Dynamic Schema Graph Fusion Network for Multi-Domain Dialogue State Tracking

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

001 Dialogue State Tracking (DST) aims to keep track of users' intentions during the course 003 of a conversation. In DST, modelling the relations among domains and slots is still an under-studied problem. Existing approaches that have considered such relations generally fall short in: (1) fusing prior slot-domain mem-007 bership relations and dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations explicitly, and (2) generalizing to unseen domains. To address these issues, 011 we propose a novel Dynamic Schema Graph Fusion Network (DSGFNet), which generates a dynamic schema graph to explicitly fuse the prior slot-domain membership relations and dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations. It also uses the schemata to facilitate knowledge transfer to new domains. DSGFNet consists of a dialogue utterance encoder, a schema graph encoder, a dialogue-aware schema graph evolv-019 ing network, and a schema graph enhanced dialogue state decoder. Empirical results on benchmark datasets, including SGD, MultiWOZ2.1, and MultiWOZ2.2, show that DSGFNet outperforms the existing methods.

1 Introduction

034

Task-oriented dialogue systems can help users accomplish different tasks (Huang et al., 2020), such as flight reservation, food ordering, and appointment scheduling. Conventionally, task-oriented dialogue systems consist of four modules (Zhang et al., 2020c): natural language understanding (NLU), dialogue state tracking (DST), dialogue manager (DM), and natural language generation (NLG). In this paper, we will focus on the DST module. The goal of DST is to extract users' goals or intentions as dialogue states and keep these states updated over the whole dialogue. In order to track users' goals, we need to have a predefined domain knowledge referred to as a schema, which consists of slot names and their descriptions. Figure 1 gives an example of DST in a sample dialogue.



Figure 1: An example of DST. Given the schemata for all domains, the slot values are extracted from the user and system utterances (e.g., spans highlighted with the same color in the figure). The dialogue state of each turn is represented as a set of slot-value pairs. Among the domains and slots, there are prior slot-domain membership relations which are expressed in the predefined schemata, and also dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations which depend on the dialogue context (e.g., coreference, co-update, and co-occurrence).

Many models have been developed for DST due to its importance in task-oriented dialogue systems. Traditional approaches use deep neural networks or pre-trained language models to encode the dialogue context and infer slot values from it (Zhong et al., 2018; Ramadan et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2019; Ren et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020a; Hu et al., 2020; Gao et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020a,b). These models predict slot values without considering the relations among domains and slots. However, domains and slots in a dialogue are unlikely to be entirely independent, and ignoring the relations among domains and slots may lead to sub-optimal performance. To address this issue, several recent works have been proposed to model the relations among domains and slots in DST. Some of them introduce

predefined schema graphs to incorporate prior slotdomain membership relations, which are defined based on human experience in advance (Chen et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020). The others use an attention mechanism to capture dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations (Feng et al., 2021; Heck et al., 2020). The dialogue-aware dynamic relations are the logical relations of slots across domains, which are highly related to specific dialogue contexts.

059

060

063

064

067

071

079

084

097

100

101

102

104

105

106

107

108

However, existing DST models that involve the relations among domains and slots suffer from two major issues: (1) They fail to fuse the prior slot-domain membership relations and dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations explicitly; and (2) They fail to consider their generalizability to new domains. In practical scenarios, task-oriented dialogue systems need to support a large and constantly increasing number of new domains.

To tackle these issues, we propose a novel approach named DSGFNet (Dynamic Schema Graph Fusion Network). For the first issue, DSGFNet dynamically updates the schema graph consisting of the predefined slot-domain membership relations with the dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations. To incorporate the dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations explicitly, DSGFNet adds three new edge types to the schema graph: *co-reference relations*, *co-update relations*, and *co-occurrence relations*. For the second issue, to improve its generalizability, DSGFNet employs a unified model containing schema-agnostic parameters to make predictions.

Specifically, our proposed DSGFNet comprises of four components: a *BERT-based dialogue utterance encoder* to contextualize the current turn dialogue context and history, a *BERT-based schema graph encoder* to generalize to unseen domains and model the prior slot-domain membership relations on the schema graph, a *dialogue-aware schema graph evolving network* to augment the dialogueaware dynamic slot relations on the schema graph, and a *schema graph enhanced dialogue state decoder* to extract value spans from the candidate elements considering the evolved schema graph.

The contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows:

• We improve DST by proposing a dynamic, explainable, and general schema graph which explicitly models the relations among domains and slots based on both prior knowledge and the dialogue context, no matter whether the domains and slots are seen or not. We develop a fusion network, DSGFNet, 109
 which effectively enhances DST generating 110
 a schema graph out of the combination of 111
 prior slot-domain membership relations and 112
 dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations. 113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

• We conduct extensive experiments on three benchmark datasets (i.e., SGD, MultiWOZ2.1, and MultiWOZ2.2) to demonstrate the superiority of DSGFNet and the importance of the relations among domains and slots in DST.

