X-Prompt: Multi-modal Visual Prompt for Video Object Segmentation

Anonymous Author(s)

ABSTRACT

2

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

56

Multi-modal Video Object Segmentation (VOS), including RGB-Thermal, RGB-Depth, and RGB-Event, has garnered attention due to its capability to address challenging scenarios where traditional VOS methods struggle, such as extreme illumination, rapid motion, and background distraction. Existing approaches often involve designing specific additional branches and performing full-parameter fine-tuning for fusion in each task. However, this paradigm not only duplicates research efforts and hardware costs but also risks model collapse with the limited multi-modal annotated data. In this paper, we propose a universal framework named X-Prompt for all multi-modal video object segmentation tasks, designated as RGB+X. The X-Prompt framework first pre-trains a video object segmentation foundation model using RGB data, and then utilize the additional modality of the prompt to adapt it to downstream multi-modal tasks with limited data. Within the X-Prompt framework, we introduce the Multi-modal Visual Prompter (MVP), which allows prompting foundation model with the various modalities to segment objects precisely. We further propose the Multi-modal Adaptation Expert (MAEs) to adapt the foundation model with pluggable modality-specific knowledge without compromising the generalization capacity. To evaluate the effectiveness of the X-Prompt framework, we conduct extensive experiments on 3 tasks across 4 benchmarks. The proposed universal X-Prompt framework consistently outperforms the full fine-tuning paradigm and achieves state-of-the-art performance. Codes will be available.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Computing methodologies \rightarrow Video segmentation.

KEYWORDS

Multi-modal Video Object Segmentation, X-Prompt, Multi-modal Visual Prompt, Multi-modal Adaptatin Expert, RGB-X

1 INTRODUCTION

Video Object Segmentation (VOS) [4, 5, 21, 22, 54, 57, 76, 90] is a pivotal task designed to delineate target objects throughout a video sequence. This paper primarily delves into semi-supervised VOS, a foundational endeavor that aims to segment a specified object across an entire video sequence, leveraging the object mask provided in the initial frame. This task is instrumental for a myriad of applications,

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.



^{© 2024} Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-x/YY/MM

57 https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnnn



59 60

61 62 63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

(d) Ours X-Prompt Framework for Multi-modal Video Object Segmentation Tasks.

Figure 1: (a), (b), and (c) current RGB-T, RGB-D, and RGB-E video object segmentation paradigms. (d) X-Prompt, a unified framework for RGB+X multi-modal video object segmentation tasks.

such as video editing [42, 50, 67], surveillance [1, 43, 52, 89], robotics [20], and autonomous driving [33, 83, 86]. Recent studies, particularly those employing matching-based methods [7, 29, 63, 78, 81, 92] even enhanced by memory mechanisms [16, 23, 25, 51, 58–60], have made significant progress and achieved advanced results. These methods assess similarities between previous and current frames through semantic matching at the pixel level. However, despite these efforts and advancements, contemporary state-ofthe-art methodologies still struggle in challenging scenarios like extreme illumination, obscured scenes, rapid motion, occlusions, and background distraction.

Alternative modalities offer a vital solution to these limitations, by serving as a crucial complement to RGB data in challenging scenarios. For example, thermal [35, 62] and depth [46] information remains unaffected by variations in illumination and visibility conditions. Similarly, event data [18], which records changes in pixel intensity at high frequencies, does not blur during rapid motion. Consequently, Multi-modal Video Object Segmentation is garnering increased interest for its capacity to facilitate more resilient tracking through the exploitation of inter-modal complementarities, including combinations like RGB-Thermal (RGB-T) [73, 85], RGB-Depth (RGB-D) [74, 88], and RGB-Event (RGB-E) [36, 66]. Current multi-modal VOS approaches predominantly augment an existing VOS model's RGB branch with an additional modality branch and a fusion module. These enhancements are then subjected to either full training or fine-tuning on downstream tasks, as depicted in Fig. 1 (a), (b), and (c). However, on one hand, while all these modifications aim to improve VOS task performance in complex scenarios

⁵⁸

by introducing additional modal information, the branches and fu-117 sion mechanisms are tailored separately for each task, necessitating 118 different structures for each downstream modality. This paradigm 119 not only duplicates research efforts but also escalates the computa-120 tional and hardware costs associated with developing specialized 121 architectures for every task. On the other hand, full-parameters 123 training or fine-tuning these newly designed architectures with 124 limited data can easily lead to overfitting, alongside the risk of 125 catastrophic forgetting, thus lose the generalized capabilities of 126 the original pretrained model. Moreover, the collection of multimodal paired data and pixel-level annotations remains challenging 127 and costly, resulting in a scarcity of such data, with each modality 128 having approximately only a hundred or so annotated sequences. 129 Training with such limited data restricts the scale of the model, 130 making it difficult to effectively train the new modality branch and 131 the fusion module without degrading or collapsing the performance 132 and the generalizability of the pretrained model, thereby failing to 133 leverage prior knowledge. 134

135 To address these challenges, we argue that these multi-modal tasks of RGB-T, RGB-D, and RGB-E can be unified into a single ef-136 137 fort, RGB-X, where X represents any additional modality. And they 138 can share the common segmentation capability and benefit from 139 the robust generalization of a video object segmentation foundation model, which can segment target objects in the current frame 140 based on reference frames and their segmentation masks in var-141 142 ious videos. Therefore, we propose the X-Prompt framework, a universal solution for multi-modal video object segmentation. This 143 framework utilizes numerous RGB video sequences to pre-train a 144 transformer-based foundation model with general object segmen-145 tation capacity. Subsequently, additional modality information is 146 utilized to prompt and adapt this foundation model to downstream 147 148 multi-modal tasks with limited data while retaining the model's generalization ability. 149

150 Within the X-Prompt framework, we further propose two key components: the Multi-modal Visual Prompter and the Multi-modal 151 Adaptation Experts. The Multi-modal Visual Prompter (MVP) 152 encodes cross-modality information into visual prompts that used to 153 prompt the frozen foundation model. The spatial-channel attended 154 155 complementary prompt are integrated with the patch embeddings of the foundation model to guide segmentation of downstream 156 modality. Additionally, multi-scale multi-modal prompts are ob-157 tained to prompt the segmentation mask decoder to incorporate 158 159 diverse cross-modality information, enhancing the precision of object delineation in this dense prediction tasks. The design described 160 161 above enables the foundation model to leverage cross-modality 162 prompts to achieve RGB-X VOS tasks, but it does not allow the foundation model to learn new modality-specific knowledge with-163 out any tuning. However, full-parameter fine-tuning poses a risk 164 of degrading or collapsing the foundation model, especially with 165 limited data. Therefore, we introduce the Multi-modal Adapta-166 tion Experts (MAEs) for plugging modality-specific knowledge 167 without forgetting the generalized prior knowledge embedded in 168 the frozen foundation model. Within each transformer layer of the 169 frozen foundation model, we employ low-rank adaptations to serve 170 as the modality experts. These experts' outputs are combined via 171 172 a router to facilitate multi-modal collaboration. This approach en-173 sures that the prior knowledge of the foundation model is retained

Anon.

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

while integrating additional expertise required for new tasks, such as extracting new modality patterns including feature extraction and matching, as well as facilitating collaborative usage of RGB with other modalities. The proposed universal X-Prompt Framework achieves state-of-the-art performance on 3 multi-modal video object segmentation tasks including RGB-T, RGB-D, and RGB-E, surpassing existing methods significantly. In summary, our contributions are as follows:

- We propose X-Prompt, the first universal framework for multi-modal video object segmentation, including RGB-T, RGB-D, and RGB-E tasks. It utilizes the X-modality as the visual prompt to adapt a foundation model to various downstream tasks.
- We designed the Multi-modal Visual Prompter, which encodes visual prompts into both the foundation model and the mask decoder, enabling precise objects segmentation across various modalities.
- We present the Multi-modal Adaptation Expert to adapt the foundation model with pluggable modality-specific knowledge without forgetting generalized prior knowledge.
- The proposed X-Prompt framework achieves state-of-theart performance on 3 multi-modal video object segmentation tasks, including RGB-T, RGB-D, and RGB-E.

2 RELATED WORK

2.1 Video Object Segmentation

Semi-supervised Video Object Segmentation (VOS) accurately segments objects in a video sequence using the provided mask in initial frame. Model-based methods [2, 3, 48, 69] start with offline pre-training on static images to capture segmentation features, then refining them using mask from the first frame of the test video. However, the time-consuming fine-tuning step limits their suitability for real-world applications. Then, Propagation-based methods [10, 28, 31, 50, 53, 65] iteratively propagate masks with temporal correlations. However, these methods heavily rely on previous segmentation masks, making them susceptible to target drift and error accumulation. To address these drawbacks, Matching-based methods [7, 29, 63, 78, 81, 92] calculate correspondence pixel maps between the current and reference frames. Among them, FEELVOS [63] CFBI [78] and CFBI+ [81] improve it by integrating foregroundbackground features and employing local-global matching. More recently, memory-based methods [16, 23, 25, 51, 58-60] propose an external memory bank to store history features, thus addressing contextual limitations. Techniques such as XMem [8] extend memory to long-term VOS and AOT [77], DeAOT [82] enhancing performance through Transformer. Despite advancements in existing methods, challenges persist particularly in scenarios with background clutter, illumination variation, and motion blur. This paper tackles these challenges by emphasizing multi-modal VOS, utilizing diverse data sources beyond RGB inputs.

