AVSET-10M: AN OPEN LARGE-SCALE AUDIO-VISUAL DATASET WITH HIGH CORRESPONDENCE

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

ABSTRACT

Recent research initiatives such as ChatGPT and Sora highlight the important role of large-scale data in advancing generative and comprehension tasks. However, the scarcity of comprehensive and large-scale audio-visual correspondence datasets poses a significant challenge to research in the audio-visual field. To address this gap, we introduce **AVSET-10M**, a high-correspondence audio-visual dataset comprising 10 million samples, featuring the following key attributes: (1) **High** Audio-Visual Correspondence: Through meticulous sample filtering, we ensure a strong correspondence between the audio and visual components of each entry. (2) **Comprehensive Categories:** Encompassing 527 unique audio categories, AVSET-10M provides a wide range of audio categories for diverse research needs. (3) Large Scale: With 10 million samples, AVSET-10M is one of the largest publicly available audio-visual correspondence datasets. We have benchmarked two critical tasks on AVSET-10M: audio-video retrieval and vision-queried sound separation. These tasks underscore the importance of precise audio-visual correspondence in advancing audio-visual research. For more information, please visit our demo page at https://avset-10m.github.io/.

025 026 027

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

1 INTRODUCTION

028 029

Scaling up significantly enhances performance in understanding (Touvron et al., 2023; Bai et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2024) and generation (Kondratyuk et al., 2023; Kang et al., 2023; Xiang et al., 2024) 031 tasks across visual and language modalities. Inspired by the success of ImageNet (Deng et al., 2009) in visual research, some introduce the pioneering large-scale audio dataset, AudioSet (Gemmeke 033 et al., 2017), which comprises 2.1 million audio samples each manually annotated with fine-grained 034 audio categories to advance automatic audio understanding. However, the annotation process in AudioSet primarily focuses on only audio labels, neglecting the audio-visual correspondence. To address the need for exploring temporal consistency between audio and video, researchers develop the 037 VGGSound (Chen et al., 2020), which includes 200,000 samples with audio-visual correspondence. 038 Leveraging this dataset, significant breakthroughs have been achieved in the audio-visual domain, including vision-queried sound separation (Dong et al., 2022) and vision-based audio synthesis (Huang et al., 2023; Xing et al., 2024). 040

Meanwhile, the scale of vision-language datasets (Thomee et al., 2016; Miech et al., 2019; Xue et al., 2022; Schuhmann et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023) has expanded dramatically, encompassing up to 100 million or even 1 billion samples. This expansion has facilitated a qualitative leap in understanding (Touvron et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2024) and generation (Kondratyuk et al., 2023) tasks within the vision and language fields, enabling the development of intelligent large language models (Touvron et al., 2023) and video generation technologies (Brooks et al., 2024) that simulate real-world scenarios. In contrast, the scale of datasets that ensure audio-visual correspondence remains markedly limited, posing a constraint on advancements in audio-visual field.

To further expand the audio-visual corresponding dataset and promote research on audio-visual temporal consistency, we propose AVSET-10M, the first 10 million scale audio-visual corresponding dataset, along with AVSET-700K, a subset containing fine-grained audio annotations. In Table 1, we present a comparison among various existing audio and audio-visual datasets. Our dataset construction process includes four stages: (1) Data collection, (2) Audio-visual correspondence filtering, (3) Voice-over filtering, and (4) Sample recycling with sound separation. AudioSet (Gemmeke et al., 2017),

Datasets	Video	AV-C	#Class	#Clips	#Dur.(hrs)	#Avg Dur.(s)
DCASE2017 (Mesaros et al., 2019)	×	×	17	57K	89	3.9
FSD (Fonseca et al., 2017)	×	×	398	24K	119	17.4
AudioSet (Gemmeke et al., 2017)	 Image: A second s	×	527	2.1M	5.8K	10
AudioScope-V2 (Tzinis et al., 2022)	 Image: A second s	×	-	4.9M	1.6K	5
ACAV100M(Lee et al., 2021) [†]	 Image: A second s	X	-	100M	277.7K	10
HD-VILA-100M (Xue et al., 2022)	 Image: A second s	×	-	103M	371.5K	13.4
Panda-70M (Chen et al., 2024)	 Image: A second s	×	-	70.8M	166.8K	8.5
AVE (Tian et al., 2018)	1	1	28	4K	11	10
VGGSound (Chen et al., 2020)	 Image: A second s	1	309	200K	550	10
AVSET-700K (ours)	 Image: A second s	1	527	728K	2.0K	10
AVSET-10M (ours)	 Image: A second s	1	527	10.9M	30.4K	10.3

Table 1: Comparison of different audio-video datasets. AV-C denotes the audio-visual correspondence.
 # Class: Number of audio categories. ACAV-100M[†] does not filter out the voiceover.

071 known for its fine-grained manual labeling of audio categories, is selected as our initial data source and develop AVSET-700K with accurate audio labels. To increase the number of samples per audio 072 category, we choose Panda-70M (Chen et al., 2024) as an additional data source, expanding AVSET-073 700K to 10 million audio-visual corresponding samples. Panda-70M processes long videos into 074 multiple semantically coherent sub-segments, effectively preventing the mixing of sounds from 075 different events. Previous filtering method (Chen et al., 2020) using visual classification models 076 struggles to distinguish audio events that cannot be identified by unique visual content, such as 077 silence, thereby limiting the diversity of audio categories. To address this issue, we introduce a new filtering method based on audio-visual similarity (Girdhar et al., 2023), which significantly broadens 079 the diversity of audio types. We employ an audio classification model (Kong et al., 2020) to filter out samples containing narration or background music that does not align with the visual content. 081 As speech is commonly found in wild video data, which often results in the inadvertent filtering out of a substantial amount of audio samples containing voice-overs. This leads to the loss of many 083 potentially useful and valuable samples across various audio categories. Thus, we further attempt to employ sound separation models (Solovyev et al., 2023) to recycle as many of these wasted samples as possible. From the initial 41 million samples, we filter 10 million audio-visual samples with 085 high correspondence. Verification experiments demonstrate that our AVSET-700K provides more 086 robust audio-visual correspondence than the previously used audio-visual corresponding dataset 087 (VGGSound). Additionally, benchmarks of audio-video retrieval and vision-queried sound separation 088 on AVSET-10M demonstrate it offers more research opportunities in the field of audiovisual studies.

090 091

092

094

2 RELATED WORKS

2.1 AUDIO-VISUAL MODELS

As multi-modal research progresses, the investigation (Li et al., 2022; Rahman et al., 2019; Ibrahimi et al., 2023) into the correlations between audio and visual modalities has advanced. Initially, 096 researchers employ both audio and video data to provide semantically richer information, thereby improving video understanding and significantly enhancing performance in various video understanding 098 tasks such as video question answering (VQA) (Li et al., 2022; Akbari et al., 2021), video captioning (Rahman et al., 2019; Iashin & Rahtu, 2020a;b; Lin et al., 2023), and video retrieval (Lew et al., 100 2006; Ibrahimi et al., 2023; Arora et al., 2024). Following these developments, ImageBind (Gird-101 har et al., 2023) emerges as a pioneering project that successfully aligns audio and visual content, 102 marking a significant step in exploring semantic alignment between these modalities. Building 103 on this foundation, subsequent research has delved into more intricate interactions between audio 104 and video, achieving milestones in vision-queried sound separation (Dong et al., 2022) and video 105 dubbing (Huang et al., 2023). However, while these methods have managed to align audio and visual content semantically, they often falter in maintaining temporal consistency. Some of the recent 106 innovations (Luo et al., 2024) have introduced audio-visual temporal consistency supervision loss to 107 enhance the temporal alignment in video dubbing.