2 Related Work

Recent DST approaches mainly focus on encoding the dialogue contexts with deep neural networks (e.g., convolutional and recurrent networks) and inferring the values of slots independently (Zhong et al., 2018; Ramadan et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2019; Ren et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020a; Hu et al., 2020; Gao et al., 2020). With the prevalence of pretrained language models, such as BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) and GPT-2 (Radford et al., 2019), a great variety of DST approaches have been developed on top of these pre-trained models (Zhang et al., 2020a,b; Lin et al., 2020). The relations among domains and slots are not considered in the above approaches. However, the prior slotdomain membership relations can facilitate the sharing of domain knowledge and the dialogueaware dynamic slot relations can conduce dialogue history understanding. Ignoring these relations may lead to sub-optimal performance.

To fill in this gap, several new DST approaches, which involve the relations among domains and slots, have been proposed. Some of them leverage a graph structure to capture the slot-domain membership relations (Lin et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020; Zeng and Nie, 2020; Ouyang et al., 2020). Specifically, a predefined schema graph is employed to represent the slot-domain membership relations. However, they fail to incorporate the dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations into the schema graph. The other approaches utilize the attention mechanism to learn dialogue-aware dynamic slot relation features in order to facilitate information flow among slots (Zhou and Small, 2019; Feng et al., 2021; Heck et al., 2020; Hu et al., 2020; Ye et al., 2021). However, these approaches ignore the slot-domain membership relations defined by prior knowledge. Since both the prior slot-domain membership relations and dialogue-aware dynamic



Figure 2: The architecture of DSGFNet, which contains a dialogue utterance encoder, a schema graph encoder, a schema graph evolving network, and a dialogue state decoder.

slot relations can enhance DST performance, our approach is developed to combine them in an effective way.

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

Given that a deployed dialogue system may encounter an ever-increasing number of new domains that have limited training data available, the DST module should be capable of generalizing to unseen domains. Recent DST approaches have focused on using zero-shot learning to achieve this goal (Rastogi et al., 2020; Noroozi et al., 2020). These approaches exploit the natural language descriptions of schemata to transfer knowledge across domains. However, they ignore the relations among domains and slots. In this work, we propose a unified framework to fuse the prior slot-domain membership relations and dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations, no matter whether the domains are seen or not.

3 Dynamic Schema Graph Fusion Network

The proposed DSGFNet consists of four compo-177 nents: (1) a BERT-based dialogue utterance en-178 *coder* that aims to contextualize the tokens of the 179 current turn and the dialogue history; (2) a schema graph encoder that is able to generalize to unseen 181 domains and shares information among predefined 182 slot-domain membership relations; (3) a dialogue-183 aware schema graph evolving network that adds the dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations into the 185 schema graph; and (4) a schema graph enhanced 186 *dialogue state decoder* that extracts the value span from the candidate elements based on the evolved schema graph. Figure 2 illustrates the architecture 189

of DSGFNet.

3.1 Dialogue Utterance Encoder

This encoder takes as input the current and previous dialogue utterances. Specifically, the input is a sequence of tokens with length K, i.e., $[t_1, ..., t_K]$. Here, we set the first token t_1 to [CLS]; subsequent are the tokens in the current dialogue utterance and the ones in the previous dialogue utterances, which are separated by [SEP]. We employ BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) to obtain contextual token embeddings. The output is a tensor of all the token embeddings $\boldsymbol{B} = [\boldsymbol{b}_1, ..., \boldsymbol{b}_K]$, with one embedding for each token. 190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

221

3.2 Schema Graph Encoder

To make use of the slot-domain membership relations defined by prior domain knowledge, we construct a schema graph based on the predefined ontology. An example is shown in Figure 2. In this schema graph, each node represents either a domain or a slot, and all the slot nodes are connected to their corresponding domain nodes. In order to allow information propagation across domains, all the domain nodes are connected with each other.

Schema-Agnostic Embedding Initializer. To generalize to unseen domains, DSGFNet initializes the schema graph node embeddings via a schemaagnostic projection. Inspired by zero-shot learning (Romera-Paredes and Torr, 2015), we propose a schema-agnostic embedding initializer to project schemata across domains into a unified semantic distribution. Specifically, we feed the natural language descriptions of slots and domains into BERT

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

267

268

to obtain the semantic embeddings for all slots and domains $I = [i_1, ..., i_{N+M}]$, where N and M are the number of slots and domains, respectively. We constrain the schema embedding initializer not to have any domain-specific parameters so that it can generalize to unseen domains.