2.2 Multi-modal Tracking and Segmentation

In video object tracking, researchers have explored using multiple modalities to improve accuracy. For instance, [44] and [49] use depth maps for handling occlusions. DAL [56] introduces embedded depth-aware convolution into RGB tracking frameworks to

bolster target estimation robustness. Besides, [40] combines RGB 233 and event flows to predict Regions of Interest (ROIs) in complex 234 235 scenarios. To leverage dual modalities, MDNet [66] merge visible frames and event flows onto RGB tracking frameworks to construct 236 a series of baseline trackers. Similarly, JMMAC [84] integrates RGB 237 and thermal infrared modalities to capture reliable appearance and 238 motion cues, with event flows significantly contributing to robust 239 tracking. More recently, to tackle severe data deficiencies, some 240 241 studies have proposed data-efficient methods. For instance, Pro-242 Track [75] transfers multi-modal inputs to a single modality, while ViPT [91] designs modality-complementary prompts. As a more 243 challenging task, multi-modal VOS becomes increasingly impor-244 tant as it provides precise pixel-level masks, critical for applications 245 like surveillance in adverse weather and nighttime autonomous 246 driving. VTiNet [73] tackles Visible-Thermal VOS by integrating 247 248 cross-modal and cross-frame features in modality and temporal domains, alongside a modality-sensitive memory bank. [36] im-249 proves low-light VOS with event assistance through the adaptive 250 cross-modal fusion and event-guided memory matching modules. 251 Notely, FusedCDNet [74] proposes a weakly-supervised RGB-D 252 VOS method, utilizing bounding box level supervision in both train-253 254 ing and testing phases, mitigating challenges of expensive data 255 collection and annotation. To address the issue of model generalization degradation due to limited data, as well as the redundant 256 research effort and computational deployment costs resulting from 257 designs tailored for each specific modal task, this paper proposes a 258 universal framework for all multi-modal VOS tasks. It utilizes the 259 auxiliary modality as the prompt to adapt a foundational model. 260 261

2.3 Foundation Model Adaptation

The advancement of foundation models has prompted exploration 263 into their adaptation for downstream tasks. Recently, freezing the 264 pre-trained model and only fine-tune a few additional parameters 265 to attain strong performance has emerged as a notable approach, 266 which is particularly valuable when data of downstream task is 267 limited. Among them, prompt tuning-based methods [34] fine-tune 268 models by introducing learnable prompt tokens. For instance, VPT 269 [30] enhances transformer encoders with learnable parameters, out-270 performing full fine-tuning on 20 downstream recognition tasks. 271 AdaptFormer [6] investigates efficient fine-tuning in video action recognition by integrating lightweight modules into a ViT, surpass-273 ing the performance of existing fully fine-tuned models. ProTrack 274 [75] and ViPT [91] utilize prompt tuning in multimodal tracking to 275 address challenging scenarios. Additionally, adapter-based meth-276 ods [26] incorporate trainable adapters into pre-trained models. 277 For example, LoRA [27] injects trainable low-rank matrices into 278 transformer layers to approximate the weight updates and $(IA)^3$ 279 [41] scales activations by learned vectors to attain stronger perfor-280 mance with a relatively tiny amount of new parameters. This paper 281 not only uses multi-modal visual prompts to enable the founda-282 tion model to handle various multi-modal tasks, but also employs 283 modality adaptation experts to introduce knowledge about new 284 modalities to the foundation model. 285

3 METHOD

286

287

290

262

In this section, we begin by formulating of multi-modal video object segmentation tasks under a unified formulation termed RGB-X

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

(Sec. 3.1.1). We then introduce the video object segmentation foundational model with generalization capabilities (Sec 3.1.2). Following this, we propose the universal X-Prompt framework, which then leverages the additional modality as the prompt to adapt the foundation model to various multi-modal tasks (Sec. 3.2). Within the X-Prompt framework, we further introduce the Multi-modal Visual Prompter that enables prompting the foundation model to segment objects precisely with various modalities (Sec. 3.3) and the Multi-modal Adaptation Experts to adapt the foundational model with pluggable modality-specific knowledge without forgetting generalized prior knowledge (Sec. 3.4).

3.1 Preliminary

3.1.1 Problem Formulation.

Multi-modal video object segmentation tasks, such as RGB-Thermal, RGB-Depth, and RGB-Event, can be abstracted into a unified task, termed **RGB-X**, which involves leveraging an auxiliary modality in addition to RGB to segment target objects in each frame. To formulate, given a video with *T* frames denoted as $\{I_t, X_t\}_{t=1}^T$, along with the object masks in the first frame $M_1 \in \mathbb{O}^{H \times W}$ that identify *O* targets of interest, the goal is to predict the object masks in all frames, represented as $\{M_t \in \mathbb{O}^{H \times W}\}_{t=1}^T$.

3.1.2 Foundation Model.

The models required for multi-modal video object segmentation tasks all hinge on a core capability: computing the correlation between the current frame and the first frame with the known object mask. Therefore, we argue that *RGB-X* tasks can share the segmentation capability and the generalization of a foundational model for *RGB* video object segmentation. This model should be capable of segmenting target objects in the current frames by referencing frames and their segmentation masks when dealing with diverse videos.

Specifically, we built our foundation model based on the Vision Transformer [15, 19] within an All-in-One Transformer architecture following [37]. To begin, we generate the non-overlapping patch embeddings with Patch Embedding layer for the reference and current frame $\mathbf{P}(I_r)$ and $\mathbf{P}(I_t)$, and concatenate them along the spatial dimension as the initial input of transformer:

$$z^{0} = \operatorname{Cat}[\mathbf{P}(I_{t}), \mathbf{P}(I_{r})] \in \mathbb{R}^{(N_{t}+N_{r}) \times D},$$
(1)

where **P** is the Patch Embedding layer. Supposing *p* is the stride of the patch embedding, thus $N = HW/p^2$. Then, this input along with the mask of reference frame are fed into Foundation Model:

$$z_t^L = \mathbf{F}\left(z_t^0, z_r^0, M_r\right) \tag{2}$$

where **F** is a Visual Transformer with *L* layers And in each layer $\mathbf{F}^{(l)}$, $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$, the forward process of each layer $z^{l} = \mathbf{F}^{(l)}(z^{l-1}, m_r)$ can be written as:

$$z'^{l-1} = \operatorname{LN}\left(\operatorname{MSA}\left(z^{l-1}, m_r\right)\right) + z^{l-1},$$

$$z^{l} = \operatorname{LN}\left(\operatorname{FFN}\left(z'^{l-1}\right)\right) + z'^{l-1},$$
(3)

where LN denotes the Layer Norm and FFN represents the Feed-Forward Network. MSA can use the naive multi-head self attention



Figure 2: The overall architecture of universal X-Prompt Framework for RGB-X multi-modal video object segmentation tasks. Following the pre-training of an RGB VOS foundation model (Sec. 3.1) with robust segmentation capabilities and generalization, X-Prompt (Sec. 3.2) utilizes the X-modality to prompt and adapt the foundation model for various downstream multi-modal tasks, employing our proposed Multi-modal Visual Prompter (Sec. 3.3) and Multi-modal Adaptation Experts (Sec. 3.4).

or the specifically designed uni-hybrid attention in [37]. For integration with mask information, the query, key, and value are:

$$\{Q_r^l, K_r^l, V_r^l\} = \{W_q^l z_r^l, W_k^l z_r^l, W_v^l z_r^l + m_r\},$$
(4)

where W_q^l, W_k^l , and W_v^l are projection weights for query, key, and value transformations. $m_r \in \mathbb{R}^{N_r \times D}$ is the mask-embedding generated through a mask embedding layer through a single convolutional layer. Finally, the output z^L of the foundation model, is input to the lightweight mask decoder **D** and decoded into final multi-object masks $M_t = \mathbf{D}(z^L)$. We have included only one reference frame here for brevity. Actually, multiple reference frames are dynamically updated and stored in memory. We refer readers to [37] for more design details about our video object segmentation foundation model.

We train the foundation model with both synthetic video sequences generated from static RGB image datasets [11, 17, 24, 39, 61] and various RGB VOS datasets [14, 55, 70]. This pretraining on largescale datasets equips our video object segmentation foundation model with robust temporal matching capabilities and facilitates effective transferability to downstream multi-modal RGB+X VOS tasks.