Despite these advancements, the limited availability of training data continues to pose a significant challenge, keeping the development of audio-visual temporal consistency at a rudimentary level. As a result, the understanding of visual content remains largely confined to the semantic level, which hampers the ability of models to accurately capture the audio-visual temporal consistency.

112 113

114

2.2 AUDIO-VIDEO DATASET

Inspired by ImageNet (Deng et al., 2009), researchers (Gemmeke et al., 2017) annotate a substantial audio dataset, consisting of 2.1 million audio samples, aimed at enhancing automatic audio comprehension. Although annotators are encouraged to consult video content to refine the accuracy of audio annotations, the dataset primarily focuses on precise audio annotations without additional measures to filter out audio-visual non-corresponding samples. This limits the exploration of audio-video consistency.

121 To investigate audio-visual consistency, researchers (Chen et al., 2020) employed a visual model 122 to identify sound-producing objects in videos, leading to the creation of VGGSound, a dataset 123 comprising 200,000 audio-visual corresponding samples. However, this visual model is effective 124 only in scenes characterized by definite actions or visible objects. It struggles to handle audio 125 events that lack distinctive visual content, such as silence and ambient sounds in urban outdoor 126 environments, even though there is a significant correlation between these audio events and the visual elements in these scenes (e.g., silence audio in aquariums video). This limitation constrains the 127 diversity of audio categories represented in VGGSound. To further scale up audio-visual datasets, 128 ACAV100M (Lee et al., 2021) employs a clustering-based approach for data filtering. However, 129 it does not filter out voice-overs, resulting in the audio-visual correspondence of the final dataset 130 being even worse than that of AudioSet. AudioScope V1/2 (Tzinis et al., 2020; 2022) utilizes an 131 unsupervised audio-video consistency prediction model to evaluate audio-video matching scores, 132 screening 2,500 hours of video samples from YFCC100M (Thomee et al., 2016). Nevertheless, due 133 to limitations in prediction accuracy, the consistency between audio and video cannot be guaranteed, 134 and there remains a significant amount of inconsistent audio-visual content in the dataset.

Although subsequent research introduces larger video datasets (Xue et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023;
Chen et al., 2023; 2024), the primary focus remains on exploring the relationship between video and text, overlooking the audio-visual correspondence. To the best of our knowledge, our AVSET-10M represents the largest open audio-visual high-correspondence dataset currently available, containing 10 million data samples across 527 different audio categories. This dataset opens up more opportunities for research in the audio-video field.

141 142

3 AVSET-10M

143 144 145

146

3.1 DATASET CONSTRUCTION PIPELINE

Stage 1: Data Collection. We select two different open-source datasets, AudioSet (Gemmeke et al., 2017) and Panda-70M (Chen et al., 2024), as data sources. All videos are sourced from open-domain
YouTube content. Since these datasets do not focus on audio-visual correspondence, they contain a substantial number of mismatched audio-visual samples. We propose a filtering process to select samples with high audio-visual correspondence, thereby constructing AVSET-10M.

AudioSet (Gemmeke et al., 2017) is a pioneering large-scale audio dataset where all audio category
labels are carefully annotated by human annotators. During the annotation process, annotators are
allowed to view the accompanying videos, which aids in accurate audio category identification. This
dataset includes 2.1 million audio samples across 527 unique audio categories. From AudioSet,
we select 727,530 samples that demonstrate high audio-visual correspondence with reliable audio
category labels to form AVSET-700K.

Additionally, to further expand the number of samples in each audio class, we select Panda-70M (Chen et al., 2024), a large-scale video-text dataset containing 70 million semantically consistent segments. It employs shot boundary detection technology (pyd) to divide the original videos into smaller semantically consistent segments. This segmentation ensures that each clip contains only a single event, preventing sound category conversion due to event switching and facilitating the subsequent



Figure 1: The distribution of audio-visual similarity among audio-visual corresponding samples, audio-visual non-corresponding samples and randomly selected wild samples. The similarity of non-corresponding data follows the distribution $N_{non-corresponding}(0.015, 0.081^2)$. Approximately 65% of the randomly selected wild samples and 18% of the audio-visual corresponding samples exhibit similarities below the $\mu + 3\sigma$ (0.2564) threshold of $N_{non-corresponding}$, suggesting a potential for these samples to be classified as audio-visual non-corresponding.

filtering process. Leveraging Panda-70M, we expand AVSET-700K to a total of 10 million audio visual corresponding samples, thus forming AVSET-10M.

- Stage 2: Audio-Visual Correspondence Filtering. Previous researchers (Chen et al., 2020) 187 compute the cosine similarity between textual class label and visual content to gauge alignment 188 confidence between vision and language. They subsequently filter video samples for each class label 189 using a manually selected threshold. However, this method is effective only in scenes featuring 190 definite actions or visual objects. It struggles to handle audio events that lack distinctive visual content, 191 such as silence and urban outdoor environments, even though there is a significant correlation between 192 these audio events and the visual elements in these scenes (e.g., video of aquariums and the silence 193 audio). This consequently restricts the diversity of audio categories available in the dataset. We 194 propose determining the confidence of audio-visual correspondence based on audio-visual similarity. 195 This approach enables the screening of audio samples that lack distinctive visual content, thereby 196 enhancing the diversity of samples in the dataset. Specifically, we randomly select 7,500 audio-visual 197 corresponding samples D_{corresponding} from the VGGSound dataset, and 7,500 wild data samples Drandom from the Panda-70M dataset. Additionally, we randomly construct 70,000 audio-visual noncorresponding samples $D_{non-corresponding}$ based on VGGS ound. We employ Imagebind (Girdhar 199 et al., 2023) to extract and calculate the cosine similarity between the average representation of 8 200 random video frames and the audio representation. The similarity distribution curves of different 201 sample sets are depicted in Figure 1. The audio-visual non-corresponding samples exhibit a normal 202 distribution $N_{non-corresponding}(0.015, 0.081^2)$, while random wild samples follow the distribution 203 $N_{random}(0.211, 0.116^2)$. In contrast, the audio-visual corresponding samples exhibit a left-skewed 204 distribution with a higher concentration of similar instances. When the similarity of samples exceeds 205 the threshold $\mu + 3\sigma$ (0.2564) of the audio-visual non-corresponding distribution N_{non-corresponding}, 206 only 0.135% of the samples remain; thus, exceeding this threshold can be considered indicative of 207 audio-visual correspondence. Notably, only 35% of the randomly selected wild data samples exhibit 208 similarities exceeding the $\mu + 3\sigma$ (0.2564) threshold of the distribution $N_{non-corresponding}$.
- 209

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

181

182

183

Stage 3: Voice-Over Filtering. While the aforementioned filtering method effectively identifies non-corresponding samples based on audio-visual similarities, it fails to account for samples containing background music and voice-overs. These off-screen sounds, largely irrelevant to the visual content, can disrupt the intended audio-visual correspondence. To address this issue, we utilize the audio classification network PANNs (Kong et al., 2020) to label each audio clip, specifically targeting and filtering out these voice-overs. Following the classification scheme used in AudioSet, we annotate each audio clip with seven primary audio categories and their respective sub-categories.



