UNDERSTANDING LEARNING WITH SLICED-WASSERSTEIN REQUIRES RE-THINKING INFORMATIVE SLICES

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

ABSTRACT

The practical applications of Wasserstein distances (WDs) are constrained by their sample and computational complexities. Sliced-Wasserstein distances (SWDs) provide a workaround by projecting distributions onto one-dimensional subspaces, leveraging the more efficient, closed-form WDs for one-dimensional distributions. However, in high dimensions, most random projections become uninformative due to the concentration of measure phenomenon. Although several SWD variants have been proposed to focus on *informative* slices, they often introduce additional complexity, numerical instability, and compromise desirable theoretical (metric) properties of SWD. Amidst the growing literature that focuses on directly modifying the slicing distribution, which often face challenges, we revisit the classic Sliced-Wasserstein and propose instead to rescale the 1D Wasserstein to make all slices equally informative. Importantly, we show that with an appropriate notion of *slice informativeness*, rescaling for all individual slices simplifies to **a single** global scaling factor on the SWD. This, in turn, translates to the standard learning rate search for gradient-based learning in common ML workflows. We perform extensive experiments across various machine learning tasks showing that the classic SWD, when properly configured, can often match or surpass the performance of more complex variants. We then answer the following question:

Is Sliced-Wasserstein all you need for common learning tasks?

028 029

031

003

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

023

025

026

027

1 INTRODUCTION

Data representation in machine learning involves encoding the unique characteristics of individual 032 data points and capturing the relationships between them, with a focus on optimizing performance 033 for specific downstream tasks. Optimal transport (OT) theory (Villani et al., 2009; Peyré et al., 2019) 034 compares data distributions by finding an optimal transportation plan that minimizes the expected cost of moving mass between them, leading to the popular Wasserstein distance (WD) central to many learning applications (Khamis et al., 2024). However, the computational complexity of OT 037 solvers poses a significant bottleneck when calculating the WD. In cases of discrete measures or sample-based scenarios, which are common in machine learning, the problem typically reduces to linear programming with time complexity $\mathcal{O}(N^3 \log N)$, space complexity $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$, and sample 040 complexity $\mathcal{O}(N^{-\frac{1}{d}})$, where N is the number of support points and d the data dimensionality. These 041 unfavorable scaling properties, particularly the curse of dimensionality in sample complexity, make 042 WD impractical for many real-world applications. To address these challenges, several approaches 043 have been proposed, including entropic regularized OT (Cuturi, 2013), smooth OT (Blondel et al., 2018; Manole et al., 2024)), and sliced OT (Bonneel et al., 2015). 044

The Sliced-Wasserstein distances (SWD), (Rabin et al., 2012; Bonneel et al., 2015) project high-046 dimensional distributions onto 1D subspaces and aggregate the closed-form OT solutions in these 047 subspaces. This method is particularly attractive because 1D Wasserstein distances can be computed 048 efficiently with a time complexity of $\mathcal{O}(N \log N)$ and a space complexity of $\mathcal{O}(N)$ for discrete measures. Additionally, SWD provides a metric between probability distributions that retains many desirable properties of the Wasserstein distance (WD), such as being statistically and topologically 050 equivalent to WD, while being more computationally tractable (Nadjahi et al., 2020). Notably, 051 with a sample complexity of $\mathcal{O}(N^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, SWD avoids the curse of dimensionality. However, a key 052 drawback of SWD is its projection complexity, which requires exponentially more slices as the data dimensionality increases.

054 The projection complexity of SWD has motivated several lines of work that aim to enhance the 055 effectiveness of the slicing approach, especially in addressing variance reduction (Nguyen & Ho, 056 2023), approximation error reduction (Nguyen et al., 2023), and slicing complexity (Kolouri et al., 2019; Deshpande et al., 2019; Nguyen et al., 2020; 2024a; Nguyen & Ho, 2024; Nguyen et al., 2024b). 058 This is particularly relevant in high-dimensional machine learning settings where data often has supports in low-dimensional subspaces. These SW variants are data-driven, focusing on identifying the most informative slices for capturing distributional differences in the data. For instance, Max-SW 060 (Deshpande et al., 2019) and DSW (Nguyen et al., 2020) seek to find slices/projections that maximize 061 the differences between the data distributions. GSW (Kolouri et al., 2019) and ASW (Chen et al., 062 2020) extend SW by allowing 'non-linear' projections to capture complex data structures. EBSW 063 (Nguyen & Ho, 2024) designs an energy-based slicing distribution that is parameter-free and has 064 the density proportional to an energy function of the projected 1D distance. MSW (Nguyen et al., 065 2024a) imposes a first-order Markov structure to avoid redundant, independent projections. More 066 recently, RPSW (Nguyen et al., 2024b) proposes using the normalized differences between random 067 samples from the two distributions to ensure that the projections are sampled from the subspace 068 in which the data resides. These methods improve the performance of SW in various downstream 069 tasks and have significantly expanded the tools at disposal for both researchers and practitioners alike. Nonetheless, the elegant extensions also come with increased computational cost, numerical instability, complicated design choices, and often losing the metricity of the SWD. 071

072 In this paper, we argue that the standard SW, with proper hyperparameters, can often match or surpass 073 the performance of more complex variants in many learning tasks while retaining its simplicity and 074 theoretical guarantees. Our key insight is that when d-dimensional data have k-dimensional supports, where $k \ll d$, almost all random slices $\theta \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ can be decomposed into an *informative* 075 component $\theta_D \in \mathbb{R}^k$ within the data subspace and its orthogonal complement $\theta_D^{\perp} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-k}$. This 076 implies most slices still carry relevant information for distinguishing distributions, proportional 077 to $\|\theta_D\|$. By appropriately scaling the distance per slice, we get better gradient for learning. In expectation, we show that, with our defined notion of *informativeness*, scaling for all slices (based 079 on their informativeness) simplifies to scaling the SWD by a single scalar factor. In gradient-based learning, this means finding an appropriate learning rate is equivalent to getting informative slices for 081 free. This allows the classical SWD to adapt to the data's intrinsic dimensionality without explicitly 082 limiting the computation to the subspace. We provide theoretical justification and empirical evidence, offering a fresh perspective on SW, particularly in high-dimensional settings. 084

By revisiting the celebrated SW with these insights, we aim to elucidate the performance gap between the original formulation and recent variants in the existing literature. We emphasize that our work does not diminish the valuable contributions of these variants, which have greatly advanced our understanding of Sliced-Wasserstein. Rather, we offer a complementary perspective that highlights the potential of the standard SW when properly integrated into learning tasks. Along that line, we remark that the related line of specialized methods that respects the data geometry (Rabin et al., 2011; Bonet et al., 2022; Martin et al., 2023; Quellmalz et al., 2023; Bonet et al., 2024; Tran et al., 2024) remains valuable when the manifold constraint on the data is readily known.

In common ML settings where data is supported, or nearly supported, on a k-dimensional subspace embedded in a d-dimensional space, our specific contributions can be summarized as follows:

096

098

099

102

- We introduce the ϕ -weighting formulation unifying various SW variants. In this framework, we propose reweighing all one-dimensional Wasserstein distances based on *slice informa-tiveness* instead of directly modifying the slicing distribution, as commonly done in the literature. We show that with an appropriate notion of *slice informativeness*, in expectation, this leads to an equivalence between the SWD in the ambient space and the data effective subspace. (See 20).
- Our findings translate to scaling the classic SW by a single global constant to get better learning gradients. We show that this reduces solving the problem of *non-informative slices* to the learning rate search for the classic SW, a process that is already a standard in ML workflows. In other words, we get *informative slices* for free with the classic SW.
- We perform a comprehensive learning rate sweep across a wide range of experiments, including gradient flow (on 3 classic toy datasets, MNIST images, CelebA images), color transfer (3 sets of images), deep generative modeling on the FFHQ dataset (unconditional generation and unpaired translation with SW). We show that the classic SW, with appropriate hyperpameters, perform competitively with more advanced methods in these settings.

Notations. We let \mathbb{R}^d denote a *d*-dimensional inner product space, and we denote the unit hypersphere in this space by $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} = \{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\theta\|_2 = 1\}$. Additionally, we denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the set of probability measures on \mathbb{R}^d endowed with the σ -algebra of Borel sets, and by $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the subset of those measures with finite *p*-th moments. For a measurable function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = \theta^\top x$ such that $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, we denote the pushforward of a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ through fas $f_{\#}\mu$. Particularly, $\theta_{\#}\mu$ is the pushforward measure of μ under the projection $x \mapsto \theta^\top x$.

115 2 BACKGROUND ON SLICED-WASSERSTEIN

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be two probability measures of interest.

The Wasserstein distance (WD). The p-WD between μ and ν is:

118 119 120

121

122

127

128

129 130

131 132 133

136 137

138 139

114

116

117

$$W_{p}^{p}(\mu,\nu) = \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu,\nu)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} \|x - y\|_{p}^{p} d\pi(x,y),$$
(1)

with $\Pi(\mu, \nu) = \{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) : \pi(A \times \mathbb{R}^d) = \mu(A), \quad \pi(\mathbb{R}^d \times A) = \nu(A)\}$ for all measurable sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. In one dimension (d = 1), the p-WD admits the following closed-form solution:

$$W_p^p(\mu,\nu) = \int_0^1 |F_{\mu}^{-1}(z) - F_{\nu}^{-1}(z)|^p \, dz, \tag{2}$$

where F_{μ} , F_{ν} are the cumulative distribution functions (CDF) of μ and ν , respectively. For empirical measures, 2 becomes a Monte Carlo sum that can be calculated by averaging the $d^{p}(\cdot, \cdot)$ between sorted samples. In general, this translates to a highly favorable time complexity of $\mathcal{O}(N \log N)$ and gives rise to the following Sliced-Wasserstein distance.

Sliced-Wasserstein (SW). The SW distance between μ and ν is defined as:

$$SW_p(\mu,\nu;\sigma) := \left(\mathbb{E}_{\theta\sim\sigma}\left[W_p^p(\theta_{\#}\mu,\theta_{\#}\nu)\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
(3)

where $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ is the reference measure for slicing vector θ . In default setting, σ is set to be uniform distribution, denoted as: $\sigma = \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ and we use $SW_p(\mu, \nu)$ to denote $SW_p(\mu, \nu; \sigma)$ for simplicity. The intractable expectation implies (3) admits a Monte Carlo estimator:

$$SW_{p}(\mu,\nu;\sum_{l=1}^{L}\frac{1}{L}\delta_{\theta_{l}}) = \left(\frac{1}{L}\sum_{l=1}^{L}W_{p}^{p}(\theta_{\#}^{l}\mu,\theta_{\#}^{l}\nu)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$
(4)

where $\{\theta_l\}_{l=1}^{L} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \sigma$. The MC scheme has the estimation error decreases as $\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}$ where L is the number of slices. The main issue becomes how much one can simulate (for large d), which proves to be challenging since most slices are known to be non-informative. As a result, $SW_p(\mu, \nu; \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{1}{L} \delta_{\theta_l})$ often underestimates the distance between μ and ν in practice. Moreover, L should be sufficiently large compared to d, which is undesirable since the time complexity of SW scales linearly with L.

146 3 OTHER RELATED WORK

147 Subspace-constrained Optimal Transport. Recent works propose computing optimal transport 148 (OT) in lower-dimensional subspaces (Paty & Cuturi, 2019; Bonet et al., 2021b; Muzellec & Cuturi, 149 2019) to improve both efficiency and robustness for high-dimensional data. 1) Subspace Detours (Bonet et al., 2021b; Muzellec & Cuturi, 2019) constrain transport plans to be optimal when projected 150 onto a chosen subspace. This enables efficient extension of low-dimensional transport solutions to 151 the full space. 2) Subspace Robust Wasserstein (Paty & Cuturi, 2019) considers the worst-case 152 transport cost over all possible low-dimensional projections. Interestingly, this can be computed by 153 minimizing the sum of the k largest eigenvalues of the transport plan second-order moment matrix 154 $S_k^2(\mu,\nu) = \min_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu,\nu)} \sum_{l=1}^k \lambda_l(V_{\pi})$ where $V_{\pi} := \int (x-y)(x-y)^T d\pi(x,y)$ is the second-order displacement matrix for a coupling π , and $\lambda_l(V_{\pi})$ is its *l*-th largest eigenvalue. 155 156

Gaussian Sliced-Wasserstein. Earlier works ((Sudakov, 1978; Diaconis & Freedman, 1984; Reeves, 2017)) establish several central limit theorems showing that under mild conditions, low-dimensional projections of high-dimensional data converge to Gaussians. Nadjahi et al. (2021) leverages this concentration of measure phenomenon and shows the Gaussian SW distance is equivalent to the classical SWD: $SW_p^p(\mu, \nu; \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{d}I_d)) = C_{d,p}SW_p^p(\mu, \nu; \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}))$, where $C_{d,p}$ is a dimensionalitydependent constant. They then propose an efficient approximation of the SWD without simulation.

¹⁶² 4 REVISITING SLICED WASSERSTEIN DISTANCES: A SUBSPACE PERSPECTIVE

The main challenge. Many machine learning problems involve high-164 dimensional data that has a low-dimensional structure. Formally, this 165 phenomenon, known as the manifold hypothesis, states that for a dataset 166 $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a k-dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} where $k \ll d$ such 167 that X approximately lies on \mathcal{M} (Fefferman et al., 2016). For instance, 168 rigorous dimensionality estimation methods applied to common datasets like MS-COCO (Lin et al., 2014) and ImageNet (Deng et al., 2009) sug-170 gest k < 50 (Pope et al., 2021), despite their ambient dimension d being 171 orders of magnitude larger. While these manifolds are generally nonlinear, they admit local linear approximations via their tangent spaces. 172 Moreover, in practice, data features typically have strong linear correla-173 tions, allowing techniques like Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to 174



Figure 1: Rescaling the 1D Wasserstein based on slice *informativeness*.

identify a principal subspace that captures most of the data variance. This subspace approximation is particularly relevant in the context of Sliced-Wasserstein distance (SWD). It is known from Kolouri et al. (2019) that when slices θ are sampled uniformly from \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , the probability that a random slice is nearly orthogonal to any fixed direction increases exponentially with dimension. Specifically, for a unit vector x_0 representing a principal direction in the data subspace:

$$\Pr\left(\left|\langle \theta, x_0 \rangle\right| \le \epsilon\right) > 1 - e^{-d\epsilon^2}, \quad \theta \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}).$$
(5)

This concentration of measure phenomenon implies that as dimensionality d grows, most random slices become nearly orthogonal to the principal directions of the data subspace. Consequently, the corresponding 1D Wasserstein distances contribute minimally to the SWD. This effect, which we refer to as the *slice non-informativeness*, limits the effectiveness of SWD in high-dimensional spaces.

185 Current approaches: Designing the slicing distribution. Sampling-based methods seek to define a 186 non-uniform slicing distribution that focuses on *discriminative* directions. Optimization-free methods 187 (Nguyen & Ho, 2024; Nguyen et al., 2024b) are objectively faster but do not yield true metrics. Other 188 methods (Nguyen et al., 2020; 2024a) yield proper metrics but are more computationally expensive 189 due to the optimization involved. In the limit, the Max variants use discrete slicing distributions that require global optimality to be metrics, which is generally intractable in practice. Empirically, without 190 careful hyperparameter tuning, the different variants face numerical instability in the larger learning 191 rate regimes, likely because of the overemphasizing on directions with large projected distances. 192

193 A novel perspective: Rescaling 1D Wasserstein distances. These challenges in directly redefining 194 the slicing distribution motivates us to take a second look at the conventional wisdom of sampling 195 informative slices. We propose an alternative formulation that reweights each 1D Wasserstein based 196 on the *informativeness* of the corresponding slice/projecting direction (See Figure 1 for illustration). By defining the notion of an *informative slice* based on its alignment with the effective data subspace, 197 we demonstrate that it is possible to reweight for all slices by a global constant on the SWD. This maintains the efficiency and theoretical properties of the classical Sliced-Wasserstein. The 199 implications of this finding for using SWD in gradient-based learning will be discussed subsequently. 200 To formalize this approach, we introduce the following assumption and definitions: 201

Assumption 4.1 (Effective Subspace Structure). Let μ^d , $\nu^d \in P(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be probability measures. We say (μ^d, ν^d) has k-dimensional effective structure if:

1. There exists a semi-orthogonal matrix $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ (i.e., $U^T U = I_k$) such that

205 206 207

208

209

202

203 204

180

2. k is minimal, meaning that there does not exist any $U' \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k'}$ with k' < k s.t (1) holds.

 $supp(\mu^d), supp(\nu^d) \subset V_k := col-span(U).$

We refer to V_k as the effective subspace (ES) of μ^d , ν^d , and k as their effective dimensionality (ED).

210 *Note:* In the Appendix A.9, we also discuss results when this assumption does not hold.

211 **Definition 4.2** (Informative slices). Let $\phi : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a function that assigns importance values 213 to projection directions $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ based on their relevance in comparing data distributions. Different 214 approaches compute this importance in various ways. For instance, Max-SW (Deshpande et al., 215 2019), Markovian SW Nguyen et al. (2024a), and EBSW (Nguyen & Ho, 2024) implicitly use

$$\phi_{\mu,\nu}(\theta) = W_p^p(\theta_{\#}\mu, \theta_{\#}\nu),\tag{6}$$

216 to measure the informativeness of θ . On the other hand, RPSW (Nguyen et al., 2024b) implicitly uses 217

$$\phi_{\mu,\nu}(\theta;\mu,\nu,\gamma_{\kappa}) = \mathbb{E}_{(X,Y)\sim\mu\times\nu}[\gamma_{\kappa}(\theta;P_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}(X-Y))],\tag{7}$$

219 where γ_{κ} is a location-scale distribution (e.g., vMF) and $P_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}$ is the projection onto \mathbb{S}^{d-1} . 220

218

221

225

231

234 235

241

242 243

244

250

251

258

259

260

261 262

264

265

267

268

One may also refer to $\phi_{\mu,\nu}$ as the **discriminant function**. However, we define informativeness more 222 broadly, allowing for a broader set of assumptions about data structure. Other ways to quantify 223 informativeness may be appropriate depending on the context where prior information on the data is 224 available. We refer to further related details in Remark 4.6.

Defining ϕ in terms of 1D Wassersteins may not always be desirable. It requires calculating them to 226 find out how informative the slices are, even when the calculations are not always used in computing 227 the final distances (Nguyen et al., 2024a). Furthermore, defining ϕ based on the input measures μ, ν 228 could introduce complex dependencies that make the triangle inequality difficult to prove (Nguyen & 229 Ho, 2024; Nguyen et al., 2024b). Motivated by 4.1, we propose a principled notion of informativeness 230 that avoids both issues and leads to a significantly simplified solution.

Definition 4.3 (ES-aligned informative slices). Given $V_k = span(U)$, where $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ is an orthogonal matrix, we define the ES-aligned informative function $\phi_U : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \to [0, 1]$ as: 232 233

$$\phi_U(\theta) = \| U^\top \theta \|. \tag{8}$$

Intuitively speaking, ϕ_U corresponds to how much information θ contains about the data if it is 236 projected into the space spanned by U. Higher $\phi_U(\theta)$ is considered more (ES-aligned) informative. 237

238 **Remark 4.4.** $\phi_U(\theta)$ has the following basic properties: **a**) $0 \leq \varphi_U(\theta) \leq 1$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, **b**) 239 $\varphi_U(\theta) = 1$ iff $\theta \in span(U) \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\varphi_U(\theta) = 0$ iff $\theta \perp span(U)$, and c) For any orthogonal matrix $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$, $\varphi_U(\theta) = \varphi_{UQ}(\theta)$. 240

4.1 The ϕ -weighting formulation

Starting from the classical SWD definition in Equation (3), we propose a general framework for reweighting slice contributions:

$$\widetilde{SW}_{p}(\mu,\nu;\sigma,\rho_{\phi}) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \underbrace{\rho_{\phi}(\phi(\theta))W_{p}^{p}(\theta_{\#}\mu,\theta_{\#}\nu)}_{\text{Reweighted contribution}} d\sigma(\theta)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},\tag{9}$$

where $\rho_{\phi}: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is the ϕ -weighting function that rescales the contribution of each slice.

Example 4.5. If the goal is to make all slices informative, an appropriate choice for ρ_{ϕ} can be the multiplicative inverse of $\phi(\theta)$ (i.e., more informative slices are scaled less). That is,

$$\rho_{\phi}(\phi(\theta)) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\phi(\theta)^{p}}, & \text{if } \phi(\theta) > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \phi(\theta) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(10)

Remark 4.6. Equation (9) notably does not rely on Assumption 4.1 (only our choice of $\phi(\cdot) = \phi_U(\cdot)$ does). By defining the appropriate $\rho_{\phi}(\cdot)$ and $\phi(\cdot)$, the ϕ -weighting formulation can be seen as a unifying formulation that recovers different SW variants.

- We set $\phi \equiv 1$ and obtain the classical Sliced-Wasserstein distance.
- We set $\phi_{\mu,\nu}(\theta) = W_p^p(\theta_{\#}\mu, \theta_{\#}\nu)$ and $\rho_{\phi}(r) = \delta_{r_{max}}, \sigma = \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ where $r_{max} =$ $\max \phi_{\mu,\nu}(\theta)$, and recover Max-SW (Deshpande et al., 2019).
- We set $\phi_{\mu,\nu}(\theta) = W_p^p(\theta_{\#}\mu, \theta_{\#}\nu), \ \rho_{\phi}(r) = \frac{f(r)}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} f(W_p^p(\theta_{\#}\mu, \theta_{\#}\nu)) d\sigma(\theta)}, \ \sigma = \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ where $f:[0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ is an increasing energy function (e.g., $f(x) = e^x$), and recover EBSW (Nguyen & Ho, 2024).
- We set $\phi_{\mu,\nu}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{(X,Y)\sim\mu\times\nu}[\gamma_{\kappa}(\theta; P_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}(X-Y))], \ \rho_{\phi}(r) = r, \ \sigma = \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}), \ where \ \gamma_{\kappa}$ is a location-scale distribution with parameter κ , and recover RPSW (Nguyen et al., 2024b).

4.2 MISALIGNED RANDOM PROJECTIONS ARE IMPLICITLY DOWNWEIGHED BY A SCALAR

Under Assumption 4.1, we will show that the 1D Wasserstein corresponding to each random projection is weighted by a scalar related to the (ES-aligned) informativeness of that projection.

The case for 1D effective subspaces. Let $V_1 = \operatorname{span}(u)$ where $u \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, and suppose $\operatorname{supp}(\mu^d)$, $\operatorname{supp}(\nu^d) \subset V_1$. Given $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, we can decompose it uniquely as $\theta = \theta_{V_1} + \theta_{V_1^{\perp}}$, where $\theta_{V_1} = (u^{\top}\theta)u$ and $\theta_{V^{\perp}} \perp V_1$. For any $x \in V_1$, we have $x = (x^{\top}u)u$, and $\theta^{\top}x$ can thus be decomposed as:

$$\theta^{\top} x = (\theta_{V_1} + \theta_{V_1^{\perp}})^{\top} x = \theta_{V_1}^{\top} x = (u^{\top} \theta)^{\top} (u^{\top} x).$$
(11)

This implies that for any slice θ , the projected distributions $\theta_{\#}\mu^d$ and $\theta_{\#}\nu^d$ are equivalent (up to scaling) to the distributions obtained by projecting μ^d and ν^d onto u. Specifically:

$$W_{p}^{p}(\theta_{\#}\mu^{d},\theta_{\#}\nu^{d}) = |u^{\top}\theta|^{p}W_{p}^{p}(u_{\#}\mu^{d},u_{\#}\nu^{d}).$$
(12)

Generalizing to higher-dimensional effective subspaces. We extend the idea from one dimension to a k-dimensional subspace V_k and investigate how the reweighting function $\rho_{\phi}(\phi_U(\theta)) = \|U^{\top}\theta\|^{-p}$ adjusts the contributions of slices in higher dimensions.

Proposition 4.7. Under Assumption 4.1, let $\mu^k = U_{\#}\mu^d$ and $\nu^k = U_{\#}\nu^d$ be the pushforward measures in \mathbb{R}^k . Then, for any $\theta^d \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, we have that:

$$W_{p}^{p}(\theta_{\#}^{d}\mu^{d},\theta_{\#}^{d}\nu^{d}) = W_{p}^{p}((U^{\top}\theta^{d})_{\#}\mu^{k},(U^{\top}\theta^{d})_{\#}\nu^{k}) = \|U^{\top}\theta^{d}\|^{p}W_{p}^{p}(\theta_{\#}^{k}\mu^{k},\theta_{\#}^{k}\nu^{k}),$$
(13)

where $\theta^k = \frac{U^{\top} \theta^d}{\|U^{\top} \theta^d\|}$ with convention $\theta^k = 0_k$ if $\|U^{\top} \theta^d\| = 0$.

Furthermore, we have that:

$$SW_p^p\left(\mu^k, \nu^k; \frac{1}{L}\sum_{l=1}^L \delta_{\theta_l^k}\right) = \widetilde{SW}_p^p\left(\mu^d, \nu^d; \frac{1}{L}\sum_{l=1}^L \delta_{\theta_l^d}, \rho\right)$$
(14)

$$SW_p^p\left(\mu^k,\nu^k\right) = \widetilde{SW}_p^p\left(\mu^d,\nu^d;\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}),\rho\right)$$
(15)

Here, we adopt the convention $\frac{1}{0} \cdot 0 = 0$ in (14) if $||U^{\top} \theta_{I}^{d}|| = 0$.

The proof is in the Appendix A.4.

Remark 4.8 (Implicit Downweighting). Under the conditions of Proposition 4.7, each slice con-tribution is implicitly downweighted by $||U^T \theta^d||^p$. That is, for any $\theta^d \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, we have that $W^p_p(\theta^d_{\#}\mu^d, \theta^d_{\#}\nu^d) \leq W^p_p(\mu^k, \nu^k)$. Moreover, the downweighting is maximal if $\theta^d \perp span(U)$ and vanishing if $\theta^d \in span(U) \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$.

Rescaling to equalize informativeness. Assumption 4.1 gives rise to the fact that each one-dimensional Wasserstein distance $W_p^p(\theta_{\pm}^d \mu^d, \theta_{\pm}^d \nu^d)$ is implicitly downweighed by $|U^{\top} \theta^d|^p$. This observation naturally fits into the proposed ϕ -weighting formulation, as there is an implicit scaling factor associated with each slice. To counteract it and make all slices equally (ES-aligned) informative, we use the reciprocal weighting function (10) to compensate for the implicit down-weighting of misaligned slices. Then, we have that

$$\rho_{\phi}(\phi_{U}(\theta^{d}))W_{p}^{p}(\theta_{\#}^{d}\mu^{d},\theta_{\#}^{d}\nu^{d}) = \begin{cases} W_{p}^{p}(\theta_{\#}^{k}\mu^{k},\theta_{\#}^{k}\nu^{k}), & \text{if } \phi_{U}(\theta^{d}) > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \phi_{U}(\theta^{d}) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(16)

where $\theta^k = \frac{U + \theta^{-}}{\|U^{\top} \theta^d\|}$.

4.3 SUBSPÄCE SLICED-WASSERSTEIN IS RESCALED SLICED-WASSERSTEIN

In this section, we will show that the generalized notion of informative slices (as defined in 4.3) becomes particularly advantageous for equalizing slice informativeness.

Starting from (13), we integrate both sides over $\theta^d \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ wrt the uniform measure $\sigma(\theta^d)$ and obtain

$$SW_p^p(\mu^d,\nu^d) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} W_p^p(\theta_{\sharp}^d \mu^d, \theta_{\sharp}^d \nu^d) d\sigma(\theta^d) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \|U^{\top} \theta^d\|^p W_p^p\left(\theta_{\sharp}^k \mu^k, \theta_{\sharp}^k \nu^k\right) d\sigma(\theta^d).$$
(17)

Note that θ^k depends on θ^d , and the distribution of θ^k induced by $\theta^d \sim \sigma$ is uniform over \mathbb{S}^{k-1} . We introduce the change of variables from θ^d to θ^k and express the integral in terms of θ^k :

$$SW_p^p(\mu^d,\nu^d) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{k-1}} W_p^p\left(\theta_{\#}^k \mu^k, \theta_{\#}^k \nu^k\right) \left(\int_{\theta^d: \frac{U^\top \theta^d}{\|U^\top \theta^d\|} = \theta^k} \|U^\top \theta^d\|^p \, d\sigma(\theta^d | \theta^k)\right) dT_{\#}\sigma(\theta^k),$$
(18)

where $\sigma(\cdot|\theta^k)$ is the conditional distribution of θ^d , and $T: x \mapsto \frac{U^{\top}x}{\|U^{\top}x\|}$ is the mapping from θ^d to θ^k .

The inner integral over θ^d can be evaluated as a scaling factor $C_{d,k}$ dependent on σ , θ^k , U. When $\sigma = \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}), C_{d,k}$ is invariant for all θ^k .

Substituting back into (18), and let $\sigma_k = T_{\#}\sigma = \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{k-1})$ denote the distribution of θ^k , we obtain

$$SW_p^p(\mu^d, \nu^d) = C_{d,k} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{k-1}} W_p^p\left(\theta_{\#}^k \mu^k, \theta_{\#}^k \nu^k\right) \, d\sigma_k(\theta^k).$$
(19)

Since $\sigma_k(\theta^k)$ integrates to 1 over \mathbb{S}^{k-1} , and $W_p^p\left(\theta_{\#}^k\mu^k, \theta_{\#}^k\nu^k\right)$ is integrated over all θ^k , we can express the right-hand side as $C_{d,k} \cdot SW_p^p(\mu^k, \nu^k; \sigma_k)$. Intuitively speaking, this means the *loss of information* is due to an implicit constant factor on $SW_p^p(\mu^d, \nu^d)$, which we denote as the **Effective** Subspace Scaling Factor (ESSF). Thus, rescaling the one-dimensional Wasserstein for all slices via Equation (16) becomes multiplying the SWD by the reciprocal of the ESSF. We proceed further to make this connection explicit by the following theorem.

Theorem 4.9 (Effective Subspace Scaling Factor). Let μ^d , $\nu^d \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy Assumption 4.1, and define $\mu^k = U_{\#}\mu^d$ and $\nu^k = U_{\#}\nu^d$. Then we have that

$$SW_p^p(\mu^d, \nu^d) = \frac{C_k}{C_d} \cdot SW_p^p(\mu^k, \nu^k),$$
(20)

where $C_d = 2^{p/2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} + \frac{p}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}$ and C_k is defined analogously, with Γ denoting the Gamma function.

