# **MV-CLAM: Multi-View Molecular Interpretation** with Cross-Modal Projection via Language Model

Sumin Ha<sup>1,\*</sup>, Jun Hyeong Kim<sup>2,\*</sup>, Yinhua Piao<sup>3</sup>, Sun Kim<sup>1,3,4,5</sup>
 <sup>1</sup>Interdisciplinary Program in Artificial Intelligence, Seoul National University
 <sup>2</sup>Bio-MAX/N-Bio, Seoul National University
 <sup>3</sup>Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Seoul National University
 <sup>4</sup>Interdisciplinary Program in Bioinformatics, Seoul National University

<sup>5</sup>AIGENDRUG Co., Ltd.,

{suminqw124,tommy0906,2018-27910,bioinfo.sunkim}@snu.ac.kr

## Abstract

Large language models (LLMs) have shown significant potential in the biomolecular domain, particularly by demonstrating that effective adaptation of molecular representations for LLMs can greatly improve the quality of molecular captions. Most previous works have focused on aligning unimodal molecular structures with text, overlooking the diversity of modalities. Naive approaches to aligning multi-modal molecular structures with text often lead to (1) separately aligned embeddings, (2) inconsistent textual representations, and (3) increased computational overhead. To address these challenges, we propose LLM framework MV-CLAM equipped with MQ-Former, a novel multi-querying transformer. This architecture introduces a cross-model projector facilitating the simultaneous alignment of 2D and 3D molecular representations to a unified text token. By employing a shared self-attention layer, MQ-Former preserves rich molecular embeddings across different dimensions while consolidating them into a universal molecular token. Our approach outperforms baseline models in both molecule-text retrieval and molecule captioning tasks. Additionally, our framework shows promising results for zero-shot molecule editing, showcasing its capacity to extend beyond description generation. By effectively integrating multi-view molecular data into a format conducive to LLMs, our method serves as a valuable tool for enhancing the characterization and understanding of chemical structures, facilitating a more seamless transition from molecular data to textual descriptions. The source code of MV-CLAM is available in https://github.com/sumin124/mv-clam.git.

# 1 Introduction

Given that human expertise relies on a deep understanding of molecular structures and biomedical text, advancing language models to effectively integrate the two domains is a logical step forward (Edwards et al., 2022). The extensive biochemical literature knowledge embedded in the large pretraining corpora enables language models to grasp biochemical domain-specific concepts. Significant advancements in accuracy and applications have been made for molecule-related tasks, such as biochemical, medical question answering (Taylor et al., 2022; Li et al., 2024; Liu et al., 2023a) and molecule captioning (Liu et al., 2023b; Luo et al., 2024). The field of molecule-text translation plays a crucial role in facilitating efficient molecule characterization and comprehensive understanding for domain experts, particularly admist the rapid expansion of scientific data.

<sup>\*</sup>These authors contributed equally to the work



Figure 1: Methods for molecular language modeling

Self-supervised molecular representation learning (MRL) has made significant strides in capturing the properties and functions of small molecules across diverse applications (Guo et al., 2022). This success is built on harnessing various molecular structures, such as 1D SMILES (Simplified Molecular Input Line Entry System) strings (Irwin et al., 2022), 2D graphs (You et al., 2020; Hu et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2022), and 3D conformations (Zhou et al., 2023). Many computational chemistry tasks rely heavily on 2D molecular structures to capture atomic bonding patterns and molecular interconnectivity (Guo et al., 2022). 2D molecular representation is typically encoded as graph with atoms as nodes and bonds as edges, offering a clear and intuitive depiction of molecular architecture. Nodes are embedded with rich atomic features such as atomic number, formal charge and hybridization state while edges are characterized by bond type, length, and other relevant properties (Duvenaud et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2019). 3D molecular conformers, on the other hand, provide critical information about the spatial arrangement of atoms. The embedding of atom coordinates directly hint molecular conformation, interactions, and binding affinities in biological systems. Therefore, MRL models have evolved to handle 3D molecular information for downstream tasks that require 3D molecular geometry prediction or generation (e.g. protein-ligand affinity). Nonetheless, each variant of molecular representations contribute uniquely. 1D SMILES provide compact representation of molecular structures, 2D graphs capture the static relationships and connectivity essential for many chemical analyses and 3D structures reflect the dynamic spatial arrangement (Kim et al., 2024; Du et al., 2023).

The success of vision-language modeling methods (Alayrac et al., 2022; Merullo et al., 2022) has accelerated the application of cross-modal alignment in the molecular domain. Studies have adopted contrastive learning (Figure 1A) or the Q-Former (Li et al., 2023) framework (Figure 1B) to align molecular representations with text descriptions (Su et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2023a,b; Li et al., 2024). Q-Former excels in this area due to its effective cross-modal attention and query-based representation. Previous works have aligned only a single view of a molecule within the Q-Former framework (Figure 1B). However, as different dimensions capture distinct molecular characteristics, relying on a single view may be insufficient. Simultaneous alignment of 2D and 3D views to textual descriptions can resolve ambiguities inherent in a single representation. A simple approach would be to directly align each view to text using two separate alignment modules. However, this leads to several issues. 1) Separated embedding spaces. As independent pretrained models or encoders are utilized for 2D and 3D structures, the corresponding embeddings exist in a separate space. Without alignment between the respective multiple views, producing a consistent representation that leverages all information is difficult. 2) Lack of text consistency. Cross-modal alignment not only aligns molecular information to text, but also vice versa. Independent utilization of Q-formers lead textual representations to lie in different latent space, which conflicts the purpose of utilization. 3) *High computational cost*. Processing each view independently results in significant computational overhead.

To address these limitations, we propose **Multi-Querying Transformer** (**MQ-Former**). MQ-Former approximates the embedding spaces of 2D and 3D structures using a shared self-attention layer and employs a unified text transformer to generate a single, processed text token for each molecule (Figure 1C). Aligning multiple molecular views to the same text provides a more subtle and robust embedding, allowing models to capture both chemical and spatial semantics in a unified representation. In essence, adopting a multi-view approach enables a deeper and more complete molecular understanding. Moreover, by aligning the two views simultaneously, our approach achieves faster training speeds and reduces the training time by more than half compared to handling each view separately. Our contributions are as follows:

- We incorporate both 2D and 3D molecular structures to guide a more comprehensive understanding of molecules for language models.
- We propose MQ-former, a novel cross-modal projector that can align multiple different views to a unified text embedding space.
- We achieve state-of-the-art performance in molecule-text retrieval and molecule captioning tasks, enhance the interpretability of molecular representations, and demonstrate promising results in downstream zero-shot molecule editing.

# 2 MV-CLAM

MV-CLAM provides molecule captions given multiview structural information. 2D and 3D molecular structural information is extracted from specialized encoders and processed through MQ-Former's crossattention layers to update learnable query tokens for each dimension. These query tokens are aligned to textual space via the shared self attention and multiobjective learning, while also considering the alternative view. 2D and 3D queries are combined to create a universal query, which is then passed with the prompt and SMILES strings to the language model for caption generation. The overall framework of MV-CLAM is comprised of three main components: 1) Molecule structural graph encoders for 2D and 3D molecular structures, 2) MQ-Former as a crossmodal projector, and 3) LLaMA2 as the language model. (Figure 2).

