalization (PIN) module to transform the source image features f_s extracted from the CLIP image encoder to the target stylized features $f_{s \to t}$. The stylization process is mathematically formulated as follows:

$$f_{s \to t} = \text{PIN}(f_s, \mu, \sigma)$$

= $\sigma(\frac{f_s - \mu(f_s)}{\sigma(f_s)}) + \mu,$ (1)

157 158

156

159

160 where μ and σ are trainable parameters that represent the style information of target domain fea-161 tures. $\mu(f_s)$ and $\sigma(f_s)$ are channel-wise mean and standard deviation of input source features. By minimizing the following loss function, the PIN module enhances the similarity between $f_{s \to t}$ and 162 CLIP text embedding TrgEmb, which characterizes the style of a target domain. 163

164

172

173 174

179

181

182

183

185

186 187

196

197

$$L_{PIN}(\mathbf{f}_{s \to t}, \mathrm{TrgEmb}) = 1 - \frac{avg(\mathbf{f}_{s \to t}) \cdot \mathrm{TrgEmb}}{\|avg(\mathbf{f}_{s \to t})\| \cdot \|\mathrm{TrgEmb}\|},$$
(2)

166 where avq() is the average pooling operation to pool the feature map into a vector. After training 167 the PIN module using source images and corresponding descriptions of the target domain, the target style information is encoded into the PIN parameters μ and σ . With Eq. 1, we can transform each 168 source image feature to its counterpart in the target domain. In the second step, we obtain the target segmenter by fine-tuning the classification head with these features $f_{s \to t}$ in the target style and their 170 labels. For further details, please refer to Fahes et al. (2023). 171

3.2 GRAPH MOTIF

Graph theory West et al. (2001) has proven its effectiveness in the field of computer vision, where 175 the concept of graph motifs has been increasingly utilized to enhance structural and relational under-176 standing in image and video analysis. A graph motif, defined as a recurring and significant subgraph 177 pattern within a large graph, represents a form of a higher-order graph that exists between second-178 order relational distances and complex graph structures. These motifs offer a powerful means to capture intricate relationships and local features prevalent in visual data. For instance, MotifNet Zellers et al. (2018) employs motifs to represent the relationships between semantic nodes and generate scene graphs, while SOMA Li et al. (2023) constructs motifs with category-wise prototypes from different domains and implements cross-domain alignment to address the adaptive open-set object detection problem. A more detailed introduction to the use of graph motifs is presented in Chen et al. (2022); West et al. (2001). 184

4 METHOD

Problem formulation. Let $\mathcal{D}_s = \{(x_s, y_s)\}$ represent the source domain, where $x_s \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times w \times 3}$ denotes a source image and $y_s \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times w \times C}$ is the corresponding pixel-wise label map. Here, h188 189 and w are the height and width of an image, respectively, and C represents the number of semantic 190 categories. Similarly, N target domains are denoted by $\{\mathcal{D}_t^i\}_{i=1}^N = \{\{(x_t^1, y_t^1)\}, \dots, \{(x_t^N, y_t^N)\}\}.$ 191 The segmenter is defined as $G_{s/t} = E_{img} \odot H_{s/t}$, where E_{img} is the backbone utilizing the frozen pretrained image encoder from CLIP, and $H_{s/t}$ is the segmentation head. Given the pretrained 192 193 source segmenter G_s and the text description {TrgDescⁱ}₁^N of N target domains, our method aims 194 to learn a target semantic segmenter G_t for each target domain driven by its text description. 195

4.1 OVERVIEW

Fig. 2 illustrates the structure of our proposed method, which consists of two processes: stylization 199 of target domains and target segmenter fine-tuning. During the stylization process, we extract the 200 features of source images $f_s = E_{img}(x_s)$ with CLIP image encoder E_{img} and input the descriptions 201 $\{\mathrm{TrgDesc}^i\}_{i=1}^N$ of various target domains into the CLIP text encoder E_{txt} to obtain the text embed-202 ding $\{\text{TrgEmb}^i\}_{i=1}^N = E_{txt}(\{\text{TrgDesc}^i\}_{i=1}^N)$. We utilize the PIN module of PØDA to transform f_s 203 into $\{f_{s \to t}^i\}_{i=1}^N$ which encapsulates the style information of target domains as depicted by the cor-204 responding text descriptions. Specifically, we estimate the distributions of *i*-th target features using 205 the mean μ^i and standard variance σ^i of $f_{s \to t}^i$. We correlate the visual knowledge and the linguistic 206 knowledge by constructing a hybrid cross-modality graph \mathcal{G}_h , in which the visual meta-nodes represent the distributions of $\{f_{s \to t}^i\}_{i=1}^N$ and the linguistic nodes represent the text embedding. We define 207 a graph motif that consists of a text embedding TrgEmb and two extreme features (P_{v+}, P_{v-}) on 208 the boundary of a visual feature distribution. The geometry of a motif represents the similarity of 209 cross-modality features and can be utilized for the following alignment process. We mine all motifs 210 \mathcal{M} inherent in \mathcal{G}_h and measure their semantic consistency using our proposed directed edge-based 211 metric. By matching these graph motifs, we achieve feature alignment across domains. Additionally, 212 to prevent the style transformation process from falling into mode-collapse, we introduce directional 213 and contrastive losses. 214

Our method is different from the previous methods in three points. First, we propose the graph motif-215 based method designed to estimate and align the semantic consistency between linguistic and visual



Figure 2: Overview of the proposed zero-shot domain adaptive semantic segmentation framework. (a) shows the two processes of this method: i) stylization of target domain features and ii) target segmenter fine-tuning. (b) is the details of the stylization process. By the optimized PIN modules, we fine-tune the segmentation head of the target segmenter.

