SCALING SPARSE AUTOENCODER CIRCUITS FOR IN CONTEXT LEARNING

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

ABSTRACT

Sparse autoencoders (SAEs) are a popular tool for interpreting large language model activations, but their utility in addressing open questions in interpretability remains unclear. In this work, we demonstrate their effectiveness by using SAEs to deepen our understanding of the mechanism behind in-context learning (ICL). We identify abstract SAE features that encode the model's knowledge of which task to execute and whose latent vectors causally induce the task zero-shot. This aligns with prior work showing that ICL is mediated by task vectors. We further demonstrate that these task vectors are well approximated by a sparse sum of SAE latents, including these task-execution features. To explore the ICL mechanism, we adapt the sparse feature circuits methodology of Marks et al. (2024) to work for the much larger Gemma-1 2B model, with 30 times as many parameters, and to the more complex task of ICL. Through circuit finding, we discover task-detecting features with corresponding SAE latents that activate earlier in the prompt, that detect when tasks have been performed. They are causally linked with taskexecution features through the attention layer and MLP.

028

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

1 INTRODUCTION

- 029 Sparse autoencoders (SAEs; Ng (2011); Bricken et al. (2023); Cunningham et al. (2023)) are a promising method for interpreting large language model (LLM) activations. However, the full potential of SAEs in interpretability research remains to be explored, since most recent SAE research 031 either i) interprets a single SAE's features rather than the model's computation as a whole (Bricken et al., 2023), or ii) performs high-level interventions in the model, but does not interpret the effect on 033 the downstream computation caused by the interventions Templeton et al. (2024b). In this work, we 034 address these limitations by interpreting in-context learning (ICL), a widely studied phenomenon 035 in LLMs. In summary, we show that SAEs enable a) the discovery of novel circuit components (task-detection features; Section 4.2) and b) making existing interpretations of ICL more precise, by 037 e.g. decomposing task vectors (Todd et al., 2024; Hendel et al., 2023) into task-execution features (Section 3).
- In-context learning (ICL; Brown et al. (2020)) is a fundamental capability of large language models 040 that allows them to adapt to new tasks without fine-tuning. ICL is a significantly more complex and 041 important task than other behaviors commonly studied in circuit analysis (such as IOI in Wang et al. 042 (2022) and Kissane et al. (2024), or subject-verb agreement and Bias-in-Bios in Marks et al. (2024)). 043 Recent work by Todd et al. (2024) and Hendel et al. (2023) has introduced the concept of task vectors 044 to study ICL in a simple setting, which we follow throughout this paper.¹ In short, task vectors are internal representations of tasks formed by language models during the processing of few-shot 046 prompts, such as "hot \rightarrow cold, big \rightarrow small, fast \rightarrow slow". These vectors can be extracted and added 047 into different LLM forward passes to induce 0-shot task performance, making LLMs predict that "slow" follows "fast \rightarrow " without explicit context. Section 2.3 provides a full introduction. 048
- To identify **task-execution features**, we decomposed task vectors using SAEs. To achieve this, we needed to go beyond existing methods for solving the classical dictionary problem of decomposing a vector into a sparse sum of dictionary vectors (Elad, 2010). To do this, we developed a bespoke
- 052

¹Task vectors (Hendel et al., 2023) are also called "function vectors" (Todd et al., 2024), but we use "task vectors" throughout this paper for consistency.

054 method for LLMs we call the TASK VECTOR CLEANING (TVC) algorithm. By running the TVC 055 algorithm, we found **task-execution features**: features that can partially replace task vectors taken alone and have highly interpretable max-activating token patterns. We validate the causal relevance 057 of these task features through a series of steering experiments on tasks, spanning several categories 058 like translation or factual recall. The experiments demonstrate that identified task features encode crucial information about task execution, are causally implicated in the model's ICL capabilities, and can play the same role as task vectors. 060

061 We adapted the Sparse Feature Circuits (SFC) methodology of Marks et al. (2024) to work on the 062 more complex ICL task and the larger Gemma-1 2B model (Gemma Team, 2024). This adaptation 063 allowed us to discover and analyze the subgraph of key SAE latents involved in ICL, providing a more 064 comprehensive view of the ICL circuit. Using this adaptation, we found task-detection features with SFC: features that play a crucial role in identifying the specific task being performed earlier in the 065 prompt. Task-detection features are tightly connected with task-execution features through attention, 066 as part of the whole ICL circuit. 067

068 Our findings not only advance our understanding of ICL mechanisms but also demonstrate the 069 potential of SAEs as a powerful tool for interpretability research on larger language models. By unifying the task vectors view with SAEs and uncovering two of the most important causally 071 implicated feature families behind ICL, we pave the way for future work to control and monitor ICL further, to improve either the safety or capabilities of models. 072

- 073 Our main contributions are as follows: 074
 - 1. We demonstrate that SAEs can be effectively used to explain mechanisms behind a complex set of ICL tasks in a Gemma-1 2B, which has 10-35x more parameters than prior models typically studied at this depth in comparable, circuits-style mechanistic interpretability research (Wang et al., 2022; Marks et al., 2024). We show that causal circuit finding algorithms and SFC specifically straightforwardly scale up to larger models and SAEs with different architectures (Appendix B).
 - 2. We identify two core bottlenecks in the ICL circuit task-detection features and task**execution features** (see Appendix C, F, G) – and study their interactions (Section 3.2). This provides new insights into how LLMs process and execute ICL tasks. Specifically, we discover task-detection features that identify the task being performed earlier in the prompt, which are then moved by attention heads to trigger task-execution features (Figure 8).
 - 3. We present a novel transformer-specific sparse linear decomposition algorithm (Section 3.1) that decomposes task vectors (Hendel et al., 2023) into a small set of mostly task-relevant features, enabling more precise analysis of ICL mechanisms.





106 107

075

076

077

078

079

081

082

084

085

087

090

092

093

094

095

096 097

098

099

100 101

102 103

¹⁰⁸ 2 BACKGROUND

125

126

127 128

129 130

131

132

133 134

135

141

142

143

144 145

146 147

148

149 150 151

156

157

161

110 2.1 Sparse Autoencoders (SAEs)

112 Sparse autoencoders (SAEs) are neural networks designed to learn efficient representations of data 113 by enforcing sparsity in the hidden layer activations (Elad, 2010). In the context of language model 114 interpretability, SAEs are used to decompose the high-dimensional activations of language models into more interpretable features (Cunningham et al., 2023; Bricken et al., 2023). The basic idea 115 116 behind SAEs is to train a neural network to reconstruct its input while constraining the hidden layer to have sparse activations. This process typically involves an encoder that maps the input to a sparse 117 hidden representation, a decoder that reconstructs the input from this sparse representation, and loss 118 task that balances reconstruction accuracy with sparsity 2 . The encoding step is as follows, with **f** 119 denoting the pre-activation features and W_{enc} and \mathbf{b}_{enc} the encoder weights and biases respectively: 120

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{W}_{\text{enc}}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_{\text{enc}}) \tag{1}$$

For JumpReLU SAEs (Rajamanoharan et al., 2024b), the activation function and decoder are (with H being the Heaviside step function, θ the threshold parameter and $\mathbf{W}_{dec}/\mathbf{b}_{dec}$ the decoder affine parameters):

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{f}) = \mathbf{W}_{\text{dec}}(\mathbf{f} \odot H(\mathbf{f} - \theta)) + \mathbf{b}_{\text{dec}}$$
(2)

In our work, we train SAEs on residual stream activations and attention outputs, and also train transcoders³ on MLP layers, all of which use the improved Gated SAE architecture (Rajamanoharan et al., 2024a).

2.2 SPARSE FEATURE CIRCUITS

Sparse Feature Circuits (SFCs) are a methodology introduced by Marks et al. (2024) to identify and analyze causal subgraphs of sparse autoencoder features that explain specific model behaviors. This approach combines the interpretability benefits of SAEs with causal analysis to uncover the mechanisms underlying language model behavior. The SFC methodology involves several key steps:

- 1. Decomposing model activations into sparse features using SAEs
- 2. Calculating the Indirect Effect (IE, Pearl (2001) of each feature on the target behavior
- 3. Identifying a set of causally relevant features based on IE thresholds

4. Constructing a circuit by analyzing the connections between these features

The IE of a model component is measured by intervening on that component and observing the change in the model's output. For a component a and a metric m, the IE is defined using do-calculus (Pearl, 2009) as in Marks et al. (2024) as:

$$IE(m;a) = m(x|do(a = a')) - m(x)$$
(3)

Where m(x|do(a = a')) represents the value of the metric when we intervene to set the value of component a to a', and m(x) is the original value of the metric. In practice, attribution patching (Syed et al., 2023) is used to approximate IE, allowing for efficient computation across many components simultaneously.

SFC is described in detail in (Marks et al., 2024). We describe our modifications in Appendix E.

¹⁵⁸ ²Typically, the L_1 penalty on activations is used (Bricken et al., 2023) with some modifications (Rajamanoharan et al., 2024a; Conerly et al., 2024), although there are alternatives: Rajamanoharan et al., 2024b; Farrell, 2024; Riggs & Brinkman, 2024.

³Transcoders are a modification of SAEs that take MLP input and convert it into MLP output instead of trying to reconstruct the residual stream.

162 2.3 TASK VECTORS 163

164 Continuing from the high-level description in Section 1, task vectors were independently discovered 165 by Hendel et al. (2023) and Todd et al. (2024). The key idea behind task vectors is that they capture the essence of a task demonstrated in a few-shot prompt, allowing the model to apply this learned 166 task to new inputs without explicit fine-tuning. Task vectors have several important properties: 167

- 1. They can be extracted from the model's hidden states given ICL prompts as inputs.
- 2. When added to the model's activations in a zero-shot setting, they can induce task performance without explicit context.
- 3. They appear to encode abstract task information, independent of specific input-output examples.

To illustrate the concept, consider the following simple prompt for an antonym task in the Example 1, where boxes represent distinct tokens:



183 Example 1: All token types in an example 184 input: prompt, input, arrow, output, 185

newline (target tokens for calculating the loss on included)



Figure 2: Overview of the task vector cleaning algorithm (see Figure 10; TV stands for task vector).

In this case, the task vector would encode the abstract notion of "finding the antonym" rather than specific word pairs. Task vectors are typically collected by averaging the residual stream of " \rightarrow " tokens at a specific layer across multiple ICL prompts for a given task. This averaged representation can then be used to study the model's internal task representations and to manipulate its behavior in zero-shot settings. We perform our analysis on the datasets derived from Todd et al. (2024). Details can be found in Appendix A.

194 195 196

197

199

168

169

170

171

172 173

174

175

177

179

181

187

188 189

190

191

192

193

3 **DISCOVERING TASK-EXECUTION FEATURES**

3.1 DECOMPOSING TASK VECTORS

To gain a deeper understanding of task vectors, we attempted to decompose them using sparse 200 autoencoders (SAEs). However, several of our initial naive approaches faced significant challenges. 201 Firstly, direct SAE reconstruction, i.e. passing the task vector as input to the SAE, produced noisy 202 results with more than 10 nonzero SAE features on average on layers of interest⁴, most of which were 203 irrelevant to the task. Moreover, this reconstruction noticeably reduced the vector's performance. 204 These issues arose partly because task vectors are out-of-distribution inputs for SAEs, as they 205 aggregate information from different residual streams rather than representing a single one. 206

We then explored inference-time optimization (ITO) (Smith, 2024) as an alternative. However, this 207 method also failed to reconstruct task vectors using a low number of SAE features while maintaining 208 high performance. 209

- 210 Given these observations, we developed a novel method called **task vector cleaning**. It produces optimized SAE decomposition weights $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{SAE}}$ for a task vector v_{tv} . At a high level, the method: 211
- 212 213

^{1.} Initializes θ with weights from SAE decomposition of v_{tv} .

²¹⁴ ⁴Layers where steering with task vectors decreased loss significantly (Figure 3a). We found 3-5 interpretable 215 features. Our cleaning algorithm can usually reduce the number to 2-4. The usual residual SAE L0 is around 44. as highlighted in the Figure 3b

237 238

239 240

257

258

259



2. Reconstructs a new task vector v_{θ} from θ ; steers the model with v_{θ} on a batch of zero-shot prompts and computes negative log-likelihood loss $\mathcal{L}_{NLL}(\theta)$ on them.

3. Optimizes θ to minimize $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{NLL}(\theta) + l \|\theta\|_1$, where l is the L₁ regularization coefficient.

This approach allows us to maintain or even improve the performance of task vectors while reducing
the amount of active SAE features to less than 4 on average (Figure 3b) for Gemma 1 2B. The
algorithm overview can be found in Figure 10. Further details are in Appendix D.

244 We compare it with the four baselines: original task vectors, naive SAE reconstruction, ITO with 245 target L0 norm set to 5, and ITO with target L0 set to 20. To compare them, we steer the zero-shot 246 prompt using the reconstructed task vector and calculate relative log-likelihood loss improvement. 247 We then average it across all tasks. Layer-wise comparison results can be found in Figure 3a. We 248 have also conducted sweeps for L_1 regularization coefficient l across several models and SAEs, 249 including multiple widths and target sparsities for Gemma 2 2B and 9B. Their results are included in 250 Appendix D.1 and show that the method can consistently reduce the amount of active SAE features by 50-80% while preserving the performance of task vectors. They also suggest that the method 251 benefits from SAEs with higher target L0. 252

Using this method, we broke down task vectors into a small set of features. Many of these features were easy to interpret and clearly related to the task at hand. We found a particularly interesting group of features, which we called "task-execution features" (or "executor features"). These features have two key characteristics:

- 1. They activate when the model encounters examples of the relevant task in normal text.
- 2. In these encounters they activate on the token just before the task is completed.