3.2 X-Prompt Framework

Multi-modal video object segmentation tasks involve an additional auxiliary X-modality flow that is temporally synchronized and spatially aligned with the RGB flow. We propose the X-Prompt framework, which first trains a robust RGB video object segmentation foundation model with strong segmentation capabilities and generalization. Subsequently, we utilize the auxiliary modality flow as the prompt to adapt the model to downstream multi-modal tasks with limited data. As illustrated in Fig. 2, in the X-Prompt framework, the RGB images *I* and the X-modality maps *X* are feed into their respective patch embedding layers P_i and P_x to obtain patch tokens:

$$z_{\text{rgb}}^{16\times} = \mathbf{P}_{i}\left(I\right), z_{x}^{16\times} = \mathbf{P}_{x}\left(X\right), \in \mathbb{R}^{\left(N_{t}+N_{r}\right)\times D},$$
(5)

where N_t and N_r are the number of patch tokens of the current frame and the reference frames. Then the X-modality tokens are utilized to supplement the image tokens, resulting in complementary combined multi-modal prompts embedding through the Multimodal Visual Prompter (detailed in Sec. 3.3):

$$z^{0} = \Phi\left(z_{\text{rgb}}^{16\times}, z_{x}^{16\times}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{(N_{t}+N_{r})\times D},\tag{6}$$

where z^0 is the spatial-channel attended multi-modal prompts and Φ indicates the proposed Multi-modal Visual Prompter. This visual

Anon.



Figure 3: The design of the Multi-modal Visual Prompter (MVP) for encoding the spatial-channel attended complementary prompt embedding for the foundation model and the multi-scale multi-modal prompt embedding for the mask decoder.

prompt to the foundation model operates with a stride of 16. Additionally, for the pixel-level dense prediction task, we also design the multi-scale multi-modal prompts to mask decoder to accurately segment object masks. Subsequently, the multi-modal prompt z^0 is fed to the foundation model, enabling multi-modal video object segmentation without requiring any modifications to the RGB foundation model:

$$z_t^L = \mathbf{F}\left(z^0, M_r\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_t \times D},\tag{7}$$

where z_t^L then can be decoded to the object segmentation mask M_t with the mask decoder D.

Moreover, to adapt the foundation model with modality-specific knowledge in a pluggable manner without compromising its generalization capacity, we introduce Multi-modal Adaptation Experts (detailed in Sec. 3.4) to the frozen foundation model:

$$\hat{z}^{l} = \Psi\left(\mathbf{F}^{(l)}\left(z^{l-1}, m_{r}\right)\right),\tag{8}$$

where \hat{z}^{l} is the output of the *l*-th block adapted with modality experts and Ψ represents the proposed adaptation experts in Sec. 3.3. It's worth noting that within the X-Prompt framework, only the newly introduced prompter, modality experts, and the patch embedding layer for the X-modality are trainable. All other network parameters, including the transformer encoder layers in the foundation model, the mask decoder, and the patch embedding layer for the RGB image, remain frozen from pretraining.

Multi-modal Visual Prompter 3.3

To leverage the powerful segmentation capabilities and generalization of foundation models for multi-modal video object tasks, we propose the Multi-modal Visual Prompter (MVP) to encode RGB-X information into visual prompts. To accommodate dense prediction tasks, we employ convolutional patch embedding layers with progressive downsampling to embed patch tokens. This progress yields multi-scale RGB image patch tokens $z_{rgb}^{4\times}$, $z_{rgb}^{8\times}$, and $z_{rgb}^{16\times}$, as well as X-modality patch tokens $z_x^{4\times}$, $z_x^{8\times}$, and $z_x^{16\times}$, We use $z_{rgb}^{16\times 16}$ and $z_{r}^{16\times}$ to encode primary prompts that enable the foundation model to integrate information from both modalities for object tracking and segmentation. The other patch tokens are efficiently encoded

into multi-scale multi-modal prompts to guide the mask decoder for more precise object segmentation masks.

For the visual prompt for the foundation model, given $z_{rgb}^{16\times}$ and $z_x^{16\times}$, we first concatenate them into a single embedding along the specified dimension, followed by a Linear layer that reduces the dimensionality from 2D to D to obtain $z_{fuse}^{16\times}$. Subsequently, we obtain spatial attention $A_{s} \in \mathbb{R}^{\frac{H}{16} \times \frac{W}{16} \times 1}$ and channel attention $A_{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 1 \times D}$ separately, then merge them into spatial-channel attention through broadcast multiplication:

$$A_{\rm sc} = A_{\rm s} \times A_{\rm c} \in \mathbb{R}^{\frac{H}{16} \times \frac{W}{16} \times D},\tag{9}$$

$$A_{\rm s} = \sigma \left(\text{Conv} \left(\text{AvgPool} \left(z_{\rm fuse}^{16\times} \right) \right) + \text{Conv} \left(\text{MaxPool} \left(z_{\rm fuse}^{16\times} \right) \right) \right),$$
(10)

$$A_{\rm c} = \sigma \left(\text{MLP} \left(\text{AvgPool} \left(z_{\rm fuse}^{16\times} \right) \right) + \text{MLP} \left(\text{MaxPool} \left(z_{\rm fuse}^{16\times} \right) \right) \right), \quad (11)$$

where σ denotes the sigmoid function. Conv and MLP represents the convolution layer and the multi-layer perceptron, respectively. Finally, this spatial-channel attention weight is applied to the image path token embedding to produce a multi-modal prompt that is spatial-channel attended, enhancing and complementing the origi-

spatial-trianer attended, charactering and complementing the original tokens: $z^0 = A_{sc} \times z_{fuse}^{16\times}$. For the visual prompt for the mask decoder, we employ a simple, efficient, yet effective Linear Adapter to encode $z_{rgb}^{4\times}$, $z_{rgb}^{4\times}$, and $z_{rgb}^{8\times}$, $z_x^{8\times}$ into multi-scale multi-modal prompts { $z^{4\times}$, $z^{8\times}$ } for the mask decoder through residual connection. To be more efficient, we find that the linear layer preceding the upsampling operation in each block of the mask decoder can play the role of the adapter, thus eliminating the need for additional parameters for this step.

3.4 Multi-modal Adaptation Experts

Although the proposed MVP effectively encodes multi-modal prompt embeddings for the frozen foundation model, enabling it to handle various multi-modal tasks without degrading the foundation model's performance, the foundation model itself does not learn any new knowledge about X-modality in this process. As a result, the model's performance on each downstream task is sub-optimal. To infuse new knowledge of this modality into the foundation model

using limited data, while avoiding the risks of degrading or collapsing the foundation model that full-parameter fine-tuning might entail, we introduce the Multi-modal Adaptation Experts (MAEs). This approach offers a pluggable knowledge adaptation mechanism that works with the frozen foundation model.

Specifically, within each transformer layer of the frozen foundation model, we employ *K* low-rank adaptations to act as modality adaptation experts, assisting linear layers of both MSA and FFN in parallel. Here we denote the input tokens to the linear layer of MSA or FFN within the transformer layer, which also are inputs to the experts, as $h \in \mathbb{R}^{(N_t+N_r) \times D}$, to describe how these modality adaptation experts function:

$$h' = W_0 \cdot h + \operatorname{Route}_{i=1}^K (\Delta h_i)$$

= $W_0 \cdot h + \operatorname{Route}_{i=1}^K (\Delta W_i \cdot h)$ (12)

where $\Delta h_i \in \mathbb{R}^{(N_t+N_r)\times D}$ is the adaptation of each expert. $W_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{D\times D}$ denotes the original parameters of the foundation model. $\Delta W_i \in \mathbb{R}^{D\times D}$ represents the learnable parameters for modality adaptation, implemented efficiently by $\Delta W_i = B_i A_i$ according to the LoRA [27] technique for LLMs. These experts' outputs are combined via a router to facilitate multi-modal collaboration:

$$\operatorname{Route}_{i=1}^{K} (\Delta h_i) = \operatorname{Softmax} \left(w_i^R \cdot h \right) \sum_{i=1}^{K} \Delta h_i$$
(13)

where $w_i^R \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times K}$ is the learnable parameter of the Router and is implemented through a linear layer.

This pluggable knowledge adaptation mechanism ensures that general capabilities of the foundation model are retained while integrating additional expertise required for new tasks, such as extracting new modality patterns including feature extraction and matching, as well as facilitating collaborative usage of RGB with X-modality.

4 EXPERIMENT

4.1 Implementation Details

Networks. In our foundation model, following the OneVOS [37], we utilize an All-in-One Transformer architecture. The entire network operates within a ConvMAE [19] structure, where patch embedding layers produce patch tokens at 1/4, 1/8, and 1/16 resolutions through progressively downsampled convolutional layers, effectively pre-serving multi-scale information. The transformer comprises ten transformer encoder layers that concurrently perform feature ex-traction and object matching, with each dimension set to 768. The mask decoder is structured as an FPN [38], progressively increasing feature resolution while decreasing the channel dimension. The prompter's convolutional layers utilize a 7×7 kernel size, and the MLP layer includes one hidden layer with a dimension that is one-sixteenth of the input dimension. The modality adaptation experts are configured with a low-rank of 8, and both the low-rank adaptation and routing are implemented with linear layers. Accord-ing to our experiments, we typically employ two experts for each multi-modal task.