Figure 2: Comparison of the sample numbers for each audio category across AVSET-10M, AVSET-700K, and VGGSound datasets. Classification is carried out based on the secondary audio labels in AudioSet¹. We pseudo-label each sample from Panda-70M using PANNs (Kong et al., 2020), while labels on VGGSound are manually aligned with AudioSet.

236 Since speech and music are likely added during post-production, we specifically filter out samples 237 that contain these elements along with other types of sounds. Other audio categories, such as the 238 sounds of waterfalls and dog barking, typically originate from the original video. When these original 239 video sounds co-occur with speech or music, it often indicates a high likelihood of off-screen voice interference. It is crucial to note that various instrumental sounds fall under the music category; thus, 240 videos featuring instrumental performances are not excluded but are instead appropriately retained. 241 Mirroring the approach in VGGSound (Chen et al., 2020), our filtering process aims to eliminate false 242 positive samples-those with inappropriate sounds for each category. We refrain from using an audio 243 classifier to select positive samples, as this may overlook some hard-to-classify yet criteria-meeting 244 hard-positive audio samples. 245

246 247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254 255

256

232

233

234

235

Stage 4: Sample Recycling with Sound Separation. Speech is frequently encountered in wild video data, often leading to the inadvertent filtering out of a substantial amount of non-speech audio that includes voice-overs. This results in the loss of many potentially useful and valuable samples across various audio categories. Inspired by recent advancements in audio research (Jiang et al., 2023), we have implemented a sound separation model² (Solovyev et al., 2023) that is specifically designed to isolate sounds that are neither speech nor music from audio mixes contaminated with voice-over noise. The outputs from this sound separation process are subsequently returned to Stage 2 to verify the correspondence between the newly isolated audio and the video.

3.2 DATA ANALYSIS

We perform comprehensive statistical analyses on the AVSET-10M and AVSET-700K datasets to gain detailed insights. For further information about these datasets, please refer to Appendix D.

259 Diverse Categories, Abundant Samples. Figure 2 presents a comparative analysis of the number of 260 audio categories in AVSET-10M, AVSET-700K, and VGGSound. To ensure consistency in audio 261 category labels across different datasets, we employ the PANNs (Kong et al., 2020) audio classification 262 network trained on AudioSet to label all samples in AVSET-10M. Subsequently, we manually align 263 the labels in VGGSound with those in AudioSet and standardized the audio labels across all three 264 datasets as secondary labels. It is evident that AVSET-10M and AVSET-700K encompass a broader 265 range of audio types compared to VGGSound, including categories such as silence, liquid, and glass. Furthermore, AVSET-10M significantly outperforms AVSET-700K and VGGSound in most 266 categories, offering a greater number of audio samples for each audio category. 267

268 269

¹https://research.google.com/audioset/ontology/index.html ²https://github.com/ZETurbe/MUSED_CDX22_Cinceratic_Sound_Demini

²https://github.com/ZFTurbo/MVSEP-CDX23-Cinematic-Sound-Demixing

Table 2: Comparison of sample numbers after each stage. Due to partial video corruption, we could only download part of the original dataset. [†] The numbers here represent the video clips we collected. AVSET-10M (w/o. AVSET-700K) represents samples filtered from Panda-70M.

Stage	Goal	AVSET-	700K	AVSET-10M (w/o. AVSET-700K)		
Suge	0.000	#Num of Clips	Proportion	#Num of Clips	Proportion	
S1	Candidate Videos [†]	1,445,360	100.0%	39,295,551	100.0%	
S2	AV-C Filtering	898,366	62.2%	13,824,726	35.2%	
S3	Voiceover Filtering	608,062	42.1%	7,124,923	18.1%	
S4	Sample Recycling	727,530	50.3%	9,877,475	25.1%	





Duration Statistics. The samples filtered from Panda-70M include clips of varying lengths. As illustrated in Figure 3, we present the statistics for different clip lengths in AVSET-10M (excluding AVSET-700K). The total duration of AVSET-10M amounts to 30,418.6 hours, with an average clip length of 10.32 seconds. The longest clip spans 49 seconds, while the shortest measures 2 seconds. Notably, clips exceeding 10 seconds constitute 19,142.66 hours, representing 62.9% of total duration.

298 The Number of Video Samples after Each Filtering Stage. In Table 2, we detail the quantity of 299 samples retained at each filtering stage for AVSET-700K and AVSET-10M (excluding AVSET-700K). 300 Initially, in stage S2 for AVSET-10M (excluding AVSET-700K), we filter out 64.8% of video samples 301 due to lack of audio-visual correspondence. In the subsequent S3 stage, 17.1% of the data containing 302 voice-overs is removed. Further, in stage S4, an additional 8.0% of samples with voice-overs is 303 refined through sound separation and subsequently recycled into the final audio-visual corresponding 304 dataset. It is noteworthy that AudioSet undergoes a meticulous screening process by researchers, 305 which results in a higher retention rate of data in the initial stage. AVSET-700K eliminates only 306 37.8% of data in its S2 stage.

308 3.3 PRIVACY PROTECTION

All data in AVSET-10M was obtained through further screening of publicly available datasets (Gemmeke et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2024), with user permission obtained where necessary. In our work, we will only open-source the corresponding YouTube IDs and our annotations for these data samples, excluding any original data content. To further safeguard user privacy, we will implement a method that allows users to apply for the deletion of their corresponding samples. We will regularly synchronize user deletion requests with upstream datasets such as AudioSet and PANDA-70M to ensure compliance with privacy concerns.

316 317

318

284

287

289

291

292 293

295

296

297

307

309

3.4 DATASET VERIFICATION

We employ a distinct audio-visual representation learning model (Wang et al., 2024) different from the one used during the sample filtering phase to assess the reliability of our proposed sample filtering process. Specifically, we randomly sample data from four different audio-visual sources for validation: (1) audio-visual corresponding data from VGGSound, (2) audio-visual non-corresponding data created by randomly combining audio and video within VGGSound, (3) wild data randomly sampled from AudioSet, and (4) data from AVSET-700K obtained after the comprehensive filtering 324 Cumulative AVSET-700K(Ours) 0.150 0.99Cumulative Wild Data 325 Cumulative Corresponding 0.125 Cumulative conceptonting +30 non-Corresponding 326 0.100 AVSET-700K(Ours) Wild Data(AudioSet) 327 0.075 Corresponding 328 0.3 non-Corresponding 0.050 0.1 0.025 330 0.000 ,0,2 0.0 0.2 2 3 0.4 331 0.7 °. 332

Figure 4: The distribution of audio-video cosine similarity of pre-trained model InternVL[†]_{IB}++(Ver.) Wang et al. (2024) was evaluated on different sample sets: (1) the audio-visual corresponding samples from VGGSound, (2) the randomly combined audio-visual non- corresponding samples from VGGSound, (3) the wild samples from AudioSet, and (4) the AVSET-700K sample set filtered with complete dataset processing. Notably, only 11% of the samples in AVSET-700K fall below the $\mu + 3\sigma$ threshold of non-corresponding distribution $N_{non-corresponding}$.

process. As depicted in Figure 4, we present the distributions of audio-visual similarity for these four
 sources. The mean and standard deviation of these similarities for each data source are detailed in
 Table 3.