243

244 245

247

236

237

238

features for domain adaptation. Second, we incorporate a directional loss, which establishes a reference system for transforming target features based on the relationships of text embedding. Third, we employ the contrastive loss to equalize the stylistic intensity across different target domains.

246 4.2 MOTIF-BASED FEATURE MATCHING

Hybrid cross-modality graph. Given the source image x_s and a pretrained CLIP model, we input 248 x_s into the CLIP image encoder E_{img} to extract their image features f_s . For each target domain, 249 we use a text prompt to describe its characteristics, e.g., "driving in snow". We feed all descriptions 250 into the CLIP text encoder E_{text} to obtain the language embedding $\mathbf{P}_l = {\text{TrgEmb}^i}_{i=1}^N$ of all 251 target domains. In the zero-shot domain adaptation setting, no data from the target domains is available. Thereby, for the *i*-th target domain, we adopt a text-driven PIN module of PØDA and 253 TrgEmb^{*i*} to transform the source features f_s using Eq. (1) and let the transformation result $f_{s \to t}^i =$ 254 $\operatorname{PIN}^{i}(f_{s}, \mu^{i}, \sigma^{i})$ reflect the style of the target domain. Here, $\{\mu^{i}\}_{i=1}^{N}$ and $\{\sigma^{i}\}_{i=1}^{N}$ denote the centers and scales of the stylized target image features, respectively. These learnable variables simulate meta-nodes $\mathbf{Q}_{v} = \{Q_{v}^{i}\}_{i=1}^{N}$, where Q_{v}^{i} represents the distribution range of domain-wise visual 255 256 257 features. With these meta-nodes of visual features and the linguistic nodes of text prompts, we 258 construct the hybrid graph $\mathcal{G}_h = \{\mathbf{Q}_v, \mathbf{P}_l\}$ to represent the relationships of the features across 259 modalities. 260

Graph motif. To discover the similarity information between visual and linguistic features, we define a triangular graph motif pattern, specifically a third-order subgraph, which recurs within the hybrid graph. Each graph motif is composed of cross-modality feature nodes from various target domains. We mine all graph motifs \mathcal{M} within \mathcal{G}_h and achieve cross-domain feature alignment by measuring their domain-level semantic consistency. For each target domain, we calculate the extreme feature pairs $\mathbf{P}_v = \{(P_{v+}^j, P_{v-}^j)\}_{j=1}^N$ on the boundary of stylized feature distribution range (visual meta-node) Q_v^j . The extreme feature pair of *j*-th visual feature distribution is defined as:

268
$$P_{v+}^j = \mu^j + \alpha \sigma^j,$$

(3)

where α denotes the zoom factor used to scale the distribution of visual features. We then connect a language node TrgEmbⁱ \in **P**_L to an extreme visual feature pair $(P_{v+}^{j}, P_{v-}^{j}) \in$ **P**_v to form the triangular graph motif $M^{i,j} = \{\text{TrgEmb}^{i}, P_{v+}^{j}, P_{v-}^{j}\} \in \mathcal{M}$. This structured approach allows us to systematically explore and quantify the interactions between the linguistic and visual modalities across different domains.

Motif matching. Most existing works focus on increasing the cosine similarity between linguistic 276 and visual features to enhance their semantic consistency. However, these studies often only consider 277 the global features and overlook the diversity inherent in dispersed visual feature distributions. To 278 address this problem, we propose a motif-based method to match the features across modalities 279 more effectively. To align features across domains, we divide the motif set \mathcal{M} into two subsets, 280 *i.e.*, the matched motif set \mathcal{M}_m and the unmatched motif set \mathcal{M}_{um} , where $\mathcal{M}_m \cup \mathcal{M}_{um} = \mathcal{M}$ and 281 $\mathcal{M}_m \cap \mathcal{M}_{um} = \emptyset$. A motif $M^{i,j}$ is an element of \mathcal{M}_m , iff its linguistic and visual nodes belong to the same domain (*i.e.*, i = j); otherwise it is an element of \mathcal{M}_{um} . Conversely, motifs where 283 the nodes come from different domains (*i.e.*, $i \neq j$) are categorized into the unmatched motif set $\mathcal{M}_{um} \subset \mathcal{M}$. Inspired by SOMA Li et al. (2023), we introduce the following metric to estimate the 284 semantic consistency of a graph motif: 285