When k < d, assuming $||U^{\top}\theta_{l}^{d}|| \neq 0$ is reasonable since $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d})(\{\theta^{d} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} : U^{\top}\theta = 0\}) = 0$.

The proof is in the Appendix A.4.

Proposition 4.10. Let μ^d , $\nu^d \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy Assumption 4.1. Consider the empirical estimator $\widehat{ESSF}(L)$ defined as:

$$\widehat{ESSF}(L) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \| U^{\top} \theta_l^d \|^p,$$
(21)

where $\{\theta_l^d\}_{l=1}^L \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$. We have that

1.
$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{E}SS\widehat{F}(L)] = \frac{C_k}{C_d} \text{ and } Var(\widehat{E}SS\widehat{F}(L)) = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{L}).$$
2. Let $\epsilon_L = \left|SW_p^p\left(\mu^d, \nu^d; \frac{1}{L}\sum_{l=1}^L \delta_{\theta_l^d}\right) - \widehat{ESSF}(L) \cdot SW_p^p\left(\mu^k, \nu^k; \frac{1}{L}\sum_{l=1}^L \delta_{\theta_l^k}\right)\right|.$ Then $\epsilon_L \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0 \text{ as } L \to \infty$

3. There exists a constant K > 0 depending only on μ^d and ν^d such that for any $\delta > 0$, we have $\mathbb{P}(\epsilon_L < \delta) \ge 1 - e^{-\delta^2 L/K^2}$.

The proof of this proposition is in the Appendix A.6.

In Section 5.1 we provide empirical results showing how the variance of $\widehat{ESSF}(L)$ changes wrt L.

4.4 IMPLICATIONS FOR LEARNING ALGORITHMS: IS SWD ALL YOU NEED?

Assumption 4.1 naturally holds in common machine learning settings. In gradient-based learning, data is typically processed in minibatches, leading to an effective bound on k related to batch size $B \ll d$. Additionally, real datasets often have feature (linear) correlations, potentially reducing k further. Lastly, the ESSF(L) factor, despite its variance, can be absorbed into the learning rate during optimization. This reduces the problem to a single hyperparameter search for the optimal learning rate-a standard practice in machine learning workflows.

Remark 4.11. Let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{2B} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a minibatch of 2B samples (B from source, B from target). Let $X = [x_1, \ldots, x_{2B}] \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 2B}$ be the corresponding data matrix. Then the support of the empirical distributions lies in a subspace of dimension $k \leq \min\{2B, d\}$.

Proposition 4.12. For discrete distributions $\hat{\mu}_d = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i^1 \delta_{x_i}$ and $\hat{\nu}_d = \sum_{i=1}^m q_i^2 \delta_{y_i}$, we have:

$$\nabla_x W_p^p(\theta_{\#}\hat{\mu}_d, \theta_{\#}\hat{\nu}_d) = \|U^\top \theta\|^p \nabla_x W_p^p(\theta_{\#}^k \hat{\mu}_k, \theta_{\#}^k \hat{\nu}_k)$$
(22)

where $\theta^k = U^{\top} \theta / \|U^{\top} \theta\|$. Define the empirical gradient error for each x_i as $\epsilon_L(x_i) :=$ $\|\nabla_{x_i}SW_p^p(\hat{\mu}_d, \hat{\nu}_d; \sum_{l=1}^L \delta_{\theta_i^d}) - \widehat{ESSF}(L) \cdot \nabla_{x_i}SW_p^p(\hat{\mu}_k, \hat{\nu}_k; \sum_{l=1}^L \delta_{\theta_i^k})\|$, Then the following holds

1.
$$\epsilon_L(x_i) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \text{ as } L \to \infty$$

2.
$$\mathbb{P}(\|\epsilon_L(x_i)\| \le \epsilon) \ge 1 - 2e^{-\epsilon^2 L/(pq_i^1 K)^2}$$
, where $K = \max_{x_i, y_j} \|x_i - y_j\|^{p-1} < \infty$.

We refer readers to the Appendix A.7 for the detailed discussion and proofs.

EXPERIMENTS

We use 50 random projections for the SWD. For other variants, we use the default hyperparameters provided by the official implementations. More details (results, visualizations) are in the Appendix.

5.1 NUMERICAL VALIDATION OF MAIN RESULTS

Verifying Theorem 4.9 for p = 1, 2. Our setup involves two k-dimensional isotropic Gaussians embedded in \mathbb{R}^d ($d \ge k$). We generated 500 samples from each and varied both d and k to observe how the empirical ratio $\hat{C} = \frac{\widehat{SW}_p^p(\mu^d, \nu^d)}{\widehat{SW}_p^p(\mu^k, \nu^k)}$ behaves under different dimensionality settings for a fixed number of slices (L = 1000). a) Fixing k = 2, varying p across $\{1, 2\}$, and varying d across $\{10, 30, 50, 80, 100, 300, 500, 800, 1000\}$. b) Fixing d = 1000, varying p across $\{1, 2\}$, and varying k across $\{10, 30, 50, 80, 100, 300, 500, 800, 1000\}$. The results are averaged over 10 runs



Figure 2: Left: Illustration of two embedded Gaussians. Top row: Empirical \hat{C} with varying d for k = 2 and p = 1, 2. Bottom row: Empirical \hat{C} with varying k for in d = 1000 and p = 1, 2.



Figure 3: The ESSF(L) for varying d, k over 1000 runs for p = 1 (left) and p = 2 (right).

Verifying Proposition 4.10 We proceed further to observe the empirical estimate ESSF(L) and its variance for different values of $L = \{10, 50, 100, 500, 1000, 5000, 10000\}$. Here, we use d = $\{100, 500, 1000\}$ and $k = \{2, 10, 50\}$. The results are across 1000 runs.

5.2 GRADIENT FLOW

On classic synthetic datasets. We generate 300 particles as target from three classic 2D datasets: Swiss role, 8 Gaussians, and Knot. The source is realized from a 2D isotropic Gaussian. We embed these data into the space with target dimensions of $d = \{2, 50, 100\}$ by padding with 0's and applying a random d-dimensional rotation on the 2D data plane. We use 10000 iterations with vanilla GD and results are over 3 runs. Learning rates: $\{1, 3, 5, 8\} \times 10^{\{-6, -5, -4, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2\}}$.



Figure 4: Optimal basin plots for Gradient Flow with embedded synthetic datasets.

On MNIST/CelebA images. For MNIST, we randomly select a set of 50 samples from digits 0 (as source) and 1 (as target) to perform gradient flow with 200000 iterations. Learning $\{1,5\} \times 10^{\{-3,-2,-1,0,1,2,3\}}$. For CelebA, we randomly select a set of 50 samples to rates: perform gradient flow from the Gaussian source noises with 200000 iterations. Learning rates: $\{1, 4, 8, 16, 64, 128, 256, 512, 1024, 3200\}.$



Figure 5: Optimal basin plots for MNIST (left) and CelebA (right).

5.3 COLOR TRANSFER

We follow a similar setup as in (Nguyen et al., 2024a; Nguyen & Ho, 2024; Nguyen et al., 2024b) with different hyperparameters. Our experiments are performed over 3 image sets (See Figure 11). The optimization uses 50,000 iterations. To reduce computational complexity, we optionally apply K-means clustering with 3,000 clusters, to reduce the colorspace into an empirical measure with N = 3,000 particles. Learning rates: $\{1, 3, 5, 8\} \times 10^{\{-4, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1\}}, 100.$



Figure 6: Optimal basin plots for Gradient Flow with embedded synthetic datasets.

5.4 DEEP GENERATIVE MODELING

There exist various generative modeling setups with Sliced-Wasserstein (Kolouri et al., 2018; Desh-pande et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2019; Liutkus et al., 2019; Nguyen et al., 2024b). We restrict our setup to

490

491

492

493

494

495

496 497

498

499

500

501

502

513

514

515

516

517 518 519

521 522

523

the latent space (d = 512) of an autoencoder (Pidhorskyi et al., 2020) pretrained on the 1024×1024 FFHQ dataset (Karras et al., 2019). Learning rates: $\{1, 3, 5, 8\} \times 10^{\{-6, -5, -4, -3, -2, -1\}}, 1.$

We evaluate SW variants on both unconditional generation and unpaired image-to-image translation tasks. For generation, we follow Deshpande et al. (2018)'s SWG setup using a generator $G_{\phi}(\cdot)$ to transform $z \in \mathbb{R}^8$ to latents $X \in \mathbb{R}^{512}$. For translation, we modify this to use a residual generator transforming source domain X to target domain Y latents. Following Rombach et al. (2022), Korotin et al. (2023), we operate in an autoencoder's latent space to sidestep the known dimensionality challenges of SWG (Deshpande et al. (2018),Nadjahi et al. (2021)). We train for 10000 iterations using vanilla gradient descent with batch size 2048. For translation, we evaluate on two FFHQ subtasks: Male to Female (M2F) and Adult to Children (A2C) using split training/test sets.



Figure 7: Left: Samples generated using different SW variants. Right: Optimal basin plot.



Figure 8: Optimal basin plots for M2F(left) and A2C(right).

6 CONCLUSION

524 In this paper, we revisit the classic Sliced-Wasserstein and rethink the current approaches that 525 modify the slicing distribution to focus on informative slices. We introduce another perspective of 526 rescaling the 1D Wasserstein distances based on slice 'informativeness.' By defining the notion 527 of informativeness in terms of alignment with the data effective subspace, we show this rescaling 528 simplifies to a global scaling factor on the SW. This directly translates to the standard learning rate 529 search in gradient-based optimization (even with a finite number of slices). We then empirically show 530 that, in a wide variety of learning settings, a properly configured SW performs competitively with 531 other complex variants without the additional computation/memory overheads. This challenges the notion that increasingly advanced methods are always necessary for improved performance. We show 532 that while standard SW may not be the universal solution for all scenarios, it remains to be a reliable 533 and efficient solution for common learning tasks. 534

Future research: Our work does not preclude further research to improve the Sliced-Wasserstein using the current approaches, which have their own merits. In fact, the rescaling approach has deep connections to modifying the slicing distributions. Nonetheless, our work provides a novel and generalized perspective to interpret and address a major limitation of the classic SW. From this angle, future research could investigate different choices for the rescaling function ρ under various assumptions about the data, as well as explore alternative notions of *slice informativeness*.

540 REFERENCES

542 543	Luigi Ambrosio, Nicola Gigli, and Giuseppe Savaré. <i>Gradient flows: in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures.</i> Springer Science & Business Media, 2008. 29
544 545	Mathieu Blondel, Vivien Seguy, and Antoine Rolet. Smooth and sparse optimal transport. In <i>International conference on artificial intelligence and statistics</i> , pp. 880–889. PMLR, 2018. 1
546 547 548	Clément Bonet, Nicolas Courty, François Septier, and Lucas Drumetz. Sliced-wasserstein gradient flows. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.10972</i> , pp. 1, 2021a. 24
549 550	Clément Bonet, Titouan Vayer, Nicolas Courty, François Septier, and Lucas Drumetz. Subspace detours meet gromov–wasserstein. <i>Algorithms</i> , 14(12):366, 2021b. 3
552 553	Clément Bonet, Paul Berg, Nicolas Courty, François Septier, Lucas Drumetz, and Minh-Tan Pham. Spherical sliced-wasserstein. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.08780</i> , 2022. 2
554 555 556	Clément Bonet, Lucas Drumetz, and Nicolas Courty. Sliced-wasserstein distances and flows on cartan-hadamard manifolds. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.06560</i> , 2024. 2
557 558	Nicolas Bonneel and David Coeurjolly. Spot: sliced partial optimal transport. <i>ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)</i> , 38(4):1–13, 2019. 24
559 560 561	Nicolas Bonneel, Julien Rabin, Gabriel Peyré, and Hanspeter Pfister. Sliced wasserstein distance. <i>SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences</i> , 8(4):2292–2310, 2015. 1
562 563	Xiongjie Chen, Yongxin Yang, and Yunpeng Li. Augmented sliced wasserstein distances. <i>arXiv</i> preprint arXiv:2006.08812, 2020. 2
564 565 566	Marco Cuturi. Sinkhorn distances: Lightspeed computation of optimal transport. Advances in neural information processing systems, 26, 2013. 1
567 568 569	Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, and Li Fei-Fei. Imagenet: A large-scale hier- archical image database. In 2009 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 248–255. IEEE, 2009. 4
570 571 572 573	Ishan Deshpande, Ziyu Zhang, and Alexander G Schwing. Generative modeling using the sliced wasserstein distance. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 3483–3491, 2018. 9, 10
574 575 576 577	Ishan Deshpande, Yuan-Ting Hu, Ruoyu Sun, Ayis Pyrros, Nasir Siddiqui, Sanmi Koyejo, Zhizhen Zhao, David Forsyth, and Alexander G Schwing. Max-sliced wasserstein distance and its use for gans. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 10648–10656, 2019. 2, 4, 5
578 579 580	Persi Diaconis and David Freedman. Asymptotics of graphical projection pursuit. <i>The annals of statistics</i> , pp. 793–815, 1984. 3
580 581 582	Charles Fefferman, Sanjoy Mitter, and Hariharan Narayanan. Testing the manifold hypothesis. <i>Journal of the American Mathematical Society</i> , 29(4):983–1049, 2016. 4
583 584 585 586	Tero Karras, Samuli Laine, and Timo Aila. A style-based generator architecture for generative adversarial networks. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 4401–4410, 2019. 10, 34
587 588 589	Abdelwahed Khamis, Russell Tsuchida, Mohamed Tarek, Vivien Rolland, and Lars Petersson. Scal- able optimal transport methods in machine learning: A contemporary survey. <i>IEEE Transactions</i> <i>on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence</i> , 2024. 1
590 591	Soheil Kolouri, Phillip E Pope, Charles E Martin, and Gustavo K Rohde. Sliced wasserstein auto- encoders. In <i>International Conference on Learning Representations</i> , 2018. 9
592 593	Soheil Kolouri, Kimia Nadjahi, Umut Simsekli, Roland Badeau, and Gustavo Rohde. Generalized sliced wasserstein distances. <i>Advances in neural information processing systems</i> , 32, 2019. 2, 4

594 595	Alexander Korotin, Nikita Gushchin, and Evgeny Burnaev. Light schr\" odinger bridge. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.01174, 2023. 10
597 598 599	Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In European Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 740–755. Springer, 2014. 4
600 601 602	 Antoine Liutkus, Umut Simsekli, Szymon Majewski, Alain Durmus, and Fabian-Robert Stöter. Sliced-wasserstein flows: Nonparametric generative modeling via optimal transport and diffusions. In <i>International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, pp. 4104–4113. PMLR, 2019. 9
604 605	Tudor Manole, Sivaraman Balakrishnan, Jonathan Niles-Weed, and Larry Wasserman. Plugin estimation of smooth optimal transport maps. <i>The Annals of Statistics</i> , 52(3):966–998, 2024. 1
606 607	Rocio Diaz Martin, Ivan Medri, Yikun Bai, Xinran Liu, Kangbai Yan, Gustavo K Rohde, and Soheil Kolouri. Lcot: Linear circular optimal transport. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.06002</i> , 2023. 2
608 609 610	Boris Muzellec and Marco Cuturi. Subspace detours: Building transport plans that are optimal on subspace projections. <i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems</i> , 32, 2019. 3
611 612 613	Kimia Nadjahi, Alain Durmus, Lénaïc Chizat, Soheil Kolouri, Shahin Shahrampour, and Umut Simsekli. Statistical and topological properties of sliced probability divergences. <i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems</i> , 33:20802–20812, 2020. 1
614 615 616 617	Kimia Nadjahi, Alain Durmus, Pierre E Jacob, Roland Badeau, and Umut Simsekli. Fast approxima- tion of the sliced-wasserstein distance using concentration of random projections. <i>Advances in</i> <i>Neural Information Processing Systems</i> , 34:12411–12424, 2021. 3, 10, 19
618 619	Khai Nguyen and Nhat Ho. Sliced wasserstein estimation with control variates. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.00402</i> , 2023. 2
620 621 622	Khai Nguyen and Nhat Ho. Energy-based sliced wasserstein distance. <i>Advances in Neural Information</i> <i>Processing Systems</i> , 36, 2024. 2, 4, 5, 9
623 624	Khai Nguyen, Nhat Ho, Tung Pham, and Hung Bui. Distributional sliced-wasserstein and applications to generative modeling. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.07367</i> , 2020. 2, 4
625 626 627	Khai Nguyen, Nicola Bariletto, and Nhat Ho. Quasi-monte carlo for 3d sliced wasserstein. <i>arXiv</i> preprint arXiv:2309.11713, 2023. 2
628 629	Khai Nguyen, Tongzheng Ren, and Nhat Ho. Markovian sliced wasserstein distances: Beyond independent projections. <i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems</i> , 36, 2024a. 2, 4, 5, 9
630 631 632	Khai Nguyen, Shujian Zhang, Tam Le, and Nhat Ho. Sliced wasserstein with random-path projecting directions. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.15889</i> , 2024b. 2, 4, 5, 9
633 634 635	François-Pierre Paty and Marco Cuturi. Subspace robust wasserstein distances. In <i>International conference on machine learning</i> , pp. 5072–5081. PMLR, 2019. 3
636 637	Gabriel Peyré, Marco Cuturi, et al. Computational optimal transport: With applications to data science. <i>Foundations and Trends</i> ® <i>in Machine Learning</i> , 11(5-6):355–607, 2019. 1, 29
638 639 640	Stanislav Pidhorskyi, Donald A Adjeroh, and Gianfranco Doretto. Adversarial latent autoencoders. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition</i> , pp. 14104–14113, 2020. 10
642 643	Phillip Pope, Chen Zhu, Ahmed Abdelkader, Micah Goldblum, and Tom Goldstein. The intrinsic dimension of images and its impact on learning. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.08894</i> , 2021. 4
644 645 646	Michael Quellmalz, Robert Beinert, and Gabriele Steidl. Sliced optimal transport on the sphere. <i>Inverse Problems</i> , 39(10):105005, 2023. 2

⁶⁴⁷ Julien Rabin, Julie Delon, and Yann Gousseau. Transportation distances on the circle. *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision*, 41(1):147–167, 2011. 2

648 649 650 651	Julien Rabin, Gabriel Peyré, Julie Delon, and Marc Bernot. Wasserstein barycenter and its application to texture mixing. In <i>Scale Space and Variational Methods in Computer Vision: Third International</i> <i>Conference, SSVM 2011, Ein-Gedi, Israel, May 29–June 2, 2011, Revised Selected Papers 3</i> , pp. 435–446. Springer, 2012. 1
652 653 654	Galen Reeves. Conditional central limit theorems for gaussian projections. In 2017 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT), pp. 3045–3049. IEEE, 2017. 3
655 656 657	Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High- resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF confer-</i> <i>ence on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 10684–10695, 2022. 10
658 659 660 661	Vladimir Nikolaevich Sudakov. Typical distributions of linear functionals in finite-dimensional spaces of higher dimension. In <i>Doklady Akademii Nauk</i> , volume 243, pp. 1402–1405. Russian Academy of Sciences, 1978. 3
662 663 664	Huy Tran, Yikun Bai, Abihith Kothapalli, Ashkan Shahbazi, Xinran Liu, Rocio P Diaz Martin, and Soheil Kolouri. Stereographic spherical sliced wasserstein distances. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i> , 2024. 2
665	Cédric Villani et al. Optimal transport: old and new, volume 338. Springer, 2009. 1
666 667 668	Jiqing Wu, Zhiwu Huang, Dinesh Acharya, Wen Li, Janine Thoma, Danda Pani Paudel, and Luc Van Gool. Sliced wasserstein generative models. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on</i>
669	<i>Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition</i> , pp. 3713–3722, 2019. 9
670	
671	
672	
673	
675	
676	
677	
678	
679	
680	
681	
682	
683	
684	
685	
686	
687	
688	
689	
690	
691	
692	
693	
694	
695	
696	
600	
600	
700	
701	

702 **PROOFS AND ADDITIONAL THEORETICAL RESULTS** А 703 704 A.1 NOTATION 705 • \mathbb{R}^d : d-dimensional Euclidean space, where d is a positive integer. 706 • $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : ||x|| = 1\}$: unit sphere defined in \mathbb{R}^d . 708 • $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$: set of all probability measures defined on \mathbb{R}^d . 709 • $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$: set of probability measures whose *p*-th moment is finite, where $p \ge 1$. 710 • $\mathbb{V}_{k,d}$: set of all $d \times k$ orthogonal matrices, i.e. 711 712 $\mathbb{V}_{k,d} := \{ U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k} : U^\top U = I_k \}.$ 713 Note, $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} = \mathbb{V}_{1,d}$. 714 715 • $U = [U[:,1], U[:,2], \dots U[:,k]] \in \mathbb{V}_{k,d}$: an orthogonal matrix. For each $i \in [1:k]$, 716 $U[:, i] \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the *i*-th column of U. 717 Note that U induces a linear function from \mathbb{R}^d to \mathbb{R}^k , i.e. $x \mapsto U^{\top} x$. With abuse of notation, 718 we do not distinguish the matrix U and the corresponding linear mapping. 719 • Span(U): The linear subspace spanned by U, i.e. 720 $Span(U) := Span(\{U[:,1], U[:,2], \dots U[:,k]\}) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i U[:,i] : \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$ 721 722 723 724 • $V_k \subset \mathbb{R}^d$: a k-dimensional subspace, where k is a positive integer with $k \leq d$. Note, by 725 classical linear algebra theory, we have 726 $V_k = \operatorname{Span}(U)$ 727 for some $U \in \mathbb{V}_{d,k}$. Note, given V_k , U is not uniquely determined. 728 729 • V_k^{\perp} : perpendicular complement of V_k , which is a subspace of dimension d - k. 730 • $\mu^d, \mu, \nu^d, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$: probability measures in *d*-dimensional space. 731 • \mathcal{L}^d : Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^d . 732 733 • $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$: set of all continuous functions defined on \mathbb{R}^d which vanish at infinity. 734 • $f_{\mu} = \frac{d\mu^d}{dr^d}$: density of μ , that is, for all test functions $\phi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$: 735 736 $\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \phi(x) d\mu^d(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f_\mu(x) \phi(x) dx.$ 738 • $X \sim \mu$: A random variable/vector X following distribution μ . We say X is a **realization** of 739 740 • $\mathbb{E}[X] := \mathbb{E}[\mu]$, where $X \sim \mu$: expected value of X, i.e. 741 742 $\mathbb{E}_{\mu}[X] = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} x d\mu(x).$ 743 744 745 • $m_k(\mu)$: k-th moment of measure μ . That is, given realization $X \sim \mu, m_k(\mu)$ is defined by 746 $m_k(\mu) := \mathbb{E}[X^k]$ 747 748 • $Var(X) := \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}(X))^{\top}(X - \mathbb{E}(X))]$: the covariance matrix of X (or the measure μ). 749 • $T_{\#}\mu$, where $T: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is a function: push-forward measure μ under mapping T. That 750 is, for all Borel sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we have 751 $T_{\#}\mu(A) = \mu(T^{-1}(A)).$ 752 Equivalently speaking, suppose $X \sim \mu$ is a realization of μ , then $T(X) \sim T_{\#}\mu$. 754 • $\mathcal{N}(e, \Sigma)$: Gaussian distribution, where $e \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the expected value, $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is the covariance matrix.

756 • 0_d : $d \times 1$ vector where each entry is 0. Similarly, we define 1_d . • $I_d: d \times d$ identity matrix. 758 • $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$: Uniform distribution defined on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} . 759 • $\theta^d \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^d)$: a *d*-dimensional random vector. We say θ^d is a **realization** of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^d)$. 760 761 • $\theta, \theta^d, \theta^g$: a *d*-dimensional vector. 762 • θ^k : a k-dimensional vector. 763 • $P_{V_k} := P_U$, where $V_k = \text{Span}(U)$: the projection mapping from \mathbb{R}^d into subspace V_k , i.e. 764 765 $P_{V_L}(x) := P_U(x) = UU^{\top}x, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 766 Note, in this case: the mapping $U: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^k$ with $x \mapsto U^{\top} x$ is the corresponding 767 parameterization function of projection P_U . 769 • $\Gamma(\mu, \nu)$: set of joint measures whose marginals are μ, ν respectively: 770 $\Gamma(\mu,\nu) := \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{P}((\mathbb{R}^d)^2) : (\pi_1)_{\#} \gamma = \mu, (\pi_2)_{\#} \gamma = \nu \},\$ 771 772 where $\pi_1: (x, y) \mapsto x, \pi_2: (x, y) \mapsto y$ are canonical projection mappings. 773 • $W_p^p(\mu, \nu)$: Wasserstein problem between μ and ν : 774 775 $W_p^p(\mu,\nu) := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\mu,\nu)} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \|x - y\|^p d\gamma(x,y)$ 776 777 778 • $SW(\mu,\nu;\sigma)$, where $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$: Sliced Wasserstein problem between μ and ν with 779 respect to reference measure σ : 780 $SW_p^p(\mu,\nu;\sigma) := \int_{\sigma_{d-1}} W_p^p(\theta_{\#}\mu,\theta_{\#}\nu) d\sigma(\theta)$ 781 782 783 • $\phi_U : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}_+$: ES-informative aligned mapping. A measurable mapping which 784 describes the information of the projected θ on the space spanned by U. 785 • $SW(\mu, \nu; \sigma, \rho)$: rescaled sliced Wasserstein distance: 786 787 $\widetilde{SW}(\mu,\nu;\sigma,\rho) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r(\phi_U(\theta)) W_p^p(\theta_{\#}\mu,\theta_{\#}\nu) d\sigma(\theta)$ 788 789 where $\rho : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a recalling function. In this paper, we set ρ as the following 791 decreasing function: 792 $\rho(x) = \frac{1}{x^p}$ 793 794 When x = 0, we adopt the convention $\rho(x) = 0$. 796 **Remark A.1.** In this paper, we adopt the following convention. 797 We do not distinguish the scalar/vector/matrix and the corresponding induced linear mapping. For 798 *example,* $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *, induces the mapping* 799 $\mathbb{R}^d \ni x \mapsto \theta^\top x \in \mathbb{R}.$ 800 801 • When θ is a random vector, we refer to it as a "random projection mapping" in both the 802 main text and the appendix. We adopt the same convention for the scalar notation α and the *matrix notation U.* 804 805 • We use $\theta_{\#}\mu$ to denote the push-forward measure induced by mapping $x \mapsto \theta^{\top} x$. Similarly, $(\theta \times \theta)_{\#} \gamma$ denotes the push-forward measure of joint measure $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}((\mathbb{R}^d)^2)$ induced by mapping $(x, x') \mapsto (\theta^{\top} x, \theta^{\top} x')$. The same convention is adopted for α, U . 808

Remark A.2. For simplicity, in notation $SW(\mu, \nu; \sigma)$, we may relax the restriction that σ is a probability measure. We allow σ to be a finite positive measure in the main text and appendix.

810 A.2 WASSERSTEIN DISTANCES IN \mathbb{R}^d AND \mathbb{R}^k

In this article, we assume the probability measures $\mu^d, \nu^d \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are supported in a lower dimensional subspace. We refer to Assumption 4.1 for details.

Let P_U denote the projection mapping from \mathbb{R}^d to V_k :

$$P_U(x) = UU^{\top}x, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$
(23)

Then, the corresponding lower-dimensional parameterization mapping is defined as:

$$x \mapsto U^{\top} x, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
(24)

By classical linear algebra theory, it is straightforward to verify the following:

Proposition A.3. [Basic properties of linear projection] Let P_U , U be defined above, then we have:

- (1) For each $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$, θ can be uniquely decomposed into V_k, V_k^{\perp} , i.e. $\theta = \theta_{V_k} + \theta_{V_k^{\perp}}$, where $\theta_{V_k} = P_U(\theta) \in V_k, \theta_{V_k^{\perp}} \in V_k^{\perp}$.
- (2) For all $x \in V_k$, $P_U(x) = x$.
- (3) If we restrict U to space V_k , denoted as $U \mid_{V_k}$, then $U \mid_{V_k} : V^k \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is a bijection. The inverse is given by $(U)^{-1}(u) Uu \;\forall u \in \mathbb{R}^k$

$$(U) \quad (y) = Uy, \forall y \in$$

In addition,
$$||U(x)|| = ||x||, \forall x \in V_k$$
.

Proof. It follows directly from the definitions of P_U, U .

Let $\mu^k = (U)_{\#}\mu^d$, $\nu^k = (U)_{\#}\nu^d$, the above proposition directly induces the following relation between the Wasserstein distance between μ^d , ν^d and the Wasserstein distance between μ^k , ν^k . **Proposition A.4.** Under assumption 4.1, we have the following:

(1) μ^d can be recovered by the inverse of $U|_{V_k}$, i.e.

$$\mu^d = U_{\#}^{\top} \mu^k.$$

(2) The mapping

$$\Gamma(\mu^d, \nu^d) \ni \gamma^d \mapsto \gamma^k := (U \times U)_{\#} \gamma^d \in \Gamma(\mu^k, \nu^k), \tag{25}$$

is a well-defined bijection, where $U \times U$ is defined as

$$\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \ni (x, x') \mapsto U \times U((x, x')) = (U(x), U(x')) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^k.$$
(26)

(3) The Wasserstein distance is preserved via the lower-dimensional parameterization:

$$W_p^p(\mu^d, \nu^d) = W_p^p((P_U)_{\#}\mu^d, (P_U)_{\#}\nu^d) = W_p^p(\mu^k, \nu^k)$$
(27)

Proof. Let $X \sim \mu^d$ be a realization.

(1) We have $U^{\top}X \sim \mu^k$ since $\mu^k = (U)_{\#}\mu^d$. In addition, by assumption (4.1), we have $X = UU^{\top}X$, thus $UU^{\top}X \sim \mu^d$. That is $U_{\#}^{\top}\mu^k = \mu^d$.