For instance, imagine an antonym task feature processing the phrase "hot and cold." It would activate on the token "and ," suggesting that the model expects an antonym to follow. This tells us that the model recognizes it's dealing with an antonym pair before seeing the complete pair. See Figure 4 for examples of such features. Appendix I contains more examples of such features with their max activating examples on SAE training data, which show that the features often have task-related max activating patterns.

To analyze the activation patterns of executor features, we split all ICL prompt tokens into several
 types (highlighted in Example 1 and discussed later in Section 4.1.1). For each executor feature, we
 calculate its token type *activation masses*: the sum of all its activations on tokens of a particular type
 across a batch of ICL prompts. Table 1 shows the percentages of total mass split among different
 token types for executor features. We can see that executors activate largely on arrow tokens.



Figure 5: Heatmap showing the effect of steering with individual task-execution features for each task. Most features boost exactly one task, with a few exceptions for similar tasks like translating to English. Full and unfiltered versions of the heatmap are available in Appendix F.

318

319

320

321

322

323

Table 1: Activation masses for

executor features across dif-

ferent token types, averaged

across all tasks. We can no-

tice they activate largely on

arrow tokens.

³²⁴ 4 APPLYING SFC TO ICL

After identifying task-execution features through our task vector analysis, we sought to expand our understanding of the in-context learning (ICL) circuit. To this end, we apply the Sparse Feature Circuits (SFC) methodology (Marks et al., 2024) to the Gemma-1 2B model. However, due to the increased complexity of ICL tasks and the larger model size, the original SFC approach did not work out of the box. We had to implement several key modifications to address the challenges we encountered.

- 332
- 333 334

340 341

342

343

344

345 346

347

4.1 OUR MODIFICATIONS

4.1.1 TOKEN POSITION CATEGORIZATION AND FEATURE AGGREGATION

We modified the SFC approach to better handle the structured nature of ICL prompts. Instead of treating each SAE feature as a separate node, we categorized token positions into the following groups:

- Prompt: The initial instruction tokens (e.g., "Follow the pattern:")
- Input: The last token before each arrow in an example pair
- Arrow: The arrow token itself (" \rightarrow ")
- Output: The last token before each newline in an example pair
- Newline: The newline token
- Extra: Any tokens not covered by the above categories (e.g., in multi-token inputs or outputs)

Each pair of an SAE feature and a token type was assigned its own graph node. The effects of the
feature were aggregated across all tokens of the corresponding type. This categorization allowed us
to evaluate how features affect all tokens within the same category, separating features based on their
role in the ICL circuit. It also enabled us to selectively disable parts of the circuit for one task while
testing another, verifying the task specificity of the identified circuits.

3533544.1.2 Loss Function Modification

355 An ICL prompt can be viewed as an (x, y) pair, where x represents the entire prompt except for 356 the last pair's output, and y represents this output. The original SFC paper suggested using the log probabilities of y conditioned on x for such datasets. However, this approach often resulted in 357 task-relevant features having high negative IEs on other example pairs in the prompt. This was likely 358 due to the circuit's effect on those pairs being lost to either diminishing gradients in backpropagation 359 or because copying circuits were much more relevant to predicting the last pair. By considering all 360 pairs except the first one, we amplified the effect of the task-solving circuit relative to the numerous 361 cloning circuits that activate due to the repetitive nature of ICL prompts. 362

4.1.3 SFC EVALUATION

To evaluate the quality of our SFC modification, we conducted a series of ablation experiments across the same dataset of ICL tasks. Our primary metric for evaluation was faithfulness, which measures how much of the original task performance is maintained after ablating specific features. We calculated faithfulness using the following formula:

369 370

 $F(M) = \frac{M - M_a}{M_n - M_a} \tag{4}$

Where M is the current metric (loss), M_a is the fully ablated model metric, and M_n is the non-ablated model metric.

We evaluated the impact of ablating features for one task on the performance of all other tasks. Specifically, we ablated the nodes with highest Indirect Effects (IEs) first, continuing until we reached a faithfulness of 0.5 for the target task. Faithfulness of 0.5 corresponds to half of the original performance, i.e. a significantly destructive ablation for the target task. This approach allowed us to assess both the specificity of the circuits discovered and their impact on related tasks. Our analysis Fithfullers

Figure 6: We study how useful the most important nodes on task A are for performance on task B. Specifically, we ablate the most important features for task A (the ablated task on the *y*-axis) so that faithfulness reduces by 0.5, and measure how much faithful reduces on another task B (the tested task on the *x*-axis).

revealed that it is possible to significantly reduce faithfulness by disabling just a few hundred nodes.
Furthermore, we found that we could reduce the number of active nodes to less than a thousand while
keeping the performance almost intact. Extra details and faithfulness/completeness charts can be
found in Appendix E.

Figure 6 presents a heatmap showing the change in faithfulness for various tasks when ablating the highest IE nodes for a single task. Several key observations can be made from this visualization:

- **Task Specificity**: Ablating most tasks does not significantly impact the performance of others, indicating that the discovered circuits are largely task-specific. This suggests that there are no common high-IE ICL-specific nodes across tasks.
- **Related Task Effects**: Tasks are grouped into categories, and we observe that ablation of related tasks has a higher effect on all tasks within the same group. This is visible as squares along the diagonal, particularly noticeable in the translation group.
- **Performance Improvement**: For some tasks, we observe that faithfulness rises well above 1.0 after ablation of other tasks. We hypothesize that this occurs because we reduce the confusion of the model by removing irrelevant execution paths.

It is worth noting that we excluded the person_profession and football_player_position tasks from
Figure 6 due to the very small difference between their fully ablated and non-ablated losses. This
resulted in highly unstable faithfulness calculations for these tasks. We attribute this small difference
partially to our modified loss function, as we found that calculating the loss only from the last pair
results in a higher loss difference.

418 419 420

378

392

393

394

396

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412 413

4.2 TASK-DETECTION FEATURES

421 Our modified SFC analysis revealed a second crucial component of the ICL mechanism: task-422 detection features. These features activate on instances of a complete task in the training data, 423 specifically on the token that **completes the task**, contrary to executors that activate right before them. Both task-detection and task-execution features showed high Indirect Effects (IEs) in the 424 extracted sparse feature circuits, with task-detection features connected to task execution features 425 through attention output and transcoder nodes. We applied our task vector cleaning algorithm to 426 extract task-detection features, identifying layer 11 as optimal for steering, preceding the layer 12 427 task-execution features. The details can be found in Appendix G. As with executor features, we 428 present the steering heatmap in Figure 7 and the activation mass percentages in Table 2. We again see 429 the task and token-type specificity of these features. 430

431 To evaluate the causal connection between task-detection features and task-execution features, we selected the most relevant detection and execution pairs based on steering effects and confirmed that



Table 2: Activation masses for task-detection features across different token types, averaged across all tasks. We can notice that they activate almost exclusively on output tokens.



Figure 7: Heatmap showing the effect of steering with the task-detection feature most relevant to each task, on every task. We see that task detection features are typically specific to the task, with exceptions for similar tasks.

their max activating patterns aligned with their hypothesized circuit roles. We then ablated detection
directions while fixing attention patterns and measured the decrease in execution activations. Figure
8 presents the results.



Figure 8: Heatmap showing the causal effect of the top task-detection features of each task, on the
 activation of the top task-execution features for every task. Averaged across all initial non-zero
 activations in all tasks.

The results of our causal connection analysis reveal several key insights. First, we observe strong causal connections between most task-detection and their corresponding task-execution features, supporting our hypothesis about their roles in the ICL circuit. Second, we note significant interconnectivity among translation tasks, suggesting shared circuitry for this group of related tasks. Interestingly, two tasks (person_profession and present_simple_gerund) showed unexpectedly weak connections between their detection and execution features, warranting further investigation.

5 RELATED WORK

Mechanistic Interpretability Olah et al. (2020) defines a framing for mechanistic interpretability
in terms of *features* and *circuits*. It claims that neural network latent spaces have directions in
them called features that correspond to meaningful variables. These features interact through model
components sparsely to form circuits: interpretable computation subgraphs relevant to particular
tasks. These circuits can be found through manual inspection in vision models (Cammarata et al.,
2020). In language models, they can be found through manual patching (Wang et al., 2022; Hanna et al., 2023; Lieberum et al., 2023; Chan et al., 2022) or automated circuit discovery (Conmy et al.)

(2023); Syed et al. (2023); Bhaskar et al. (2024), though see Miller et al. (2024)). Marks et al. (2024)
extends this research area to use Sparse Autoencoders, as discussed below.

489 **In-Context Learning (ICL)** ICL was first introduced in Brown et al. (2020) and refers to models 490 learning to perform tasks from prompt information at test time. There is a large area of research 491 studying its applications (Dong et al., 2024), high-level mechanisms (Min et al., 2022) and limitations 492 (Peng et al., 2023). Elhage et al. (2021) and Olsson et al. (2022) find induction heads partly 493 responsible for in-context learning. However, since these attention heads do more than just induction 494 (Goldowsky-Dill et al., 2023), and are not sufficient for complex task-following, induction heads 495 alone cannot explain ICL. Anil et al. (2024, Appendix G) proposes a mechanistic hypothesis for an aspect of simple in-context task behavior. Hendel et al. (2023) and Todd et al. (2024) find that 496 simple in-context learning tasks create strong directions in the residual stream adding which makes 497 it possible for a network to perform tasks zero-shot, but does not explain how task vectors form 498 nor what interpretable components the task vectors are composed of. A more detailed discussion 499 can be found in Appendix H. Of particular interest is Wang et al., which investigates a simple ICL 500 classification task and finds similar results with different terminology (information flow instead of 501 circuits, "label words" instead of task-detection features). 502

- 503 **Sparse Autoencoders** A major roadblock to mechanistic interpretability research is superposition 504 (Elhage et al., 2022b), where the interpretable units of neural network do not tend to align with the 505 basis directions (e.g. neurons). Sparse autoencoders (Ng, 2011; Bricken et al., 2023) are one method 506 of addressing this roadblock, and multiple works since proposed improvements to SAE training 507 (Rajamanoharan et al., 2024b; Bussmann et al., 2024; Braun et al., 2024; Gao et al., 2024; Templeton 508 et al., 2024b), and we use several more in our work (Rajamanoharan et al., 2024a; Adam Jermyn, 509 2024; Conerly et al., 2024). Cunningham et al. (2023), building on Bills et al. (2023), apply Conmy 510 et al. (2023) to find circuits in small language models. Marks et al. (2024) adapt Syed et al. (2023) in 511 the SAE basis to find circuits and address a practical bias reduction problem. Kissane et al. (2024) apply a slightly different automated SAE algorithm (similar to ours in that it operates on single 512 prompts) to IOI (Wang et al., 2022), using SAEs on the attention layer outputs and residual stream. 513 Dunefsky et al. (2024) introduce *transcoders* (which are also briefly discussed in Templeton et al. 514 (2024a) and Li et al. (2023)) to simplify analysis of circuits involving MLPs. We build on their work 515 and train transcoders as part of our suite of Gemma-1 SAEs. 516
- 517 518

6 CONCLUSION

519 520 521

522

523

524

525

526

527

Limitations Our work focused on the simple task vector setting to study ICL (Section 2.3), which does not capture all ways that ICL is used in practice (generally involving far more tokens and open-ended tasks). We also only interpreted Gemma-1 2B. Therefore, other LLM architectures or model sizes could lead to different results (though this is not likely, since task vectors exist across models (Todd et al., 2024)). Finally, the complexity of the task studied meant our interpretations have some approximation error: attention heads matter for the detection-execution connection, but the succeeding MLP is necessary to capture the full effect (Section 4.2). This means that our explanation needs to include moving parts aside from task-detection attention output features. It is possible to model the effects of the MLP through transcoder features, but we leave that for future work.

528 529

Future Work Future work could extend SFC methods to work on more than a band of layers in
the middle of the model (Section 2.2). Since many features correspond to individual input tokens
and output predictions (due to the three stages of inference in LLMs; Elhage et al. (2022a); Lad
et al. (2024)), this will require further adaptation of the SFC methodology. Moreover, our multiple
contributions will hopefully spur further work that finds new tasks to interpret or explain in greater
depth than prior work, as discussed in our concluding paragraph below.

To summarize our work: we use SAEs to explain in-context learning in greater detail than any
prior mechanistic interpretability work. This provides strong evidence that Sparse Autoencoders are
valuable circuit analysis tools, and the innovations developed: TVC (Section 3.1), SFC improvements
(Section 2.2) and an SAE training codebase in JAX with open SAE weights (Section 7) are likely to
help enable lots of other SAE research to tackle more ambitious tasks and larger models.