342 AVSET-700K vs. AudioSet. It is evident

343 that after data filtering, the audio-visual corre-344 spondence within the dataset is significantly 345 enhanced compared to the wild data. The average cosine similarity of the AVSET-700K 346 data increases from 0.258 to 0.303, while the 347 standard deviation decreases from 0.086 to 348 0.058. Within the range $(\mu - 3\sigma, \mu + 3\sigma)$ of the normal distribution $N'_{non-corresponding}$ 349 350 of non-corresponding data, the proportion of 351 potential non-corresponding samples is re-

Table 3: The mean and standard deviation (Std.) of audio-visual similarity among different sample sets.

Sample Sets	Mean	Std.
Non-Corresponding (Random)	0.015	0.072
Wild Data (AudioSet)	0.258	0.086
Corresponding (VGGSound)	0.302	0.083
AVSET-700K (ours)	0.303	0.058

duced from 35% to 11%. This improvement demonstrates that our sample filtering method effectively
 enhances the audio-visual correspondence in the dataset.

AVSET-700K vs. VGGSound. As an audio-visual corresponding dataset, VGGSound contains a large number of samples with high audio-visual similarity. However, a substantial portion of the data exhibits low similarity, with 19% of VGGSound samples falling below the $\mu + 3\sigma = 0.231$ threshold of the distribution $N'_{non-corresponding}$. In contrast, only about 11% of the samples in AVSET-700K have an audio-visual similarity below 0.231, indicating that AVSET-700K contains more samples with high audio-visual correspondence. Additionally, AVSET-700K features a smaller standard deviation and fewer samples exhibiting extremely low similarity, demonstrating that our sample filtering process effectively enhances the robustness of audio-visual correspondence.

362

364

333

334

335

336

337

338

4 BENCHMARKS

365 We benchmark two audio-visual tasks to explore the audio-visual correspondence: (1) Audio-Video 366 Retrieval and (2) Vision-Queried Sound. In audio-video retrieval task, we experiment with AVSET-367 10M and focus on the data scale and the audio-visual temporally consistency. As for Vision-Queried 368 Sound Separation, we mainly focus on the impact of each filtering stage, and work on the AVSET-369 700K which is of a similar scale to AudioSet. Specifically, we employ Imagebind (Girdhar et al., 370 2023) to extract the average features of 1 frame per second in the video as image features I and 371 InternVid (Wang et al., 2023) to extract the features of the entire video as video features V. Please 372 refer to Appendix C for additional details regarding the experiments.

- 373
- 374 4.1 AUDIO-VIDEO RETRIEVAL375

For the audio-video retrieval task, we validate on two audio-visual corresponding datasets, AVE (Tian et al., 2018) and VGGSound (Chen et al., 2020), and compare the Recall@1 (R@1) and Recall@5 (R@5) from vision to audio. For the image+video (I+V) modality, we apply feature weighting similar A

A

Table 4: Comparison between the image-based method and the image+video based method on the task of visual to audio retrieval. The similarity on the diagonal should be the highest in each column.
The correct results are highlighted in green, and the incorrect results are highlighted in red.



o A	I ₁	$ $ I_2	I_1+V_1	$I_2 + V_2$	I/V to A	I ₃	I ₄	$I_3 + V_3$
1 2	0.349 0.300	0.446 0.409	0.351 0.332	0.399 0.407	$\begin{matrix} A_3 \\ A_4 \end{matrix}$	0.373 0.402	0.416 0.457	0.388 0.357

Table 5: Comparison of vision to audio retrieval performance using different methods on ASE and VGGSound. M denotes the visual features used during retrieval.

0.304

0.359

		1 Training Schedule	AV	VЕ	VGGSound	
#ID	Μ		R@1	R@5	R@1	R@5
R1	Ι	AudioSet	18.00	40.11	11.74	28.52
R2	Ι	AVSET-700K	19.10	42.92	13.90	31.68
R3	Ι	$AVSET-10M \rightarrow AVSET-700K$	19.11	43.05	13.91	31.94
R4	I+V	AVSET-700K	20.55	44.21	14.47	33.62
R5	I+V	$\text{AVSET-10M} \rightarrow \text{AVSET-700K}$	20.78	44.47	14.93	34.03

to (Wang et al., 2024), with the mixed feature f_{I+V} calculated as $f_{I+V} = 0.9f_I + 0.1f_V$. In all the audio-video retrieval experiments conducted for this paper, we train a separate linear layer for each modality to align representations across different modalities, using a batch size of 1024.

AudioSet vs. AVSET-10M. AudioSet contains a significant number of audio-visual samples that
do not correspond, adversely affecting audio-video alignment. By employing our filtered dataset,
AVSET-700, we enhance cross-modal alignment capabilities, achieving a 3.16% improvement in
VGGSound R@5 performance from *R*1 to *R*3 in Table 5. Furthermore, expanding the dataset to 10
million (*R*5) entries boosts the model performance on AVE R@5 by an additional 0.26%.

Based on Image vs. Based on Image+Video. Previous models, which rely solely on image features to retrieve audio clips that semantically match the image, lake the capability to evaluate audio-visual temporal consistency. As shown in Table 5, by leveraging both image and video features, the R@5 performance on VGGSound improved by 2.09% from R3 to R5, emphasizing the importance of audio-visual temporal consistency.

Qualitative Analysis. Table 4 presents several qualitative results of audio-video retrieval, under scoring the importance of temporal consistency for effective audio-video retrieval. For example, the
 image-based method could only deduce that engine roar should be present in the audio based on the
 image of a sports car, but it fails to determine when the sound should cease, leading to unsuccessful

Table 6: Comparison of sound separation performance among various methods on VGGSound. M
 stands for the query modality of sound separation.

			VGGSound		
#ID	Μ	Training Schedule	SDR ↑	SIR↑	
Bas	eline	,			
E1	Ι	VGGSound	5.606 ± 0.102	8.074 ± 0.161	
E2	V	VGGSound	$6.211{\pm}0.105$	8.584±0.160	
Zer	o-Sh	ot			
E3	V	AudioSet	5.004 ± 0.103	6.781 ± 0.164	
E4	V	AudioSet (w. AV-Correspondence Filtering)	$5.646 {\pm} 0.101$	7.682 ± 0.162	
E5	V	AVSET-700K	5.774±0.103	$7.802{\pm}0.161$	
E6	V	AVSET-200K	$5.152{\pm}0.103$	$6.928 {\pm} 0.168$	
Pret	raini	ng + Finetune			
E7	V	AudioSet (w. AV-Correspondence Filtering)→VGGSound	$6.548 {\pm} 0.103$	9.251±0.158	
E8	V	AVSET-700K→VGGSound	$6.666{\pm}0.102$	9.377±0.158	

audio-video pairing. In contrast, when both image and video features are considered, the similarity
between mismatched sample pairs 1 and 2 is reduced from 0.446 to 0.399, thereby achieving correct
audio-video pairing.

4.2 VISION-QUERIED SOUND SEPARATION

As shown in Table 6, we present the performance of vision-queried sound separation based on
different modalities across various datasets. We utilize the framework of CLIPSep (Dong et al., 2022)
to implement sound separation models across various modalities.

Image-Queried vs. Video-Queried. Compared to the sound separation model based on image
 queries (*E*1), the model utilizing video queries (*E*2) demonstrates superior performance, with the
 Signal-to-Distortion Ratio (SDR) improving by 0.605. This enhancement highlights the importance
 of audio-visual temporal consistency within the audio-visual research.