#### 2.1 Molecular Graph Encoder

To capture structural information from multiple views, we used molecular embeddings from both 3D and 2D structural encoders. For the 3D encoder  $f_{3d}$ ,



Figure 2: Overall architecture of MV-CLAM. MQ-Former provides universal query which acts as a soft prompt to Llama2, optimized by LoRA

we deployed **Uni-Mol** (Zhou et al., 2023), a SE(3)-transformer based model pretrained on 209 million 3D molecular conformations using two tasks: 3D position recovery and masked atom prediction. Input 3D molecule for Uni-Mol is denoted as  $m_{3d} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{P})$ , where  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathbf{f}$  each represents atomic nodes and their features, and  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{V}| \times 3}$  represents 3D coordinates of atoms. Pair representations are initialized by invariant spatial positional encoding from atom coordinates and interact with atom



Figure 3: Training scheme of MQ-Former

representations. The output atomic representation  $H_{3d} \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{V}| \times d_{3d}}$ , where  $h_i$  corresponds to the *i*-th atom and  $d_{3d}$  denotes hidden dimension size of  $H_{3d}$ , updates learnable 3D query tokens through the cross-attention layers in MQ-Former's 3D molecular transformer block.

$$H_{3d} = [h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{|\mathcal{V}|}] = f_{3d}(m_{3d}) \tag{1}$$

For the 2D molecular encoder  $f_{2d}$ , we adopted **Molecule Attention Transformer (MAT)** (Maziarka et al., 2020), pretrained on two million molecule samples from ZINC15 dataset (Maziarka et al., 2020). Given 2D molecule  $m_{2d} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{A})$  is the input 2D molecule as input, MAT generates atomic representations  $H_{2d} \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{V}| \times d_{2d}}$  using a specialized molecule-specific attention mechanism that considers edges, atomic distances and atomic features. The atomic representations interact with the learnable 2D query tokens via cross-attention layers in 2D molecular transformer block.

$$H_{2d} = [h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{|\mathcal{V}|}] = f_{2d}(m_{2d}) \tag{2}$$

#### 2.2 MQ-Former: Multi-Querying Transformer

Previous studies applying Q-Former to the molecular domain projects single-dimensional structural embeddings into the textual space (Li et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024). These models consist of a single molecule transformer and a text transformer. However, this approach is inherently limited in its capacity to handle more than two modalities. MQ-Former addresses the limitation by introducing a novel architecture capable of aligning multiple modalities to the text space (Figure 3). Our approach combines structural representations of two dimensions, but the architecture can be extended using multiple molecule transformers and a single text transformer. Each molecule transformer, based on the BERT architecture with additional cross-attention layer, processes K learnable query tokens specific to their respective views. Following previous studies (Li et al., 2024; Liu et al., 2023b), we adopt the SciBERT (Beltagy et al., 2019) architecture for the text transformer and initialize all blocks with SciBERT's pretrained weights. Hence, textual descriptions S of length L are tokenized with SciBERT's tokenizer  $f_{sci}$  to  $X_{text}$  before being processed through MQ-Former's text transformer. The cross-attention layers enable information exchange across text and multi-view data.

Figure 3 illustrates MQ-Former generating a universal query tokens for a molecule given two different views. Two molecule transformer modules each updates distinct K query tokens  $Q_{2d} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times 768}$  and  $Q_{3d} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times 768}$ , which are randomly initialized. The learned query tokens,  $\hat{Q}_{2d}$  and  $\hat{Q}_{3d}$  of same size, are updated representations of these initial tokens, refined through the alignment of multiple molecule views and textual descriptions  $X_{\text{text}} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times 768}$ . Updated query tokens are concatenated to create a single universal query  $\hat{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{2K \times 768}$ , containing complementary structural information aligned to textual space. The resulting universal query tokens are then used as inputs for the language model, along with 1D SMILES string and task prompt as depicted in Figure 2.

$$\hat{Q} = f_{\text{concat}}(\hat{Q}_{2d}, \hat{Q}_{3d}) = f_{\text{MQformer}}(H_{2d}, H_{3d}, X_{\text{text}}, Q_{2d}, Q_{3d})$$
(3)

#### 2.3 LLaMA2 & LoRA

The pretraining corpus of LLaMA2 (Touvron et al., 2023) includes a vast amount of biomedical literature and thereby exerts powerful text generation capability with internal chemistry knowledge. This allows LLaMA2 to effectively interpret 1D molecular sequences and address tasks related to molecular comprehension. The language model adopts a causal mask to generate textual responses, where the prediction of each token depends on the preceding tokens. For the final prediction, each token is mapped to the most probable word in vocabulary using a softmax function after a linear layer. Despite its inherent capabilities, the language model necessitates fine-tuning to effectively address the universal queries posed by MQ-Former, particularly due to the modifications in the tokenizer resulting from changes in module processing of textual descriptions. To facilitate efficient fine-tuning, we implemented low-rank adaptation (LoRA).

# **3** Training MV-CLAM

The training of MV-CLAM consists of two stages. 1) Guiding MQ-Former to align both multi-view molecular representations to textual space, and 2) Refining query tokens as soft prompts to be effectively utilized by LLaMA2. Molecular encoders are frozen during the entire pipeline.

## 3.1 Stage 1: Training MQ-Former

Two sets of K learnable query tokens are updated by each molecule transformer block in Stage 1. Molecule transformer blocks hold self-attention, cross-attention and feed-forward layers. Specifically, the self attention layers in all blocks of MQ-Former are shared to exchange information between modalities and view. The objective is to train MQ-Former to better align molecular representations given by cross-attention to textual space. The training employs a multi-objective training loss constituted of molecule-text contrasting  $\ell_{MTC}$ , molecule-text matching  $\ell_{MTM}$  and molecule captioning  $\ell_{MCap}$  inspired by the BLIP-2 framework (Li et al., 2023, 2024).

**Molecule-text Contrasting.** During  $\ell_{MTC}$  computation, uni-modal self-attention mask ensure each transformer processes query tokens independently, preventing information exchange and promoting distinct representations for matching and non-matching molecule-text pairs. The 2D and 3D query tokens  $Q_{2d}(i)$ ,  $Q_{3d}(i)$  for *i*-th molecule are processed through their respective molecule transformers. Our 2K universal query token  $\hat{Q}(i)$  is formed by concatenating the learned query sets.