(2) Pick $\gamma^d \in \Gamma(\mu^d, \nu^d)$, we have

$$\pi_1)_{\#}(U \times U)_{\#}\gamma^d = (U)_{\#}((\pi_1)_{\#}\gamma^d) = (U)_{\#}\mu^d = \mu^d$$

Similarly, $(\pi_2)_{\#}(U \times U)_{\#}\gamma^d = \nu^k$. Thus the mapping defined in (25) is well-defined. Moreover, from statement (1), we have

$$\Gamma(\mu^k, \nu^k) \ni \gamma^k \mapsto (U^\top \times U^\top)_{\#} \gamma^k \in \Gamma(\mu^d, \nu^d)$$
(28)

868

870

871

872

873

874 875

877

878

879

883

885

889 890

891 892

893

894 895 896

897

899 900

901 902

903

904 905

907

910

911

917

is well-defined. Next, we will show the above mapping is the inverse of (25). Let $(X, Y) \sim \gamma^d$ be a realization. Then $(X,Y) = (UU^{\top}X, UU^{\top}Y) \sim (U^{\top} \times U^{\top})_{\#} (U \times U)_{\#} \gamma.$ Thus $(U^{\top} \times U^{\top})_{\#} (U \times U)_{\#} \gamma = \gamma$. Thus, the mapping (28) is inverse of the mapping (25). Thus, (25) is invertible/bijection. (3) By Proposition A.3 (2), for each $x \in \text{supp}(\mu) \subset V_k$, we have $P_U(x) = x$, thus $(P_U)_{\#} \mu^d =$ μ^d . Similarly, $(P_U)_{\#}\nu^d = \nu^d$. Thus we obtain the first equality: $W_n^p(\mu^d, \nu^d) = W_n^p((P_U)_{\#}\mu^d, (P_U)_{\#}\nu^d).$ For the second equality, we first pick $\gamma^d \in \Gamma(\mu^d, \nu^d)$ and let $\gamma^k = (U \times U)_{\#} \gamma^d$. By statement (2), we have $\gamma^k \in \Gamma(\mu^k, \nu^k)$. $\int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \|x - y\|^p d\gamma^d(x, y)$ $= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \|U(x) - U(y)\|^p d\gamma^d(x,y)$ $= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^k)^2} \|x' - y'\|^p d(U^{\top} \times U^{\top})_{\#} \gamma^d(x', y')$ $= \int_{(\mathbb{D}^k)^2} \|x' - y'\|^p d\gamma^k(x', y')$ where the first equality follows from Proposition A.3 (3), the second equality follows from the definition of push-forward measure, the third equality holds from statement (2). Combining the above equality with statement (2), we obtain $W_p^p(\mu^d, \nu^d) = \inf_{\gamma^d \in \Gamma(\mu^d, \nu^d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|x - y\|^p d\gamma^d(x, y)$ $= \inf_{\gamma^k \in \Gamma(\mu^k, \nu^k)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \|x' - y'\|^p d\gamma^k(x', y')$ $= W_n^p(\mu^k, \nu^k)$ A.3 BACKGROUND: RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE GAUSSIAN AND SPHERICAL UNIFORM DISTRIBUTION

In this section, we introduce basic properties of multivariate Gaussian and the relation between 906 Gaussian and spherical uniform distribution.

First we consider 1D space \mathbb{R} , choose $e \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma > 0$, the Gaussian distribution, denoted as 908 $\mathcal{N}(e, \sigma^2)$, is the probability measure whose density is defined by 909

$$f(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(x-e)^2}{2\sigma^2}},$$

912 where e, σ^2 are the expected value and variance of X respectively. 913

914 When $e = 0, \sigma^2 = 1$, the induced measure is called standard (1D) Gaussian distribution, whose 915 density is given by 916

$$f(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \tag{29}$$

In space \mathbb{R}^d , the above density function can be generalized as:

$$f(x) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{\|x\|^2}{2}}$$
(30)

and the induced distribution is called *d*-dimensional Standard Gaussian distribution.

Given $e \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and positive definite $d \times d$ matrix, $\Sigma = AA^T$ where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$, the Gaussian distribution is denoted as $\mathcal{N}(e, \Sigma)$, can be defined by the following well-known proposition:

Proposition A.5 (Definition of Gaussian distribution). Let $X \sim \mathcal{N}(e, \Sigma)$ be a realization, then the following are equivalent:

- $\mathcal{N}(e, \Sigma)$ is Gaussian distribution, with expected value e and covariance matrix Σ .
- X = AG + e, where $G \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$, whose density is defined by (30).
- $\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\theta^\top X$ is a 1D Gaussian variable:

$$\theta^{\top} X \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta^{\top} e, (\theta^{\top} A)^{\top} (\theta^{\top} A)).$$

From the proposition, it is straightforward to verify the following:

Proposition A.6 (Basic properties of Gaussian distribution). Suppose $X \sim \mathcal{N}(e, \Sigma)$, then we have:

(1) If $rank(\Sigma) = d$, then $\mathcal{N}(e, \Sigma)$ admits the density function:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} \det(\Sigma)^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{(x-e)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-e)}{2}}$$

(2) Choose $B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k$, and let $T_{B,e,\beta}(x) := B(x-e) + \beta$, then we have

$$B(X-e) + \beta \sim (T_{B,e,\beta})_{\#} \mathcal{N}(e,\Sigma) = \mathcal{N}(\beta, B^{\top} \Sigma B).$$

(3) Suppose $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$, then the absolute p-th power of Z is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[\|Z\|^p] = 2^{p/2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p+d}{2})}{\Gamma(d/2)}.$$

 (4) Suppose $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$, then $r = ||Z||, \theta = \frac{Z}{||Z||}$ are independent.

At the end of this section, we introduce the following relation between the Gaussian distribution and the spherical uniform distribution.

Proposition A.7. We define the following function f with

$$\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \ni x \mapsto f(x) = \frac{x}{\|x\|}.$$

Suppose $\Sigma = AA^{\top}$ is a full rank positive-semi-definite matrix, then we have

$$f_{\#}\mathcal{N}(0_d, \Sigma) = \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}).$$

Proof. Let $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0_d, \Sigma)$ be a realization of the *d*-dimensional Gaussian, $\Theta = f(X) = \frac{X}{\|X\|}$. Note that Θ is well defined $\mathcal{N}(0_d, \Sigma)$ -a.s.

Step 1. Suppose $\Sigma = I_d$, it is equivalent to the following:

 $\mathbb{E}[\phi(\Theta)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(\frac{x}{\|x\|}) f_X(x) dx$

972 973 Suppose $X_1, \ldots X_d \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ and $\Theta = [\frac{X_1}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d X_i^2}}, \ldots, \frac{X_d}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d X_i^2}}]^T$, then $\Theta \sim \text{Unif}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$. It 974 is a standard result in probability theory. In particular, choose test function $\phi \in C_0(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, we have:

975 976 977

978 979

980 981 982

983 984

985 986

987 988

993

994

995 996

997 998 999 Thus, $\Theta \sim \text{Unif}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$.

Step 2. Suppose $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d)$ where $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d > 0$, we have

 $=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}\phi(\theta)d\theta\cdot\underbrace{\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}e^{-r^2/2}r^{d-1}dr}_{;\,||\mathbb{S}^{d-1}||}$

 $= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) e^{-\frac{\|x\|^2}{2}} dx$

$$\Theta = \frac{X}{\|X\|} = \frac{\Sigma^{-1/2}X}{\|\Sigma^{-1/2}X\|},$$

 $= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \phi(\theta) e^{-r^2/2} r^{d-1} d\theta dr \qquad r, \theta \text{ are spherical coordinates}$

where $\Sigma^{-1/2} X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$. Thus, by step 1, we have $\Theta \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$.

Step 3. We consider the general positive definite Σ . We have $\Sigma = U\Lambda U^{\top}$ where $U \in \mathbb{V}_{d,d}$ is orthonormal matrix.

We have

$$U^{\top}\Theta = \frac{U^{\top}X}{\|X\|} = \frac{U^{\top}X}{\|U^{\top}X\|}$$

Since $U^{\top}X \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\Lambda)$ and Λ is a positive diagonal matrix, then from step 2, we have $U^{\top}\Theta \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$. U(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}). Thus, $\Theta = U(U^{\top}\Theta) \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$.

1003

Remark A.8. Note that the above statement (especially the statement in Step 1) is a well-known result, and that is why isotropic Gaussian distribution is called a "rotationally invariant distribution."
We do not claim this proposition or its proof as contributions of this article; we present the proof merely for completeness.

1008

1013

1018 1019

1020

1025

1009 A.4 Relationship between the SWD in \mathbb{R}^d and \mathbb{R}^k 1010

1011 In this section, we discuss the proof of the proposition 4.9. We first introduce some intermediate 1012 results in the following subsection.

1014 A.4.1 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN
$$SW_n^p(\mu^d, \nu^d; \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}))$$
 and $SW_n^p(\mu^d, \nu^d; \mathcal{N}(0, I_d))$

1015 The main result in this section is the following proposition

Proposition A.9. Choose $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$2^{p/2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p+d}{2})}{\Gamma(d/2)} SW_p^p(\mu,\nu;\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{S}^{d-1})) = SW_p^p(\mu,\nu;\mathcal{N}(0,I_d))$$
(31)

Remark A.10. If we replace $\mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$ by $\mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{d}I_d)$, the corresponding conclusion has been proved by (Nadjahi et al., 2021, Proposition 1). Thus, we do not claim the above statement and related proof as part of the contribution in this paper. We present this statement and the related proof for the readers' convenience.

To prove the above statement, first it is straight forward to verify the following:

Lemma A.11. Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, with abuse of notations, we let $\alpha_{\#}\mu$ denote the pushforward measure of μ under mapping $x \mapsto \alpha x$, then we have

$$|\alpha|^p W^p_p(\mu,\nu) = W^p_p(\alpha_{\#}\mu,\alpha_{\#}\nu) \tag{32}$$

Proof. If $\alpha = 0$, then both sides are zero, and we've done.

1032 If $\alpha \neq 0$, it is straightforward to verify the following is a well-defined bijection:

$$\Gamma(\mu,\nu) \ni \gamma \mapsto (\alpha \times \alpha)_{\#} \gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha_{\#}\mu, \alpha_{\#}\nu)$$
(33)

1035 where $(\alpha \times \alpha)$ denotes the mapping

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \ni (x, x') \mapsto (\alpha x, \alpha x') \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

1037 Pick $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mu, \nu)$, we have

$$|\alpha|^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x-y|^p d\gamma(x,y)$$

$$|\alpha|^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x-y|^p d\gamma(x,y)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\alpha x - \alpha y|^p d\gamma$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x-y|^p d(\alpha \times \alpha)_{\#} \gamma(x,y)$$

$$|\alpha|^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x-y|^p d(\alpha \times \alpha)_{\#} \gamma(x,y)$$

Take the infimum for both sides over $\Gamma(\mu, \nu)$, combine it with the fact that (33) is a bijection. We obtain (32).

Now we introduce the proof of Proposition (A.9).

1050 1051 *Proof.* Suppose $\theta^g \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$ and let $\theta = \frac{\theta^g}{\|\theta^g\|}$, we have $\theta \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ by Proposition A.7. Then 1052 we have:

$$\begin{split} SW_p^p(\mu,\nu;\mathcal{N}(0,I_d)) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\theta^g \sim \mathcal{N}(0,I_d)}[W_p^p(\theta_{\#}^g\mu,\theta_{\#}^g\nu)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\theta^g \sim \mathcal{N}(0,I_d)}[\|\theta^g\|^p W_p^p(\theta_{\#}\mu,\theta_{\#}\nu)] \qquad \text{by Lemma A.11} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\theta^g \sim \mathcal{N}(0,I_d)}[\|\theta^g\|^p] \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}[W_p^p(\theta_{\#}\mu,\theta_{\#}\nu)] \qquad \text{by Proposition A.6 (4)} \\ &= 2^{p/2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p+d}{2})}{\Gamma(d/2)} \cdot SW_p^p(\mu,\nu;\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})) \qquad \text{by Proposition A.6 (3).} \end{split}$$

1060 1061 1062

1068 1069

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057 1058

1059

1029

1033

1034

1036

1063 A.5 PROOF OF PROPOSITION A.4

1064 1065 We adapt notations V_k, U in previous subsection.

Lemma A.12. Suppose μ^d , ν^d satisfy assumption 4.1, pick $\theta^d \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and let $\hat{\theta}^k = U^{\top} \theta^d$ then we have:

$$\theta_{\#}\mu^{d} = \hat{\theta}_{\#}^{k}\mu^{k}, \theta_{\#}\nu^{d} = \hat{\theta}_{\#}^{k}\nu^{k}$$

1070 Proof. For each $x \in \text{Span}(U) = V_k$, we have

1071
1072
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076

$$\theta^{\top}x = P_U(\theta)^{\top}x + (\theta - P_U(\theta))^{\top}x$$

 $= P_U(\theta)^{\top}x + 0$ Since $\theta - P_U(\theta) \in V_k^{\perp}$
 $Since \theta - P_U(\theta) \in V_k^{\perp}$
 $= (UU^{\top}\theta)^{\top}x$
 $= (U^{\top}\theta)^{\top}(U^{\top}x)$

1077 Thus,

1078
1079
Similarly, we have
$$\theta^d u^d = \hat{\theta}^k u^k$$
 and we complete the proof

Similarly, we have $\theta_{\#}^d \nu^d = \theta_{\#}^k \nu^k$ and we complete the proof.

1080 **Lemma A.13.** Suppose $\theta_1^d, \ldots, \theta_L^d \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ and let $\theta_l^k = \frac{U^\top \theta}{\|U^\top \theta\|}, \forall l \in [1 : L]$, then 1081 $\theta_1^k, \ldots \theta_L^k \overset{i.i.d}{\sim} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{k-1}).$ 1082 1083 *Proof.* First, since k < d, we have 1084 $\mathcal{U}(\theta^d \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} : U^\top \theta^d = 0_k) = 0.$ 1085 Thus, with probability 1, θ_l^k is well-defined. 1087 By Proposition A.7, with probability 1, we can redefine $\theta_1^d, \ldots, \theta_N^d$ by the following way: 1088 1089 Suppose $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, I_d), \theta_l^d = \frac{X_l}{\|X_l\|}$ 1090 1091 Then $\theta_l^k = \frac{U^{\top} \theta_l^d}{\|U^{\top} \theta_l^d\|} = \frac{U^{\top} X_l / \|X_l\|}{\|U^{\top} X_l / \|X_l\|\|} = \frac{U^{\top} X_l}{\|U^{\top} X_l\|}$ 1092 1093 1094 Since $U^{\top}X_l \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_k)$, we have $\theta_l^k \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{k-1})$ 1095 Furthermore, since $X_1, \ldots X_N$ are independent, we have $\theta_1^k, \ldots \theta_N^k$ are independent. Thus, 1096 $\theta_1^1, \ldots \theta_N^k \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{k-1}).$ 1097 Now we discuss the proof of Proposition 4.7. 1099 1100 *Proof of Proposition*. Pick $\theta^d \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. 1101 1102 We have 1103 $W(\theta^d_{\#}\mu^d, \theta^d_{\#}\nu^d) = W((U^{\top}\theta)_{\#}\mu^k, (U^{\top}\theta)_{\#}\nu^k)$ By lemma A.12 1104 $= \|U^{\top}\theta^d\|^p W(\theta^k_{\#}\mu^k, \theta^k_{\#}\nu^k)$ By lemma A.11 1105 Thus we prove Equation (13). 1106 1107 Now, we pick $\theta_1^d, \ldots, \theta_N^d \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, and thus we have: 1108 $SW_p^p(\mu^k, \nu^k; \frac{1}{L}\sum_{l=1}^L \delta_{\theta_l^k})$ 1109 1110 1111 $=\frac{1}{L}\sum_{l=1}^{L}W_p^p((\theta_l^k)_{\#}\mu^k,(\theta_l^k)_{\#}\nu^k)$ 1112 $\theta_l^k = 0_k \text{ if } \|U^\top \theta_l^d\| = 0$ 1113 1114 $=\frac{1}{L}\sum_{l=1}^{L}\frac{1}{\|U^{\top}\theta_{l}^{d}\|^{p}}W_{p}^{p}((U^{\top}\theta_{l}^{d})_{\#}\mu^{k},(U^{\top}\theta_{l}^{d})_{\#}\nu^{k}) \qquad \text{By convention } 0\cdot\frac{1}{0}=0$ 1115 1116 1117 $= \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\|U^{\top} \theta_{i}^{d}\|^{p}} W_{p}^{p}((\theta_{l}^{d})_{\#} \mu^{d}, (\theta_{l}^{d})_{\#} \nu^{d})$ 1118 by equation (13)1119 1120 $=\widetilde{SW}_{p}^{p}\left(\mu^{d},\nu^{d};\frac{1}{N}\sum_{l=1}^{N}\delta_{\theta_{l}^{d}},\rho\right)$ 1121 1122 1123 And we prove (14). 1124 Similarly, we obtain the last equation, 1125 1126 $\widetilde{SW}_p^p(\mu^d,\nu^d;\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}),h) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta^d \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} \left[\frac{1}{\|U^\top \theta^d\|_p} W_p^p((\theta^d)_{\#} \mu^d,(\theta^d)_{\#} \nu^d) \right]$ 1127 1128 $= \mathbb{E}_{\theta^{d} \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} \left[W_{p}^{p}((\theta^{k})_{\#} \mu^{k}, (\theta^{k})_{\#} \nu^{k}) \right]$ 1129 By equation (13) 1130 $= \mathbb{E}_{ab} \cup (ab) [W^p((\theta^k) | \mu^k | (\theta^k) | \mu^k)]$ By lemma A.13

1131
$$= \mathbb{E}_{\theta^k} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{k-1}) [W_p^{\mathbb{F}}((\theta^*) \# \mu^*, (\theta^*) \# \mathcal{V}^*)]$$

1132 $= SW_k^k(\mu^k, \nu^k)$

1134 A.5.1 PROOF OF THEOREM 4.9 1135 In this section, we first discuss the relation between $SW_p^p(\mu^d, \nu^d; \mathcal{N}(0, I_d))$ and 1136 $SW_p^p(\mu^k, \nu^k; \mathcal{N}(0, I_k))$ under assumption 4.1. Next, we present the proof of Proposition 1137 4.9. 1138 1139 Based on the above lemma, we can derive the following relation between $SW_p^p(\mu^d, \nu^d; \mathcal{N}(0, I_d))$ 1140 and $SW_p^p(\mu^k, \nu^k; \mathcal{N}(0, I_k))$. 1141 Lemma A.14. Under assumption 4.1, we have 1142 $SW^p_p(\mu^d, \nu^d; \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)) = SW^p_n(\mu^k, \nu^k; \mathcal{N}(0, I_k))$ 1143 (34)1144 1145 *Proof.* Suppose $\theta^d \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$ and let $\theta^k = U^{\top} \theta^d$. Then by proposition A.6 (1), we have $\theta^k \sim \theta^k$ 1146 $\mathcal{N}(0, U^{\top}I_dU) = \mathcal{N}(0, I_k)$. Therefore, 1147 $SW^p_n(\mu^d, \nu^d; \mathcal{N}(0, I_d))$ 1148 $= \mathbb{E}_{\theta^d \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)} [W_n^p(\theta^d_{\#} \mu^d, \theta^d_{\#} \nu^d)]$ 1149 1150 By lemma A.12, where $\theta^k = U^{\top} \theta^d$ $= \mathbb{E}_{\theta^d \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)} [W_p^p(\theta_{\#}^k \mu^k, \theta_{\#}^k \nu^k)]$ 1151 1152 $= \mathbb{E}_{\theta^k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_k)} [W_p^p(\theta_{\#}^k \mu^k, \theta_{\#}^k \nu^k)]$ 1153 $= SW_n^p(\mu^k, \nu^k; \mathcal{N}(0, I_k))$ 1154 1155 and we complete the proof. 1156 1157 Combine the above lemma and proposition A.9, we can prove the Theorem 4.91158 1159 *Proof of Theorem* 4.9. For the first equality, we have 1160 $SW^p_p(\mu^d, \nu^d; \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}))$ 1161 1162 $= \frac{1}{C_d} SW_p^p(\mu^d, \nu^d; \mathcal{N}(0_d, I_d))$ By proposition A.9 (35)1163 1164 $=\frac{1}{C_{\star}}SW_{p}^{p}(\mu^{k},\nu^{k};\mathcal{N}(0_{k},I_{k}))$ By lemma A.14 1165 1166 $= \frac{C_k}{C_d} SW_p^p(\mu^k, \nu^k; \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{k-1}))$ By proposition A.9 1167 (36)1168 where $C_d = \frac{\Gamma(p/2+d/2)}{\Gamma(d/2)}$ and C_k is defined similarly. 1169 1170 1171 1172 A.6 PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4.10 1173 1174 We first introduce the following lemma: 1175 **Lemma A.15.** Let $I_{d \times k}$ denote the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} I_{k \times k} \\ 0_{(d-k) \times k} \end{bmatrix}$, and suppose $\theta^d \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, then $\|U^{\top} \theta^d\|$, 1176 1177 $\|I_{d\times k}^{\top}\theta^d\|$ have same distribution. 1178 1179 *Proof.* We write SVD decomposition of U, since U is orthonormal matrix, we have $U = V_1 I_{d \times k} V_2$ 1180 where $V_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, V_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times \hat{k}}$ are orthogonormal matrix. 1181 1182 Then we have 1183 $\|\boldsymbol{U}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{d}\| = \|\boldsymbol{V}_{2}^{\top}\boldsymbol{I}_{d\times k}^{\top}\boldsymbol{V}_{1}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{d}\| = \|\boldsymbol{I}_{d\times k}^{\top}\boldsymbol{V}_{1}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{d}\|$ 1184 1185 Since $\theta^d \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, then $V_1^{\top} \theta^d \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$. 1186 Thus, $I_{d \times k}^{\top} \theta^d$, $I_{d \times k}^{\top} V_1^{\top} \theta^d$ have same distribution. Thus $\|I_{d \times k}^{\top} \theta^d\|$, $\|I_{d \times k}^{\top} V_1^{\top} \theta^d\| = \|U^{\top} \theta^d\|$ have 1187 same distribution.

Based on this, we can prove the statment (1) in proposition 4.10.

Proof of Proposition 4.10 (1). By the above lemma, it is sufficient to consider $U = I_{d \times k}$. Let $\theta^{d,g} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$, and let $\theta^{d,g}[i], i \in [1 : d]$ denote each component of $\theta^{d,g}$. Thus

1193 $\theta^{d,g}[1], \dots \theta^{d,g}[d] \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0,1)$. We can redefine θ^d as $\theta^d = \frac{\theta^{d,g}}{\|\theta^{d,g}\|}$, thus, 1194

$$\|\boldsymbol{U}^\top\boldsymbol{\theta}^d\|^2 = \frac{\|\boldsymbol{U}^\top\boldsymbol{\theta}^{d,g}\|^2}{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{d,g}\|^2}$$

1195

1198

1201 1202 1203

1206

1207

1200 Thus, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|U^{\top}\theta^{d}\|^{p}] = \mathbb{E}[(\|U^{\top}\theta^{d}\|^{2})^{p/2}] = \frac{\Gamma(k/2 + p/2)\Gamma(d/2)}{\Gamma(k/2)\Gamma(d/2 + p/2)} = \frac{C_{k}}{C_{d}}$$

 $= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \theta^{d,g}[i]^2}{\sum_{i=1}^d \theta^{d,g}[i]^2} \sim \operatorname{Beta}(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{d-k}{2})$

Note, $||U^{\top}\theta_1^d||, \dots ||U^{\top}\theta_L^d||$ are i.i.d. random variables, thus, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{ESSF(L)}] = \frac{1}{L} \mathbb{E}[\sum_{l=1}^{L} \|U^{\top} \theta_{l}^{d}\|^{p}] = \frac{C_{k}}{C_{d}}$$

1208 Similarly,

$$\operatorname{Var}[\widehat{ESSF(L)}] = \frac{1}{L} \operatorname{Var}[\|U^{\top} \theta_l^d\|^p]$$

where Var[$||U^{\top}\theta_l^d||^p$] > 0, is the variance of the p/2-th power of a Beta(k/2, (d-k)/2) variable, which is a constant only depends on (d, k, p).

1215 1216

1220 1221 1222

Proof of Proposition 4.10(2). For each θ , we have $W_p^p(\theta_{\#}\mu^d, \theta_{\#}\nu^d) = \|U^{\top}\theta^d\|^p W_p^p(\theta_{\#}\mu^k, \theta_{\#}\nu^k)$. Thus

$$\epsilon_L = \frac{1}{L} \Big| \sum_{l=1}^{L} (1 - \sum_{l'=1}^{L} \frac{\|U^{\top} \theta_{l'}\|^p}{\|U^{\top} \theta_l\|^p}) \underbrace{W_p^p((\theta_l)_{\#} \mu^d, (\theta_l)_{\#} \nu^d)}_{A(\theta_l)} \Big|$$

1223 where $A(\theta_l)$ is a function from \mathbb{S}^{d-1} to \mathbb{R} is a function.

Furthermore, from assumption 4.1, we have $A(\theta_l) = A(UU^{\top}\theta_l)$, thus,

$$|A(\theta_{l})| = |A(UU^{\top}\theta_{l})|$$

$$= |W_{p}^{p}((UU^{\top}\theta_{l})_{\#}\mu, (UU^{\top}\theta_{l})_{\#}\nu)|$$

$$\leq \max_{x \in \operatorname{supp}(\mu), y \in \operatorname{supp}(\nu)} ||UU^{\top}\theta_{l}x - UU^{\top}\theta_{l}y||^{p}$$

$$\leq \max_{\substack{x \in \operatorname{supp}(\mu), y \in \operatorname{supp}(\nu)\\K}} ||x - y||^{p} \cdot ||UU^{\top}\theta_{l}||^{p}$$

$$= K ||U^{\top}\theta_{l}||^{p} \qquad (37)$$

1235 where constant $K < \infty$ since μ, ν are supported on compact sets.

1236 Thus, we have that

1237

1234

1238
1239
1240
1241
$$\epsilon_{L} \leq K \cdot \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \left(\|U^{\top} \theta_{l}\|^{p} - \sum_{l'=1}^{L} \|U^{\top} \theta_{l'}\|^{p} \right)}_{B_{n}} \right)$$

I

By law of large numbers, with probability 1, $B_n \to 0$. Thus, $\epsilon_L \to 0$.

It remains to show the convergence rate of ϵ_L . Since each $||U^{\top}\theta_l||^p \in [0,1]$, for each t > 0, by Hoeffding's we have

 $\mathbb{P}(|B_n| \ge \delta) \le e^{-2\delta^2 L}$

Replacing ϵ by ϵ/K , we have $\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{error}_{L} \leq \delta) \geq 1 - 2e^{\frac{2\delta^{2}L}{K^{2}}}$ and we complete the proof.

1251 1252 A.6.1 PROOF OF THEOREM 4.10

A.7 Special case: Learning rate bound for the SW Gradient Flow problem

1255 In this section, we consider the following sliced gradient flow problem Bonet et al. (2021a):

1256 1257

1259

1246

1247

1250

1253

1254

 $\mu_{t+1} \leftarrow \arg \min_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^k)} \frac{1}{2\tau} SW_2^2(\mu, \mu_t) + F(\mu)$ s.t. $\mu_0 = \mu^k$ where $F(\mu) := SW_2^2(\mu, \nu^k)$, for some $\nu^k, \tau > 0$

1260 1261 1262

1266 1267 1268

1277 1278

1279 1280

In the discrete setting, $\mu^k = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i^1 \delta_{x_i}, \nu^k = \sum_{j=1}^m q_j^2 \delta_{y_j}$. Furthermore, we assume that the pmf of μ_t is fixed. Then the above problem can be transferred to the following:

$$X^{t+1} \leftarrow X^t - h_t \odot \nabla_X SW_2^2(\mu_t, \nu^k), \text{ where } \mu_t = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i^1 \delta_{x_i^t}, X^t = [x_1, \dots, x_n]$$
(38)

where \odot denote the element-wise product operator, and $h_t \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$.

We will discuss how to select the appropriate learning rate h_t .

1272 Gradient and Hessian of Sliced Wasserstein distance. First, we discuss the gradient and Hessian 1273 matrix of the function $X \mapsto SW_2^2(\mu, \nu^k)$:

Pick $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and suppose that γ_{θ} is an optimal transportation plan for $W_2^2(\theta_{\#}\mu, \theta_{\#}\nu^k)$.

1276 Then by Bonneel & Coeurjolly (2019), we have:

$$\nabla_{x_i} W_2^2(\theta_{\#}\mu, \theta_{\#}\nu^k) = 2\theta\theta^\top (q_i^1 x_i - \sum_{j=1}^m y_j \gamma_{i,j}^\theta), \forall x_i$$

1281 Note, when $W_2^2(\theta_{\#}\mu, \theta_{\#}\nu^k)$ is induced by a Monge mapping, the above formulation can be simplified 1282 to $q_i^1 \theta \theta^\top (x_i - T(x_i))$.

1283 1284 Thus the Hessian matrix is

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2 W_2^2(\theta_{\#}\mu,\theta_{\#}\nu^k)}{\partial x_i[l]\partial x_i[l']}\right]_{l,l'\in[1:d]} = 2q_i^1\theta\theta^\top.$$

¹²⁸⁸ Therefore, the gradient for mapping $X \mapsto SW_2^2(\mu, \nu^k)$ with respect to each x_i is given by:

 $g(x_i) := \nabla_{x_i} SW_2^2(\mu, \nu^k) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \theta \theta^\top (q_i^1 x_i - \sum_{i=1}^m y_j \gamma_{i,j}^\theta) d\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})(\theta)$

1293
1294
1295
$$\approx \frac{2}{N} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \theta_l \theta_l^{\top} (q_i^1 x_i - \sum_{j=1}^{m} y_j \gamma_{i,j}^{\theta_l})$$

1289 1290 1291

1292

where the second line is the Monte carlo approximation.