Corresponding vs. Non-Corresponding. Audio-visual correspondence is critical for effective sound separation. Models trained on the non-corresponding AudioSet (E3) encounter difficulties in achieving accurate separation and fail to capture proper audio-visual alignment. After implementing audio-visual correspondence filtering (E4), the dataset shows a marked improvement in audio-visual correspondence, as evidenced by a 0.642 increase in the Signal-to-Distortion Ratio (SDR). Despite this advancement, the presence of voice-over content continues to challenge the alignment between audio and visual modalities. Following a comprehensive filtering process, the model (E5) trained on AVSET-700K exhibits exceptional zero-shot sound separation capabilities, achieving an SDR of 5.774. This significant enhancement underscores the effectiveness of our proposed filtering process.

472 AVSET-200K vs. AVSET-700K. To further assess the impact of data scale on model performance, we
473 randomly sampled 200K samples from AVSET-700K for experiments (*E*6). The performance dropped
474 significantly, which demonstrates the importance of data scale. However, *E*6 still outperformed *E*3,
475 proving that audio-visual consistency is more critical than data scale.

5 CONCLUSION

Audio-visual correspondence datasets are pivotal for research in the audio-video domain. By applying
a sample filtering process to AudioSet and Panda-70M, we have developed AVSET-10M—the first
open, large-scale dataset with high audio-visual correspondence, comprising ten million audiovisual samples across 527 audio categories. Verification experiments demonstrate that AVSET-10M
surpasses previous datasets in terms of audio-visual correspondence. Additionally, we benchmarked
audio-video retrieval and vision-guided sound separation tasks, underscoring the critical role of audiovideo temporal consistency in this field. Our AVSET-10M dataset opens up greater opportunities for advancement in audio-video research.

486 REPRODUCIBILITY STATEMENT

Our code has been open-sourced at https://avset-10m.github.io/, and the dataset will also be made publicly available upon acceptance. In Section 3.1, we provide a detailed explanation of the process for constructing the AVSET-10M dataset. Sections 4 and Appendix C outline the task definitions and specific implementation details, with the corresponding model training code also open-sourced.

494	DEFEDENCES
495	REFERENCES

493

505

506

507

508

516

524

525

526

527

- 496 Pyscenedetect. In *https://github.com/Breakthrough/PySceneDetect*.
- Hassan Akbari, Liangzhe Yuan, Rui Qian, Wei-Hong Chuang, Shih-Fu Chang, Yin Cui, and Boqing
 Gong. Vatt: Transformers for multimodal self-supervised learning from raw video, audio and text.
 Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 34:24206–24221, 2021.
- Pranav Arora, Selen Pehlivan, and Jorma Laaksonen. Text-to-multimodal retrieval with bimodal input fusion in shared cross-modal transformer. In *Proceedings of the 2024 Joint International Conference on Computational Linguistics, Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC-COLING* 2024), pp. 15823–15834, 2024.
 - Jinze Bai, Shuai Bai, Shusheng Yang, Shijie Wang, Sinan Tan, Peng Wang, Junyang Lin, Chang Zhou, and Jingren Zhou. Qwen-vl: A frontier large vision-language model with versatile abilities. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.12966*, 2023.
- Tim Brooks, Bill Peebles, Connor Holmes, Will DePue, Yufei Guo, Li Jing, David Schnurr, Joe
 Taylor, Troy Luhman, Eric Luhman, Clarence Ng, Ricky Wang, and Aditya Ramesh. Video
 generation models as world simulators. 2024. URL https://openai.com/research/
 video-generation-models-as-world-simulators.
- Honglie Chen, Weidi Xie, Andrea Vedaldi, and Andrew Zisserman. Vggsound: A large-scale audio visual dataset. In *ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pp. 721–725. IEEE, 2020.
- Sihan Chen, Xingjian He, Longteng Guo, Xinxin Zhu, Weining Wang, Jinhui Tang, and Jing Liu.
 Valor: Vision-audio-language omni-perception pretraining model and dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.08345*, 2023.
- Tsai-Shien Chen, Aliaksandr Siarohin, Willi Menapace, Ekaterina Deyneka, Hsiang-wei Chao, Byung Eun Jeon, Yuwei Fang, Hsin-Ying Lee, Jian Ren, Ming-Hsuan Yang, et al. Panda-70m: Captioning 70m videos with multiple cross-modality teachers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.19479*, 2024.
 - Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, and Li Fei-Fei. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In 2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 248–255. Ieee, 2009.
- Hao-Wen Dong, Naoya Takahashi, Yuki Mitsufuji, Julian McAuley, and Taylor Berg-Kirkpatrick.
 Clipsep: Learning text-queried sound separation with noisy unlabeled videos. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.07065*, 2022.
- Eduardo Fonseca, Jordi Pons Puig, Xavier Favory, Frederic Font Corbera, Dmitry Bogdanov, Andres
 Ferraro, Sergio Oramas, Alastair Porter, and Xavier Serra. Freesound datasets: a platform for the
 creation of open audio datasets. In *Hu X, Cunningham SJ, Turnbull D, Duan Z, editors. Proceedings of the 18th ISMIR Conference; 2017 oct 23-27; Suzhou, China.[Canada]: International Society for Music Information Retrieval; 2017. p. 486-93.* International Society for Music Information
 Retrieval (ISMIR), 2017.
- Timnit Gebru, Jamie Morgenstern, Briana Vecchione, Jennifer Wortman Vaughan, Hanna Wallach,
 Hal Daumé Iii, and Kate Crawford. Datasheets for datasets. *Communications of the ACM*, 64(12): 86–92, 2021.