Training. The Foundation model is initialized with ImageNet1k [13]
 pretrained weights, and is trained on synthetic video sequences
 generated from still image datasets [11, 17, 24, 39, 61] for 200,000

Anon.

iterations with a batch size of 4 and a learning rate of 2e-4. Subsequently, it undergoes main training on collected standard VOS datasets including DAVIS [55], YouTube [70], and MOSE [14] for another 200,000 iterations with a learning rate of 1e-4 on four 3090 GPUs. After pre-training, the Foundation model is adapted to multimodal downstream tasks with all pre-trained parameters frozen, while only the newly introduced prompter, adaptation experts, and the patch embedding layer for the X-modality are trainable. Depending on the number of annotated videos available for each task, the training durations vary from 20,000 to 60,000 iterations. Throughout all stages of training, a consistent 0.5:0.5 combination of bootstrapped cross-entropy loss and soft Jaccard loss is used.

4.2 Main Results

X-Prompt accomplishes the consolidation of multiple downstream multi-modal video object segmentation tasks. In this study, we select 4 benchmarks [66, 73, 85, 88] of 3 challenging tasks to assess the effectiveness and generalization of the proposed framework. We conduct modality prompt and adaptation on these downstream tasks without specific modulation. Evaluation metrics include \mathcal{J} , \mathcal{F} , and $\mathcal{J}\&\mathcal{F}$ [55], where \mathcal{J} represents the Intersection over Union score between the prediction and the ground truth mask, \mathcal{F} denotes the boundary similarity measure between the prediction boundary and the ground truth, and $\mathcal{J}\&\mathcal{F}$ represents the average score of both \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{F} .

RGB-Thermal Task. To evaluate the performance of the proposed framework on the RGB-Thermal task, we selected the VisT300 [73] and VT-UAV [85] benchmarks. VisT300, a general dataset for RGB-T VOS, includes a variety of scenes, with 250 training sequences and 50 testing sequences. The VT-UAV dataset, designed for the challenging Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) scenarios, with 50 sequences for training and another 50 for testing. We adapt the training data from these two datasets for the thermal modality task. As Tab. 1 indicates, our proposed X-Prompt outperforms all previous SOTA methods, achieving the highest scores of 84.2% and 87.3% \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{F} on these VisT300 and VT-UAV respectively, especially on the challenging VT-UAV dataset, where it surpasses others by 10.6%.

RGB-Depth Task. For the RGB-D task, we use the ARKitTrack to evaluate the performance. ARKitTrack [88] utilizes LiDAR to collect and annotate 300 RGB-D sequences in both static and dynamic scenes, comprising 245 training videos and 55 testing videos. As shown in Tab. 2, after pre-training the foundation model with RGB data and adapting it for the Depth modality using ARKitTrack, our X-Prompt achieves an impressive $\mathcal{J}\&\mathcal{F}$ score of 82.1%, surpassing previous models whether trained exclusively on RGB-D datasets or pre-trained on RGB data.

RGB-Event Task. For the RGB-E task, we use VisEvent [66] dataset, the largest visible-event benchmark dataset collected from realworld scenarios for evaluation. However, the VisEvent dataset only provides object annotations at the box level. Therefore, we employed the powerful HQ-SAM [32] method to generate mask annotations based on these box annotations. Through HQ-SAM predictions and our filtering, we obtained a VisEvent-VOS subset suitable for evaluating RGB-E video object segmentation. Since there were previously no models capable of RGB-E video object segmentation,

					VisT300 I	Benchma	rk				
Method	STM	STCN	STCN-T	HMMN	TBD	CFBI+	AOT	XMem	XMem-T	VTiNet	X-Prompt
	[51]	[9]	[73]	[60]	[12]	[80]	[79]	[8]	[73]	[73]	(Ours)
$\mathcal{J}\&\mathcal{F}$	60.4	71.4	72.3	68.3	70.5	74.1	76.8	75.7	77.9	81.9	84.2
${\mathcal J}$	57.9	74.4	-	65.9	68.3	71.8	74.0	73.3	-	79.2	81.7
${\mathcal F}$	62.8	73.8	-	70.6	72.6	76.4	79.6	78.0	-	84.5	86.7
					VT-UAV	Benchma	rk				
Method	RANet	TVOS	SiamMask	D3S	AlphaRefine	STCN	AOT-B	AOT-L-Swin	XMem	VTiNet	X-Prompt
	[68]	[87]	[64]	[47]	[72]	[9]	[79]	[79]	[8]	[73]	(Ours))
$\mathcal{J}\&\mathcal{F}$	38.8	44.0	57.0	57.0	65.9	65.5	76.6	82.0	69.1	76.7	87.3
${\mathcal J}$	32.2	36.9	52.9	53.4	59.9	61.0	72.1	77.5	65.1	72.9	82.8
${\mathcal F}$	45.4	51.0	61.0	60.7	71.9	69.9	81.1	86.5	73.1	80.8	91.8

Table 1: RGB-T performance on VisT300 test set and VT-UAV test set.



		Onl	y Train	with RG	B-D Data				Wi	th RGB D	ata Pre-Train	
Method	QDMN	RPCM	AOT	STCN	STCN-D	ARKitVOS	_	STCN	XMem	AOT-B	AOT-L-Swin	X-Prompt
	[45]	[71]	[79]	[9]	[88]	[88]		[9]	[8]	[79]	[79]	(Ours)
$\mathcal{J}\&\mathcal{F}$	30.6	50.9	58.2	52.2	53.7	66.2		63.6	71.6	72.6	77.8	82.1
${\mathcal J}$	27.6	49.2	55.5	48.9	49.8	62.5		60.2	68.5	70.0	75.0	79.4
\mathcal{F}	33.7	52.7	62.7	56.0	57.5	69.8		66.9	74.6	75.3	80.7	84.9



Figure 4: Qualitative results of RGB-X. X-Prompt effectively utilizes X-modality to address challenging scenarios.

Table 3: RGB-E performance on VisEvent-VOS test set.

	$\mathcal{J}\&\mathcal{F}$	$\mathcal J$	${\mathcal F}$
STCN [9]	61.9	57.5	66.3
AOT-B [79]	56.3	50.5	62.0
AOT-L-Swin [79]	58.6	52.2	64.9
AOT-L-Swin-E	59.7	54.0	65.4
X-Prompt	67.1	61.7	72.5

we compared our approach with current state-of-the-art VOS models and a fine-tuned AOT-L-Swin model with concatenated RGB and event inputs (row-4). Our method significantly outperformed them, as demonstrated in Table 3.

Ablation Study 4.3

X-Prompt Framework. To verify the effectiveness of the X-Prompt framework, including foundation model, multi-modal visual prompter, and multi-modal adaptation experts, Tab. 4 presents a series of tests:

the foundation model, using only RGB information, achieves basic object segmentation (row-1); with the addition of the Multi-modal Visual Prompter, we effectively encode X-modality into the prompt, thereby enhancing the intrinsic capabilities of the foundation model (row-2); the best results are achieved when modality experts are involved to adapt the foundation model for specific modality downstream tasks (row-4). We also independently assessed the contribution of the multi-modal adaptation experts (row-3) by simply concatenating RGB and X-modality data, instead of using the proposed prompter.

Multi-modal Visual Prompter. We employed different visual prompts for the foundation model to analyze the roles of various modalities and demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed multimodal visual prompter. As shown in Tab. 5, RGB contains primary information, and achieving accurate segmentation solely with auxiliary X-modalities is difficult. While thermal modality, in particular, inherently contains relatively more information for object segmentation. The proposed MVP effectively encodes RGB images and

Anon.