540 7 REPRODUCIBILITY STATEMENT

We are committed to fostering reproducibility and advancing research in the field of mechanistic
 interpretability. To support this goal, we plan to release the following resources upon successful
 acceptance of this paper:

- 546 547
- 548 549 550

551 552

553

554

555

563

- 0 9
- 1. Two JAX libraries optimized for TPU:
 - A library for Sparse Autoencoder (SAE) training
 - A library for SAE inference and model analysis, built upon Penzai with our custom Llama and Gemma ports
- 2. A full suite of SAEs for Gemma 2B, along with a dataset of their max activating examples
 - 3. Two custom dashboards used in our analysis:
 - A dashboard for browsing max activating examples
 - An interactive dashboard for exploring extracted Sparse Feature Circuits (SFC)

These resources will enable researchers to replicate our experiments, extend our work, and conduct their own investigations using our tools and methodologies. The release of our custom dashboards will provide additional transparency and facilitate a deeper exploration of our results. Due to the complexity of our infrastructure, we only share anonymized versions of our analysis, cleaning, and SFC scripts, which still require our JAX libraries to run. We hope that reviewers will find this, along with the detailed methodologies described in the paper, sufficient evidence of reproducibility.

References

- 565 Marah Abdin, Jyoti Aneja, Hany Awadalla, Ahmed Awadallah, Ammar Ahmad Awan, Nguyen 566 Bach, Amit Bahree, Arash Bakhtiari, Jianmin Bao, Harkirat Behl, Alon Benhaim, Misha Bilenko, 567 Johan Bjorck, Sébastien Bubeck, Martin Cai, Oin Cai, Vishrav Chaudhary, Dong Chen, Dongdong 568 Chen, Weizhu Chen, Yen-Chun Chen, Yi-Ling Chen, Hao Cheng, Parul Chopra, Xiyang Dai, Matthew Dixon, Ronen Eldan, Victor Fragoso, Jianfeng Gao, Mei Gao, Min Gao, Amit Garg, 569 Allie Del Giorno, Abhishek Goswami, Suriya Gunasekar, Emman Haider, Junheng Hao, Russell J. 570 Hewett, Wenxiang Hu, Jamie Huynh, Dan Iter, Sam Ade Jacobs, Mojan Javaheripi, Xin Jin, 571 Nikos Karampatziakis, Piero Kauffmann, Mahoud Khademi, Dongwoo Kim, Young Jin Kim, Lev 572 Kurilenko, James R. Lee, Yin Tat Lee, Yuanzhi Li, Yunsheng Li, Chen Liang, Lars Liden, Xihui 573 Lin, Zeqi Lin, Ce Liu, Liyuan Liu, Mengchen Liu, Weishung Liu, Xiaodong Liu, Chong Luo, 574 Piyush Madan, Ali Mahmoudzadeh, David Majercak, Matt Mazzola, Caio César Teodoro Mendes, 575 Arindam Mitra, Hardik Modi, Anh Nguyen, Brandon Norick, Barun Patra, Daniel Perez-Becker, 576 Thomas Portet, Reid Pryzant, Heyang Qin, Marko Radmilac, Liliang Ren, Gustavo de Rosa, 577 Corby Rosset, Sambudha Roy, Olatunji Ruwase, Olli Saarikivi, Amin Saied, Adil Salim, Michael 578 Santacroce, Shital Shah, Ning Shang, Hiteshi Sharma, Yelong Shen, Swadheen Shukla, Xia Song, 579 Masahiro Tanaka, Andrea Tupini, Praneetha Vaddamanu, Chunyu Wang, Guanhua Wang, Lijuan Wang, Shuohang Wang, Xin Wang, Yu Wang, Rachel Ward, Wen Wen, Philipp Witte, Haiping 580 Wu, Xiaoxia Wu, Michael Wyatt, Bin Xiao, Can Xu, Jiahang Xu, Weijian Xu, Jilong Xue, Sonali Yadav, Fan Yang, Jianwei Yang, Yifan Yang, Ziyi Yang, Donghan Yu, Lu Yuan, Chenruidong 582 Zhang, Cyril Zhang, Jianwen Zhang, Li Lyna Zhang, Yi Zhang, Yue Zhang, Yunan Zhang, and 583 Xiren Zhou. Phi-3 technical report: A highly capable language model locally on your phone, 2024. 584 URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.14219. 585
- Adly Templeton Adam Jermyn. Ghost grads: An improvement on resampling, 2024.
 URL https://transformer-circuits.pub/2024/jan-update/index.html#
 dict-learning-resampling.
 - Cem Anil, Esin Durmus, Mrinank Sharma, Joe Benton, Sandipan Kundu, Joshua Batson, Nina Rimsky, Meg Tong, Jesse Mu, Daniel Ford, et al. Many-shot jailbreaking. 2024.
- 592
- Kimsky, Meg Tong, Jesse Mu, Daniel Ford, et al. Many-snot jailoreaking. 2024.
- 3 Yu Bai, Fan Chen, Huan Wang, Caiming Xiong, and Song Mei. Transformers as statisticians: Provable in-context learning with in-context algorithm selection.

- Hritik Bansal, Karthik Gopalakrishnan, Saket Dingliwal, Sravan Bodapati, Katrin Kirchhoff, and Dan Roth. Rethinking the role of scale for in-context learning: An interpretability-based case study at 66 billion scale. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/2212.09095.
- Adithya Bhaskar, Alexander Wettig, Dan Friedman, and Danqi Chen. Finding transformer circuits with edge pruning, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.16778.
- Steven Bills, Nick Cammarata, Dan Mossing, et al. Language models can explain neurons in language models. https://openaipublic.blob.core.windows.net/
 neuron-explainer/paper/index.html, 2023.
- 604Joseph Bloom. Open source sparse autoencoders for all residual stream layers of gpt2-small,6052024. URL https://www.alignmentforum.org/posts/f9EgfLSurAiqRJySD/606open-source-sparse-autoencoders-for-all-residual-stream.
- James Bradbury, Roy Frostig, Peter Hawkins, Matthew James Johnson, Chris Leary, Dougal Maclaurin, George Necula, Adam Paszke, Jake VanderPlas, Skye Wanderman-Milne, and Qiao Zhang. JAX: composable transformations of Python+NumPy programs, 2018. URL http://github.com/jax-ml/jax.
- Dan Braun, Jordan Taylor, Nicholas Goldowsky-Dill, and Lee Sharkey. Identifying functionally important features with end-to-end sparse dictionary learning, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.12241.
- Trenton Bricken, Adly Templeton, Joshua Batson, Brian Chen, Adam Jermyn, Tom Conerly, Nick
 Turner, Cem Anil, Carson Denison, Amanda Askell, Robert Lasenby, Yifan Wu, Shauna Kravec,
 Nicholas Schiefer, Tim Maxwell, Nicholas Joseph, Zac Hatfield-Dodds, Alex Tamkin, Karina
 Nguyen, Brayden McLean, Josiah E Burke, Tristan Hume, Shan Carter, Tom Henighan, and
 Christopher Olah. Towards monosemanticity: Decomposing language models with dictionary
 learning. *Transformer Circuits Thread*, 2023. https://transformer-circuits.pub/
 2023/monosemantic-features/index.html.
- 622 Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D. Kaplan, Prafulla Dhari-623 wal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Sandhini Agar-624 wal, Ariel Herbert-Voss, Gretchen Krueger, Tom Henighan, Rewon Child, Aditya Ramesh, Daniel M. Ziegler, Jeffrey Wu, Clemens Winter, Chris Hesse, Mark Chen, Eric Sigler, 625 Mateusz Litwin, Scott Gray, Benjamin Chess, Jack Clark, Christopher Berner, Sam Mc-626 Candlish, Alec Radford, Ilya Sutskever, and Dario Amodei. Language models are few-627 shot learners. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 33, pp. 628 1877-1901, 2020. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2020/hash/ 629 1457c0d6bfcb4967418bfb8ac142f64a-Abstract.html. 630
- Bart Bussmann, Patrick Leask, and Neel Nanda. Batchtopk: A simple improvement for topk-saes,
 2024. URL https://www.alignmentforum.org/posts/Nkx6yWZNbAsfvic98/
 batchtopk-a-simple-improvement-for-topk-saes.
- Nick Cammarata, Shan Carter, Gabriel Goh, Chris Olah, et al. Thread: Circuits. *Distill*, 2020. doi: 10.23915/distill.00024. https://distill.pub/2020/circuits.
- Lawrence Chan, Adria Garriga-Alonso, Nix Goldowsky-Dill, Ryan Greenblatt, Jenny Nitishinskaya, Ansh Radhakrishnan, Buck Shlegeris, and Nate Thomas. Causal scrubbing: A method for rigorously testing interpretability hypotheses, 2022.
 URL https://www.alignmentforum.org/posts/JvZhhzycHu2Yd57RN/ causal-scrubbing-a-method-for-rigorously-testing.
- 642
 643
 644
 644
 645
 645
 642
 643
 644
 645
 645
 645
 646
 647
 648
 648
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 640
 641
 642
 642
 643
 644
 644
 645
 645
 645
 646
 647
 648
 648
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 640
 641
 642
 642
 642
 642
 643
 644
 644
 645
 645
 646
 646
 647
 648
 648
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
 649
- 646 Tom Conerly, Adly Templeton, Trenton Bricken, Jonathan Marcus, and Tom Henighan. Up-647 date on how we train saes, 2024. URL https://transformer-circuits.pub/2024/ april-update/index.html#training-saes.

| 648 649 | Arthur Conmy, Augustine N. Mavor-Parker, Aengus Lynch, et al. Towards automated circuit discovery for mechanistic interpretability. In <i>Proceedings of NeurIPS</i> , 2023. |
|--|---|
| 651 652 | Hoagy Cunningham, Aidan Ewart, Logan Riggs, et al. Sparse autoencoders find highly interpretable features in language models, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.08600. |
| 653 654 655 | Damai Dai, Yutao Sun, Li Dong, Yaru Hao, Shuming Ma, Zhifang Sui, and Furu Wei. Why can GPT learn in-context? language models implicitly perform gradient descent as meta-optimizers. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/2212_10559 |
| 656 657 658 | Qingxiu Dong, Lei Li, Damai Dai, Ce Zheng, Jingyuan Ma, Rui Li, Heming Xia, Jingjing Xu, Zhiyong Wu, Tianyu Liu, Baobao Chang, Xu Sun, Lei Li, and Zhifang Sui. A survey on in-context |
| 659 660 | Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, et al. The llama 3 herd of |
| 661 662 | Jacob Dunefsky, Philippe Chlenski, and Neel Nanda. Transcoders find interpretable llm feature |
| 663 665 | circuits, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.11944. Michael Elad. Sparse and Redundant Representations: From Theory to Applications in Signal |
| 666 667 | and Image Processing. Springer, New York, 2010. ISBN 978-1-4419-7010-7. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-7011-4. |
| 668 669 | Nelson Elhage, Neel Nanda, Catherine Olsson, Tom Henighan, Nicholas Joseph, Ben Mann, Amanda Askell, Yuntao Bai, Anna Chen, Tom Conerly, Nova DasSarma, Dawn Drain, Deep Ganguli, Zac |
| 670 671 | Dario Amodei, Tom Brown, Jack Clark, Jared Kaplan, Sam McCandlish, and Chris Olah. A mathematical framework for transformer circuits. <i>Transformer Circuits Thread</i> , 2021. URL |
| 672 673 674 | https://transformer-circuits.pub/2021/framework/index.html. Nelson Elhage, Tristan Hume, Catherine Olsson, Neel Nanda, Tom Henighan, Scott Johnston, Sheer |
| 675 676 677 678 679 680 | ElShowk, Nicholas Joseph, Nova DasSarma, Ben Mann, Danny Hernandez, Amanda Askell, Kamal Ndousse, Jones, , Dawn Drain, Anna Chen, Yuntao Bai, Deep Ganguli, Liane Lovitt, Zac Hatfield-Dodds, Jackson Kernion, Tom Conerly, Shauna Kravec, Stanislav Fort, Saurav Kadavath, Josh Jacobson, Eli Tran-Johnson, Jared Kaplan, Jack Clark, Tom Brown, Sam McCandlish, Dario Amodei, and Christopher Olah. Softmax linear units. <i>Transformer Circuits Thread</i> , 2022a. https://transformer-circuits.pub/2022/solu/index.html. |
| 681 682 683 | Nelson Elhage, Tristan Hume, Catherine Olsson, Nicholas Schiefer, Tom Henighan, Shauna Kravec, Zac Hatfield-Dodds, Robert Lasenby, Dawn Drain, Carol Chen, et al. Toy Models of Superposition. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.10652</i> , 2022b. |
| 684 685 686 | Eoin Farrell. Experiments with an alternative method to promote sparsity in sparse autoen- coders, 2024. URL https://www.lesswrong.com/posts/cYA3ePxy8JQ8ajo8B/ experiments-with-an-alternative-method-to-promote-sparsity. |
| 687 688 689 690 | Leo Gao, Tom Dupré la Tour, Henk Tillman, Gabriel Goh, Rajan Troll, Alec Radford, Ilya Sutskever, Jan Leike, and Jeffrey Wu. Scaling and evaluating sparse autoencoders, 2024. URL https: //arxiv.org/abs/2406.04093. |
| 691 692 | Shivam Garg, Dimitris Tsipras, Percy Liang, and Gregory Valiant. What can transformers learn in-context? a case study of simple function classes. |
| 693 694 | Gemma Team. Gemma: Open models based on gemini research and technology, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.08295. |
| 696 697 | Nicholas Goldowsky-Dill, Chris MacLeod, Lucas Sato, and Aryaman Arora. Localizing model behavior with path patching, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.05969. |
| 698 699 700 701 | Roger Grosse, Juhan Bae, Cem Anil, Nelson Elhage, Alex Tamkin, Amirhossein Tajdini, Benoit Steiner, Dustin Li, Esin Durmus, Ethan Perez, Evan Hubinger, Kamilė Lukošiūtė, Karina Nguyen, Nicholas Joseph, Sam McCandlish, Jared Kaplan, and Samuel R. Bowman. Studying large language model generalization with influence functions, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.03296. |