1298 Similarly, the Hession matrix and the Monte carlo approximation are given by

$$\begin{split} H(x_i) &:= H_{x_i}(SW_2^2(\mu, \nu^k)) = 2q_i^1 \int \theta \theta^\top d\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})(\theta) = 2q_i^1 \frac{1}{k} I_k \\ &\approx \frac{2q_i^1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \theta \theta^\top \end{split}$$

By classical machine learning theory, the optimal learning rate for x_i , is given by

$$(h_t)_i = \frac{g(x_i)^\top g(x_i)}{g(x_i)^\top H g(x_i)} = \frac{k}{2q_i^1}, \forall i \in [1:n]$$
(39)

Remark A.16. We consider a simplified case to intuitively understand the above learning rate. Suppose $\mu^k = q_i^1 \delta_{x_i}$ and $\nu^k = q_i^1 \delta_{y_j}$ (relaxing the assumption that μ^k and ν^k are probability measures). Then, we have:

 $\begin{array}{ll} 1317 \\ 1318 \\ 1319 \\ 1319 \\ 1320 \\ 1321 \\ 1322 \\ 1322 \\ 1322 \\ 1322 \\ 1324 \\ 1324 \\ 1325 \\ 1326 \end{array} = \begin{array}{l} SW_2^2(\mu^d, \nu^d) \\ = q_i^1 \mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} [(\theta^\top x_i - \theta^\top y_j)^2] \\ = q_i^1 \mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} [\|\theta\theta^\top x_i - \theta\theta^\top y_j\|^2] \\ = q_i^1 (x_i - y_j)^\top \mathbb{E}[\theta\theta^\top] (x_i - y_j) \\ = \frac{1}{k} q_i^1 \|x_i - y_j\|_2^2 \\ = \frac{1}{k} W_2^2(\mu, \nu). \end{array}$

1329 Thus the gradient with respect to x_i becomes

Letting t = 0, we plug the learning rate from (39) and the gradient into (38), obtaining:

$$x_i^{t+1} \leftarrow x_i^t - (y_j - x_i) = y_j.$$

 $g(x_i) = 2 \frac{q_i^1}{k} (x_i - y_j).$

¹³⁴² Intuitively, the learning rate $(h_t)_i$ for x_i is chosen such that the (negative) gradient becomes the ¹³⁴³ displacement given by the classical OT transportation plan, i.e.,

1347 $-g(x_i) \approx y_j - x_i.$

That is, when θ is sufficiently large (i.e., $\frac{1}{L}\sum_{i=1}^{L}\theta\theta^{\top} \approx \frac{1}{k}I_k$), μ_t^k will converge to ν^k in one step.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4.12 A.8

Pick x_i from $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Note, based on assumption 4.1, $x_i = UU^{\top}x_i = Ux_i^k, \forall i \in [1:n]$. Thus, we have

 $= U \nabla_{x_{i}^{k}} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i,i} (q_{i}^{1} \| U^{\top} \theta_{l} \| (\theta_{l}^{k})^{\top} (x_{i}^{k} - \frac{1}{q_{i}^{1}} y_{j}^{k} \gamma_{i,j}^{\theta_{l}}))^{p}$

 $= q_i^1 p U \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \| U^\top \theta_l \| (\theta_l^k)^\top (x_i^k - \frac{1}{q_i^1} y_j^k \gamma_{i,j}^{\theta_l})^{p-1}.$

 $\nabla_{x_i} SW_p^p(\hat{\mu}^k, \hat{\nu}^k; \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^L \delta_{\theta_l^k})$

 $\nabla_{x_i} SW_p^p(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\nu}; \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^L \delta_{\theta_l})$

 $= U \nabla_{x_i^k} S W_p^p(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\nu}; \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^L \delta_{\theta_l})$

Similarly,

where γ^{θ_l} is the optimal transportation plan for 1D problem $W_p^p((\theta_l)_{\#}\hat{\mu}, (\theta_l)_{\#}\hat{\nu})$ = $W^p_p((\theta^k_l)_{\#}\hat{\mu}^k, (\theta^k_l)_{\#}\hat{\nu}^k).$

 $= q_{i}^{1} p U \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=i}^{L} (\theta_{l}^{k})^{\top} (x_{i}^{k} - \frac{1}{q_{i}^{1}} y_{j}^{k} \gamma_{i,j}^{\theta_{l}})^{p-1}$

(40)

Thus,

$$\epsilon_{L}(x_{i}) = \nabla_{x_{i}} SW_{p}^{p}(\hat{\mu}_{d}, \hat{\nu}_{d}; \sum_{l=1}^{L} \delta_{\theta_{l}^{d}}) - \widehat{ESSF}(L) \cdot \nabla_{x_{i}} SW_{p}^{p}(\hat{\mu}_{k}, \hat{\nu}_{k}; \sum_{l=1}^{L} \delta_{\theta_{l}^{k}})$$

$$= \frac{pq_{i}^{1}}{L} U \sum_{l=1}^{L} (\|U^{\top}\theta_{l}\|^{p} - \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l'=1}^{L} \|U^{\top}\theta_{l'}\|^{p}) \underbrace{\theta_{l}^{k}((\theta_{l}^{k})^{\top}(x_{i}^{k} - \frac{1}{q_{i}^{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} y_{j}^{k} \gamma_{i,j}^{\theta_{l}}))^{p-1}}_{A(\theta_{l}^{k})}$$

where $A(\theta_l^k)$ is a vector function from \mathbb{S}^{k-1} to \mathbb{R}^k . By Cauchy Schwatz inequality, and the fact $\|\theta_l^k\| = 1$, we have

$$||A(\theta_l^k)|| \le \max_{x_i, y_j} ||x_i^k - y_j^k||^{p-1} = \max_{x_i, y_j} ||x_i - y_j||^{p-1}$$

Then we have:

$$\|\epsilon_L(x_i)\| = pq_i^1 \left| \underbrace{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{1}{L} \left(\|U^{\top} \theta_l\|^p - \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l'=1}^{L} \|U^{\top} \theta_{l'}\|^p \right)}_{B_L} \right| \|UA(\theta_l^k)\|$$

 $= pq_i^1 ||A(\theta_l^k)|| |B_L|$ $\leq pq_i^1 K |B_L|.$

By law of large number, with probability 1, $B_L \to 0$, thus $\|(\epsilon_L)\| \to 0$, that is $\epsilon_L \to 0_d$. It remains to bound the convergence rate of $\|\epsilon_L\|$.

1404 By Hoeffding inequality and the fact $||U^{\top}\theta_l||^2 \in [0, 1]$, we have 1405 $\mathbb{P}(|B_L| > \epsilon) < 2e^{-\epsilon^2 L}.$ 1406 1407 Replacing ϵ by $\epsilon/(pq_i^1K)$, we obtain: 1408 1409 $\mathbb{P}(\|\epsilon_L(x_i)\| \le \epsilon) \ge 1 - 2e^{-\epsilon^2 L/(pq_i^1 K)^2}.$ 1410 and we complete the proof. 1411 1412 1413 A.9 DISCUSSION WHEN THE ASSUMPTION 4.1 IS NOT SATISFIED. 1414 In this section, we briefly discuss the context when the assumption 4.1 is not satisfied. In particular, 1415 we aim to show the following: 1416 **Proposition A.17.** Let U, V_k be defined in assumption 4.1, choose $\mu^d, \nu^d \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and let μ^k, ν^k 1417 be defined by $U_{\#}\mu^d, U_{\#}\nu^d$, we claim the following: 1418 1419 $W_2^2(\mu^k,\nu^k) \le W_2^2(\mu^d,\nu^d) \le W_2^2(\mu^k,\nu^k) + 2(m_2(U_{\#}^{\perp}\mu^d) + m_2(U_{\#}^{\perp}\nu^d,p))56$ (41)1420 where $m_p(U_{\#}^{\perp}\mu^d)$ the p-th moment of measure $U_{\#}^{\perp}\mu^d$. 1421 1422 1423 *Proof.* For each pair $(x, y) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^2$, we have 1424 $||P_{II}(x) - P_{II}(y)||^2$ 1425 $< ||x - y||^2$ 1426 By definition of projection (42)1427 $= \|P_U(x) - P_U(y)\|^2 + \|P_{U^{\perp}}(x) - P_{U^{\perp}}(y)\|^2$ Pythagorean theorem 1428 $\leq \|P_U(x) - P_U(y)\|^2 + 2\|(U^{\perp})^{\top}x\|^2 + 2\|(U^{\perp})^{\top}y\|^2$ (43)1429 1430 From (42), we have $W_2^2((P_U)_{\#}\mu^d, (P_U)_{\#}\nu^d) \le W_2^2(\mu^d, \nu^d).$ 1431 1432 Combined it with Proposition A.4, we have: 1433 1434 $W_2^2(\mu^k, \nu^k) = W_2^2((P_U)_{\#}\mu^d, (P_U)_{\#}\nu^d) \le W_2^2(\mu^d, \nu^d).$ 1435 and we prove the first inequality in (41). 1436 1437 Similarly, let $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mu, \nu)$ be the optimal transportation plan for $W_2^2((P_U)_{\#}\mu^d, (P_U)_{\#}\nu^d)$. From 1438 (43), we have: 1439 $W_{2}^{2}(\mu^{d},\nu^{d})$ 1440 $\leq \mathbb{E}_{(X,Y)\sim\gamma}[\|X-Y\|^2]$ 1441 $\leq \mathbb{E}_{(X|Y)\sim\gamma}[\|P_U(X) - P_U(Y)\|^2 + 2\|(U^{\perp})^{\top}X\|^2 + 2\|(U^{\perp})^{\top}X\|^2]$ 1442 By (43) 1443 $= W_2^2((P_U)_{\#}\mu, (P_U)_{\#}\nu) + 2(m_2((U^{\perp})_{\#}\mu^d) + m_2((U^{\perp})_{\#}\nu^d))$ 1444 Thus, we prove the second inequality of (41). 1445 1446 **Proposition A.18.** Based on the same notations of Proposition A.17, we have: 1447 $\frac{k}{d}SW_2^2(\mu^k,\nu^k) \le SW_2^2(\mu^d,\nu^d) \le \frac{k}{d}SW_2^2(\mu^k,\nu^k) + 2\frac{d-k}{d}(m_2(U_{\#}^{\perp}\mu^d) + m_2(U_{\#}^{\perp}\nu^d)) \quad (44)$ 1448 1449 1450 *Proof.* Pick $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We have: 1451 1452 $\|\theta^{\top} P_{II}(x) - \theta^{\top} P_{II}(y)\|^2$ 1453 $< \|\theta^{\top} x - \theta^{\top} y\|^2$ (45)1454 $= \|P_{U}(\theta)^{\top} P_{U}(x) - P_{U}(\theta)^{\top} P_{U}(y)\|^{2} + \|P_{U^{\perp}}(\theta)^{\top} P_{U^{\perp}}(x) - P_{U^{\perp}}(\theta)^{\top} P_{U^{\perp}}(y)\|^{2}$ 1455 1456 $< \|\theta^{\top} P_{U}(x) - \theta^{\top} P_{U}(y)\|^{2} + \|(U^{\perp})^{\top} \theta\|^{2} \|(U^{\perp})^{\top} x - (U^{\perp})^{\top} y\|^{2}$ Cauchy Schwatz inequality 1457 $< \|\theta^{\top} P_{U}(x) - \theta^{\top} P_{U}(y)\|^{2} + 2\|(U^{\perp})^{\top}\theta\|^{2}(\|(U^{\perp})^{\top}x\|^{2} + \|(U^{\perp})^{\top}y\|^{2})$ (46)

Choose $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. From Proposition A.17, we have $W_2^2(\theta_{\#}(P_U)_{\#}\mu^d, \theta_{\#}(P_U)_{\#}\nu^d) < W_2^2(\theta_{\#}\mu^d, \theta_{\#}\nu^d)$ Take expected value with respect to θ , we have $SW_2^2((P_U)_{\#}\mu^d, (P_U)_{\#}\nu^d) < SW_2^2(\mu^d, \nu^d)$ Combine it with Theorem 4.9, we prove the first inequality in (44). Similarly, from (46), we have $W_2^2(\theta_{\#}\mu^d, \theta_{\#}\nu^d) \le W_2^2(\theta_{\#}(P_U)_{\#}\mu^d, \theta_{\#}(P_U)_{\#}\nu^d) + 2\|(U^{\perp})^{\top}\theta\|^2(m_2(U_{\#}^{\perp}\mu^d) + m_2(U_{\#}^{\perp}\nu^d)).$ Take expected value with respect to θ , we obtain: $SW_{2}^{2}(\mu^{d},\nu^{d})$ $\leq SW_2^2((P_U)_{\#}\mu^d, (P_U)_{\#}\nu^d) + 2\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\|U^{\perp}\theta\|^2](m_2(U_{\#}^{\perp}\mu^d) + m_2(U_{\#}^{\perp}\nu^d))$ $= \frac{k}{d} SW_2^2(\mu^k,\nu^k) + 2\frac{d-k}{d}(m_2(U_\#^{\perp}\mu^d) + m_2(U_\#^{\perp}\nu^d))$ there the last equality holds from Theorem 4.9 and the fact $||U^{\perp}\theta||^2 \sim \text{Beta}(\frac{d-k}{2}, \frac{k}{2})$.

¹⁵¹² B ADDITIONAL DETAILS FOR THE NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

1514 B.1 GRADIENT FLOW

1516 B.1.1 BACKGROUND OVERVIEW

Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the space of probability measures on \mathbb{R}^d . For $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the gradient flow of the SW distance in the space of probability measures evolves according to the continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \mu_t}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (v_t \mu_t) = 0, \tag{47}$$

where μ_t is a time-dependent probability measure and v_t the velocity field $v_t = -\nabla \frac{\delta S W_2^2(\mu_t, \nu)}{\delta \mu_t}$. This describes the transport of measure μ_t in the Wasserstein space $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, commonly referred to as the **Wasserstein Gradient Flows** (WGF, Ambrosio et al. (2008))

For numerical simulation in practice, one discretizes this dynamic using a particle approximation. We let $\{x_t^t\}_{i=1}^N$ denote a system of N particles evolving according to the following system of ODEs:

 $\frac{dx_i^t}{dt} = -\nabla_{x_i} SW_2^2(\mu_t^N, \nu), \tag{48}$

where $\mu_t^N = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L \delta_{x_i^t}$ is the empirical measure based on the particle positions x_i^t .

These WGF particle-based approaches preserve key features of continuous systems and have been widely adopted, especially machine learning applications (Peyré et al. (2019).

B.1.2 EXPERIMENTS

¹⁵³⁹ On classic synthetic datasets





Table 1: Quantitative comparison of the best final converged $W_2(\downarrow)$ and runtime (\downarrow) between different variants for Gradient Flow with (embedded) classic synthetic datasets.

Mot	Swiss			8 Gauss.			Knot			PT (e)
	d = 2	d = 50	d = 100	d = 2	d = 50	d = 100	d = 2	d = 50	d = 100	KI (3)4
SW	$0.0001^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0004^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0004^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0006^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0004^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0004^{\pm 0.0000}$	$8.62^{\pm 0.04}$
MaxSW	$0.0000^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0219^{\pm 0.0051}$	$0.0342^{\pm 0.0022}$	$0.0005^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0171^{\pm 0.0004}$	$0.0385^{\pm 0.0006}$	$0.0005^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0246^{\pm 0.0009}$	$0.0303^{\pm 0.0009}$	$74.02^{\pm 1.61}$
DSW	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0004^{\pm 0.0000}$	0.0004 ± 0.0000	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0001}$	0.0004 ± 0.0001	$0.0006^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0003^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0004^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0004^{\pm 0.0000}$	162.25 ± 0.20
MaxKSW	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0124^{\pm 0.0082}$	$0.0122^{\pm 0.0010}$	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0154^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0216^{\pm 0.0007}$	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0165^{\pm0.0048}$	$0.0171^{\pm 0.0048}$	$125.23^{\pm 0.54}$
iMSW	$0.0001^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0021^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0050^{\pm 0.0001}$	0.0001 ± 0.0000	$0.0021^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0059^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0034^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0054^{\pm 0.0001}$	$74.45^{\pm 0.03}$
viMSW	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0003^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0005^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0003^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0003^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0008^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0003^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0005^{\pm0.0000}$	$0.0005^{\pm0.0000}$	$255.76^{\pm 0.28}$
oMSW	$0.0001^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0000}$	0.0005 ± 0.0000	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0000}$	0.0006 ± 0.0000	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0004^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0004^{\pm 0.0000}$	16.55 ± 0.01
rMSW	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0003^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0005^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0003^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0003^{\pm 0.0000}$	0.0008 ± 0.0000	$0.0003^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0006^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0005^{\pm 0.0000}$	$179.70^{\pm 1.08}$
EBSW	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0005^{\pm 0.0000}$	0.0001 ± 0.0000	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0006^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0003^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0004^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0000}$	$9.66^{\pm 1.15}$
RPSW	0.0001 ± 0.0000	$0.0001^{\pm 0.0000}$	0.0004 ± 0.0000	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0000}$	0.0001 ± 0.0000	$0.0010^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0001^{\pm 0.0000}$	0.0004 ± 0.0000	19.47 ± 0.03
EBRPSW	$0.0007^{\pm 0.0002}$	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0003^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0002^{\pm0.0001}$	$0.0002^{\pm0.0001}$	$0.0006^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0004^{\pm 0.0000}$	$0.0002^{\pm 0.0000}$	$20.30^{\pm 0.05}$

¹⁵⁶⁶ On the MNIST and CelebA images





Figure 10: Gradient Flow visualization for images from the MNIST dataset (left) and the CelebA dataset (right).

Method	MNIST (s) \downarrow	CelebA (s)↓
DSW	$12500.00^{\pm 0.00}$	$126054.85^{\pm 745.89}$
EBSW	$686.18^{\pm 45.31}$	$6694.50^{\pm 148.08}$
RPSW	$800.36^{\pm 5.97}$	$6038.05^{\pm 86.32}$
EBRPSW	$699.33^{\pm 9.70}$	$3171.20^{\pm 582.31}$
oMSW	$482.29^{\pm 8.46}$	$3808.34^{\pm475.66}$
iMSW	$1359.97^{\pm 10.19}$	$3601.99^{\pm 11.01}$
rMSW	$1115.98^{\pm 150.49}$	$100358.26^{\pm 1002.95}$
viMSW	$4161.11^{\pm 16.05}$	$96007.74^{\pm 937.50}$
MaxSW	$7231.97^{\pm 70.28}$	$9780.51^{\pm485.71}$
MaxKSW	$6891.43^{\pm 35.52}$	$65560.25^{\pm 332.10}$
SW	$441.41^{\pm 36.85}$	$3335.51^{\pm 76.52}$

Table 2: Runtime comparison for all methods in the MNIST/CelebA setups

B.2 COLOR TRANSFER



Figure 11: 3 sets of source (top) and target (bottom) images for Color Transfer.

Method		Runtime(s)		
memou	Set 1	Set 2	Set 3	Kuntinie(3)
SW	$0.01^{\pm 0.00}$ (1e-1)	$0.01^{\pm 0.00}$ (8e-1)	$0.00^{\pm 0.00}$ (1e0)	$8.62^{\pm 0.04}$
MaxSW	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (1e-3)	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (3e-4)	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (3e-4)	$74.02^{\pm 1.61}$
DSW	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (1e-3)	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (1e-3)	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (8e-4)	$162.25^{\pm 0.20}$
MaxKSW	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (5e-4)	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (5e-4)	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (5e-4)	$125.23^{\pm 0.54}$
iMSW	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (1e-3)	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (1e-4)	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (1e-3)	$74.45^{\pm 0.03}$
viMSW	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (1e-3)	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (1e-4)	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (1e-3)	$255.76^{\pm 0.28}$
oMSW	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (1e-3)	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (1e-3)	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (1e-3)	$16.55^{\pm 0.01}$
rMSW	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (1e-3)	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (1e-3)	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (1e-3)	$179.70^{\pm 1.08}$
EBSW	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (1e-3)	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (1e-3)	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (1e-3)	$9.66^{\pm 1.15}$
RPSW	$0.10^{\pm 0.00}$ (3e-3)	$0.10^{\pm 0.00}$ (1e-2)	$0.10^{\pm 0.09}$ (1e-3)	$19.47^{\pm 0.03}$
EBRPSW	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (8e-4)	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (5e-4)	$0.03^{\pm 0.00}$ (5e-4)	$20.30^{\pm 0.05}$

Table 3: Quantitative comparison of the best final converged $W_2 \downarrow$ and runtime \downarrow between different variants for Color Transfer.



Figure 12: Color Transfer (Set 1)



1728 B.3 DEEP GENERATIVE MODELING

1730 In this section, we provide additional details on the model architecture and numerical results for the 1731 deep generative modeling tasks. We present both qualitative and quantitative results, including the 1732 W_2 metric, to evaluate the model's performance across different SW variants. The experiments were 1733 conducted using the FFHQ dataset.

 $G(z) = FC_d \circ LeakyReLU_{0,2} \circ BN \circ FC_{1024}$

 $\circ \, \text{LeakyReLU}_{0.2} \circ \text{BN} \circ \text{FC}_{512}$

 $T(z) = z + FC_d \circ LeakyReLU_{0,2} \circ BN \circ FC_{1024}$

1734 Model architecture:1735

1736 For unconditional generation:

For unpaired translation:

1737

1740

1741 1742

1743

1744 1745

1746

1747 1748

1749

1750

 $\circ \operatorname{LeakyReLU}_{0.2} \circ \operatorname{BN} \circ \operatorname{FC}_{1024}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{512}$ (49)

 $\circ \, {\rm LeakyReLU}_{0.2} \circ {\rm BN} \circ {\rm FC}_{256}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^8, G(z) \in \mathbb{R}^{512}$

Table 4: Quantitative comparison between different variants for Deep Generative Modeling 5.4.

Mathad	Unco	ndition	al Gen.				
Method	$W_2\downarrow$	(LR)	$RT(s)\downarrow$	$M2F: W_2 \downarrow (LR)$	RT(s)↓	A2C: $W_2 \downarrow (LR)$	RT(s)↓
SW	$14.67^{\pm.01}$	(1e0)	$26.69^{\pm.23}$	$14.15^{\pm.02}$ (5e-1)	25.47 ^{±.09}	$14.58^{\pm.03}$ (1e0)	$27.94^{\pm.14}$
MaxSW	$13.38^{\pm.17}$	(1e-2)	$95.83^{\pm.24}$	$14.01^{\pm.02}$ (5e-3)	$102.68^{\pm.03}$	$14.52^{\pm.02}$ (3e-3)	$103.29^{\pm 2.98}$
DSW	$14.35^{\pm.06}$	(8e-3)	$197.70^{\pm.14}$	$14.11^{\pm.02}$ (5e-3)	$198.42^{\pm.38}$	$14.60^{\pm.04}$ (5e-3)	$198.08^{\pm.32}$
MaxKSW	$15.22^{\pm.03}$	(1e-2)	$53.38^{\pm 3.16}$	$14.20^{\pm.01}$ (5e-2)	$45.90^{\pm.04}$	$14.65^{\pm.02}$ (5e-2)	$45.73^{\pm.08}$
iMSW	$14.27^{\pm.01}$	(1e-3)	$90.27^{\pm.23}$	$14.06^{\pm.01}$ (3e-3)	$92.70^{\pm.12}$	$14.59^{\pm.01}$ (1e-3)	$92.65^{\pm.17}$
viMSW	$15.16^{\pm.03}$	(1e-3)	$49.26^{\pm.05}$	$14.09^{\pm.01}$ (3e-3)	$271.10^{\pm.42}$	$14.57^{\pm.01}$ (3e-3)	$271.09^{\pm.84}$
oMSW	$14.81^{\pm.04}$	(5e-2)	$29.34^{\pm.18}$	$14.12^{\pm.01}$ (8e-2)	$31.39^{\pm.05}$	$14.58^{\pm.03}$ (1e-2)	$31.23^{\pm.04}$
rMSW	$14.80^{\pm.07}$	(5e-2)	$193.77^{\pm.63}$	$14.16^{\pm.01}$ (8e-2)	$195.07^{\pm.12}$	$14.60^{\pm.02}$ (8e-3)	$195.81^{\pm.27}$
EBSW	$16.68^{\pm.19}$	(3e-3)	$22.16^{\pm.07}$	$14.71^{\pm.02}$ (1e-2)	$26.67^{\pm.00}$	$15.09^{\pm.04}$ (8e-4)	$26.68^{\pm.02}$
RPSW	$14.46^{\pm.06}$	(3e-2)	$33.35^{\pm.07}$	$14.14^{\pm.00}$ (1e-1)	$37.11^{\pm.10}$	$14.60^{\pm.01}$ (1e-2)	$36.99^{\pm.12}$
EBRPSW	$16.90^{\pm.22}$	(3e-3)	$34.40^{\pm.07}$	$14.69^{\pm.02}$ (1e-2)	$38.15^{\pm.05}$	$15.10^{\pm.05}$ (1e-3)	$38.14^{\pm.11}$



(a) Left: Cumulative Explaning Variance plot for the FFHQ latents. Middle/Right: UMAP visualization of the Gender and Age splits.

1795					
1796			FFHQ Subset	Train size	Test size
1797			Adults (> 18)	48786	8104
1798			Children (< 10)	8345	1405
1799			Male	26732	4351
1800			Female	32816	5572
1801					
1802			(b)	Subset size.	
1803			Eigura 15, EEUO d	atasat (Varras	at a1 (2010)
1804			rigule 13. FritQ u	ataset (Karras	et al. (2019)
1805					
1806		> 🚺			
1807		S			
1808		, 2			
1809		DSW			
1810		<			
1811		laxS		20 126-1	
812		2			
813		IN SAXE			
1814		ž			S 🔈
1815		VSV	and the for the		
1816		≤			<u> </u>
1817		AS	and and theme		
1818		e l			<u></u>
1819		N S			<u> </u>
1820		ž 🕺			
1821		S S			
1822		vi Wi	9 19 18 1		
823		× 🖉			
1824		EBC			
1825		≥			
1826		RPS			
1827		2			
1828		St PS			
1829		Ш Ш	M2E		
1830			IVI∠F		AZU
1831		Figure 16: Sam	oles generated by th	e M2F (left) ai	nd (A2C) res
1832	B. 4	DETAILED NUMER	ICAL RESULTS		. ,



Figure 17: Visualization for the A2C translation task (using the model with the lowest W_2 for each method).



Figure 18: Visualization for the M2F translation task (using the model with the lowest W_2 for each method).

1	9	4	5	
1	9	4	6	
1	9	4	7	
1	9	4	8	
1	9	4	9	
1	9	5	0	
1	9	5	1	
1	9	5	2	
1	9	5	3	
1	9	5	4	
1	9	5	5	
1	9	5	6	
1	9	5	7	
1	9	5	8	
1	9	5	9	
1	9	6	0	
1	9	6	1	
1	9	6	2	
1	9	6	3	
1	9	6	4	
1	9	6	5	
1	9	6	6	
1	9	6	7	
1	9	6	8	
1	9	6	9	
1	9	7	0	
1	9	7	1	
1	9	7	2	
1	9	7	3	
1	9	7	4	
1	9	7	5	
1	9	7	6	
1	9	7	7	
1	9	7	8	
1	9	7	9	
1	9	8	0	
1	9	8	1	
1	9	8	2	
1	9	8	3	
1	9	8	4	
1	9	8	5	
1	9	8	6	
1	9	8	7	
1	9	8	8	
1	9	8	9	
1	9	9	0	
1	9	9	1	
1	9	9	2	
1	9	9	3	
1	9	9	4	

