ImOV3D: Learning Open-Vocabulary Point Clouds 3D Object Detection from Only 2D Images

Timing Yang^{1,2∗} Yuanliang Ju^{1,2}[∗] Li Yi^{2,3,1†} ¹ Shanghai Qi Zhi Institute, ² IIIS, Tsinghua University, ³ Shanghai AI Lab

Abstract

Open-vocabulary 3D object detection (OV-3Det) aims to generalize beyond the limited number of base categories labeled during the training phase. The biggest bottleneck is the scarcity of annotated 3D data, whereas 2D image datasets are abundant and richly annotated. Consequently, it is intuitive to leverage the wealth of annotations in 2D images to alleviate the inherent data scarcity in OV-3Det. In this paper, we push the task setup to its limits by exploring the potential of using solely 2D images to learn OV-3Det. The major challenges for this setup is the modality gap between training images and testing point clouds, which prevents effective integration of 2D knowledge into OV-3Det. To address this challenge, we propose a novel framework ImOV3D to leverage pseudo multimodal representation containing both images and point clouds (PC) to close the modality gap. The key of ImOV3D lies in flexible modality conversion where 2D images can be lifted into 3D using monocular depth estimation and can also be derived from 3D scenes through rendering. This allows unifying both training images and testing point clouds into a common image-PC representation, encompassing a wealth of 2D semantic information and also incorporating the depth and structural characteristics of 3D spatial data. We carefully conduct such conversion to minimize the domain gap between training and test cases. Extensive experiments on two benchmark datasets, SUNRGBD and ScanNet, show that ImOV3D significantly outperforms existing methods, even in the absence of ground truth 3D training data. With the inclusion of a minimal amount of real 3D data for fine-tuning, the performance also significantly surpasses previous state-of-the-art. Codes and pre-trained models are released on the <https://github.com/yangtiming/ImOV3D>.

1 Introduction

In the 3D vision community, there is a notable surge in interest surrounding open-vocabulary 3D object detection (OV-3Det). This task focuses on the detection of objects from unbounded categories that were not present during the training phase, using 3D point clouds as input. Such capability holds immense significance in dynamic 3D environments where a wide range of object categories constantly emerge and evolve, which is critical in downstream applications including robotics[\[8,](#page-10-0) [18,](#page-10-1) [28,](#page-11-0) [23\]](#page-11-1), autonomous driving [\[31,](#page-11-2) [46\]](#page-12-0), and augmented reality [\[35,](#page-11-3) [44\]](#page-12-1).

With the advancements in OV-3Det, which is not only scarce in terms of labels but also in the data itself. However, the collection and annotation of 3D point clouds scenes pose significant challenges. The availability of accessible and scannable scenes *(e.g. indoor scenes)* may be limited. Additionally, obtaining 3D annotations often requires substantial human effort and time-consuming. These limitations impact the model's performance in handling novel objects. Existing methods [\[30,](#page-11-4) [29,](#page-11-5) [28,](#page-11-0) [10,](#page-10-2) [49\]](#page-12-2) seek help from powerful open-vocabulary 2D detectors. A common method

38th Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS 2024).

[∗]Equal contribution.

[†]Corresponding author.

Figure 1: Left: Traditional methods require paired RGB-D data for training and use single-modality point clouds as input during inference. **Right:** ImOV3D involves using a vast amount of 2D images to generate pseudo point clouds during the training phase, which are then rendered back into images. In the inference phase, with only point clouds as input, we still construct a pseudo-multimodal representation to enhance detection performance.

leverages paired RGB-D data together with 2D detectors to generate 3D pseudo labels to address the label scarcity issue, as shown in Figure [1](#page-1-0) left. But they are still restricted by the small scale of existing paired RGB-D data. Moreover, the from scratch trained 3D detector can hardly inherit from powerful open-vocabulary 2D detector models directly due to the modality difference. We then ask the question, what is the best way to transfer 2D knowledge to 3D for OV-3Det?

Observing that the modality gap prevents a direct knowledge transfer, we propose to leverage a pseudo multi-modal representation to close the gap. On one hand, we can lift a 2D image into a pseudo-3D representation through estimating the depth and camera matrix. On the other hand, we can convert a 3D point cloud into a pseudo-2D representation through rendering. The pseudo RGB image-PC multimodal representation could serve as a common ground for better transferring knowledge from 2D to 3D.

In this paper, we present ImOV3D, which addresses these challenges by employing pseudomultimodal representation as a unified framework. As shown in Figure [1](#page-1-0) right side, In both the training and the inference phase, we construct pseudo-multimodal representation to achieve our goal of training solely with 2D images and better integrating multimodal features to enhance the performance of OV-3Det. Our key idea lies in proper modality conversion. Specifically, the entire pipeline consists of two flows: (1) **Image** \rightarrow **Pseudo PC**, by leveraging a large-scale 2D images training set, our method begins by converting images to pseudo point clouds through monocular depth estimation and approximate camera parameter. We automatically generate pseudo 3D labels based on 2D annotations, providing the necessary training data. We also designed a set of revision modules, which significantly improve the quality of the pseudo 3D data through the use of GPT-4 [\[1\]](#page-10-3)'s size prior and the orientation of the estimated normal map. (2) **Pseudo PC** \rightarrow **Pseudo Image**, we learn a point clouds renderer capable of producing natural-looking textured 2D images from pseudo 3D point clouds. This enables ImOV3D to leverage pseudo-multimodal 3D detection even for point cloud-only inputs during inference, transferring 2D rich semantic information and proposals into the 3D space, further enhancing the detector's performance.

Despite being trained solely with the 2D image set, ImOV3D exhibits impressive detection results when directly processing real 3D scans. This is attributed to the high fidelity of the lifted point clouds and the point clouds rendering. Additionally, when a small amount of real 3D data becomes available, even without any 3D annotations, ImOV3D can further narrow the gap between pseudo and real data by fine-tuning on such 3D data, leading to improved detection performance. To validate the effectiveness of ImOV3D, we perform extensive experiments on two benchmark datasets: SUNRGBD [\[43\]](#page-12-3) and ScanNet [\[12\]](#page-10-4). Notably, in scenarios where real 3D training data is unavailable, ImOV3D surpasses previous state-of-the-art open-vocabulary 3D detectors by an mAP@0.25 improvement of at least 7.14% on SUNRGBD and 6.78% on ScanNet. Furthermore, when real 3D training data is accessible, ImOV3D continues to outperform various challenging baselines by a large margin. Thorough ablations are also conducted to validate the efficacy of our designs. In summary, our contributions are three-fold:

• We propose ImOV3D, the first OV-3Det method that can be trained solely with 2D images without requiring any 3D point clouds or 3D annotations.

- We introduce a novel pseudo-multimodal representation pipeline which converts 2D internet images and corresponding detections into pseudo point clouds, pseudo 3D annotations, and point clouds renderings to support point clouds-based multimodal OV-3Det.
- ImOV3D achieves state-of-the-art performance on two general OV-3Det benchmark datasets across various settings, showcasing its ability to enhance open world 3D understanding despite the lack of 3D data and annotations.

2 Related Work

Open-Vocabulary 2D Object Detection encompasses two primary series of works: The first [\[50,](#page-12-4) [19,](#page-10-5) [32,](#page-11-6) [42,](#page-12-5) [3,](#page-10-6) [15,](#page-10-7) [37,](#page-12-6) [45,](#page-12-7) [11,](#page-10-8) [9,](#page-10-9) [50\]](#page-12-4), which draws upon knowledge from pre-trained Vision-Language models (e.g., CLIP [\[40\]](#page-12-8)), comprehends the relationships between images and their corresponding textual descriptions, thereby enhancing object recognition and classification. The second series [\[26,](#page-11-7) [47,](#page-12-9) [48,](#page-12-10) [51,](#page-12-11) [17,](#page-10-10) [57,](#page-13-0) [33,](#page-11-8) [25,](#page-11-9) [58\]](#page-13-1) involves the use of extensive training data, specifically text/image pairs, enabling the model to learn a more diverse set of object representations. Detic [\[58\]](#page-13-1) leverages vocabularies from image classification datasets to train the classification head of object detectors, addressing the issue of insufficient training data and enabling inference on a larger vocabulary set. In the 2D component of our pseudo- multimodal detector, we utilize Detic [\[58\]](#page-13-1) to predict 2D labels and bounding boxes. These 2D visual information features are then converted and augmented for the 3D point cloud detector, significantly enhancing our model's ability to recognize a broader range of objects.

Open-Vocabulary Scene Understanding has recently gained increased attention [\[36,](#page-11-10) [41,](#page-12-12) [54,](#page-12-13) [21,](#page-11-11) [22,](#page-11-12) [24,](#page-11-13) [14,](#page-10-11) [10\]](#page-10-2) and plays a critical role in robotics, autonomous driving, *etc.* OpenScene [\[36\]](#page-11-10) achieves open-world scene understanding without the need for labeled data by densely embedding 3D scene points together with text and image pixels into the CLIP [\[40\]](#page-12-8) feature space. PLA [\[14\]](#page-10-11) develops a hierarchical approach to pairing 3D data with text for open-world 3D learning. We focus on OV-3Det, where merely extracting CLIP [\[40\]](#page-12-8) features is insufficient. We also require the intricate spatial structure of point clouds to enhance detection accuracy and robustness. By integrating both CLIP [\[40\]](#page-12-8)'s visual knowledge and the detailed geometric information from point clouds, our approach aims to enable the recognition of a broader range of objects beyond the predefined categories.

Open-Vocabulary 3D Object Detection in 3D vision is still in its early stages, especially when compared to traditional 3D object detection [\[38,](#page-12-14) [39,](#page-12-15) [34,](#page-11-14) [27,](#page-11-15) [6\]](#page-10-12). OV-3DETIC [\[29\]](#page-11-5) leverages ImageNet1K [\[13\]](#page-10-13) to expand the detector's vocabulary set and conducts contrastive learning between images and point clouds modalities for more effective knowledge transfer. OV-3DET [\[30\]](#page-11-4) generates pseudo 3D annotations for localization using a pre-trained 2D open-vocabulary detector [\[58\]](#page-13-1). CoDA [\[5\]](#page-10-14) leverages 2D and 3D prior information and a cross-modal alignment module to simultaneously learn the localization and classification capabilities. CoDAv2 [\[7\]](#page-10-15) improves CoDA [\[5\]](#page-10-14) further by proposing the novel object enrichment strategy and 2D box guidance. FM-OV3D [\[52\]](#page-12-16) combines multiple foundation models without the need for 3D annotations. However, they are still subject to the influence of the volume of 3D data and still require strict correspondence between RGB-D data. Our method can generate training data for OV-3Det task using only 2D images, without any 3D ground truth data. It can directly achieve state-of-the-art performance when tested on the evaluation set. The designed pseudo-multimodal representation pipeline provides a novel solution for the utilization of both 2D and 3D information.