| 702 703 704 | Xiaochuang Han, Daniel Simig, Todor Mihaylov, Yulia Tsvetkov, Asli Celikyilmaz, and Tianlu Wang. Understanding in-context learning via supportive pretraining data. URL http://arxiv.org/ abs/2306.15091. |
|---------------------------------|---|
| 705 706 707 708 | Michael Hanna, Ollie Liu, and Alexandre Variengien. How does gpt-2 compute greater-than?: Interpreting mathematical abilities in a pre-trained language model, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.00586. |
| 709 710 711 | Roee Hendel, Mor Geva, and Amir Globerson. In-context learning creates task vectors, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.15916. |
| 712 713 | Daniel D. Johnson. Penzai + treescope: A toolkit for interpreting, visualizing, and editing models as data, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.00211. |
| 714 715 716 | Patrick Kidger and Cristian Garcia. Equinox: neural networks in jax via callable pytrees and filtered transformations, 2021. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.00254. |
| 717 718 719 720 721 | Connor Kissane, Robert Krzyzanowski, Arthur Conmy, and Neel Nanda. Attention output saes improve circuit analysis, 2024. URL https://www.alignmentforum.org/posts/EGvtgB7ctifzxZg6v/ attention-output-saes-improve-circuit-analysis. |
| 722 723 | Vedang Lad, Wes Gurnee, and Max Tegmark. The remarkable robustness of llms: Stages of inference?, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.19384. |
| 724 725 726 727 | Max Li, Sam Marks, and Aaron Mueller. dictionary_learning repository, 2023. URL https: //github.com/saprmarks/dictionary_learning?tab=readme-ov-file# extra-functionalitysupported-by-this-repo. Accessed on September 30, 2024. |
| 728 729 730 | Tom Lieberum, Matthew Rahtz, János Kramár, et al. Does circuit analysis interpretability scale? evidence from multiple choice capabilities in chinchilla, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/ abs/2307.09458. |
| 731 732 733 734 735 | Tom Lieberum, Senthooran Rajamanoharan, Arthur Conmy, Lewis Smith, Nicolas Sonnerat, Vikrant Varma, János Kramár, Anca Dragan, Rohin Shah, and Neel Nanda. Gemma scope: Open sparse autoencoders everywhere all at once on gemma 2, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.05147. |
| 736 737 | Johnny Lin. Neuronpedia: Interactive reference and tooling for analyzing neural networks, 2023. URL https://www.neuronpedia.org. Software available from neuronpedia.org. |
| 739 740 741 | Arvind Mahankali, Tatsunori B. Hashimoto, and Tengyu Ma. One step of gradient descent is provably the optimal in-context learner with one layer of linear self-attention. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/2307.03576. |
| 742 743 744 745 | Samuel Marks, Can Rager, Eric J. Michaud, et al. Sparse feature circuits: Discovering and editing in- terpretable causal graphs in language models. <i>Computing Research Repository</i> , arXiv:2403.19647, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.19647. |
| 746 747 | Joseph Miller, Bilal Chughtai, and William Saunders. Transformer circuit faithfulness metrics are not robust, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.08734. |
| 748 749 750 751 | Sewon Min, Xinxi Lyu, Ari Holtzman, Mikel Artetxe, Mike Lewis, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, and Luke Zettlemoyer. Rethinking the role of demonstrations: What makes in-context learning work? <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.12837</i> , 2022. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.12837. |
| 752 753 | Andrew Ng. Sparse autoencoder. CS294A Lecture Notes, 2011. Unpublished lecture notes. |
| 754 755 | Chris Olah, Nick Cammarata, Ludwig Schubert, Gabriel Goh, Michael Petrov, and Shan Carter. Zoom in: An introduction to circuits. <i>Distill</i> , 2020. doi: 10.23915/distill.00024.001. https: //distill.pub/2020/circuits/zoom-in. |

756 Catherine Olsson, Nelson Elhage, Neel Nanda, Nicholas Joseph, Nova DasSarma, Tom Henighan, 757 Ben Mann, Amanda Askell, Yuntao Bai, Anna Chen, Tom Conerly, Dawn Drain, Deep Ganguli, 758 Zac Hatfield-Dodds, Danny Hernandez, Scott Johnston, Andy Jones, Jackson Kernion, Liane 759 Lovitt, Kamal Ndousse, Dario Amodei, Tom Brown, Jack Clark, Jared Kaplan, Sam McCandlish, 760 and Chris Olah. In-context learning and induction heads, 2022. URL https://arxiv.org/ abs/2209.11895. 761 762 Johannes von Oswald, Eyvind Niklasson, Ettore Randazzo, João Sacramento, Alexander Mordvintsev, 763 Andrey Zhmoginov, and Max Vladymyrov. Transformers learn in-context by gradient descent. 764 URL http://arxiv.org/abs/2212.07677. 765 766 Jane Pan, Tianyu Gao, Howard Chen, and Dangi Chen. What in-context learning "learns" in-context: 767 Disentangling task recognition and task learning. In Anna Rogers, Jordan Boyd-Graber, and 768 Naoaki Okazaki (eds.), Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023, pp. 8298–8319. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2023.findings-acl.527. 769 URL https://aclanthology.org/2023.findings-acl.527. 770 771 Judea Pearl. Direct and indirect effects. In Proceedings of the Seventeenth Conference on Uncertainty 772 in Artificial Intelligence, UAI'01, pp. 411–420, San Francisco, CA, USA, 2001. Morgan Kaufmann 773 Publishers Inc. ISBN 1558608001. 774 775 Judea Pearl. Causality: Models, Reasoning and Inference. Cambridge University Press, USA, 2nd 776 edition, 2009. ISBN 052189560X. 777 Guilherme Penedo, Hynek Kydlíček, Loubna Ben allal, Anton Lozhkov, Margaret Mitchell, Colin 778 Raffel, Leandro Von Werra, and Thomas Wolf. The fineweb datasets: Decanting the web for the 779 finest text data at scale, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.17557. 781 Hao Peng, Xiaozhi Wang, Jianhui Chen, Weikai Li, Yunjia Qi, Zimu Wang, Zhili Wu, Kaisheng Zeng, 782 Bin Xu, Lei Hou, and Juanzi Li. When does in-context learning fall short and why? a study on 783 specification-heavy tasks, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.08993. 784 URL 2024. Senthooran Rajamanoharan. Improving ghost grads, 785 https://www.alignmentforum.org/posts/C5KAZQib3bzzpeyrg/ 786 progress-update-1-from-the-gdm-mech-interp-team-full-update# 787 Improving_ghost_grads. 788 789 Senthooran Rajamanoharan, Arthur Conmy, Lewis Smith, Tom Lieberum, Vikrant Varma, János 790 Kramár, Rohin Shah, and Neel Nanda. Improving dictionary learning with gated sparse autoen-791 coders, 2024a. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.16014. 792 Senthooran Rajamanoharan, Tom Lieberum, Nicolas Sonnerat, Arthur Conmy, Vikrant Varma, János 793 Kramár, and Neel Nanda. Jumping ahead: Improving reconstruction fidelity with jumprelu sparse 794 autoencoders, 2024b. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.14435. 796 Allan Raventós, Mansheej Paul, Feng Chen, and Surya Ganguli. Pretraining task diversity and the 797 emergence of non-bayesian in-context learning for regression. URL http://arxiv.org/ 798 abs/2306.15063. 799 Logan Riggs and Jannik Brinkman. Improving sae's by sqrt()-ing 11 removing lowest activating 800 features, 2024. URL https://www.lesswrong.com/posts/YiGs8qJ8aNBgwt2YN/ 801 improving-sae-s-by-sqrt-ing-l1-and-removing-lowest. 802 803 Lingfeng Shen, Aayush Mishra, and Daniel Khashabi. Do pretrained transformers learn in-context 804 by gradient descent? URL http://arxiv.org/abs/2310.08540. 805 806 Chenglei Si, Dan Friedman, Nitish Joshi, Shi Feng, Danqi Chen, and He He. Measuring inductive 807 biases of in-context learning with underspecified demonstrations. In Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pp. 808 11289–11310. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2023.acl-long.632. 809 URL https://aclanthology.org/2023.acl-long.632.

810 Lewis Smith. Replacing sae encoders with inference-time optimisation, 2024. 811 URL https://www.alignmentforum.org/posts/C5KAZQib3bzzpeyrg/ 812 full-post-progress-update-1-from-the-gdm-mech-interp-team# 813 Replacing_SAE_Encoders_with_Inference_Time_Optimisation. 814 Aaquib Syed, Can Rager, and Arthur Conmy. Attribution patching outperforms automated circuit 815 discovery, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.10348. 816 817 Adly Templeton, Joshua Batson, Adam Jermyn, and Chris Olah. Predicting future activations, 818 January 2024a. URL https://transformer-circuits.pub/2024/jan-update/ 819 index.html#predict-future. Accessed on September 30, 2024. 820 Adly Templeton, Tom Conerly, Jonathan Marcus, Jack Lindsey, Trenton Bricken, Brian Chen, 821 Adam Pearce, Craig Citro, Emmanuel Ameisen, Andy Jones, Hoagy Cunningham, Nicholas L 822 Turner, Callum McDougall, Monte MacDiarmid, C. Daniel Freeman, Theodore R. Sumers, 823 Edward Rees, Joshua Batson, Adam Jermyn, Shan Carter, Chris Olah, and Tom Henighan. 824 Scaling monosemanticity: Extracting interpretable features from claude 3 sonnet. Trans-825 former Circuits Thread, 2024b. URL https://transformer-circuits.pub/2024/ scaling-monosemanticity/index.html. 827 Eric Todd, Millicent L. Li, Arnab Sen Sharma, et al. Function vectors in large language models. In 828 Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Learning Representations, 2024. 829 830 Kevin Wang, Alexandre Variengien, Arthur Conmy, Buck Shlegeris, and Jacob Steinhardt. Inter-831 pretability in the wild: A circuit for indirect object identification in GPT-2 small, 2022. URL 832 https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.00593. 833 Lean Wang, Lei Li, Damai Dai, Deli Chen, Hao Zhou, Fandong Meng, Jie Zhou, and Xu Sun. 834 Label words are anchors: An information flow perspective for understanding in-context learn-835 ing. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), Proceedings of the 2023 Con-836 ference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pp. 9840–9855. Associa-837 tion for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2023.emnlp-main.609. URL https: 838 //aclanthology.org/2023.emnlp-main.609. 839 Xinyi Wang, Wanrong Zhu, Michael Saxon, Mark Steyvers, and William Yang Wang. Large language 840 models are latent variable models: Explaining and finding good demonstrations for in-context 841 learning, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.11916. 842 843 Sang Michael Xie, Aditi Raghunathan, Percy Liang, and Tengyu Ma. An explanation of in-context 844 learning as implicit bayesian inference. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/2111.02080. 845 Zhangchen Xu, Fengqing Jiang, Luyao Niu, Yuntian Deng, Radha Poovendran, Yejin Choi, and 846 Bill Yuchen Lin. Magpie: Alignment data synthesis from scratch by prompting aligned llms with 847 nothing, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.08464. 848 849 Steve Yadlowsky, Lyric Doshi, and Nilesh Tripuraneni. Pretraining data mixtures enable narrow model 850 selection capabilities in transformer models. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/2311.00871. 851 852 MODEL AND DATASET DETAILS 853 А 854 For our experiments, we utilized the Gemma 1 2B model, a member of the Gemma family of open 855 models based on Google's Gemini models (Gemma Team, 2024). The model's architecture is largely 856 the same as that of Llama (Dubey et al., 2024) except for tied input and output embeddings and a 857 different activation function for MLP layers, so we could reuse our infrastructure for loading Llama 858 models. We train residual and attention output SAEs as well as transcoders for layers 1-18 of the 859 model on FineWeb (Penedo et al., 2024).

