STAY HUNGRY, KEEP LEARNING: SUSTAINABLE PLAS TICITY FOR DEEP REINFORCEMENT LEARNING

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

ABSTRACT

The integration of Deep Neural Networks (DNNs) in Reinforcement Learning (RL) systems has led to remarkable progress in solving complex tasks but also introduced challenges like primacy bias and dead neurons. Primacy bias skews learning towards early experiences, while dead neurons diminish the network's capacity to acquire new knowledge. Traditional reset mechanisms aimed at addressing these issues often involve maintaining large replay buffers to train new networks or selectively resetting subsets of neurons. However, These approaches either incur substantial computational costs or fail to effectively reset the entire network, resulting in underutilization of network plasticity and reduced learning efficiency. In this work, we introduce the novel concept of neuron regeneration, which combines reset mechanisms with knowledge recovery techniques. We also propose a new framework called Sustainable Backup Propagation (SBP) that effectively maintains plasticity in neural networks through this neuron regeneration process. The SBP framework achieves whole network neuron regeneration through two key procedures: cycle reset and inner distillation. Cycle reset involves a scheduled renewal of neurons, while inner distillation functions as a knowledge recovery mechanism at the neuron level. To validate our framework, we integrate SBP with Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO) and propose a novel distillation function for inner distillation. This integration results in Plastic PPO (P3O), a new algorithm that enables efficient cyclic regeneration of all neurons in the actor network. This approach facilitates neuron regeneration while maintaining policy plasticity and sample efficiency. Extensive experiments demonstrate that, with proper neuron regeneration methods, the SBP framework can effectively maintain plasticity and improve sample efficiency in reinforcement learning tasks.

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

023

025

026

028

029

031

1 INTRODUCTION

Deep reinforcement learning has advanced significantly through the integration of deep neural 037 networks, resulting in notable achievements across various domains(Singh et al., 2022; Arulkumaran et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2021). Despite these advancements, a critical issue that has emerged is the loss of plasticity, as detailed in (Lyle et al., 2023; Abbas et al., 2023). This refers to the diminishing ability 040 of a network to learn and adapt over time. As network neurons become saturated, they "become 041 full", losing the capacity to incorporate new information effectively. This reduction in plasticity 042 primarily affects the neurons in the network, leading to decreased effectiveness and eventually causing 043 neurons to become dead(Lu et al., 2019; Shin & Karniadakis, 2020) or dormant(Sokar et al., 2023). 044 Additionally, the problem of overfitting in deep learning, known as primacy bias (Nikishin et al., 2022), further causes this loss of plasticity. Consequently, there is an urgent imperative to develop mechanisms for the repair or revitalization of neurons affected by primacy bias or those that have 046 lapsed into dormancy, with the objective of reawakening their "hunger" for novel information. 047

Reset mechanisms have been proven to be effective measures for addressing the loss of plasticity in neural networks. However, existing reset approaches have demonstrated various limitations. Early studies (Nikishin et al., 2022; D'Oro et al., 2022; Kim et al., 2024) proposed resetting either the final layer or all neurons to revitalize learning capabilities. However, these methods often led to a performance-resource trade-off, requiring additional training to recover lost performance. More targeted approaches, such as CBP (Dohare et al., 2021) and ReDo (Sokar et al., 2023), focused on selectively resetting non-contributing neurons. While this strategy reduced information loss,



Figure 1: Performance of PPO across varying numbers of training epochs per batch. Increasing training steps impedes performance improvement.

it only partially restored plasticity and achieved limited performance improvements. A common
 challenge across these methods is that neurons reaching critical importance become either irresetable
 or damaging when reset, rendering the reset strategy ineffective and potentially limiting the network's
 overall potential. This underscores the need for a more sophisticated approach that can enhance
 network plasticity without compromising performance. Inspired by regenerative processes in human
 cells (Carlson, 2011), we propose the concept of *neuron regeneration*, which aims to recover plasticity
 while preserving crucial knowledge within the network.

073 Neuron regeneration refers to the periodic renewal of neurons to maintain network plasticity, drawing 074 inspiration from biological cellular regeneration. This process enables neural networks to sustain adaptability and long-term learning capabilities without performance degradation. The key innovation 075 lies in its ability to effectively recover plasticity while preserving network performance, thereby 076 achieving sustainable plasticity. To implement *neuron regeneration*, we designed the Sustainable 077 Backup Propagation (SBP) approach, which integrates reset and distillation mechanisms into tradi-078 tional backpropagation(Hecht-Nielsen, 1992). Inspired by natural cellular regeneration cycles(Sender 079 & Milo, 2021), SBP employs a cyclic reset strategy to mitigate neuron plasticity degradation and primacy bias. The *inner distillation* process facilitates knowledge transfer from reset neurons to others, 081 ensuring effective regeneration without performance loss. This approach maintains the network's 082 capacity to absorb new information, perpetuating its learning process and maximizing its potential 083 for continuous growth. By balancing plasticity recovery with performance preservation, SBP enables 084 neural networks to consistently maintain learning efficiency while enhancing plasticity, thus fully 085 leveraging the network's learning capabilities.

As shown in Figure 1, Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO) (Schulman et al., 2017) suffers from 087 primacy bias, with performance degrading as training epochs increase. This indicates a loss of plasticity over time, highlighting the need for sustainable plasticity. To address this, we integrated our Sustainable Backup Propagation (SBP) approach with PPO, introducing the concept of neuron regeneration. We developed a novel α -weighted Double KL divergence (α -DKL) loss function to 090 091 preserve useful knowledge while filtering out harmful information. This integration resulted in the Plastic PPO (P3O) algorithm, which implements neuron regeneration within the actor network to 092 maintain learning efficiency and achieve sustainable plasticity. We evaluated P3O across various environments, including MuJoCo (Todorov et al., 2012), DeepMind Control Suite (Tassa et al., 094 2018), and a MuJoCo variant called Cycle Friction. Results demonstrate significant performance 095 improvements. These findings validate the effectiveness of our neuron regeneration mechanism 096 in recovering plasticity and enhancing learning capabilities in reinforcement learning tasks. Our contributions in this work can be summarized as follows:

098 099

102

103

105

- **Neuron Regeneration:** We introduce the concept of *neuron regeneration*, a novel approach inspired by biological processes to maintain and recover plasticity in neural networks, enabling continuous adaptation without performance degradation.
- Sustainable Backup Propagation (SBP): We propose the *SBP* framework, which implements neuron regeneration through cyclic reset and inner distillation. SBP effectively addresses issues such as dead neurons and primacy bias, ensuring sustainable plasticity throughout the network's lifecycle.
- **Plastic PPO (P3O):** We introduce P3O, an enhanced version of PPO that integrates SBP and a novel α -weighted Double KL divergence (α -DKL) loss function. P3O overcomes

the primacy bias problem in standard PPO, maintaining plasticity and improved sample efficiency across various reinforcement learning tasks.