 $\ell_{MTC}$  is measured as cosine similarity between the universal query  $\hat{Q}(i)$  and text representation  $X_{\text{text}}(i)$  with temperature scaling for precision.  $\ell_{MTC}$  is computed as the batch mean of the sum of the molecule-to-text loss  $\ell_{g2t}$  and text-to-molecule loss  $\ell_{t2g}$ .  $\ell_{g2t}$  encourages the universal query representation which encodes both 2D and 3D molecular structures, to match its corresponding text representation while contrasting it against all other text representations within the batch. Similarly,  $\ell_{t2g}$  aligns the text representation with its matching molecular query. Together  $\ell_{MTC}$  form a bidirectional alignment between molecular features and textual descriptions, enhancing the ability of MQ-Former to jointly represent and contrast molecules and their associated textual descriptions.  $\ell_{g2t}$  and  $\ell_{t2g}$  is as written below, where M is the size of the batch and  $\tau$  is the temperature parameter.

$$\ell_{g2t} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \log \frac{\exp(\max_k \cos(\hat{Q}(i), X_{\text{text}}(i))/\tau)}{\sum_{j=1}^{M} \exp(\max_k \cos(\hat{Q}(i), X_{\text{text}}(j))/\tau)}$$

$$\ell_{t2g} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \log \frac{\exp(\max_k \cos(X_{\text{text}}(i), \hat{Q}(i))/\tau)}{\sum_{j=1}^{M} \exp(\max_k \cos(X_{\text{text}}(i), \hat{Q}(j))/\tau)}$$
(4)

**Molecule-text Matching**.  $\ell_{MTM}$  is for a binary classification task to predict matching molecule-text pairs. Bi-directional self-attention masks lead all text and molecular embeddings from different dimensions to share their information, guiding MQ-Former to capture fine-grained similarities between the domains. Universal query tokens are obtained then processed through a linear classifier after mean pooling. Let  $\rho(\hat{Q}(i), X_{\text{text}}(i))$  denote the predicted probability that universal query  $\hat{Q}(i)$  matches its corresponding text description  $X_{\text{text}}(i)$ .  $\ell_{MTM}$  is calculated as follows:

$$\ell_{MTM} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left( -\log \rho(\hat{Q}(i), X_{\text{text}}(i)) + \log \rho(\hat{Q}(i), X_{\text{text}}(j)) + \log \rho(\hat{Q}(r), X_{\text{text}}(i)) \right)$$
(5)

where  $X_{\text{text}}(j)$ ,  $\hat{Q}(r)$  are randomly selected negative samples from the batch. Overall,  $\ell_{MTM}$  aids MQ-Former to maximize the likelihood of matched pairs and minimize mismatches, enhancing its ability to differentiate between true and false pairs.

**Molecule Captioning**.  $\ell_{MCap}$  is designed to generate accurate text descriptions based on multi-view query tokens. A multi-modal causal self-attention masking strategy ensures that molecule query tokens rely on cross-attention with molecular embeddings for text generation, preventing direct access to text tokens. Text is generated auto-regressively, where each token is predicted sequentially based on the corresponding molecular queries. Instead of harnessing universal queries,  $\ell_{MCap}$  sums up separate losses for 2D and 3D query tokens, ensuring that each query token retains its unique

dimensional information while improving the captioning ability. The  $\ell_{MCap}$  is defined as follows:

$$\ell_{MCap} = -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \log p(X_{\text{text}}(i)|\hat{Q}_{2d}(i)) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \log p(X_{\text{text}}(i)|\hat{Q}_{3d}(i))$$
(6)

where  $p(X_{\text{text}}|\hat{Q}_{2d})$  and  $p(X_{\text{text}}|\hat{Q}_{3d})$  represents the probability of generating the text description based independently on 2D or 3D molecular queries, respectively. While the other two losses focus on aligning or matching molecule-text pairs, the  $\ell_{MCap}$  directly impacts the ability to generate new text based on molecular representations. Given its critical role, we assigned a greater weight  $\alpha$  during multi-objective training, guiding MQ-Former to generate quality query tokens for text-generation tasks. Overall, the total loss for training MQ-Former  $\ell_{MQ}$  in Stage 1 is as follows:

$$\ell_{MQ} = \ell_{MTC} + \ell_{MTM} + \alpha * \ell_{MCap} \tag{7}$$

#### 3.2 Stage 2: Specializing LLaMA2 for Molecule Captioning

In Stage 2, MQ-Former is further trained alongside LLaMA2 to generate molecular descriptions. The goal is to enhance MQ-Former's ability to produce universal queries that are not only aligned with the textual space but better interpretable by LLaMA2. In this stage, textual descriptions are tokenized and decoded using LLaMA tokenizer. MQ-Former is fine-tuned using  $\ell_{MTC}$  and  $\ell_{MTM}$  and the captioning loss is derived from output captions of LLaMA2. Universal query tokens, 1D SMILES are given as input with prompt. LoRA (Hu et al., 2021) is employed for efficient finetuning, focusing on a subset of parameters. Detailed LoRA setting are in Appendix A3.

## 4 Experiments

#### 4.1 Datasets

**PubChem324K**. For molecule-text alignment and molecule captioning, we collected 324k molecular SMILES-text pairs from PubChem (Kim et al., 2021). 2D graph features are constructed using Maziarka et al. (2020) codes and 3D molecular conformations are calculated using MMFF algorithm in RDKit (Landrum et al., 2013). Only molecules with valid 2D graphs and 3D conformations are used for training. We follow dataset construction as provided in 3D-MoLM (Li et al., 2024) which also requires 3D molecular conformations. High-quality subset of 15k pairs with text longer than 19 words are sampled for train, valid, test datasets. Shorter pairs are used for pretraining. The statistics for the final PubChem324k dataset used in this study are presented in Appendix Table 4.

#### 4.2 Benchmark models

Baseline models include 1) pretrained language models for science: Sci-BERT (Beltagy et al., 2019), 2) models with molecule-text contrastive learning: KV-PLM (Zeng et al., 2022), MoMu (Su et al., 2022), and 3) models with Q-Former modules: 3D-MoLM (Li et al., 2024), UniMoT (Zhang et al., 2024). For molecule captioning, we also benchmark Llama2-7B and 2D-MoLM, each as a variant of 3D-MoLM using 1D and 2D information along with MoIT5 (Edwards et al., 2022).

## 5 Results

#### 5.1 Molecule-Text Retrieval

We evaluate MV-CLAM for molecule-text retrieval on the PubChem324k dataset. After pretraining for 35 epochs, the model is fine-tuned on the training subset with longer captions for 10 epochs. We perform two rounds of evaluation on molecule-to-text and text-to-molecule retrieval tasks, using Accuracy and Recall@20 metrics: within batch size of 64 and is across the entire test set. We report baseline performances as written in literature (Li et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024).

As shown in Table 1, MV-CLAM outperforms baseline approaches that represent molecules as 1D SMILES strings, 2D graphs, or 3D conformers. Additionally, results are achieved within a total of 45 epochs, comparative to 3D-MoLM that trains for 60 epochs. We attribute our superior performance to 1) our usage of unified query that aligns both 2D and 3D information to text and 2) modification on the

		Retrieval in batch				Retrieval in test set			
Model	М	2T	T	2M	Μ	2T	Τź	2M	
	ACC	R@20	ACC	R@20	ACC	R@20	ACC	R@20	
1D SMILES									
Sci-BERT(Beltagy et al., 2019)	85.32	98.74	84.2	98.43	41.67	87.31	40.18	86.77	
KV-PLM(Zeng et al., 2022)	86.05	98.63	85.21	98.47	42.8	88.46	41.67	87.8	
2D Graph									
MoMu-S(Su et al., 2022)	87.58	99.24	86.44	99.38	47.29	90.77	48.13	89.92	
MoMu-K(Su et al., 2022)	88.23	99.41	87.29	99.42	48.47	91.64	49.46	90.73	
2D Graph + Tokenizer									
UniMoT(Zhang et al., 2024)	93.6	100.0	92.7	99.4	69.5	96.3	69.8	94.4	
3D Conformer									
3D-MoLM(Li et al., 2024)	93.5	100.0	92.89	99.59	69.05	95.91	70.13	94.88	
2D Graph + 3D Conformer									
MV-CLAM	96.57	<u>99.95</u>	97.03	99.95	76.32	96.57	77.03	96.42	

Table 1: Molecule-Text retrieval performance in batch and test set for different models. The highest value in each category is indicated in bold, and the second highest value is underlined.