Our dataset for circuit finding is primarily derived from the function vectors paper (Todd et al., 2024), 861 which provides a diverse set of tasks for evaluating the existence and properties of function vectors in 862 language models. We supplemented this dataset with three additional algorithmic tasks to broaden 863 the scope of our analysis:

866

867 868

- Extract the first element from an array of length 4
- Extract the second element from an array of length 4
- Extract the last element from an array of length 4

The complete list of tasks used in our experiments with task descriptions is as follows:

| Task ID | Description |
|-----------------------------|--|
| location_continent | Name the continent where the given landmark is located. |
| football_player_position | Identify the position of a given football player. |
| location_religion | Name the predominant religion in a given location. |
| location_language | State the primary language spoken in a given location. |
| person_profession | Identify the profession of a given person. |
| location_country | Name the country where a given location is situated. |
| country_capital | Provide the capital city of a given country. |
| person_language | Identify the primary language spoken by a given person. |
| singular_plural | Convert a singular noun to its plural form. |
| present_simple_past_simple | Change a verb from present simple to past simple tense. |
| antonyms | Provide the antonym of a given word. |
| plural_singular | Convert a plural noun to its singular form. |
| present_simple_past_perfect | Change a verb from present simple to past perfect tense. |
| present_simple_gerund | Convert a verb from present simple to gerund form. |
| en_it | Translate a word from English to Italian. |
| it_en | Translate a word from Italian to English. |
| en_fr | Translate a word from English to French. |
| en_es | Translate a word from English to Spanish. |
| fr_en | Translate a word from French to English. |
| es_en | Translate a word from Spanish to English. |
| algo_last | Extract the last element from an array of length 4. |
| algo_first | Extract the first element from an array of length 4. |
| algo_second | Extract the second element from an array of length 4. |

This diverse set of tasks covers a wide range of linguistic and cognitive abilities, including geographic
knowledge, language translation, grammatical transformations, and simple algorithmic operations.
By using this comprehensive task set, we aimed to thoroughly investigate the in-context learning
capabilities of the Gemma 1 2B model across various domains.

897

899

B SAE TRAINING

900 Our Gemma 1 2B SAEs are trained with a learning rate of 1e-3 and Adam betas of 0.0 and 0.99 901 for 150M (\pm 100) tokens of FineWeb (Penedo et al., 2024). The methodology is overall similar to 902 (Bloom, 2024). We initialize encoder weights orthogonally and set decoder weights to their transpose. We initialize decoder biases to 0. We use Rajamanoharan (2024)'s ghost gradients variant (ghost 903 gradients applied to dead features only, loss multiplied by the proportion of death features) with the 904 additional modification of using softplus instead of exp for numerical stability. A feature is considered 905 dead when its density (according to a 1000-batch buffer) is below 5e-6 or when it has not fired in 906 2000 steps. We use Anthropic's input normalization and sparsity loss for Gemma 1 2B (Conerly et al., 907 2024). We found it to improve Gated SAE training stability. We modified it to work with transcoders 908 by keeping track of input and output norms separately and predicting normed outputs. 909

We convert our Gated SAEs into JumpReLU SAEs after training, implementing algorithms like TVC
and SFC in a unified manner for all SAEs in this format (including simple SAEs). The conversion
procedure involves setting thresholds to replicate the effect of the gating branch. For further details, see Rajamanoharan et al. (2024b).

We use 4 v4 TPU chips running Jax (Bradbury et al., 2018) (Equinox (Kidger & Garcia, 2021)) to
train our SAEs. We found that training with Huggingface's Flax LM implementations was very
slow. We reimplemented LLaMA (Dubey et al., 2024) and Gemma (Gemma Team, 2024) in Penzai
(Johnson, 2024) with a custom layer-scan transformation and quantized inference kernels as well
as support for loading from GGUF compressed model files. We process an average of around 4400

tokens per second, which makes training SAEs and not caching LM activations the main bottleneck.
 For this and other reasons, we don't do SAE sparsity coefficient sweeps to increase TPU utilization.

For caching, we use a distributed ring buffer which contains separate pointers on each device to allow for processing masked data. The (in-place) buffer update is in a separate JIT context. Batches are sampled randomly from the buffer for each training step.

We train our SAEs in bfloat16 precision. We found that keeping weights and scales in bfloat16 and biases in float32 performed best in terms of the number of dead features and led to a Pareto improvement over float32 SAEs.

For training Phi 3 (Abdin et al., 2024) SAEs, we use data generated by the model unconditionally, similarly to (Xu et al., 2024)⁵. The resulting dataset we train the model on contains many math problems and is formatted as a natural-seeming interaction between the user and the model.

Bach SAE training run takes us about 3 hours. We trained 3 models (a residual SAE, an attention output SAE, and a transcoder) for each of the 18 layers of the model. This is about 1 week of v4-8 TPU time.

Our SAEs and training code will be made public after paper acceptance.

938 939 940

963 964 965

C EXAMPLE CIRCUITS



Figure 9: An example of a circuit found using our SFC variant. We focused on a subcircuit with high indirect effects. Maximum activating examples from the SAE training distribution are included.

An example output of our circuit cleaning algorithm can be found in Figure 9. We can see the flow of information through a single high-IE attention feature from a task-detection feature (activating on output tokens) to transcoder and residual execution features (activating on arrow tokens). The feature activates on antonyms on the detection feature #11050: one can assume the first sequence began as "Short Term Target", making the second half an antonym.

We will release a web interface for viewing maximum activating examples and task feature circuits.



Figure 10: An overview of our Task Vector Cleaning algorithm. TV stands for Task Vector.

D TASK VECTOR CLEANING ALGORITHM

991

992 993 994

995

997

1005

1007

1008

1010

1012

1013 1014

1015

The task vector cleaning algorithm is a novel approach we developed to isolate task-relevant features from task vectors. Figure 10 provides an overview of this algorithm.

Our process begins with collecting residuals for task vectors using a batch of 16 and 16-shot prompts. We then calculate the SAE features for these task vectors. We explored two methods: (1) calculating feature activation and then averaging across tokens, and (2) averaging across tokens first and then calculating the task vector. They had similar performances.

The cleaning process is performed on a training batch of 24 pairs, with evaluation conducted on an additional 24 pairs. All prompts are zero-shot. An example prompt is as follows:

```
BOS
          Follow
                       the
                               pattern
                                                 \n
                                           :
tall
               short
                          \n
. . .
 old
         \rightarrow
                young
                            \n
 hot
               cold
         \rightarrow
```

Example 2: The steered token is highlighted in red. Loss is calculated on the yellow token.

1016 The algorithm is initialized with the SAE reconstruction as a starting point. It then iteratively steers 1017 the model on the reconstruction layer and calculates the loss on the training pairs. To promote sparsity, 1018 we add the L_1 norm of weights with coefficient l to the loss function. The algorithm implements 1019 early stopping when the L_0 norm remains unchanged for n iterations.

```
1020
     1
        def tvc_algorithm(task_vector, model, sae):
1021
          initial_weights = sae.encode(task_vector)
     2
1022
          def tvc loss(weights, tokens):
     3
1023
            task vector = sae.decode(weights)
     4
            mask = tokens == self.separator
1024
     5
1025
```

⁵Phi-3 is trained primarily with instruction following data, making it an aligned chat model.

```
1026
               model.residual_stream[layer, mask] += task_vector
      6
1027
      7
                # loss only on the "output" tokens,
1028
                # ignoring input and prompt tokens
      8
1029
                loss = logprobs(model.logits, tokens, ...)
      9
1030
                return loss + l1_coeff * l1_norm(weights)
      10
             weights = initial_weights.copy()
1031
      11
             optimizer = adam(weights, lr=0.15)
1032
      12
             last_10, without_change = 0, 0 # early stopping
      13
1033
             for _ in range(1000):
      14
1034
                grad = jax.grad(tvc_loss)(weights, tokens)
      15
1035
                weights = optimizer.step(grad)
      16
1036
                if l0_norm(weights) != last_10:
      17
1037
                  last_10, without_change = 10_norm(weights), 0
      18
1038
                elif without_change >= 50:
      19
1039
      20
                  break
1040
             return weights
      21
1041
1043
                              Algorithm 1: Pseudocode for Task Vector Cleaning.
1044
       The hyperparameters l, n, and learning rate \alpha can be fixed for a single model. We experimented with
1045
        larger batch sizes but found that they did not significantly improve the quality of extracted features
1046
        while substantially slowing down the algorithm due to gradient accumulation.
1047
1048
       The algorithm takes varying amounts of time to complete for different tasks and models. For Gemma
1049
       1, it stops at 100-200 iterations, which is close to 40 seconds at 5 iterations per second.
1050
        It's worth noting that we successfully applied this method to the recently released Gemma 2 2B and
1051
        9B models using the Gemma Scope SAE suite (Lieberum et al., 2024). It was also successful with the
1052
        Phi-3 3B model (Abdin et al., 2024) and with our SAEs, which were trained similarly to the Gemma
1053
        1 2B SAEs.
1054
1055
1056
1057
        D.1 L_1 Sweeps
1058
1059
       To provide more details about the method's effectiveness across various models and SAE widths, we
        conducted L_1 coefficient sweeps with our Phi-3 and Gemma 1 2B SAEs, as well as Gemma Scope
1061
        Gemma 2 SAEs. We chose two SAE widths for Gemma 2 2B and 9B: 16k and 65k. For Gemma 2
1062
        2B we also sweeped across several different target SAE l0 norms. We studied only the optimal task
1063
        vector layer for each model: 12 for Gemma 1, 16 for Gemma 2, 18 for Phi-3, and 20 for Gemma 2
1064
        9B. We used a learning rate of 0.15 with the Gemma 1 2B, Phi-3, and Gemma 2 2B 65k models, 0.3
        with Gemma 2 2B 16k, and 0.05 with 200 early stopping steps for Gemma 2 9B.
        Figures 11, 12, 13 compare TVC and ITO against original task vectors. The X-axis displays the
1067
        fraction of active task vector SAE features used. The Y-axis displays the TV loss delta, calculated
1068
        as (L_{TV} - L_{Method})/L_{Zero}, where L_{TV} is the loss from steering with the task vector, L_{Method}
1069
        is the loss after it has been cleaned using the corresponding method, and L_{Zero} is the uninformed
1070
        (no-steering) model loss. This metric shows improvement over the task vector relative to the loss of
1071
        the uninformed model. Points were collected from all tasks using 5 different L_1 coefficient values.
1072
        We observe that our method often improves task vector loss and can reduce the number of active
        features to one-third of those in the original task vector while maintaining relatively intact performance.
1074
        In contrast, ITO rarely improves the task vector loss and is almost always outperformed by TVC.
1075
        Figures 14, 15 and 16 show task-mean loss decrease (relative to no steering loss) and remaining TV
        features fraction plotted against L_1 sweep coefficients. We see that L_1 coefficients between 0.001
1077
        and 0.025 result in relatively intact performance, while significantly reducing the amount of active
1078
        SAE features. From Figure 15 we can notice that the method performs better with higher target 10
1079
        SAEs, being able to affect the loss with just a fraction of active SAE features.
```



to task vectors for Phi-3. The Y-axis shows relative improvement over task vector loss, while the X-axis shows the fraction of active TV features used. Metric calculation details are available in D.1



Figure 13: Performance of ITO and TVC across different tasks and optimization parameters compared to task vectors for Gemma 2 Gemma Scope SAEs. The Y-axis shows the relative improvement over the loss from steering with a task vector, while the X-axis shows the fraction of active TV features used. Metric calculation details are available in Appendix D.1.

¹¹⁷⁷ E DETAILS OF OUR SFC IMPLEMENTATION

- 1178
- 1179 E.1 IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

¹¹⁸¹ Our implementation of circuit finding attribution patching is specialized for Jax and Penzai.

We first perform a forward-backward pass on the set of prompts, collecting residuals and gradients from the metric to residuals. We collect gradients with jax.grad by introducing "dummy" zerovalued inputs to the metric computation function that are added to the residuals of each layer. Note that we do not use SAEs during this stage.

1187 We then perform an SAE encoding step and find the nodes (residual, attention output, and transcoder SAE features and error nodes) with the highest indirect effects using manually computed gradients



Figure 14: L_1 coefficient sweeps across different models and SAEs. All metrics are averaged across all tasks. Error bars show the standard deviation of the average for each case. Metric calculation details are available in D.1.

1238 1239

1240



Figure 15: L_1 coefficient sweeps across different target SAE sparsities and widths for Gemma 2 2B. All metrics are averaged across all tasks. Error bars show the standard deviation of the average for each case. Metric calculation details are available in Appendix D.1.



Figure 16: L_1 coefficient sweeps across two SAE widths for Gemma 2 9B. All metrics are averaged across all tasks. Error bars show the standard deviation of the average for each case. Metric calculation details are available in D.1.

from the metric. After that, we find the features with the top K indirect effects for each layer and position mask and treat them as candidates for circuit edge targets. We compute gradients with respect to the metric to the values of those nodes, propagate them to "source features" up to one layer above, and multiply by the values of the source features. This way, we can compute indirect effects for circuit edges and prune the initially fully connected circuit. However, like Marks et al. (2024), we do not perform full ablation of circuit edges.

```
1327 We
```

We include a simplified implementation of node-only SFC in Algorithm 2.

```
resids pre: L x N x D - the pre-residual stream at layer L
     1
1329
          resids mid: L x N x D - the middle of the residual stream
        #
     2
1330
          (between attention and MLP) at layer L
        #
1331
          grads_pre: L x N x D - gradients from the metric to resids_pre
1332
        #
          grads_mid: L x N x D - gradients from the metric to resids_mid
     5
1333
        # all of the above are computed with a forward and backward
1334
        #
         pass without SAEs
     7
     8
1336
        #
         saes resid: L - residual stream SAEs
     9
         saes_attn: L - attention output SAEs
        #
    10
        # transcoders_attn: L - transcoders predicting resids_pre[l+1]
    11
1338
        # from resids mid[]]
    12
1339
    13
1340
       def indirect effect for residual node(layer):
    14
1341
            sae_encoding = saes_resid[layer].encode(
    15
1342
                resids_pre[layer])
    16
1343
            grad_to_sae_latents = jax.vjp(
    17
1344
                saes_resid[layer].decode,
    18
1345
                sae_encoding
    19
1346
            ) (grads_pre[1])
    20
1347
    21
            return (grad_to_sae_latents * sae_encoding).sum(-1)
    22
1348
       def indirect_effect_for_attention_node(layer):
1349
    23
            sae_encoding = saes_attn[layer].encode(
    24
```

```
1350
                  resids_mid[layer] - resids_pre[layer])
     25
1351
     26
             grad_to_sae_latents = jax.vjp(
1352
     27
                  saes_attn[layer].decode,
1353
                  sae_encoding
     28
1354
             )(grads_mid[1])
     29
             return (grad_to_sae_latents * sae_encoding).sum(-1)
1355
     30
1356
    31
        def indirect_effect_for_transcoder_node(layer):
    32
1357
             sae_encoding = transcoders[layer].encode(
     33
1358
                  resids mid[layer])
     34
1359
             grad_to_sae_latents = jax.vjp(
     35
1360
                  transcoders[layer].decode,
     36
1361
                  sae_encoding
     37
1362
             )(grads_pre[1+1])
     38
1363
     39
             return (grad_to_sae_latents * sae_encoding).sum(-1)
1364
1365
              Algorithm 2: Pseudocode for Sparse Feature Circuits indirect effect calculation.
```

1367 E.2 FAITHFULNESS CHARTS

1369 Figure 17 shows the average effect of node trimming on faithfulness in all tasks. We follow the 1370 methodology of Marks et al. (2024) thresholding removing nodes with low IE first. We can see that 1371 the circuits keep at least 0.8 faithfulness on average with just 1000 nodes (on layers 11-17).