110 111 112

108

2 PRELIMINARIES AND RELATED WORK

113 114 2.1 ON-POLICY REINFORCEMENT LEARNING

115 Reinforcement learning is formalized as a Markov decision process (MDP) (Puterman, 2014). An 116 MDP consists of a tuple $\langle S, A, R, P, \gamma \rangle$, where S denotes the set of states, A the set of actions, 117 $R: X \times S \to \mathbb{R}$ a reward function, $P: S \times A \to P($ a possibly stochastic transition probability 118 function, $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ the discount factor. In reinforcement learning, The goal is to seek an optimal 119 policy $\pi^*: S \to P(A)$ which maximizes the expected accumulated returns with discounted.

In on-policy reinforcement learning, the Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO) algorithm (Schulman et al., 2017) is utilized to update policies during interaction with the environment. The core objective function of PPO, denoted as $\mathcal{L}_{clip}(\theta)$, includes a clipping operation that sets gradients to zero when the probability ratio $r_t(\theta)$ falls outside $[1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon]$. This prevents the policy from learning from advantages that would push it further outside the trust region, thereby enforcing trust region constraints in a computationally efficient manner. This operation ensures that the policy does not deviate excessively from the previous policy. The objective function is expressed as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{clip}}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\min \left(r_t(\theta) \cdot \hat{A}_t, \operatorname{clip}\left(r_t(\theta), 1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon \right) \cdot \hat{A}_t \right) \right]$$
(1)

Here, $r_t(\theta) = \frac{\pi_{\theta}(a_t|s_t)}{\pi_{\theta_{\text{old}}}(a_t|s_t)}$ represents the probability ratio between new and old policies, and \hat{A}_t is the estimated advantage function. The advantage function measures the value of the current policy relative to a baseline policy, calculated from trajectory data acquired during interactions with the environment. In on-policy training environments with dynamic data, where both input data and target values are nonstationary, network plasticity may be compromised, leading to suboptimal performance.

136 2.2 PLASTICITY IN REINFORCEMENT LEARNING

135 136 137

127 128 129

Plasticity loss in neural networks, the gradual decline in learning capacity over time, poses a critical 138 challenge in deep learning by limiting adaptation to new tasks. This issue has prompted extensive 139 research(Lyle et al., 2023; Abbas et al., 2023; Nikishin et al., 2022; Nauman et al., 2024; Dohare 140 et al., 2024) into methods for preserving neural network plasticity, with two main categories of 141 approaches emerging to address this problem. The first involves various training techniques such as 142 regularization, adjustments in activation functions, weight decay, and normalization strategies (Kumar 143 et al., 2023; Delfosse et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2024; Lyle et al., 2024). These methods have proven 144 somewhat effective at alleviating the problem by reducing overfitting and preventing parameters from 145 becoming overly large, thereby delaying the onset of plasticity loss.

146 The second category of approaches involves resetting the network (Nikishin et al., 2022; Schwarzer 147 et al., 2023; Nikishin et al., 2024) to recover the plasticity. This reset-based methodology addresses 148 both neuron dormancy and primacy bias. Typically implemented by reinitializing weights of specific 149 layers or the entire network, resets have been shown to effectively scale replay ratios, contributing 150 to performance improvements (Kim et al., 2024; Xu et al., 2023). However, while resets can revive 151 learning capabilities, they might lead to temporary performance degradation and require additional 152 training to restore previously learned information. To mitigate these drawbacks, methods like CBP 153 (Dohare et al., 2021) and ReDo (Sokar et al., 2023) selectively reinitialize neurons deemed less useful based on certain metrics, minimizing the impact on overall performance. This approach highlights 154 the delicate balance between recovering plasticity and maintaining network efficiency. However, 155 there remains a need for more sophisticated methods that can effectively regenerate neurons while 156 preserving learned knowledge, which is the focus of our proposed approach. 157

The importance of addressing plasticity loss is further underscored by recent studies. These have
demonstrated that enhancing neural plasticity can lead to significant improvements in sample efficiency (D'Oro et al., 2022; Schwarzer et al., 2023; Lee et al., 2024; Ma et al., 2023; Nauman et al., 2024), a particularly attractive property for reinforcement learning tasks where data efficiency is

crucial.

162 2.3 POLICY DISTILLATION IN REINFORCEMENT LEARNING

164 Policy distillation is a technique that involves the extraction and transfer of knowledge or policy 165 from a reinforcement learning agent to a smaller network, thereby improving efficiency (Rusu et al., 166 2015). Prior research (Igl et al., 2020; Lyle et al., 2022) has highlighted its utility in addressing potential generalization loss in deep reinforcement learning agents due to nonstationarity and over-167 fitting, respectively. This suggests that policy distillation serves two main functions: transferring 168 knowledge and enhancing generalization, aligning well with the goals of plasticity recovery such as preserving knowledge and mitigating primacy bias. A critical aspect of effectively implementing 170 policy distillation is quantifying the quality of knowledge transfer, which necessitates appropriate 171 divergence measures. A study by (Martins et al., 2021) discusses two types of Kullback-Leibler (KL) 172 divergence measures: Forward KL (FKL) and Reverse KL (RKL). The Forward KL divergence, D_{KL}^{-} , 173 weights the state space according to the teacher's policy, prioritizing learning in states where the 174 teacher's policy is more probable. Conversely, the Reverse KL divergence, D_{KL}^{\leftarrow} , weights according to 175 the student's policy, promoting exploration and robustness but risking neglect of some teacher-favored 176 behaviors. Their mathematical expressions are: 177

$$D_{\mathrm{KL}}^{\rightarrow}(\pi_1 \parallel \pi_2) = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \pi_1(s) \log\left(\frac{\pi_1(s)}{\pi_2(s)}\right) \tag{2}$$

$$D_{\mathrm{KL}}^{\leftarrow}(\pi_2 \parallel \pi_1) = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \pi_2(s) \log\left(\frac{\pi_2(s)}{\pi_1(s)}\right) \tag{3}$$

Recognizing the complementary strengths of these measures, our work proposes an integrated FKL-RKL approach, aiming to enhance knowledge transfer for plasticity recovery.

3 NEURON REGENERATION

Maximizing the utilization of a neural network's capacity is a primary goal in training, yet several challenges impede this objective. Plasticity in neural networks, often viewed as a consumable resource, diminishes as the network learns and integrates knowledge. This depletion can result from suboptimal configurations such as inappropriate activation functions (Abbas et al., 2023), poor data quality (Lee et al., 2024), or inherent limitations of backpropagation (Dohare et al., 2024), leading to biased or irrelevant information acquisition and suboptimal network performance.

While neuron reset techniques can restore plasticity, they risk performance degradation if not carefully
implemented(Nauman et al., 2024). Research by D'Oro et al. (2022) suggests that resetting all
neurons, including those affected by primacy bias, is necessary to maximize network capacity.
Building upon the idea of neuron reset while addressing its limitations, we introduce a new concept:
neuron regeneration.

Definition 3.1: Neuron Regeneration

Given a neural network parameterized by θ , let NR denote a regeneration operation that resets arbitrary neurons to their initial plastic states, resulting in a new parameter set θ' . Neuron regeneration maintains two key properties:

1. Neuron-level Plasticity Recovery: Plasticity $(NR(\theta')) > Plasticity(\theta)$

2. Performance Guarantee: $P(NR(\theta')) \ge P(\theta)$

where Plasticity denotes a measure of the network's adaptability and P represents the network's performance.