3 Method

3.1 Overview

An overview of the proposed open world 3D Object Detection model, ImOV3D, is shown in Figure [2.](#page-3-0) ImOV3D is a point cloud-only model that addresses the challenges of the scarcity of annotated 3D datasets in open-vocabulary 3D Object Detection. To overcome this challenge, ImOV3D uses large-scale 2D datasets to generate pseudo 3D point clouds and annotations. We use a monocular depth estimation model to create metric depth images, which are then converted into pseudo 3D point clouds for both indoor and outdoor scenes. To generate pseudo 3D annotations, we lift 2D bounding boxes into 3D space. To leverage multimodal data, we transform the point clouds into pseudo images using a point cloud renderer. Our training strategy involves a two-stage approach. Firstly, we conduct

Figure 2: Overview of ImOV3D: Our model takes 2D images as input and puts them into the Pseudo 3D Annotation Generator to produce pseudo annotations. These 2D images are also fed into the Point Cloud Lifting Module to generate pseudo point clouds. Subsequently, using the Point Cloud Renderer, these pseudo point clouds are rendered into pseudo images, which then get processed by a 2D open vocabulary detector to detect 2D proposals and transfer the 2D semantic information to 3D space. Armed with pseudo point clouds, annotations, and pseudo images data, we proceed to train a multimodal 3D detector.

pre-training using pseudo 3D point clouds and corresponding annotations. Subsequently, we initiate an adaptation stage aimed at minimizing the domain discrepancy between 2D and 3D datasets.

3.2 Point Cloud Lifting Module

The success of open-vocabulary object detection relies heavily on the availability of large-scale labeled datasets. However, the scarcity of comparable 3D datasets poses a challenge for open world 3D Object Detection. To address this, we bridge 2D images $\mathcal{I}_{2D} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times H \times W \times 3}$ (where M is the number of images, and H and W are the height and width, respectively) to 3D detection by generating pseudo 3D point clouds $\mathcal{P}_{pseudo} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N \times 3}$ (where N is the number of points, each with coordinates (x, y, z)).

Utilizing 2D datasets for 3D detection presents difficulties due to the absence of metric depth images and camera parameters. To overcome these obstacles, we use a metric depth estimation model to obtain single-view depth images $\mathcal{D}_{\text{metric}} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times H \times W}$. Additionally, we employ fixed camera intrinsics $K \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times3}$, with the focal length f calculated based on a 55-degree field of view (FOV) and the image dimensions.

However, the absence of camera extrinsics $\mathbf{E} = \{R \mid t\}$ (where R is the rotation matrix and t is the translation vector set to $[0, 0, 0]^\top$) results in the arbitrary orientation of point clouds. To correct this, we use a rotation correction module to ensure the ground plane is horizontal, as shown in Figure [3](#page-4-0) (a). First, we estimate the surface normal vector at each pixel using a normal estimation model [\[2\]](#page-10-16), creating a normal map. From this, we selectively extract the horizontal normal vector N_i at each pixel, defined as (N_x, N_y, N_z) . We then compute the normal vector of the horizon surface as $N_{\text{pred}} = Cluster(N_i)$. To align N_{pred} with the Z_{axis} , we calculate the rotation matrix R using the following equation:

$$
R = I + K + K^{2} \frac{1 - N_{pred} \cdot Z_{axis}}{\|v\|^{2}}
$$
 (1)

where I is the identity matrix, v is the cross product of N_{pred} and Z_{axis} , expressed as $N_{pred} \times Z_{axis}$, K is the skew symmetric matrix constructed from the vector v , represented as:

$$
K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -v_z & v_y \\ v_z & 0 & -v_x \\ -v_y & v_x & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (2)

Figure 3: Illustration of 3D Data Revision Module: (a) The rotation correction module involves processing an RGB image through a Normal Estimator to generate a normal map. This map then helps extract a horizontal surface mask for identifying horizontal point clouds, from which normal vectors N_{pred} are obtained. These vectors are aligned with the Z-axis to compute the rotation matrix R. (b) In the 3D box filtering module, prompts related to object dimensions are first provided to GPT-4 to determine the mean size for each category. This mean size is then used to filter out boxes that do not meet the threshold criteria.

After obtaining the camera intrinsics matrix K and the camera extrinsics matrix $\mathbf {E}$ through the previous steps, depth images $\mathcal{D}_{\text{metric}}$ are converted into point clouds $\mathcal{P}_{\text{pseudo}}$.

3.3 Pseudo 3D Annotation Generator

Building upon the vast collection of pseudo 3D point clouds P_{pseudo} acquired from 2D datasets, our next step is to generate pseudo 3D bounding boxes $B_{3D_\text{Pseudo}} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times K \times 7}$ (where K is the number of bounding boxes and each box has 7 parameters: center coordinates, dimensions, and orientation).

2D datasets contain rich segmentation information that can be used to generate 3D boxes by lifting. Using the camera intrinsics matrix K and camera extrinsics matrix $\mathbf {E}$ obtained through the Point Cloud Lifting Module, we lift the 2D bounding boxes $B_{2Dgt} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times K \times 4}$ from the 2D datasets into 3D space by extracting 3D points that fall within the predicted 2D boxes, generating frustum 3D boxes $B_{3Dpseudo}$. The extracted point clouds may contain background points and outliers. To remove these, we employ a clustering [\[16\]](#page-10-17) algorithm to analyze point clouds. Through the clustering results, we can identify and remove background points and outliers that do not belong to the target objects.

However, these lifted 3D boxes may still contain noise from the depth images $\mathcal{D}_{\text{metric}}$ obtained by monocular depth estimation. To address this issue, we use a 3D box filtering module to filter out inaccurate 3D boxes, as shown in Figure [3](#page-4-0) (b). First, we construct a database of median object sizes using GPT-4 [\[1\]](#page-10-3). By prompting GPT-4 with "Please tell me the average length, width, and height of this [category], using meters as the unit", we obtain the median dimensions L_{GPT} , W_{GPT} , H_{GPT} . Each object in a scene, defined by dimensions L, W, H , is compared to these median dimensions using a threshold T . An object is preserved if each element of:

$$
T < \frac{R}{R_{\text{GPT}}} < \frac{1}{T}, \quad \forall R \in \{L, W, H\} \tag{3}
$$

The 3D box filtering module consists of two components: Train Phase Prior Size Filtering and Inference Phase Semantic Size Filtering. The first component filters out boxes that do not match the size criteria before training. The second component removes semantically similar but size-different categories during inference, preventing errors such as misidentifying a book as a bookcase.

3.4 Point Cloud Renderer

Point cloud data has inherent limitations, such as the inability of sparse point clouds to capture detailed textures. 2D images can enrich 3D data by providing additional texture information that point clouds lack. To utilize 2D images, we transform point clouds P into rendered images $\mathcal{I}_{\text{reduced}} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times H \times W}$.

Integrating rendered images into a 3D detection pipeline is challenging. A naive approach, as mentioned in PointClip [\[59\]](#page-13-2), is to append raw depth values across the RGB channels, but this fails to apply a mature open-world 2D detector effectively. To leverage multimodal information without

additional inputs beyond 3D point clouds, we develop a point cloud renderer to convert point clouds into detailed pseudo images. This process can also be learned solely from 2D image datasets.

The point cloud renderer has two key modules: The point cloud rendering module converts point clouds P into rendered images $\mathcal{I}_{\text{reduced}}$, and the color rendering module then processes these images to produce colorized outputs using ControlNet [\[55\]](#page-13-3). ControlNet [\[55\]](#page-13-3) is a method designed to control diffusion models, transforming rendered images $\mathcal{I}_{\text{reduced}}$ into pseudo images $\mathcal{I}_{\text{pseudo}} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times H \times W \times 3}$.

In the pretraining stage, we use the camera intrinsics K and extrinsics $\mathbf {E}$ from the Point Cloud Lifting Module to render \mathcal{P}_{pseudo} into rendered images $\mathcal{I}_{rendered}$. During adaptation and inference, we render ground truth point clouds \mathcal{P}_{gt} into images using the intrinsics K obtained in the same way. Due to the lack of extrinsics $\mathbf {E}$, the final rendered images $\mathcal{I}_\text{reduced}$ are obtained by finding the optimal angle from different horizontal and vertical perspectives to make the images most compact.

In reality, we cannot project a point cloud while guaranteeing that every pixel corresponds to some points. There will be holes and missing areas due to point cloud imperfections or incompatible viewpoint selection. We adjust the camera's position horizontally and vertically to observe point clouds from various angles, removing obscured portions. Finally, we render the point clouds back into images from their original perspective, resulting in partial view rendered images $\mathcal{I}_{\text{partial}} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N \times 3}$. The angle range for adjustments is set from -75 to 75 degrees, with a 15-degree interval:

$$
\theta_h, \theta_v \in \{-75 + 15k | k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 10\}^{\circ}
$$
\n⁽⁴⁾

where k is an integer indicating the stepwise adjustment of the camera's angle.

After generating partial view rendered images $\mathcal{I}_{partial}$, the next step is to fine-tune ControlNet [\[55\]](#page-13-3) using these images to obtain pseudo images \mathcal{I}_{pseudo} . Three types of data are prepared for fine-tuning: prompts, targets, and sources. RGB images \mathcal{I}_{2D} from a 2D dataset serve as the targets, while the partial view rendered images $\mathcal{I}_{partial}$ are the training sources. Prompts are not used during training.

Finally, we use the pseudo images \mathcal{I}_{pseudo} and annotations \mathcal{B}_{2Dgt} from 2D datasets to fine-tune an open-vocabulary 2D detector. Thus, we can use \mathcal{I}_{pseudo} to obtain corresponding pseudo 2D bounding boxes $\mathcal{B}_{\text{2DTpseudo}} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times K \times 4}$.

3.5 Pseudo Multimodal 3D Object Detector

With an extensive dataset comprising abundant 3D data ($P + B_{3Dpseudo}$) and pseudo images data \mathcal{I}_{pseudo} , our next step is to train a pseudo multimodal 3D detector using a two-stage approach.

Training Strategy Our training process includes pretraining and adaptation stages. In the pretraining stage, we train on pseudo 3D point clouds P_{pseudo} and annotations $B_{3Dpseudo}$, combined with pseudo images \mathcal{I}_{pseudo} . While the pre-trained model performs well for zero-shot detection, a significant domain gap exists between 2D and 3D datasets.

In the adaptation stage, to minimize the domain gap, we follow the same approach as OV-3DET. First, a pre-trained open-vocabulary 2D detector is used to detect objects in the image. Then, these 2D boxes $B_{\text{2Dpseudo}} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times K \times 4}$, along with RGBD data, are lifted into 3D space. Through clustering to remove background and outlier points, we obtain precise and compact 3D boxes $B_{3Doseudo}$. Finally, this processed data is used for adaptation. To further explore the benefits of pretrain, we use 3D datasets of varying sizes to test the model's performance under different data availability conditions.