Q-Former's multi-objective loss to amplify molecule captioning loss. As a result, the text transformer is better equipped to decode molecule descriptions under 2D and 3D conditions, benefiting from the enriched molecular information. While good retrieval performance is often indicative of strong cross-modal understanding that benefit captioning tasks as demonstrated in previous studies (Li et al., 2024, 2023), the relationship is not absolute. Hence we proceed to evaluate the performance of molecule captioning.

## 5.2 Molecule Captioning

Following previous studiesLi et al. (2024), we use BLEU, ROUGE, METEOR metrics to evaluate molecule captioning on the PubChem324k dataset. As outlined in Section 4.2, we apply LoRA to fine-tune LLaMA2 for the molecular domain, training 10 epochs on the pretraining subset and an additional 10 epochs on the training subset. Table 2 shows MV-CLAM consistently outperforms all baselines. Given that the PubChem324k dataset include molecular nomenclature, our model excels not only in generating appropriate captions based on molecular structure including information on clinical usage and chemical properties but also in accurately predicting molecular names. Appendix Table 6 highlights the model's ability to correctly identify International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) nomenclature and generic drug names. These two types of nomenclature differ significantly in terms of language model processing. IUPAC names follow systematic chemical rules, making them complex and highly structured, while generic drug names are more standardized and commonly used in clinical contexts. Despite these differences, MV-CLAM successfully identifies both types of names, showcasing its ability to handle a range of linguistic and chemical complexities. Moreover, MV-CLAM demonstrates its capacity to generate literature-matching captions absent in ground truth, as seen in the case of *Rifapentine* in Appendix Table 6, highlighting the ability to produce highly informed and contextually relevant outputs.

#### 5.3 Effectiveness of MQ-Former

In this section, we substantiate the effectiveness of incorporating multi-view chemical information within the MQ-Former architecture. We conduct both quantitative and qualitative analysis to compare our superiority to the usage of single-view molecule representation with Q-Former: 2D-QFormer and 3D-QFormer. Molecular encoders are identically set for the ablation studies.

As a quantitative analysis, we show that the combination of both modalities leads to a notable synergistic effect, improving the model's overall performance (Table 3). By combining the two perspectives, the model gains a richer understanding of molecular properties which in turn improves accuracy and expressiveness of molecule captioning. The alignment of both modalities ensures that critical information is utilized, leading to more robust and detailed predictions, supporting the hypothesis that well-orchestrated multi-modal fusion can surpass the limitations of single-modal approaches in capturing complex molecular characteristics.

	BLEU-2	BLEU-4	ROUGE-1	ROUGE-2	ROUGE-L	METEOR
1D SMILES						
MolT5-Small(Edwards et al., 2022)	22.53	15.23	30.44	13.45	20.30	23.98
MolT5-Base(Edwards et al., 2022)	24.51	16.61	32.19	14.04	21.35	26.10
MolT5-Large(Edwards et al., 2022)	25.87	17.28	34.07	16.42	23.41	28.04
Llama2-7B*(Li et al., 2024)	27.01	20.94	35.76	20.68	28.88	32.11
2D Graph						
MoMu-Small(Su et al., 2022)	22.86	16.01	30.98	13.65	20.75	24.35
MoMu-Base(Su et al., 2022)	24.74	16.77	32.45	14.62	22.09	27.16
MoMu-Large(Su et al., 2022)	26.34	18.01	34.75	16.86	24.76	28.73
2D-MoLM*(Li et al., 2024)	27.15	21.19	36.02	20.76	29.12	32.28
2D Graph + Tokenizer						
UniMoT(Zhang et al., 2024)	31.3	23.8	37.5	23.7	33.6	34.8
3D Conformer						
3D-MoLM(Li et al., 2024)	30.32	22.52	36.84	22.32	31.23	33.06
2D Graph + 3D Conformer						
MV-CLAM	31.75	24.48	40.43	25.72	33.79	36.54

Table 2: Molecule captioning performance for different models. The highest value in each category is indicated in bold, and the second highest value is underlined. \* denotes the model was pretrained with larger datasets in original paper.

We exemplify two case studies to interpret how each transformer module and modality focus on distinct aspects of the molecule and its corresponding text. These qualitative studies provide insight into the alignment process by analyzing how different views contribute to the comprehensive understanding of molecular structures and their textual descriptions.

**Case Study 1: Visualizing Attention Maps for 2D and 3D Query Tokens.** Embedding grounded on different latent spaces and dimensions differently align molecular information to text. Visualization of the distinct alignment is performed by extracting and comparing the attention maps of the shared self-attention layers when processing 2D and 3D query tokens respectively with text tokens.

In the first example, only 2D queries assign exceptionally high attention weights to the word '*water*' (Appendix Figure 6). The discrepancy between two attention maps implies that 2D query tokens efficiently focus on chemical and material properties that may be neglected in 3D settings. In contrast, for the sentences containing of structural equation information, 3D attention map shows strong attention to positions inherent in molecular formula (Appendix Figure 7). Significant attention is assigned on the number '3' in 3D attention map, less pronounced in the 2D attention map. This suggests that the 3D query tokens, informed by 3D spatial coordinates, are more attuned to the structural aspects of the molecule. In summary, 2D and 3D query tokens each focus on different aspects within the same sentence, complementing each other to prevent critical information from being missed and thereby leading to more informative and accurate molecule descriptions.

**Case Study 2: Comparing molecule captions with 2D-Qformer and 3D-Qformer.** We illustrates the difference in captioning results between the uni-modal Q-Former ablation models and ours demonstrating the effects of utilizing multi-view molecular understanding in text generation (Figure 4). The 2D and 3D uni-modal ablations struggle to fully capture complex and large structures like '(*R*)-*3-hydroxytriacontanoyl-CoA*'. The ablation models fail to retain sufficient structural information required to differentiate long carbon chains with their functional groups. However, as shown in the case study, our model captures not only carboxylic acid but also phosphonate groups, which are often considered bioisosteric replacements for sulfonate acids in medicinal chemistry due to their structural similarity (Macchiarulo and Pellicciari, 2007). In comparison, the ablation models only managed to capture one of these groups, indicating that multi-view approach enables the generation of accurate nomenclature and richer descriptive information.