275

 $sim^{i,j} = 1 - cos(\theta^{i,j}) = \frac{edge^{i,j}_+ \cdot edge^{i,j}_-}{\left\|edge^{i,j}_+\right\| \cdot \left\|edge^{i,j}_-\right\|},$ $edge^{i,j}_+ = \text{TrgEmb}^i - P^j_{v+},$ (4)

293

295

296

302

303

305

where $edge_{+}^{i,j}$ and $edge_{-}^{i,j}$ are two directed edges that originate from the linguistic node and extend towards the extreme visual feature boundaries within the graph motif $M^{i,j}$. $\theta^{i,j}$ denotes the vectorial angle between $edge_{-}^{i,j}$ and $edge_{-}^{i,j}$. The similarity measure $sim^{i,j}$, ranging from 0 to 2, quantifies the alignment between the text prompt of the *i*-th target domain and the visual feature distribution of the *j*-th target domain. The matching loss is formulated as follows:

 $edge_{-}^{i,j} = \text{TrgEmb}^i - P_{v-}^j,$

$$L_{match} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log(\frac{\exp(sim^{i,i})}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \exp(sim^{i,j})}).$$
 (5)

By minimizing L_{match} , the visual features are enforced to closely align around the corresponding text prompt embedding, thereby enhancing their semantic consistency.

304 4.3 Relationship-constraint Adaptation

Directional loss. It is recognized that utilizing a single sample pair to compute cosine similarities 306 can decrease the diversity of the shared feature space and induce mode-collapse Gal et al. (2022). 307 This is particularly problematic in tasks such as image style transformation or feature stylization, 308 where diversity in visual representation is crucial for robust performance. In practice, the source segmenter is often transferred to more than one target domain. Considering that different domains 310 may have unique but related style information in the feature space, one effective approach is to use 311 the directionality of their text descriptions as a reference system. This method maps text description 312 embedding to points in the feature space, where the direction between any two points reflects the 313 stylistic distance or similarity between their corresponding domains. Inspired by Wang et al. (2023); 314 Gal et al. (2022), we apply the following directional loss during the optimization of PIN modules:

$$L_{dir} = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} [1 - \cos(\text{TrgEmb}^{i} - \text{TrgEmb}^{j}, \mathbf{f}_{s \to t}^{i} - \mathbf{f}_{s \to t}^{j})].$$
(6)

The PIN modules will transform the visual features onto a navigation path defined by the directional vectors between text embedding. By applying L_{dir} that penalizes deviations from this path, the model can better maintain diversity in its outputs while adapting to new domains.

Contrastive loss. The directional loss provides a reference direction for optimizing target visual features. However, it lacks relational constraints among different domain styles. As observed in Nerf-Art Wang et al. (2023), this can result in uneven degrees of stylization across various domains.

324 Thereby, we adopt a contrastive learning paradigm to equalize the stylistic intensity across different 325 target domains. This approach is effective in learning discriminative features that are robust across 326 domains with different styles. The contrastive loss is mathematically formulated as: 327

$$L_{con} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log\left[\frac{\exp(\operatorname{TrgEmb}^{i} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{s \to t}^{i})}{\exp(\operatorname{TrgEmb}^{i} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{s \to t}^{i}) + \sum_{j \neq i} \exp(\operatorname{TrgEmb}^{i} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{s \to t}^{j})}\right], \tag{7}$$

where $f_{s \to t}^i$ and $f_{s \to t}^j$ are positive and negative samples, which are different styles of image fea-332 tures related to a specific domain description, $TrgEmb^{i}$. This contrastive framework compels the PIN module to differentiate between correct and incorrect style transformations, thereby not only preserving but also enhancing the diversity and accuracy of the style features in the target domain. 334

4.4 **OPTIMIZATION**

328

330

331

333

335

336 337

338

339 340

346 347

348 349

350

During the target feature stylization process, we employ an overall loss to train the PIN modules, encapsulated as follows:

$$L_{total} = \lambda_{match} L_{match} + \lambda_{dir} L_{dir} + \lambda_{con} L_{con} + L_{PIN}, \tag{8}$$

341 where λ_{match} , λ_{dir} , and λ_{con} are the weights of graph motif matching, directional, and contrastive 342 losses, respectively. After training the PIN modules for all target domains, the optimized style pa-343 rameters $\{\mu^i, \sigma^i\}$ are utilized to transform the source image features into corresponding target fea-344 tures. Subsequently, we fine-tune the target segmenters using the cross-entropy loss $CE(f_{s \to f}, y_s)$ with stylized features and source labels. 345