EBRPSW	$\begin{array}{c} 13.0755^{\pm0.1628}\\ 7_{114347^{\pm0.155}}\\ 7_{114347^{\pm0.1352}}\\ 10.9207^{\pm0.2012}\\ 9_{0.3707^{\pm0.0006}}\\ 9_{0.3707^{\pm0.0006}}\\ 9_{0.3707^{\pm0.0006}}\\ 9_{0.02116^{\pm0.0021}}\\ 9_{0.00216^{\pm0.0011}}\\ 9_{0.00216^{\pm0.0011}}\\ 9_{0.00012^{\pm0.0001}}\\ 9_{0.0$	
RPSW	2.7564 ± 0.121 2.7564 ± 0.121 1.1420 ± 0.036 0.7251 ± 0.068 0.7251 ± 0.068 0.01231 ± 0.023 0.0117 ± 0.001 0.00175 ± 0.000 0.0015 ± 0.000 0.0015 ± 0.000 0.00015 ± 0.000 0.00117 ± 0.000 0.00117 ± 0.000 0.00125 ± 0.000 0.0002 ± 0.000 0.0002 ± 0.0001 0.0002 ± 0.0000 0.0002 ± 0.0001 0.0002 ± 0.0000 0.0002 ± 0.00000 0.0002 ± 0.00000 0.0002 ± 0.00000 0.0002 ± 0.00000 0.0002 ± 0.00000 0.0002 ± 0.00000 $0.0002 \pm 0.00000000000000000000000000000$	
EBSW	$\begin{array}{c} 2.9758\pm0.0588\\ 2.4539\pm0.03588\\ 2.4539\pm0.03588\\ 1.4591\pm0.3569\\ 1.1241\pm0.30549\\ 0.3243\pm0.0648\\ 0.3243\pm0.0048\\ 0.01172\pm0.0010\\ 0.01178\pm0.0001\\ 0.01019\pm0.0001\\ 0.00123\pm0.0002\\ 0.00023\pm0.0002\\ 0.00023\pm0.0000\\ 0.0001\pm0.0003\\ 0.00023\pm0.0000\\ 0.00012\pm0.0000\\ 0.000012\pm0.0000\\ 0.000012\pm0.0000\\ 0.00012\pm0.0000\\ 0.00000\\ 0.00012\pm0.0000\\ 0.0000\\ 0.00000\\ 0.000$	= 2)
rMSW	$[8336\pm0.2363]$ $[.8375\pm0.4225]$ $[.8872\pm0.4225]$ $[.8872\pm0.414]$ $[.2076\pm0.414]$ $[.1307\pm0.004]$ $[.1307\pm0.004]$ $[.0596\pm0.004]$ $[.0215\pm0.0025]$ $[.0012\pm0.0021]$ $[.0003\pm0.0001]$ $[.000000]$ $[.000000]$ $[.000000]$ $[.000000]$ $[.000000]$ $[.000000]$ $[.000000]$ $[.000000]$ $[.000000]$ $[.000000]$ $[.000000]$ $[.000000]$ $[.000000]$ $[.00000]$ $[.000000]$ $[.000000]$ $[.00000]$ $[.000000]$ $[.00000]$ $[.00000]$ $[.000000]$ $[.00000]$ $[.00000]$ $[.000000]$ $[.00000]$ $[.0000$	t dataset (d
0MSW	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2212 \pm 0.0565\\ 1.3554 \pm 0.1909\\ 1.3554 \pm 0.1909\\ 1.4466 \pm 0.1613\\ 1.4466 \pm 0.00310\\ 0.1995 \pm 0.0240\\ 0.1097 \pm 0.0023\\ 0.01081 \pm 0.0007\\ 0.0181 \pm 0.0007\\ 0.0019 \pm 0.0002\\ 0.0019 \pm 0.0002\\ 0.0019 \pm 0.0002\\ 0.00118 \pm 0.0002\\ 0.000118 \pm 0.0002\\ 0.000128 \pm 0.00002\\ 0.000128 \pm 0.0002\\ 0.000128 \pm 0.00002\\ 0.000028 \pm 0.00002\\ 0.000028 \pm 0.00002\\ 0.$	ith the Kno
viMSW	$\begin{array}{c} 3.1542\pm\!0.3530\\ 1.8896\pm\!0.034\\ 1.8896\pm\!0.0949\\ 0.4797\pm\!0.2114\\ 0.1563\pm\!0.0742\\ 0.0599\pm\!0.0072\\ 0.00599\pm\!0.0021\\ 0.0068\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.0128\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.0128\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.00123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.00123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.00123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.00123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.00123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.00123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.00123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.000123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.000123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.00023\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.000123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.000123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.00023\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.00023\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.000123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.000123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.000123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.000123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.000123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.000123\pm\!0.0002\\ 0.00023\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.000123\pm\!0.0002\\ 0.00023\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.000123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.000123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.000123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.000123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.000123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.000123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.00023\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.000123\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.00012355\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.000023555\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.0000235555\pm\!0.0006\\ 0.00001235555555\\ 0.00002355555555\\ 0.00000235555555\\ 0.0000023555555\\ 0.00000235555555\\ 0.0000023555555\\ 0.0000002355555\\ 0.0000000002000\\ 0.00000000000000000\\ 0.0000000000$	ient Flow w
iMSW	$\begin{array}{c} 8.8247 \pm 0.6643\\ 2.3046 \pm 0.5586\\ 2.3046 \pm 0.5586\\ 0.6539 \pm 0.1253\\ 0.7317 \pm 0.2280\\ 0.22419 \pm 0.0693\\ 0.0774 \pm 0.0143\\ 0.0197 \pm 0.0038\\ 0.01224 \pm 0.0038\\ 0.01224 \pm 0.0038\\ 0.01224 \pm 0.0038\\ 0.0017 \pm 0.0032\\ 0.0002 \pm 0.0002\\ 0.0002 \pm 0.0$	lts for Grad
MaxKSW	$\begin{array}{c} .2128 \pm 0.0685 \\3729 \pm 0.0088 \\3729 \pm 0.0014 \\6624 \pm 0.0214 \\6624 \pm 0.0214 \\6624 \pm 0.025 \\6624 \pm 0.025 \\6624 \pm 0.025 \\6624 \pm 0.025 \\0025 \pm 0.0012 \\0017 \pm 0.0012 \\0017 \pm 0.0012 \\0012 \pm 0.0012 \\0002 \pm 0.0012 \\00005 \pm 0.0012 \\00002 \pm 0.0012 \\00002 \pm 0.0012 \\0002 \pm 0.0012 \\0000 $	nerical resul
DSW	$ [8232\pm1.2533 \\ .9759\pm0.5058 \\ .9317\pm0.5872 \\ .5040\pm0.2023 \\ .5040\pm0.2023 \\ .5040\pm0.2023 \\ .1065\pm0.0198 \\ .00575\pm0.0198 \\ .00575\pm0.0198 \\ .00165\pm0.0049 \\ .00165\pm0.0049 \\ .00016\pm0.0046 \\ .0002\pm0.0001 \\ .00016\pm0.0004 \\ .00015\pm0.0003 \\ .00016\pm0.0004 \\ .00016\pm0.0004 \\ .00015\pm0.0003 \\ .00015\pm0.0003 \\ .0001\pm0.0004 \\ .00015\pm0.0003 \\ .0001\pm0.0004 \\ .0001\pm0.0004 \\ .0003\pm0.0003 \\ .0001\pm0.0004 \\ .0003\pm0.0004 \\ .00004\pm0.0004 \\ .00004\pm0.00044 \\ .00004\pm0.00044 \\$	able 5: Nun
MaxSW	$1,5442\pm0.0195$ $5,5171\pm0.0098$ $1,8463\pm0.9464$ $1,8463\pm0.9464$ $1,325171\pm0.00581$ $1,3252\pm0.0581$ $1,2352\pm0.0051$ $1,0715\pm0.0001$ $1,0715\pm0.0001$ $1,0715\pm0.0001$ $1,0715\pm0.0001$ $1,0015\pm0.0001$ $1,0070\pm0.0002$ $1,0070\pm0.0001$ $1,0070\pm0.0001$ $1,0070\pm0.0003$ $1,0070\pm0.0003$ $1,0070\pm0.0001$ $1,0070\pm0.0003$ $1,0070\pm0.0003$ $1,0070\pm0.0003$ $1,0070\pm0.0003$ $1,0075\pm0.0003$ $1,0075\pm0.0049$ $1,0003\pm0.0004$ $1,0003\pm0.0004$ $1,0003\pm0.0004$ $1,0003\pm0.0004$ $1,0003\pm0.0004$ $1,0003\pm0.0004$ $1,0003\pm0.0004$ $1,0003\pm0.0004$ $1,0003\pm0.0004$ $1,0003\pm0.0004$ $1,0003\pm0.0004$ $1,0003\pm0.0004$ $1,0003\pm0.0004$ $1,0003\pm0.0004$ $1,0003\pm0.0004$ $1,0003\pm0.0004$ $1,0003\pm0.0004$ $1,0003\pm0.00001$ $1,0003\pm0.0001$ $1,0003\pm0.0001$ $1,0003\pm0.0001$ $1,0003\pm0.0001$ $1,0003\pm0.0001$ $1,0003\pm0.0001$ $1,0003\pm0.0001$ $1,0003\pm0.0001$ $1,0003\pm0.0001$ $1,0003\pm0.0001$ $1,0003\pm0.0001$ $1,0003\pm0.0001$ $1,0003\pm0.0001$ $1,0003\pm0.0001$ $1,0003\pm0.0001$ $1,0003\pm0.0001$ $1,0003\pm0.0001$ $1,0003\pm0.0001$ $1,0003\pm0.0001$ $1,0003\pm0.0003$ $1,0003\pm0.0001$ $1,0003\pm0.0003$ $1,0003\pm0.0003$ $1,0003\pm0.0003$ $1,0003\pm0.0003$ $1,0003\pm0.0003$ $1,0003\pm0.0003$ $1,0003\pm0.0003$ $1,0003\pm0.0003$ $1,0003\pm0.0003$ $1,0003\pm0.0003$ $1,0003\pm0.0003$ $1,0003\pm0.0003$ $1,0003\pm0.0003$ $1,0003\pm0.0003$ $1,0003\pm0.0003$ $1,0003\pm0.0003$ $1,0003\pm0.0003$ $1,0003\pm0.0003$ $1,0003\pm0.0003$ 1,00	Τ
SW	$\begin{array}{c} 2.3681 \pm 0.3508\\ 1.7578 \pm 0.4630\\ 0.8872 \pm 0.3438\\ 0.6367 \pm 0.2177\\ 0.2155 \pm 0.0336\\ 0.22567 \pm 0.0181\\ 0.02155 \pm 0.0181\\ 0.02267 \pm 0.0073\\ 0.01286 \pm 0.0073\\ 0.01142 \pm 0.0058\\ 0.00012 \pm 0.0001\\ 0.00012 \pm $	
LR	$ \begin{array}{c} 100\\ 8\\ 8\\ 8\\ 8\\ 8\\ 8\\ 8\\ 8\\ 8\\ 8\\ 8\\ 8\\ 8\\$	

1	9	9	9
2	0	0	0
2	0	0	1
2	0	0	2
2	0	0	3
2	0	0	4
2	0	0	5
2	0	0	6
2	0	0	7
2	0	0	8
2	0	0	9
2	0	1	0
2	0	1	1
2	0	1	2
2	0	1	3
2	0	1	4
2	0	1	5
2	0	1	6
2	0	1	7
2	0	1	8
2	0	1	9
2	0	2	0
2	0	2	1
2	0	2	2
2	0	2	3
2	0	2	4
2	0	2	5
2	0	2	6
2	0	2	7
2	0	2	8
2	0	2	9
2	0	3	0
2	0	3	1
2	0	3	2
2	0	3	3
2	0	3	4
2	0	3	5
2	0	3	6
2	0	3	7
2	0	3	8
2	0	3	9
2	0	4	0
2	0	4	1
2	0	4	2
2	0	4	3
2	0	4	4
2	0	4	5
2	0	4	6
2	0	4	7
2	0	4	8

EBRPSW	2.7618 ± 0.1329 2.2090 ± 0.1610 2.2090 ± 0.1610 0.9473 ± 0.1249 0.3806 ± 0.0842 0.1779 ± 0.0662 0.0788 ± 0.0053 0.0086 ± 0.00053 0.0015 ± 0.0005 0.0015 ± 0.0002 0.0015 ± 0.0002 0.0007 ± 0.0002 0.00015 ± 0.0002 0.00015 ± 0.0002 0.00015 ± 0.0002 0.00015 ± 0.0002 0.00015 ± 0.0002 0.00015 ± 0.0002 0.00015 ± 0.0002 0.00015 ± 0.0002 0.00015 ± 0.0002 0.0777 ± 0.0010 0.7789 ± 0.0018 0.7789 ± 0.0018 0.7789 ± 0.0018 0.7789 ± 0.0018 0.7789 ± 0.0018 0.7789 ± 0.0018 0.7789 ± 0.0018 0.7789 ± 0.0018 0.7789 ± 0.0018 0.7789 ± 0.0018 0.7789 ± 0.0018 0.7789 ± 0.0018 0.7772 ± 0.0018 0.7772 ± 0.0018 0.7772 ± 0.0018 0.7772 ± 0.0018 0.7772 ± 0.0018 0.7772 ± 0.0018 0.7772 ± 0.0018 0.7772 ± 0.0018 0.7772 ± 0.0018 0.7772 ± 0.0018 0.7772 ± 0.0018 0.7789 ± 0.0018 0.7885 ± 0.00
RPSW	2.5577 ± 0.0282 1.1371 ± 0.0631 1.1371 ± 0.0631 1.1371 ± 0.0638 0.6777 ± 0.0638 0.1311 ± 0.0039 0.0177 ± 0.00116 0.00256 ± 0.0011 0.00256 ± 0.00116 0.00105 ± 0.0001 0.00105 ± 0.0001 0.0001 ± 0.0001 0.0001 ± 0.0001 0.0001 ± 0.0001 0.0001 ± 0.0001 0.0001 ± 0.0001 0.0001 ± 0.0001 0.0001 ± 0.0001 0.0001 ± 0.0001 0.0001 ± 0.0001 0.0001 ± 0.0001 0.0001 ± 0.0001 0.0001 ± 0.0001 0.0001 ± 0.0001 0.0001 ± 0.0001 $0.0305\pm0.00237\pm0.00238$ 0.7912 ± 0.0288 0.7912 ± 0.0288 0.7912 ± 0.0288 0.7912 ± 0.0288 0.7912 ± 0.0288 0.7912 ± 0.0288 0.7912 ± 0.0288 0.7912 ± 0.0028 0.7912
EBSW	$\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} 0.2 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.0 \\ 0$
rMSW	3.2.5671±0.862 1.2.5953±0.6861 8.1.4821±0.1792 4.0.9189±0.1383 4.0.1752±0.0400 4.0.0990±0.022 5.0.0593±0.0072 5.0.0593±0.0072 1.0.01148±0.0071 1.0.0134±0.0001 1.0.0013±0.0000 1.0.0012±0.0000 1.0.0002±0.0000 1.0.0002±0.0000 1.0.0002±0.0000 1.0.0002±0.0000 8.0.0112±0.000 1.0.0002±0.0000 8.0.0112±0.000 1.0.0002±0.0000 1.0.00000 1.0.00000±00000 1.0.00000±000000 1.0.00000±00000 1.0.00000±000000 1.0.00000±0000000000000000 1.0.000000000000000000
WSM0	$\begin{array}{c} 1.3862 \pm 0.260\\ 1.2540 \pm 0.056\\ 0.8072 \pm 0.021\\ 0.8072 \pm 0.021\\ 0.1614 \pm 0.020\\ 0.0155 \pm 0.020\\ 0.0159 \pm 0.000\\ 0.0105 \pm 0.000\\ 0.00165 \pm 0.000\\ 0.00165 \pm 0.000\\ 0.001105 \pm 0.000\\ 0.001105 \pm 0.000\\ 0.001105 \pm 0.000\\ 0.001105 \pm 0.000\\ 0.00011 \pm 0.000\\ 0.000011 \pm 0.000\\ 0.000010000\\ 0.000000000\\ 0.000000000\\ 0.00000000$
viMSW	2.500±0.833 2.5000±0.8335 0.8977±0.2941 0.6488±0.3089 0.1707±0.0453 0.1707±0.0453 0.1663±0.0013 0.0167±0.0011 0.0012±0.0001 0.0012±0.0001 0.0012±0.0001 0.0012±0.0001 0.0012±0.0001 0.0012±0.0001 0.0012±0.0001 0.0012±0.0001 0.0012±0.0001 0.0012±0.0001 0.0012±0.0001 0.0012±0.0001 0.0012±0.0001 0.0012±0.0001 0.0012±0.0002 0.0012±0.0002 0.0012±0.0002 0.0012±0.0002 0.0012±0.0002 0.0002±0
iMSW	2.2337±0.8727 2.2337±0.8727 3.8816±0.0524 0.2179±0.1150 0.2179±0.1150 0.0166±0.0036 0.00767±0.0161 0.0078±0.0039 0.0088±0.0099 0.0088±0.0099 0.0088±0.0094 0.0012±0.0001±0.0000 0.0002±0.0001 0.0002±0.0001 0.0074±0.0132 0.17457±0.0132 0.17457±0.0132 0.17457±0.0132 0.17757±0.0132 0.17757±0.0132 0.17757±0.0132 0.17757±0.0132 0.17757±0.0132 0.17757±0.0132 0.17757±0.0132 0.17757±0.0132 0.17757±0.0132 0.17757±0.0132 0.17757±0.0132 0.17757±0.0132 0.17757±0.0132 0.17757±0.0132 0.17757±0.0132 0.17757±0.0132 0.177512 0.17757±0.0132 0.177557±0.0132 0.17755555555555555555555555555555555555
MaxKSW	$ \begin{bmatrix} 1.3326 \pm 0.0411 \\ 1.332581 \pm 0.0122 \\ 1.5581 \pm 0.0122 \\ 1.5581 \pm 0.0122 \\ 1.5581 \pm 0.0122 \\ 1.5781 \pm 0.0122 \\ 1.1744 \pm 0.0036 \\ 1.0131 \pm 0.0031 \\ 1.0744 \pm 0.0030 \\ 1.0131 \pm 0.0038 \\ 1.00251 \pm 0.0038 \\ 1.00251 \pm 0.0038 \\ 1.0022 \pm 0.0038 \\ 1.0002 \pm 0.0002 \\ 1.00016 \pm 0.0002 \\ 1.00016 \pm 0.0002 \\ 1.00002 \pm 0.00002 \\ 1.00000000000000000000000000000000000$
DSW	3.2280±0.5094 1.0384±0.5640 1.4048±0.1910 0.8765±0.1254 0.0793±0.0275 0.0793±0.0275 0.0147±0.0036 0.01147±0.0036 0.01134±0.0036 0.01134±0.0036 0.01134±0.0036 0.01134±0.0036 0.0013±0.0037 0.0013±0.0001 0.0025±0.0001 0.0025±0.0001 0.0025±0.0001 0.0025±0.0001 0.0025±0.0001 0.0025±0.0001 0.0025±0.0001 0.0025±0.0001 0.0025±0.0001 0.0025±0.0001 0.0025±0.0001 0.0025±0.0001 0.0025±0.0001 0.0025±0.0001 0.0025±0.0001 0.0025±0.0001 0.0025±0.0001 0.0025±0.0001 0.0002±0.0002 0.0002±0.0002 0
MaxSW	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6408\pm 0.079\\ 0.5710\pm 0.041\\ 0.5710\pm 0.041\\ 0.5710\pm 0.099\\ 0.4116\pm 0.0999\\ 0.4116\pm 0.0999\\ 0.14166\pm 0.0334\\ 0.04165\pm 0.0013\\ 0.0488\pm 0.001\\ 0.0488\pm 0.001\\ 0.0488\pm 0.001\\ 0.0488\pm 0.001\\ 0.0002\pm 0.000\\ 0.0012\pm 0.0002\\ 0.0002\pm 0.0002\\ 0.0002\pm 0.0002\\ 0.0002\pm 0.0002\\ 0.0002\pm 0.0002\\ 0.0002\pm 0.0002\\ 0.0002\pm 0.0038\\ 0.0576\pm 0.0028\\ 0.0578\pm 0.0078\\ 0.08348\pm 0.0121\\ 0.8348\pm 0.0121\\ 0.8348\pm 0.0121\\ 0.8348\pm 0.0121\\ 0.8463\pm 0.0257\\ 0.8348\pm 0.0121\\ 0.8348\pm 0.0121\\ 0.8348\pm 0.0121\\ 0.8348\pm 0.0121\\ 0.8463\pm 0.0257\\ 0.8348\pm 0.0121\\ 0.8463\pm 0.0257\\ 0.8348\pm 0.0121\\ 0.8463\pm 0.0257\\ 0.8348\pm 0.0121\\ 0.8463\pm 0.0257\\ 0.8463\pm 0.0052\\ 0.8463\pm 0.0056\\ 0.8463\pm 0.005$
MS	$\begin{array}{c} 2.7116 \pm 0.6616 \\ 2.1367 \pm 0.6877 \\ 0.6877 \pm 0.0723 \\ 0.5389 \pm 0.2452 \\ 0.1721 \pm 0.0402 \\ 0.1721 \pm 0.0402 \\ 0.0167 \pm 0.0310 \\ 0.00007 \pm 0.0013 \\ 0.00167 \pm 0.0001 \\ 0.00108 \pm 0.0001 \\ 0.00018 \pm 0.00018 \\ 0.00018 \pm 0.00018 \\ 0.000018 \pm 0.00018 \\ 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000$
LR	$ \begin{bmatrix} 100\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ $

2053
2054
2055
2056
2057
2058
2050
2059
2060
2061
2062
2063
2064
2065
2066
2067
2068
2069
2070
2071
2072
2073
2074
2075
2076
2077
2078
2079
2080
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2085
2086
2087
2088
2089
2090
2091
2092
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097
2098
2099
2100
2101
2102

EBRPSW	2.8145 ± 0.1491 2.3738 ± 0.0394 1.4905 ± 0.0416 1.4905 ± 0.0416 0.9826 ± 0.0355 0.0854 ± 0.0351 0.0055 ± 0.0013 0.0059 ± 0.0017 0.0059 ± 0.0017 0.0005 ± 0.0007 0.0013 ± 0.0001 0.00013 ± 0.0001 0.00013 ± 0.0001 0.00013 ± 0.0001 0.00013 ± 0.0001 0.00013 ± 0.0001 0.00013 ± 0.0001 0.00013 ± 0.0001 0.0005 ± 0.0001 0.0005 ± 0.0001 0.0005 ± 0.0002 0.0005 ± 0.0002 0.11027 ± 0.0187 1.1002 ± 0.0187
RPSW	2.6426 ± 0.0669 2.0552 ± 0.1070 2.0552 ± 0.1070 0.6435 ± 0.3303 0.2729 ± 0.0176 0.2027 ± 0.018 0.0016 ± 0.0034 0.0017 ± 0.0003 0.0017 ± 0.0003 0.0019 ± 0.0003 0.00115 1.1742 ± 0.00309 1.1728 ± 0.00152 1.128 ± 0.0
EBSW	2.3.0252±0.1706 2.2.2330±0.0903 1.7172±0.1023 1.7172±0.1023 1.0015±0.0053 0.0780±0.0013 0.0171±0.0013 0.0117±0.0003 0.0015±0.0003 0.0003 0.0015±0.0003 0.
rMSW	$b_{2.6436\pm1.088}$ $b_{3.2.6436\pm1.0700}$ $b_{3.1.8364\pm1.0700}$ $b_{3.1.8364\pm1.0700}$ $b_{3.1.8364\pm1.0700}$ $b_{3.2.242\pm0.0241}$ $b_{3.2.242\pm0.0237}$ $b_{3.2.242\pm0.0237}$ $b_{3.2.1056\pm0.0037}$ $b_{1.1.056\pm0.0037}$ $b_{1.1.056\pm0.0037}$ $b_{1.1.021\pm0.0337}$ $b_{1.1.021\pm0.0332}$ $b_{1.1.021\pm0.0332}$ $b_{1.1.021\pm0.0332}$ $b_{1.1.021\pm0.0332}$ $b_{1.1.021\pm0.0332}$ $b_{1.1.021\pm0.0332}$ $b_{2.2.0830\pm0.0331}$ $b_{1.1.021\pm0.0323}$ $b_{1.1.021\pm0.0323}$ $b_{1.1.021\pm0.0323}$ $b_{1.1.027\pm0.032$
WSM0	93 3.0252±0.17 94 1.7172±0.105 99 1.0015±0.065 99 1.0015±0.065 17 0.2363±0.065 16 0.3805±0.006 16 0.0139±0.001 17 0.0780±0.000 10 0.0139±0.001 10 0.0002±0.000 10 0.0002±0.000 10 0.0002±0.000 10 0.0002±0.000 10 0.0002±0.000 10 0.0002±0.000 10 0.0002±0.000 10 0.0002±0.000 10 0.0002±0.000 11 419±0.001 11 419±0.004 11 119±0.004 11 11
viMSW	58 2.3956 ± 0.79 64 1.852 ± 0.028 55 1.33 10 ± 0.42 55 1.33 10 ± 0.42 26 0.3404 ± 0.01 38 0.1293 ± 0.05 17 0.1894 ± 0.00 18 0.4035 ± 0.02 19 0.9355 ± 0.02 19 0.9355 ± 0.02 10 0.7765 ± 0.02 10 0.0022 ± 0.002 10 0.0022 ± 0.000 10 0.0022 ± 0.000 10 0.0002 ± 0.000 20 0.0002 ± 0.0000 20 0.0002 ± 0.0000 20 0.0002 ± 0.0000 20 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000
iMSW	0012.0996±0.35 118.2.0056±0.77 1218.0.7664±0.07 1218.0.7664±0.07 1210.1795±0.03 120.1795±0.03 120.1795±0.00 120.11795±0.00 120.1173±0.00 120.00183±0.00 121.0002±0.00 121.00002±0.00 121.0002±0.00 121.00002±0.00002±0.00 121.00002±0.00002±0.00002±0.00002±0.00002±0.00002±0.00002±0.00002±0.00002±0.00002±0.00002±0.00002±0.000000±0.000000
MaxKSW	910 1.1 766 ±0.00 102 1.1 566 ±0.00 766 0.7364 ±0.00 887 0.1933 ±0.00 887 0.1933 ±0.00 991 0.1854 ±0.00 991 0.1854 ±0.00 994 0.0230 ±0.00 003 0.0189 ±0.00 002 0.0189 ±0.00 003 0.0018 ±0.00 003 0.0018 ±0.00 003 0.0018 ±0.00 001 0.1640 ±0.00 003 0.0018 ±0.000 003 0.0000 0.0000 003 0.0000 0.0000
DSW	6669 2.2167±1.3 0885 2.2781 ±0.4 2527 0.7793 ±0.4 2527 0.7793 ±0.4 2587 0.6909 ±0.3 2587 0.6909 ±0.3 2580 0.640 ±0.0 0010 0.0254 ±0.0 0010 0.0254 ±0.0 0010 0.0254 ±0.0 0010 0.0215 ±0.0 0010 0.0024 ±0.0 0010 0.0024 ±0.0 0010 0.0024 ±0.0 0010 0.0001 ±0.0 1190 0.0001 ±0.0 1190 0.0001 ±0.0 1190 0.0001 ±0.0 1190 0.0001 ±0.0 1190 0.0001 ±0.0 1101 ±0.0 2551 1.1101 ±0.0 255
MaxSW	2183 3.6719 ±11. 9727 1.8203 ±0.5 1.076 1.5041 ±0.5 3404 1.0704 ±0.6 0458 0.2510, 3462 ±0.6 0458 0.0133 ±0.6 0058 0.0436 ±0.6 0058 0.0133 ±0.6 0005 0.0015 ±0.6 0001 0.1316 ±0.6 0000 0.1336 ±0.6 0001 0.1316 ±0.6 0001 0.1316 ±0.6 0000 0.031 ±0.6 0000 0.0336 ±0.6 0001 0.1316 ±0.6 0000 0.0015 ±0.6 0000 0.0015 ±0.6 0000 0.0015 ±0.6 0000 0.0010 ±0.0000 ±0.6 0000 0.0000 ±0.0000 ±0.6 0000 0.0000 ±0.0000 ±0.6 0000 0.0000 ±0.0000 ±0.00000 ±0.00000 ±0.00000000
SW	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8754^{\pm11} \\ 1.682^{\pm0} \\ 0.7122^{\pm0} \\ 0.7122^{\pm0} \\ 0.5045^{\pm0} \\ 0.544^{\pm0} \\ 0.1544^{\pm0} \\ 0.2014^{\pm0} \\ 0.2014^{\pm0} \\ 0.095^{\pm0} \\ 0.0095^{\pm0} \\ 0.00019^{\pm0} \\ 0.000019^{\pm0} \\ 0.0000019^{\pm0} \\ 0.000019^{\pm0} \\ 0.000000000000000000000000000000000$
LR	1 3 3 5

Table 7: Numerical results for Gradient Flow with the 8 Gaussians dataset (d = 2)

2	1	0	7	
2	1	0	8	
2	1	0	9	
2	1	1	0	
2	1	1	1	
2	1	1	2	
2	1	1	3	
2	1	1	4	
2	1	1	5	
2	1	1	6	
2	1	1	7	
2	1	1	8	
2	1	1	9	
2	1	2	0	
2	1	2	1	
2	1	2	2	
2	1	2	3	
2	1	2	4	
2	1	2	5	
2	1	2	6	
2	1	2	7	
2	1	2	8	
2	1	2	9	
2	1	3	0	
2	1	3	1	
2	1	3	2	
2	1	3	3	
2	1	3	4	
2	1	3	5	
2	1	3	6	
2	1	3	7	
2	1	3	8	
2	1	3	9	
2	1	4	0	
2	1	4	1	
2	1	4	2	
2	1	4	3	
2	1	4	4	
2	1	4	5	
2	1	4	6	
2	1	4	7	
2	1	4	8	
2	1	4	9	
2	1	5	0	
2	1	5	1	
2	1	5	2	
2	1	5	3	
2	1	5	4	
2	1	5	5	
2	1	5	6	