Table 3: Molecule Captioning Ablation Study

	BLEU-2	BLEU-4	ROUGE-1	ROUGE-2	ROUGE-L	METEOR
2D-Qformer	29.72	22.26	38.22	23.45	31.61	34.22
3D-Qformer	29.45	22.03	37.86	23.11	31.83	33.79
Ours	31.75	24.48	40.43	25.72	33.79	36.54



Figure 4: Comparison of Uni-modal Q-Former Ablation and Ours

## 5.4 Zero-shot Molecule Editing

Zero-shot text-based molecule editing is highly relevant to practical applications in drug discovery. While current approaches are dependent on manual modifications via domain experts, domain-specialized large language models may provide an automated and scalable solution for generating and optimizing novel compounds, thereby accelerating lead optimization and enhancing the overall efficiency of the drug development pipeline. Unlike conventional natural languages, SMILES encode molecular topology and properties demanding a specialized understanding of its notation system. Thereby, previous efforts in text-based de-novo molecule generation with large language models typically involves training or developing tokenizers that account for the unique grammar of SMILES (Edwards et al., 2022).

In our approach, we attempt to take a more streamlined approach by fine-tuning MV-CLAM adapted for molecule captioning in previous stages to directly output SMILES strings. We seek to impart the model with the ability to learn SMILES grammar, by training the language model to output the target SMILES sequence given the universal molecular query generated by MQ-Former. This takes advantage of model's pre-existing multi-view molecular understanding from prior training and efficiently grasp the intricacies of SMILES notation to generate edited molecules with instruction prompts. In this section we show successful case studies of the language model generating valid SMILES strings with adequate property modifications. Compared to previous works which mostly generate mere modifications of a single functional group, MV-CLAM generates diversified chemical structure modifications that may not be immediately obvious. This ability to generate more complex modifications is particularly advantageous for domain experts, as simple functional group changes are typically easy to perform manually. We attribute this diversity to the model's robust understanding of molecules within the textual space. The alignment between molecules and text is achieved by focusing on distinct substructures and molecular properties through the multi-view approach. Additional examples can be found in Appendix A.8.

## 6 Conclusion

In this paper, we introduce MV-CLAM equipped with MQ-Former, a novel cross-modal projector. The essence of cross-modal projection lies in aligning the enriched molecular representation spaces with the text space of language models. Our architecture successfully retains complementary information from multiple dimension into a single universal token easily interpreted by large language models for molecule description tasks. Extensive experiments demonstrate that MV-CLAM has successfully



Figure 5: Zero-shot editing with chemical properties

fine-tunes large language models for molecule understanding, including molecule-text retrieval and molecule captioning tasks, with potential for broader applications.

For future work, we aim to extend this framework to incorporate additional molecular representations, including 1D chemical structures, proteomics, and multiomics data. By aligning more views within MV-CLAM's architecture, we anticipate improved navigation of the drug space and a deeper understanding of molecular interactions across biological contexts. Additionally, curating larger molecule-text datasets is expected to enhance the model's performance and its ability to generalize to subtle molecular variations.

## 7 Acknowledgement

This research was supported by the Bio & Medical Technology Development Program of the National Research Foundation (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science & ICT(NRF-2022M3E5F3085677, 2022M3E5F3085681, RS-2023-00257479). This work was supported by Institute of Information & communications Technology Planning & Evaluation (IITP) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT) [RS-2021-II211343, Artificial Intelligence Graduate School Program (Seoul National University)]. This work was funded by AIGENDRUG CO., LTD.

### References

- Alayrac, J.-B., Donahue, J., Luc, P., Miech, A., Barr, I., Hasson, Y., Lenc, K., Mensch, A., Millican, K., Reynolds, M., et al. (2022). Flamingo: a visual language model for few-shot learning. Advances in neural information processing systems, 35:23716–23736.
- Beltagy, I., Lo, K., and Cohan, A. (2019). Scibert: A pretrained language model for scientific text. arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.10676.
- Du, W., Yang, X., Wu, D., Ma, F., Zhang, B., Bao, C., Huo, Y., Jiang, J., Chen, X., and Wang, Y. (2023). Fusing 2d and 3d molecular graphs as unambiguous molecular descriptors for conformational and chiral stereoisomers. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, 24(1):bbac560.
- Duvenaud, D. K., Maclaurin, D., Iparraguirre, J., Bombarell, R., Hirzel, T., Aspuru-Guzik, A., and Adams, R. P. (2015). Convolutional networks on graphs for learning molecular fingerprints. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 28.

- Edwards, C., Lai, T., Ros, K., Honke, G., Cho, K., and Ji, H. (2022). Translation between molecules and natural language. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.11817*.
- Fang, X., Liu, L., Lei, J., He, D., Zhang, S., Zhou, J., Wang, F., Wu, H., and Wang, H. (2022). Geometry-enhanced molecular representation learning for property prediction. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 4(2):127–134.
- Guo, Z., Guo, K., Nan, B., Tian, Y., Iyer, R. G., Ma, Y., Wiest, O., Zhang, X., Wang, W., Zhang, C., et al. (2022). Graph-based molecular representation learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.04869*.
- Hu, E. J., Shen, Y., Wallis, P., Allen-Zhu, Z., Li, Y., Wang, S., Wang, L., and Chen, W. (2021). Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09685*.
- Hu, W., Liu, B., Gomes, J., Zitnik, M., Liang, P., Pande, V., and Leskovec, J. (2019). Strategies for pre-training graph neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.12265*.
- Irwin, R., Dimitriadis, S., He, J., and Bjerrum, E. J. (2022). Chemformer: a pre-trained transformer for computational chemistry. *Machine Learning: Science and Technology*, 3(1):015022.
- Kim, S., Chen, J., Cheng, T., Gindulyte, A., He, J., He, S., Li, Q., Shoemaker, B. A., Thiessen, P. A., Yu, B., et al. (2021). Pubchem in 2021: new data content and improved web interfaces. *Nucleic acids research*, 49(D1):D1388–D1395.
- Kim, S., Woo, J., and Kim, W. Y. (2024). Diffusion-based generative ai for exploring transition states from 2d molecular graphs. *Nature Communications*, 15(1):341.
- Landrum, G. et al. (2013). Rdkit: A software suite for cheminformatics, computational chemistry, and predictive modeling. *Greg Landrum*, 8(31.10):5281.
- Li, J. and Jiang, X. (2021). Mol-bert: An effective molecular representation with bert for molecular property prediction. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, 2021(1):7181815.
- Li, J., Li, D., Savarese, S., and Hoi, S. (2023). Blip-2: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training with frozen image encoders and large language models. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 19730–19742. PMLR.
- Li, S., Liu, Z., Luo, Y., Wang, X., He, X., Kawaguchi, K., Chua, T.-S., and Tian, Q. (2024). Towards 3d molecule-text interpretation in language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.13923*.
- Liu, S., Nie, W., Wang, C., Lu, J., Qiao, Z., Liu, L., Tang, J., Xiao, C., and Anandkumar, A. (2023a). Multi-modal molecule structure-text model for text-based retrieval and editing. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 5(12):1447–1457.
- Liu, S., Wang, H., Liu, W., Lasenby, J., Guo, H., and Tang, J. (2021). Pre-training molecular graph representation with 3d geometry. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.07728*.
- Liu, Z., Li, S., Luo, Y., Fei, H., Cao, Y., Kawaguchi, K., Wang, X., and Chua, T.-S. (2023b). Molca: Molecular graph-language modeling with cross-modal projector and uni-modal adapter. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.12798.
- Luo, Y., Yang, K., Hong, M., Liu, X. Y., Nie, Z., Zhou, H., and Nie, Z. (2024). Learning multi-view molecular representations with structured and unstructured knowledge. In *Proceedings of the 30th* ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, pages 2082–2093.
- Macchiarulo, A. and Pellicciari, R. (2007). Exploring the other side of biologically relevant chemical space: insights into carboxylic, sulfonic and phosphonic acid bioisosteric relationships. *Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling*, 26(4):728–739.
- Maziarka, Ł., Danel, T., Mucha, S., Rataj, K., Tabor, J., and Jastrzębski, S. (2020). Molecule attention transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.08264*.
- Merullo, J., Castricato, L., Eickhoff, C., and Pavlick, E. (2022). Linearly mapping from image to text space. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.15162*.