5 EXPERIMENTS

5.1 DATASETS AND EVALUATION

351 To assess the efficacy of our proposed method, we conducted several experiments on domain adaptive semantic segmentation tasks. We use the mean Intersection Over Union (mIoU) and mean 352 Pixcel Classification Accuracy (mAcc) to evaluate the segmentation performance of the target seg-353 menters. We compare our method with source CLIP, CLIPStyler, and PØDA. The results of the 354 comparison methods are inherited from Fahes et al. (2023) and Yang et al. (2024). The experiments 355 addressed three types of domain shifts: (a) from clear to adverse weather conditions (Cityscapes 356 \rightarrow ACDC), (b) from synthetic environments to adverse weather conditions (GTA5 \rightarrow ACDC), and 357 (c) between real and synthetic environments (Cityscapes \rightleftharpoons GTA5). There are three benchmark 358 datasets involved in the experiments: Cityscapes Cordts et al. (2016), ACDC Sakaridis et al. (2021), 359 and GTA5 Richter et al. (2016). Cityscapes is a dataset that contains urban landscapes captured 360 under clear weather conditions. It includes 2,975 training images and 500 validation images, each 361 annotated with 19 pixel-level categories. GTA5 includes 25,000 images rendered using the gaming 362 engine from Grand Theft Auto, also annotated with pixel-level labels. ACDC comprises driving 363 scenes collected under various adverse visual conditions such as fog, nighttime, rain, and snow. It shares the same 19 semantic categories with Cityscapes. We conduct the experiments five times with 364 our proposed method and show the errors of average metrics in the tables. 365

366 367

5.2 IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

368 We utilize the DeepLabV3+ Chen et al. (2018) architecture to construct both the source and target 369 segmenters, denoted as $G_{s/t}$. In particular, the image encoder E_{img} from the CLIP-ResNet-50 370 Radford et al. (2021) model serves as the backbone for $G_{s/t}$. During the whole adaptation process, 371 the structure and parameters of E_{img} remain frozen. For initializing the segmentation models, the 372 weights from PØDA Fahes et al. (2023) are used to set up the target segmentation head H_t . We 373 employ the Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) optimizer Song et al. (2013), with a learning rate of 374 0.1 and a batch size of 8 over 10,000 iterations to train the PIN modules across all target domains. 375 The zoom factor α for computing L_{match} is set to be 5. The loss weights λ_{match} , λ_{dir} , and λ_{con} for computing L_{total} are set to 0.1, 0.05, and 0.05, respectively. When fine-tuning the segmentation 376 head of the target segmenter, we adopt the SGD optimizer with a learning rate of 0.01 and a batch 377 size of 8 for 2,500 iterations. All experiments are performed using NVIDIA 3090 GPUs.

Table 1: **Performance comparison of Cistyscapes** \rightarrow **ACDC.** In this experiment, the source domain is Cityscapes and the target domains are subsets of ACDC corresponding to four adverse weathers. $\overline{\text{mIoU}}$ and $\overline{\text{mAcc}}$ are average mIoU and average mAcc across all target domains.

Adaptation	Sourc	Source2Fog		Source2Night		Source2Rain		e2Snow		
Description	drivin	driving in fog		driving at night		driving under rain		in snow	mIoU	mAcc
Method	mIoU	mAcc	mIoU	mAcc	mIoU	mAcc	mIoU	mAcc		
Source	49.98	65.42	18.31	34.16	38.20	58.97	39.28	54.64	36.44	53.29
CLIPStyler	48.87	64.31	20.83	35.32	36.97	57.46	40.31	54.42	36.75	52.87
PØDA	51.54	64.51	25.03	55.50	42.31	75.40	43.90	70.70	40.69	66.52
ours	52.71	66.38	25.11	39.83	44.20	73.86	45.20	68.40	41.80± 0.36	62.11±0.42

Table 2: **Performance comparison of GTA5** \rightarrow **ACDC.** In this experiment, the source domain is GTA5 and the target domains are subsets of ACDC corresponding to four adverse weathers. $\overline{\text{mIoU}}$ and $\overline{\text{mAcc}}$ are average mIoU and average mAcc of all target domains.

Adaptation	Source2Fog		Source2Night		Source2Rain		Source2Snow			
Description	driving in fog		driving at night		driving under rain		driving in snow		mIoU	$\overline{\mathrm{mAcc}}$
Method	mIoU	mAcc	mIoU	mAcc	mIoU	mAcc	mIoU	mAcc		
Source	33.20	42.51	12.22	22.56	33.32	43.15	32.33	40.60	27.76	37.20
CLIPStyler	30.79	40.37	11.12	20.18	31.17	40.06	30.65	38.97	25.93	34.89
PØDA	35.76	44.98	13.35	25.24	34.19	45.93	33.81	42.10	29.27	39.56
ours	36.47	45.89	16.44	30.42	35.33	46.03	34.56	43.43	30.70 ±0.29	41.44 ±0.40

Table 3: **Performance comparison between Cistyscapes and GTA5.** CS \rightarrow GTA5 and GTA5 \rightarrow CS represent the the adaptation tasks of Cistyscapes \rightarrow GTA5 and GTA5 \rightarrow Cistyscapes, respectively. *styler* represents the CLIPStyler method.