540	Jort F Gemmeke, Daniel PW Ellis, Dylan Freedman, Aren Jansen, Wade Lawrence, R Channing
541	Moore, Manoj Plakal, and Marvin Ritter. Audio set: An ontology and human-labeled dataset for
542	audio events. In 2017 IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing
543	(ICASSP), pp. 776–780. IEEE, 2017.
544	Pohit Cirdhar Alagaldin El Nouhy Zhuang Liu Mannat Singh Kalyan Vacuday Alwala Armand
545	Ioulin and Ishan Misra Imagehind: One embedding space to bind them all. In <i>Proceedings of the</i>
546	IFFE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition pp 15180–15190 2023
547	Inder off conjetence on comparer vision and ranem necognation, pp. 19100-19190, 2029.
548	Rongjie Huang, Jiawei Huang, Dongchao Yang, Yi Ren, Luping Liu, Mingze Li, Zhenhui Ye, Jinglin
549	Liu, Xiang Yin, and Zhou Zhao. Make-an-audio: Text-to-audio generation with prompt-enhanced
550	diffusion models. In International Conference on Machine Learning, pp. 13916–13932. PMLR,
551	2023.
552	Vladimir Jashin and Esa Rahtu. A better use of audio-visual cues: Dense video captioning with
553	bi-modal transformer. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.08271</i> , 2020a.
554	$\sum_{r \in \mathcal{T}} \sum_{r \in \mathcal{T}} \sum_{$
555	Vladimir Iashin and Esa Rahtu. Multi-modal dense video captioning. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF</i>
556	conference on computer vision and pattern recognition workshops, pp. 958–959, 2020b.
557	Sarah Ibrahimi, Xiaohang Sun, Pichao Wang, Amanmeet Garg, Ashutosh Sanan, and Mohamed Omar
558	Audio-enhanced text-to-video retrieval using text-conditioned feature alignment. In <i>Proceedings</i>
559	of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 12054–12064, 2023.
560	
561	Ziyue Jiang, Yi Ren, Zhenhui Ye, Jinglin Liu, Chen Zhang, Qian Yang, Shengpeng Ji, Rongjie
562	Huang, Chunteng Wang, Xiang Yin, et al. Mega-tts: Zero-shot text-to-speech at scale with intrinsic
563	inductive bias. arxiv preprint arxiv:2500.05509, 2025.
564	Minguk Kang, Jun-Yan Zhu, Richard Zhang, Jaesik Park, Eli Shechtman, Sylvain Paris, and Taesung
565	Park. Scaling up gans for text-to-image synthesis. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on
566	Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 10124–10134, 2023.
567	Dan Kondrotuuk Lijun Vu Vijuja Cu José Lazama Janathan Huang Bashal Hormung Hartuig
568	Adam Hassan Akhari Vair Alon Vighnesh Birodkar et al. Videonoet: A large language model
569	for zero-shot video generation arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.14125.2023
570	101 2010 shot video generation. <i>arxiv preprint arxiv:2512.11125</i> , 2025.
5/1	Qiuqiang Kong, Yin Cao, Turab Iqbal, Yuxuan Wang, Wenwu Wang, and Mark D Plumbley. Panns:
572	Large-scale pretrained audio neural networks for audio pattern recognition. <i>IEEE/ACM Transac</i> -
573	tions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing, 28:2880–2894, 2020.
574	Sangho Lee, Jiwan Chung, Youngiae Yu, Gunhee Kim, Thomas Breuel, Gal Chechik, and Yale
576	Song. Acav100m: Automatic curation of large-scale datasets for audio-visual video representation
570	learning. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pp.
570	10274–10284, 2021.
570	Michael S. Law, Nieu Sehe, Chebene Disroke, and Demach Join. Content based multimed's information
590	within a Lew, Nicu Sebe, Chabane Djerada, and Kamesn Jain. Content-based multimedia infor-
500	Communications and Applications (TOMM) 2(1):1–19 2006
592	$Communications,$ and $nppiccations (10 mm), 2(1).1^{-1}, 2000.$
583	Guangyao Li, Yake Wei, Yapeng Tian, Chenliang Xu, Ji-Rong Wen, and Di Hu. Learning to answer
505	questions in dynamic audio-visual scenarios. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on
585	Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 19108–19118, 2022.
586	Wang Lin Tao Jin Wenwen Pan Liniun Li Xize Cheng Ye Wang and Zhou Zhao. Tayt: Towards
587	transferable audio-visual text generation. In Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the
588	Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pp. 14983–14999. 2023.
580	
500	Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning. Advances in
591	neural information processing systems, 36, 2024.
592	Simian Luo, Chuanhao Yan, Chenxu Hu, and Hang Zhao, Diff-foley, Synchronized video-to-audio
593	synthesis with latent diffusion models. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 36.
555	2024.

609

625

632

633

634

635

642

643

644

- Annamaria Mesaros, Aleksandr Diment, Benjamin Elizalde, Toni Heittola, Emmanuel Vincent, Bhiksha Raj, and Tuomas Virtanen. Sound event detection in the dcase 2017 challenge. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 27(6):992–1006, 2019.
- Antoine Miech, Dimitri Zhukov, Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Makarand Tapaswi, Ivan Laptev, and Josef
 Sivic. Howto100m: Learning a text-video embedding by watching hundred million narrated
 video clips. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pp. 2630–2640, 2019.
- Tanzila Rahman, Bicheng Xu, and Leonid Sigal. Watch, listen and tell: Multi-modal weakly
 supervised dense event captioning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pp. 8908–8917, 2019.
- ⁶⁰⁵
 ⁶⁰⁶
 ⁶⁰⁷
 ⁶⁰⁸
 ⁶⁰⁸
 ⁶⁰⁸
 ⁶⁰⁷
 ⁶⁰⁸
 ⁶⁰⁸
 ⁶⁰⁸
 ⁶⁰⁷
 ⁶⁰⁸
 ⁶⁰⁸
 ⁶⁰⁸
 ⁶⁰⁸
 ⁶⁰⁹
 ⁶⁰⁹
 ⁶⁰⁹
 ⁶⁰⁹
 ⁶⁰⁹
 ⁶⁰¹
 ⁶⁰¹
 ⁶⁰²
 ⁶⁰²
 ⁶⁰³
 ⁶⁰³
 ⁶⁰³
 ⁶⁰⁴
 ⁶⁰⁵
 ⁶⁰⁵
 ⁶⁰⁵
 ⁶⁰⁶
 ⁶⁰⁷
 ⁶⁰⁷
 ⁶⁰⁸
 ⁶⁰⁸
 ⁶⁰⁸
 ⁶⁰⁹
 ⁶⁰⁹
 ⁶⁰⁹
 ⁶⁰⁹
 ⁶⁰⁹
 ⁶⁰⁹
 ⁶⁰¹
 ⁶⁰¹
 ⁶⁰²
 ⁶⁰²
 ⁶⁰³
 ⁶⁰³
 ⁶⁰³
 ⁶⁰⁴
 ⁶⁰⁵
 ⁶⁰⁵
 ⁶⁰⁵
 ⁶⁰⁵
 ⁶⁰⁶
 ⁶⁰⁶
 ⁶⁰⁷
 ⁶⁰⁷
 ⁶⁰⁷
 ⁶⁰⁸
 ⁶⁰⁸
 ⁶⁰⁸
 ⁶⁰⁹
 ⁶⁰⁹
- Roman Solovyev, Alexander Stempkovskiy, and Tatiana Habruseva. Benchmarks and leaderboards for sound demixing tasks, 2023.
- Fabian-Robert Stöter, Antoine Liutkus, and Nobutaka Ito. The 2018 signal separation evaluation
 campaign. In *Latent Variable Analysis and Signal Separation: 14th International Conference, LVA/ICA 2018, Guildford, UK, July 2–5, 2018, Proceedings 14*, pp. 293–305. Springer, 2018.
- Bart Thomee, David A Shamma, Gerald Friedland, Benjamin Elizalde, Karl Ni, Douglas Poland, Damian Borth, and Li-Jia Li. Yfcc100m: The new data in multimedia research. *Communications of the ACM*, 59(2):64–73, 2016.
- Yapeng Tian, Jing Shi, Bochen Li, Zhiyao Duan, and Chenliang Xu. Audio-visual event localization in unconstrained videos. In *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV)*, pp. 247–263, 2018.
- Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay
 Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti Bhosale, et al. Llama 2: Open foundation
 and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*, 2023.
- Efthymios Tzinis, Scott Wisdom, Aren Jansen, Shawn Hershey, Tal Remez, Daniel PW Ellis, and John R Hershey. Into the wild with audioscope: Unsupervised audio-visual separation of on-screen sounds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.01143*, 2020.
- Efthymios Tzinis, Scott Wisdom, Tal Remez, and John R Hershey. Audioscopev2: Audio-visual
 attention architectures for calibrated open-domain on-screen sound separation. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 368–385. Springer, 2022.
 - Yi Wang, Yinan He, Yizhuo Li, Kunchang Li, Jiashuo Yu, Xin Ma, Xinhao Li, Guo Chen, Xinyuan Chen, Yaohui Wang, et al. Internvid: A large-scale video-text dataset for multimodal understanding and generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.06942*, 2023.
- Zehan Wang, Ziang Zhang, Xize Cheng, Rongjie Huang, Luping Liu, Zhenhui Ye, Haifeng Huang,
 Yang Zhao, Tao Jin, Peng Gao, et al. Molecule-space: Free lunch in unified multimodal space via
 knowledge fusion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.04883*, 2024.
- Jiannan Xiang, Guangyi Liu, Yi Gu, Qiyue Gao, Yuting Ning, Yuheng Zha, Zeyu Feng, Tianhua Tao,
 Shibo Hao, Yemin Shi, Zhengzhong Liu, Eric P. Xing, and Zhiting Hu. Pandora: Towards general
 world model with natural language actions and video states. 2024.
 - Yazhou Xing, Yingqing He, Zeyue Tian, Xintao Wang, and Qifeng Chen. Seeing and hearing: Open-domain visual-audio generation with diffusion latent aligners, 2024.
- Hongwei Xue, Tiankai Hang, Yanhong Zeng, Yuchong Sun, Bei Liu, Huan Yang, Jianlong Fu, and
 Baining Guo. Advancing high-resolution video-language representation with large-scale video
 transcriptions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 5036–5045, 2022.