Figure 17: Average faithfulness across tasks depending on the number of nodes left in the circuit.

1388 Figure 18 shows the averaged inverse node trimming effect on faithfulness across all tasks. Marks et al. (2024) calls this metric completeness and calculates it as the faithfulness of the model just with the circuit ablated. We calculate it by thresholding the nodes starting with those that have the highest IE. We can see that the ablation of even just several hundred nodes has a drastic impact on faithfulness. These results were also computed with the window of layers being 11-17).

1396

1387

1389

1390

1391

1368

F **STEERING WITH TASK-EXECUTION FEATURES**

To evaluate the causal relevance of our identified ICL features, we conducted a series of steering experiments. Our methodology employed zero-shot prompts for task-execution features, measuring 1398 effects across a batch of 32 random pairs. 1399

We set the target layer as 12 using Figure 3a and extracted all task-relevant features on it using our 1400 cleaning algorithm. To determine the optimal steering scale, we conducted preliminary experiments 1401 using manually identified task-execution features across all tasks. Through this process, we estab-1402 lished an optimal steering scale of 15, which we then applied consistently across all subsequent 1403 experiments.



An important distinction from positive steering is that performance degradation in negative steering may occur due to two factors: (1) our causal intervention on the ICL circuit and (2) the steering scale being too high. To address this, we measured accuracy across all pairs in the batch instead of loss, as accuracy does not decrease indefinitely. We also observed that features no longer share a common optimal scale. Consequently, for each task pair, we iterated over several scales between 1 and 30.

Effect strength en_fr 1459 en_it 1460 country capital • ocation_religion 1461 location languag erson_language 1462 ootball_player_positio ngular nlural 1463 nt_simple_geru algo last 1464 st_perfe person_profession 1465 nple_past_simpl location_contine 1466 location_countr algo_secon 1467 algo_first 1468 plural_singu 1469 ٠ 1470 es e 11/1632 99600 66780 66780 223906 66780 118805 22536 22556 25566 25 1649 5579 1471 930 2629 Feature is in task vector | • Feature is present after cleaning 1472 Feature 1473 Figure 19: Full version of the heatmap in Figure 5 showing the effect of steering with individual 1474 task-execution features for each task. The features present in the task vector of the corresponding task 1475 are marked with dots. Green dots show the features that were extracted by cleaning. Red dots are 1476 features present in the original task vector. Not all original features from the task vectors are present. 1477 1478 1479 For each feature, we then selected a scale that reduced accuracy by at least 0.1 for at least one task. 1480 Steering results at this scale were used for this feature across all tasks.

Figure 20 displays the resulting heatmap. While we observe some degree of task specificity — and even note that some executing features from Figure 19 have their expected effects — we also find that negative steering exhibits significantly lower task specificity. Additionally, we observe that non-task-specific features have a substantial impact in this experiment. This suggests that steering experiments alone may not suffice for a comprehensive analysis of the ICL mechanism, thus reinforcing the importance of methods such as our modification of SFC.



Figure 20: Negative steering heatmap. Displays accuracy decrease after optimal scale negative
 steering on full ICL prompts. Green circles show which features were present in the cleaned task
 vector of the corresponding task. More details in Appendix F.1

150

1458

1506 F.2 GEMMA 2 2B POSITIVE STEERING

Additionally, we conducted zero-shot steering experiments with Gemma 2 2B 16k and 65k SAEs.
Contrary to Gemma 1 2B, task executors from Gemma 2 2B did not have a single common optimal steering scale. Thus, we added an extra step to the experiment: for each feature and task pair, we performed steering with several scales from 30 to 300, and then selected the scale that had maximal loss decrease on any of the tasks. We then used this scale for this feature in application to all other

1512 tasks. Figure 22a and Figure 22b contain steering heatmaps for Gemma 2 2B 16k SAEs and Gemma 1513 2 2B 65k SAEs respectively. 1514

We observe a relatively similar level of executor task-specificity compared to Gemma 1. One notable 1515 difference between 16k and 65k SAEs is that 65k cleaned task vectors appear to contain more features 1516 with a strong effect on the task. However, this may be due to the l_1 regularization coefficient being 1517 too low.



Figure 21: Unfiltered version of the heatmap in Figure 7 showing the effect of steering with individual 1535 task-execution features for each task. The features present in the task vector of the corresponding task 1536 are marked with dots. Green dots show the features that were extracted by cleaning. Red dots are the 1537 features present in the original task vector. Since the chart only contains features from cleaned task 1538 vectors, not all features from the original task vectors are present.

1539 1540 1541

1542

G **TASK-DETECTION FEATURES**

1543 For our investigation of task-detection features, we employed a methodology similar to that used for 1544 task execution features, with a key modification. We introduced a fake pair to the prompt and focused 1545 our steering on its output. This approach allowed us to simulate the effect of the detection features 1546 the way it happens on real prompts.

1547 Our analysis revealed that layers 10 and 11 were optimal for task detection, with performance notably 1548 declining in subsequent layers. We selected layer 11 for our primary analysis due to its proximity 1549 to layer 12, where we had previously identified the task execution features. This choice potentially 1550 facilitates a more direct examination of the interaction between detection and execution mechanisms. 1551

The steering process for detection features followed the general methodology outlined in Appendix F, 1552 including the use of a batch of 32 random pairs, extraction of task-relevant features, and application 1553 of post-processing steps to normalize and highlight significant effects. The primary distinction lies in 1554 the application of the steering to the prompt. 1555

This approach allowed us to create a comprehensive representation of the causal relationships between 1556 task-detection features and the model's ability to recognize specific tasks, as visualized in Figure 7. 1557

| 1559 | BOS F | follov | w the | pattern | : | ∖n |
|--------------|-------------------|--------|-------|---------|---|----|
| 1561 | $X \rightarrow $ | Y | \n | | | |
| 1562 1563 | hot \rightarrow | С | old | | | |

1564 Example 4: Task-detection steering setup. The steered token is highlighted in red and the loss is 1565 calculated on the yellow token.



Figure 22: Unfiltered positive steering heatmap for Gemma 2 2B SAEs showing the effect of steering with individual task-execution features for each task. Steering scales were optimized for each feature. The features present in the task vector of the corresponding task are marked with dots. Green dots show the features that were extracted by cleaning. Red dots are the features present in the original task vector. Since the chart only contains features from cleaned task vectors, not all features from the original task vectors are present.

1601

1602

H ICL INTERPRETABILITY LITERATURE REVIEW

This section will cover work on understanding ICL not mentioned in Section 5.

Raventós et al. provides evidence for two different Bayesian algorithms being learned for linear regression ICL: one for limited task distributions and one that is similar to ridge regression. It also intriguingly shows that the two solutions lie in different basins of the loss landscape, a phase transition necessary to go from one to the other. While interesting, it is not clear if the results apply to real-world tasks.

1610 The existence of discrete task detection and execution features hinges on the assumption that in-1611 context learning works by classifying the task to perform and not by learning a task. Pan et al. aims 1612 to disentangle the two with a black-box approach that mixes up outputs to force the model to learn 1613 the task from scratch. Si et al. look at biases in task recognition in ambiguous examples through 1614 a black-box lens. We find more clear task features for some tasks than others but do not consider 1615 whether this is linked to how common a task is in pretraining data.

1616 Xie et al. proposes that in-context learning happens because language models aim to model a latent
1617 topic variable to predict text with long-range coherence. Wang et al. (2024) show following the two
1618 proposed steps rigorously improves results in real-world models. However, they do not endeavor to
1619 explain the behavior of non-finetuned models by looking at internal representations; instead, they aim to improve ICL performance.

Han et al. use a weight-space method to find examples in training data that promote in-context learning using a method akin to Grosse et al. (2023), producing results similar to per-token loss analyses in Olsson et al. (2022), and, similarly to the studies mentioned above, finds that those examples involve long-range coherence. Our method is also capable of finding examples in data that are similar to ICL, and we find crisp examples for many tasks being performed Appendix I.

Bansal et al. offers a deeper look into induction heads, scaling up Olsson et al. (2022) the way we scale up Marks et al. (2024). Crucially, it finds that MLPs in later layers cannot be removed while preserving ICL performance, indirectly corroborating our findings from Section 4.2. Chen et al. come up with a proof that states that gradient flow converges to a generalized version of the algorithm suggested by Olsson et al. (2022) when trained on n-gram Markov chain data.

1630 Garg et al. studies the performance of toy models trained on in-context regression various function 1631 *classes.* Yadlowsky et al. find that Transformers trained on regression with multiple function classes 1632 have trouble combining solutions for learning those functions. Oswald et al. construct a set of weights 1633 for linear attention Transformers that reproduce updates from gradient descent and find evidence for 1634 the algorithm being represented on real models trained on toy tasks. Mahankali et al. proves that 1635 this algorithm is optimal for single-layer transformers on noisy linear regression data. Shen et al. 1636 questions the applicability of this model to real-world transformers. Bai et al. finds that transformers 1637 can switch between multiple different learning algorithms for ICL. Dai et al. find multiple similarities between changes made to model predictions from in-context learning and weight finetuning. 1638

While important, we do not consider this direction of interpreting transformers trained on regression
 for concrete function classes through primarily white-box techniques. Instead, we aim to focus on
 clear discrete tasks which are likely to have individual features.

1642 The results of Wang et al. are perhaps the most similar to our findings. The study finds "anchor tokens" 1643 responsible for aggregating semantic information, analogous to our "output tokens" (Section 2.3) and 1644 task-detection features. They tackle the full circuit responsible for ICL bottom-up and intervene on 1645 models using their understanding, improving accuracy. Like this paper, they do not deeply investigate 1646 later attention and MLP layers. Our study uses SAE features to find strong linear directions on output 1647 and arrow tokens corresponding to task detection and execution respectively, offering a different 1648 perspective. Additionally, we consider over 20 diverse token-to-token tasks, as opposed to the 4 text 1649 classification datasets considered in citewang_l $abel_2 023$.

1650

1651 I MAX ACTIVATING EXAMPLES

This section contains max activating examples for some executor and detector features for Gemma 1 2B, as described in (Bricken et al., 2023). They are computed by iterating over the training data distribution (FineWeb) and sampling activations of SAE features that fall within disjoint buckets for the activation value of span 0.5. We can observe that the degree of intuitive interpretability depends on the amount of task-similar contexts in the training data and SAE width.

We also provide max activating examples for Gemma 2 2B executor features from Figures 22b and 22a. These max activating examples are taken from the Neuronpedia (Lin, 2023) and are available in Figures 26 and 25.

Here we can notice the main difference between executors and detectors: executors mainly activate
before the task completion, while detectors activate on the token that completes the task. We also
found that in Gemma 1 2B detector features for some tasks were split between several token-level
features (like the journalism feature in Figure 24f), and they did not create a single feature before the
task executing features activated. We attribute this to the limited expressivity of the SAEs that we
used.