Task	Method	road	sidewalk	building	wall	fence	pole	traffic light	traffic sign	vegetation	terrain	sky	person	rider	car	truck	bus	train	motorcycle	bicycle	mIoU
S	Description = "driving in a game"																				
TA	source	68.7	22.7	78.8	36.8	17.3	39.7	39.3	14.8	72.6	22.5	87.3	57.5	26.1	74.3	44.6	20.5	0.0	18.3	10.4	39.6
Ŭ	styler	73.1	29.9	77.9	25.5	11.7	39.7	35.9	24.0	67.4	12.8	88.8	46.6	33.4	72.0	42.8	11.1	0.0	28.8	14.6	38.7±0.16
Ś	PØDA	73.9	22.7	78.8	37.5	14.2	37.0	33.1	17.3	72.4	26.2	88.9	62.7	37.0	74.3	43.0	11.9	0.0	35.3	13.9	41.1±0.48
0	ours	75.6	24.4	79.6	37.9	14.6	38.6	39.8	21.8	73.8	30.2	88.7	61.8	40.6	75.4	43.6	12.9	0.0	37.6	17.4	42.9 ±0.29
~									Desc	riptior	n = "d	riving	in rea	ıl"							
Ŭ	source	59.0	20.9	72.8	16.5	24.6	31.4	34.8	23.6	82.1	17.0	66.3	63.5	14.7	81.3	20.8	17.2	4.7	20.6	19.6	36.4
² −	styler	66.7	23.6	64.1	5.1	3.7	20.7	19.3	18.1	81.7	12.4	81.0	54.6	0.5	73.5	20.7	22.3	4.0	15.8	10.7	31.5±0.21
ZT2	PØDA	84.3	36.7	79.4	18.3	16.5	36.9	38.5	33.8	82.4	19.1	75.9	62.7	16.5	75.5	15.7	19.6	11.3	16.5	21.8	40.1±0.52
0	ours	86.1	35.5	80.3	18.4	18.8	36.8	37.3	29.0	83.6	19.4	77.6	63.4	16.5	79.3	19.6	25.6	5.8	19.1	21.0	40.7±0.38

5.3 COMPARISON WITH STATE-OF-THE-ARTS

Cityscapes \rightarrow **ACDC.** Tab. 1 shows the experimental results. Our method achieves the average mIoU and mean accuracies of 41.8 and 62.11, the average mIoU perforamnce exceeding all existing meth-ods to achieve sota performance. In comparison with the source CLIP model, we achieve the aver-age mIoU and mean accuracies gains of 5.36 and 8.82, respectively. Compared with the Unet-based CLIPStyler, our method surpasses it by 5.05 and 9.24 in average mIoU and mean accuracies across four target subsets, respectively. Additionally, our method demonstrates improvements of 1.11 in av-erage mIoU over the closely related method PØDA. The experimental results show that our method can effectively transfer CLIP from clear weather data to adverse weather data.

GTA5→ACDC. Tab. 2 presents the comparison results. Our method achieves a 30.70 average mIoU and a 41.44 average mean accuracies, exceeding all existing methods. When compared with CLIP-Stlyer, our method outperforms it by 4.77 in average mIoU and 6.55 in average mean accuracies, respectively. We also compare our method with the closely related PØDA, our method surpasses it by 1.43 and 1.88 in average mIoU and average mean accuracies, respectively. The experimental

Table 4: Comparison results of different components of total loss. \overline{mIoU}_{C2A} and \overline{mIoU}_{G2A} denote the average mIoUs of Cityscapes \rightarrow ACDC and GTA5 \rightarrow ACDC.

L _{match}	L_{dir}	L_{cons}	$\overline{\mathrm{mIoU}}_{C2A}$	$\overline{\mathrm{mIoU}}_{G2A}$
			40.69	29.27
\checkmark			41.31	30.45
	\checkmark		40.85	29.86
		\checkmark	41.12	29.90
	\checkmark	\checkmark	41.37	30.11
\checkmark	\checkmark		41.59	30.53
\checkmark		\checkmark	41.68	30.49
\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	41.80	30.70

results show that our method can effectively transfer CLIP from synthetic data to adverse weather data.

448 **Cityscapes**≓**GTA5.** Tab. 3 lists the detailed category-wise comparison results. Our method 449 achieves 42.9 and 40.7 mIoUs for two tasks, surpassing the source CLIP model by margins of 3.3 450 and 4.3. In comparison with CLIPStyler, our method improves the average mIoUs by margins of 4.2 and 9.2. We also compare our method with the closely related PØDA, our method achieves improve-452 ments of 1.8 and 0.6 mIoUs. The experimental results show that our method can effectively transfer CLIP between real data and synthetic data. Therefore, our method has potential for applications in autonomous driving, such as using synthetic data to enrich datasets for improving the performance 455 of segmentation models in the real world.