Loss Function In this section, we describe loss function used in the pretrain stage. By leveraging $\mathcal P_{\text{pseudo}}$ and $\mathcal {B}_{3D\text{pseudo}}$, a 3D backbone is trained to obtain seed points $\mathcal K \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times 3}$, where K represents the number of seeds, along with 3D feature representations $F_{pc} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times (3 + F)}$, with F denoting the feature dimension. Then, seed points are projected back into 2D space via the camera matrix. These seeds that fall within the 2D bounding boxes $\mathcal{B}_{2D\text{Typeudo}}$ retrieve the corresponding 2D cues associated with these boxes and bring them back into 3D space. These lifted 2D cues features are represented as $F_{img} \in \mathbb {R}^{K \times (3 + F')}$, where F' represents the feature dimension. Finally, the point cloud features F_{pc} and image features F_{img} are concatenated, forming the joint representation $F_{joint} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times (3+F+F')}$. In the adaptation stage, $\mathcal{P}_{\text {pseudo}}$ is replaced with $\mathcal{P}_{\text {gt}}$, keeping the workflow consistent with the pretrain stage.

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{total}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{loc}} + \sum_{i} W_i \times \text{CrossEntropy}(\text{Cls-header}(\mathcal{F}_i) \cdot \mathcal{F}_{\text{text}})
$$
(5)

where i represents different features, such as pc, img, joint. W_i is the weight corresponding to feature i. \mathcal{L}_{loc} represents the original localization loss function used in ImVoteNet[\[39\]](#page-12-15). $\mathcal{F}_{\text{text}}$ denotes the feature extracted by the text encoder in CLIP.

Implementation Details Our model is a point cloud-only ImVoteNet [\[39\]](#page-12-15) +Clip architecture. The monocular depth estimation model used is ZoeDepth[\[4\]](#page-10-18), jointly trained on both indoor and outdoor scenes. In the pre-training phase, similar to ImVoteNet, we train for 180 epochs with an initial learning rate of 0.001. In the adaptation phase, we train for 100 epochs, reducing the learning rate to 0.0005.

For 2D voting, there are three types of cues: Geometric cues, Texture cues, and Semantic Cues. Unlike ImVoteNet, we retain geometric cues but remove texture cues. For Semantic cues, instead of using a one-hot class vector, we use pre-trained CLIP text encoder features, which is more suitable for an open-vocabulary setting.

4 Experiments

In this section, we compare our proposed ImOV3D with other baseline models. Our experimental setup is divided into two main stages: **Pretraining** and **Adaptation**. During the pretraining stage, the training data is pseudo 3D data, referring to pseudo 3D point clouds and their corresponding annotations (3D boxes). During the adaptation stage, we use ground truth point clouds and pseudo labels to minimize the domain gap. All experiments are conducted on two commonly used Object Detection datasets: SUNRGBD [\[43\]](#page-12-3) and ScanNet [\[12\]](#page-10-4). Additionally, we carry out comprehensive ablation studies to validate the effectiveness of our model's components and the data generation pipeline.

4.1 Experimental Setup

2D Images Dataset: We select the LVIS [\[20\]](#page-11-16) dataset as our 2D image source for generating pseudo 3D data, utilizing 42,000 images provided in its training set, which spans 1,203 categories with rich and detailed annotations.

3D Point Clouds Dataset: We select SUNRGBD [\[43\]](#page-12-3) and ScanNet [\[12\]](#page-10-4) as our 3D point clouds datasets for adaptation and testing, SUNRGBD [\[43\]](#page-12-3) and ScanNet [\[12\]](#page-10-4) encompass a diverse range of indoor environments and offer comprehensive annotations, including 2D and 3D bounding boxes for objects. We test on 20 common categories in both datasets.

Evaluation Metrics: We employ mean Average Precision (mAP) at an IoU threshold of 0.25 as our primary evaluation metric. This metric effectively balances precision and recall in assessing how well our models perform on selected datasets.

Table 1: Results from the Pretraining stage comparison experiments on SUNRGBD and ScanNet, ImOV3D only require point clouds input.

4.2 Main Results

Pretraining: Due to the absence of existing baseline methods except OV-3DET [\[30\]](#page-11-4), we utilize CLIP [\[40\]](#page-12-8) to make previous high-performance 3D detectors such as 3DETR [\[34\]](#page-11-14) and VoteNet [\[38\]](#page-12-14)compatible with OV3Det. Specifically, to adapt traditional point cloud detectors for Open Vocabulary detection, we first extract geometric features from point clouds. Then, we integrate

CLIP [\[40\]](#page-12-8) for classification by converting these features for compatibility with CLIP [\[40\]](#page-12-8) visual encoder and creating textual prompts for zero-shot classification. Finally, we compare the encoded prompts with the visual features to classify objects beyond the predefined categories. Therefore, these baselines are denoted as OV-VoteNet [\[38\]](#page-12-14), OV-3DETR [\[34\]](#page-11-14).

Adaptation: To ensure a fair comparison with the current SOTA OV3Det methods, during the adaptation stage, all baselines use $O\bar{V}$ -3DET [\[30\]](#page-11-4)'s approach to generating pseudo labels for ground truth point cloud data, which serve as training data for adaptation. In this stage, comparisons are made with CoDA [\[5\]](#page-10-14) and OV-3DET [\[30\]](#page-11-4).

4.2.1 Pretraining \rightarrow 3D Training Data Free OV-3Det

As shown in Table [1,](#page-6-0) training solely with pseudo 3D data generated by our method, ImOV3D improves mAP@0.25 by 7.14% on SUNRGBD and 6.78% on ScanNet over the best baseline. This achievement, made without using any 3D ground truth annotated data, demonstrates the high quality of our generated data and the effectiveness of using extensive 2D datasets to enhance Open World perception. Unlike OV-VoteNet, which lacks 2D image integration, our method's mAP@0.25 outperforms OV-VoteNet by 7.43% and 6.78% on the two datasets, proving the effectiveness of our multimodal approach even with only point cloud inputs. OV-3DET and ImOV3D visualization results are shown in Figure [6\(](#page-9-0)b).

4.2.2 Adaptation \rightarrow 3D Training Data Guided OV-3Det

Table [2](#page-6-1) shows original OV-3DET results in the first row. CoDA only compares with OV-3DET on ScanNet. Our experiments indicate that after pretraining with pseudo 3D data, ImOV3D outperforms the best baseline by 2.07% on SUNRGBD and 2.13% on ScanNet in mAP@0.25. This highlights the crucial role of pseudo 3D data in training and its effectiveness as data augmentation.

5 Ablation Study

5.1 Ablation Study of 3D Data Revision

To validate the effectiveness of enhancing pseudo 3D data quality, we conducted ablation experiments with the Rotation Correction Module and 3D Box Filtering Module. The 3D Box Filtering Module includes Train Phase Prior Size Filtering and Inference Phase Semantic Size Filtering. Table [3](#page-7-0) shows the results: the baseline without any modules, adding Train Phase Prior Size Filtering improves mAP@0.25 by 1.65% on SUNRGBD and 1.27% on ScanNet. Adding the Rotation Correction Module improves by 1.3% on SUNRGBD and 1.96% on ScanNet. Combining both modules results in a 2.98% improvement on SUNRGBD and 3.31% on ScanNet. Adding Semantic Size Filtering during inference further increases mAP@0.25 by 4.26% on SUNRGBD and 4.31% on ScanNet. These results highlight the effectiveness of each module in improving data quality and OV3Det accuracy.

Table 3: Results from the ablation study on the Rotation Correction Module and the 3D Box Filtering Module, conducted on SUNRGBD and ScanNet, are presented. The 3D Box Filtering Module is divided into two components: Train Phase Prior Size Filtering and Inference Phase Semantic Size Filtering.

We also discuss the efficiency of GPT-4 [\[1\]](#page-10-3) in the 3D box filtering module using the SUNRGBD dataset [\[43\]](#page-12-3). For comparison, we select the top 10 classes with the most instances in the validation set. The volume ratio for these 10 classes is defined as $\text{Ratio}_V = \frac{L \times W \times H_{\text{GUTGPT}}} {L \times W \times H_{\text{GUTGPT}}}$. This ratio is an insightful metric for comparing the performance of the GPT-4 powered 3D box filter module to the ground truth (GT). A ratio close to 1 indicates high precision. We calculate Ratio_V for each instance and use Kernel Density Estimation (KDE) to analyze and plot the distributions of the volume ratios. Results are presented in Figure [6\(](#page-9-0)a).

5.2 Ablation Study of Depth and Pseudo Images

To validate the effectiveness of pseudo images generated by ControlNet [\[55\]](#page-13-3), we compare 2D depth maps from pseudo point clouds with pseudo images, shown in Figure [4.](#page-8-0) On the SUNRGBD dataset, mAP@0.25 increased from 4.38% to 12.61%, and on the ScanNet dataset, it rose from 4.47% to 12.64% (see Table [4\)](#page-8-1). This shows that rich texture information in 2D images significantly enhances 3D detection performance.

Table 4: The results from different types of 2D rendering images include depth maps and pseudo images.

Figure 4: Qualitative results include (a) 2D RGB images, (b) 2D depth maps with 2D OVDetector annotations, and (c) pseudo images with annotations from a fine-tuned 2D detector.

5.3 Ablation Study of Data Volume

Our method fine-tunes with limited real ground truth 3D point cloud data and pseudo 3D annotations. Using OV-3DET's code, we train with varying data volumes. With 10% adaptation data, OV-3DET's mAP@0.25 on SUNRGBD drops from 20.46% to 15.24%, while ours drops from 22.53% to 19.24%. On ScanNet, OV-3DET's mAP@0.25 falls from 18.02% to 14.35%, and ours falls from 21.45% to 18.45% (Figure [5\(](#page-8-2)a)(b)). We observed a decrease in performance compared to using the full data set; however, our method was still able to maintain relatively high detection accuracy. This confirmed the robustness of our method and its adaptability to small datasets, enabling effective 3D Object Detection even under constrained data conditions. It also underscores the importance of developing models for OV3Det that are capable of learning from limited data and generalizing to a broader range of scenarios.

5.4 Analysis of Transferability

Traditional 3D detectors struggle with transferability due to training and testing class differences. We test ImOV3D on ScanNet and SUN RGB-D on the opposite datasets. The results, shown in Figure [5\(](#page-8-2)c), demonstrate that our model outperforms OV-3DET by 7.1% on SUN RGB-D and 7.82% on ScanNet. ImOV3D demonstrates superior transferability across domains despite the domain gap.