209 210

201

202 203

204

205

206

207

208

178 179

181 182 183

185

186 187

188 189

Neuron regeneration aims to achieve sustainable plasticity without performance degradation, enabling
 long-term learning and exploration of the network's potential. This approach addresses the limitations
 of traditional training methods and reset techniques, potentially maximizing the utilization of the
 entire network's capacity. By implementing neuron regeneration, we propose a framework for
 maintaining learning ability and efficiency, pushing the boundaries of neural network capabilities
 beyond current limitations.



Figure 2: Left: Cycle Reset. Right: Inner Distillation. The right figure illustrates our method combining neuron reset and knowledge distillation. This approach restores neuron plasticity and transfers knowledge, enhancing overall network plasticity while maintaining performance.

4 METHODOLOGY

To maximize the utilization of neural network capabilities, we propose the Sustainable Backpropagation (SBP) method. SBP achieves sustainable plasticity through a novel neuron regeneration mechanism, which combines two key components: Cycle Reset and Inner Distillation.

4.1 SUSTAINABLE BACKPROPAGATION

240 Our neuron regeneration mechanism consists of two complementary processes: Reset and Distillation. 241 The Reset process discards outdated knowledge and rejuvenates inactive neurons by resetting their 242 parameters to initial states. Complementing this, the Distillation process preserves valuable knowl-243 edge by transferring information from the pre-reset state to the post-reset network. This combination 244 allows neurons to restore plasticity while retaining crucial learned information.

245 To implement network-wide neuron regeneration, we introduce the Cycle Reset mechanism, governed 246 by two key parameters: Reset frequency F and Reset ratio p. Every F training steps, p% of neurons in 247 each layer undergo reset and regeneration. This cyclical process, illustrated in Figure 2, continuously 248 refreshes neurons across all layers throughout training. 249

Inner Distillation completes the neuron regeneration process initiated by Reset. As shown in Figure 2, 250 before resetting, the current policy π_{θ} is copied to a temporary policy π_{tem} . Selected neurons then 251 undergo reset, clearing outdated information. Subsequently, knowledge from π_{tem} is selectively 252 distilled back to the reset policy $\pi_{\theta'}$ at the neuron level, with reset neurons temporarily frozen 253 to preserve their renewed plasticity. This neuron-specific distillation process complements the 254 Reset operation, jointly achieving neuron regeneration. The integration of Cycle Reset and Inner 255 Distillation results in a cyclic neuron regeneration process. This approach ensures that all neurons in 256 the network undergo periodic regeneration, maintaining network-wide plasticity while preserving 257 valuable knowledge. Through this cyclic neuron regeneration, we achieve sustainable plasticity, 258 enabling the neural network to continually adapt to new information without compromising its learned capabilities. This process maximizes the utilization of the neural network's capacity, effectively 259 balancing ongoing learning with performance preservation. 260

261

228

229

230 231 232

233 234

235

236

237 238

239

The SBP algorithm, which incorporates reset operations and distillation, is outlined in Algorithm 1. 262

263 4.2 DOUBLE KL DIVERGENCE 264

265 Our analysis of Figure1 revealed that the PPO algorithm faces challenges with plasticity loss. To 266 address this issue, we propose integrating the SBP framework into PPO, aiming to enhance its 267 capabilities. The Inner Distillation process presents a complex scenario where neurons may contain either valuable knowledge or irrelevant information. To maximize generalization and plasticity, we 268 must carefully control this distillation process. In the context of reinforcement learning, we leverage 269 the KL divergence as our distillation function, building upon previous research(Martins et al., 2021) that demonstrated the effectiveness of both Forward KL (FKL) and Reverse KL (RKL) in different aspects of knowledge transfer. Specifically, FKL has shown efficiency in transferring knowledge from a teacher policy to a student policy, while RKL is effective in preventing the infiltration of potentially harmful knowledge into the student model. To capitalize on the strengths of both approaches, we introduce a combined method. We also incorporate a parameter α to adapt the loss function to various distillation scenarios. This results in our proposed α -weighted Double KL divergence (DKL), expressed as:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \min_{\theta} \alpha \cdot D_{\mathrm{KL}}^{\rightarrow}(\pi_{tem} \parallel \pi_{\theta'}) + (1 - \alpha) \cdot D_{\mathrm{KL}}^{\leftarrow}(\pi_{\theta'} \parallel \pi_{tem}) \tag{4}$$

- π_{θ} represents the primary policy, π_{temp} denotes a temporary policy typically used before a reset, and $\pi_{\theta'}$ signifies the policy after the reset of certain neurons.
- $D_{\text{KL}}^{\rightarrow}(\pi_{\text{tem}} \parallel \pi_{\theta'})$ denotes the Forward KL divergence, which measures how well the policy $\pi_{\theta'}$ approximates the policy π_{tem} . This term is crucial for the effective transfer of essential knowledge from the π_{tem} to the $\pi_{\theta'}$.
- $D_{\text{KL}}^{\leftarrow}(\pi_{\theta'} \parallel \pi_{\text{tem}})$ represents the Reverse KL divergence, which acts as a regularizer to prevent the $\pi_{\theta'}$ from adopting potentially harmful or irrelevant information from the policy π_{tem} .
- $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ is a tuning parameter that balances the contributions of the Forward and Reverse KL divergences to the overall loss function.
- The α -DKL approach offers a flexible and robust method for knowledge distillation, allowing us to balance the transfer of useful information with the prevention of harmful knowledge infiltration. By adjusting the α parameter, we can fine-tune the distillation process to suit different learning scenarios and optimize the trade-off between knowledge preservation and plasticity restoration. Leveraging α -DKL, we propose Plastic PPO (P3O), an enhanced version of the PPO algorithm that integrates SBP and employs α -DKL as its distillation loss function. The details of P3O are presented in Algorithm 2. This integration allows P3O to maintain sustainable plasticity throughout the learning process, potentially overcoming the limitations observed in standard PPO implementations.
- 5 EXPERIMENTS
 - 5.1 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP
- 307 308

277 278 279

281

284

287

289

290

291

292 293 294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302 303 304

305 306

310 Environment & Task To evaluate our algorithm's performance, we 311 employed a diverse set of tasks. These include standard benchmarks 312 from MuJoCo (Todorov et al., 2012) and the state-based versions of 313 DeepMind Control Suite (DMC) (Tassa et al., 2018). Additionally, 314 we introduce the Cycle Friction Control task, an innovative variant of 315 the MuJoCo environment inspired by the slip MuJoCo task (Dohare et al., 2024). Fig. 3 shows a task with a cyclically changing friction 316 coefficient. It starts at 4, decreases by 1 every million steps to 1, 317





then increases back to 4. This discrete evolution significantly increases environmental complexity,
 challenging the algorithm.

Baseline Throughout the entire experiment, we employed PPO as the base algorithm. In the reset
 experiment, we examined the impact of various reset strategies. CBP (Dohare et al., 2021) involves
 selecting neurons based on a utility function that considers both weight and activation values. ReDo
 (Sokar et al., 2023) selects neurons based on a score derived from their activation values. Cycle
 involves selecting neurons in a specific order.