- 1668
- 1669
- 1670
- 1671
- 1672
- 1673

| | ludgmont Staff (井主の社 Scholing Taug2) also known |
|--|--|
| | Pift The Judgment Staff (我主()社 Sabaki to Tsue?), also known |
| | more commonly known as the Four-Tails (ME Yonbi) is a |
| | as the Four-Tails (ME Yonbi) is a tailed heast sealed |
| | 1 meters dvbde er vigtige for et årige afgr |
| a bar de la companya | te vinden (inclusief een directe link naar de publicatie online als dez |
| st by alternating between lower and upper register | elders te vinden (inclusief een directe link naar de publicatie online |
| erences between northern <mark>and</mark> southern Italian co | een publicatie elders te vinden (inclusief een |
| l models, both import and domestic, Ulmer's spec | Oh (侍合体シンケンオー Samurai Gattai Shinken`o?) দার গণেয়নে-দাগািরণি |
| between fresh and traditional, casual and elegant | naar de publicatie online als deze beschikbaar is in een |
| ces and both local and remote event logging. That | atie online als deze beschikbaar is in een database op het internet). |
| globally in both tropical and temperate waters. Blu | did, upstage bos>Israel (ישראל נתדימ) is a small yet diverse |
| Light and darkness life and death. This assembly | Agencia Española de Medicamentos y Productos Sanitarios, A |
| and death. This assemble | authority (Agencia Española de Medicamentos y Productos Sanitar |
| (a) Max activating examples for the antonyms executor feature 11618. | (b) Max activating examples for the English to for- eign language translation executor feature 26987. |
| cultural diversity and that special joie de vivre (joy of life), that Mont | |
| of creating Papel Picado Banderitas (little paper banners). Popular t | working, connecting with diverse people and seeking out sustain |
| nicknames: "la ciudad dorada" (the golden city). Salamanca is also t | croll, and 2) Isolating unifying elements that transcend the indivi |
| from the same root as jihad, or struggle, in the sense that ijti | nt like landing on the moon or the discovery of DNA. The focus |
| conurbation "tsukin jigoku, <mark>" or</mark> commuter hell. Images of rail worker: | by using our search feature <mark>or</mark> by following the links above. Feel |
| , he acted according to our Sunna (tradition), and whoever slaughter | as Liking and Favoriting photos, but it will expire after |
| , and, of course, your karma (good and bad). John brings a wealth | r spends her free time traveling and visiting exotic locations arou |
| The "it-sa Sicherheitsmesse" (security trade show), OWASP conferer | enses tighten, grabbing offensive rebounds and making putback |
| Cerveseria Catalan along with a caña (draft beer) and a roséyes | er than participating in or observing or bos>I tend to specialise i |
| s Apostle! I slaughtered the Nusuk (before the prayer) but I bos>Tru | a passonger car with plastics shorts and inhaling toxic fumes fr |
| the living entities; sva-artha—interest; vyatikraman—ob | a passenger car with plastics sheets and initiality toxic runnes in |
| by her given name (Angelena – intie angel), but called her Columba | |
| (c) Max activating examples for the translation to English executor feature 5579. | (d) Max activating examples for the "next comes gerund form" executor feature 15554. |
| on came all the way from Oslo Norway for the event. This has | |
| migrated to New York City from the Galicia area, in northwest Spain. | scientistb, - Rury Holman, directorc on behalf of the United Kingdom |
| nal. There were a few folks from Canada (British Columbia, Ontario and Quebec | Stinton, NAR CEO Charlie Young, President/CEO |
| an immigrant from Bangladesh who was granted political asylum by the | director ()a - Philip Clarke research fellowa - Andrew Farmer |
| hood in Seattle to my college years in Boston. | Hummel, MD, Ezio Bonifacio, PHD, and Anette-G. |
| owever, batteries from rival manufacturers in the U.S. are exempt from | lives in Bombay. Faruq Hassan, Poet and critic; teaches at Dawson Coll |
| ne USA and four in England. Of these, only three have | <bos>lila Williams, President Randall Ramsay Vice President Texas Cha</bos> |
| (e) Max activating examples for the prediction of city/- | (f) Max activating examples for the person's occu- |
| country feature 850. | pation executor feature 13458. |
| Eigung 22. Mars anti- | n avaantan faatumaa fram Eimer 5 |
| Figure 25: Max activating examples to | r executor features from Figure 5. |
| | |
| | |
| | st by alternating between lower and upper registe erences between northern and southern Italian co I models, both import and domestic, Ulmer's spec between fresh and traditional, casual and elegant ces and both local and remote event logging. That globally in both tropical and temperate waters. Blt a, light and darkness, life and death. This assembl (a) Max activating examples for the antonyms executor for the same root as jihad of struggle, in the sense that jil conubation "subin jigoku" of commuter hell. Images of rall worker a, ad, of course, your karma (good and bad). John brings a wealth The "t-sa Sicherheitsmesse" (secutivity trade show), OWASP conferer Cerveseria Catalan along with cafaa (draft beer) and arcséyes a Apostel I slaughtered the Nusuk (before the prayer) but Hobos-Tru the living entities; swa-artha=interest; wyatkramah=ob by her given name (Angelella = little angel), but called her Columba (a) Max activating examples for the translation to En- glish executor feature 557!. In an earth way from Oslo Narway for the event. This has impated to New Yok Cty from the Galica area, in northwest fspan. at. There were a few folks from Canada (Brittish Columbia, Ontario and Quebec an imgrant from Bangladeah who was granted political asylum by the and and ord im England. Of these, only three have (a) Max activating examples for the translation to En- glish executor feature 557!. In the work of Cty from the Galica area, in northwest fspan. at. There were a few folks from Canada (Brittish Columbia, Ontario and Quebec an imgrant from Bangladeah who was granted political asylum by the and and ord im England. Of these, only three have (b) Max activating examples for the prediction of city/- country feature 850. Figure 23: Max activating examples for |

| 1728 | | |
|------|--|--|
| 1729 | | |
| 1730 | | |
| 1731 | | |
| 1732 | | |
| 1733 | | |
| 1734 | | |
| 1735 | | |
| 1726 | Target: \$0.10 Long Term Target: \$0.45 | |
| 1707 | 5 In x 6 In : Outer Dimensions: 7 In x | |
| 1700 | a service: Morning ServicesIIEvening Servicel Morning Worship at 8 | other system that substantively uses <bos>Wikipedia sobre física de partículas</bos> |
| 1738 | temp: 15°C min temp: 11°C | Directed By Tom Grundy Es gibt noch keine Kommentare. Sei der erste bos> |
| 1739 | Upper Zone and 75 Bottles in Lower Zone - Read More The | 006 - 213 halaman The book was selected as one of |
| 1740 | page and 30% viewing the right half" "apple's decision | Hour Webcast - enregistré Où et quand What is the Webcast About |
| 1741 | integration is performed first, followed by the quantitative combination. | [score hidden] 23 Minuten zuvor You just said 'if you exposed |
| 1742 | access to the content item. Returns FALSE if the current bos> Oracle® | hazard [score hidden] 23 Minuten zuvor You just said 'if you |
| 1743 | . As we alternate between defensive positions and <mark>offensive</mark> positions, v | VA-LINKER bleat mogelijkheden om een publicatie elders te vinden (|
| 1744 | (a) Max activating examples for the antonyms de- | (b) Max activating examples for the English to foreign |
| 1745 | tector feature 11050. | language switch detector feature 7928. |
| 1746 | Say You can rate this item by giving it a score of one (poor), | |
| 1747 | , please let us know about it by sending our help desk an email . | |
| 1748 | which your order will be shipped. By doing this the few products | |
| 1749 | <pad><pad><pad><pad><bos>Search for music by typing a word or ph</bos></pad></pad></pad></pad> | Superficie Lunare (Composizione)" (Lunar surface - composition) execut |
| 1750 | a one month non-recurring subscription by sending a cashier's c | hardline group Tawhid wal Jihad (Monotheism and Holy War). |
| 1751 | s Learn more about Concordia by following the links below: Co | hardline group Tawhid wal Jihad (Monotheism and Holy War). One |
| 1752 | this product deliver? Pay it forward by sharing what you loved (a | hid wal Jihad (Monotheism and Holy War). One civilian was among |
| 1753 | . Browse: Browse the database by applying one or more filters to | line group Tawhid wal Jihad (Monotheism and Holy War). One civilian |
| 1754 | we are celebrating Valentine's Day by sharing some gorgeous a | that reads "Arbeit Macht Frei" ("Work Brings Freedom") is a seminal mor |
| 1755 | page needs content. You can help by adding a sentence or a pho | Tavola di San Giuseppe (St. Joseph's Feast). You'll |
| 1756 | NewsOK. He composed the ad by animating still photos taken b | As part of the Tres Fronteras (Three Borders) area that includes Foz and |
| 1757 | (a) May activating assemblas for the gamend form | (d) May activating assemblas for the translation to En |
| 1758 | detector feature 8446. | glish detector feature 31123. |
| 1759 | | > <bos>I have been a technology journalist and consultant for near</bos> |
| 1760 | | donation will help independent Adventist journalism expand across |
| 1761 | | ian 50 journalists gathered at Klosters, a Swiss ski |
| 1762 | | tting punters, journalists, football managers and players. We also |
| 1763 | | Modelo <bos>The award-winning journalist Robert Fisk gave the in</bos> |
| 1764 | the homeland of tice - Creatia There we found three local brands that | Pulitzer Prize-winning journalist, formerly with The Washington Po |
| 1765 | A (Reuters) - Bulgaria's president on Thursday called for a | w. If you are a journalist seeking comment on a story or more info |
| 1766 | s>Welcome to The Dubline: Ireland's oldest and newest discovery trail. | <pre>chos>Perinatetic journalist and translator Porter (Road to Heaven)</pre> |
| 1767 | d> <pad><pad><pad><pad><pad><pad><spad><pad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad><spad<spad><spad></spad></spad<spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></spad></pad></spad></pad></pad></pad></pad></pad></pad> | n will likely endanger the lives of journalists and aid workers in the |
| 1768 | should know that "Deutschland" means Germany in German. Germany is | houghtful post about the bazarda of journalists and ald workers in the |
| 1769 | urban Budapest sketch (Hungary) The old building standing on V | noughtrul post about the nazards of journalism following revelation |
| 1770 | s>Message Behind African Heaters For Norway Spoof An online video, u | about interviewing and journalism. Just like a marketing person do |
| 1771 | Nore of a Switzerland: More Personal Ads from the London Review | (f) Max activating examples for the journalist feature |
| 1772 | (e) Max activating examples for the country detec- | 26436. (The strongest detector for the person_profession |
| 1773 | tor feature 11459. | task). |
| 1774 | | |
| 1775 | Figure 24: Max activating example | s for detector features from the Figure / |
| 1776 | | |
| 1777 | | |
| 1778 | | |
| 1779 | | |
| 1780 | | |
| 1781 | | |
| | | |