5.5 Analysis of Fine-tuned 2D Detector

To validate the benefits of fine-tuning Detic with pseudo images, we compare the off-the-shelf Detic to the fine-tuned version. The fine-tuned Detic shows clear advantages in handling pseudo images. On the SUNRGBD dataset, the mAP@0.25 increases from 19.67% to 22.53%, and on the ScanNet dataset, it rises from 19.25% to 21.45% (see Table [5\)](#page-9-1). These experiments were conducted under the adaptation setting, illustrating the model's ability to learn from and improve detection capabilities with not entirely real data. This not only confirms the efficacy of textured image but also highlights the importance of fine-tuning models to enhance their adaptability and accuracy.

Figure 6: (a) KDE plots of volume ratios (Ratio_V) for top 10 classes in SUNRGBD validation set. (b) Visualization comparison of OV-3DET with ours in SUNRGBD.

6 Conclusion and Limitation

In conclusion, this paper introduce ImOV3D, a novel framework that tackles the scarcity of annotated 3D data in OV-3Det by harnessing the extensive availability of 2D images. The framework's key innovation lies in its flexible modality conversion, which integrates 2D annotations into 3D space, thereby minimizing the domain gap between training and testing data. Empirical results on two common datasets confirm ImOV3D's superiority over existing methods, even without ground truth 3D training data, and its significant performance boost with the addition of minimal real 3D data for fine-tuning. Our method's success showcases the potential of leveraging 2D images for enhancing 3D object detection, opening new avenues for future research in pseudo-multimodal data generation and its application in 3D detection methodologies.

Limitation: Although our method has demonstrated the potential of 2D images in OV-3Det tasks, especially with the proposed pseudo multimodal representation, we need dense point clouds here to ensure that the rendered images can help improve performance. In the future, we will explore more generalized strategies.

7 Acknowledge

We would like to express our gratitude to Yuanchen Ju, Wenhao Chai, Macheng Shen, and Yang Cao for their insightful discussions and contributions.

References

- [1] Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023.
- [2] Gwangbin Bae, Ignas Budvytis, and Roberto Cipolla. Estimating and exploiting the aleatoric uncertainty in surface normal estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 13137–13146, 2021.
- [3] Hanoona Bangalath, Muhammad Maaz, Muhammad Uzair Khattak, Salman H Khan, and Fahad Shahbaz Khan. Bridging the gap between object and image-level representations for open-vocabulary detection. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:33781–33794, 2022.
- [4] Shariq Farooq Bhat, Reiner Birkl, Diana Wofk, Peter Wonka, and Matthias Müller. Zoedepth: Zero-shot transfer by combining relative and metric depth. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.12288*, 2023.
- [5] Yang Cao, Yihan Zeng, Hang Xu, and Dan Xu. Coda: Collaborative novel box discovery and cross-modal alignment for open-vocabulary 3d object detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.02960*, 2023.
- [6] Yang Cao, Yuanliang Jv, and Dan Xu. 3dgs-det: Empower 3d gaussian splatting with boundary guidance and box-focused sampling for 3d object detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.01647*, 2024.
- [7] Yang Cao, Yihan Zeng, Hang Xu, and Dan Xu. Collaborative novel object discovery and box-guided cross-modal alignment for open-vocabulary 3d object detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.00830*, 2024.
- [8] Boyuan Chen, Fei Xia, Brian Ichter, Kanishka Rao, Keerthana Gopalakrishnan, Michael S Ryoo, Austin Stone, and Daniel Kappler. Open-vocabulary queryable scene representations for real world planning. In *2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pp. 11509–11522. IEEE, 2023.
- [9] Keyan Chen, Xiaolong Jiang, Yao Hu, Xu Tang, Yan Gao, Jianqi Chen, and Weidi Xie. Ovarnet: Towards open-vocabulary object attribute recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 23518–23527, 2023.
- [10] Runnan Chen, Youquan Liu, Lingdong Kong, Nenglun Chen, Xinge Zhu, Yuexin Ma, Tongliang Liu, and Wenping Wang. Towards label-free scene understanding by vision foundation models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- [11] Han-Cheol Cho, Won Young Jhoo, Wooyoung Kang, and Byungseok Roh. Open-vocabulary object detection using pseudo caption labels. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.13040*, 2023.
- [12] Angela Dai, Angel X Chang, Manolis Savva, Maciej Halber, Thomas Funkhouser, and Matthias Nießner. Scannet: Richly-annotated 3d reconstructions of indoor scenes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 5828–5839, 2017.
- [13] Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, and Li Fei-Fei. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In *2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 248–255. Ieee, 2009.
- [14] Runyu Ding, Jihan Yang, Chuhui Xue, Wenqing Zhang, Song Bai, and Xiaojuan Qi. Pla: Language-driven open-vocabulary 3d scene understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 7010–7019, 2023.
- [15] Yu Du, Fangyun Wei, Zihe Zhang, Miaojing Shi, Yue Gao, and Guoqi Li. Learning to prompt for openvocabulary object detection with vision-language model. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 14084–14093, 2022.
- [16] Martin Ester, Hans-Peter Kriegel, Jörg Sander, Xiaowei Xu, et al. A density-based algorithm for discovering clusters in large spatial databases with noise. In *kdd*, volume 96, pp. 226–231, 1996.
- [17] Mingfei Gao, Chen Xing, Juan Carlos Niebles, Junnan Li, Ran Xu, Wenhao Liu, and Caiming Xiong. Open vocabulary object detection with pseudo bounding-box labels. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 266–282. Springer, 2022.
- [18] Qiao Gu, Alihusein Kuwajerwala, Sacha Morin, Krishna Murthy Jatavallabhula, Bipasha Sen, Aditya Agarwal, Corban Rivera, William Paul, Kirsty Ellis, Rama Chellappa, et al. Conceptgraphs: Openvocabulary 3d scene graphs for perception and planning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.16650*, 2023.
- [19] Xiuye Gu, Tsung-Yi Lin, Weicheng Kuo, and Yin Cui. Open-vocabulary object detection via vision and language knowledge distillation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.13921*, 2021.
- [20] Agrim Gupta, Piotr Dollar, and Ross Girshick. Lvis: A dataset for large vocabulary instance segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 5356–5364, 2019.
- [21] Deepti Hegde, Jeya Maria Jose Valanarasu, and Vishal Patel. Clip goes 3d: Leveraging prompt tuning for language grounded 3d recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 2028–2038, 2023.
- [22] Krishna Murthy Jatavallabhula, Alihusein Kuwajerwala, Qiao Gu, Mohd Omama, Tao Chen, Alaa Maalouf, Shuang Li, Ganesh Iyer, Soroush Saryazdi, Nikhil Keetha, et al. Conceptfusion: Open-set multimodal 3d mapping. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.07241*, 2023.
- [23] Yuanchen Ju, Kaizhe Hu, Guowei Zhang, Gu Zhang, Mingrun Jiang, and Huazhe Xu. Robo-abc: Affordance generalization beyond categories via semantic correspondence for robot manipulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.07487*, 2024.
- [24] Justin Kerr, Chung Min Kim, Ken Goldberg, Angjoo Kanazawa, and Matthew Tancik. Lerf: Language embedded radiance fields. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 19729–19739, 2023.
- [25] Dahun Kim, Anelia Angelova, and Weicheng Kuo. Detection-oriented image-text pretraining for openvocabulary detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.00161*, 2023.
- [26] Liunian Harold Li, Pengchuan Zhang, Haotian Zhang, Jianwei Yang, Chunyuan Li, Yiwu Zhong, Lijuan Wang, Lu Yuan, Lei Zhang, Jenq-Neng Hwang, et al. Grounded language-image pre-training. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 10965–10975, 2022.
- [27] Ze Liu, Zheng Zhang, Yue Cao, Han Hu, and Xin Tong. Group-free 3d object detection via transformers. 2021 ieee. In *CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pp. 2929–2938, 2021.
- [28] Shiyang Lu, Haonan Chang, Eric Pu Jing, Abdeslam Boularias, and Kostas Bekris. Ovir-3d: Openvocabulary 3d instance retrieval without training on 3d data. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pp. 1610–1620. PMLR, 2023.
- [29] Yuheng Lu, Chenfeng Xu, Xiaobao Wei, Xiaodong Xie, Masayoshi Tomizuka, Kurt Keutzer, and Shanghang Zhang. Open-vocabulary 3d detection via image-level class and debiased cross-modal contrastive learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.01987*, 2022.
- [30] Yuheng Lu, Chenfeng Xu, Xiaobao Wei, Xiaodong Xie, Masayoshi Tomizuka, Kurt Keutzer, and Shanghang Zhang. Open-vocabulary point-cloud object detection without 3d annotation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 1190–1199, 2023.
- [31] Zeyu Ma, Yang Yang, Guoqing Wang, Xing Xu, Heng Tao Shen, and Mingxing Zhang. Rethinking openworld object detection in autonomous driving scenarios. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pp. 1279–1288, 2022.
- [32] Zongyang Ma, Guan Luo, Jin Gao, Liang Li, Yuxin Chen, Shaoru Wang, Congxuan Zhang, and Weiming Hu. Open-vocabulary one-stage detection with hierarchical visual-language knowledge distillation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 14074–14083, 2022.
- [33] M Minderer, A Gritsenko, A Stone, M Neumann, D Weissenborn, A Dosovitskiy, A Mahendran, A Arnab, M Dehghani, Z Shen, et al. Simple open-vocabulary object detection with vision transformers. arxiv 2022. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.06230*.
- [34] Ishan Misra, Rohit Girdhar, and Armand Joulin. An end-to-end transformer model for 3d object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 2906–2917, 2021.
- [35] Benjamin Nuernberger, Eyal Ofek, Hrvoje Benko, and Andrew D Wilson. Snaptoreality: Aligning augmented reality to the real world. In *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pp. 1233–1244, 2016.
- [36] Songyou Peng, Kyle Genova, Chiyu Jiang, Andrea Tagliasacchi, Marc Pollefeys, Thomas Funkhouser, et al. Openscene: 3d scene understanding with open vocabularies. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 815–824, 2023.
- [37] Chau Pham, Truong Vu, and Khoi Nguyen. Lp-ovod: Open-vocabulary object detection by linear probing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pp. 779–788, 2024.
- [38] Charles R Qi, Or Litany, Kaiming He, and Leonidas J Guibas. Deep hough voting for 3d object detection in point clouds. In *proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 9277–9286, 2019.
- [39] Charles R Qi, Xinlei Chen, Or Litany, and Leonidas J Guibas. Imvotenet: Boosting 3d object detection in point clouds with image votes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 4404–4413, 2020.
- [40] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pp. 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021.
- [41] Nur Muhammad Mahi Shafiullah, Chris Paxton, Lerrel Pinto, Soumith Chintala, and Arthur Szlam. Clip-fields: Weakly supervised semantic fields for robotic memory. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.05663*, 2022.
- [42] Cheng Shi and Sibei Yang. Edadet: Open-vocabulary object detection using early dense alignment. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 15724–15734, 2023.
- [43] Shuran Song, Samuel P Lichtenberg, and Jianxiong Xiao. Sun rgb-d: A rgb-d scene understanding benchmark suite. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 567–576, 2015.
- [44] Daniel Wagner, Gerhard Reitmayr, Alessandro Mulloni, Tom Drummond, and Dieter Schmalstieg. Realtime detection and tracking for augmented reality on mobile phones. *IEEE transactions on visualization and computer graphics*, 16(3):355–368, 2009.
- [45] Luting Wang, Yi Liu, Penghui Du, Zihan Ding, Yue Liao, Qiaosong Qi, Biaolong Chen, and Si Liu. Object-aware distillation pyramid for open-vocabulary object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 11186–11196, 2023.
- [46] Shihao Wang, Zhiding Yu, Xiaohui Jiang, Shiyi Lan, Min Shi, Nadine Chang, Jan Kautz, Ying Li, and Jose M Alvarez. Omnidrive: A holistic llm-agent framework for autonomous driving with 3d perception, reasoning and planning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.01533*, 2024.
- [47] Lewei Yao, Jianhua Han, Youpeng Wen, Xiaodan Liang, Dan Xu, Wei Zhang, Zhenguo Li, Chunjing Xu, and Hang Xu. Detclip: Dictionary-enriched visual-concept paralleled pre-training for open-world detection. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:9125–9138, 2022.
- [48] Lewei Yao, Jianhua Han, Xiaodan Liang, Dan Xu, Wei Zhang, Zhenguo Li, and Hang Xu. Detclipv2: Scalable open-vocabulary object detection pre-training via word-region alignment. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 23497–23506, 2023.
- [49] Ping-Chung Yu, Cheng Sun, and Min Sun. Data efficient 3d learner via knowledge transferred from 2d model. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 182–198. Springer, 2022.
- [50] Yuhang Zang, Wei Li, Kaiyang Zhou, Chen Huang, and Chen Change Loy. Open-vocabulary detr with conditional matching. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 106–122. Springer, 2022.
- [51] Alireza Zareian, Kevin Dela Rosa, Derek Hao Hu, and Shih-Fu Chang. Open-vocabulary object detection using captions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 14393–14402, 2021.
- [52] Dongmei Zhang, Chang Li, Ray Zhang, Shenghao Xie, Wei Xue, Xiaodong Xie, and Shanghang Zhang. Fm-ov3d: Foundation model-based cross-modal knowledge blending for open-vocabulary 3d detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.14465*, 2023.
- [53] Hu Zhang, Jianhua Xu, Tao Tang, Haiyang Sun, Xin Yu, Zi Huang, and Kaicheng Yu. Opensight: A simple open-vocabulary framework for lidar-based object detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.08876*, 2023.
- [54] Junbo Zhang, Runpei Dong, and Kaisheng Ma. Clip-fo3d: Learning free open-world 3d scene representations from 2d dense clip. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 2048–2059, 2023.
- [55] Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 3836–3847, 2023.
- [56] Zhuoyang Zhang, Yuhao Dong, Yunze Liu, and Li Yi. Complete-to-partial 4d distillation for self-supervised point cloud sequence representation learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 17661–17670, 2023.
- [57] Yiwu Zhong, Jianwei Yang, Pengchuan Zhang, Chunyuan Li, Noel Codella, Liunian Harold Li, Luowei Zhou, Xiyang Dai, Lu Yuan, Yin Li, et al. Regionclip: Region-based language-image pretraining. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 16793–16803, 2022.
- [58] Xingyi Zhou, Rohit Girdhar, Armand Joulin, Philipp Krähenbühl, and Ishan Misra. Detecting twentythousand classes using image-level supervision. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 350–368. Springer, 2022.
- [59] Xiangyang Zhu, Renrui Zhang, Bowei He, Ziyao Zeng, Shanghang Zhang, and Peng Gao. Pointclip v2: Adapting clip for powerful 3d open-world learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.11682*, 2022.