PPO

PPO+CBP

336 337

338 339 340

341

342

343 344

345

347

348 349

350 351

352

353

354

359

324 Table 1: Performance comparison across MuJoCo environments, with results averaged across 5 different random seeds. Percentages show improvement over baseline PPO. H-stand: HumanoidStandup, 326 Half: HalfCheetah.

327						
328		PPO	PPO+CBP	PPO+ReDo	PPO+Cycle	P3O
329	Hopper	3,613	3,606 (-0.19%)	3828(5.95%)	3280 (-9.22%)	3,735 (3%)
330	H-stand	144,831	143,763(-0.74%)	151,254 (4.43%)	154323 (6.55%)	158,361 (9%)
331	Walker	5,878	5,028 (-14.46%)	5,594 (-4.83%)	5743 (-2.30%)	7,402 (9%)
332	Ant	3,514	4,860(38.30%)	3995 (13.69%)	3256 (-7.34%)	5,683 (62%)
333	Half	4,575	5,458 (19.30%)	4,962 (8.46%)	4843 (5.86%)	9,065 (98%)
334	Humanoid	972	3,307 (240.23%)	2,573 (164.71%)	1578 (62.35%)	7,469 (669%)
335						

PPO+ReDo

PPO+Cycle

P3O



Figure 4: Performance of various reset strategies in MuJoCo environments.

5.2 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

MuJoCo Our experimental results demonstrate the effectiveness of our P3O algorithm across several 360 key dimensions. Table 1 shows that P3O consistently outperforms other algorithms across all MuJoCo 361 environments, with particularly remarkable improvements in the Humanoid environment, where 362 the performance boost reaches 669%. This substantial increase in maximum rewards indicates 363 that our framework effectively enables neuronal regeneration, enhancing learning efficiency and 364 more fully utilizing the neural network's capacity. The learning curves in Figure 4 further support this, demonstrating that P3O achieves the highest learning efficiency in most environments and 366 maintains an upward trend for extended periods. This sustained improvement suggests that we 367 have indeed achieved sustainable plasticity, continuously providing the neural network with the 368 capacity for learning and adaptation. Moreover, our ablation study using a standalone cycle reset 369 without distillation demonstrates that knowledge recovery plays a crucial role in this process, further validating the importance of our integrated approach in P3O. 370

371 As illustrated in Figure 5, we analyzed the average L1 norm of all neuron weights in the network 372 during training. We observed that the original PPO algorithm tends to produce larger weights. In 373 contrast, algorithms incorporating resets, including P3O, maintain weights in a more stable, lower 374 range. This aligns with research(Dohare et al., 2024) indicating that excessively large weights are a 375 symptom of reduced plasticity. Our findings, detailed in Table 4 in the appendix, show a correlation between reset frequency and weight magnitude: more frequent resets lead to smaller overall weights. 376 P3O, with its moderate reset frequency, achieves a balanced weight distribution, positioned between 377 the frequent resets of CBP and the limited resets of ReDo. This observation demonstrates that

379 380

381

382

384

385

386

387

388

389

390 391

392

393

394

396 397

427



Figure 5: Weight Norm of actor network. Lower weight norm indicates greater plasticity.

resetting is indeed an effective measure for restoring plasticity, as it helps to maintain weights within a more optimal range for learning.

Crucially, as illustrated in Figure 6, P3O consistently maintains higher gradient norms compared to
 other tested algorithms across various MuJoCo environments. This is significant because learning occurs through gradients, and within a certain range, higher gradients indicate higher learning efficiency
 and plasticity. The phenomenon of vanishing gradients is often associated with a loss of plasticity.
 Our algorithm effectively reduces weight values while maintaining robust gradients, resulting in
 optimal performance. Other algorithms show less effective gradient maintenance, suggesting that
 knowledge retention is crucial for sustaining gradients.

407 The superior performance of P3O can be attributed to its appropriate reset frequency and effective 408 knowledge retention measures. This combination enables efficient neuronal regeneration, balancing 409 adaptation to new information with preservation of essential knowledge. By effectively navigating 410 the plasticity-stability trade-off, P3O enhances sample efficiency in reinforcement learning tasks 411 while maintaining learning stability. Moreover, P3O's success highlights the importance of neuronal 412 regeneration and sustainable plasticity in neural networks. It demonstrates how continuous renewal of neuron, coupled with crucial information preservation, can achieve long-term adaptability without 413 compromising performance or stability. 414

415 Distillation Cost Distillation is conducted in 416 epochs using an online replay buffer, allowing us 417 to calculate the cost of distillation by statistically 418 analyzing its epochs. Table 2 presents these specific statistical results. However, indiscrim-419 inately increasing the number of epochs can ex-420 acerbate the primacy bias (Nikishin et al., 2022). 421 This phenomenon is also observed in PPO, as 422 demonstrated in Fig. 1. Thus, a strategic com-423 bination of distillation and reset mechanisms is 424 crucial to optimize their benefits. Analysis of 425 distillation epochs and their impact on P3O per-426 formance, as shown in Figure 7, reveals a clear



formance, as shown in Figure 7, reveals a clear Figure 7: Correlation between distillation epochs trend: more training epochs lead to greater per- and performance improvements.

formance improvements. This suggests that distillation not only recovers past knowledge but also
 improves sample efficiency. Crucially, the extra training epochs necessitated by distillation should be
 viewed as a key advantage of our algorithm, not a drawback. This strategic use of additional epochs
 significantly enhances sample efficiency, which is the primary reason our algorithm outperforms
 baseline algorithms such as CBP and ReDo. By leveraging these increased training steps, our frame-



Figure 6: Grad Norm of actor network. Higher gradient norm indicates greater plasticity.

work aligns well with prior research on replay ratios in off-policy learning, while providing superior performance through improved sample utilization.

Table 2: Extra distillation epochs in P3O vs. PPO baseline (18,310 epochs) across environments.

	Hopper	Humanoid Stand	Walker	Ant	HalfCheetah	Humanoid
Total Epochs	597.66	638.19	1536.80	7698.40	2548.40	6525.25
Per-Reset Epochs	2.39	2.55	6.14	30.79	10.19	26.10
Epoch Ratio	0.0327	0.0349	0.0840	0.4204	0.1392	0.3564









483 DMC & Cycle Friction Figures 8 and 9 reveal that in these complex benchmarks, while PPO struggles
 484 and other algorithms show only marginal improvements, our SBP approach achieves substantial
 485 progress. This superior performance in challenging environments demonstrates our algorithm's effectiveness and indicates that increased environmental complexity demands higher neural plasticity.

486 Our method's success underscores the importance of effective neuronal regeneration in complex tasks. 487 These findings not only validate our approach but also highlight the need for further research into 488 maximizing neural plasticity, especially in intricate learning environments. 489

The experimental outcomes observed across the Humanoid 4, Hopper Hop 8, and Cycle Friction 490 Ant 9 environments demonstrate that it is often the constrained plasticity of neural networks, rather 491 than the inherent limitations of the algorithms, that restricts the acquisition of valuable knowledge 492 from data. This insight emphasizes the critical need for further research into the plasticity of neural 493 networks, highlighting its pivotal role in advancing learning capabilities. 494

5.3 Ablation of **distillation** α

497 The alpha parameter plays a role in controlling knowledge transfer during distillation, potentially 498 influencing the effectiveness of neural regeneration. Our experiments, as illustrated in Figure 10, suggest that different alpha values can affect learning efficiency. 499



Figure 10: Performance of P3O with varying α values across Mujoco environments.