			V	isT300			VT	-UAV			ARK	KitTracl	c		Vis	Event	
			$\mathcal{J}\&\mathcal{F}$	${\mathcal J}$	${\mathcal F}$	\mathcal{J}	&F	${\mathcal J}$	${\mathcal F}$	5	J&F	${\mathcal J}$	${\mathcal F}$	\mathcal{J}	\mathcal{KF}	$\mathcal J$	I
Foundat	tion Mode	el	76.5	73.7	79.2	8	2.4	77.9	86.9		78.4	75.8	81.1	e	50.7	55.3	66
with	h MVP		80.9	78.3	83.4	8	3.7	79.5	87.9		79.5	76.7	82.4	e	52.5	57.1	67
with	n MAEs		81.6	79.0	84.3	8	6.8	82.2	91.5		81.3	78.3	84.3	6	51.2	55.1	67
with both	ı (X-Prom	ipt)	84.2	81.4	87.0	8	7.5	83.0	91.9		82.1	79.4	84.9	6	57.1	61.7	72
			Tabl	e 5: Abl	ation	study	on th	e mult	ti-moo	dal vi	isual p	rompt	er				_
			VisT3	00		V	T-UAV	V		А	RKitTra	ack		V	VisEver	ıt	
Prompt $\overline{\mathcal{J}}$		-J8	$k\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{J}$	\mathcal{F}		J&F	\mathcal{J}	${\mathcal F}$		J&F	\mathcal{J}	${\mathcal F}$		J&F	\mathcal{J}	${\mathcal F}$	
onl	y RGB	76	.5 73.	7 79.2	2	82.4	77.9	86.9		78.4	75.8	81.1		60.7	55.3	66.0	_
or	ily X	61	.6 60.	7 62.4	ł	38.9	33.2	44.6		32.7	31.7	33.8		22.9	19.4	26.3	
Cat(I	RGB, X)	81	.6 79.	0 84.3	6	86.8	82.2	91.5		81.3	78.3	84.3		61.2	55.1	67.3	
Ν	AVP	84	.2 81.	4 87.0)	87.5	83.0	91.9)	82.1	79.4	84.9)	67.1	61.7	72.5	
Auaptation	r ar ann	eters	$\int \alpha$	' J)		$\int \alpha f$	J)		Jaj	J)		Jæj	J	
Frozen Full FT Adapter	0M, 106.5M, 0.2M,	0% , 100% 0.2% 0.7%	80.9 76.1 83.5	78.3 73.0 80.9	3 83. 5 78. 9 86.	4 7 1	83.7 72.2 86.2	79.5 67.4 82.0	5 87. F 77. 9 90.	.9 .0 .5	79.5 62.2 80.1	76.7 59.3 77.3	7 82. 65. 8 83.	4 1 0	62.5 40.0 64.3	57.1 35.9 58.8	
Frozen Full FT Adapter LoRA MAEs	0M, 106.5M, 0.2M, 0.8M, 2.3M,	0% , 100% 0.2% 0.7% 2.1%	80.9 76.1 83.5 83.0 84.2	78.3 73.6 80.9 80.4 80.4 80.4 80.4 80.4 80.4 81.4	3 83. 5 78. 9 86. 4 85. 4 87.	4 7 1 6 0	83.7 72.2 86.2 85.6 87.5	79.5 67.4 82.0 81.3 83.0	5 87. 4 77. 9 90. 8 89. 9 91.	.9 .0 .5 .9 .9	79.5 62.2 80.1 80.4 82.1	76.7 59.3 77.3 77.7 79. 4	82. 65. 883. 883. 83. 83. 84.	4 1 0 2 9	62.5 40.0 64.3 62.7 67.1	57.1 35.9 58.8 57.4 61.7	7
Frozen Full FT Adapter LoRA MAEs	0M, 106.5M, 0.2M, 0.8M, 2.3M,	0% , 100% 0.2% 0.7% 2.1%	80.9 76.1 83.5 83.0 84.2 Tabl	 78.3 73.6 80.9 80.4 81.4 e 7: Ab 	3 83. 5 78. 9 86. 4 85. 4 87. 1 87.	4 7 1 6 0 study	83.7 72.2 86.2 85.6 87.5	79.5 67.4 82.0 81.3 83.0 ne num	5 87. 77. 90. 8 89. 9 91.	9 0 5 9 9 9 6 mo	79.5 62.2 80.1 80.4 82.1 dality	76.7 59.3 77.3 77.7 79. 4 expert	82. 65. 883. 83. 83. 83. 83. 84. 84.	4 1 0 2 9	62.5 40.0 64.3 62.7 67.1	57.1 35.9 58.8 57.4 61.7	- - 7
Frozen Full FT Adapter LoRA MAEs Experts	0M, 106.5M, 0.2M, 0.8M, 2.3M,	0% , 100% 0.2% 0.7% 2.1% ble	80.9 76.1 83.5 83.0 84.2 Tabl	 78.1 73.6 80.9 80.4 80.4 81.4 e 7: Ab VisT300 	3 83. 5 78. 9 86. 4 85. 4 87. lation	4 7 6 0 study	83.7 72.2 86.2 85.6 87.5 on th	79.5 67.4 82.0 81.3 83.0 ne num	5 87. 4 77. 9 90. 3 89. 9 91. hber o	9 0 5 9 9 9 f mo	79.5 62.2 80.1 80.4 82.1 dality of	76.7 59.3 77.3 77.7 79. 4 expert	7 82. 3 65. 3 83. 7 83. ↓ 84. s. k	4 1 0 2 9	62.5 40.0 64.3 62.7 67.1 Vi	57.1 35.9 58.8 57.4 61.7 sEvent	7
Frozen Full FT Adapter LoRA MAEs Experts Number	0M, 106.5M, 0.2M, 0.8M, 2.3M, Learna Parame	0% , 100% 0.2% 0.7% 2.1% ble ters	80.9 76.1 83.5 83.0 84.2 Tabl	 78.3 73.6 80.9 80.4 81.4 e 7: Ab /isT300 J 	$ \frac{3}{6} 83. \\ \frac{5}{6} 78. \\ \frac{9}{8} 86. \\ \frac{4}{8} 85. \\ \frac{4}{8} 87. \\ \frac{1}{8} 87. \\ \frac{1}{7} 8$	4 7 1 6 0 study 	83.7 72.2 86.2 85.6 87.5 on th V	79.5 67.4 82.0 81.3 83.0 не пит Г-UAV <i>J</i>	5 87. 4 77. 9 90. 5 89. 9 91. 1ber of	9 0 5 9 9 9 f mo	79.5 62.2 80.1 80.4 82.1 dality of ARI <i>J&F</i>	76.7 59.3 77.3 77.7 79. 4 expert KitTrac	7 82. 6 65. 7 83. 7 83. 1 84. s. k k F	4 1 0 2 9 	62.5 40.0 64.3 62.7 67.1 Vi √&ℱ	57.1 35.9 58.8 57.4 61.7 sEvent <i>J</i>	7
Frozen Full FT Adapter LoRA MAEs Experts Number 0	0M, 106.5M, 0.2M, 0.8M, 2.3M, Learna Parame	0% , 100% 0.2% 0.7% 2.1% ble ters	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9 78.3 1 73.4 5 80.9 8 8 2 8 4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	$ \begin{array}{c} 3 & 83. \\ 5 & 78. \\ 9 & 86. \\ 4 & 85. \\ 4 & 87. \\ 1ation \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline 83.4 \end{array} $	4 7 6 0 study - <u>J</u> 8	83.7 72.2 86.2 85.6 87.5 on th V &F 33.7	79.5 67.4 82.0 81.3 83.0 r-UAV <i>J</i> 79.5	$\begin{array}{c} 5 & 87. \\ 4 & 77. \\ 0 & 90. \\ 3 & 89. \\ 0 & 91. \\ \hline \\ \mathbf{bber o} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \mathcal{F} \\ 87.9 \end{array}$	9 0 5 9 9 9	79.5 62.2 80.1 80.4 82.1 dality d ARI J&F 79.5	$ \begin{array}{r} 76.7 \\ 59.3 \\ 77.3 \\ 77.7 \\ 79.4 \\ expert \\ KitTrac \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline 76.7 \\ \end{array} $		4 1 0 2 9 - <u>-</u>	62.5 40.0 64.3 62.7 67.1 Vi <i>T&F</i> 62.5	57.1 35.9 58.8 57.4 61.7 sEvent $\overline{\mathcal{J}}$ 57.1	6
Frozen Full FT Adapter LoRA MAEs Experts Number 0 1	0M, 106.5M, 0.2M, 0.8M, 2.3M, Learna Parame 0M, 0 1.5M, 1	0% , 100% 0.2% 0.7% 2.1% ble ters	80.9 76.1 83.5 83.0 84.2 Tabl J&F 80.9 83.7	0 78.3 1 73.4 5 80.9 80.4 80.4 2 81.4 re 7: Ab //isT300 J 78.3 81.0	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4 7 1 6 0 study - <u>J</u> 8 8	83.7 72.2 86.2 85.6 87.5 on th V &F 33.7 66.8	79.5 67.4 82.0 81.3 83.0 F-UAV \mathcal{J} 79.5 82.3	$\begin{array}{c} 5 & 87. \\ 4 & 77. \\ 9 & 90. \\ 3 & 89. \\ 9 & 91. \\ 10 & 91. \\ \mathbf{F} \\ \hline \\ 87.9 \\ 91.3 \end{array}$	9 0 5 9 9 9	79.5 62.2 80.1 80.4 82.1 dality of ARI <i>J&F</i> 79.5 80.7	$\frac{76.7}{59.3}$ 77.3 77.7 79.4 expert <u>KitTrac</u> <u>J</u> 76.7 77.8		4 1 0 2 9 - <u>·</u>	62.5 40.0 64.3 62.7 67.1 Vi <i>T&F</i> 62.5 65.4	57.1 35.9 58.8 57.4 61.7 sEvent \mathcal{J} 57.1 59.7	6
Frozen Full FT Adapter LoRA MAEs Experts Number 0 1 2	0M, 106.5M, 0.2M, 0.8M, 2.3M, Learna Parame 0M, 0 1.5M, 1 2.3M, 2	0% , 100% 0.2% 0.7% 2.1% ble ters	80.9 76.1 83.5 83.0 84.2 Tabl J&F 80.9 83.7 84.2	0 78.3 1 73.4 5 80.9 80.4 80.4 2 81.4 Friday J <td< td=""><td>3 83. 5 78. 9 86. 4 85. 4 87. 1ation <i>F</i> 83.4 86.5 87.0</td><td>4 7 1 6 0 study 8 8 8 8</td><td>83.7 72.2 86.2 85.6 87.5 on th V &F 33.7 66.8 7.5</td><td>79.5 67.4 82.0 81.3 83.0 F-UAV <i>J</i> 79.5 82.3 83.0</td><td>5 87. 4 77. 9 90. 3 89. 9 91. 1 ber 0 F 87.9 91.3 91.9</td><td>9 0 5 9 9 9 1 mo</td><td>79.5 62.2 80.1 80.4 82.1 dality of ARI <i>J&F</i> 79.5 80.7 81.3</td><td>76.7 59.3 77.3 77.7 79.4 expert KitTrac \mathcal{J} 76.7 77.8 78.5</td><td></td><td>4 1 2 9 </td><td>62.5 40.0 64.3 62.7 67.1 Vi <i>T&F</i> 62.5 65.4 67.1</td><td>57.1 35.9 58.8 57.4 61.7 sEvent \mathcal{J} 57.1 59.7 61.7</td><td>677</td></td<>	3 83. 5 78. 9 86. 4 85. 4 87. 1ation <i>F</i> 83.4 86.5 87.0	4 7 1 6 0 study 8 8 8 8	83.7 72.2 86.2 85.6 87.5 on th V &F 33.7 66.8 7.5	79.5 67.4 82.0 81.3 83.0 F-UAV <i>J</i> 79.5 82.3 83.0	5 87. 4 77. 9 90. 3 89. 9 91. 1 ber 0 F 87.9 91.3 91.9	9 0 5 9 9 9 1 mo	79.5 62.2 80.1 80.4 82.1 dality of ARI <i>J&F</i> 79.5 80.7 81.3	76.7 59.3 77.3 77.7 79.4 expert KitTrac \mathcal{J} 76.7 77.8 78.5		4 1 2 9 	62.5 40.0 64.3 62.7 67.1 Vi <i>T&F</i> 62.5 65.4 67.1	57.1 35.9 58.8 57.4 61.7 sEvent \mathcal{J} 57.1 59.7 61.7	677
Frozen Full FT Adapter LoRA MAEs Experts Number 0 1 2 3	0M, 106.5M, 0.2M, 0.8M, 2.3M, Learna Parame 0M, 0 1.5M, 1 2.3M, 2 3.2M, 2	0% , 100% 0.2% 0.7% 2.1% ble ters % .4% .1% .9%	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	78.3 73.4 73.4 80.4 80.4 80.4 81.4 79.0	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4 7 1 6 0 study 	83.7 72.2 86.2 85.6 87.5 on th $\& \mathcal{F}$ 33.7 66.8 7.5 66.8	79.5 67.4 82.0 81.3 83.0 F-UAV J 79.5 82.3 83.0 82.2	5 87. 4 77. 9 90. 3 89. 9 91. abber of \$	9 0 5 9 .9 f mo	79.5 62.2 80.1 80.4 82.1 dality of ARI <i>J&F</i> 79.5 80.7 81.3 82.1	76.7 59.3 77.3 77.7 79.4 expert KitTrac <i>J</i> 76.7 77.8 78.5 79.4	x 82. 3 65. 3 83. y 83. 4 84. 84. 84. 8 <i>F</i> 82.4 83.5 84.1 84.9	4 1 0 2 9	62.5 40.0 64.3 62.7 67.1 <i>V</i> i <i>T&F</i> 62.5 65.4 67.1 65.0	57.135.958.857.461.757.159.761.759.7	6 7 7 7
Frozen Full FT Adapter LoRA MAEs Experts Number 0 1 2 3 4	0M, 106.5M, 0.2M, 0.8M, 2.3M, 2.3M, Learna Parame 0M, 0 1.5M, 1 2.3M, 2 3.2M, 2 4.1M, 3	0% , 100% 0.2% 0.7% 2.1% ble ters % .4% .1% .9% .7%	80.9 76.1 83.5 83.0 84.2 Tabl <i>J&F</i> 80.9 83.7 84.2 81.6 82.6	78.3 73.4 73.4 80.4 80.4 2 81.4 79.0 80.1	3 83. 5 78. 9 86. 4 85. 4 87. 1ation <i>F</i> 83.4 86.5 87.0 84.3 85.1	4 7 1 6 0 study -	$83.7 72.2 86.2 85.6 87.5 on th \sqrt{2}\& \mathcal{F}3.736.87.536.856.856.3$	79.5 67.4 82.0 81.3 83.0 F-UAV \overline{J} 79.5 82.3 83.0 82.2 82.1	5 87. 4 77. 5 90. 5 89. 9 91. 10 91. 10 91. 7 87.9 91.3 91.5 90.6	9 0 5 9 9 9	79.5 62.2 80.1 80.4 82.1 dality of ARI <i>J&F</i> 79.5 80.7 81.3 82.1 80.1	76.7 59.3 77.3 77.7 79.4 expert KitTrac \mathcal{J} 76.7 77.8 78.5 79.4 77.4		4 1 2 9 9	62.5 40.0 64.3 62.7 67.1 Vi <i>T&F</i> 62.5 65.4 67.1 65.0 64.6	57.1 35.9 58.8 57.4 61.7 57.1 59.7 61.7 59.7 59.2	6 7 7 7