456 457

447

451

453

454

432 433

434

5.4 ABLATION STUDIES

458

To evaluate the effectiveness of our proposed method, we present the following ablation studies in 459 Tab. 4 and Tab. 5. The experiments are conducted on the adaptation tasks from clear weather to ad-460 verse weathers ($\overline{C}ityscapes \rightarrow ACDC$) and from synthetic data to adverse weathers ($\overline{GTA5} \rightarrow ACDC$). 461

462 Ablation on the effectiveness of each component. Tab. 4 lists the average mIoU performance of our method using different loss components. Take Cityscapes \rightarrow ACDC for example, each compo-463 nent of L_{total} contributes significantly to the performance of target segmenters. Among these com-464 ponents, the graph motif matching loss has the most substantial impact, enhancing the average mIoU 465 by 0.62 from 40.69 of the baseline method. The addition of directional and contrastive losses im-466 proves the segmentation performance by 0.16 and 0.43, respectively. When using both relationship-467 constraint losses simultaneously, the average mIoU increases from 40.69 to 41.37. By integrating the 468 motif matching loss and two relationship-constraint losses, our method achieves improvements in 469 average mIoU by 0.90 and 0.99, respectively. When using all loss components, our method achieves 470 the best average mIoU of 41.80 and 30.70 for Cityscapes \rightarrow ACDC and GTA5 \rightarrow ACDC, respectively. 471

Ablation on the motif zoom factor. Tab. 5 shows the impact of different motif zoom factors. We set 472 α to 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9 and carried out comparative experiments to assess the changes in segmentation 473 performance. The results indicate that motif matching does not significantly improve segmentation 474 performance when a is less than 5. This limited effectiveness can be attributed to the smaller α 475 values resulting in a too compact range for the visual meta-node in feature space. Such compactness 476 affects the separability of the language-vision directed edges. Conversely, a large α causes confusion 477 within the visual meta-node due to overly expanded feature spaces, which can blur the distinctions 478 necessary for effective segmentation. The optimal α is experimentally determined to be 5 for all 479 tasks.

480

481 **QUALITATIVE RESULTS** 5.5 482

Result comparison. Fig. 3 shows the semantic segmentation qualitative results for the adaptation 483 task from Cityscapes to ACDC. Compared to PØDA, our method demonstrates a more precise seg-484 mentation results. This improvement is particularly noticeable in the segmentation of large areas 485 such as the sky and road, where our approach has achieved significant performance enhancements.



Table 5: Comparison results of different motif matching zoom factors. $\overline{\text{mIoU}}_{C2A}$ and $\overline{\text{mIoU}}_{G2A}$ denote the average mIoUs of Cityscapes \rightarrow ACDC and GTA5 \rightarrow ACDC. The larger the value of α , the wider the distribution range of visual meta-nodes in the graph motif, resulting in greater separation of the extreme values of visual features.

α	1	3	5	7	10
$\overline{\mathrm{mIoU}}_{C2A}$	40.88	41.47	41.80	41.63	41.52
$\overline{\mathrm{mIoU}}_{G2A}$	29.61	30.13	30.70	30.55	30.49

530 6 (

6 CONCLUSION

We propose a graph motif-based adaptation method to deal with the zero-shot domain adaptive semantic segmentation problem. We employ the CLIP encoders to extract the visual and linguistic features and adopt the prompt-driven instance normalization module to transform the source features into stylized target features. We propose a graph motif structure to represent the relationships among the visual feature distributions and text embedding. By reducing the language-vision directed edges in the motifs, we pull visual features to the text embedding centers of target domains. In addition, we employ the relationship-constraint losses, *i.e.*, directional and contrastive losses, to stabilize the learning process and improve the robustness of the adaptation. The comprehensive experiments verify the effectiveness of our proposed method.

540 REFERENCES

549

550

551

552

559

565

566

567

568 569

570

571

576

577

542	Yihong Cao, Hui Zhang, Xiao Lu, Yurong Chen, Zheng Xiao, and Yaonan Wang. Adaptive refining-
543	aggregation-separation framework for unsupervised domain adaptation semantic segmentation.
544	IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, 33(8):3822–3832, 2023. doi:
545	10.1109/TCSVT.2023.3243402.