- Su, B., Du, D., Yang, Z., Zhou, Y., Li, J., Rao, A., Sun, H., Lu, Z., and Wen, J.-R. (2022). A molecular multimodal foundation model associating molecule graphs with natural language. arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.05481.
- Taylor, R., Kardas, M., Cucurull, G., Scialom, T., Hartshorn, A., Saravia, E., Poulton, A., Kerkez, V., and Stojnic, R. (2022). Galactica: A large language model for science. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.09085.
- Touvron, H., Martin, L., Stone, K., Albert, P., Almahairi, A., Babaei, Y., Bashlykov, N., Batra, S., Bhargava, P., Bhosale, S., et al. (2023). Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*.
- Wang, S., Guo, Y., Wang, Y., Sun, H., and Huang, J. (2019). Smiles-bert: large scale unsupervised pre-training for molecular property prediction. In *Proceedings of the 10th ACM international* conference on bioinformatics, computational biology and health informatics, pages 429–436.
- Wang, Y., Wang, J., Cao, Z., and Barati Farimani, A. (2022). Molecular contrastive learning of representations via graph neural networks. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 4(3):279–287.
- Wu, F., Radev, D., and Li, S. Z. (2023). Molformer: Motif-based transformer on 3d heterogeneous molecular graphs. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 37, pages 5312–5320.
- Yang, K., Swanson, K., Jin, W., Coley, C., Eiden, P., Gao, H., Guzman-Perez, A., Hopper, T., Kelley, B., Mathea, M., et al. (2019). Analyzing learned molecular representations for property prediction. *Journal of chemical information and modeling*, 59(8):3370–3388.
- You, Y., Chen, T., Sui, Y., Chen, T., Wang, Z., and Shen, Y. (2020). Graph contrastive learning with augmentations. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:5812–5823.
- Zeng, Z., Yao, Y., Liu, Z., and Sun, M. (2022). A deep-learning system bridging molecule structure and biomedical text with comprehension comparable to human professionals. *Nature communications*, 13(1):862.
- Zhang, J., Bian, Y., Chen, Y., and Yao, Q. (2024). Unimot: Unified molecule-text language model with discrete token representation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.00863*.
- Zhou, G., Gao, Z., Ding, Q., Zheng, H., Xu, H., Wei, Z., Zhang, L., and Ke, G. (2023). Unimol: A universal 3d molecular representation learning framework. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*.

# **A** Appendix

## A.1 Related Works

Molecular representation learning. Recent research in representation learning for molecules has seen significant advancements, particularly in leveraging large-scale unlabeled molecular data. SMILES-BERT (Wang et al., 2019), MolBERT (Li and Jiang, 2021) adapts the BERT architecture on SMILES string for molecular property prediction tasks. To better focus on structural information of molecules, various graph-based representation learning models were presented. MolCLR (Wang et al., 2022) specifically tailored contrastive learning for molecular graphs using data augmentation while MAT (Maziarka et al., 2020) reinterpreted the attention mechanism of transformers to consider distance and edges. More recent works concentrate on employing 3D geometry, mostly to exploit 3D spatial coordinates. GraphMVP (Liu et al., 2021) proposed a contrastive learning framework that bridges 2D topological and 3D geometric views of molecules. GEM (Fang et al., 2022) incorporated 3D geometric information by using bond angles and lengths as additional edge attributes in molecular graphs. Uni-Mol is a SE(3)-transformer based model pretrained via 3D position recovery and masked atom prediction. Additionally, MolFormer (Wu et al., 2023) integrates SMILES, graph, and 3D conformer information in a unified transformer architecture for molecular property prediction. These recent advancements demonstrate a trend towards incorporating more diverse and rich molecular information to improve the quality and applicability of learned representations, validating the approach of our research.

### A.2 Datasets Statistics

**PubChem**. We gathered 324k SMILES-text pairs from PubChem, generating 2D graphs and 3D conformations using existing methods (Maziarka et al., 2020; Landrum et al., 2013). Molecules with valid structures were used, with 15k longer-text pairs for training, and shorter ones for pretraining.

Table 4: PubChem324k dataset statistics							
Subset	#Molecule-Text Pairs	#Min Words	#Avg Words				
Pretrain	290,507	1	17.84				
Train	11,753	20	57.24				
Valid	977	20	58.31				
Test	1,955	20	55.21				

**ZINC20**. Following the experiment settings of Liu et al. (2023a), 200 molecules randomly selected from the ZINC20 dataset are given 6 single-objective molecule editing instructions. The 200 molecules follow the property distribution of the entire dataset, and do not overlap with the PubChem324k training dataset in previous stages. The six instructions are the following. 1) The molecule is soluble in water. 2) The molecule is insoluble in water. 3) The molecule has high permeability. 4) The molecule has low permeability. 5) The molecule is like a drug. 6) The molecule is not like a drug. 7) The molecule has more hydrogen bond donors. 8) The molecule has more hydrogen bond acceptors.

**3D-MoIT**. A total of 18439K molecule-instruction text pairs are employed using the dataset split as given in the original paper (Li et al., 2024). The dataset consists of two types of molecular property prediction tasks: (1) Computed property prediction including 3D-dependent properties (e.g. HOMO) and (2) descriptive property prediction.

Table 5: Statistics of the PubChemQC and PubChem datasets across different subsets.