Our experiments show that an alpha of 0.3 generally yields better performance, suggesting that 519 limiting forward-propagated information may be beneficial. This finding hints at the presence of 520 primacy bias in current learning frameworks, potentially hindering ongoing performance improvements. The effectiveness of a lower alpha value indicates that the current learning paradigm may capture a limited amount of truly beneficial knowledge. This observation underscores the importance of resetting a significant portion of neurons to mitigate biased information learned early in training. 524 Consequently, effective neuron regeneration becomes crucial to balance the preservation of valuable 525 learned information with the network's ability to acquire new knowledge.

526 527 528

495

496

500

501 502

504 505

506

507

509

510

511

512 513

514

515 516

517 518

521

522

523

6 CONCLUSION

529 In this work, we introduced the Sustainable Backup Propagation(SBP) framework, centered on the 530 concept of neuronal regeneration to achieve sustainable plasticity in neural networks. Our approach 531 aims to maximize the utilization of neural networks by maintaining their long-term learning capacity. 532 The core components of SBP, including a novel distillation mechanism and strategic reset mecha-533 nism, work in concert to preserve valuable knowledge while enabling continuous adaptation to new 534 information. We implemented this framework in Plastic PPO(P3O) algorithm, which demonstrated significant performance improvements across various reinforcement learning tasks. Our findings highlight the potential of neuronal regeneration and sustainable plasticity as critical components in 536 advancing deep learning paradigms. By addressing the plasticity-stability trade-off, SBP and P3O 537 pave the way for more adaptive and efficient neural networks. Despite our significant progress, we 538 acknowledge that in some environments, our approach did not achieve optimal performance. This suggests that neuronal regeneration requires further research to reach its full potential.

540 REFERENCES

576

577 578

579

580

542	Zaheer Abbas, Rosie Zhao, Joseph Modayil, Adam White, and Marlos C Machado. Loss of plasticity
543	in continual deep reinforcement learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.07507, 2023.

- Kai Arulkumaran, Marc Peter Deisenroth, Miles Brundage, and Anil Anthony Bharath. Deep reinforcement learning: A brief survey. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 34(6):26–38, 2017.
- Greg Brockman, Vicki Cheung, Ludwig Pettersson, Jonas Schneider, John Schulman, Jie Tang, and
 Wojciech Zaremba. Openai gym. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.01540*, 2016.
- 549 Bruce M Carlson. *Principles of regenerative biology*. Elsevier, 2011.
- Quentin Delfosse, Patrick Schramowski, Martin Mundt, Alejandro Molina, and Kristian Ker sting. Adaptive rational activations to boost deep reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.09407*, 2021.
- Shibhansh Dohare, A.Rupam Mahmood, and RichardS. Sutton. Continual backprop: Stochastic gradient descent with persistent randomness. *arXiv: Learning,arXiv: Learning*, Aug 2021.
- Shibhansh Dohare, J Fernando Hernandez-Garcia, Qingfeng Lan, Parash Rahman, A Rupam Mah mood, and Richard S Sutton. Loss of plasticity in deep continual learning. *Nature*, 632(8026):
 768–774, 2024.
- Pierluca D'Oro, Max Schwarzer, Evgenii Nikishin, Pierre-Luc Bacon, Marc G Bellemare, and Aaron
 Courville. Sample-efficient reinforcement learning by breaking the replay ratio barrier. In *Deep Reinforcement Learning Workshop NeurIPS 2022*, 2022.
- Robert Hecht-Nielsen. Theory of the backpropagation neural network. In *Neural networks for perception*, pp. 65–93. Elsevier, 1992.
- Maximilian Igl, Gregory Farquhar, Jelena Luketina, Wendelin Boehmer, and Shimon Whiteson.
 Transient non-stationarity and generalisation in deep reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.05826*, 2020.
- Woojun Kim, Yongjae Shin, Jongeui Park, and Youngchul Sung. Sample-efficient and safe deep
 reinforcement learning via reset deep ensemble agents. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- 573 Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*, 2014.
 575
 - Saurabh Kumar, Henrik Marklund, and Benjamin Van Roy. Maintaining plasticity via regenerative regularization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.11958*, 2023.
 - Hojoon Lee, Hanseul Cho, Hyunseung Kim, Daehoon Gwak, Joonkee Kim, Jaegul Choo, Se-Young Yun, and Chulhee Yun. Plastic: Improving input and label plasticity for sample efficient reinforcement learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- Lu Lu, Yeonjong Shin, Yanhui Su, and George Em Karniadakis. Dying relu and initialization: Theory and numerical examples. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.06733*, 2019.
- Clare Lyle, Mark Rowland, Will Dabney, Marta Kwiatkowska, and Yarin Gal. Learning dynamics and generalization in reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.02126*, 2022.
- Clare Lyle, Zeyu Zheng, Evgenii Nikishin, Bernardo Avila Pires, Razvan Pascanu, and Will Dabney.
 Understanding plasticity in neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.01486*, 2023.
- ⁵⁸⁹ Clare Lyle, Zeyu Zheng, Khimya Khetarpal, Hado van Hasselt, Razvan Pascanu, James Martens, and Will Dabney. Disentangling the causes of plasticity loss in neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.18762*, 2024.
- G Ma, L Li, S Zhang, Z Liu, Z Wang, Y Chen, L Shen, X Wang, and D Tao. Revisiting plasticity in visual reinforcement learning: Data. *Modules and Training Stages*, 2023.