223

224

231

235

236

240

241

245

246

247

251

252

255

256

258

260

262

263

264

Slot-Domain Membership Relation Reasoning Network. To involve the prior slot-domain membership relations into the schema graph node embeddings, DSGFNet propagates information among slots and domains over the schema graph. We add a self-loop to each node because the nodes need to propagate information to themselves. Inspired by the GAT model (Veličković et al., 2018), we propose a slot-domain membership relation reasoning network to propagate information over the schema graph. For each node, we first compute attention scores α for its neighbours. These attention scores are used to weigh the importance of each neighboring node. Formally, the attention scores are calculated as follows:

$$h_{i,j} = \operatorname{ReLU}(\mathbf{W}^{\top} \cdot [\mathbf{i}_i, \mathbf{i}_j]), \qquad (1)$$

$$\alpha_{i,j} = \frac{\exp(h_{i,j})}{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}_i} \exp(h_{i,k})},$$
(2)

where **W** is a matrix of parameters and N_i is the neighborhood of the *i*-th node. The normalized attention coefficients and the activation function are used to compute a non-linear weighted combination of the neighbours. This is used to compute the tensor of the schema graph node embeddings $G = (g_1, ..., g_{N+M})$:

$$\boldsymbol{g}_i = \operatorname{ReLU}\left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} \alpha_{i,j} \cdot \boldsymbol{i}_j\right),$$
 (3)

where $i \in \{1, ..., N + M\}$. To explore the higherorder connectivity information of slots across domains, we stack l layers of the reasoning network. Each layer takes the node embeddings from the previous layer as input, and outputs the updated node embeddings to the next layer.

3.3 Schema Graph Evolving Network

We propose a schema graph evolving network to incorporate the dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations into the schema graph, which is composed of two layers, a schema-dialogue fusion layer and a dynamic slot relation completion layer.

Schema-Dialogue Fusion Layer. Since the dynamic slot relations are related to the dialogue context, we need to fuse the dialogue context information into the schema graph. We adopt the multihead attention (Vaswani et al., 2017) to achieve this goal. The mathematical formulation is:

$$H = \text{MultiHead}(\mathbf{Q} = \boldsymbol{g}_i, \mathbf{K} = \boldsymbol{B}, \mathbf{V} = \boldsymbol{B}), \quad (4)$$
$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}_i = \boldsymbol{H} \cdot \boldsymbol{W}_a, \quad (5)$$

where W_a is learnable parameters of a linear projection after the multi-head attention, and \tilde{g}_i is the dialogue-aware schema graph node embeddings.

Dynamic Slot Relation Completion Layer. This layer aims to augment the dynamic slot relations on the schema graph based on the dialogueaware node embeddings. To involve the dialogueaware dynamic slot relations into DST explicitly, DSGFNet defines three types of dynamic slot relations: (1) Co-reference relations occur when a slot value has been mentioned earlier in the dialogue and has been assigned to another slot; (2) Coupdate relations occur when slot values are updated together at the same dialogue turn, and; (3) Cooccurrence relations occur when slots with a high co-occurrence probability in a large dialogue corpus appear together in the current dialogue. Specifically, we feed the dialogue-aware slot node representations into a multi-layer perceptron followed by a 4-way softmax function to identify the relations between slot pairs, which include the none relation and the three dynamic relations mentioned above. Formally, given the i-th and j-th dialogueaware slot node embeddings \tilde{g}_i and \tilde{g}_j , we obtain an adjacent matrix of the dynamic slot relations for all slot pairs as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{A}(i,j) = \arg \max \left(\operatorname{softmax}(\operatorname{MLP}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}_i \oplus \tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}_j)) \right).$$
(6)

With *A*, we add dynamic slot relation edges to the schema graph.

3.4 Dialogue State Decoder

To decode the slot values by means of incorporating the slot-domain membership relations and dialogueaware dynamic slot relations which are captured by the evolved schema graph, we propose a schema graph enhanced dialogue state decoder.

To learn a more comprehensive slot node embedding, we need to fuse multiple relations on the evolved schema graph. DSGFNet divides different relations on the schema graph into sub-graphs R_s, R_r, R_u, R_o , which represent slot-domain membership relation, co-reference relation, co-update

relation, and co-occurrence relation, respectively. 314 For each sub-graph R_i , its node embeddings s_i are 315 obtained by attending over the neighbors, which is the same as the method used in Section 3.2. Con-317 sidering that different relation types have different 318 contributions to the node interactions for different 319 dialogue contexts (Wang et al., 2019), we aggregate 320 these different sub-graphs via an attention mecha-321 nism as follows:

23
$$S = [s_1; s_2; s_3; s_4],$$
 (7)

325

326

327

332

334

336

337

339

341

345

346

347

351

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \operatorname{softmax}(\boldsymbol{S}^{\top} \cdot \tanh(\boldsymbol{W}_s \cdot \boldsymbol{b}_{[CLS]} + \boldsymbol{b}_s)),$$

(8)

 $\boldsymbol{s} = \boldsymbol{S} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}, \tag{9}$

where W_s , b_s are learnable weights, $b_{[CLS]}$ is the output of BERT-based dialogue utterance encoder.