the foundation model to achieve accurate segmentation.

Multi-modal Adaptation Experts. First, we implemented various approaches for adapting the foundation model to downstream X-modality tasks, as illustrated in Tab. 6. "Frozen" refers to training only the multi-modal visual prompter (MVP) while keeping the entire foundation model frozen (row-1). Full parameter fine-tuning leads to model degradation and catastrophic forgetting issues (row-2). Adapter and LoRA techniques allow the foundation model to adapt without degradation (row-3), demonstrating the feasibility and effectiveness of the foundation model - prompt - adaptation paradigm (rows 3 and 4). The proposed Multi-modal Adaptation Experts achieve the best performance by introducing additional in-dependent experts during training to learn multi-modal knowledge. Next, as shown in Tab. 7, we experimented with the number of experts employed and found that introducing two experts achieves

the RGB-D tasks in the ARKitTrack scenario, where three experts yield better performance.

CONCLUSION

In this work, we introduce X-Prompt, the first universal framework for multi-modal RGB+X video object segmentation tasks, to address the issue of model generalization degradation due to limited multi-modal data and reduces redundant research effort and computational deployment costs associated with task-specific designs. Following the pre-training of an RGB VOS foundation model with robust segmentation capabilities and generalization, X-Prompt utilizes the X-modality to prompt and adapt the foundation model for various downstream multi-modal tasks, employing our proposed Multi-modal Visual Prompter and Multi-modal Adaptation Experts. Extensive experiments on 3 tasks across 4 benchmarks demonstrate the effectiveness and generalization of X-Prompt.

X-Prompt: Multi-modal Visual Prompt for Video Object Segmentation

ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

929 **REFERENCES**

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

- Sk Arif Ahmed, Debi Prosad Dogra, Samarjit Kar, and Partha Pratim Roy. 2018. Trajectory-based surveillance analysis: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits* and Systems for Video Technology 29, 7 (2018), 1985–1997.
- [2] Goutam Bhat, Felix Järemo Lawin, Martin Danelljan, Andreas Robinson, Michael Felsberg, Luc Van Gool, and Radu Timofte. 2020. Learning what to learn for video object segmentation. In Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part II 16. Springer, 777–794.
- [3] Sergi Caelles, Kevis-Kokitsi Maninis, Jordi Pont-Tuset, Laura Leal-Taixé, Daniel Cremers, and Luc Van Gool. 2017. One-shot video object segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 221–230.
- [4] Sergi Caelles, Alberto Montes, Kevis-Kokitsi Maninis, Yuhua Chen, Luc Van Gool, Federico Perazzi, and Jordi Pont-Tuset. 2018. The 2018 DAVIS Challenge on Video Object Segmentation. arXiv:1803.00557 (2018).
- [5] Sergi Caelles, Jordi Pont-Tuset, Federico Perazzi, Alberto Montes, Kevis-Kokitsi Maninis, and Luc Van Gool. 2019. The 2019 DAVIS Challenge on VOS: Unsupervised Multi-Object Segmentation. arXiv:1905.00737 (2019).
- [6] Shoufa Chen, Chongjian Ge, Zhan Tong, Jiangliu Wang, Yibing Song, Jue Wang, and Ping Luo. 2022. Adaptformer: Adapting vision transformers for scalable visual recognition. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35 (2022), 16664–16678.
- [7] Yuhua Chen, Jordi Pont-Tuset, Alberto Montes, and Luc Van Gool. 2018. Blazingly fast video object segmentation with pixel-wise metric learning. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 1189–1198.
- [8] Ho Kei Cheng and Alexander G Schwing. 2022. XMem: Long-Term Video Object Segmentation with an Atkinson-Shiffrin Memory Model. In Computer Vision– ECCV 2022: 17th European Conference, Tel Aviv, Israel, October 23–27, 2022, Proceedings, Part XXVIII. Springer, 640–658.
- [9] Ho Kei Cheng, Yu-Wing Tai, and Chi-Keung Tang. 2021. Rethinking space-time networks with improved memory coverage for efficient video object segmentation. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 34 (2021), 11781–11794.
- [10] Jingchun Cheng, Yi-Hsuan Tsai, Wei-Chih Hung, Shengjin Wang, and Ming-Hsuan Yang. 2018. Fast and accurate online video object segmentation via tracking parts. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 7415–7424.
- [11] Ming-Ming Cheng, Niloy J Mitra, Xiaolei Huang, Philip HS Torr, and Shi-Min Hu. 2014. Global contrast based salient region detection. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* 37, 3 (2014), 569–582.
- [12] Suhwan Cho, Heansung Lee, Minhyeok Lee, Chaewon Park, Sungjun Jang, Minjung Kim, and Sangyoun Lee. 2022. Tackling background distraction in video object segmentation. In European Conference on Computer Vision. Springer, 446– 462.
- [13] Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, and Li Fei-Fei. 2009. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In 2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. Ieee, 248–255.
- [14] Henghui Ding, Chang Liu, Shuting He, Xudong Jiang, Philip HS Torr, and Song Bai. 2023. Mose: A new dataset for video object segmentation in complex scenes. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.01872 (2023).
- [15] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, et al. 2020. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929 (2020).
- [16] Brendan Duke, Abdalla Ahmed, Christian Wolf, Parham Aarabi, and Graham W Taylor. 2021. Sstvos: Sparse spatiotemporal transformers for video object segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 5912–5921.
- [17] Mark Everingham, Luc Van Gool, Christopher KI Williams, John Winn, and Andrew Zisserman. 2010. The pascal visual object classes (voc) challenge. International journal of computer vision 88 (2010), 303–338.
- [18] Guillermo Gallego, Tobi Delbrück, Garrick Orchard, Chiara Bartolozzi, Brian Taba, Andrea Censi, Stefan Leutenegger, Andrew J Davison, Jörg Conradt, Kostas Daniilidis, et al. 2020. Event-based vision: A survey. *IEEE transactions on pattern* analysis and machine intelligence 44, 1 (2020), 154–180.
- [19] Peng Gao, Teli Ma, Hongsheng Li, Ziyi Lin, Jifeng Dai, and Yu Qiao. 2022. Convmae: Masked convolution meets masked autoencoders. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.03892 (2022).
- [20] Brent Griffin, Victoria Florence, and Jason Corso. 2020. Video Object Segmentation-based Visual Servo Control and Object Depth Estimation on a Mobile Robot. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV).
- [21] Pinxue Guo, Lingyi Hong, Xinyu Zhou, Shuyong Gao, Wanyun Li, Jinglun Li, Zhaoyu Chen, Xiaoqiang Li, Wei Zhang, and Wenqiang Zhang. 2024. ClickVOS: Click Video Object Segmentation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.06130 (2024).
- [22] Pinxue Guo, Tony Huang, Peiyang He, Xuefeng Liu, Tianjun Xiao, Zhaoyu Chen, and Wenqiang Zhang. 2023. OpenVIS: Open-vocabulary video instance segmentation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.16835 (2023).