Supplementary Materials

I. Supplementary Method Details

This part supplements the methods mentioned in the main paper with detailed implementations and theoretical underpinnings.

Partial-View Removal: To obtain a point cloud from a 2D image, we first use a monocular depth estimation model ZoeDepth [\[4\]](#page-10-18) to generate a depth map for the image, as shown in Fig [4](#page-8-0) (A). Inspired by [\[56\]](#page-13-4), utilizing partial-view depth images for data augmentation serves to bolster the robustness and generalization capabilities of machine learning models in computer vision tasks. By simulating realistic occlusions and offering a diverse array of viewpoints, this approach prepares models to handle real-world variability and complexity more effectively, improving their performance across a wide range of scenarios.

In this process, we start by defining the point cloud and the camera viewpoints. The point cloud is a collection of points, which is formally represented as:

$$
P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\} \tag{6}
$$

where each point p_i is a part of the 3D object or scene we are interested in.

We consider two distinct viewpoints, A and B, characterized by their camera parameters θ_A and θ_B , respectively. These parameters include the position and orientation of the camera that define each viewpoint.

Initially, we observe the point cloud from viewpoint A, resulting in a subset of points observed from this specific viewpoint, taking into account potential occlusions and the camera's field of view. This subset is denoted as:

$$
P_A = \text{view}(P, \theta_A) \tag{7}
$$

Next, we change our perspective to viewpoint B and observe the point cloud again, which gives us another subset of points, denoted as:

$$
P_B = \text{view}(P, \theta_B) \tag{8}
$$

The key step in this process involves identifying the overlapping points that are visible from both viewpoints A and B. This overlapping set is represented as:

$$
P_{AB} = P_A \cap P_B \tag{9}
$$

After identifying the overlapping points, we proceed to remove these points from the subset observed from viewpoint A. The final set of points, after removing the overlapping ones, is represented as:

$$
P_{A'} = P_A - P_{AB} \tag{10}
$$

Finally, this processed point cloud is rendered back into a depth image from viewpoint A. The resulting depth image, which includes holes where the overlapping points were removed, as shown in Fig [7](#page-15-0) (B), illustrating the effect of occlusions, is obtained through the rendering process, expressed as:

$$
I_{A'} = \text{render}(P_{A'}, \theta_A) \tag{11}
$$

Normal Estimator: In this paper, we employ Normal Estimator [\[2\]](#page-10-16) for surface normal estimation.

The process of normal estimation begins with the calculation of the surface normal vector at each pixel, a crucial step for understanding the orientation of surfaces in a scene. This calculation is conducted using a normal estimation model that utilizes either depth information or the 3D coordinates of each point in the scene. Mathematically, the surface normal vector at a point is defined as a 3D vector $\vec{N} = (N_x, N_y, N_z)$, where \vec{N} represents the direction perpendicular to the surface at that pixel, as shown in the equation

$$
\overrightarrow{N} = (N_x, N_y, N_z). \tag{12}
$$

Figure 7: Illustration of (A) Depth Images, (B) Rendered Point Cloud Images after Partial-View Removal, and (C) Ground Truth 2D Images

Following the estimation of normal vectors across the scene, the next phase involves the extraction of horizontal normal vectors \vec{N}_i at each pixel. This selection process focuses on vectors that align with the horizontal plane of the scene, essential for applications such as ground plane detection or horizon line estimation. Once horizontal normal vectors are identified, they undergo a clustering process to find a consensus on the predominant horizontal surface orientation within the scene. This process yields $\vec{N_{pred}}$, a vector representing the normal to the horizon surface, encapsulated by the equation

$$
\vec{N_{pred}} = \text{Clustering}(\vec{N_i}).\tag{13}
$$

The final step in the normal estimation process is the alignment of $\vec{N_{pred}}$ with the Z-axis of the coordinate system to ensure a standardized representation of orientation. Achieving this alignment involves calculating a rotation matrix R, which, when applied to $\vec{N_{pred}}$, orients the vector parallel to the Z-axis. This is crucial for maintaining consistent orientation representation across different scenes and supports applications requiring a fixed reference frame. The alignment can be mathematically represented as

$$
\vec{N_{aligned}} = R \cdot \vec{N_{pred}},\tag{14}
$$

where $\vec{N_{aligned}}$ is the resulting vector aligned with the Z-axis, ensuring a uniform approach to interpreting surface orientations in various applications.

3D Box Filtering Module: Similar to [\[53\]](#page-12-17), this module's goal is to improve annotation quality by converting 2D bounding boxes into their 3D counterparts for objects within indoor scenes. This conversion process is pivotal for achieving a more accurate spatial representation of objects. However, the transition from 2D to 3D can introduce discrepancies between the generated 3D bounding boxes and the objects' actual dimensions. To mitigate this, we employ Large Language Models (LLMs), such as GPT-4 [\[1\]](#page-10-3), to obtain size priors for various object categories encountered in indoor environments. GPT-4[\[1\]](#page-10-3)'s capability to provide reliable size estimates ensures that the adjusted 3D bounding boxes more closely align with the real-world sizes of objects, thereby enhancing the precision of annotations in indoor scene analysis.

II. Unexpanded Category AP Analysis

This section provides a detailed discussion on the 20 categories within the SUNRGBD[\[43\]](#page-12-3) and ScanNet [\[12\]](#page-10-4) datasets that were not fully explored in the main paper.

Table [6](#page-16-0) and Table [7](#page-16-1) corresponds to Table [1](#page-6-0) in the main paper.

Table [8](#page-16-2) and Table [9](#page-16-3) corresponds to Table [2](#page-6-1) in the main paper.

Methods	Mean	toilet	bed	chair	sofa	dresser	table	cabinet	bookshelf	pillow	sink
OV-VoteNet [38]	5.86	17.36	25.83	0.54	28.85	0.02	0.94	0.19	0.0027	2.75	5.32
OV-3DET [30]	5.69	23.44	22.39	7.07	24.15	0.98	0.21	0.12	0.03	0.18	1.35
OV-3DETR [34]	5.3	25.34	10.12	1.11	28.2	0.001	0.05	0.029	0.0001	3.05	2.34
$ImOV3D$ (Ours)	12.64	55.86	28.82	11.72	28.16	0.453	7.44	1.23	0.05	4.42	29.12
Methods		bathtub	refrigerator	desk	nightstand	counter	door	curtain	box	lamp	bag
OV-VoteNet [38]		18.71	7.63	2.2	0.0059	0.04	0.29	1.16	0.02	5.28	0.03
OV-3DET [30]		16.98	3.1	0.1	0.0012	0.12	0.12	4.15	7.2	2.1	0.1
OV-3DETR [34]		24.68	4.24	1.6	0.0034	0.02	0.47	1.45	0.016	2.23	1.01
$ImOV3D$ (Ours)		43.61	14.90	13.05	0.09	0.17	1.77	0.53	0.56	10.34	0.50

Table 6: Comparison of Average Precision (AP) Scores for 20 Specific Categories on the ScanNet[\[12\]](#page-10-4) Dataset During the Pretraining Stage. 'Mean' represents the average value of all the 20 categories.