EBRPSW	$511.0450^{\pm 0.8609}$	$57.8665^{\pm 0.8317}$	3.7110 ± 0.3379	$\frac{2.1110}{10587\pm0.0079}$	$30.9913^{\pm0.0529}$	$30.0429^{\pm0.0037}$	$0.0217^{\pm 0.0019}$	$0.0089^{\pm 0.009}$	0.0002 ± 0.0003	30.0023 ± 0.0001	$0.0008^{\pm 0.000}$	$0.0006^{\pm 0.000}$	$0.0004^{-0.000}$	$^{7}0.0641^{\pm0.0027}$	$20.0925^{\pm0.0011}$	$20.1853^{\pm0.0080}$	$0.3111^{\pm 0.0292}$	$20.7704^{\pm 0.0552}$	$0.7520^{\pm 0.0201}$	0.7323 ± 0.0210	$0.7730^{\pm 0.0203}$	70.7867 ± 0.0122	$0.7142^{\pm 0.0102}$	30.7827 ± 0.0255	0.7831 ± 0.0199	10.7831 ± 0.0199 10.7831 ± 0.0199	0.7021 ± 0.0199
RPSW	$1.2812^{\pm 0.0165}$	1.0411 ± 0.0580	$0.6150^{\pm 0.0362}$	$0.3022 \\ 0.1307 \pm 0.0169$	0.1071 ± 0.0068	$0.0693^{\pm 0.0138}$	$0.0430^{\pm 0.0029}$	$0.0144^{\pm 0.000}$	$0.0074^{\pm 0.000}$	0.0041 ± 0.000	$0.0015^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0012^{\pm 0.000}$	0.0005 ± 0.000	$0.0056^{\pm0.007}$	$0.0010^{\pm 0.0012}$	$0.0770^{\pm 0.0002}$	$0.2330^{\pm 0.022}$	$0.7427^{\pm 0.0242}$	$0.7313^{\pm 0.0147}$	$0.7704^{\pm0.038}$	$0.7528^{\pm 0.028}$	0.7585 ± 0.0187	$0.7631^{\pm 0.0420}$	$0.8213^{\pm 0.0123}$	0.7573 ± 0.0311	0.7573 ± 0.031	0.0410
EBSW	$0.5129^{\pm 0.2240}$	8.5668 ^{±0.3944}	$4.3396^{\pm 0.0339}$	2.3/19 1 0896 ± 0.0531	$1.0515^{\pm 0.0428}$	$0.0412^{\pm 0.0027}$	$0.0258^{\pm0.0015}$	$0.0081^{\pm 0.0006}$	$0.0042^{\pm 0.0002}$	$0.0022^{\pm 0.003}$	$0.0008^{\pm 0.000}$	$0.0006^{\pm 0.000}$	$0.0004^{-0.000}$	$0.0535^{\pm 0.0008}$	$0.0968^{\pm 0.0027}$	$0.1715^{\pm 0.0034}$	$0.2927^{\pm 0.0148}$	$0.7751^{\pm0.0060}$	0.7615 ± 0.0240	0.7759 ± 0.0414	0.7901 ± 0.0103 0.7713 ± 0.0014	$0.7748^{\pm0.0297}$	$0.7908^{\pm 0.0161}$	$0.7890^{\pm 0.0293}$	0.7753 ± 0.0191	0.7753 ± 0.0191 0.7753 ± 0.0191	0.10.00
rMSW	$1.2429^{\pm 0.1167}$	$0.9003^{\pm 0.0392}_{\pm 0.0181}$	$0.5736^{\pm 0.0101}$	0.3404 0 1102 ± 0.0206	$0.0835^{\pm 0.0041}$	$0.0560^{\pm 0.0027}$	$0.0348^{\pm 0.0009}$	$0.0115^{\pm 0.0010}$	0.0054 ± 0.003	$0.0035^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0012^{\pm 0.0001}$	0.0009 ± 0.000	0.0003±0.0000	$0.1507^{\pm 0.0100}$	$0.2667^{\pm 0.0288}$	$0.3907^{\pm 0.0216}$	$0.5572^{\pm 0.0043}$	$0.7806^{\pm 0.01/8}$	0.7852 ± 0.0172	0.8190 ± 0.0229	0.7843 ± 0.0153	$0.7413^{\pm 0.0228}$	$0.7838^{\pm 0.0321}$	$0.7656^{\pm 0.0462}$	$0.7682^{\pm 0.0255}$	0.7682 ± 0.0255 0.7656 ± 0.0462	0.1000
oMSW	$0.8969^{\pm 0.0500}$	$0.6897^{\pm 0.0442}_{\pm 0.0608}$	0.3995 ± 0.0071	0.2335	$0.0590^{\pm 0.0082}$	$0.0410^{\pm 0.0007}$	$0.0265^{\pm0.0017}$	$0.0070^{\pm 0.0003}$	$0.0036^{\pm 0.0003}$	$0.0023^{\pm 0.0001}$	$0.0008^{\pm 0.000}$	$0.0006^{\pm0.000}$	0.0003 ± 0.0000	$0.1715^{\pm 0.0089}$	$0.2381^{\pm 0.0090}$	$0.4109^{\pm 0.0132}$	$0.5553^{\pm 0.0304}$	$0.7858^{\pm0.0109}$	0.7826 ± 0.0133	0.7837 ± 0.013	0.7779 ^{±0.0102}	0.7747 ± 0.0062	$0.8045^{\pm 0.0346}$	$0.7556^{\pm 0.0193}$	$0.7869^{\pm 0.0353}$	0.7869 ± 0.0353 0.7556 ± 0.0193	0.1000
viMSW	$1.2282^{\pm 0.0578}$	$10.9662^{\pm 0.0284}$	-0.5512 ± 0.0363	30.1162 ± 0.0097	0.0821 ± 0.0011	$^{7}0.0649^{\pm0.0070}$	$^{2}0.0386^{\pm0.0027}$	0.0113 ^{±0.0013} 0.0013±0.0013	0.0057 ^{±0.0003}	$^{+}0.0034^{\pm0.0001}$	$^{2}0.0012^{\pm0.0001}$	0.0010 ± 0.000	2 0 0004±0.0000	30.1371 ± 0.0141	$^{8}0.2101^{\pm0.0076}$	$50.3775^{\pm 0.0155}$	$0.5586^{\pm 0.0159}$	$^{+}0.7404^{\pm0.0106}$	-0.7760 ± 0.016	0.7612 ± 0.021	0.7936 ± 0.0279	70.7725 ± 0.0192	10.8181 ± 0.0332	$50.7579^{\pm0.0212}$	$0.7576^{\pm 0.0395}$	50.7576 ± 0.0395 50.7576 ± 0.0212	
iMSW	$72.2985^{\pm 0.1220}$	$1.7091^{\pm 0.092}_{\pm 0.077}$	$1.0745^{\pm 0.019}$	0.7473 0.5119 ± 0.003	0.4259 ± 0.0210	$0.3104^{\pm 0.017}$	$0.2041^{\pm 0.002}$	$0.0912^{\pm 0.0010}$	0.0573 ± 0.001	0.0381 ± 0.000	$0.0107^{\pm 0.000}$	$0.0086^{\pm 0.000}$	0.0031 ± 0.000	0.1088 ± 0.006	$0.1784^{\pm 0.0108}$	$0.3224^{\pm 0.0322}$	$0.4836^{\pm 0.0280}$	$0.7778^{\pm0.016}$	-0.7751 ± 0.020	0.7709 ± 0.031	0.7892 ± 0.032	0.7957±0.032	$0.7793^{\pm 0.038}$	$0.7576^{\pm 0.030}$	0.7747 ± 0.002	0.7747 ± 0.002	·····
MaxKSW	$2.9073^{\pm 0.3947}$	$2.0292^{\pm 0.2711}$	1.7169 ^{±0.1051}	1	$0.7843^{\pm 0.0256}$	$-0.5545^{\pm 0.0083}$	$0.4019^{\pm 0.0028}$	$0.1792^{\pm 0.001}$	0.1514 $0.1104^{\pm 0.0031}$	$0.0788^{\pm 0.0018}$	$0.0342^{\pm 0.000}$	0.0282 ± 0.000	0.0080 ± 0.000	$0.0639^{\pm 0.0039}$	$0.0922^{\pm 0.0046}$	$0.2096^{\pm 0.0176}$	$30.3481^{\pm0.0071}$	$0.7607^{\pm0.0420}$	0.7571 ± 0.0153	$0.7738^{+0.018}$	0.7582±0.0105000000000000000000000000000000000	0.7387 07104 ^{±0.0534}	$0.7807^{\pm 0.0192}$	$0.7561^{\pm 0.0425}$	$0.7627^{\pm 0.0285}$	0.7627 ± 0.0285	0./.JUL
DSW	$3.0902^{\pm 0.1026}$	$12.4792 \pm 0.2602 \pm 0.333$	1.0806±0.049999999999999999	0.8920 0.1853 ± 0.0391	0.1659 ± 0.0560	$^{0.0734}$	$0.0374^{\pm0.0089}$	$0.0125^{\pm 0.0025}$	0.0064 ± 0.0006	$0.0036^{\pm 0.000}$	$0.0015^{\pm 0.000}$	0.0010 ± 0.000	0.000/±0.0000	$0.0395^{\pm 0.0020}$	$30.0564^{\pm0.0037}$	0.1307 ± 0.0060	10.2907 ± 0.0178	$0.7517^{\pm 0.028}$	0.7445 ± 0.023	0.7146 ± 0.010	0.7752 ± 0.014	0.7921 ± 0.0231	$0.8113^{\pm 0.068}$	$0.7775^{\pm 0.0287}$	$0.7955^{\pm 0.0397}$	0.7955 ± 0.039	0.1 1 1 0 030 ⁻
MaxSW	4 4.4368 ^{±0.0960}	$^{0}3.4799^{\pm0.054}$	*2.7423±0.721 81 0470±0.1703	$51.2519^{\pm 0.0267}$	$^{4}1.0781 \pm 0.0115$	$^{6}0.7808^{\pm0.011}$	50.5466 ± 0.010	20.2788± ^{0.009} ، 7	$^{0.2446}_{0.1762\pm0.0045}$	$^{2}0.1282^{\pm0.0017}$	0.0597±0.000	0.0499±0.000	$0.0342^{-0.072}$	$^{2}0.0530^{\pm0.0060}$	$20.0706^{\pm 0.0028}$	$^{7}0.1373^{\pm0.000}$	$50.2441^{\pm0.000}$	$^{8}0.7585 \pm 0.005$	50.7701 ± 0.0470	0.7580 ± 0.040	$^{0.7806 \pm 0.0580}$	$^{7}_{0.7643}^{+0.0225}$	$^{3}0.7974^{\pm0.0291}$	$30.7476\pm0.042t$	20.7859 ± 0.0271	² 0.7859 ^{±0.027} ³ 0.7476 ^{±0.0426}	0.1710 2
SW	$0.7900^{\pm 0.070}$	$0.6047^{\pm 0.0851}$	0.3459 ± 0.023	0.2229 0.0819 ± 0.0050	$0.0592^{\pm0.001}$	$0.0402^{\pm 0.002}$	$0.0234^{\pm0.002}$	$0.0073^{\pm 0.000}$	-10.0038 ± 0.000	$^{-1}0.0026^{\pm0.000}$	-10.0008 ^{±0.000}	$^{-2}0.0006^{\pm0.000}$	$^{-2}$ 0.0004 $^{-2}$	$^{-2}0.1699^{\pm0.026}$	$^{-3}0.2678^{\pm0.029}$	$^{-3}0.4264^{\pm0.022}$	$^{-3}0.5863^{\pm0.043}$	$^{-3}0.7987^{\pm0.011}$	-40.7785 ± 0.000	$^{-4}0.7931 \pm 0.071$	-40.7726 ± 0.003	$^{-5}0.7802^{\pm0.014'}$	-50.7682 ± 0.040	$-50.7980^{\pm 0.051}$	$^{-9}0.7860^{\pm0.013}$	-60.7860 ± 0.013 .	
R	00	02	20	<u>,</u>	2 ~~			-	5 × 10 5 × 10 ⁻	3 × 10 ⁻	1×10^{-1}	3×10^{-1}	~ 10' ~	× 10 ⁻	3×10^{-1}	5×10^{-1}	$10^{-10^{-1}}$	× 10 ⁻	$10^{-10^{-1}}$	5×10^{-1}	3 × 10 ⁻	× 10-	5×10^{-1}	3×10^{-1}	1 × 10 ⁻ 3 × 10 ⁻	5 × 10 ⁻	2 2 2

Table 8: Numerical results for Gradient Flow with the Knot dataset (d = 50)

21	6	0
21	6	1
21	6	2
21	6	3
21	6	4
21	6	5
21	6	6
21	6	7
21	6	8
21	6	9
21	7	0
21	7	1
21	7	2
21	7	3
21	7	4
21	7	5
21	7	6
21	7	7
21	7	8
21	7	9
21	8	0
21	8	1
21	8	2
21	8	3
21	8	4
21	8	5
21	8	6
21	8	7
21	8	8
21	8	9
21	9	0
21	9	1
21	9	2
21	9	3
21	9	4
21	9	5
21	9	6
21	9	7
21	9	8
21	9	9
22	0	0
22	0	1
22	0	2
22	0	3
22	0	4
22	0	5
22	0	6
22	0	7
22	0	8
22	0	9
22	1	0

EBRPSW	$\begin{array}{c} 8.2852\pm0.4012\\ 5.6422\pm0.3327\\ 5.64222\pm0.3327\\ 5.64222\pm0.3327\\ 1.58941\pm0.1512\\ 1.58941\pm0.1512\\ 1.5850\pm0.0054\\ 0.00239\pm0.0003\\ 0.0039\pm0.0003\\ 0.0002\pm0.0003\\ 0.00002\pm0.0003\\ 0.000002\pm0.0003\\ 0.000002\pm0.00002\\ 0.00000000000000000\\ 0.0000000000$
RPSW	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2582 \pm 0.1655 \\ 1.1278 \pm 0.1272 \\ 0.6737 \pm 0.0310 \\ 0.6737 \pm 0.0314 \\ 0.0.6737 \pm 0.0314 \\ 0.0.1442 \pm 0.0073 \\ 0.0.1110 \pm 0.0185 \\ 0.0.0723 \pm 0.001 \\ 0.0081 \pm 0.0001 \\ 0.00012 \pm 0.0001 \\ 0.00013 \pm 0.0001 \\ 0.00012 \pm 0.0001 \\ 0.00013 \pm 0.00010 \\ 0.00013 \pm 0.00000 \\ 0.000100 \\ 0.0000000000000000$
EBSW	 8. 2665 ±0.6763 56. 7290 ±00731 56. 7290 ±00731 56. 7290 ±00731 58. 5307 ±0.1181 58. 7393 ±0.0917 59. 6625 ±0.0213 81. 6625 ±0.0026 80. 0395 ±0.0007 80. 0007 ±0.0000 90. 0008 ±0.0004 90. 0008 ±0.0000 90. 0007 ±0.0000 90. 0007 ±0.0000 90. 0002 ±0.0000 90. 0002 ±0.0000 91. 0122 ±0.0105 91. 1013 ±0.023 91. 1014 ±0.0724 91. 1024 ±0.0072 91. 1024 ±0.0072 91. 1024 ±0.0072 91. 1024 ±0.0072
rMSW	$\begin{array}{c} 151,0225\pm0.025;\\ 10,0.8837\pm0.081;\\ 10,0.8837\pm0.081;\\ 10,0.5515\pm0.006;\\ 10,0.5515\pm0.009;\\ 10,0.1136\pm0.009;\\ 10,0.1136\pm0.009;\\ 10,0.0120\pm0.000;\\ 10,0.000\pm0.000;\\ 10,0.000\pm0.000;\\ 10,0.00\pm0.000;\\ 10,0.00\pm0.000;\\ 10,0.00\pm0.000;\\ 10,0.00\pm0.000;\\ 10,0.00\pm0.000;\\ 10,0.00\pm0.000;\\ 10,0.00\pm0.000;\\ 11,0.00\pm0.000;\\ 10,0.0$
oMSWo	$\begin{array}{c} 90.7655 \pm 0.077\\ 30.6729 \pm 0.056\\ 00.4052 \pm 0.037\\ 40.2560 \pm 0.0037\\ 20.0733 \pm 0.001\\ 20.0733 \pm 0.001\\ 20.00753 \pm 0.002\\ 20.0000253 \pm 0.002\\ 20.00000253 \pm 0.002\\ 20.00000000000000000000000000000$
viMSW	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 20.925 \pm 0.0100 \\ 20.991 \pm 0.021 \\ 30.055 \pm 0.002 \\ 30.055 \pm 0.002 \\ 30.01076 \pm 0.008 \\ 30.01076 \pm 0.008 \\ 30.00035 \pm 0.000 \\ 30.00033 \pm 0.000 \\ 30.0003 \pm 0.0003 \\ 30.0003 \\ 30.0003 \\ 30.0003 \pm 0.0003 \\ 30$
iMSW	$5^3 2.315 \pm 0.01$ $3^2 1.5470 \pm 0.02$ $90 1.0823 \pm 0.07$ $50 1.2381 \pm 0.02$ $50 1.2381 \pm 0.02$ $50 1.2381 \pm 0.02$ $40 0.6727 \pm 0.00$ $90 0.5404 \pm 0.01$ $17 0.0350 \pm 0.00$ $17 0.03550 \pm 0.00$ $17 0.0122 \pm 0.00$ $10 0.0102 \pm 0.00$ $10 0.0025 \pm 0.000$ $10 0.0025 \pm 0.000$ 1
MaxKSW	
MSU	881 7.308 ±0.2 741 2.1255 ±0.5 562 1.5538 ±0.10 589 562 1.5538 580 1.5136 ±0.00 580 0.8965 ±0.00 580 0.1219 ±0.00 587 0.0892 ±0.00 586 0.1016 ±0.00 570 0.0142 ±0.00 560 0.0012 ±0.00 500 0.0012 ±0.00 500 0.0012 ±0.00 500 0.0012 ±0.00 500 0.0012 ±0.00 500 0.0012 ±0.00 500 0.0012 ±0.00 500 0.0012 ±0.00 500 0.0012 ±0.00 500 0.0012 ±0.00 500 0.0012 ±0.00 500 0.0012 ±0.00 500 0.0012 ±0.00 500 0.0012
MaxSW	$\begin{array}{c} 1595,2763\pm0.02\\ 888,4.4078\pm0.8\\ 4583,1516\pm0.4,478\pm0.8\\ 4583,1516\pm0.4,4811\pm0.0\\ 0051,4811\pm0.0\\ 0051,4811\pm0.0\\ 0050,7394\pm0.0\\ 0030,02975\pm0.0\\ 0030,02975\pm0.0\\ 0030,02975\pm0.0\\ 0030,0294\pm0.0\\ 0010,0744\pm0.0\\ 0010,0338\pm0.0\\ 0010,0385\pm0.0\\ 0010,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,00$
SW	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7547\pm0.0\\ 0.7661\pm0.0\\ 0.7061\pm0.0\\ 0.126\pm0.0\\ 0.2126\pm0.0\\ 0.2126\pm0.0\\ 0.2164\pm0.0\\ 0.0701\pm0.0\\ 0.0387\pm0.0\\ 0.0387\pm0.0\\ 0.0387\pm0.0\\ 0.00799\pm0.0\\ 0.0005\pm0.0\\ 0.0005\pm0.0\\ 0.00005\pm0.0\\ 0.00005\pm0.0\\ 0.000000\pm0.0\\ 0.000000\pm0.0\\ 0.000000\pm0.0\\ 0.000000\pm0.0\\ 0.000000\pm0.0\\ 0.000000\pm0.0\\ 0.000000\pm0.0\\ 0.0000000\pm0.0\\ 0.000000\pm0.0\\ 0.000000\pm0.0\\ 0.00000000\pm0.0\\ 0.0000000\pm0.0\\ 0.00000000\pm0.0\\ 0.0000000000$
LR	100 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 38 39 31 32 33

Table 9: Numerical results for Gradient Flow with the 8 Gaussians dataset (d = 50)

22	1	5
22	1	6
22	1	7
22	1	8
22	1	9
22	2	0
22	2	1
22	2	2
22	2	3
22	2	4
22	2	5
22	2	6
22	2	7
22	2	8
22	2	9
22	3	0
22	3	1
22	3	2
22	3	3
22	3	4
22	3	5
22	3	6
22	3	7
22	3	8
22	3	9
22	4	0
		-1
22	4	
22 22	4	2
22 22 22	4 4 4	2
22 22 22 22	24 24 24	2 3 4
22 22 22 22 22 22	24 24 24 24	2 3 4 5
22 22 22 22 22 22 22	24 24 24 24	2 3 4 5 6
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	24 24 24 24 24 24	2 3 4 5 6 7
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22		2 3 4 5 6 7 8
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	24 24 24 24 24 24 24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	4444445555	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	444444555555	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	44444444 55555 5555	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	4444444555555555555555	234567890123456
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	444444455555555555555555555555555555555	2345678901234567
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	444444445555555555555555555555555555555	23456789012345678
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	234567890123456789
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	4444444455555555556	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	4444444555555555666	23456789012345678901
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	4444444455555555555566666	234567890123456789012
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 6	2345678901234567890123

EBRPSW	$\begin{array}{c} 28,9753\pm0.7660\\ 87,5470\pm0.5091\\ 74,4983\pm0.4854\\ 52,5791\pm0.0469\\ 90,9923\pm0.0272\\ 30,9426\pm0.0210\\ 30,0407\pm0.0006\\ 30,0076\pm0.0008\\ 30,0076\pm0.0002\\ 00,00122\pm0.0084\\ 00,00122\pm0.0084\\ 00,0002\pm0.0002\\ 00,0022\pm0.0002\\ 00,0022\pm0.00022\pm0\\ 00$
RPSW	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2748\pm0.0333\\ 1.1116\pm0.0758\\ 0.6496\pm0.0087\\ 0.6496\pm0.0087\\ 0.3805\pm0.047(0,0023805\pm0.0047)\\ 0.1323\pm0.0028\\ 0.01118\pm0.0006\\ 0.01118\pm0.0006\\ 0.0015\pm0.0006\\ 0.0015\pm0.0006\\ 0.00015\pm0.0006\\ 0.00015\pm0.0006\\ 0.00011\pm0.0152\\ 0.00015\pm0.0006\\ 0.00011\pm0.0153\\ 0.00015\pm0.0006\\ 0.00011\pm0.0153\\ 0.00011\pm0.0153\\ 0.0001\pm0.0006\\ 0.00011\pm0.0015\\ 0.00011\pm0.0015\\ 0.00011\pm0.0015\\ 0.000111\pm0.0153\\ 0.000111\pm0.0015\\ 0.000111\pm0.0015\\ 0.000111\pm0.0015\\ 0.000111\pm0.0024\\ 0.000111\pm0.0024\\ 0.000111\pm0.0024\\ 0.000111\pm0.0015\\ 0.000111\pm0.0024\\ 0.0000111\pm0.0024\\ 0.0000111\pm0.0024\\ 0.0000111\pm0.0024\\ 0.0000111\pm0.0024\\ 0.0000111\pm0.0000\\ 0.000111\pm0.0000\\ 0.0000111\pm0.0000\\ 0.0000111\pm0.0000\\ 0.000111\pm0.0000\\ 0.0000111\pm0.0000\\ 0.00001111\pm0.0000\\ 0.00001111\pm0.0000\\ 0.00001111\pm0.0000\\ 0.00001111\pm0.0000\\ 0.00001111\pm0.0000\\ 0.00001111\pm0.00000\\ 0.00001111\pm0.0000\\ 0.00001111\pm0.00000\\ 0.00001111\pm0.00000\\ 0.00001111\pm0.00000\\ 0.00001111\pm0.00000\\ 0.00001111\pm0.00000\\ 0.00001111\pm0.00000\\ 0.00000000000000\\ 0.0000000000$
EBSW	$\begin{array}{l} 8.9485 \pm 0.8883 \\ 8.9485 \pm 0.8884 \\ 7.5420 \pm 0.9074 \\ 7.5420 \pm 0.9074 \\ 7.5420 \pm 0.9003 \\ 1.0026 \pm 0.0236 \\ 0.0543 \pm 0.0348 \\ 0.0414 \pm 0.0061 \\ 0.00271 \pm 0.0001 \\ 0.0002 \pm 0.0001 \\ 0.0007 \pm 0.0001 \\ 0.0002 \pm 0.00001 \\ 0.00002 \pm 0.00001 \\ 0.000000000000000000000000000$
rMSW	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0492\pm0.0837\\ 0.9389\pm0.0556\\ 0.9389\pm0.0556\\ 0.9389\pm0.0257\\ 0.3475\pm0.0257\\ 0.01114\pm0.0134\\ 0.00887\pm0.0075\\ 0.00822\pm0.0020\\ 0.00113\pm0.0020\\ 0.00113\pm0.0000\\ 0.00113\pm0.0000\\ 0.0011242\pm0.0010\\ 0.0003\pm0.0000\\ 0.00011242\pm0.0033\\ 0.011244\pm0.0032\\ 0.012182\pm0.0033\\ 0.011244\pm0.0032\\ 0.012182\pm0.0033\\ 0.011241\pm0.00320\\ 0.012182\pm0.0032\\ 0.011241\pm0.00320\\ 0.02182\pm0.0032\\ 0.02182\pm0.0022\\ 0.0218\pm0.0022\\ 0.0218\pm0.0022\\ 0.0218\pm0.0022\\ 0.0218\pm0.0022\\ 0.0218\pm0.0022\\ 0.0218\pm0.0022\\ 0.021$
oMSW	$(0.77226\pm0.054;$ $0.5743\pm0.086;$ $0.5743\pm0.086;$ $0.3697\pm0.068;$ $0.03697\pm0.068;$ $0.0387\pm0.0024;$ $0.0583\pm0.000;$ $0.00582\pm0.000;$ $0.0072\pm0.000;$ $0.0072\pm0.000;$ $0.0072\pm0.000;$ $0.0073\pm0.000;$ $0.0073\pm0.000;$ $0.0007\pm0.000;$ $0.0007\pm0.000;$ $0.0007\pm0.000;$ $0.0002\pm0.000;$ $0.7442\pm0.015;$ $0.7442\pm0.015;$ $0.7442\pm0.015;$ $0.7442\pm0.015;$ $0.7431\pm0.000;$ $0.7432\pm0.005;$ $0.7432\pm0.005;$ $0.7432\pm0.005;$ $0.7897\pm0.005;$ $0.7897\pm0.005;$ $0.8135\pm0.005;$ $0.8135\pm0.005;$ $0.8135\pm0.005;$ $0.8135\pm0.005;$ $0.8135\pm0.005;$ $0.8135\pm0.005;$ $0.8135\pm0.005;$ $0.8135\pm0.005;$ $0.8135\pm0.005;$ $0.8135\pm0.005;$ $0.8135\pm0.005;$ $0.8135\pm0.005;$ $0.8135\pm0.005;$ $0.8135\pm0.005;$ $0.8135\pm0.005;$ $0.8253\pm0.005;$ $0.8253\pm0.005;$ $0.8253\pm0.005;$ $0.8253\pm0.005;$ $0.8253\pm0.005;$ $0.8253\pm0.005;$ $0.8253\pm0.005;$ $0.8253\pm0.005;$ $0.8253\pm0.005;$ $0.8253\pm0.005;$ $0.8253\pm0.005;$ $0.8253\pm0.005;$ $0.8253\pm0.005;$ $0.8253\pm0.005;$ $0.8253\pm0.005;$ $0.8253\pm0.005;$ $0.8253\pm0.005;$ $0.8253\pm0.005;$ $0.8135\pm0.005;$ $0.8253\pm0.005;$ $0.8135\pm0.005;$ $0.815\pm0.005;$ $0.815\pm0.005;$ $0.815\pm0.005;$ $0.815\pm0.005;$ 0.8
viMSW	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2985 \pm 0.0436\\ 0.9497 \pm 0.0181\\ 0.5304 \pm 0.0832\\ 0.5304 \pm 0.0832\\ 0.5304 \pm 0.0832\\ 0.03342 \pm 0.0123\\ 0.00334 \pm 0.0003\\ 0.00105 \pm 0.0003\\ 0.0011 \pm 0.0002\\ 0.0011 \pm 0.0003\\ 0.0011 \pm 0.0003\\ 0.0011 \pm 0.0003\\ 0.0011 \pm 0.0003\\ 0.0003 \pm 0.0003\\ 0.0003 \pm 0.0003\\ 0.0003 \pm 0.0003\\ 0.00010 \pm 0.0003\\ 0.00010 \pm 0.0003\\ 0.00010 \pm 0.0000\\ 0.00010 \pm 0.0000\\ 0.00010 \pm 0.0000\\ 0.0003 \pm 0.0000\\ 0.0003 \pm 0.00003\\ 0.00003 \pm 0.00003\\ 0.00003 \pm 0.00003\\ 0.00003 \pm 0.0000\\ 0.00000000\\ 0.000000000\\ 0.00000000$
iMSW	$\begin{array}{c} 2.0662\pm0.1062\\ 1.6892\pm0.1181\\ 1.6892\pm0.018\\ 0.7396\pm0.0385\\ 0.5016\pm0.0059\\ 0.4534\pm0.0012\\ 0.3198\pm0.0128\\ 0.3198\pm0.0128\\ 0.3198\pm0.00128\\ 0.00555\pm0.0005\\ 0.00555\pm0.0005\\ 0.00555\pm0.0001\\ 0.00555\pm0.0001\\ 0.00555\pm0.0001\\ 0.00555\pm0.0001\\ 0.00555\pm0.0001\\ 0.00555\pm0.0001\\ 0.00021\pm0.0001\\ 0.00021\pm0.0001\\ 0.00021\pm0.0001\\ 0.00021\pm0.00023\\ 0.00021\pm0.00023\\ 0.000221\pm0.00023\\ 0.00022235\pm0.0006\\ 0.8007\pm0.00234\\ 0.8007\pm0.000234\\ 0.8007\pm0.000234\\ 0.8007\pm0.000234\\ 0.8007\pm0.000234\\ 0.8007\pm0.000234\\ 0.8007\pm0.000234\\ 0.8007\pm0.000234\\ 0.8007\pm0.000234\\ 0.8007\pm0.000234\\ $
MaxKSW	$\begin{array}{c} 2.5629 \pm 0.4443\\ 2.1054 \pm 0.0841\\ 1.5428 \pm 0.0831\\ 1.5428 \pm 0.0838\\ 1.5428 \pm 0.0304\\ 0.8623 \pm 0.0304\\ 0.7273 \pm 0.0135\\ 0.5057 \pm 0.0135\\ 0.3866 \pm 0.0043\\ 0.1229 \pm 0.0012\\ 0.1232 \pm 0.0012\\ 0.0232 \pm 0.0013\\ 0.0124 \pm 0.002\\ 0.0232 \pm 0.0013\\ 0.0124 \pm 0.002\\ 0.0232 \pm 0.0013\\ 0.0124 \pm 0.002\\ 0.0258 \pm 0.0033\\ 0.07958 \pm 0.0033\\ 0.7965 \pm 0.0033\\ 0$
DSW	$\begin{array}{c} 2.9805\pm0.0642\\ 2.2192\pm0.2220\\ 0.9065\pm0.0385\\ 0.2052\pm0.0281\\ 0.2052\pm0.0281\\ 0.2052\pm0.0028\\ 0.0019\pm0.0003\\ 0.001019\pm0.0002\\ 0.00113\pm0.0000\\ 0.00013\pm0.0000\\ 0.00113\pm0.0000\\ 0.00113\pm0.0000\\ 0.00113\pm0.0000\\ 0.00011\pm0.0001\\ 0.00013\pm0.0000\\ 0.000111\pm0.0001\\ 0.00013\pm0.0000\\ 0.000112\pm0.0003\\ 0.00112\pm0.0003\\ 0.00013\pm0.0003\\ 0.00013\pm0.0003\\ 0.00013\pm0.0003\\ 0.00013\pm0.0003\\ 0.00013\pm0.0003\\ 0.00032\pm0.0003\\ 0.7786\pm0.0073\\ 0.7787\pm0.0073\\ 0.7787\pm0.0023\\ 0.7781\pm0.0023\\ 0.7781\pm0$
MaxSW	$\begin{array}{c} 4.1470\pm0.0352\\ 3.2381\pm0.0367\\ 3.23955\pm0.5774\\ 1.2179\pm0.0184\\ 1.2179\pm0.0184\\ 1.2179\pm0.0184\\ 1.2179\pm0.0184\\ 0.7122\pm0.0034\\ 0.25158\pm0.0031\\ 0.25158\pm0.0031\\ 0.25158\pm0.0031\\ 0.2754\pm0.0036\\ 0.0598\pm0.0047\\ 0.0578\pm0.0036\\ 0.0578\pm0.0036\\ 0.0575\pm0.0036\\ 0.0575\pm0.0036\\ 0.0571\pm0.0068\\ 0.03810\pm0.003\\ 0.8205\pm0.0038\\ 0.82115\pm0.0068\\ 0.8205\pm0.0038\\ 0.8205\pm0.$
sw	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7762\pm0.1022\\ 0.6647\pm0.0355\\ 0.35956\pm0.0427\\ 0.2592\pm0.0305\\ 0.0843\pm0.0065\\ 0.00563\pm0.0017\\ 0.0399\pm0.0039\\ 0.0399\pm0.0039\\ 0.0084\pm0.0006\\ 10.0008\pm0.0001\\ 0.00084\pm0.0006\\ 10.0003\pm0.0003\\ 0.00084\pm0.0001\\ 0.00082\pm0.0011\\ 0.00082\pm0.0011\\ 0.00082\pm0.0011\\ 0.00082\pm0.0011\\ 0.00082\pm0.0011\\ 0.00082\pm0.0015\\ 0.000182\pm0.0015\\ 0.013162\pm0.0025\\ 0.035586\pm0.0015\\ 0.00082\pm0.0005\\ 0.035586\pm0.0015\\ 0.035586\pm0.0025\\ 0.035586\pm0.0015\\ 0.035586\pm0.0015\\ 0.035586\pm0.0025\\ 0.03457\pm0.0016\\ 0.034582\pm0.0056\\ 0.03457\pm0.0016\\ 0.03457\pm0.0056\\ 0.03457\pm0.0056\\ 0.03457\pm0.0016\\ 0.03457\pm0.0056\\ 0.03455\pm0.0056\\ 0.03455\pm0.0055\\ 0.03455\pm0.0056\\ 0.03455\pm0.0055\\ 0.03455\pm0.0056\\ 0.03455\pm0.0055\\ 0.03455\pm0.0055\\ 0.03455\pm0.0055\\ 0.0355\pm0.0055\\ 0.0355\pm0.0055\pm0.0055\\ 0.0355\pm0.0055\\ 0.0055\pm0.0055\\ 0.005\pm0.0055\\ 0.005\pm0.0055\\ 0$
LR	100 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30