- Jean P Martins, Igor Almeida, Ricardo Souza, and Silvia Lins. Policy distillation for real-time inference in fronthaul congestion control. *IEEE Access*, 9:154471–154483, 2021.
- Michal Nauman, Michał Bortkiewicz, Mateusz Ostaszewski, Piotr Miłoś, Tomasz Trzciński, and
 Marek Cygan. Overestimation, overfitting, and plasticity in actor-critic: the bitter lesson of
 reinforcement learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.00514, 2024.
- Evgenii Nikishin, Max Schwarzer, Pierluca D'Oro, Pierre-Luc Bacon, and Aaron Courville. The
 primacy bias in deep reinforcement learning. In *International conference on machine learning*, pp. 16828–16847. PMLR, 2022.
- Evgenii Nikishin, Junhyuk Oh, Georg Ostrovski, Clare Lyle, Razvan Pascanu, Will Dabney, and André
 Barreto. Deep reinforcement learning with plasticity injection. Advances in Neural Information
 Processing Systems, 36, 2024.
- Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor
 Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca Antiga, et al. Pytorch: An imperative style,
 high-performance deep learning library. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32,
 2019.
- Martin L Puterman. *Markov decision processes: discrete stochastic dynamic programming*. John Wiley & Sons, 2014.
- Andrei A Rusu, Sergio Gomez Colmenarejo, Caglar Gulcehre, Guillaume Desjardins, James Kirk patrick, Razvan Pascanu, Volodymyr Mnih, Koray Kavukcuoglu, and Raia Hadsell. Policy
 distillation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06295*, 2015.
- John Schulman, Filip Wolski, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alec Radford, and Oleg Klimov. Proximal policy optimization algorithms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.06347*, 2017.
- Max Schwarzer, Johan Samir Obando Ceron, Aaron Courville, Marc G Bellemare, Rishabh Agarwal, and Pablo Samuel Castro. Bigger, better, faster: Human-level atari with human-level efficiency. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 30365–30380. PMLR, 2023.
- Ron Sender and Ron Milo. The distribution of cellular turnover in the human body. *Nature medicine*, 27(1):45–48, 2021.
- Yeonjong Shin and George Em Karniadakis. Trainability of relu networks and data-dependent initialization. *Journal of Machine Learning for Modeling and Computing*, 1(1), 2020.
- Bharat Singh, Rajesh Kumar, and Vinay Pratap Singh. Reinforcement learning in robotic applications:
 a comprehensive survey. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 55(2):945–990, 2022.
- Ghada Sokar, Rishabh Agarwal, Pablo Samuel Castro, and Utku Evci. The dormant neuron phenomenon in deep reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.12902*, 2023.
- Yuval Tassa, Yotam Doron, Alistair Muldal, Tom Erez, Yazhe Li, Diego de Las Casas, David Budden,
 Abbas Abdolmaleki, Josh Merel, Andrew Lefrancq, et al. Deepmind control suite. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.00690*, 2018.
- Emanuel Todorov, Tom Erez, and Yuval Tassa. Mujoco: A physics engine for model-based control. In 2012 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, Oct 2012. doi: 10. 1109/iros.2012.6386109. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/iros.2012.6386109.
- Guowei Xu, Ruijie Zheng, Yongyuan Liang, Xiyao Wang, Zhecheng Yuan, Tianying Ji, Yu Luo,
 Xiaoyu Liu, Jiaxin Yuan, Pu Hua, et al. Drm: Mastering visual reinforcement learning through
 dormant ratio minimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.19668*, 2023.
- Chao Yu, Jiming Liu, Shamim Nemati, and Guosheng Yin. Reinforcement learning in healthcare: A survey. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 55(1):1–36, 2021.

625

630

636

648 A APPENDIX

651 A.1 HyperParameter

• Python 3.8

• Pytorch 2.0.1 (Paszke et al., 2019)

- Gym 0.23.1 (Brockman et al., 2016)
- MuJoCo 2.3.7 (Todorov et al., 2012)
- mujoco-py 2.1.2.14

657 Our experiment is based on PPO and incorporates SBP, CBP, and ReDo variants. We use the 658 hyperparameters described in Table 3 for all algorithms. It's important to note that we've slightly 659 modified the ReDo mechanism: instead of using a threshold-based selection, each reset is based 660 on evaluating the scores of the bottom one percent of neurons. To ensure statistical significance 661 and reproducibility, all experiments were conducted with 5 different random seeds, and the results 662 presented are the mean values with corresponding standard deviations across these runs.

Category	Hyperparameter	Value		
	Optimizer	Adam (Kingma & Ba, 2014		
	Learning rate (Actor & Critic)	3e-4		
	Online replay buffer size	8192		
PPO	Mini-batch size	256		
	Discount factor	0.99		
	Training steps	1.25e7 / 2e7		
	Epochs per update	10		
	Clip range	0.2		
	Actor & Critic hidden dim	256		
Architecture	Actor & Critic hidden layers	3		
	Actor & Critic activation function	tanh		
	Reset Rate	0.01		
SBD	Reset Frequency	50000 Environment Step		
301	Neuron Utility Type	Neuron lifetime		
	Distillation α	0.4		
	au	0.01		
	Reset Rate	0.01		
CBD	Reset Frequency	10000 Gradient Step		
CDI	Neuron Utility Type	Contribution		
	Reset Rate	0.01		
ReDo	Reset Frequency	50000 Environment Step		
	Nouron Utility Type	Do sooro		

 Table 3: Algorithm Parameters

A.2 COMPARISON OF RESET STRATEGIES

In our study, we made deliberate choices in reset counting methods to align with each algorithm's characteristics while maintaining comparability. For CBP, we retained its original approach of using gradient steps for reset counting, preserving its algorithmic features. In contrast, for P3O, we opted to use environment interaction steps as the basis for reset counting. This decision was motivated by our focus on understanding how changes in input data affect neural plasticity. For consistency and to facilitate better comparison, we applied this same counting method based on environment interaction steps to ReDo as well. This approach allowed us to maintain the unique aspects of each algorithm while ensuring a meaningful comparative analysis across different reset strategies.

Table 4 presents a comparative analysis of neuron reset statistics for the CBP, P3O, and ReDo algorithms throughout their respective training processes. The data represents the average across six Mujoco environments. Our findings reveal distinct patterns in reset frequency and scope among these algorithms:

frequei	nt resets.	-			
• In term	is of reset	scope, both CR	P and P3O can res	et all neurons, while ReDo has b	imitati
in this	aspect.	scope, boun CD		et un neurons, while reportas i	muu
in this	uspeet.				
These reset pat	terns alig	n with the we	ight norm distrib	utions observed in Figure 5.	The d
uggests an inv	erse relati	ionship betwee	en reset frequency	and weight magnitude: more	frequ
esets correspon	nd to sma	ller neuronal v	veights. This obso	ervation unveils a simple yet s	ignific
principle: the m	ore freque	ent and comprel	nensive the resets,	the smaller the neuron weights t	tend to
These findings l	highlight	the importance	of carefully cons	idering reset strategies in the d	esign
mplementation	of neural	plasticity algor	ithms, as they can	significantly affect the learning	dynar
ind ultimate per	rformance	of the models.			
	Ta	uble 4: Reset St	tatistics Compariso	on (768 Neurons)	
		Total Resets	Average Resets	Reset Proportion (%)	
	CBP	44208.6	57.6	100.0	
	ReDo	1800.0	2.3	22.3	
	SBP	2304.0	3.0	100.0	
Algorithm 1 <mark>S</mark> u	istainable	Backup Propag	gation (SBP)		
Veural Network	f_{θ} , Tem	porary Model	$f_{\rm tmp}$, Reset Rate γ	, Training Steps T, Reset Free	Juenc
Reset Index $p =$	= 0, Distill	ation Threshol	d τ , Distillation L	oss $d =$ None. for $t = 1$ to T d	0
Update Neu	ral Netwo	rk f_{θ} with stan	dard backpropaga	tion.	
if $t \mod F$	r = 0 then		1 6 5		
Copy the	e weights	of Neural Netw	vork f_{θ} to Tempor	ary Model $f_{\rm tmp}$.	
Ior each	l layer L d	of the Network	00 I · Doinitiolizo i	nput weights of neuron <i>i</i> in	1000
	$\iota = \Pi e I$ [$n \cdot l \cdot (n - I)$	$\perp \alpha $. 1	L., Kellittalize I	input weights of neuron i in	laye
	$p \cdot \iota \cdot (p)$	· /) · · ·].			
Freeze a	all the rese	t neurons in Na	eural Network fo		
while d	$> \tau \text{ or } d$	$= None \mathbf{do}$			
Upd	ate Neura	l Network f_{θ} u	sing Temporary M	Iodel $f_{\rm tmp}$ as a teacher network.	, focu
on re	educing th	e distillation lo	d according to	a distillation loss.	
	alculate D	istillation Loss	<i>d</i> .		
Keca					
Unfreez	e all the re	eset neurons in	Neural Network f	$\theta \cdot$	
$\begin{bmatrix} & & \\ & $	e all the re < 1 then	eset neurons in	Neural Network <i>f</i>	$ heta \cdot$	
$\begin{bmatrix} & & \\ & $	e all the re < 1 then $p + \gamma$;	eset neurons in	Neural Network <i>f</i>	θ.	
$\begin{bmatrix} & & \\ & $	e all the re < 1 then $p + \gamma;$	eset neurons in	Neural Network <i>f</i>	θ.	
$ \begin{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} & Kec \\ Unfreez \\ if \ p + \gamma \\ & \ p = \\ else \\ & \ p = \\ \end{bmatrix} $	e all the re < 1 then $p + \gamma$; 0;	eset neurons in	Neural Network <i>f</i>	θ.	
$\begin{bmatrix} & & \\ & $	e all the re <1 then $p + \gamma;$ 0;	eset neurons in	Neural Network <i>f</i>	θ.	
$ \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Keci \\ Unfreez \\ if p + \gamma \\ _ p = \\ else \\ _ p = \end{bmatrix} $	e all the re <1 then $p + \gamma;$ 0;	eset neurons in	Neural Network <i>f</i>	θ.	
$ \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Keci \\ Unfreez \\ if p + \gamma \\ _ p = \\ else \\ _ p = \end{bmatrix} $	e all the re <1 then $p + \gamma;$ 0;	eset neurons in	Neural Network <i>f</i>	θ.	
A.3 ALGORIT	e all the re < 1 then $p + \gamma;$ 0;	eset neurons in	Neural Network <i>f</i>	θ.	
A.3 ALGORIT	e all the re < 1 then $p + \gamma;$ 0; THM	t two novel ele	orithms designed to	θ.	in po
A.3 ALGORIT	e all the re < 1 then $p + \gamma;$ 0; THM we present yinable Ba	t two novel alg	orithms designed t	θ. to achieve sustainable plasticity	in ne

• CBP exhibits the highest reset frequency, followed by P3O, with ReDo having the least

750 A.3.1 SUSTAINABLE BACKUP PROPAGATION (SBP) 751

752 SBP is a general framework designed to maintain the plasticity of neural networks over extended 753 periods. It incorporates a neuron regeneration mechanism into standard backpropagation, creating a sustainable learning process. The key components of SBP are: 754

755

748

749

periods.

702

703

• Cycle Reset: Periodically reinitializes a portion of neurons to prevent overspecialization.

• **Inner Distillation:** Utilizes a temporary model as a teacher network to recover essential knowledge after neuron reset.

This approach allows neural networks to maintain plasticity indefinitely, continually adapting to new information without catastrophic forgetting.

The SBP algorithm implements the cycle reset and inner distillation mechanisms. The reset rate γ determines the proportion of neurons reset in each cycle, while the distillation process ensures that essential knowledge is retained after each reset.

765 A.3.2 PLASTIC PROXIMAL POLICY OPTIMIZATION (P3O)

P3O is a concrete implementation of the SBP framework within the context of reinforcement learning, specifically tailored for the Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO) algorithm. A specialized distillation function, DKL, is designed for PPO, ensuring effective knowledge transfer in policy space. P3O demonstrates how the general SBP framework can be applied to specific machine learning paradigms, in this case, enabling sustainable plasticity in reinforcement learning policies.

Both algorithms represent a significant step towards creating AI systems that can learn continuously and adapt to changing environments without losing previously acquired knowledge. They offer a promising approach to overcoming the limitations of traditional neural network training methods, particularly in scenarios requiring long-term learning and adaptation.

Algorithm 2 Plastic PPO(P3O)

777 Policy π_{θ} , Temporary Policy π_{tmp} , Reset Rate γ , Training Steps T, Reset Frequency F, Reset Index 778 p = 0, Distillation Threshold τ , Distillation Loss d = None. for t = 1 to T do 779 Update Policy π_{θ} with regular policy gradient. if $t \mod F = 0$ then 781 Copy the weights of Policy π_{θ} to Temporary Policy π_{tmp} . for each layer L of the Network do 782 Let l = neurons of layer L. Reinitialize input weights of neuron i in layer L: 783 $i \in [p \cdot l : (p + \gamma) \cdot l].$ 784 785 Freeze all the reset neurons in Policy π_{θ} . 786 while $d > \tau$ or d = None do 787 Update Policy π_{θ} using Temporary Policy π_{tmp} as a teacher network based on Equation 4. 788 Update Distillation Loss d. 789 Unfreeze all the reset neurons in Policy π_{θ} . if $p + \gamma < 1$ then $p = p + \gamma$ else $\[\] p = 0$ 793

794 795 796

797

798

799

805

806

756

758

776

P3O adapts the SBP framework to the context of reinforcement learning. The key difference lies in the update mechanism policy gradient and the specialized distillation function (Equation 4) designed for policy space.

Both SBP and P3O represent significant advancements in creating AI systems capable of continuous learning and adaptation. By incorporating neuron regeneration and knowledge distillation, these algorithms offer a promising approach to overcoming the limitations of traditional neural network training methods, particularly in scenarios requiring long-term learning and adaptation to changing environments.

A.4 ABLATION

807 A.4.1 ABLATION STUDY OF ACTIVATION 808

809 To comprehensively evaluate our algorithm's capability in maintaining plasticity, we conducted additional experiments across different activation functions, recognizing that neural networks exhibit



Figure 11: Performance Comparison of Different Reset Strategies with ReLU Activation in MuJoCo Environments.

varying characteristics under different activation schemes. We maintained the same parameter settings as shown in Table3 across all six environments.

1. Cross-Activation Function Analysis:

- Our method demonstrates consistent performance improvements with both ReLU and tanh activation functions
- The magnitude of improvement remains comparable between ReLU and tanh conditions

2. Comparative Robustness:

- Baseline methods show strong performance with ReLU activation
- However, these methods exhibit significant performance degradation with tanh activation
- Our approach maintains stable performance across both activation functions

The results demonstrate that our algorithm exhibits superior robustness compared to existing methods, as it maintains consistent effectiveness regardless of the choice of activation function, showing that its plasticity-maintaining capabilities are not limited to specific activation functions but rather represent a more general and robust solution.