A LIMITATION

Since all upstream datasets of AVSET-10M rely on YouTube as the main data source, our dataset may be more closely aligned with the video styles prevalent on YouTube and may not fully represent video content from other platforms. However, to the best of our knowledge, our dataset is currently the largest audio-visual correspondence dataset available. In the future, we plan to verify the generalization ability of our AVSET-10M on data from other platforms. Additionally, we intend to collect data from a broader range of platforms to build a more diverse dataset.

B ETHICAL IMPACT

B.1 PRIVACY CONCERNS

AVSET-10M is built on existing open-source datasets and contains only video links, not the actual content. To address privacy concerns, we have implemented a deletion request mechanism that allows individuals to request the removal of links to privacy-sensitive content. Recognizing the limitations of users initiating such requests, we plan to periodically update our repository from upstream datasets (such as AudioSet and PANDA-70M) to proactively identify and remove any videos that may raise privacy concerns. This ensures continued adherence to privacy standards, as discussed in section 3.3.

B.2 POPULATION REPRESENTATIVENESS

Although privacy protection makes it challenging to determine the precise geographic location of videos, which complicates deep demographic analysis, we believe that the data samples offer a reasonable degree of population representativeness. Given that YouTube videos are uploaded by users all over the world, our dataset inherently captures a diverse range of demographics.

B.3 POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS

This paper primarily focuses on proposing a large-scale audio-visual correspondence dataset, aimed at expanding research possibilities in the audio-visual sector. This field includes technologies like video dubbing, which can lead to audio forgery. However, it's crucial to note that such dubbing does not result in severe identity forgery issues, unlike those caused by voice cloning technologies.

C IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

- C.1 SOUND SEPARATION

Same as the experimental setting of (Dong et al., 2022), for all audio samples, we conduct experiments on samples of length 65535 (approximately 4 seconds) at a sampling rate of 16 kHz. For spectrum computation, we employ a short-time Fourier transform (STFT) with a filter length of 1024, a hop length of 256, and a window size of 1024. All images are resized to 224×224 pixels. All models are trained with a batch size of 128, using the Adam optimizer with parameters $\beta_1 = 0.9$, $\beta_2 = 0.999$, and $\epsilon = 10^{-8}$, for 200,000 steps. Additionally, we employ warm-up and gradient clipping strategies, following Dong et al. (2022). We compute the signal-to-distortion ratio (SDR) using museval (Stöter et al., 2018). All experiments are conducted on a single A800 GPU.

698 C.2 AUDIO-VIDEO RETRIEVAL

Same as the experimental setting of Wang et al. (2024), for all experiments, the softmax temperature is set to 0.01, and the temperature for the InfoNCE loss is set to 0.02. We utilize the Adam optimizer with a learning rate of 1×10^{-3} and a batch size of 2048, running the training process for 20 epochs.



AVSET-10M

756

D

758 D.1 SAMPLES OF AVSET-10M 759 760 We present some audio-video consistency samples from the AVSET-10M in Figure 5. For additional 761 samples, please visit the demo page at https://avset-10M.github.io. 762 763 **D.2 DATASET COMPOSITION** 764 We release AVSET-10M as the following two subsets: 765 766 AVSET-700K: This subset comprises 727,530 audio-visual corresponding samples filtered from 767 AudioSet. Each video segment in this subset is accompanied by a manually labeled audio category, 768 ensuring accurate categorization and relevance. 769 • AVSET-10M (w/o. AVSET-700K): This subset comprises 10,234,280 audio-visual corresponding 770 samples, filtered from the Panda-70M dataset. Each video segment is semantically coherent, 771 focusing on a single event, and includes a text description originally from the Panda70M dataset. 772 Additionally, we provide pseudo-labels for the audio categories, derived with PANNs (Kong et al., 773 2020), along with their corresponding confidence scores. Researchers can use these pseudo-labels 774 to freely partition the dataset. 775 776 We provide comprehensive meta-information for each video clip, including the YoutubeID of the 777 video, timestamps for each clip, audio-visual cosine similarity, a flag indicating whether sound 778 separation is required, and relevant text labels. For AVSET-10M (w/o. AVSET-700K), captions and 779 pseudo-labels are included, while AVSET-700K features manual audio labels. 781 D.3 LICENSE 782 AVSET-10M is released under the [CC BY 4.0] license. Before using this dataset, please ensure that 783 you have read and understood the terms of the license. 784 785 786 E DATASHEET OF AVSET-10M 787 788 We present a datasheet (Gebru et al., 2021) for documentation and responsible usage of LeanDojo 789 Benchmark. 790 791 E.1 MOTIVATION 792 1. For what purpose was the dataset created? We have developed the AVSET-10M dataset, 793 a tailored audio-video corresponding dataset, designed to advance audio-visual research by 794 facilitating the exploration of semantic and temporal alignment between audio and video components. 796 2. Who created the dataset and on behalf of which entity? The AVSET-10M was developed by researchers listed in the author list. 798 799 E.2 COMPOSITION 800 801 1. What do the instance that comprise the dataset represent (e.g., documents, photos, 802 people, countries?) Each instance consists of a pair of corresponding audio and video samples, along with several associated labels. 804 2. How many instances are there in total (of each type, if appropriate)? The AVSET-10M 805 dataset contains 10,605,005 samples, of which the AVSET700K subset includes 727,530 samples. 3. Does the dataset contain all possible instances or is it a sample of instances from a 808 larger set? The dataset contains all possible instances. 809