Methods	Mean	toilet	bed	chair	bathtub	sofa	dresser	scanner	fridge	lamp	desk
OV-VoteNet [38]	5.18	25.16	20.63	1.59	14.43	24.98	0.0021	0.001	0.19	1.69	0.52
OV-3DET [30]	5.47	21.92	16.94	11.18	12.74	16.81	1.674	0.89	4.12	5.60	0.88
OV-3DETR [34]	5.24	33.50	8.47	2.61	26.54	17.05	0.0003	0.0001	2.29	0.73	0.05
$ImOV3D$ (Ours)	12.61	53.45	21.61	13.65	49.00	25.20	0.14	0.02	4.81	8.49	3.23
Methods		table	stand	cabinet	counter	bin	bookshelf	pillow	microwave	sink	stool
OV-VoteNet [38]		0.5	0.0004	0.0057	0.0007	0.0007	0.0008	8.66	0.01	5.1	0.11
OV-3DET [30]		1.08	0.12	0.89	0.93	0.0003	1.47	7.43	3.54	0.13	1.01
OV-3DETR [34]		0.05	0.0001	0.0004	0.0001	0.0001	0.0002	9.51	0.0001	2.47	1.63
$ImOV3D$ (Ours)		6.17	0.0011	0.0354	0.0022	12.22	0.0048	14.20	11.98	20.63	7.39

Table 7: Comparison of Average Precision (AP) Scores for 20 Specific Categories on the SUNRGBD[\[43\]](#page-12-3) Dataset During the Pretraining Stage. 'Mean' represents the average value of all the 20 categories.

Methods	Mean	toilet	bed	chair	sofa	dresser	table	cabinet	bookshelf	pillow	sink
OV-3DET [30]	18.02	57.29	42.26	27.06	31.5	8.21	14.17	2.98	5.56	23	31.6
$CoDA$ [5]	19.32	68.09	44.04	28.72	44.57	3.41	20.23	5.32	0.03	27.95	45.26
$ImOV3D$ (Ours)	21.45	79.23	52.07	29.25	60.20	0.47	21.67	2.21	1.37	23.50	42.02
Methods		bathtub	refrigerator	desk	nightstand	counter	door	curtain	box	lamp	bag
OV-3DET [30]		56.28	10.99	19.72	0.77	0.31	9.59	10.53	3.78	2.11	2.71
CoDA[5]		50.51	6.55	12.42	15.15	0.68	7.95	0.01	2.94	0.51	2.02
$ImOV3D$ (Ours)		51.39	25.65	30.11	0.39	0.66	1.05	0.07	2.94	2.70	2.13

Table 8: Comparison of Average Precision (AP) Scores for 20 Specific Categories on the ScanNet[\[12\]](#page-10-4) Dataset During the Adaptation Stage. 'Mean' represents the average value of all the 20 categories.

Methods	Mean	toilet	bed	chair	bathtub	sofa	dresser	scanner	fridge	lamp	desk
OV-3DET [30]	20.46	72.64	66.13	34.8	44.74	42.10	11.52	0.29	12.57	14.64	11.21
$ImOV3D$ (Ours)	22.53	76.70	65.36	32.21	55.77	50.01	0.20	2.94	13.77	26.20	11.54
Methods		table	stand	cabinet	counter	bin	bookshelf	pillow	microwave	sink	stool
OV-3DET [30]		23.31	2.75	3.4	0.75	23.52	9.83	10.27	1.98	18.57	4.1
$ImOV3D$ (Ours)		16.68	0.08	0.47	0.03	29.21	0.10	15.71	20.74	30.21	2.81

Table 9: Comparison of Average Precision (AP) Scores for 20 Specific Categories on the SUNRGBD[\[43\]](#page-12-3) Dataset During the Pretraining Stage. 'Mean' represents the average value of all the 20 categories.

III. Evaluating the efficiency of GPT-4 in the 3D box filtering module

In this section, we will discuss the efficiency of GPT-4 [\[1\]](#page-10-3) in the 3D box filtering module. In order to have a good comparison, we choose the SUNRGBD [\[43\]](#page-12-3) as a visualizing dataset. To clearly demonstrate the results, we select the top 10 classes with the most instances in the validation set as our visualization targets.

The volume ratio for these 10 classes is defined as Ratio $_V = \frac {L \times W \times H}{(L_{\text{GTOPT}} \times W_{\text{GTOPT}}) \times H_{\text{GTOPT}})}$. The volume ratio provides an insightful metric to compare the performance of the 3D box filter module powered by GPT-4 [\[1\]](#page-10-3) with the ground truth (GT). A ratio close to 1 indicates that the volume of the predicted box is very similar to the true value, indicating high precision. By calculating the volume ratio Ratio_V for each instance, we gather statistical data and use Kernel Density Estimation (KDE) to analyze and plot the distributions of the volume ratios. Results are presented in Figure [8.](#page-17-0)

Figure 8: Comparison of Volume Ratios: This image shows the efficiency of GPT-4 [\[1\]](#page-10-3) using the SUNRGBD dataset by comparing volume ratios of the top 10 classes using Kernel Density Estimation (KDE).

Figure [8](#page-17-0) fully demonstrates the effectiveness of the meansize database constructed by GPT-4 [\[1\]](#page-10-3). Subsequently, we used the constructed meansize in the 3D box filtering module to filter $B_{3Dpseudo}$, with the results shown in Figure [9.](#page-17-1)

Figure 9: Results of Using the Meansize Database in the 3D Box Filtering Module: This figure shows the outcome of applying the meansize database constructed by GPT-4 [\[1\]](#page-10-3) in the 3D box filtering module to filter $\mathcal{B}_{3Dpseudo}$ with a threshold $T = 0.1$. The figure presents the number of 3D box of the top 50 classes with the most instances. The results highlight the efficiency and accuracy of the GPT-constructed meansize in improving the performance of the filtering process.

IV. Detailed Experimental Implementation

IV.A Fine-tuning Model Details (Detic [\[58\]](#page-13-1) and ControlNet [\[55\]](#page-13-3))

This subsection delves into the model adjustment and parameter selection process during the fine-tuning phase.

Detic [\[58\]](#page-13-1): To finetune Detic [58] for our specific application, we first need to clarify the necessity for such an adjustment. The off-the-shelf Detic model is designed and optimized for ground truth images. However, our requirement for Detic [\[58\]](#page-13-1) significantly diverges because it is to be utilized within the 2D OV Detector component of our ImOV3D project. In this component, the images we use are not ground truth images but pseudo images generated through ControlNet [\[55\]](#page-13-3). Such images differ visually from ground truth images, which could potentially affect the detection performance of the Detic[\[58\]](#page-13-1) model.

Given this, we decided to finetune Detic [\[58\]](#page-13-1) to better suit our specific scenario. The finetuning process involves replacing the annotations based on ground truth images, which the Detic [\[58\]](#page-13-1) model originally uses, with annotations from a 2D dataset that are accurate for our ControlNet-generated pseudo images. This replacement is aimed at enabling Detic [\[58\]](#page-13-1) to more accurately recognize and process objects within these generated images, considering they differ in quality and characteristics from the real images used in the model's initial training.

Several key steps are involved in this finetuning process. First, we need to collect and prepare annotated data from the 2D dataset that applies to our pseudo images. Then, we use these annotations in the training process of the Detic [\[58\]](#page-13-1) model to adapt it to our unique image characteristics. Through this process, we ensure that the Detic [\[58\]](#page-13-1) model maintains efficiency and accuracy when dealing with images generated through ControlNet [\[55\]](#page-13-3), thereby maximizing its efficacy in our ImOV3D project.

Finetuning the Detic [\[58\]](#page-13-1) model not only improves its performance on specific images but also further validates the feasibility and flexibility of our approach. This process illustrates that by meticulously adjusting and optimizing model parameters in the face of varying application scenarios, we can effectively enhance the model's performance and adaptability.

ControlNet [\[55\]](#page-13-3): The objective of finetuning ControlNet [\[55\]](#page-13-3) is to add color to the rendered point cloud images. Originally, point cloud data lacks color information, meaning the depth images projected from these point clouds are also devoid of color. However, in many applications including but not limited to 3D reconstruction, augmented reality (AR), and virtual reality (VR), rich color and texture information are crucial for enhancing the visual quality of the final images. This necessitates the finetuning of ControlNet [\[55\]](#page-13-3).

The finetuning process requires two types of data: original images and target images. In our scenario, the original images are depth images obtained by rendering point cloud after partial-view removal, as shown in Fig [7](#page-15-0) (B). While these depth images accurately represent the geometric information of the scenes, they lack color information. The target images, on the other hand, are ground truth 2D images corresponding to the point cloud data, as shown in Fig 7 (C) , containing the rich color and texture information we aim to incorporate.

During the finetuning of ControlNet [\[55\]](#page-13-3), we do not use any text prompts but instead adhere to the official parameter settings recommended by ControlNet [\[55\]](#page-13-3). We train the model for 180 epochs, through which the model learns how to effectively map the color information from the target images onto the corresponding depth images. This process not only enhances the visual appearance of the rendered images, making them more realistic and rich, but also improves the model's capability to handle color and texture variations across different scenes.

Through such finetuning, ControlNet [\[55\]](#page-13-3) can more accurately colorize depth images rendered from point clouds, preserving the geometric details from the original point cloud data while also integrating real-world color and texture characteristics. Such improvements are extremely beneficial for subsequent 3D vision applications, such as scene understanding and interaction in 3D. In summary, the process of finetuning ControlNet [\[55\]](#page-13-3) is a meticulous attempt to adjust and optimize model performance, aiming to achieve better results in handling specific tasks.

IV.B Main Experiment and Baseline Experiment Details

It outlines the setup and execution process of the main experiment, including comparisons with baseline experiments.

We detail and present four sets of key pretraining parameters. Table [10](#page-19-0) showcases the training configuration for the ImOV3D method, an improved model we propose. Table [11](#page-19-1) provides a detailed description of the training parameters for OV-VoteNet[\[38\]](#page-12-14), serving as another baseline model. Table [12](#page-19-2) focuses on OV-3DETR [\[34\]](#page-11-14), presenting its specific training settings. Finally, Table [13](#page-20-0) outlines the training parameter details for the OV-3DET [\[30\]](#page-11-4) model. These four tables aim to clearly display the specific parameter settings of each method during the pretraining stage, offering a direct perspective for comparison to the readers.