- [23] Pinxue Guo, Wei Zhang, Xiaoqiang Li, and Wenqiang Zhang. 2022. Adaptive online mutual learning bi-decoders for video object segmentation. *IEEE Transactions* on Image Processing 31 (2022), 7063–7077.
- [24] Bharath Hariharan, Pablo Arbeláez, Lubomir Bourdev, Subhransu Maji, and Jitendra Malik. 2011. Semantic contours from inverse detectors. In 2011 international conference on computer vision. IEEE, 991–998.
- [25] Lingyi Hong, Wei Zhang, Liangyu Chen, Wenqiang Zhang, and Jianping Fan. 2021. Adaptive selection of reference frames for video object segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing* 31 (2021), 1057–1071.
- [26] Neil Houlsby, Andrei Giurgiu, Stanislaw Jastrzebski, Bruna Morrone, Quentin De Laroussilhe, Andrea Gesmundo, Mona Attariyan, and Sylvain Gelly. 2019. Parameter-efficient transfer learning for NLP. In *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2790–2799.
- [27] Edward J Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, and Weizhu Chen. 2021. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09685 (2021).
- [28] Ping Hu, Gang Wang, Xiangfei Kong, Jason Kuen, and Yap-Peng Tan. 2018. Motion-guided cascaded refinement network for video object segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 1400-1409.
- [29] Yuan-Ting Hu, Jia-Bin Huang, and Alexander G Schwing. 2018. Videomatch: Matching based video object segmentation. In Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV). 54–70.
- [30] Menglin Jia, Luming Tang, Bor-Chun Chen, Claire Cardie, Serge Belongie, Bharath Hariharan, and Ser-Nam Lim. 2022. Visual prompt tuning. In European Conference on Computer Vision. Springer, 709–727.
- [31] Joakim Johnander, Martin Danelljan, Emil Brissman, Fahad Shahbaz Khan, and Michael Felsberg. 2019. A generative appearance model for end-to-end video object segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 8953–8962.
- [32] Lei Ke, Mingqiao Ye, Martin Danelljan, Yu-Wing Tai, Chi-Keung Tang, Fisher Yu, et al. 2024. Segment anything in high quality. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36 (2024).
- [33] Dahun Kim, Sanghyun Woo, Joon-Young Lee, and In So Kweon. 2020. Video panoptic segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 9859–9868.
- [34] Brian Lester, Rami Al-Rfou, and Noah Constant. 2021. The power of scale for parameter-efficient prompt tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.08691* (2021).
- [35] Chenglong Li, Xinyan Liang, Yijuan Lu, Nan Zhao, and Jin Tang. 2019. RGB-T object tracking: Benchmark and baseline. *Pattern Recognition* 96 (2019), 106977. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patcog.2019.106977
- [36] Hebei Li, Jin Wang, Jiahui Yuan, Yue Li, Wenming Weng, Yansong Peng, Yueyi Zhang, Zhiwei Xiong, and Xiaoyan Sun. 2024. Event-assisted Low-Light Video Object Segmentation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.01945 (2024).
- [37] Wanyun Li, Pinxue Guo, Xinyu Zhou, Lingyi Hong, Yangji He, Xiangyu Zheng, Wei Zhang, and Wenqiang Zhang. 2024. OneVOS: Unifying Video Object Segmentation with All-in-One Transformer Framework. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.08682 (2024).
- [38] Tsung-Yi Lin, Piotr Dollár, Ross Girshick, Kaiming He, Bharath Hariharan, and Serge Belongie. 2017. Feature pyramid networks for object detection. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 2117–2125.
- [39] Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. 2014. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In Computer Vision–ECCV 2014: 13th European Conference, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6-12, 2014, Proceedings, Part V 13. Springer, 740– 755.
- [40] Hongjie Liu, Diederik Paul Moeys, Gautham Das, Daniel Neil, Shih-Chii Liu, and Tobi Delbrück. 2016. Combined frame-and event-based detection and tracking. In 2016 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and systems (ISCAS). IEEE, 2511–2514.
- [41] Haokun Liu, Derek Tam, Mohammed Muqeeth, Jay Mohta, Tenghao Huang, Mohit Bansal, and Colin A Raffel. 2022. Few-shot parameter-efficient fine-tuning is better and cheaper than in-context learning. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35 (2022), 1950–1965.
- [42] Ruixin Liu, Bairong Li, and Yuesheng Zhu. 2021. Temporal group fusion network for deep video inpainting. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology* 32, 6 (2021), 3539–3551.
- [43] Wei Liu, Shengcai Liao, and Weidong Hu. 2019. Perceiving motion from dynamic memory for vehicle detection in surveillance videos. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits* and Systems for Video Technology 29, 12 (2019), 3558–3567.
- [44] Ye Liu, Xiao-Yuan Jing, Jianhui Nie, Hao Gao, Jun Liu, and Guo-Ping Jiang. 2018. Context-aware three-dimensional mean-shift with occlusion handling for robust object tracking in RGB-D videos. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia* 21, 3 (2018), 664–677.
- [45] Yong Liu, Ran Yu, Fei Yin, Xinyuan Zhao, Wei Zhao, Weihao Xia, and Yujiu Yang. 2022. Learning quality-aware dynamic memory for video object segmentation. In European Conference on Computer Vision. Springer, 468–486.