Each slot value is extracted by a value predictor based on the corresponding fused slot node embeddings s. The value predictor is a trainable nonlinear classifier followed by two parallel softmax layers to predict start and end positions in candidate elements C, which are composed by the dialogue context B and slots' candidate value vocabulary V:

$$\boldsymbol{C} = [\boldsymbol{B}; \boldsymbol{V}] \tag{10}$$

$$[\boldsymbol{l}_s, \boldsymbol{l}_e] = \boldsymbol{r}_d \cdot \tanh(\boldsymbol{s}^\top \cdot \boldsymbol{W}_d \cdot \boldsymbol{C} + \boldsymbol{b}_d), \quad (11)$$

 $p_s = \operatorname{softmax}(\boldsymbol{l}_s), \tag{12}$

$$p_e = \operatorname{softmax}(\boldsymbol{l}_e), \tag{13}$$

where r_d , W_d , and b_d are trainable parameters. Note that if the end position is before the start position, the resulting span will simply be "None".

3.5 Optimization

During training, we optimize both the dialogue state decoder and the dynamic slot relation identifier. Cross-entropy loss is utilized to measure the loss of the value span predictions \mathcal{L}_s and the dynamic slot relation predictions \mathcal{L}_r . We compute the joint loss \mathcal{L} as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} = \lambda \cdot \mathcal{L}_r + (1 - \lambda) \cdot \mathcal{L}_s, \qquad (14)$$

where $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ is a balance coefficient.

4 **Experiments**

4.1 Datasets

We conduct experiments on three task-oriented dialogue benchmark datasets: SGD (Rastogi et al., 2020), MultiWOZ2.2 (Zang et al., 2020), and MultiWOZ2.1 (Eric et al., 2020). Among them, SGD is by far the most challenging dataset which contains over 16,000 conversations between a humanuser and a virtual assistant across 16 domains. In particular, it also includes unseen domains in the test set. MultiWOZ2.2 and MultiWOZ2.1 are smaller human-human conversations benchmark datasets, which contain over 8,000 multi-turn dialogues across 8 and 7 domains, respectively. MultiWOZ2.2 is a revised version of MultiWOZ2.1, which is re-annotated with a different set of interannotators and also canonicalized entity names. Statistics about the datasets are provided in Table 1.

356

357

358

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

385

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

Table 1: Characteristics of the datasets in experiments. The numbers are those of the training sets.

Characteristics	SGD	MultiWOZ2.2	MultiWOZ2.1
No. of domains	16	8	7
No. of dialogues	16,142	8,438	8,438
Total no. of turns	329,964	113,556	113,556
Avg. turns per dialogue	20.44	13.46	13.46
Avg. tokens per turn	9.75	13.13	13.38
No. of slots	215	61	37
Unseen domains in test set	Yes	No	No

4.2 Baselines

We make a comparison with the following existing models, which are divided into two categories, predicting the dialogue state independent of the relations among domains and slots, or based on such relations. The methods ignoring the relations among domains and slots are: TRADE (Wu et al., 2019), a generation model which generates dialogue states from utterances using a copy mechanism; DS-DST (Zhang et al., 2020a), a dual strategy that classifies over a picklist or finding values from a slot span; SOM-DST (Kim et al., 2020), a selectively overwriting mechanism which first predicts state operation on each of the slots and then overwrites with new values; MinTL-BART (Lin et al., 2020), a plug-and-play pre-trained model which jointly learns dialogue state tracking and dialogue response generation; SGD-baseline (Rastogi et al., 2020), a schema-guided paradigm that predicts states for unseen domains, and; FastSGT (Noroozi et al., 2020), a BERT-based model that uses multihead attention projections to analyze dialogue history; PPTOD (Su et al., 2021), a multi-task pretraining strategy that allows the model to learn the primary TOD task completion skills from heterogeneous dialog corpora. The methods incorporating the relations among domains and slots include: SST (Chen et al., 2020), a graph model

which fuses information from utterances and static
schema graph; *TripPy* (Heck et al., 2020), an openvocabulary model which copies values from dialogue context, or slot values in previous dialogue
state, and; *Seq2Seq-DU* (Feng et al., 2021), a
sequence-to-sequence framework which decodes
dialogue states in a flatten format.

4.3 Evaluation Measures

405

406

407

408

409

410 411

412

413

414 415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422 423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

Our evaluation metrics are consistent with prior works on these datasets. We compute the Joint Goal Accuracy (Joint GA) on all test sets for straightforward comparison with the state-of-the-art methods. Joint GA is defined as the ratio of dialogue turns for which all slots have been filled with the correct values according to the ground truth.

4.4 Experimental Settings

We use the pre-trained BERT model ([BERT-Base, Uncased]) to encode utterances and schema descriptions. The BERT models are fine-tuned in the training process. The maximum length of an input sequence is set to 512. The hidden size of the schema graph encoder and the schema graph evolving network is set to 256. The dropout probability is 0.3. The balance coefficient λ is 0.5. Adam (Kingma and Ba, 2014) is used for optimization with an initial learning rate (LR) of 2e-5. We conduct training with a warm-up proportion of 10% and let the LR decay linearly after the warmup phase. The effects of some crucial parameters are shown in Appendix A.