Table 10: Numerical results for Gradient Flow with the Swiss dataset $\left(d=50\right)$

2	2	6	9
2	2	7	0
2	2	7	1
2	2	7	2
2	2	7	3
2	2	7	4
2	2	7	5
2	2	7	6
2	2	7	7
2	2	7	8
2	2	7	9
2	2	8	0
2	2	8	1
2	2	8	2
2	2	8	3
2	2	8	4
2	2	8	5
2	2	8	6
2	2	8	7
2	2	8	8
2	2	8	9
2	2	9	0
2	2	9	1
2	2	9	2
2	- 2	q	3
2	2	9	4
2	- 2	q	5
2	2	g	6
2	2	g	7
2	2	g	8
2	2	g	9
2	2	ñ	0
2	2 2	n	1
2	с 2	n 0	2
2	2 2	n 0	2
2	2 2	n 0	<u>л</u>
2	2 2	n 0	5
2	2 2	n 0	6
2	2 2	n 0	7
2	2 2	n 0	2
2	2 2	n 0	a
2	2	1	0
2	3	1	1
2	3	1	2
2	3	1	3
2	3	1	4
2	3	1	5
2	3	1	6
2	3	1	7
2	3 2	1	۲ ۵
4	J	1	0

2323
2324
2325
2326
2327
2328
2329
2330
2331
2332
2333
2334
2335
2336
2337
2338
2339
2340
2341
2342
2343
2344
2345
2346
2347
2348
2349
2350
2351
2352
2353
2354
2355
2356
2357
2358
2359
2000
2360
2360 2361
2360 2361 2362
2360 2361 2362 2363
2360 2361 2362 2363 2364
2360 2361 2362 2363 2364 2365
2360 2361 2362 2363 2364 2365 2366
2360 2361 2362 2363 2364 2365 2366 2366
2360 2361 2362 2363 2364 2365 2366 2367 2368
2360 2361 2362 2363 2364 2365 2366 2366 2367 2368 2369
2360 2361 2362 2363 2364 2365 2366 2367 2368 2369 2370
2360 2361 2362 2363 2364 2365 2366 2367 2368 2369 2370 2371

EBRPSW	$\begin{array}{l} 1.5940^{\pm 0.2557}\\ 1.5940^{\pm 0.257}\\ 3.6760^{\pm 0.0457}\\ 1.9169^{\pm 0.00229}\\ 1.8146^{\pm 0.1152}\\ 1.9169^{\pm 0.00229}\\ 1.8146^{\pm 0.1152}\\ 0.0435^{\pm 0.0002}\\ 0.0133^{\pm 0.0001}\\ 0.00188^{\pm 0.0001}\\ 0.00188^{\pm 0.0001}\\ 0.0016^{\pm 0.00018}\\ 0.000188^{\pm 0.00018}\\ 0.00014^{\pm 0.00018}\\ 0.00014^{\pm 0.00018}\\ 0.00014^{\pm 0.00018}\\ 0.00014^{\pm 0.00018}\\ 0.000188^{\pm 0.00118}\\ 0.0539^{\pm 0.00188}\\ 0.1655^{\pm 0.00288}\\ 1.0975^{\pm 0.0288}\\ 1.0975^{\pm 0.0288}\\ 1.0975^{\pm 0.0288}\\ 1.0975^{\pm 0.0288}\\ 1.0975^{\pm 0.0288}\\ 1.0978^{\pm 0.029118}\\ 1.0978^{\pm 0.02918}\\ 1.0958^{\pm 0.02918}\\ 1.0958^{\pm 0.02918}\\ 1.0958^{\pm 0.02918}\\ 1.0958^{\pm 0.00918}\\ 1.0058^{\pm 0.00918}\\ 1.0$
RPSW	$(0.9537\pm0.0065]$ (0.7804 ± 0.0251) (0.7804 ± 0.0253) (0.5273 ± 0.0293) (0.5273 ± 0.0293) (0.0903 ± 0.0086) (0.0903 ± 0.0001) (0.0134 ± 0.0001) (0.00134 ± 0.0001) (0.0013 ± 0.0001) (0.0013 ± 0.0001) (0.0013 ± 0.0001) (0.0013 ± 0.0001) (0.0013 ± 0.0001) (0.0013 ± 0.0001) (0.0013 ± 0.0001) (0.0013 ± 0.0001) (0.0013 ± 0.0001) (0.0013 ± 0.0001) (0.0013 ± 0.0001) (0.0013 ± 0.0001) (0.0013 ± 0.0001) (0.0013 ± 0.0001) (0.0013 ± 0.0001) (0.0013 ± 0.0001) (0.0013 ± 0.0001) (0.0013 ± 0.0001) (0.0001 ± 0.0000) (0.301 ± 0.0002) (0.0002 ± 0.0002) $(0.0002\pm0.$
EBSW	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
rMSW	01.0054±0.0460 50.8045±0.0388 50.8045±0.0388 90.4982±0.0160 40.2956±0.0055 50.0781±0.0051 50.0781±0.0021 50.0192±0.001 50.01781±0.0021 50.01781±0.0021 50.0105±0.0001 50.0105±0.0001 50.0105±0.0001 50.0105±0.0001 50.0105±0.0001 50.0105±0.0001 50.0105±0.0001 50.0105±0.0001 50.0105±0.0001 50.0105±0.0001 50.0105±0.0001 50.0105±0.0001 50.0105±0.0003 50.010571±0.0024 50.111357±0.0023 51.11357±0.0023 51.11357±0.0023 51.11357±0.0023 51.11357±0.0023 51.11357±0.0023 51.11357±0.0023 51.11357±0.0023 51.11357±0.0023 51.11357±0.0023 51.11357±0.0023 51.11367±0.0023 51.1137±0.0023 51.1137±0.0023 51.11148±0.00433 51.11148±0.00433
0MSW	$\begin{array}{c} \hline 070, 8228 \pm 0.055\\ 660, 4036 \pm 0.02\\ 660, 4036 \pm 0.02\\ 660, 4036 \pm 0.02\\ 680, 0.4015 \pm 0.00\\ 1810, 0862 \pm 0.00\\ 000, 001412 \pm 0.00\\ 000, 001412 \pm 0.00\\ 000, 001288 \pm 0.00\\ 000, 001288 \pm 0.00\\ 000, 00128 \pm 0.00\\ 000, 00112 \pm 0.00\\ 100, 0003 \pm 0.00\\ 100$
viMSW	$\begin{array}{c} 665 & 0.9238 \pm 0.01 \\ 782 & 0.7803 \pm 0.02 \\ 018 & 0.4871 \pm 0.06 \\ 652 & 0.2752 \pm 0.06 \\ 652 & 0.2752 \pm 0.00 \\ 116 & 0.0996 \pm 0.00 \\ 000 & 0.01024 \pm 0.00 \\ 000 & 0.0017 \pm 0.00 \\ 000 & 0.0017 \pm 0.00 \\ 000 & 0.0017 \pm 0.00 \\ 000 & 0.0008 \pm 0.0008 \\ 000 & $
/ iMSW	2012 2.0599 ±0.0 1011 1.6259 ±0.0 1012 1.3570 ±0.0 102 1.3570 ±0.0 1019 0.8413 ±0.0 1018 0.2748 ±0.0 1019 0.0135 ±0.0 1015 0.042 ±0.0 1015 0.042 ±0.0 1016 1.02579 ±0.0 1016 1.02579 ±0.0 1016 1.02579 ±0.0 1016 1.0256 ±0.0 1016 1.0256 ±0.0 1016 1.0226 ±0.0 1016 1.0226 ±0.0 1016 1.0226 ±0.0 1016 1.0226 ±0.0 1016 1.0226 ±0.0 1016 1.0226 ±0.0 1018 1.0579 ±0.0 1018 ±0.0 1018 1.0579 ±0.0 1018 1.0570 ±0.0 1
MaxKSW	1,1213,3,288,2±0. 1,1707,2,833,5±0. 1,1707,2,833,5±0. 1,0061,2,563,7±0. 1,00081,2,563,7±0. 1,00150,1,146,5±0. 1,0020,0,007,3±0. 1,0001,0,057,3±0. 1,0001,0,057,3±0. 1,0001,0,057,3±0. 1,0001,0,057,3±0. 1,0001,0,057,3±0. 1,0001,0,057,3±0. 1,0001,0,057,3±0. 1,0001,0,057,3±0. 1,0001,0,020,4±0. 1,0001,0,020,4±0. 1,0001,0,020,4±0. 1,0001,0,020,4±0. 1,0001,0,020,4±0. 1,0001,0,020,4±0. 1,0001,0,020,4±0. 1,0001,0,020,4±0. 1,0001,0,020,4±0. 1,0001,0,020,4±0. 1,0011,0,020,4±0. 1,0020,0,017,1,00,4±0. 1,0071,1,00,4±0. 1,0071,1,00,4±0. 1,0071,1,00,4±0. 1,0071,1,00,4±0. 1,0071,1,10,7±1. 1,0071,1,10,7±1. 1,0071,1,10,7±1. 1,0071,1,10,7±1. 1,0071,1,10,7±1. 1,0071,1,077,1,07
W DSW	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0473 \pm 1.00473 \pm 1.00473 \pm 1.00473 \pm 1.00473 \pm 1.00425 \pm 3.658 \pm 1.000425 \pm 3.658 \pm 1.000410 \pm 1.00147 \pm 1.00147 \pm 1.000410 \pm 1.00147 \pm 1.000410 \pm 1.000410 \pm 1.000410 \pm 1.000410 \pm 1.00004 \pm 1.00006 \pm 1.00003 \pm 1.00006 \pm 1.00003 \pm 1.00001 \pm 1.000001 \pm 1.0000001 \pm 1.0000000000$
V MaxS	$E_{0.0210}$ 10 2.7462 ± $E_{0.0209}$ 3.0593 ± $E_{0.00154}$ 2.4795 ± $E_{0.00154}$ 2.4795 ± $E_{0.0019}$ 1.5109 ± $E_{0.0019}$ 1.5109 ± $E_{0.0019}$ 1.5109 ± $E_{0.0001}$ 0.1982 ± $E_{0.00019}$ 0.1982 ± $E_{0.0019}$ 1.3337 ± $E_{0.0019}$ 1.3337 ± $E_{0.0019}$ 3.3337 ± $E_{0.0019}$ 3.0047 ± $E_{0.0019}$ 3.0047 ± $E_{0.0019}$ 3.0066 ± $E_{0.0019}$ 3.0067 ± $E_{0.0019}$ 3.0067 ± $E_{0.0019}$ 3.0067 ± $E_{0.0019}$ 3.0067 ± $E_{0.0019}$ 3.0066 ± $E_{0.0019}$ 3.0067 ± $E_{0.0019}$ 3.0075 ± $E_{0.0019}$ 3.0067 ± $E_{0.0019}$ 3.0077 ± $E_{0.0019}$ 3.0067 ± $E_{0.0019}$ 3.0075 ± $E_{0.0019}$ 3.0075 ±
R SV	$\begin{array}{cccccc} & 0 & 0.8999^{\circ} \\ & 0 & 0.5750^{\circ} \\ & 0 & 0.3877^{\circ} \\ & 0 & 0.3877^{\circ} \\ & 0 & 0.2629^{\circ} \\ & 0 & 0.0815^{\circ} \\ & 0 & 0.0676^{\circ} \\ & 0 & 0.00120^{\circ} \\ & \times & 10^{-1} 0.0120^{\circ} \\ & \times & 10^{-1} 0.00120^{\circ} \\ & \times & 10^{-1} 0.0016^{\circ} \\ & \times & 10^{-1} 0.0$
Г	- ∞ ∽ ∽ − ∞ ∽ ∽ − ∞ □ ∞ − ∞ □ ∞ − ∞ □ ∞ − ∞ ∩ ∞ − ∞ ∩ ∞ − ∞ □ ∞ □

Table 12: Numerical results for Gradient Flow with the 8 Gaussians dataset (d = 100)

2	3	7	7	
2	3	7	8	
2	3	7	9	
2	3	8	0	
2	3	8	1	
2	3	8	2	
2	2	0 2	2	
2	ა ი	0	з л	
2	ა ი	0 0	4	
2	ა ი	0	о с	
2	3	8	0	
2	3	ð	1	
2	3	8	8	
2	3	8	9	
2	3	9	0	
2	3	9	1	
2	3	9	2	
2	3	9	3	
2	3	9	4	
2	3	9	5	
2	3	9	6	
2	3	9	7	
2	3	9	8	
2	3	9	9	
2	Δ	ñ	ñ	
2	Л	ñ	1	
2	т Л	0 0	י 2	
2	т л	0	2	
2	4	0	3 4	
2	4	0	4	
2	4	0	5	
2	4	0	6	
2	4	0	7	
2	4	0	8	
2	4	0	9	
2	4	1	0	
2	4	1	1	
2	4	1	2	
2	4	1	3	
2	4	1	4	
2	4	1	5	
2	4	1	6	
2	4	1	7	
2	Δ	1	8	
2	4	1	0	
2	-т Л	- 0	9 0	
2	+	2	-1	
2	4	2	1	
2	4	2	2	
2	4	2	3	
2	4	2	4	
2	4	2	5	
2	4	2	6	
2	4	2	7	

EBRPSW	11.0594±0.980 11.0594±0.980 5.4021±0.4725 5.8089±0.3879 1.4357±0.0320 0.0513±0.0030 0.0178±0.0001 0.0004±0.0001 0.0004±0.0000 0.0004±0.0000 0.0004±0.0000 0.0003±0.0000 0.0003±0.0000 0.0003±0.0000 0.0004±0.0000 0.0003±0.0000 0.0003±0.0000 0.0003±0.0000 0.0003±0.0000 0.0003±0.0000 0.0003±0.0000 0.0003±0.0000 0.0003±0.0000 0.0003±0.0000 0.0003±0.0000 0.07163±0.0128 0.7738±0.0128 0.7738±0.0023000000000000000000000000000000000	$\begin{array}{c} 0.8197 \pm 0.0247 \\ 0.8152 \pm 0.0362 \\ 0.7887 \pm 0.0366 \end{array}$
RPSW	<pre>weasy 9.0.9446±0.0524 9.0.4404±0.0566 0.0.7065±0.0500 8.0.2838±0.0277 6.0.10922±0.0077 6.0.0013±0.0003 3.0.0013±0.0003 3.0.0013±0.0001 0.00013±0.0001 0.00013±0.0001 0.00013±0.0001 0.00013±0.0001 0.00013±0.0003 0.00013±0.0003 0.000133±0.0001 0.000133±0.0004 0.000133±0.0004 0.000133±0.0004 0.000133±0.0004 0.000133±0.0004 0.000133±0.0003 0.000133±0.0003 0.07338±0.0014 0.0775±0.0014 0.0775±0.0014 0.0775±0.0014 0.0775±0.0014 0.0775±0.0014 0.0775±0.0013 0.0777±0.0013 0.0775±0.0013 0.0777±0.0013 0.0777±0.0013 0.0777±0.0013 0.0777±0.0013 0.0777±0.0013 0.0777±0.0014 0.0777±0.0014 0.0777±0.0014 0.0777±0.0014 0.0777±0.0014 0.0777±0.0014 0.0777±0.0014 0.0777±0.0014 0.0777±0.0014 0.0777±0.0014 0.0777±0.0014 0.0777±0.0014 0.0775±0.0014 0.0775±0.0014 0.0775±0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0013 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014</pre>	$\begin{smallmatrix} & 0.000\\ & 2 & 0.8052 \pm 0.0382\\ & 9 & 0.7857 \pm 0.0338\\ & 2 & 0.8207 \pm 0.0338\\ \end{smallmatrix}$
EBSW	15. 14.9925 ±10.91 15. 14.9925 ±10.91 16. 10.8735 ±1.637 16. 10.8735 ±1.637 16. 10.8735 ±1.637 16. 1.2991 ±0.0261 16. 1.2991 ±0.0261 17. 1.2991 ±0.0261 18. 4.2188 ±0.2061 19. 1.1655 ±0.001 19. 1.1655 ±0.001 19. 0.0175 ±0.000 10. 0.0130 ±0.000 10. 0.0017 ±0.000 10. 0.0017 ±0.000 10. 0.0017 ±0.000 10. 0.0017 ±0.000 10. 0.0017 ±0.000 10. 0.0017 ±0.000 10. 0.0011 ±0.000 10. 0.0017 ±0.000 10. 0.0011 ±0.000 10. 0.001	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0.000 \\ 0.000 \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0.000 \\ 0.000 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0.000 \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0.000 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0.000 \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0.000 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0.000 \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0.000 \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0.000 \end{array} \\ $
rMSW	126 1.0229 ±0.031 126 1.0229 ±0.031 126 1.029 ±0.043 1036 0.1088 ±0.043 1036 0.1088 ±0.040 1036 0.1088 ±0.000 1000 0.0098 ±0.000 1000 0.0098 ±0.000 1000 0.0008 ±0.000 1000 0.0008 ±0.000 1000 0.0008 ±0.000 1000 0.0008 ±0.000 1100 0.0005 ±0.0005 1100 0.0005 ±0.0005 100 0.0005 ±0.0005 100 0.0005 ±0.0005 100 0.0005 ±0.0005 100005	$^{695}0.8602 \pm 0.020$ $^{095}0.8099 \pm 0.030$ $^{183}0.8425 \pm 0.033$
0MSW	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0.498 & 0.8580 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.104 & 0.6837 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.113 & 0.2585 \pm 0.0080 & 0.0080 & 0.0080 & 0.0080 & 0.0080 & 0.0080 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0011 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0011 & 0.0011 & 0.0010 & 0.0011 & 0.0011 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.00000 & 0.00000 & 0.00000 & 0.00000 & 0.00000 & 0.00000 & 0.000000 & 0.000000 & 0.00000 & 0.000000 & 0.00000000$	$\begin{array}{c} 0248 \\ 0.248 \\ 0.822 \\ 0.83 \\ 0.83 \\ 0.83 \\ 0.82 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.$
viMSW		$0.0439 0.8371 \pm 0.00376 0.8087 \pm 0.00221 0.7657 \pm 0.00221$
SW iMSW	Norm Norm 0.1277_168 11720 0.1277_178 11720 0.0256 1.1720 0.0255 1.1720 0.0255 1.1720 0.0255 1.1720 0.0255 0.8062 0.0251 0.5424 0.0074 0.3333 0.0071 0.3333 0.0007 0.1282 0.0007 0.1282 0.0007 0.1282 0.0007 0.1282 0.0007 0.1282 0.0007 0.1282 0.0007 0.0034 0.0007 0.0035 0.0007 0.0035 0.0012 0.0124 0.0000 0.0129 0.0000 0.0129 0.0001 0.0129 0.0002 0.1128 0.0002 0.1521 0.0002 0.1521 0.0002 0.1521 0.0002 0.1521 0.0002 0.1521 0.0002	$(0.0368 0.7954 \pm 0.0368 0.7954 \pm 0.0186 0.8474 \pm 0.0244 0.7549 \pm 0.0244 0.7549$
W MaxK	w m_{max}	$\pm 0.0384 \underbrace{0.0384}_{0.03} \underbrace{0.0384}_{0.050} \underbrace{0.0300}_{0.7585} \pm 0.0330 \underbrace{0.8412}_{0.8412}$
xSW DS	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	5 ± 0.0266 0.8146 5 ± 0.0232 0.8045 5 ± 0.0266 0.7709 ²
SW Ma	$\sum_{\substack{mn \\ 3 \pm 0.003}} \frac{mn}{3 \pm 0.003} \frac{3.709^2}{1.709^2} \frac{3.265^2}{2.20014} \frac{2.365^2}{2.3603} \frac{1.709^2}{1.779} \frac{3.265^2}{2.20007} \frac{1.1775}{1.779} \frac{3.265^2}{2.20000} \frac{1.1334}{0.8702} \frac{1.1775}{2.20000} \frac{3.702}{0.2017} \frac{1.1775}{2.20000} \frac{3.702}{0.2017} \frac{1.1775}{2.20000} \frac{3.702}{0.2017} \frac{1.1775}{2.20000} \frac{3.702}{0.2017} \frac{1.1775}{2.20000} \frac{3.202}{0.2017} \frac{3.20000}{0.0007} \frac{1.1716}{2.20000} \frac{3.20000}{0.0007} \frac{0.0000}{0.0007} \frac{3.20000}{0.0007} \frac{3.200000}{0.0007} \frac{3.20000}{0.0007} \frac{3.200000}{0.0007} \frac{3.20000}{0.0007} \frac{3.200000}{0.0007} 3.20000$	$3^{\pm 0.0300}_{2^{\pm 0.0003}} 0.8336_{2^{\pm 0.0003}}_{2^{\pm 0.0189}} 0.7975_{5^{\pm 0.0189}}_{2^{\pm 0.0189}}$
	$\begin{array}{c} 0.845\\ 0.845\\ 0.845\\ 0.646\\ 0.646\\ 0.646\\ 0.061\\ 0.002\\ 0.003\\ 0.$	$(10^{-6}0.808)$

Table 13: Numerical results for Gradient Flow with the Swiss dataset (d = 100)

2430																								
2431																								
2432																								
2433		101	-	6	0	0 5		_																
2434	N N	0.5	0.8	: 0.7		5.4	6.35	2.60	0.43	0.36	0.85	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.06	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.07	0.01	0.01	0.03	200
2435	A		+	+	++ -	+ +	++	$^{++}$	$^{++}$	$^{++}$	$^{+\!+}$	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2436	B	0.0	4.0	8.0	3.0	3.0	.20	ŏ.	96.9	¥.	1.20	.55	.89	.85	4.	.55	4	.03	.50	.10	6	.03	.08	57
2437	H	929 429	528	026	716	$\frac{513}{13}$	8	щ	2		-	ŝ	4	ŝ	З	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-
2438		0.5	1.6	8.8	4.0	4 4 8 6	2.77	8.57	4.18	.08	.25	.49	.41	0.28	.15	67	0.03	0.02	00.00	0.02	00.0	0.05	0.01	0.05
2439	S		+	+0	++ -	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2440	R	9.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	9.0 3.0	3.3(3.9(3.7(6.	.19	69.	.32	.87	.56	21	.19	.13	.10	.13	.13	.14	.16	20
2441		28	528	027	55	22	4	2	2		ŝ	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ŝ
2442	5	0.50	0.86	35.6	3.78	0.83 5.00	3.18	1.93	0.30	0.19	0.38	.22	00.	.03	8.	9	.02	.03	.02	8.	0.	.01	.03	Ε
2443	SV		+	$^{+\!+}$	++ -	+++	+	$^{+\!+}$	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	0 +	0 #	0 ++	0 +	0 +	0 +	0 +	0 +	0 +	0 +	0 ++	0 +	+
2444	EB	0.1	5.0	0.0	2,0	5.0 10.0	5.50	.20	.50	60.	.20	66.	.17	81	30	.45	34	8	48	.03	.03	0.07	05	32
2445		28	28	232	19	<u>1</u> 4	8	23	6	4	10	4	4	ŝ	ŝ	2	0	2	-	0	0	0	0	-
2446		3.34 4.27	0.45	7.25	0.61).32).31	.36	.78	25	.16	55	01	02	.17	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	10	00	08
2447	SM	+++	++	$^{++}$	+ -	+ +	+	÷	0	0 +	0 +	0 +	0 +	0	0 +	0 ++	0 +	0 +	0 +	0 +	0 +	0 +	0	0+
2448	I.	0.0	7.00	20	6.6	26	.60	.10	33	86	50	89	60	55	53	0	90	9	03	03	03	13	03	4
2449		162	14	16	95	200	46	10	۲.	ς.	ų	o.	o.	o.	o.	0.	o.	o.	o.	o.	0	o.	o.	
2450	~	0.38	0.38	0.38	1.13	0.20 0.29	0.01	0.01	.43	0.	8	8	00.	8.	8	8	8.	8.	00.	8	.03	.03	.03	Ξ
2451	SV		+	+	++ -	<u>+</u> +	1 +1	÷	0 #	0 ++	0 #	0 #	0 #	0 ++	0 ++	0 ++	0 +	0 +	0 ++	0 #	0 #	0 +	0 +	+
2452	N	0.4	0.4	, 0.4	0.0	5.4	09.	90	59	66	97	97	.78	49	29	10	07	05	6	03	.06	05	90	38
2453		56	29	29	46	25	3	Ξ	×	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>, </u>
2454	>	7.36	7.36	7.36	7.36	7.36 7.36	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	1.00	03	01	00	02	00	00	00	00	00	00
2455	SV	+++	++	$^{++}$	++ -	+++	+	$^{++}$	$^{++}$	$^{++}$	$^{+\!+}$	$^{++}$	$^{++}$	H	0	0	0 +	0 +	0 +	0 +	0 #	0 +	0 ++	0+
2456	Ni	0.0	5.00	0.0	33	50	9.6	9.6	3.9	3.9(3.9(3.9(3.90	8	0	31	23	13	90	03	03	03	03	3
2457	_	58 6	28	28	38	8 8 8 8	59	29	29	29	29	29	293	184	Ś.	0	o.	o.	o.	o.	0	o.	o.	C
2458		7.55	7.55	7.50	7.50	7.50	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	7.20	00	00	00	03	00	8	00	00	00	00
2459	M	++++	∖ H	Ĥ	++ -	н <u>н</u>	+	Ŧ	+	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ĥ	(10	-0 -	-0 +	10	; 0 1	; 0 1	10	()	0+
2460	Ň	0.0	00.0	00.0	0.0	38	.90	96.9	.90	.90	.90	.90	96.9	0.0	59	35	52	13	60	33	8	8	8	ŝ
2461		286 286	286	286	286	286 286	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	210	ŝ	0	0	0.	õ	õ	õ	õ	õ	Ĉ
2462	8	7.71	0.85	1.30	1.69	3.05 4.33	34	.20	0.06	0.70	4	01	18	01	08	01	02	01	01	05	8	8	8	20
2463	KS.	+++	++	$^{+\!+}$	++ -	+++	+	+	+	+	()	()	()	()	0 +	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	0 +	0+
2464	ax	0.0	5.00	3.00	8.5	38	4	6	99.	.30	73	33	86	13	4	8	8	30	76	60	2	03	03	6
2465	Σ	27	270	258	2	10	7	2	16	11	6.	4	ς.	ς.	Ч	Ч	÷	÷	o.	0	0	o.	o.	<u> </u>
2466		4.40 4.49	5.45	1.00	1.86	3.83 0.20	.86	.07	.84	.65	24	39	60	04	90	07	04	00	01	8	00	00	02	20
2467	X	++++	++	+	++ -	<u>+</u> +	× **	$_{\infty}^{+}$	9 #	$\frac{1}{4}$	0 ++	.0 #	10	10	(10	-0 -	-0 +	10	; 0 1	; 0 1	10	()	0+
2468	DS	0.0	0.0	8.	8.8	38	40	70	50	50	2	98	60	91	18	52	11	17	Ξ	03	03	03	02	48
2469		227	228	232	175	134	88	27	25	Π	6	ci.	તં		÷	0	0.	0.	0.	õ	õ	õ	õ	C
2470		65	74	38	.70	8.6	9	4	05	30	58	0	9	0	-	œ	L.	0	Q	0	4	9	0	9
2471	MS	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	 4	9 1	+ - - - -	11 H	15.	± 4.0	± 7.0	Щ Э.	± 3.	1.2	1.2	: 1.7	1.0	-0.4	0.4	-0.4	-0.4	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	- 4.7
2472	ax	88	8	8	88	38		30	20	90	30	5	+0	5	* *	×	19	± 0	4	5	%	£	Ω +	+ 9
2473	E	87.	80.	<u></u> 63.	87.	23.0	35.6	28.0	с.	13.	10.	5.5	5.3	4.8	3.6	4.4	2.5	1.7	1.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	6.7
2474		38.28	38.2	38.2	381	$\frac{381}{931}$	=	0		. +	~	_	0	_	.,	~	0	~	10	ŝ	0	0		0
2475	~	0.4	+	÷0.	+ 0.	+ + 5. 0.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	- 03
2476	SW	88	8	8	88	38	9	33	4	4	9	33	4	33	9	8	9	33+	4	5 +	4	5 +	+	-
2477		94.	94.	94.	56	55. 1	48.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11	1.3	1.7	2.1	3.0.	3.3	4.2	6.0	5
2478		00	0	2	00	7-1	1	,	-				0 13	21	12	12	ကို	Ω	ကို	ი ს	4	4.	4	4
2479									10^{-1}	10^{-1}	10^{-1}	10^{-1}	10^{-1}	10^{-1}	10^{-1}	10^{-1}	10^{-1}	10^{-1}	10^{-1}	10^{-1}	10^{-1}	10^{-1}	10^{-1}	10
2480	R	80	0	0	0				\times	×	\times	\times	×	×	\times	\times	×	×	×	\times	\times	×	×	×
2481		$1 - \infty$	Ś	\mathfrak{c}	- 0	n x	ŝ	-	∞	ŋ	က	Η	∞	S	က	Ξ	∞	ŋ	ŝ	Η	∞	S	က	-

Table 14: Results for Color Transfer (Set 1).