| | means lost and found <mark>in the</mark> Mandingo language | anyway, <mark>dogs will be</mark> dogs. My name |
|--|--|---|
| 1784 | no probleme i can speak englishe≺g | hook. Boys will be boys, I suppose |
| 1785 | no prosteme i can opean english, ku | really hate explicit shock for the sake of shock |
| 1786 | because he could not speak Mandarin or Cantonese | ExtractionOptionsJsonObject:ExtractionOptionsJsonObject() |
| 1787 1788 | A. Heim <mark>. In German &</mark> French. | Vertice3f |
| 1789 | "market field" <mark>in</mark> Malay. It was | Vertice3f |
| 1790 | of her team <mark>speak in English</mark> , Elena immediately | four ways, and only four ways, in |
| 1791 | international projects. I speak english spanis | h and DrofilerlniMethod SamplingProfilerlni |
| 1792 | | |
| 1794 | "xml:lang="en">ૡૡ< | particular device-and only for that device. |
| 1795 | (a) Max activating examples for the langua diction executor feature 13804 | ge pre- (b) Max activating examples for the repetition executor feature 12646. Extracted from the algo last TV |
| 1796 | denon executor reature 13004. | st issue of Norsk Entomologisk Tidsskrift now Norwenian Journal of Entomology) appeared in May 1921. |
| 1797 | in the Swazi capital, Mbabane | vicion and administration of the Dirección Browincial de Vialidad (Browincial Boot, of Transportation) |
| 1799 | In the densely populated capital of Monrovia, | VISION AND AUMINISCRALION OF THE DIFECTION PROVINCIAL DE VIALIDAD (PROVINCIAL DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION). |
| 1800 | km north of the capital Kabul. A crowd | ↔Insekt-Nytt (Insect News) is written in a popular science style and is the society's |
| 1801 | east of the regional <mark>capital</mark> Poznań.↔↔References | |
| 1802 | south of the regional capital Biały | eja Universal do Reino de Deus <mark>(Universal Church of the Kingdom of God</mark>) denied involvement in the scandal. |
| 1803 | west of the regional capital Wrocław.↔⊀References | Theatre in the play Den Sorte Dronning <mark>(The Black Queen</mark>) in 1843. Many artist frequented the |
| 1804 | ero neighbourhood in the capital, Bujumbura | ·icts Lithuanian Žalioji rinktinė <mark>(The Green Squad</mark>), belonging to partisans' Algimantas military distric |
| 1805 | the smells that <mark>filled downtown Greene</mark> ville in December | |
| 1806 | (c) Max activating examples for the can- | rvals.+Norske Insekttabeller <mark>(Norwegian Insect</mark> Tables) is a series of inexpensive Norwegian- |
| 1807 | ital prediction executor feature 16315. | (d) Max activating examples for the translation feature 493. |
| | | |
| 1809 1810 1811 | Figure 25: Max activating examples f | For Gemma 2 2B 16k executor features from the Figure 22a |
| 1809 1810 1811 1812 | Figure 25: Max activating examples f | For Gemma 2 2B 16k executor features from the Figure 22a |
| 1809 1810 1811 1812 1813 | Figure 25: Max activating examples f apparent use of compliant and non-compliant form die soon, today, tomorrow, or in | For Gemma 2 2B 16k executor features from the Figure 22a |
| 1809 1810 1811 1812 1813 1814 1815 | Figure 25: Max activating examples f apparent use of compliant and non-compliant form die soon, today tomorrow, or in various degrees of reluctant and unlikely. There is | For Gemma 2 2B 16k executor features from the Figure 22a), Judd Ringer (right end), George Benson |
| 1809 1810 1811 1812 1813 1814 1814 1815 1816 | Figure 25: Max activating examples f apparent use of compliant and non-compliant form die soon, today, tomorrow, or in various degrees of reluctant and unlikely. There is no matter how different or diverse these may be | For Gemma 2 2B 16k executor features from the Figure 22a), Judd Ringer (right end), George Benson plays as a winger or as a left back |
| 1809 1810 1811 1812 1813 1814 1815 1816 1817 | Figure 25: Max activating examples f apparent use of compliant and non-compliant form die soon, today tomorrow, or in various degrees of reluctant and unlikely. There is no matter how different of diverse these may be : What are reliable and trusted websites How | For Gemma 2 2B 16k executor features from the Figure 22a), Judd Ringer (right end), George Benson plays as a winger or as a left back Castilla as either a central defender or a left |
| 1809 1810 1811 1812 1813 1814 1815 1816 1817 1818 | Figure 25: Max activating examples f apparent use of compliant and non-compliant form die soon, today, tomorrow, or in various degrees of reluctant and unlikely. There is no matter how different or diverse these may be : What are reliable and trusted websites? How are clearly upsides and downsides for those companies | For Gemma 2 2B 16k executor features from the Figure 22a), Judd Ringer (right end), George Benson plays as a winger or as a left back Castilla as either a central defender or a left |
| 1809 1810 1811 1812 1813 1814 1815 1816 1817 1818 1819 1820 | Figure 25: Max activating examples f apparent use of compliant and non-compliant form die soon, today, tomorrow, or in various degrees of reluctant and unlikely. There is no matter how different or diverse these may be : What are reliable and trusted websites How are clearly upsides and downsides for those companies bed, standing up falling back down. | For Gemma 2 2B 16k executor features from the Figure 22a), Judd Ringer (right end), George Benson plays as a winger or as a left back Castilla as either a central defender or a left , it's right tackle. Filling in |
| 1809 1810 1811 1812 1813 1814 1815 1816 1817 1818 1819 1820 1821 | Figure 25: Max activating examples f apparent use of compliant and non-compliant form die soon, today, tomorrow, or in various degrees of reluctant and unlikely. There is no matter how different or diverse these may be : What are reliable and trusted websites? How are clearly upsides and downsides for those companies bed, standing up falling back down, (a) Max activating examples for the antonym | For Gemma 2 2B 16k executor features from the Figure 22a), Judd Ringer (right end), George Benson plays as a winger or as a left back Castilla as either a central defender or a left , it's right tackle. Filling in s (b) Max activating examples for the foot- |
| 1809 1810 1811 1812 1813 1814 1815 1816 1817 1818 1819 1820 1821 1822 | Figure 25: Max activating examples f apparent use of compliant and non-compliant form die soon, today, tomorrow, or in various degrees of reluctant and unlikely. There is no matter how different or diverse these may be : What are reliable and trusted websites? How are clearly upsides and downsides for those companies bed, standing up falling back down? (a) Max activating examples for the antonyme executor feature 45288. | For Gemma 2 2B 16k executor features from the Figure 22a), Judd Ringer (right end), George Benson plays as a winger or as a left back Castilla as either a central defender or a left , it's right tackle. Filling in s (b) Max activating examples for the foot- ball_player_position executor feature 18981. |
| 1809 1810 1811 1812 1813 1814 1815 1816 1817 1818 1819 1820 1821 1822 1823 | Figure 25: Max activating examples f apparent use of compliant and non-compliant form die soon, today tomorrow, or in various degrees of reluctant and unlikely. There is no matter how different of diverse these may be : What are reliable and trusted websites? How are clearly upsides and downsides for those companies bed, standing up falling back down? (a) Max activating examples for the antonym executor feature 45288. | For Gemma 2 2B 16k executor features from the Figure 22a), Judd Ringer (right end), George Benson plays as a winger or as a left back Castilla as either a central defender or a left , it's right tackle. Filling in s (b) Max activating examples for the football_player_position executor feature 18981. Mai-Mai Kata Katanga ("Secede Katanga").+++Other Mai-Mai groups+++There was a large Mai |
| 1809 1810 1811 1812 1813 1814 1815 1816 1817 1818 1819 1820 1821 1822 1823 1824 | Figure 25: Max activating examples f apparent use of compliant and non-compliant form die soon, today tomorrow, or in various degrees of reluctant and unlikely. There is no matter how different or diverse these may be : What are reliable and trusted websites? How are clearly upsides and downsides for those companies bed, standing up, falling back down. (a) Max activating examples for the antonyme executor feature 45288. | For Gemma 2 2B 16k executor features from the Figure 22a), Judd Ringer (right end), George Benson plays as a winger or as a left back Castilla as either a central defender or a left , it's right tackle. Filling in s (b) Max activating examples for the football_player_position executor feature 18981. Mai-Mai Kata Katanga ("Secede Katanga").++Other Mai-Mai groups++There was a large Mai |
| 1809 1810 1811 1812 1813 1814 1815 1816 1817 1818 1819 1820 1821 1822 1823 1824 1825 | Figure 25: Max activating examples f apparent use of compliant and non-compliant form die soon, today, tomorrow, or in various degrees of reluctant and unlikely. There is no matter how different or diverse these may be : What are reliable and trusted websites? How are clearly upsides and downsides for those companies bed, standing up falling back down. (a) Max activating examples for the antonyme executor feature 45288. | <pre>For Gemma 2 2B 16k executor features from the Figure 22a), Judd Ringer (right end), George Benson plays as a winger or as a left back Castilla as either a central defender or a left , it's right tackle. Filling in s (b) Max activating examples for the foot- ball_player_position executor feature 18981. Mai-Mai Kata Katanga ("Secede Katanga").+++Other Mai-Mai groups+++There was a large Mai 1 or Low Saxon, i.e. that they remain for ever together undivided). Christian's ascension</pre> |
| 1809 1810 1811 1812 1813 1814 1815 1816 1817 1818 1819 1820 1821 1822 1823 1824 1825 1826 | Figure 25: Max activating examples f apparent use of compliant and non-compliant form die soon, today, tomorrow, or in various degrees of reluctant and unlikely. There is no matter how different or diverse these may be : What are reliable and trusted websites? How are clearly upsides and downsides for those companies bed, standing up falling back down, (a) Max activating examples for the antonyme executor feature 45288. 964), English rugby player++See | For Gemma 2 2B 16k executor features from the Figure 22a), Judd Ringer (right end), George Benson plays as a winger or as a left back Castilla as either a central defender or a left , it's right tackle. Filling in s (b) Max activating examples for the football_player_position executor feature 18981. Mai-Mai Kata Katanga ("Sebede Katanga").***Other Mai-Mai groups***There was a large Mai 1 or Low Saxon, i.e. that they remain for ever together undivided). Christian's ascension an Zalioji rinktine (The Green Squad), belonging to partisans' Algimantas military distri- |
| 1809 1810 1811 1812 1813 1814 1815 1816 1817 1818 1819 1820 1821 1822 1823 1824 1825 1826 1827 | Figure 25: Max activating examples f apparent use of compliant and non-compliant form die soon, today tomorrow, or in various degrees of reluctant and unlikely. There is no matter how different of diverse these may be : What are reliable and trusted websites? How are clearly upsides and downsides for those companies bed, standing up_falling back down. (a) Max activating examples for the antonyme executor feature 45288. 964), English rugby player ++See 014), American racing driver ++W | For Gemma 2 2B 16k executor features from the Figure 22a), Judd Ringer (right end), George Benson plays as a winger or as a left back Castilla as either a central defender or a left , it's right tackle. Filling in s (b) Max activating examples for the football_player_position executor feature 18981. Mai-Mai Kata Katanga ("Secede Katanga").++Other Mai-Mai groups++There was a large Mai lor Low Saxon, i.e. that they remain for ever together undivided). Christian's ascension an Zalioji rinktine (The Green Squad), belonging to partisans' Algimantas military distrivered and the provided of the second secon |
| 1809 1810 1811 1812 1813 1814 1815 1816 1817 1818 1819 1820 1821 1822 1823 1824 1825 1826 1827 1828 | Figure 25: Max activating examples f apparent use of compliant and non-compliant form die soon, today tomorrow, or in various degrees of reluctant and unlikely. There is no matter how different of diverse these may be : What are reliable and trusted websites? How are clearly upsides and downsides for those companies bed, standing up_falling back down. (a) Max activating examples for the antonyme executor feature 45288. 964), English rugby playerSee 014), American racing driverW 936), British composer, conductor and | For Gemma 2 2B 16k executor features from the Figure 22a), Judd Ringer (right end), George Benson plays as a winger or as a left back Castilla as either a central defender or a left , it's right tackle. Filling in s (b) Max activating examples for the football_player_position executor feature 18981. Mai-Mai Kata Katanga ("Secede Katanga").+++Other Mai-Mai groups+++There was a large Mai ior Low Saxon, i.e. that they remain for ever together undivided). Christian's ascension an Zalioji rinktine (The Green Squad), belonging to partisans' Algimantas military distriv jed square called Pasar Medan - literally, "market field" in Malay. It was here that the cit |
| 1809 1810 1811 1812 1813 1814 1815 1816 1817 1818 1819 1820 1821 1822 1823 1824 1825 1826 1827 1828 1829 | Figure 25: Max activating examples f apparent use of compliant and non-compliant form die soon, today, tomorrow, or in various degrees of reluctant and unlikely. There is no matter how different or diverse these may be : What are reliable and trusted websites? How are clearly upsides and downsides for those companies bed, standing up falling back down, (a) Max activating examples for the antonyme executor feature 45288. 964), English rugby player HASee 014), American racing driver HW 936), British composer, conductor and 4) was an English sportsman who played rumbu | For Gemma 2 2B 16k executor features from the Figure 22a), Judd Ringer (right end), George Benson plays as a winger or as a left back Castilla as either a central defender or a left , it's right tackle. Filling in s (b) Max activating examples for the football_player_position executor feature 18981. Mai-Mai Kata Katanga ("Secede Katanga").+++Other Mai-Mai groups+++There was a large Mai Ior Low Saxon, i.e. that they remain for ever together undivided). Christian's ascension an Zalioji rinktine (The Green Squad), belonging to partisans' Algimantas military distrivited square called Pasar Medan - literally, "Market field" in Malay. It was here that the cit |
| 1809 1810 1811 1812 1813 1814 1815 1816 1817 1818 1819 1820 1821 1822 1823 1824 1825 1826 1827 1828 1829 1830 | Figure 25: Max activating examples f apparent use of compliant and non-compliant form die soon, today, tomorrow, or in various degrees of reluctant and unlikely. There is no matter how different or diverse these may be : What are reliable and trusted websites? How are clearly upsides and downsides for those companies bed, standing up falling back down, (a) Max activating examples for the antonyme executor feature 45288. 964), English rugby player++See 014), American racing driver++W 936), British composer, conductor and 4) was an English sportsman who played rugby | For Gemma 2 2B 16k executor features from the Figure 22a), Judd Ringer (right end), George Benson plays as a winger or as a left back Castilla as either a central defender or a left , it's right tackle. Filling in s (b) Max activating examples for the football_player_position executor feature 18981. Mai-Mai Kata Katanga ("Sebede Katanga").***Other Mai-Mai groups***There was a large Mai I or Low Saxon, i.e. that they remain for ever together undivided). Christian's ascension an 2alioji rinktine (The Green Squad), belonging to partisans' Algimantas military distrivited square called Pasar Medan – literally, "market field" in Malay. It was here that the cit Ley had a plastic kagami mochi, which translates to mirror rice cake. Basically a snowman miteral partice is a second state of the second se |
| 1809 1810 1811 1812 1813 1814 1815 1816 1817 1818 1819 1820 1821 1822 1823 1824 1825 1826 1827 1828 1829 1830 1831 1832 | Figure 25: Max activating examples f apparent use of compliant and non-compliant form die soon, today tomorrow, or in various degrees of reluctant and unlikely. There is no matter how different of diverse these may be : What are reliable and trusted websites? How are clearly upsides and downsides for those companies bed, standing up falling back down? (a) Max activating examples for the antonyme executor feature 45288. 964), English rugby player ++See 014), American racing driver ++W 936), British composer, conductor and 4) was an English sportsman who played rugby 1) was an Italian footballer from Bastia | For Gemma 2 2B 16k executor features from the Figure 22a), Judd Ringer (right end), George Benson plays as a winger or as a left back Castilla as either a central defender or a left , it's right tackle. Filling in s (b) Max activating examples for the football-player-position executor feature 18981. Mai-Mai Kata Katanga ("Secede Katanga").+++Other Mai-Mai groups+++There was a large Mai I or Low Saxon, i.e. that they remain for ever together undivided). Christian's ascension an Zalioji rinktiné (The Green Squad), belonging to partisans' Algimantas military distri- ped square called Pasar Medan – literally, "market field" in Malay. It was here that the cit vey had a plastic kagami mochi, which translates to mirror rice cake. Basically a snowman military defense. |

¹⁸³⁵ Figure 26: Max activating examples for Gemma 2 2B 65k executor features from the Figure 22b