5 Results and Discussion

Tables 2, 3, 4 show the performance of DSGFNet as well as the baselines on three datasets respectively. It is shown that DSGFNet achieves state-ofthe-art performance on SGD, MultiWOZ2.2. And the performance on MultiWOZ2.1 are comparable with the state-of-the-art. Most notably, DSGFNet improves the performance on SGD most significantly, which has the most complex schemata, compared to the runner-up. This demonstrates the success of the dynamic schema graph in DSGFNet. The more plentiful the relations among domains and slots are, the better performance DSGFNet can achieve. The following analysis provides a better understanding of our model's strengths.

5.1 Ablation Study

We conduct an ablation study on DSGFNet to quantify the contributions of various factors: the usage

Table 2: Joint GA of DSGFNet and baselines on SGD dataset. DSGFNet significantly improves over the best baseline (two-sided paired t-test, p < 0.05).

Models	SGD
SGD-baseline (Rastogi et al., 2020)	25.4%
FastSGT (Noroozi et al., 2020)	29.2%
Seq2Seq-DU (Feng et al., 2021)	30.1%
DSGFNet	32.1%

Table 3: Joint GA of DSGFNet and baselines on MultiWOZ2.2. DSGFNet significantly improves over the best baseline (two-sided paired t-test, p < 0.05).

Model	MultiWOZ2.2
SGD-baseline (Rastogi et al., 2020)	42.0%
TRADE (Wu et al., 2019)	45.4%
DS-DST (Zhang et al., 2020a)	51.7%
TripPy (Heck et al., 2020)	53.5%
Seq2Seq-DU (Feng et al., 2021)	54.4%
DSGFNet	55.8%

Table 4: Joint GA of DSGFNet and baselines on Multi-WOZ2.1. DSGFNet achieves comparable performance of the best baseline.

Model	MultiWOZ2.1
SGD-baseline (Rastogi et al., 2020)	43.4%
TRADE (Wu et al., 2019)	46.0%
DS-DST (Zhang et al., 2020a)	51.2%
SOM-DST (Kim et al., 2020)	53.0%
MinTL-BART (Lin et al., 2020)	53.6%
SST (Chen et al., 2020)	55.2%
TripPy (Heck et al., 2020)	55.3%
PPTOD (Su et al., 2021)	57.1%
DSGFNet	56.7%

Table 5: Ablation study of DSGFNet on SGD, Multi-WOZ2.2 and MultiWOZ2.1 datasets.

Model	Joint GA SGD	Joint GA MultiWOZ2.2	Joint GA MultiWOZ2.1
DSGFNet	32.1%	55.8%	56.7%
-w/o Slot-Domain Membership Relations	29.8%	53.4%	54.1%
-w/o Dynamic Slot Relations	28.6%	52.2%	53.2%
-w/o Relation Aggregation	31.5%	55.2%	55.9%

of slot-domain membership relations, dynamic slot relations, and multiple relation aggregation. The results indicate that the dynamic schema graph of DSGFNet is indispensable for DST. 446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

Effect of Slot-Domain Membership Relations

To check the effectiveness of the slot-domain membership relations, we remove the schema graph by replacing the prior slot-domain relation adjacency matrix with an identity matrix *I*. Results in Table 5 show that the joint goal accuracy of DS-GFNet without the slot-domain membership relations decreases markedly on SGD, MultiWOZ2.2, and MultiWOZ2.1. It indicates that the schema
graph, which contains the slot-domain membership
relations, can facilitate knowledge sharing among
domains and slots to enhance DST.

462 Effect of Dynamic Slot Relations

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

487

488

To investigate the effectiveness of the dialogueaware dynamic slot relations in the schema graph, we eliminate the evolving network of DSGFNet. Table 5 shows the results on SGD, MultiWOZ2.2, and MultiWOZ2.1 in terms of joint goal accuracy. One can observe that without the dynamic slot relations the performance deteriorates considerably. In addition, there is a more markedly performance degradation compared with the results of the slotdomain membership relations. It indicates that the dynamic slot relations are more essential for DST, which can facilitate the understanding of the dialogue context.

476 Effect of Multiple Relation Aggregation

To validate the effectiveness of the schema graph 477 relation aggregation mechanism in the dialogue 478 state decoder, we directly concatenate all sub-graph 479 representations instead of calculating a weighted 480 sum via the sub-graph attention. As shown in Ta-481 ble 5, the performance of the models without the 482 relation aggregation layer in terms of joint goal accuracy decreases markedly compared to DSGFNet. 484 485 It indicates that the attentions to different types of relations affect the dialogue understanding ability. 486

5.2 Further Analysis

Prediction of Dynamic Slot Relations

In order to test the discriminative capability of DS-489 GFNet for dynamic slot relations, we evaluate the 490 performance of the schema graph evolving network. 491 Since baselines cannot predict the dynamic slot re-492 493 lations explicitly, we compare DSGFNet with the BERT-based classification approach. Following 494 the classification task in BERT, the input sequence 495 starts with [CLS], followed by the tokens of the 496 dialogue context and slot pairs, separated by [SEP], 497 and the [CLS] representation is fed into an out-498 put layer for classification. Figure 3 shows the 499 results on SGD, MultiWOZ2.2, and MultiWOZ2.1 in terms of F1 and Accuracy. From the results, 501 we observe that DSGFNet outperforms BERT sig-502 nificantly. We conjecture that it is due to the ex-503 ploitation of schema graph with slot-domain membership relations in DSGFNet. In addition, since



Figure 3: F1 and Accuracy of DSGFNet and BERT for dynamic relation prediction on SGD, MultiWOZ2.2 and MultiWOZ2.1 datasets.