Table [14](#page-20-1) presents the parameter configurations of our experiments during the adaptation stage.

Value	Description
0.3, 0.3, 0.4	Fusion weights for different input modalities
12	Number of samples per batch
0.001	Initial rate for model training
	L2 regularization coefficient
180	Total number of pretraining training epochs
80, 120, 160	Epochs where learning rate decays
0.1, 0.1, 0.1	Learning rate decay factors

Table 10: Key Parameters for ImOV3D Pretraining Configuration

Table 11: Key Parameters for OV-VoteNet Pretraining Configuration

Parameter	Value	Description
-pc_only_weight		Point clouds only input
-batch_size	12	Number of samples per batch
-learning_rate	0.001	Initial rate for model training
-weight_decay		L2 regularization coefficient
-max_epoch	180	Total number of pretraining training epochs
-lr_decay_steps	80, 120, 160	Epochs where learning rate decays
$-lr_decay_rates$	0.1, 0.1, 0.1	Learning rate decay factors

Table 12: Key Parameters for OV-3DETR Pretraining Configuration

Parameter		Local Phase DTCC Phase
-max_epoch	200	50
-nqueries	128	128
$-base_lr$	$4e-4$	$1e-4$
-warm_lr_epochs		
-batchsize_per_gpu	12	12
$-final_lr$	$1e-5$	$1e-5$

Table 13: Key Parameters for OV-3DET Pretraining Configuration

Table 14: Key Parameters for ImOV3D Adaptation Configuration

Parameter	Value	Description
-tower_weights -batch_size -learning_rate -weight_decay -max_epoch -lr_decay_steps	0.3, 0.3, 0.4 12 0.0005 100 40,80	Fusion weights for different input modalities Number of samples per batch Initial rate for model training L2 regularization coefficient Total number of adaptation training epochs Epochs where learning rate decays
-lr_decay_rates	0.1, 0.1	Learning rate decay factors

V. Visualization Comparison during Pretraining Stage

The visualization colors have specific meanings, and we're providing ImOV3D the prompt: "*Please help me locate the {category}*". In the image, a bed is represented by red lines, a lamp by light green, a chair by pink, a table by blue, a sofa by purple, a pillow by orange, and a cabinet by dark green.

Figure 10: During the pretraining stage, the visual comparison tested on the SUNRGBD[\[43\]](#page-12-3) dataset includes four columns: the first column shows the ground truth images, the second column displays the ground truth point clouds, the third column presents the detection results by OV-3DET [\[30\]](#page-11-4), and the fourth column reveals the detection outcomes by ImOV3D.

Figure 11: During the pretraining stage, the visual comparison tested on the ScanNet [\[12\]](#page-10-4) dataset includes four columns: the first column shows the ground truth images, the second column displays the ground truth point clouds, the third column presents the detection results by OV-3DET[\[30\]](#page-11-4), and the fourth column reveals the detection outcomes by ImOV3D .

VI. Explanation of the formula for the Rotation Correction Module

In this section, we explore Equation [1](#page-3-1) in depth, utilizing Rodrigues' rotation formula. It calculates the rotation matrix $\overline{R} \in SO(3)$ for a rotation by θ (less than 180°) around the axis defined by the unit vector $\hat{n} = (n_x, n_y, n_z)$. The Rodrigues' rotation formula matrix is defined as:

$$
R = I + (\sin \theta)N + (1 - \cos \theta)N^2
$$

Where I is the identity matrix, and the skew-symmetric matrix N for the unit vector $\hat {n}$ is constructed as:

$$
N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -n_z & n_y \\ n_z & 0 & -n_x \\ -n_y & n_x & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

To align unit vector $N_{\text {pred}}$ with unit vector $Z_{\text {pred}}$, we start from the definitions of the inner product and cross product:

$$
v = N_{\text{pred}} \times Z_{\text{pred}}
$$

$$
N_{\text{pred}} \cdot Z_{\text{pred}} = \cos \theta, \quad |N_{\text{pred}} \times Z_{\text{pred}}| = \sin \theta
$$

Thus, we have:

$$
v = N_{\text{pred}} \times Z_{\text{pred}} \Rightarrow v = \sin \theta \hat{n}
$$

Accordingly, define:

$$
K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\sin \theta)N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -n_z & n_y \\ n_z & 0 & -n_x \\ -n_y & n_x & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -v_z & v_y \\ v_z & 0 & -v_x \\ -v_y & v_x & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

Based on Rodrigues' rotation formula, we have:

$$
R = I + K + \frac{1 - \cos \theta}{\sin^2 \theta} K^2
$$

$$
= I + K + K^2 \frac{1 - N_{\text{pred}} \cdot Z_{\text{pred}}}{\|v\|^2}
$$

NeurIPS Paper Checklist

1. Claims

Question: Do the main claims made in the abstract and introduction accurately reflect the paper's contributions and scope?

Answer: [Yes]

Justification: We conduct comprehensive experiments, and the results included in our paper support the main claims made in the abstract and introduction well.

Guidelines:

- The answer NA means that the abstract and introduction do not include the claims made in the paper.
- The abstract and/or introduction should clearly state the claims made, including the contributions made in the paper and important assumptions and limitations. A No or NA answer to this question will not be perceived well by the reviewers.
- The claims made should match theoretical and experimental results, and reflect how much the results can be expected to generalize to other settings.
- It is fine to include aspirational goals as motivation as long as it is clear that these goals are not attained by the paper.

2. Limitations

Question: Does the paper discuss the limitations of the work performed by the authors?

Answer: [Yes]

Justification: We have addressed the limitations of our work, including assumptions, scope, performance factors, computational efficiency, and privacy and fairness considerations, to ensure a balanced view of our research.

Guidelines:

- The answer NA means that the paper has no limitation while the answer No means that the paper has limitations, but those are not discussed in the paper.
- The authors are encouraged to create a separate "Limitations" section in their paper.
- The paper should point out any strong assumptions and how robust the results are to violations of these assumptions (e.g., independence assumptions, noiseless settings, model well-specification, asymptotic approximations only holding locally). The authors should reflect on how these assumptions might be violated in practice and what the implications would be.
- The authors should reflect on the scope of the claims made, e.g., if the approach was only tested on a few datasets or with a few runs. In general, empirical results often depend on implicit assumptions, which should be articulated.
- The authors should reflect on the factors that influence the performance of the approach. For example, a facial recognition algorithm may perform poorly when image resolution is low or images are taken in low lighting. Or a speech-to-text system might not be used reliably to provide closed captions for online lectures because it fails to handle technical jargon.
- The authors should discuss the computational efficiency of the proposed algorithms and how they scale with dataset size.
- If applicable, the authors should discuss possible limitations of their approach to address problems of privacy and fairness.
- While the authors might fear that complete honesty about limitations might be used by reviewers as grounds for rejection, a worse outcome might be that reviewers discover limitations that aren't acknowledged in the paper. The authors should use their best judgment and recognize that individual actions in favor of transparency play an important role in developing norms that preserve the integrity of the community. Reviewers will be specifically instructed to not penalize honesty concerning limitations.

3. Theory Assumptions and Proofs

Question: For each theoretical result, does the paper provide the full set of assumptions and a complete (and correct) proof?

Answer: [Yes]

Justification: For each theoretical result in our paper, we have provided a complete set of assumptions and a complete (and correct) proof. All theorems, formulas, and proofs are numbered and cross-referenced for clarity and consistency. All assumptions are explicitly stated or referenced in the statement of any theorem. The proofs are presented in the main paper, and we have included brief proof sketches to provide intuition. Additionally, any theorems and lemmas upon which the proofs depend are properly cited, ensuring the rigor and reliability of our theoretical results.

Guidelines:

- The answer NA means that the paper does not include theoretical results.
- All the theorems, formulas, and proofs in the paper should be numbered and crossreferenced.
- All assumptions should be clearly stated or referenced in the statement of any theorems.
- The proofs can either appear in the main paper or the supplemental material, but if they appear in the supplemental material, the authors are encouraged to provide a short proof sketch to provide intuition.
- Inversely, any informal proof provided in the core of the paper should be complemented by formal proofs provided in appendix or supplemental material.
- Theorems and Lemmas that the proof relies upon should be properly referenced.

4. Experimental Result Reproducibility

Question: Does the paper fully disclose all the information needed to reproduce the main experimental results of the paper to the extent that it affects the main claims and/or conclusions of the paper (regardless of whether the code and data are provided or not)?

Answer: [Yes]

Justification: We have uploaded the code and fully disclosed all the necessary information required to reproduce the main experimental results, ensuring the reproducibility of the main claims and/or conclusions of the paper. We recognize the importance of enabling other researchers to replicate and verify our experimental findings and have taken appropriate measures, including providing detailed descriptions of our experimental setup and the necessary code, to facilitate transparency and reproducibility of our research.

Guidelines:

- The answer NA means that the paper does not include experiments.
- If the paper includes experiments, a No answer to this question will not be perceived well by the reviewers: Making the paper reproducible is important, regardless of whether the code and data are provided or not.
- If the contribution is a dataset and/or model, the authors should describe the steps taken to make their results reproducible or verifiable.
- Depending on the contribution, reproducibility can be accomplished in various ways. For example, if the contribution is a novel architecture, describing the architecture fully might suffice, or if the contribution is a specific model and empirical evaluation, it may be necessary to either make it possible for others to replicate the model with the same dataset, or provide access to the model. In general. releasing code and data is often one good way to accomplish this, but reproducibility can also be provided via detailed instructions for how to replicate the results, access to a hosted model (e.g., in the case of a large language model), releasing of a model checkpoint, or other means that are appropriate to the research performed.
- While NeurIPS does not require releasing code, the conference does require all submissions to provide some reasonable avenue for reproducibility, which may depend on the nature of the contribution. For example
	- (a) If the contribution is primarily a new algorithm, the paper should make it clear how to reproduce that algorithm.
- (b) If the contribution is primarily a new model architecture, the paper should describe the architecture clearly and fully.
- (c) If the contribution is a new model (e.g., a large language model), then there should either be a way to access this model for reproducing the results or a way to reproduce the model (e.g., with an open-source dataset or instructions for how to construct the dataset).
- (d) We recognize that reproducibility may be tricky in some cases, in which case authors are welcome to describe the particular way they provide for reproducibility. In the case of closed-source models, it may be that access to the model is limited in some way (e.g., to registered users), but it should be possible for other researchers to have some path to reproducing or verifying the results.

5. Open access to data and code

Question: Does the paper provide open access to the data and code, with sufficient instructions to faithfully reproduce the main experimental results, as described in supplemental material?

Answer: [Yes]

Justification: We have provided open access to the data and code, along with clear instructions in the supplementary material to replicate the main experimental results. This includes details on data access, preparation, and the exact commands needed to execute the experiments. Anonymous versions of data and code have been released to maintain submission integrity.