Subcot	PubC	hemQC	PubChem				
Subset	#Mol	#Comp. QA	#Mol	#Comp. QA	#Desc. QA		
Pretrain	3,119,717	12,478,868	301,658	1,199,066	1,508,290		
Train	623,944	2,495,776	12,000	46,680	60,000		
Valid	77,993	311,972	1,000	3,898	5,000		
Test	77,993	311,972	2,000	7,785	10,000		

## A.3 Experimental Settings

Stage 1 Molecule-Text Retrieval Pretraining. Stage 1 serves to effectively transform molecular representations into query tokens interpretable in textual space. Using the PubChem324k pretraining subset with shorter textual descriptions, that is less informative but easier to align, MQ-former is trained for 35 epochs. A total of 301,658 molecules generated valid 2D graphs and 3D conformers, and thereby was used for pretraining. The goal of this stage was to optimize MQ-Former's universal query generation by multi-objective training (molecule-text contrasting, molecule-text contrasting, and molecule captioning). Pretraining was conducted for 35 epochs using 3 NVIDIA A6000 GPUs with a batch size of 99. Learnable query tokens of each view was set to 12 tokens and were randomly initialized. Both the Uni-Mol and MAT graph encoders were frozen throughout the pipeline to prevent the model from focusing too much on modifying the graph encoders, ensuring the training prioritized aligning representations with the textual space. MQ-Former was initialized with SciBERT (Beltagy et al., 2019), a BERT variant tailored for scientific and biomedical domains. SciBERT utilizes SciVocab built from a scientific corpus, and is pretrained on 1.14 million Semantic Scholar papers. To put emphasis on the decoding ability given the molecule tokens, we assigned a weight of 2 to the captioning loss. Maximum text length was configured to 256. We used an optimizer with a warmup step of 200 and a learning rate scheduler with a decay rate of 0.9. Gradient accumulation was set to 1 batch per step.

**Stage 1 Molecule-Text Retrieval Finetuning**. After 35 epochs of pretraining, we loaded the checkpoint and fine-tuned MQ-Former for an additional 10 eopchs on PubChem's train, validation and test datasets, consisting of 12,000, 1,000, and 2,000 molecules respectively. This serves to raise alignment capability given longer and more complex textual descriptions. The optimizer, learning rate scheduler, batch size and text length settings are identical to the previous phase.

**Stage 2 Molecule Captioning Pretraining**. Stage 2 serves to further refine the universal tokens in a manner suited to a specific language model, LLaMA2 (Touvron et al., 2023). Using the trained model checkpoint from Stage 1 training stage, we conducted 10 epochs of pretraining on the PubChem dataset. During the phase, we optimized two tasks: molecule-text contrasting and molecule-text matching for MQ-Former, while using LLaMA2 for the molecule captioning task. The universal query generated by MQ-Former, along with the 1D SMILES string and an instruction prompt were given as input to the language model to generate textual descriptions for the molecules.

To fine-tune LLaMA2 efficiently, we employed LoRA (Hu et al., 2021) with a configuration of r=8,  $\alpha=32$ , and a 0.1 dropout rate. These settings were applied to the  $[k_{proj}, v_{proj}, q_{proj}, o_{proj}, gate_{proj}, up_{proj}, down_{proj}]$  modules, adding 19 million trainable parameters, which constituted 0.29% of the total parameters in the LLaMA2-7B model. Unlike Stage 1, we used batch size of 30 with a maximum text length of 320 considering the prompt size. Token length for generation was set to range between 128 and 320. Gradient accumulation was set to 2. The training was carried out using 3 NVIDIA A6000 GPUs.

**Stage 2 Molecule Captioning Fine-tuning**. Stage 2 pretraining checkpoint was further finetuned on the train-validation-test datasets. Experimental settings are identical with stage 2 pretraining phase, excluding batch size which was reduced to 18.

## A.4 Molecular Naming and Contextual Output Generation

Appendix Table 6, as explained in Section 5.2, showcases MV-CLAM's accuracy in predicting molecular nomenclature and generating literature-matching captions, even for absent descriptions.

Table 6: Comparison of ground truth and MV-CLAM descriptions. Matching keywords are highlighted in bold, while additional details provided by MV-CLAM are marked in red.

Molecule	Ground Truth	MV-CLAM
	<b>Rifapentine</b> is a <b>rifamycin antibiotic</b> that is similar in structure and activity to rifampin and rifabutin and that is used in combination with other agents as <b>therapy of tuberculosis</b> , par- ticularly in once or twice weekly regimens. Rifapentine is associated with transient and asymptomatic elevations in serum aminotrans- ferase and is a likely cause of <b>clinically appar- ent acute liver injury</b> .	<b>Rifapentine</b> is a <b>rifamycin antibiotic</b> that is similar in structure and activity to rifampin and rifabutin and that is used in combination with other agents as <b>therapy of tuberculosis</b> , par- ticularly in once or twice weekly regimens. Rifapentine is associated with transient and asymptomatic elevations in serum aminotrans- ferase and is a likely cause of <b>clinically ap- parent acute liver injury</b> . Rifapentine is a <b>long-acting, cyclopentyl-substituted deriva- tive of rifamycin</b> .
, Amm	N-(2-hydroxytricosanoyl)-15- methylhexadecasphing-4-enine-1- phosphocholine is an N-acyl-15- methylhexadecasphing-4-enine-1- phosphocholine in which the acyl group has 23 carbons and 0 double bonds and is 2-hydroxylated. It is functionally related to a 15-methylhexadecasphing-4-enine.	N-(2-hydroxytricosanoyl)-15- methylhexadecasphing-4-enine-1- phosphocholine is an N-acyl-15- methylhexadecasphing-4-enine-1- phosphocholine in which the acyl group has 23 carbons and 0 double bonds and is 2-hydroxylated. It is functionally related to a 15-methylhexadecasphing-4-enine.

#### A.5 Attention map visualization

Attention map explained in Section 5.3. Attention map of the shared self-attention layers is visualized to compare the processing of 2D and 3D query tokens.



Figure 6: Attention map visualization. 2D query tokens focus on chemical properties like water solubility present in text descriptions.

#### A.6 Molecular Question-Answering

For the molecular question-answering task, we utilized the 3D-MoIT (Li et al., 2024) dataset, which includes question-prompt and text-answer pairs derived from the same PubChem data we used in prior. Dataset statistics are in Appendix Table 5 The dataset consists of three distinct subsets: 1) Question-answering about non-3D properties, 2) Question-answering about 3D properties, and 3) Descriptive molecular properties. For robust guidance into instruction tuning, the three datasets were used in combination for training a single epoch. Checkpoints were retrieved from Stage 2 (molecule captioning). Given the dataset size, the model was further fine-tuned for 5 epochs on non-3D, descriptive property tasks and 1 epoch on 3D property tasks.



Figure 7: Attention map visualization. 3D query token focuses on positional information of atoms in text descriptions.

Table 7: Comparison of Descriptive Property Generation Performance: We evaluate the performance of single-view approaches using MAT or Uni-Mol embeddings exclusively, which are projected through Q-Former, in contrast to the multi-view alignment provided by MV-CLAM.

	in contrast	to the man	view angin	iene provideo		
Model	BLEU-2	BLEU-4	ROUGE-1	ROUGE-2	ROUGE-L	METEOR
2D-Qformer	31.24	25.13	39.30	25.16	34.11	49.88
3D-Qformer	29.22	22.82	37.38	22.54	31.47	27.29
Ours	31.70	25.60	39.61	25.46	34.51	50.61

Computed property prediction is evaluated with mean absolute error and the metrics for descriptive property prediction are BLEU, ROUGE, METEOR. As a method to validate our approach of exploiting multiple views that can specialize and capture different aspects of the molecule, we compare the results between single modal alignment with Q-Former under the same experiment setting. Appendix Table 7 and Appendix Table 8 indicate MV-CLAM consistently outperform the single-modal versions.