2487					_	
2488	8	0.27	0.03	0.25	0.30	
2489	S	6	9	9	+	
2490	BR	7.8	7.8	7.6	6.5	1
2491	H	726	326	226	026	1
2492		0.2	0.0	0.2	0.1	
2493	S	 ∞	4	*		1
2494		7.8	7.8	4.1	5.4	1
2495		926	2 26	2 26	7 26	,
2496		2.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	
2497	SV	%	4	4	+	1
2498	Ξ	9.6	1.5	1.5	6.0	1
2499		0.26	23	7 27	s 26	,
2500		0.0	0.0	0.37	0.6	
2501	SV	1	3	8	8	1
2502	Ξ	9	3.3	1.8	0.8	,
2503		918	918	918	315	
2504		2.0	2.0	2.0	1.6	
2505	ISV	8	* *	8	3	,
2506	N	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.3	1
2507		626	626	626	626	
2508	R	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	
2509	MS	1	1	1	1	
2510	vi)	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	
2511		502	502	502	162,	
2512	R	E 2.5	H 2.5	± 2.5	± 2.4	
2013	ISI	1	5	5	5	1
2314	15	50.	50.	50.	50.	1
2515		17 2	30 2	25 2	32.2	
2517	SV	0	10.	÷.	10.	
2518	XK	80	2	51	33	!
2519	Ma	66.	65.	2.	63.	1
2520		71 2	93 2	572	22	,
2521	\geq) 0 1	0 ++		-0 -	
2522	S	12	63	15	25	1
2523		68.	89.	70.	1.	1
2524		412	272	252	252	'
2525	M		()	()	() ()	
2526	ax	6	88	67	45	
2527	E	255.	267.	102	266.	
2528		.222	.223	.223	.322	
2529	>	+	0 +	0 +	0 ++	
2530	S	54	54	54	60.	
2531		271.	271.	271	206	
2532			. 4	. 4	. 1	
2533		-				
2534	LR	10	80	50	30	
2535	-					
2536						

LR	SW	MaxSW	DSW	MaxKSW	iMSW	viMSW	oMSW	rMSW	EBSW	RPSW	EBRPSW
100	271.54 ± 0.22	2255.40 ± 2.41	268.04 ± 0.71	266.08 ± 0.17	250.17 ± 2.50	250.21 ± 2.46	269.68 ± 2.09	184.92 ± 0.00	269.68 ± 2.09	267.88 ± 0.27	267.89 ± 0.27
80	271.54 ± 0.22	2267.88 ± 0.27	268.93 ± 0.93	265.72 ± 0.30	250.17 ± 2.50	250.21 ± 2.46	269.68 ± 2.09	183.33 ± 0.08	271.54 ± 0.22	267.84 ± 0.03	267.86 ± 0.03
50	271.54 ± 0.22	2267.67 ± 0.25	270.75 ± 0.57	264.57 ± 0.25	250.17 ± 2.50	250.21 ± 2.46	269.68 ± 2.09	181.88 ± 0.37	271.54 ± 0.22	267.48 ± 0.22	267.66 ± 0.25
30	206.09 ± 0.32	2266.45 ± 0.25	271.54 ± 0.22	263.23 ± 0.32	250.21 ± 2.46	250.21 ± 2.46	269.33 ± 1.63	150.88 ± 0.68	266.07 ± 0.27	265.47 ± 0.10	266.50 ± 0.30
10	267.89 ± 0.27	7231.34 ± 0.18	237.76 ± 8.30	207.42 ± 14.88	250.45 ± 2.28	250.45 ± 2.28	145.92 ± 0.14	110.15 ± 0.05	258.87 ± 0.32	209.55 ± 8.08	188.90 ± 1.52
8	267.89 ± 0.27	7202.37 ± 0.25	208.99 ± 0.19	170.61 ± 3.62	267.89 ± 0.27	267.89 ± 0.27	122.14 ± 0.03	70.60 ± 0.03	241.47 ± 0.33	197.62 ± 2.41	189.23 ± 7.49
5	267.89 ± 0.27	7155.92 ± 7.23	160.85 ± 2.04	104.98 ± 7.47	250.96 ± 2.44	250.78 ± 2.66	63.83 ± 0.05	52.42 ± 0.06	$ 45.10 \pm 23.12 $	109.62 ± 6.34	138.06 ± 4.07
e	67.92 ± 3.09	81.71 ± 3.84	82.30 ± 16.46	62.80 ± 2.47	249.98 ± 2.76	249.98 ± 2.76	29.07 ± 0.02	26.25 ± 0.45	93.20 ± 1.46	66.69 ± 3.35	89.42 ± 2.56
1	4.82 ± 0.05	39.43 ± 1.40	17.67 ± 9.12	21.70 ± 0.29	259.34 ± 0.43	259.94 ± 0.03	9.43 ± 0.19	10.18 ± 1.32	30.18 ± 1.27	22.54 ± 3.17	34.46 ± 2.11
8×10^{-10}	$^{-1}$ 0.01 \pm 0.00	31.62 ± 2.06	17.77 ± 4.39	18.39 ± 0.09	267.62 ± 0.01	267.62 ± 0.01	9.74 ± 0.08	7.77 ± 1.12	26.50 ± 1.50	18.69 ± 0.09	25.70 ± 1.05
5×10^{-1}	$^{-1}$ 0.01 \pm 0.00	19.61 ± 0.76	11.12 ± 0.13	15.37 ± 1.67	267.89 ± 0.27	267.89 ± 0.27	5.38 ± 0.33	4.35 ± 0.32	17.35 ± 0.83	12.48 ± 0.86	17.65 ± 0.39
3×10^{-10}	$^{-1}$ 0.03 \pm 0.01	12.00 ± 0.85	1.31 ± 0.01	8.26 ± 0.09	267.89 ± 0.27	267.89 ± 0.27	3.31 ± 0.00	2.58 ± 0.29	10.92 ± 0.30	7.50 ± 0.91	11.34 ± 0.26
1×10^{-1}	$^{-1}$ 0.03 \pm 0.00	6.39 ± 0.52	3.40 ± 0.21	5.72 ± 0.00	267.89 ± 0.27	267.89 ± 0.27	1.06 ± 0.01	0.81 ± 0.04	6.36 ± 0.04	2.54 ± 0.29	7.46 ± 0.09
8×10^{-10}	$^{-2}$ 0.03 \pm 0.00	6.62 ± 0.65	2.65 ± 0.13	3.82 ± 0.02	267.89 ± 0.27	267.89 ± 0.27	0.95 ± 0.01	0.70 ± 0.01	5.10 ± 0.47	1.97 ± 0.23	6.87 ± 0.09
5×10^{-1}	$^{-2}$ 0.33 \pm 0.27	5.60 ± 0.38	2.12 ± 0.10	3.28 ± 0.08	250.80 ± 2.77	251.21 ± 2.88	0.59 ± 0.05	0.35 ± 0.00	5.81 ± 0.00	1.28 ± 0.15	6.03 ± 0.05
3×10^{-1}	$^{-2}$ 0.63 \pm 0.57	4.60 ± 0.46	1.18 ± 0.00	2.74 ± 0.00	3.36 ± 1.76	3.19 ± 1.57	0.30 ± 0.00	0.22 ± 0.01	5.41 ± 1.05	0.76 ± 0.10	5.51 ± 1.02
1×10^{-1}	$^{-2}$ 2.20 \pm 0.51	2.71 ± 0.12	0.25 ± 0.00	2.00 ± 0.00	0.34 ± 0.01	0.33 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.00	0.08 ± 0.01	4.22 ± 0.04	0.22 ± 0.02	4.25 ± 0.02
8×10^{-1}	$^{-3}$ 2.57 \pm 0.61	2.31 ± 0.02	0.15 ± 0.01	1.83 ± 0.01	0.24 ± 0.00	0.24 ± 0.00	0.08 ± 0.00	0.07 ± 0.01	3.96 ± 0.01	0.21 ± 0.02	4.00 ± 0.02
5×10^{-1}	$^{-3}$ 3.00 \pm 0.63	1.57 ± 0.06	0.10 ± 0.03	1.32 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.00	0.13 ± 0.00	0.05 ± 0.00	0.04 ± 0.00	3.28 ± 0.64	0.17 ± 0.02	3.30 ± 0.64
3×10^{-1}	$^{-3}$ 4.07 ± 0.98	0.92 ± 0.11	0.11 ± 0.00	0.76 ± 0.00	0.09 ± 0.00	0.07 ± 0.01	0.04 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	1.49 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.00	1.50 ± 0.00
1×10^{-1}	$^{-3}$ 8.48 ± 2.72	0.15 ± 0.00	0.04 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.06	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	0.13 ± 0.00	0.07 ± 0.02
8×10^{-1}	$^{-4}$ 9.10 \pm 2.88	0.12 ± 0.03	0.04 ± 0.00	0.04 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	0.06 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	0.16 ± 0.02	0.04 ± 0.00
5×10^{-1}	$^{-4}$ 11.10 \pm 3.42	0.06 ± 0.01	0.04 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	0.05 ± 0.00	0.07 ± 0.03	0.04 ± 0.02	0.15 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00
3×10^{-1}	$^{-4}$ 13.97 \pm 3.97	0.03 ± 0.00	0.04 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	0.05 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.00	0.04 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.00	0.06 ± 0.01
1×10^{-1}	$^{-4}$ 11.85 \pm 0.63	4.04 ± 1.36	0.86 ± 0.01	1.25 ± 0.03	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	1.15 ± 0.12	1.16 ± 0.13	1.32 ± 0.00	4.59 ± 0.31	1.49 ± 0.04

Table 15: Results for Color Transfer (Set 2).

Under review as a conference paper at ICLR 2025

M	60 (600	60.(0.05	8.24	7.58	7.31	24	00.	.52	.58	52	41	10	08	01	01	01	01	11	03	00	5
EBRPSV	320.55 + (320.55 + (320.55 ± 0	320.57 ± 0	205.07 ± 7	165.19 ± 3	$124.90 \pm 3107 + 12 \pm 107$	32.68 ± 4	27.86 ± 1	18.69 ± 1	11.51 ± 0	6.96 ± 0.2	6.35 ± 0.4	5.30 ± 0.5	4.78 ± 0.0	3.59 ± 0.0	3.42 ± 0.0	2.44 ± 0.0	1.79 ± 0.0	$0.11 \pm 0.$	0.05 ± 0.0	0.03 ± 0.0	0.05 ± 0.0
RPSW	0.55 ± 0.09	0.55 ± 0.09	0.55 ± 0.09	0.52 ± 0.06	56.66 ± 2.21	2.87 ± 15.73	1.06 ± 3.36	9.71 ± 3.98	8.13 ± 2.59	0.86 ± 0.59	6.10 ± 0.27	0.94 ± 0.23	$.59 \pm 0.29$	$.97 \pm 0.14$	0.58 ± 0.05	0.28 ± 0.08	0.23 ± 0.06	0.16 ± 0.05	0.10 ± 0.00	0.15 ± 0.01	0.09 ± 0.05	0.11 ± 0.02	15 ± 0.01
EBSW	$20.55 \pm 0.09.32$	20.55 ± 0.09 33	20.55 ± 0.09 32	49.82 ± 0.07 32	57.47 ± 28.22	71.06 ± 18.7122	23.75 ± 58.87 II	34.00 ± 0.45 1	27.35 ± 1.00 1	16.78 ± 0.14 1	11.10 ± 0.32 (6.17 ± 0.16	5.51 ± 0.21	5.03 ± 0.16 (4.56 ± 0.06 (3.51 ± 0.05 (3.32 ± 0.02 (2.41 ± 0.02 (1.76 ± 0.01 (0.03 ± 0.00 (0.05 ± 0.02 (0.03 ± 0.00 (0.07 + 0.02 (
$\mathbf{r}\mathbf{MSW}$	$57.93 \pm 0.04.3$	68.12 ± 0.04	24.61 ± 0.79 3	23.85 ± 10.63 1	52.75 ± 0.48 1:	91.55 ± 0.01 1	30.00 ± 1.59 I	10.02 ± 0.49	7.67 ± 0.39	5.08 ± 0.89	2.75 ± 0.22	0.79 ± 0.01	0.73 ± 0.01	0.49 ± 0.06	0.24 ± 0.02	0.07 ± 0.00	0.07 ± 0.01	0.04 ± 0.00	0.04 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	0.08 ± 0.07	0.03 ± 0.00
oMSW	20.55 ± 0.091	$1 60.0 \pm 520.50$	20.55 ± 0.09 1	20.45 ± 0.111	83.41 ± 0.22	43.62 ± 0.14	72.48 ± 0.04	9.70 ± 0.01	7.68 ± 0.01	4.80 ± 0.01	3.38 ± 0.01	0.95 ± 0.00	0.77 ± 0.00	0.48 ± 0.00	0.29 ± 0.00	0.10 ± 0.00	0.09 ± 0.02	0.05 ± 0.00	0.04 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	0.05 ± 0.00	0.05 ± 0.01
viMSW	320.55 ± 0.09^{3}	320.55 ± 0.092	320.55 ± 0.093	320.55 ± 0.093	320.16 ± 0.031	311.02 ± 0.191	232.89 ± 0.29	320.55 ± 0.09	320.55 ± 0.09	320.55 ± 0.09	320.55 ± 0.09	320.16 ± 0.03	311.02 ± 0.19	232.89 ± 0.29	5.49 ± 0.59	0.32 ± 0.01	0.23 ± 0.00	0.13 ± 0.00	0.06 ± 0.00	0.10 ± 0.09	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00
iMSW	320.55 ± 0.09	320.55 ± 0.09	320.55 ± 0.09	320.55 ± 0.09	320.17 ± 0.03	311.04 ± 0.20	219.26 ± 19.68	320.55 ± 0.09	320.55 ± 0.09	320.55 ± 0.09	320.55 ± 0.09	320.15 ± 0.03	311.04 ± 0.20	219.26 ± 19.68	$\textbf{5.99} \pm 0.56$	0.34 ± 0.01	0.24 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.00	0.09 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	0.08 ± 0.08	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00
MaxKSW	308.63 ± 0.18	30770 ± 0.00	304.23 ± 0.21	298.63 ± 0.38	202.30 ± 1.04	165.78 ± 0.11	95.66 ± 15.397	21.60 ± 0.55	17.33 ± 0.41	11.51 ± 0.11	8.03 ± 0.05	5.10 ± 0.24	4.72 ± 0.06	4.47 ± 0.08	4.06 ± 0.08	2.93 ± 0.01	2.64 ± 0.01	1.51 ± 0.01	0.93 ± 0.12	0.66 ± 0.37	0.04 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00
DSW	27916 ± 0.05	279.16 ± 0.05	279.16 ± 0.05	279.16 ± 0.05	279.14 ± 0.03	210.92 ± 6.75	161.67 ± 0.60 $107 15 \pm 657$	39.61 ± 2.14	17.42 ± 0.97	12.75 ± 4.32	$\textbf{7.88} \pm 1.00$	3.97 ± 0.02	2.75 ± 0.40	2.02 ± 0.07	1.17 ± 0.01	0.28 ± 0.05	0.22 ± 0.07	0.18 ± 0.01	0.12 ± 0.01	0.05 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	0.08 ± 0.02
MaxSW	320.55 ± 0.09	320.55 ± 0.09	320.55 ± 0.09	320.55 ± 0.09	286.84 ± 0.29	251.89 ± 0.26	175.13 ± 4.67 $108 57 \pm 157$	35.58 ± 1.42	28.51 ± 1.06	19.69 ± 1.77	12.93 ± 1.49	6.24 ± 0.71	5.93 ± 0.44	4.33 ± 0.74	3.68 ± 0.00	2.31 ± 0.12	$2.09 \ \pm 0.12$	1.61 ± 0.06	1.15 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.00	0.12 ± 0.05	0.06 ± 0.02	0.03 ± 0.00
SW	320.55 ± 0.09	320.55 ± 0.09	320.55 ± 0.09	320.55 ± 0.09	320.55 ± 0.09	320.52 ± 0.07	254.50 ± 0.25 50 33 ± 0.07	0.00 ± 0.00	1 0.00 \pm 0.00	1 0.00 ± 0.00	1 0.20 \pm 0.25	1 0.03 \pm 0.00	2 0.20 \pm 0.24	2 0.17 \pm 0.24	2 0.95 \pm 0.01	2 2.16 \pm 0.04	3 2.37 \pm 0.07	3 2.99 \pm 0.02	33.24 ± 0.03	$3 3.48 \pm 0.17$	4 3.84 \pm 0.35	4 4.93 \pm 0.48	4 6 37 + 0.25
Ŗ	00		20	0	0				3×10^{-1}	10^{-1}	10^{-10}	$\times 10^{-1}$	10^{-1}	5×10^{-3}	3×10^{-1}	$\times 10^{-1}$	10^{-1}	$\times 10^{-1}$	10^{-1}	$\times 10^{-1}$	10^{-1}	10^{-1}	$\times 10^{-1}$

Table 16: Results for Color Transfer (Set 3).

	5	9	3
2	5	9	4
2	5	9	5
2	5	9	6
2	5	9	7
2	5	9	8
2	5	9	9
2	6	0	0
2	6	0	1
2	6	0	2
2	6	0	3
2	6	0	4
2	6	0	5
2	6	0	6
2	6	0	7
2	6	0	8
2	6	0	9
2	6	1	0
2	6	1	1
2	6	1	2
2	6	1	3
2	6	1	4
2	6	1	5
2	6	1	6
2	6	1	7
2	6	1	8
2	6	1	9
2	6	2	0
- 7	\sim	\sim	
_	6	2	1
2	6	2	1
2 2 2	6 6 6	2 2 2	1 2 3
222	6 6 6 6	2 2 2 2	1 2 3 4
22222	6 6 6 6	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 2 3 4 5 6
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	6 6 6 6 6	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 2 3 4 5 6 7
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	6 6 6 6 6 6 6	2222222	1 2 3 4 5 6 7
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	22222222	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 0
	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	2222222222	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0
22222222222	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	222222233	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	2222222333	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2
2222222222222	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3
222222222222222	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3	12345678901234
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5
	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	12345678901234567
2 2 <td>6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6</td> <td>2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2</td> <td>123456789012345678</td>	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	123456789012345678

,R	SW	MaxSW	DSW	MaxKSW	iMSW	viMSW	oMSW	rMSW	EBSW	RPSW	EBRPSW
	$14.17_{\pm 0.02}$	ı	ı	1	ı	1	1	1	ı	ı	I
1×10^{-1}	$14.16{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı
1×10^{-1}	$14.15{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı
1×10^{-1}	$14.16{\scriptstyle\pm0.00}$	ı	I	ı	ı	ı	I	ı	ı	$17.78{\scriptstyle\pm0.18}$	ı
$ imes 10^{-1}$	14.22 ± 0.01	ı	ı	$14.50{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	ı	ı	$14.13{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.17_{\pm0.02}$	ı	$14.14_{\pm0.00}$	ı
1×10^{-2}	$14.26{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	ı	$14.78{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.29_{\pm0.01}$	ı	ı	$14.12_{\pm0.01}$	$14.16_{\pm0.01}$	ı	$14.15{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	ı
1×10^{-2}	$14.33{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.54_{\pm0.02}$	$14.46{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$14.20{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	ı	ı	$14.19_{\pm0.00}$	$14.18{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	ı	$14.18{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	ı
1×10^{-2}	$14.37_{\pm 0.01}$	$14.26{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.25{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.27_{\pm0.01}$	ı	ı	$14.20{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.19_{\pm0.02}$	ı	$14.18{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	ı
$\times 10^{-2}$	$14.50{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.06_{\pm0.02}$	$14.12{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.29_{\pm0.02}$	ı	ı	$14.17_{\pm0.01}$	$14.16_{\pm0.01}$	$14.71_{\pm0.02}$	$14.15{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.69_{\pm 0.00}$
1×10^{-3}	$14.54_{\pm0.01}$	$14.02_{\pm0.02}$	$14.11_{\pm0.02}$	$14.27_{\pm0.01}$	ı	ı	$14.16_{\pm0.02}$	$14.16_{\pm0.01}$	$14.72{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$14.16{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.71_{\pm 0.00}$
$\times 10^{-3}$	$14.67{\scriptstyle\pm0.00}$	$14.01{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.11_{\pm0.02}$	$14.26{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	ı	ı	$14.16_{\pm0.01}$	$14.18 \scriptstyle \pm 0.01$	$14.72{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.17_{\pm0.02}$	$14.70_{\pm0.0}$
1×10^{-3}	$14.84_{\pm0.01}$	$14.01{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.16_{\pm0.02}$	$14.27_{\pm0.02}$	$14.06_{\pm0.01}$	$14.09_{\pm0.01}$	$14.19_{\pm0.01}$	$14.19_{\pm0.01}$	$14.77_{\pm 0.03}$	$14.20{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.77_{\pm0.0}$
$\times 10^{-3}$	$15.36{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.04_{\pm0.02}$	$14.17_{\pm0.02}$	$14.42{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.12_{\pm0.01}$	$14.26{\scriptstyle\pm0.00}$	$14.34_{\pm0.01}$	$14.37_{\pm0.01}$	$14.72{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.36{\scriptstyle\pm0.00}$	$14.73_{\pm0.0}$
1×10^{-4}	$15.47_{\pm0.02}$	$14.08 \scriptstyle \pm 0.01$	$14.17_{\pm0.02}$	$14.47_{\pm0.01}$	$14.14_{\pm0.02}$	$14.31_{\pm 0.01}$	$14.35{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.38 \scriptstyle \pm 0.01$	$14.74_{\pm0.02}$	$14.38{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.76_{\pm0.0}$
10^{-4}	$15.73_{\pm 0.02}$	$14.12_{\pm0.01}$	$14.22{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.48_{\pm0.01}$	$14.26_{\pm0.01}$	$14.34_{\pm0.01}$	$14.39_{\pm0.01}$	$14.40_{\pm0.01}$	$14.85{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$14.41_{\pm 0.01}$	$14.87_{\pm0.0}$
1×10^{-4}	$16.59{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$14.75_{\pm0.02}$	$14.91{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$14.94_{\pm0.02}$	$14.81{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.81{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.88{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$14.88{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.97_{\pm0.02}$	$14.48{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.99_{\pm0.0}$
$ imes 10^{-4}$	$17.36{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$14.41_{\pm0.02}$	$14.52{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.70_{\pm0.01}$	$14.40_{\pm0.01}$	$14.50{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.70_{\pm0.01}$	$14.69_{\pm0.00}$	$15.24_{\pm0.01}$	$14.73_{\pm 0.02}$	$15.23_{\pm 0.0}$
1×10^{-5}	$17.71_{\pm 0.11}$	$14.41_{\pm0.01}$	$14.53{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.79_{\pm0.01}$	$14.42{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.53{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.77_{\pm 0.01}$	$14.77_{\pm 0.01}$	$15.15{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.81{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$15.15_{\pm 0.0}$
$\times 10^{-5}$	$18.11 {\pm 0.04}$	$14.45_{\pm0.01}$	$14.55{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.97_{\pm0.02}$	$14.47_{\pm0.01}$	$14.61{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.97_{\pm0.01}$	$14.96_{\pm0.01}$	15.00 ± 0.01	$14.99 \scriptstyle \pm 0.01$	$15.01_{\pm 0.0}$
1×10^{-5}	$18.49_{\pm0.07}$	$14.50_{\pm0.01}$	$14.61{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$15.22_{\pm0.01}$	$14.55{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.72_{\pm 0.01}$	$15.20{\scriptstyle\pm0.00}$	$15.23_{\pm 0.01}$	$14.96_{\pm0.01}$	$15.57_{\pm0.01}$	$14.95_{\pm0.0}$
$\times 10^{-5}$	$18.84_{\pm0.06}$	$14.66_{\pm0.01}$	$14.75_{\pm0.01}$	$15.80{\scriptstyle\pm0.00}$	$14.77_{\pm0.01}$	$15.09_{\pm 0.01}$	$15.81{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$15.81{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$15.39_{\pm0.01}$	$15.81{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$15.39_{\pm0.0}$
1×10^{-6}	$18.89{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$14.70_{\pm 0.01}$	$14.80{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$15.94_{\pm0.02}$	$14.84_{\pm0.01}$	15.20 ± 0.01	$15.95_{\pm0.02}$	$15.95{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$15.50{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$15.93{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$15.50_{\pm 0.0}$
1×10^{-6}	$19.08{\scriptstyle\pm0.05}$	$14.84_{\pm0.01}$	$14.95{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$16.34_{\pm0.02}$	$14.99_{\pm0.01}$	$15.44{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	16.35 ± 0.01	$16.34_{\pm0.04}$	$15.81{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$16.30_{\pm 0.02}$	$15.79_{\pm0.0}$
1×10^{-6}	$19.10_{\pm0.08}$	$15.03_{\pm 0.01}$	$15.20{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$16.93{\scriptstyle\pm0.05}$	$15.26{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$15.77_{\pm 0.01}$	$16.95{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$16.94_{\pm0.04}$	$16.25{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$16.81{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$16.23_{\pm0.0}$
$ imes 10^{-6}$	$19.05{\scriptstyle\pm0.06}$	$15.76_{\pm0.01}$	$16.03_{\pm 0.01}$	$18.18{\scriptstyle\pm0.07}$	$15.96{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$16.49_{\pm0.03}$	$18.18{\scriptstyle\pm0.07}$	$18.15{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$17.30{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$18.10{\scriptstyle\pm0.06}$	$17.30_{\pm0.0}$

2652		
2653		
2654		
2655		
2656		
2657		
2658		
2659		
2660		
2661		
2662		
2663		
2664		
2665		
2666		
2667		
2668		
2669		
2670		
2671		
2672		
2673		
2674		
2675		
2676		
2677		
2678		
2679		
2680		
2681		
2682		
2683		
2684		
2685		
2686		
2687		
2688		
2689		
2690		
2691		
2692		
2693		
2694		
2695		
2696		

2	6	9	7
2	6	9	8

2	6	g	9	
-	~	~	~	

•	SW	MaxSW	DSW	MaxKSW	iMSW	viMSW	oMSW	rMSW	EBSW	RPSW	EBRPSV
	$14.58{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	1	ı		ı		ı				1
10^{-1}	$14.61{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	I	ı	ı	ı
10^{-1}	$14.62{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	I	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	,	ı	ı	ı
10^{-1}	$14.62{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	I	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	$14.68{\scriptstyle\pm0.13}$	ı
10^{-1}	$14.70{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	ı	ı	$14.98{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	ı	ı	$14.62{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.63{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	ı	$14.65{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	ı
10^{-2}	14.73 ± 0.02	$16.48{\scriptstyle\pm0.00}$	$15.35{\scriptstyle\pm0.07}$	$14.81{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	ı	ı	$14.62{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.63{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	ı	$14.64{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	ı
10^{-2}	$14.80{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$15.17_{\pm0.08}$	$14.95{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.65{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	ı	ı	$14.63{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.67{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	ı	$14.65{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	ı
10^{-2}	$14.80{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$14.63{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$15.44{\scriptstyle\pm0.00}$	$15.71{\scriptstyle\pm0.00}$	ı	ı	$14.65{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.62{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	ı	14.62 ± 0.02	ı
10^{-2}	$14.95{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.60{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.63{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$14.69{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	ı	ı	$14.58{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$14.62{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$15.18{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$14.60{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$15.16_{\pm 0.5}$
10^{-3}	$15.01{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$14.61{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.62{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$14.70{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	ı	ı	$14.61 \scriptstyle \pm 0.01$	$14.60{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$15.15{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$14.60_{\pm 0.02}$	$15.15_{\pm 0.1}$
10^{-3}	$15.09{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$14.53{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$14.60{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$14.69{\scriptstyle\pm0.05}$	ı	ı	$14.61{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$14.63{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$15.11_{\pm 0.04}$	$14.64{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$15.16_{\pm 0.1}$
10^{-3}	$15.28{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.52{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.61{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.70{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$14.62{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$14.57_{\pm0.01}$	$14.65{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$14.65{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$15.16_{\pm0.01}$	$14.67_{\pm 0.02}$	$15.15_{\pm 0.1}$
10^{-3}	$15.78{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.57_{\pm0.03}$	$14.65{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.89{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.59_{\pm0.01}$	$14.72{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$14.80{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.83{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$15.13_{\pm 0.01}$	$14.78_{\pm 0.02}$	$15.10_{\pm 0.1}$
10^{-4}	$15.88{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$14.59_{\pm0.03}$	$14.68{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.92{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.64{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.76{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.78{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.81{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$15.09{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$14.81_{\pm 0.02}$	15.12 ± 0.5
10^{-4}	$16.17{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$14.62{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.74_{\pm0.03}$	$14.92_{\pm0.02}$	$14.75{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.77_{\pm0.03}$	$14.82{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.85{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$15.26{\scriptstyle\pm0.06}$	$14.85{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	15.25 ± 0.5
10^{-4}	$16.59{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$14.75{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.91{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$14.94_{\pm0.02}$	$14.81{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.81{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.88{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$14.88{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$15.50{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$14.94_{\pm0.03}$	$15.49_{\pm 0.1}$
10^{-4}	$18.05{\scriptstyle\pm0.05}$	$14.89{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$14.96{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$15.16{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.81{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$14.97_{\pm0.02}$	$15.14{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$15.13{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$15.63{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$15.17_{\pm 0.02}$	15.66 ± 0
10^{-5}	$18.26{\scriptstyle\pm0.09}$	$14.88{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$14.96{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$15.20{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$14.87{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$14.96{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$15.19{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$15.19{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$15.56{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$15.24{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$15.54_{\pm 0.5}$
10^{-5}	$18.92{\scriptstyle\pm0.06}$	$14.92{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$15.03{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$15.38{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$14.94_{\pm0.02}$	$15.05{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$15.37_{\pm0.03}$	$15.38{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$15.43{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	15.42 ± 0.02	$15.41_{\pm 0.1}$
10^{-5}	$19.21{\scriptstyle\pm0.05}$	$14.95{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$15.07{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$15.61{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$15.00{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$15.14_{\pm0.01}$	$15.60{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$15.59{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	15.35 ± 0.01	$15.64{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$15.39_{\pm 0.5}$
10^{-5}	$19.64{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$15.12{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$15.23{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$16.21{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$15.22{\scriptstyle\pm0.04}$	$15.55_{\pm0.03}$	$16.23{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$16.22{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	15.72 ± 0.04	16.22 ± 0.02	$15.70_{\pm 0.0}$
10^{-6}	$19.62{\scriptstyle\pm0.05}$	$15.19_{\pm0.02}$	$15.27{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$16.43{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$15.28 {\scriptstyle \pm 0.01}$	$15.61{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$16.42{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$16.39_{\pm0.03}$	$15.91{\scriptstyle\pm0.05}$	$15.94_{\pm0.02}$	$15.91_{\pm 0.5}$
10^{-6}	$19.79_{\pm0.06}$	$15.32{\scriptstyle\pm0.01}$	$15.38{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$16.86{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$15.47_{\pm0.03}$	$15.87{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$16.85{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$16.85{\scriptstyle\pm0.05}$	$16.25{\scriptstyle\pm0.03}$	$16.30_{\pm 0.02}$	$16.26_{\pm 0.2}$
10^{-6}	$19.85{\scriptstyle\pm0.13}$	$15.56{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$15.65{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$17.57_{\pm 0.06}$	$15.70{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	16.15 ± 0.02	$17.60{\scriptstyle\pm0.09}$	$17.53{\scriptstyle\pm0.07}$	16.72 ± 0.03	16.81 ± 0.02	$16.74_{\pm 0.}$
10^{-6}	$19.84 \scriptstyle \pm 0.10$	$16.23{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$16.46{\scriptstyle\pm0.02}$	$18.95{\scriptstyle\pm0.06}$	$16.39{\scriptstyle\pm0.05}$	$16.93{\scriptstyle\pm0.05}$	$18.93{\scriptstyle\pm0.08}$	$18.88{\scriptstyle\pm0.06}$	17.92 ± 0.07	18.05 ± 0.09	18.02 ± 0.0

Table 18: Numerical results for the A2C translation task.