- Chaoqi Chen, Yushuang Wu, Qiyuan Dai, Hong-Yu Zhou, Mutian Xu, Sibei Yang, Xiaoguang Han, and Yizhou Yu. A survey on graph neural networks and graph transformers in computer vision: a task-oriented perspective. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.13232*, 2022.
 - Liang-Chieh Chen, Yukun Zhu, George Papandreou, Florian Schroff, and Hartwig Adam. Encoderdecoder with atrous separable convolution for semantic image segmentation. In *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV)*, pp. 801–818, 2018.
- Yi-Hsin Chen, Wei-Yu Chen, Yu-Ting Chen, Bo-Cheng Tsai, Yu-Chiang Frank Wang, and Min
 Sun. No more discrimination: Cross city adaptation of road scene segmenters. In *ICCV*, pp. 1992–2001, 2017.
- Marius Cordts, Mohamed Omran, Sebastian Ramos, Timo Rehfeld, Markus Enzweiler, Rodrigo
 Benenson, Uwe Franke, Stefan Roth, and Bernt Schiele. The cityscapes dataset for semantic
 urban scene understanding. In *CVPR*, pp. 3213–3223, 2016.
- Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, and Li Fei-Fei. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In *CVPR*, pp. 248–255. Ieee, 2009.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep
 bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*, 2018.
 - Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, et al. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929, 2020.
 - Mohammad Fahes, Tuan-Hung Vu, Andrei Bursuc, Patrick Pérez, and Raoul De Charette. Poda: Prompt-driven zero-shot domain adaptation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 18623–18633, 2023.
- Rinon Gal, Or Patashnik, Haggai Maron, Amit H Bermano, Gal Chechik, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Stylegan-nada: Clip-guided domain adaptation of image generators. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 41(4):1–13, 2022.
 - Yaroslav Ganin and Victor Lempitsky. Unsupervised domain adaptation by backpropagation. In *ICML*, pp. 1180–1189. PMLR, 2015.
- Peng Gao, Zhengkai Jiang, Haoxuan You, Pan Lu, Steven CH Hoi, Xiaogang Wang, and Hongsheng
 Li. Dynamic fusion with intra-and inter-modality attention flow for visual question answering.
 In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 6639–6648, 2019.
- Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *VPR*, Jun 2016. doi: 10.1109/cvpr.2016.90.
- Kaiming He, Georgia Gkioxari, Piotr Dollar, and Ross Girshick. Mask r-cnn. *IEEE Transactions* on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, pp. 386–397, Feb 2020. doi: 10.1109/tpami.2018.
 2844175. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/tpami.2018.2844175.
- Ziqi Jin, Jinheng Xie, Bizhu Wu, and Linlin Shen. Weakly supervised pedestrian segmentation for person re-identification. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, 33(3): 1349–1362, 2023. doi: 10.1109/TCSVT.2022.3210476.
- Guoliang Kang, Yunchao Wei, Yi Yang, Yueting Zhuang, and Alexander Hauptmann. Pixel-level
 cycle association: A new perspective for domain adaptive semantic segmentation. 33:3569–3580, 2020.

624

625

626

627

631

- Justin Kerr, ChungMin Kim, Ken Goldberg, Angjoo Kanazawa, Matthew Tancik, and UC Berkeley.
 Lerf: Language embedded radiance fields.
- Gihyun Kwon and Jong Chul Ye. Clipstyler: Image style transfer with a single text condition.
 In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 18062–18071, 2022.
- Daniel D Lee, P Pham, Y Largman, and A Ng. Advances in neural information processing systems
 22. Tech Rep, 2009.
- Attila Lengyel, Sourav Garg, Michael Milford, and Jan C van Gemert. Zero-shot day-night domain
 adaptation with a physics prior. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 4399–4409, 2021.
- Wuyang Li, Xiaoqing Guo, and Yixuan Yuan. Novel scenes & classes: Towards adaptive open-set
 object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*,
 pp. 15780–15790, 2023.
- Chengliang Liu, Zhihao Wu, Jie Wen, Yong Xu, and Chao Huang. Localized sparse incomplete multi-view clustering. *TMM*, 2022.
- Chengliang Liu, Jie Wen, Zhihao Wu, Xiaoling Luo, Chao Huang, and Yong Xu. Information
 recovery-driven deep incomplete multiview clustering network. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, pp. 1–11, 2023a.
- Yabo Liu, Jinghua Wang, Chao Huang, Yaowei Wang, and Yong Xu. Cigar: Cross-modality graph reasoning for domain adaptive object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 23776–23786, 2023b.
- Yabo Liu, Jinghua Wang, Linhui Xiao, Chengliang Liu, Zhihao Wu, and Yong Xu. Foregroundness-aware task disentanglement and self-paced curriculum learning for domain adaptive object detection. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, pp. 1–12, 2023c. doi: 10.1109/TNNLS.2023.3331778.
 - Ze Liu, Yutong Lin, Yue Cao, Han Hu, Yixuan Wei, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Baining Guo. Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pp. 10012–10022, 2021.
- Jiasen Lu, Dhruv Batra, Devi Parikh, and Stefan Lee. Vilbert: Pretraining task-agnostic visiolin guistic representations for vision-and-language tasks. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32, 2019.
- Yawei Luo, Ping Liu, Tao Guan, Junqing Yu, and Yi Yang. Adversarial style mining for one-shot unsupervised domain adaptation. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:20612– 20623, 2020.
- Or Patashnik, Zongze Wu, Eli Shechtman, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Dani Lischinski. Styleclip: Text driven manipulation of stylegan imagery. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international confer- ence on computer vision*, pp. 2085–2094, 2021.
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal,
 Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual
 models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pp. 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021.
- Joseph Redmon, Santosh Divvala, Ross Girshick, and Ali Farhadi. You only look once: Unified, real-time object detection. In *CVPR*, pp. 779–788, 2016.
- Stephan R Richter, Vibhav Vineet, Stefan Roth, and Vladlen Koltun. Playing for data: Ground truth
 from computer games. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2016: 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 11-14, 2016, Proceedings, Part II 14*, pp. 102–118. Springer, 2016.