BERT without schema encoder cannot solve unseen domains, there is a significant performance degradation on SGD which contains a large number of unseen domains in the test set.

Table 6: Performance comparison of DSGFNet with different dynamic slot relations on SGD, MultiWOZ2.2 and MultiWOZ2.1 datasets.

Model	Joint GA SGD	Joint GA MultiWOZ2.2	Joint GA MultiWOZ2.1
-w All Dynamic Relations	32.1%	55.8%	56.7 %
-w Co-reference Relation	29.8%	53.9%	54.7%
-w Co-occurrence Relation	31.7%	55.3%	55.9%
-w Co-update Relation	30.1%	53.5%	54.5%
-w/o Dynamic Relations	28.6%	52.2%	53.2%

Effects of Each Type of Dynamic Slot Relation

To better illustrate the effectiveness of augmenting slot relations on the schema graph, we study how different dynamic slot relations affect the DST performance. Table 6 presents the joint goal accuracy of DSGFNet with different dynamic relations on SGD, MultiWOZ2.2, and MultiWOZ2.1. One can see that the performance of DSGFNet with each type of dynamic slot relation surpasses that without any dynamic slot relations considerably. Thus, all types of dynamic slot relations in the schema graph are helpful for dialogue understanding. Furthermore, the performance of DSGFNet with cooccurrence relation is superior to the performance with the other two dynamic slot relations. We conjecture that it is due to the fact that a large percentage of dynamic relations is the co-occurrence relation, which has an incredible effect on DST.

Dealing with Unseen Domains

We analyze the generalization ability of DSGFNet. Table 8 shows the joint goal accuracy of DSGFNet in different domains on SGD. (Note that only SGD 510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

Table 7: Case study of DSGFNet and Seq2Seq-DU on SGD. Slot values are extracted from the dialogue context with the same color. The relation of yellow high-light slot pair is predicted as co-reference. The relation of red underline slot pair is predicted as co-occurrence. Slot values in red high-light are incorrectly predicted ones.

	[<i>User</i>]: What's the weather going to be like in vancouver on March 10th?
Dialogue Utterance	[Sys]: The forecast average is 68 degrees with a 25 per cent chance of rain.
	[User]: Any good attractions in town?
	[Sys]: I have 10 good options including Bloedel Conservatory, a city park.
	[User]: Lovely! Can you book me a ride there?
	[Sys]: Do you want a luxury or pool ride? How many people?
	[User]: Just a regular ride please, book for 1.
	[Sys]: Confirming you want to book a regular cab to Bloedel Conservatory for 1 person.
	[<i>Weather</i>]: city = "vancouver"; date = "March 10th";
Ground Truth Dialogue State	[<i>Travel</i>]: location = "vancouver";
	[<i>RideSharing</i>]: destination = "Bloedel Conservatory"; number of seats = "1"; ride type = "regular";
	[<i>Weather</i>]: <u>city</u> = "vancouver"; <u>date</u> = "March 10th";
State Predictions of DSGFNet	[<i>Travel</i>]: location = "vancouver";
	[<i>RideSharing</i>]: destination = "Bloedel Conservatory"; <u>number of seats</u> = "1"; <u>ride type</u> = "regular";
	[Weather]: city = "vancouver"; date = "March 10th";
State Predictions of Seq2seq-DU	[<i>Travel</i>]: location= "town";
	[<i>RideSharing</i>]: destination = "Bloedel Conservatory"; number of seats = "1"; ride type = none;

Table 8: Accuracy of DSGFNet in each domain on SGD test set. Domains marked with '*' are those for which the schemata in the test set are not present in the training set. Domains marked with '**' have both the unseen and seen schemata. For other domains, the schemata in the test set are also seen in the training set.