Guidelines:

- The answer NA means that paper does not include experiments requiring code.
- Please see the NeurIPS code and data submission guidelines ([https://nips.cc/](https://nips.cc/public/guides/CodeSubmissionPolicy) [public/guides/CodeSubmissionPolicy](https://nips.cc/public/guides/CodeSubmissionPolicy)) for more details.
- While we encourage the release of code and data, we understand that this might not be possible, so "No" is an acceptable answer. Papers cannot be rejected simply for not including code, unless this is central to the contribution (e.g., for a new open-source benchmark).
- The instructions should contain the exact command and environment needed to run to reproduce the results. See the NeurIPS code and data submission guidelines ([https:](https://nips.cc/public/guides/CodeSubmissionPolicy) [//nips.cc/public/guides/CodeSubmissionPolicy](https://nips.cc/public/guides/CodeSubmissionPolicy)) for more details.
- The authors should provide instructions on data access and preparation, including how to access the raw data, preprocessed data, intermediate data, and generated data, etc.
- The authors should provide scripts to reproduce all experimental results for the new proposed method and baselines. If only a subset of experiments are reproducible, they should state which ones are omitted from the script and why.
- At submission time, to preserve anonymity, the authors should release anonymized versions (if applicable).
- Providing as much information as possible in supplemental material (appended to the paper) is recommended, but including URLs to data and code is permitted.

6. Experimental Setting/Details

Question: Does the paper specify all the training and test details (e.g., data splits, hyperparameters, how they were chosen, type of optimizer, etc.) necessary to understand the results?

Answer: [Yes]

Justification: Our paper specifies all training and testing details, such as data partitioning, hyperparameter selection, and the type of optimizer used, which are essential for understanding our results. The experimental setup is presented within the core of the paper with a level of detail sufficient for reviewers to comprehend and make sense of the outcomes. Complete details are provided alongside the code, either in the appendix or as supplementary material.

Guidelines:

- The answer NA means that the paper does not include experiments.
- The experimental setting should be presented in the core of the paper to a level of detail that is necessary to appreciate the results and make sense of them.

• The full details can be provided either with the code, in appendix, or as supplemental material.

7. Experiment Statistical Significance

Question: Does the paper report error bars suitably and correctly defined or other appropriate information about the statistical significance of the experiments?

Answer: [Yes]

Justification: Our paper provides appropriate error bars and statistical significance information for the experiments, clearly explaining the variability and the method of calculation. We have also specified the assumptions made. An error bar or the variance value is included in all the results, either in a table or a figure.

Guidelines:

- The answer NA means that the paper does not include experiments.
- The authors should answer "Yes" if the results are accompanied by error bars, confidence intervals, or statistical significance tests, at least for the experiments that support the main claims of the paper.
- The factors of variability that the error bars are capturing should be clearly stated (for example, train/test split, initialization, random drawing of some parameter, or overall run with given experimental conditions).
- The method for calculating the error bars should be explained (closed form formula, call to a library function, bootstrap, etc.)
- The assumptions made should be given (e.g., Normally distributed errors).
- It should be clear whether the error bar is the standard deviation or the standard error of the mean.
- It is OK to report 1-sigma error bars, but one should state it. The authors should preferably report a 2-sigma error bar than state that they have a 96% CI, if the hypothesis of Normality of errors is not verified.
- For asymmetric distributions, the authors should be careful not to show in tables or figures symmetric error bars that would yield results that are out of range (e.g. negative error rates).
- If error bars are reported in tables or plots, The authors should explain in the text how they were calculated and reference the corresponding figures or tables in the text.

8. Experiments Compute Resources

Question: For each experiment, does the paper provide sufficient information on the computer resources (type of compute workers, memory, time of execution) needed to reproduce the experiments?

Answer: [Yes]

Justification: Our paper provides sufficient information regarding the computational resources used for each experiment, including the type of computational work (CPU or GPU), memory requirements, and execution time. This information is essential for replicating the experiments and is detailed to ensure clarity and reproducibility.

Guidelines:

- The answer NA means that the paper does not include experiments.
- The paper should indicate the type of compute workers CPU or GPU, internal cluster, or cloud provider, including relevant memory and storage.
- The paper should provide the amount of compute required for each of the individual experimental runs as well as estimate the total compute.
- The paper should disclose whether the full research project required more compute than the experiments reported in the paper (e.g., preliminary or failed experiments that didn't make it into the paper).

9. Code Of Ethics

Question: Does the research conducted in the paper conform, in every respect, with the NeurIPS Code of Ethics <https://neurips.cc/public/EthicsGuidelines>?

Answer: [Yes]

Justification: Our research fully complies with the NeurIPS ethical guidelines.

Guidelines:

- The answer NA means that the authors have not reviewed the NeurIPS Code of Ethics.
- If the authors answer No, they should explain the special circumstances that require a deviation from the Code of Ethics.
- The authors should make sure to preserve anonymity (e.g., if there is a special consideration due to laws or regulations in their jurisdiction).

10. Broader Impacts

Question: Does the paper discuss both potential positive societal impacts and negative societal impacts of the work performed?

Answer: [Yes]

Justification: Our paper discusses the broader applications of the work, we have conducted a comprehensive assessment of the societal implications that the technology might bring.

Guidelines:

- The answer NA means that there is no societal impact of the work performed.
- If the authors answer NA or No, they should explain why their work has no societal impact or why the paper does not address societal impact.
- Examples of negative societal impacts include potential malicious or unintended uses (e.g., disinformation, generating fake profiles, surveillance), fairness considerations (e.g., deployment of technologies that could make decisions that unfairly impact specific groups), privacy considerations, and security considerations.
- The conference expects that many papers will be foundational research and not tied to particular applications, let alone deployments. However, if there is a direct path to any negative applications, the authors should point it out. For example, it is legitimate to point out that an improvement in the quality of generative models could be used to generate deepfakes for disinformation. On the other hand, it is not needed to point out that a generic algorithm for optimizing neural networks could enable people to train models that generate Deepfakes faster.
- The authors should consider possible harms that could arise when the technology is being used as intended and functioning correctly, harms that could arise when the technology is being used as intended but gives incorrect results, and harms following from (intentional or unintentional) misuse of the technology.
- If there are negative societal impacts, the authors could also discuss possible mitigation strategies (e.g., gated release of models, providing defenses in addition to attacks, mechanisms for monitoring misuse, mechanisms to monitor how a system learns from feedback over time, improving the efficiency and accessibility of ML).

11. Safeguards

Question: Does the paper describe safeguards that have been put in place for responsible release of data or models that have a high risk for misuse (e.g., pretrained language models, image generators, or scraped datasets)?

Answer: [NA]

Justification: Our paper does not present any data or models that carry a high risk of misuse, such as pre-trained language models, image generators, or scraped datasets. The research conducted does not involve risks that would necessitate the implementation of security measures to prevent abuse.

Guidelines:

- The answer NA means that the paper poses no such risks.
- Released models that have a high risk for misuse or dual-use should be released with necessary safeguards to allow for controlled use of the model, for example by requiring that users adhere to usage guidelines or restrictions to access the model or implementing safety filters.
- Datasets that have been scraped from the Internet could pose safety risks. The authors should describe how they avoided releasing unsafe images.
- We recognize that providing effective safeguards is challenging, and many papers do not require this, but we encourage authors to take this into account and make a best faith effort.

12. Licenses for existing assets

Question: Are the creators or original owners of assets (e.g., code, data, models), used in the paper, properly credited and are the license and terms of use explicitly mentioned and properly respected?

Answer: [Yes]

Justification: In our paper, we have properly credited the creators and original owners of all used assets, including clear mention and respect for their licenses and terms of use.

Guidelines:

- The answer NA means that the paper does not use existing assets.
- The authors should cite the original paper that produced the code package or dataset.
- The authors should state which version of the asset is used and, if possible, include a URL.
- The name of the license (e.g., CC-BY 4.0) should be included for each asset.
- For scraped data from a particular source (e.g., website), the copyright and terms of service of that source should be provided.
- If assets are released, the license, copyright information, and terms of use in the package should be provided. For popular datasets, <paperswithcode.com/datasets> has curated licenses for some datasets. Their licensing guide can help determine the license of a dataset.
- For existing datasets that are re-packaged, both the original license and the license of the derived asset (if it has changed) should be provided.
- If this information is not available online, the authors are encouraged to reach out to the asset's creators.

13. New Assets

Question: Are new assets introduced in the paper well documented and is the documentation provided alongside the assets?

Answer: [NA]

Justification: We have focused on the research presented without the need to create or share new assets that would necessitate additional documentation or user consent processes.

Guidelines:

- The answer NA means that the paper does not release new assets.
- Researchers should communicate the details of the dataset/code/model as part of their submissions via structured templates. This includes details about training, license, limitations, etc.
- The paper should discuss whether and how consent was obtained from people whose asset is used.
- At submission time, remember to anonymize your assets (if applicable). You can either create an anonymized URL or include an anonymized zip file.

14. Crowdsourcing and Research with Human Subjects

Question: For crowdsourcing experiments and research with human subjects, does the paper include the full text of instructions given to participants and screenshots, if applicable, as well as details about compensation (if any)?

Answer: [NA]

Justification: Our paper does not involve crowdsourced experiments or research with human subjects, hence there is no need to provide the complete text of instructions for participants, screenshots, or detailed information regarding compensation.

Guidelines:

- The answer NA means that the paper does not involve crowdsourcing nor research with human subjects.
- Including this information in the supplemental material is fine, but if the main contribution of the paper involves human subjects, then as much detail as possible should be included in the main paper.
- According to the NeurIPS Code of Ethics, workers involved in data collection, curation, or other labor should be paid at least the minimum wage in the country of the data collector.

15. Institutional Review Board (IRB) Approvals or Equivalent for Research with Human Subjects

Question: Does the paper describe potential risks incurred by study participants, whether such risks were disclosed to the subjects, and whether Institutional Review Board (IRB) approvals (or an equivalent approval/review based on the requirements of your country or institution) were obtained?

Answer: [NA]

Justification: Our paper does not involve research with human subjectsthe research conducted does not pose any potential risks to participants that would necessitate disclosure or ethical review.

Guidelines:

- The answer NA means that the paper does not involve crowdsourcing nor research with human subjects.
- Depending on the country in which research is conducted, IRB approval (or equivalent) may be required for any human subjects research. If you obtained IRB approval, you should clearly state this in the paper.
- We recognize that the procedures for this may vary significantly between institutions and locations, and we expect authors to adhere to the NeurIPS Code of Ethics and the guidelines for their institution.
- For initial submissions, do not include any information that would break anonymity (if applicable), such as the institution conducting the review.