648 649 650	Christos Sakaridis, Dengxin Dai, and Luc Van Gool. Acdc: The adverse conditions dataset with correspondences for semantic driving scene understanding. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision</i> , pp. 10765–10775, 2021.
652 653 654	Ozan Sener, Hyun Oh Song, Ashutosh Saxena, and Silvio Savarese. Learning Transferrable Representations for Unsupervised Domain Adaptation. In <i>NeurIPS</i> , volume 29. Curran Associates, Inc., 2016.
655 656 657	Shuang Song, Kamalika Chaudhuri, and Anand D Sarwate. Stochastic gradient descent with differ- entially private updates. In <i>GlobalSIP</i> , pp. 245–248. IEEE, 2013.
658 659	Hao Tan and Mohit Bansal. Lxmert: Learning cross-modality encoder representations from trans- formers. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.07490</i> , 2019.
660 661 662 663 664	Can Wang, Menglei Chai, Mingming He, Dongdong Chen, and Jing Liao. Clip-nerf: Text-and- image driven manipulation of neural radiance fields. In 2022 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Jun 2022. doi: 10.1109/cvpr52688.2022.00381. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/cvpr52688.2022.00381.
665 666 667	Can Wang, Ruixiang Jiang, Menglei Chai, Mingming He, Dongdong Chen, and Jing Liao. Nerf-art: Text-driven neural radiance fields stylization. <i>IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer</i> <i>Graphics</i> , 2023.
668 669 670	Jinghua Wang and Jianmin Jiang. Learning across tasks for zero-shot domain adaptation from a single source domain. <i>TPAMI</i> , 44(10):6264–6279, 2022. doi: 10.1109/TPAMI.2021.3088859.
671 672	Jinghua Wang, Ming-Ming Cheng, and Jianmin Jiang. Domain shift preservation for zero-shot domain adaptation. <i>TIP</i> , 30:5505–5517, 2021. doi: 10.1109/TIP.2021.3084354.
673 674 675	Douglas Brent West et al. <i>Introduction to graph theory</i> , volume 2. Prentice hall Upper Saddle River, 2001.
676 677 678	Jiawei Wu, Haoyi Fan, Zuoyong Li, Guang-Hai Liu, and Shouying Lin. Information transfer in semi-supervised semantic segmentation. <i>IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology</i> , 34(2):1174–1185, 2024. doi: 10.1109/TCSVT.2023.3292285.
679 680 681 682	Xinyi Wu, Zhenyao Wu, Yuhang Lu, Lili Ju, and Song Wang. Style mixing and patchwise prototyp- ical matching for one-shot unsupervised domain adaptive semantic segmentation. In <i>Proceedings</i> <i>of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence</i> , volume 36, pp. 2740–2749, 2022a.
683 684 685	Zhihao Wu, Chengliang Liu, Chao Huang, Jie Wen, and Yong Xu. Deep object detection with example attribute based prediction modulation. In <i>ICASSP</i> , pp. 2020–2024, 2022b. doi: 10.1109/ICASSP43922.2022.9746194.
687 688 689	Zhihao Wu, Chengliang Liu, Jie Wen, Yong Xu, Jian Yang, and Xuelong Li. Selecting high-quality proposals for weakly supervised object detection with bottom-up aggregated attention and phase-aware loss. <i>TIP</i> , 2022c.
690 691 692	Linhui Xiao, Xiaoshan Yang, Fang Peng, Ming Yan, Yaowei Wang, and Changsheng Xu. Clip-vg: Self-paced curriculum adapting of clip via exploiting pseudo-language labels for visual ground- ing. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.08685</i> , 2023.
693 694 695	Senqiao Yang, Zhuotao Tian, Li Jiang, and Jiaya Jia. Unified language-driven zero-shot domain adaptation. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.07155</i> , 2024.
696 697 698 699	Rowan Zellers, Mark Yatskar, Sam Thomson, and Yejin Choi. Neural motifs: Scene graph parsing with global context. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 5831–5840, 2018.
700 701	Lin Zhao and Wenbing Tao. Jsnet++: Dynamic filters and pointwise correlation for 3d point cloud instance and semantic segmentation. <i>IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology</i> , 33(4):1854–1867, 2023. doi: 10.1109/TCSVT.2022.3218076.

- Kaiyang Zhou, Jingkang Yang, Chen Change Loy, and Ziwei Liu. Conditional prompt learning for vision-language models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 16816–16825, 2022a.
- Kaiyang Zhou, Jingkang Yang, Chen Change Loy, and Ziwei Liu. Learning to prompt for vision language models. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 130(9):2337–2348, 2022b.

Qianyu Zhou, Zhengyang Feng, Qiqi Gu, Jiangmiao Pang, Guangliang Cheng, Xuequan Lu, Jian ping Shi, and Lizhuang Ma. Context-aware mixup for domain adaptive semantic segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, 33(2):804–817, 2023. doi: 10.1109/TCSVT.2022.3206476.

14	
----	--