849 Plasticity Exploration

828

829 830 831

832

833 834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843 844

850 To better investigate the PPO algorithm, we chose the tanh activation function based on previous 851 research experience. However, when attempting to use dormancy rate as a measure of plasticity, we 852 made an unexpected discovery. In networks using tanh activation, we found a correlation between 853 the magnitude of the activation function outputs and the size of the weights. Inspired by the neuron 854 activation analysis in ReDo, we directly use the absolute value of neuron activations as the neuron 855 scoring metric, since the activation values (tanh) are bounded in [-1,1]. This score reflects the activity level of each neuron, and we calculate the average score across all neurons to measure the overall 856 network activation. As shown in Fig. 15 and 5, our analysis reveals a clear correlation between the 857 overall magnitude of activation values and the weights. 858

To address this concern, we conducted additional experiments with ReLU activation functions, with
results shown in Fig. 11, and calculated the dormant ratio using a threshold of 0.1 12. The dormancy
rate curves closely align with performance variations - lower dormancy rates correlate with higher
performance. Our method consistently maintains lower dormancy rates across most environments,
following trends similar to those observed in weight and gradient norms (Fig. 16 and Fig. 13).
However, the activation norm shows a more nuanced relationship. Comparing Fig. 15 and Fig.



Figure 12: Dormant Ratio (Threshold=0.1) with ReLU Activation across MuJoCo Environments.

14 reveals that both extremely high and low activation norms can be problematic. Our method consistently maintains stable activation norms across environments - approximately 0.7 for tanh and 0.3 for ReLU activations. This suggests that maintaining activation values within specific, activation function-dependent ranges might be crucial for plasticity, though this hypothesis requires further investigation. Therefore, weight and gradient norms can effectively indicate neural network plasticity and demonstrate the effectiveness of our algorithm.

To further evaluate plasticity across methods, we visualized gradient covariance matrices across different environments. In Walker and HalfCheetah environments (Fig. 29, 28) where baseline and Redo achieve similar performance to P3O, the gradient correlations in P3O are notably lower than the other two methods, indicating better plasticity. In the Humanoid environment (Fig. 30), while other methods show largely uncorrelated neuronal patterns, P3O demonstrates richer neuron interactions, suggesting a more effective utilization of the neural network capacity - an advantage we attribute to knowledge distillation.



Figure 13: Grad Norm of Actor Network with ReLU Activation. Higher gradient norm indicatesgreater plasticity.



Figure 14: Activation Norm with ReLU Activation across MuJoCo Environments.



Figure 15: Activation Norm of tahn across six MuJoCo environments.

A.4.2 ABLATION STUDY OF RESET RATE

To investigate the impact of reset rates across different environments, we conducted a series of ablation experiments. The results, illustrated in Figure 17, yield several significant observations:

- Lower Reset Rates: In most environments, lower reset rates generally yielded better performance. This suggests that retaining a larger portion of previously learned information is beneficial for many tasks.
- **Higher Reset Rates:** In some specific environments, such as the HumanoidStand task, higher reset rates (up to 0.5) produced superior results. This indicates that for more complex tasks, a significant portion of previously learned information may become obsolete, necessitating a higher reset rate to discard outdated knowledge.
- 967
 Full Network Reset: Interestingly, in environments like Walker and Half Cheetah, resetting the entire network outperformed resetting only half of it. This phenomenon could be attributed to the quality of newly sampled data in these environments. Learning from scratch with fresh data may lead to higher efficiency, especially in environments where performance is already satisfactory. This observation could potentially serve as evidence for the impact of primacy bias on learning.



Figure 16: Weight Norm of Actor Network with ReLU Activation. Lower weight norm indicates greater plasticity.



Figure 17: Ablation of Per-Reset Network Reset Ratio

• **Stability vs. Performance:** While higher reset rates showed improved performance in certain scenarios, they also introduced greater volatility compared to our default parameter of 0.01. This trade-off between performance and stability needs to be carefully considered.

These findings underscore the importance of task-specific tuning for reset rates. The optimal reset rate can vary significantly depending on the complexity of the task, the quality of sampled data, and the desired balance between performance and stability. Future work could explore adaptive reset rate mechanisms that automatically adjust based on task characteristics and learning progress.

A.4.3 ABLATION OF RESET FREQUENCY

Fig. 18 presents our comprehensive analysis of various reset frequencies, specifically examining
 intervals of 20000, 40000, 80000, and 100000 steps. Our results did not reveal a clear, universally
 applicable pattern across different environments. Instead, we observed that the performance of neuron
 regeneration at different frequencies varied significantly depending on the specific task environment.
 This variability suggests that the optimal reset frequency is highly task-dependent and requires
 case-by-case analysis. The lack of a consistent trend across environments highlights the complexity





Table 5:	Comparison of	Distillation	Epochs and	Extra I	Recovery I	Epochs

1130							
1131		Hopper	Humanoid Stand	Walker	Ant	HalfCheetah	Humanoid
1132 1133	Distillation Epochs Recovery Epochs	597.66 809.75	638.19 2731.60	1536.80 524.00	7698.40 700.20	2548.40 253.20	6525.25 485.25



Figure 22: Baseline with Inner Distillation(INDS).

experimental design allows us to directly compare the effectiveness of distillation versus simple 1154 training recovery in mitigating knowledge loss caused by reset. Our experimental results, as shown 1155 in Fig. 21, reveal that recovery to moderate reward levels through extra training requires less 1156 computational cost and performs slightly better than cycle reset alone. However, while extra training 1157 is efficient for basic recovery, it fails to generate substantial performance improvements, indicating 1158 that the ability to recover previous performance does not guarantee continued learning progress. In 1159 contrast, our distillation mechanism demonstrates superior capabilities in sample efficiency, network 1160 plasticity utilization, and sustained performance improvements beyond simple recovery. These 1161 findings emphasize that while simple retraining cannot fully recover lost knowledge, our inner 1162 distillation mechanism effectively preserves and restores knowledge while maximizing learning 1163 efficiency and leveraging the network's plastic potential.

1164Baseline with Inner Distillation1165

To further explore the value of knowledge preservation through distillation, we conducted experiments combining various baselines with inner distillation. As illustrated in Figure 22, we observed that the performance improvements brought by distillation were limited for random resets and ReDo. However, for CBP, distillation sometimes yielded beneficial improvements, notably in the Ant environment where positive gains were observed. Despite these improvements, the overall learning process remained unstable. It's worth noting that we used default parameters in these experiments, which may have limited the full integration of our algorithm with CBP.

These findings lead to an encouraging conclusion: distillation can be effectively combined with other reset strategies, serving as a mechanism for knowledge retention in new neuronal regeneration paradigms. While the results are promising, they also highlight the need for further research to optimize the various parameter settings. This refinement process is crucial for fully realizing the potential of combining distillation with neuronal reset strategies and developing more robust and effective learning algorithms.

1179

A.5 SAC WITH SBP

To further validate the generality of our framework, we integrated SBP into SAC and evaluated it across four Mujoco environments, as shown in Fig. 23. We compared both redo and cycle reset approaches with the hyperparameter configurations detailed in Table 6. Our results demonstrate that SBP consistently improves SAC's performance. The analysis of dormant ratio (Fig. 24), gradient norm (Fig. 27), weight norm (Fig. 26), and activation norm (Fig. 25) reveals trends similar to those observed in PPO: lower dormancy rates, larger gradients, smaller weights, and activation values maintained within stable ranges. However, we believe these improvements represent only a fraction of SBP's potential benefit to SAC, particularly considering that our current implementation, which