Domain	Joint GA	Domain	Joint GA
RentalCars*	0.0511	Homes	0.2246
Messaging*	0.0548	Events*	0.3202
Payment*	0.0731	Hotels**	0.3313
Music*	0.1187	Movies**	0.4213
Buses*	0.1272	Services**	0.4539
Trains*	0.1639	Travel	0.4830
Flights*	0.1664	Alarm*	0.5327
Restaurants*	0.1701	RideSharing	0.5642
Media*	0.2083	Weather	0.6849

has unseen domains in the test set.) We observe 532 that the presence of schemata in the training data is 533 the major factor affecting the performance. We see that the best performance can be obtained in the do-535 mains with all seen schemata. The domains which have partially unseen schemata achieve higher ac-537 curacy, such as "Hotels", "Movies", and "Services" 538 domains. The accuracy declines in the domains 539 with only unseen schemata, such as "RentalCars" 540 and "Messaging". However, among the domains 541 with only unseen schemata, those have similar 542 schemata to training data resulting in superior per-543 formance, such as "Alarm" and "Events" domains. 544 We conclude that DSGFNet is able to perform zero-545 shot learning and share knowledge across domains. However, more sharing of information should be utilized to enhance the generalization ability. 548

5.3 Case Study

549

550

We make qualitative analysis on the results of DS-GFNet and Seq2seq-DU on SGD. We find that DSGFNet can make a more accurate inference of dialogue states by using the dynamic schema graph. For example, as shown in Table 7, "city"-"location" is predicted as co-reference relation, "city"-"date" and "number of seats"-"ride type" are predicted as co-occurrence relation, "city"-"date" is predicted as co-occurrence relation. Based on the dynamic schema graph, DSGFNet propagates information involving slot-domain membership relations and dynamic slot relations. Thus, it infers slot values more correctly. In contrast, since Seq2seq-DU ignores the dynamic slot relations, it cannot properly infer the values of "location" and "ride type", which have dynamic slot relations with other slots.

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

6 Conclusion

We have proposed a new approach to DST, referred to as DSGFNet, which effectively fuses prior slotdomain membership relations and dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations on the schema graph. To incorporate the dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations into DST explicitly, DSGFNet identifies co-reference, co-update, and co-occurrence relations. To improve the generalization ability, DS-GFNet employs a schema-agnostic graph attention network to share information. Experimental results show that DSGFNet outperforms the existing methods in DST on three benchmark datasets, including SGD, MultiWOZ2.1, and MultiWOZ2.2. For future work, we intend to further enhance our approach by utilizing more complex schemata and data augmentation techniques.

References

583

584

587

589 590

591

593

594

596

597

598

599

610

611

613

614

615

618

619

623

624

625

627

629

- Lu Chen, Boer Lv, Chi Wang, Su Zhu, Bowen Tan, and Kai Yu. 2020. Schema-guided multi-domain dialogue state tracking with graph attention neural networks. In AAAI.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In NAACL.
- Mihail Eric, Rahul Goel, Shachi Paul, Abhishek Sethi, Sanchit Agarwal, Shuyang Gao, and Dilek Hakkani-Tür. 2020. Multiwoz 2.1: Multi-domain dialogue state corrections and state tracking baselines. In LREC.
 - Yue Feng, Yang Wang, and Hang Li. 2021. A sequenceto-sequence approach to dialogue state tracking. In ACL.
- Shuyang Gao, Sanchit Agarwal, Tagyoung Chung, Di Jin, and Dilek Hakkani-Tur. 2020. From machine reading comprehension to dialogue state tracking: Bridging the gap. In ACL.
- Michael Heck, Carel van Niekerk, Nurul Lubis, Christian Geishauser, Hsien-Chin Lin, Marco Moresi, and Milica Gašić. 2020. TripPy: A triple copy strategy for value independent neural dialog state tracking. In <u>ACL</u>.
- Jiaying Hu, Yan Yang, Chencai Chen, Zhou Yu, et al. 2020. Sas: Dialogue state tracking via slot attention and slot information sharing. <u>In ACL</u>.
- Minlie Huang, Xiaoyan Zhu, and Jianfeng Gao. 2020. Challenges in building intelligent open-domain dialog systems. In TOIS.
 - Sungdong Kim, Sohee Yang, Gyuwan Kim, and Sang-Woo Lee. 2020. Efficient dialogue state tracking by selectively overwriting memory. pages 567–582.
- Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. 2014. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. In CoRR.
- Weizhe Lin, Bo-Hsian Tseng, and Bill Byrne. 2021. Knowledge-aware graph-enhanced gpt-2 for dialogue state tracking. IN EMNLP.
- Zhaojiang Lin, Andrea Madotto, Genta Indra Winata, and Pascale Fung. 2020. Mintl: Minimalist transfer learning for task-oriented dialogue systems. In EMNLP.
- Vahid Noroozi, Yang Zhang, Evelina Bakhturina, and Tomasz Kornuta. 2020. A fast and robust bert-based dialogue state tracker for schema-guided dialogue dataset. arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.12335.
- Yawen Ouyang, Moxin Chen, Xinyu Dai, Yinggong Zhao, Shujian Huang, and CHEN Jiajun. 2020. Dialogue state tracking with explicit slot connection modeling. In ACL.
- Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, 635 Dario Amodei, and Ilya Sutskever. 2019. Lan-636 guage models are unsupervised multitask learners. 637 In OpenAI blog. 638 Osman Ramadan, Paweł Budzianowski, and Milica Ga-639 sic. 2018. Large-scale multi-domain belief tracking 640 with knowledge sharing. In ACL. 641 Abhinav Rastogi, Xiaoxue Zang, Srinivas Sunkara, 642 Raghav Gupta, and Pranav Khaitan. 2020. Towards 643 scalable multi-domain conversational agents: The 644 schema-guided dialogue dataset. In AAAI. 645 Liliang Ren, Jianmo Ni, and Julian McAuley. 2019. 646 Scalable and accurate dialogue state tracking via hi-647 erarchical sequence generation. In EMNLP. 648 Bernardino Romera-Paredes and Philip HS Torr. 2015. 649 An embarrassingly simple approach to zero-shot 650 learning. In ICML. 651 Yixuan Su, Lei Shu, Elman Mansimov, Arshit Gupta, 652 Deng Cai, Yi-An Lai, and Yi Zhang. 2021. Multi-task 653 pre-training for plug-and-play task-oriented dialogue 654 system. arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.14739. 655 Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob 656 Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Lukasz 657 Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all 658 you need. In NIPS. 659 Petar Veličković, Guillem Cucurull, Arantxa Casanova, 660 Adriana Romero, Pietro Lio, and Yoshua Bengio. 661 2018. Graph attention networks. In ICLR. 662 Xiao Wang, Houye Ji, Chuan Shi, Bai Wang, Yanfang 663 Ye, Peng Cui, and Philip S Yu. 2019. Heterogeneous 664 graph attention network. In WWW. 665 Chien-Sheng Wu, Andrea Madotto, Ehsan Hosseini-Asl, 666 Caiming Xiong, Richard Socher, and Pascale Fung. 2019. Transferable multi-domain state generator for 668 task-oriented dialogue systems. In ACL. 669 Fanghua Ye, Jarana Manotumruksa, Qiang Zhang, 670 Shenghui Li, and Emine Yilmaz. 2021. Slot self-671 attentive dialogue state tracking. In WWW. 672 Xiaoxue Zang, Abhinav Rastogi, Srinivas Sunkara, 673 Raghav Gupta, Jianguo Zhang, and Jindong Chen. 674 2020. Multiwoz 2.2: A dialogue dataset with addi-675 tional annotation corrections and state tracking base-676 lines. In ACL. 677 Yan Zeng and Jian-Yun Nie. 2020. Multi-domain di-678 alogue state tracking based on state graph. arXiv 679 preprint arXiv:2010.11137. 680 Jian-Guo Zhang, Kazuma Hashimoto, Chien-Sheng Wu, 681 Yao Wan, Philip S Yu, Richard Socher, and Caiming Xiong. 2020a. Find or classify? dual strategy for 683 slot-value predictions on multi-domain dialog state 684 tracking. In SIGSEM. 685