1046

1047

1048

1057

1058

1067

1068

1069

1070

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1098

1099

1102

- [46] Alexandre Lopes, Roberto Souza, and Helio Pedrini. 2022. A survey on RGB-D datasets. Computer Vision and Image Understanding 222 (2022), 103489.
- [47] Alan Lukezic, Jiri Matas, and Matej Kristan. 2020. D3s-a discriminative single shot segmentation tracker. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 7133–7142.
- [48] K-K Maninis, Sergi Caelles, Yuhua Chen, Jordi Pont-Tuset, Laura Leal-Taixé, 1049 Daniel Cremers, and Luc Van Gool. 2018. Video object segmentation with-1050 out temporal information. IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence 41, 6 (2018), 1515-1530. 1051
- [49] Franziska Mueller, Dushyant Mehta, Oleksandr Sotnychenko, Srinath Sridhar, 1052 Dan Casas, and Christian Theobalt. 2017. Real-time hand tracking under occlu-1053 sion from an egocentric rgb-d sensor. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision. 1154-1163. 1054
- Seoung Wug Oh, Joon-Young Lee, Kalyan Sunkavalli, and Seon Joo Kim. 2018. Fast [50] 1055 video object segmentation by reference-guided mask propagation. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 7376-7385. 1056
 - [51] Seoung Wug Oh, Joon-Young Lee, Ning Xu, and Seon Joo Kim. 2019. Video object segmentation using space-time memory networks. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 9226-9235.
- Prashant W Patil, Akshay Dudhane, Ashutosh Kulkarni, Subrahmanyam Mu-[52] 1059 rala, Anil Balaji Gonde, and Sunil Gupta. 2021. An Unified Recurrent Video 1060 Object Segmentation Framework for Various Surveillance Environments. IEEE 1061 Transactions on Image Processing 30 (2021), 7889-7902.
- Federico Perazzi, Anna Khoreva, Rodrigo Benenson, Bernt Schiele, and Alexander [53] 1062 Sorkine-Hornung. 2017. Learning video object segmentation from static images. 1063 In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 2663-2672 1064
- [54] F. Perazzi, J. Pont-Tuset, B. McWilliams, L. Van Gool, M. Gross, and A. Sorkine-1065 Hornung. 2016. A Benchmark Dataset and Evaluation Methodology for Video 1066 Object Segmentation. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.
 - [55] Jordi Pont-Tuset, Federico Perazzi, Sergi Caelles, Pablo Arbeláez, Alex Sorkine-Hornung, and Luc Van Gool. 2017. The 2017 davis challenge on video object segmentation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.00675 (2017).
 - Yanlin Qian, Song Yan, Alan Lukežič, Matej Kristan, Joni-Kristian Kämäräinen, [56] and Jiří Matas. 2021. DAL: A deep depth-aware long-term tracker. In 2020 25th International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR). IEEE, 7825-7832.
- 1071 Seonguk Seo, Joon-Young Lee, and Bohyung Han. 2020. Urvos: Unified referring [57] video object segmentation network with a large-scale benchmark. In Computer 1072 Vision-ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23-28, 2020, 1073 Proceedings, Part XV 16. Springer, 208-223.
- 1074 Hongje Seong, Junhyuk Hyun, and Euntai Kim. 2020. Kernelized memory net-[58] work for video object segmentation. In Computer Vision-ECCV 2020: 16th Eu-1075 ropean Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XXII 16. 1076 Springer, 629-645.
- Hongje Seong, Junhyuk Hyun, and Euntai Kim. 2023. Video Object Segmentation 1077 [59] Using Kernelized Memory Network With Multiple Kernels. IEEE Transactions on 1078 Pattern Analysis & Machine Intelligence 45, 02 (2023), 2595-2612. 1079
 - [60] Hongje Seong, Seoung Wug Oh, Joon-Young Lee, Seongwon Lee, Suhyeon Lee, and Euntai Kim. 2021. Hierarchical memory matching network for video object segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, 12889-12898.
 - [61] Jianping Shi, Qiong Yan, Li Xu, and Jiaya Jia. 2015. Hierarchical image saliency detection on extended CSSD. IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence 38, 4 (2015), 717-729.
 - [62] Kechen Song, Ying Zhao, Liming Huang, Yunhui Yan, and Qinggang Meng. 2023. RGB-T image analysis technology and application: A survey. Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence 120 (2023), 105919. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.engappai.2023.105919
 - [63] Paul Voigtlaender, Yuning Chai, Florian Schroff, Hartwig Adam, Bastian Leibe, and Liang-Chieh Chen. 2019. Feelvos: Fast end-to-end embedding learning for video object segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 9481–9490.
 - Qiang Wang, Li Zhang, Luca Bertinetto, Weiming Hu, and Philip HS Torr. 2019. Fast online object tracking and segmentation: A unifying approach. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 1328-1338
 - [65] Wenguan Wang, Jianbing Shen, Fatih Porikli, and Ruigang Yang. 2018. Semisupervised video object segmentation with super-trajectories. IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence 41, 4 (2018), 985-998.
- 1095 Xiao Wang, Jianing Li, Lin Zhu, Zhipeng Zhang, Zhe Chen, Xin Li, Yaowei [66] Wang, Yonghong Tian, and Feng Wu. 2023. Visevent: Reliable object tracking via 1096 collaboration of frame and event flows. IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics (2023). 1097
 - [67] Zongji Wang, Xiaowu Chen, and Dongqing Zou. 2017. Copy and paste: Temporally consistent stereoscopic video blending. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology 28, 10 (2017), 3053–3065.
- Ziqin Wang, Jun Xu, Li Liu, Fan Zhu, and Ling Shao. 2019. Ranet: Ranking atten-[68] 1100 tion network for fast video object segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF 1101 international conference on computer vision. 3978-3987.

Anon

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1129

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157

- [69] Huaxin Xiao, Jiashi Feng, Guosheng Lin, Yu Liu, and Maojun Zhang. 2018. Monet: Deep motion exploitation for video object segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 1140-1148.
- [70] Ning Xu, Linjie Yang, Yuchen Fan, Dingcheng Yue, Yuchen Liang, Jianchao Yang, and Thomas Huang. 2018. Youtube-vos: A large-scale video object segmentation benchmark. arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.03327 (2018).
- Xiaohao Xu, Jinglu Wang, Xiao Li, and Yan Lu. 2022. Reliable propagation-[71] correction modulation for video object segmentation. In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 36. 2946-2954.
- Bin Yan, Xinyu Zhang, Dong Wang, Huchuan Lu, and Xiaoyun Yang. 2021. [72] Alpha-refine: Boosting tracking performance by precise bounding box estimation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 5289-5298.
- Jinyu Yang, Mingqi Gao, Runmin Cong, Chengjie Wang, Feng Zheng, and Aleš [73] Leonardis. 2023. Unveiling the Power of Visible-Thermal Video Object Segmentation. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology (2023).
- [74] Jinyu Yang, Mingqi Gao, Feng Zheng, Xiantong Zhen, Rongrong Ji, Ling Shao, and Ales Leonardis. 2024. Weakly-Supervised RGBD Video Object Segmentation. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing (2024).
- [75] Jinyu Yang, Zhe Li, Feng Zheng, Ales Leonardis, and Jingkuan Song. 2022. Prompting for multi-modal tracking. In Proceedings of the 30th ACM international conference on multimedia. 3492-3500.
- [76] Linjie Yang, Yuchen Fan, and Ning Xu. 2019. Video instance segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision. 5188-5197.
- [77] Zongxin Yang, Jiaxu Miao, Xiaohan Wang, Yunchao Wei, and Yi Yang. 2022. Associating objects with scalable transformers for video object segmentation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.11442 (2022).
- Zongxin Yang, Yunchao Wei, and Yi Yang. 2020. Collaborative video object [78] segmentation by foreground-background integration. In Computer Vision-ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23-28, 2020, Proceedings, Part V. Springer, 332-348.
- [79] Zongxin Yang, Yunchao Wei, and Yi Yang. 2021. Associating objects with transformers for video object segmentation. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 34 (2021), 2491-2502.
- [80] Zongxin Yang, Yunchao Wei, and Yi Yang. 2021. Collaborative video object segmentation by multi-scale foreground-background integration. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 44, 9 (2021), 4701-4712.
- [81] Z Yang, Y Wei, and Y Yang. 2022. Collaborative Video Object Segmentation by Multi-Scale Foreground-Background Integration. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence (2022).
- Zongxin Yang and Yi Yang. 2022. Decoupling Features in Hierarchical Propaga-[82] tion for Video Object Segmentation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.09782 (2022).
- [83] Zhenxun Yuan, Xiao Song, Lei Bai, Zhe Wang, and Wanli Ouyang. 2021. Temporalchannel transformer for 3d lidar-based video object detection for autonomous driving. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology 32, 4 (2021), 2068-2078.
- Pengyu Zhang, Jie Zhao, Chunjuan Bo, Dong Wang, Huchuan Lu, and Xiaoyun [84] Yang. 2021. Jointly modeling motion and appearance cues for robust RGB-T tracking. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing 30 (2021), 3335-3347.
- [85] Pengyu Zhang, Jie Zhao, Dong Wang, Huchuan Lu, and Xiang Ruan. 2022. Visiblethermal UAV tracking: A large-scale benchmark and new baseline. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 8886-8895
- [86] Wenhui Zhang and Tejas Mahale. 2018. End to end video segmentation for driving: Lane detection for autonomous car. arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.05914 (2018).
- [87] Yizhuo Zhang, Zhirong Wu, Houwen Peng, and Stephen Lin. 2020. A transductive approach for video object segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 6949-6958.
- [88] Haojie Zhao, Junsong Chen, Lijun Wang, and Huchuan Lu. 2023. Arkittrack: a new diverse dataset for tracking using mobile RGB-D data. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 5126-5135.
- Liang Zhao, Zhihai He, Wenming Cao, and Debin Zhao. 2016. Real-time moving [89] object segmentation and classification from HEVC compressed surveillance video. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology 28, 6 (2016), 1346-1357
- [90] Tianfei Zhou, Fatih Porikli, David J Crandall, Luc Van Gool, and Wenguan Wang. 2022. A survey on deep learning technique for video segmentation. IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence 45, 6 (2022), 7099-7122.
- [91] Jiawen Zhu, Simiao Lai, Xin Chen, Dong Wang, and Huchuan Lu. 2023. Visual prompt multi-modal tracking. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 9516-9526.
- [92] Wencheng Zhu, Jiahao Li, Jiwen Lu, and Jie Zhou. 2022. Separable Structure Modeling for Semi-Supervised Video Object Segmentation. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology 32, 1 (2022), 330-344.