## A.7 Graph Encoder Ablation

The quality of graph encoders significantly influenced the initial performance during the first stage of pretraining MQ-Former. Although early molecule-text retrieval results do not directly translate to improved molecule captioning outcomes, they have a tendency to exhibit positive correlation in previous studies. In Appendix Table 9, we examine three variations of 2D graph encoders, all of which remain frozen during MQ-Former training. Under a consistent 3D encoder configuration, we report retrieval metrics for GIN initialized randomly, MAT embeddings adjusted via an additional linear layer for size reduction, and preserved MAT embeddings. The retention of high-quality embeddings led to improved performance. This observation was a key motivation behind MQ-Former; maintaining robust embeddings from well-pretrained graph encoders appears to be effective for textual alignment.

#### A.8 Zero-shot Molecule Editing

We provide more examples of successful zero-shot molecule editing cases given chemical property based instructions. The values presented indicate the predicted LogP (octanol-water partition coefficient), topological surface area (TPSA), quantitative estimate of drug-likeness (QED) and number of hydrogen bond and acceptors. Each figure (Appendix Figure 8, 9, 10, 11) showcases original molecules alongside their modified counterparts with numerical indicators representing the chemical properties before and after the zero-shot editing. LogP values reflect solubility in water,

Table 8: Comparison of Q&A performance on 3D and non-3D properties: We evaluate the performance of single-view approaches using MAT or Uni-Mol embeddings exclusively, which are projected through Q-Former, in contrast to the multi-view alignment provided by MV-CLAM.

	-				-	-		
Model	Molecular Weight	LogP	Complexity	Topological Polar Surface Area	HOMO	LUMO	HOMO-LUMO	SCF Energy
2D-Qformer	47.51 (0.98)	0.89 (0.99)	110.78 (0.99)	16.65 (0.99)	0.78 (0.99)	0.47 (0.99)	0.39 (0.90)	0.98 (1.00)
3D-Qformer	42.76 (0.98)	1.25 (0.96)	105.03 (0.96)	20.97 (0.92)	0.42 (0.99)	0.44 (0.98)	1.26 (0.99)	1.22 (0.98)
Ours	21.35 (0.92)	0.69 (0.94)	55.14 (0.91)	9.65 (0.91)	0.35 (0.98)	0.42 (0.93)	0.35 (0.99)	0.32 (0.99)

Table 9: Retrieval performance comparison in batch and test set for different 2D graph encoders.

		Retrieval	l in batch		Retrieval in test set			
Model	М	[2T	T	2M	М	2T	T	2M
	ACC	R@20	ACC	R@20	ACC	R@20	ACC	R@20
Random	87.42	99.54	87.31	99.54	38.87	88.59	37.54	88.03
MAT_linear	90.38	99.64	89.26	99.64	55.96	90.84	54.37	90.69
Ours	96.16	99.85	96.06	99.85	67.72	96.62	68.69	95.86

while topological surface area relates to molecular permeability. QED reflects drug likeliness. The modifications are aligned with targeted property-based editing prompt, demonstrating the flexibility and predictive capability MV-CLAM.

## A.9 Failure Case Study

Molecule	Ground Truth	MV-CLAM
	<b>Lactoyl-CoA(4-)</b> is an acyl-CoA(4-) that is the tetraanion of lactoyl-CoA arising from deprotonation of phosphate and diphosphate functions. It is a conjugate base of a lactoyl-CoA.	oleoyl-CoA(4-) is an acyl-CoA(4-) that is the tetraanion of oleoyl-CoA, arising from deproto- nation of phosphate and diphosphate functions. It has a role as a human metabolite and a Sac- charomyces cerevisiae metabolite. It is a sat- urated fatty acyl-CoA(4-) and a 3-substituted propionyl-CoA(4-). It is a conjugate base of an oleoyl-CoA
	Ajugaciliatin B is a diterpene lactone isolated from the whole plants of Ajuga ciliata and has been shown to exhibit neuroprotective activ- ity. It has a role as a plant metabolite and a neuroprotective agent. It is a diterpene lactone, an acetate ester, a butenolide, a carbobicyclic compound, an organochlorine compound and a tertiary alcohol. Ajugaciliatin B is a natural product found in Ajuga ciliata with data avail- able.	ajugaciliatin C is a natural product found in Ajuga ciliata with data available. Ajugaciliatin E is a diterpene lactone isolated from the whole plants of Ajuga ciliata. It has a role as a plant metabolite. It is a butenolide, an acetate ester, a diterpene lactone and a organochlorine com- pound. It is functionally related to a tiglic acid. Ajugaciliatin E is a natural product found in Ajuga ciliata

 Table 10: Comparison of Ground Truth and MV-CLAM Descriptions

Appendix Table 10 showcases two instances where MV-CLAM fails to differentiate structurally similar molecules. First, the model misclassifies lactoyl-CoA as oleoyl-CoA despite the key difference being the length of the carbon chain. This indicates a limitation in the model's capacity to capture subtle variations in carbon chain lengths. Second, the model misidentifies Ajugaciliatin B as subtypes E and C, demonstrating that while it successfully recognizes the molecule's primary backbone, it struggles to distinguish the small functional groups that define each subtype. This suggests that the model is not sufficiently sensitive to minor structural modifications. Both errors appear to stem from the model's difficulty in perceiving refine differences in chemical properties and spatial structure between the ground truth and its predictions. This underscores a broader challenge in molecular captioning: capturing subtle yet critical molecular features that may not greatly impact the primary structure but are crucial contributors for property.

To overcome these limitations, we propose several future studies. First, expanding our MQ-Former to align additional views or modalities, along with finer-grained molecular or related biological embeddings, could offer complementary insights to enhance the model's ability to differentiate between similar molecules. This multi-view alignment could offer a more holistic understanding of



Figure 8: Editing Solubility (LogP Adjustments): Smaller LogP indicates higher solubility in water. Molecules were succesfully modified given the prompt "*The molecule is soluble/insoluble in water*".



Figure 9: Editing Permeability (Topological Surface Area, TPSA Adjustments): A higher TPSA implies lower permeability, while a lower TPSA suggests higher permeability. Molecules were succesfully modified given the prompt *"The molecule has high/low permeability"*.



Figure 10: Editing Drug Likeliness (Quantitative Estimate of Drug-likeness, QED): A higher QED suggests a compound is more likely to possess favorable pharmacokinetic and ADMET (absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity) properties, being more drug-likely. Molecules were succesfully modified given the prompt *"The molecule is/is not like a drug"*.



Figure 11: Editing Hydrogen Bond Acceptor/Donors: The number of hydrogen bond acceptors and donors in the molecule were given for evaluation. Molecules were succesfully modified given the prompt *"The molecule has more hydrogen bond donors/acceptors"*.

the molecule's structure and properties. In addition, curating larger molecule datasets would enhance the model's capacity to generalize, ensuring it has sufficient exposure to a wide range of molecular variations during training. These developments will address the current shortcomings and pave the way for more accurate molecular identification in future iterations of the model.