Yichi Zhang, Zhijian Ou, Huixin Wang, and Junlan Feng. 2020b. A probabilistic end-to-end taskoriented dialog model with latent belief states towards semi-supervised learning. <u>In EMNLP</u>.

689

702

703

704

705

706

707

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

720

723

724

725

- Zheng Zhang, Ryuichi Takanobu, Qi Zhu, Minlie Huang, and Xiaoyan Zhu. 2020c. Recent advances and challenges in task-oriented dialog systems. In Science China Technological Sciences.
- Victor Zhong, Caiming Xiong, and Richard Socher. 2018. Global-locally self-attentive encoder for dialogue state tracking. <u>In ACL</u>.
- Li Zhou and Kevin Small. 2019. Multi-domain dialogue state tracking as dynamic knowledge graph enhanced question answering. In NIPS.
- Su Zhu, Jieyu Li, Lu Chen, and Kai Yu. 2020. Efficient context and schema fusion networks for multidomain dialogue state tracking. In EMNLP.

A Analysis of Parameters in DSGFNet

We further investigate the impacts of parameter settings on the performance of DSGFNet on SGD, MultiWOZ2.2, and MultiWOZ2.1. We validate the effects of four factors: the layer of propagation on the schema graph, the number of selected dialogue turns used in the schema-dialogue fusion layer, the layer of MLP in the dynamic slot relation completion layer, and the balance coefficient λ in the loss function. Figures 4, 5, 6, 7 show the results of DSGFNet with varying parameters on SGD, MultiWOZ2.2, and MultiWOZ2.1 in terms of joint goal accuracy. We observe that the optimal layer of propagation is not consistent across datasets. It seems that 3 is desired in more datasets. In addition, DSGFNet demonstrates the best performance when leveraging full dialogue history. We conjecture that it is due to that the incomplete dialogue history leads to confusing information. Moreover, 8 layers MLP for relation completion obtains the optimal performance over three datasets. Furthermore, the optimal performance is consistently achieved when the balance coefficient λ is around 0.5.



Figure 4: Performance comparison *w.r.t.* the layer of propagation on the schema graph.



Figure 5: Performance comparison *w.r.t.* the number of dialogue turns used in the schema-dialogue fusion layer.



Figure 6: Performance comparison *w.r.t.* the layer of MLP in the dynamic slot relation completion layer.



Figure 7: Performance comparison *w.r.t.* the balance coefficient in the loss function.