4. What data does each instance consist of?

010			
010		5.	Is there a label or target associated with each instance? We provide the cosine similarity
811			between audio and visual content as well as the audio labels for each sample.
812		6	Is any information missing from individual instances? For some instances filtered from
813		0.	Panda-70M, although the audio and video correspond, it is not able to identify the specific
814			audio pseudo-labels. Note that this does not affect the audio-visual correspondence in our
815			dataset.
816		7	Are relationships between individual instances made evolutit (e.g. users' movie ratings
817		7.	social network links)? N/A
818		0	
819		8.	Are there recommended data splits (e.g., training, development/validation, testing)? In
820			the AVSE1-10M dataset, there are a large number of audio labels, allowing researchers to
821			perform appropriate spins based on mese rabers. we do not have a recommended data spins.
822		9.	Are there any errors, sources of noise, or redundancies in the dataset? N/A
823		10.	Is the dataset self-contained, or does it link to or otherwise rely on external resources
824			(e.g., websites, tweets, other datasets)? We only provide the download links for the videos,
825			the raw videos need to be downloaded from the YouTube platform.
826		11.	Does the dataset contain data that might be considered confidential (e.g., data that is
827			protected by legal privilege or by doctor-patient confidentiality, data that includes
828			the content of individuals' non-public communications)? The AudioSet and Panda-70M
829			used as the source contains facial videos that may pose a risk of infringement, we will delete
830			the corresponding samples if necessary to avoid potential legal issues.
831		12.	Does the dataset contain data that, if viewed directly, might be offensive, insulting,
832			threatening, or might otherwise cause anxiety? Our data all come from the YouTube
833			platform, which has a detailed data review process to ensure that it does not contain videos
834			that are offensive, insulting, threatening, or might otherwise cause anxiety.
835		13.	Does the dataset identify any subpopulations (e.g., by age, gender)? N/A
836		14	Is it possible to identify individuals (i.e. one or more natural norsons) either directly
837		14.	ar indirectly (i.e., in combination with other data) from the dataset? Individual identities
838			may be identifiable through the video uploader.
839		15	Dass the detect contain date that might be considered consistive in any way (a s
840		15.	dote that reveals race or othnic origins, sevual orientations, religious beliefs, political
841			aninians or union membershins or locations: financial or health data: biometric or
842			genetic data: forms of government identification, such as social security numbers:
843			criminal history)? N/A
844			
845	E.3	С	OLLECTION PROCESS
846		1	
847		1.	How was the data associated with each instance acquired? Was the data directly observable (e.g. row text movie ratings) reported by gubiests (e.g. gurvey responses)
848			or indirectly inferred/derived from other data (e.g., part-of-speech tags model-based
049			guesses for age or language)? The audio-video similarity is calculated using Image-
051			bind (Girdhar et al., 2023), and the audio tags are obtained using PANNs (Kong et al.,
0001			2020).
002		2	What mechanisms or procedures were used to collect the data (e.g. hardware appara-
857		∠.	tuses or sensors, manual human curation, software programs, software APIs)? How
004 955			were these mechanisms or procedures validated? All raw video data is sourced from
856			established open-source datasets, and we employ an advanced filtering process to refine
857			these data. The integrity and efficacy of the filtering process for the entire dataset have been
858			thoroughly verified in Section 3.4.
850		3.	If the dataset is a sample from a larger set, what was the sampling strategy (e.g.,
860			deterministic, probabilistic with specific sampling probabilities)? Based on the audio-
861			video similarity.
862		4	Who was involved in the data collection process (e.g., students, crowdworkers, con-
863			tractors) and how were they compensated (e.g., how much were crowdworkers paid)?
			N/A.

864 865 866 867 868		5. Were any ethical review processes conducted (e.g., by an institutional review board)? Our data all come from the YouTube platform, which has a detailed data review process to ensure that it does not contain videos that are offensive, insulting, threatening, or might otherwise cause anxiety.
869	E.4	PREPROCESSING/CLEANING/LABELING
870 871 872 873 874 875		1. Was any preprocessing/cleaning/labeling of the data done (e.g., discretization or bucket- ing, tokenization, part-of-speech tagging, SIFT feature extraction, removal of instances, processing of missing values)? We employ Imagebind (Girdhar et al., 2023) to determine the similarity between audio and video, PANNs (Kong et al., 2020) to classify audio into dif- ferent categories, and a sound separation model (Solovyev et al., 2023) to extract non-speech tracks from the audio.
876 877 878		2. Was the "raw" data saved in addition to the preprocessed/cleaned/labeled data (e.g., to support unanticipated future uses)? We provide URLs for all raw videos, allowing researchers to download the videos directly from the YouTube platform.
879 880 881 882 883		3. Is the software that was used to preprocess/clean/label the data avail- able? ImageBind (https://github.com/facebookresearch/ImageBind). PANNS (https://github.com/qiuqiangkong/audioset_tagging_cnn). Sound Separation model (https://github.com/ZFTurbo/MVSEP-CDX23-Cinematic-Sound-Demixing).
884	E.5	Uses
886 887		1. Has the dataset been used for any tasks already? Yes, we have benchmarked the tasks of visual guided sound separation and audio-video retrieval using the AVSET-10M dataset.
888 889		2. Is there a repository that links to any or all papers or systems that use the dataset? Yes. Please visit the web page of AVSET-10M (https://avset-10M.github.io).
890 891 892 893		3. What (other) tasks could the dataset be used for? Our dataset is designed to facilitate research in video-to-audio generation, text-to-audio generation, and various other audio-video generation tasks. Additionally, it supports studies in audio-video classification, audio-video captioning, and other related audio-video understanding tasks.
894 895 896 897 898 898 899 900 901		4. Is there anything about the composition of the dataset or the way it was collected and preprocessed/cleaned/labeled that might impact future uses? For example, is there anything that a dataset consumer might need to know to avoid uses that could result in unfair treatment of individuals or groups (e.g., stereotyping, quality of service issues) or other risks or harms (e.g., legal risks, financial harms)? To enlarge the sample size of non-speech categories, we utilize a sound separation model to process the data. This method may introduce a certain degree of audio distortion. Users can create a distortion-free sample set by using the identifiers provided in the dataset.
902		5. Are there tasks for which the dataset should not be used? N/A.
903 904	E.6	DISTRIBUTION
905 906 907		1. Will the dataset be distributed to third parties outside of the entity (e.g., company, institution, organization) on behalf of which the dataset was created? Yes, the dataset is open to the public.
908 909 910		2. How will the dataset will be distributed (e.g., tarball on website, API, GitHub)? The dataset will be distributed through platforms such as github and hugging face, and the code will be placed on github.
911 912		3. Have any third parties imposed IP-based or other restrictions on the data associated with the instances? No.
913 914 915		4. Do any export controls or other regulatory restrictions apply to the dataset or to individual instances? No.
916 917	E.7	MAINTENANCE

1. Who will be supporting/hosting/maintaining the dataset? The first author of this paper.

019		
010	2.	Is there an erratum? No. If errors are found in the future, we will release errata on the
919		main web page for the dataset (https://avset-10m.github.io/).
920	3.	Will the dataset be updated (e.g., to correct labeling errors, add new instances, delete
921		instances)? Yes, the datasets will be updated whenever necessary to ensure accuracy, and
922		announcements will be made accordingly. These updates will be posted on the main web
923		page for the dataset (https://avset-10m.github.io/).
924	1	If the dataset relates to people, are there applicable limits on the retention of the data
925	4.	associated with the instances (e.g. were the individuals in question told that their data
926		would be retained for a fixed period of time and then deleted?) The samples in the
927		dataset are sourced from the YouTube platform. We have stated that if any specific fragments
928		are found to infringe on individual rights, we will promptly remove them.
929	5	Will older version of the detect continue to be supported/hosted/maintained? Ves
930	5.	older versions of the dataset will continue to be maintained and hosted
931		order versions of the dataset will continue to be maintained and nosted.
932	6.	If others want to extend/augment/build on/contribute to the dataset, is there a mecha-
933		nisms for them to do so? Our dataset will be published on the GitHub platform. If other
934		researchers wish to further expand the dataset, they are welcome to contact us.
935		
936		
937		
938		
939		
940		
941		
942		
943		
944		
945		
946		
947		
948		
949		
950		
951		
952		
953		
954		
955		
956		
957		
958		
959		
0.00		
961		
962		
963		
964		
965		
966		
967		
968		
900		
070		
071		
3/1		