# KIND: Knowledge Integration and Diversion for Training Decomposable Models

Yucheng Xie<sup>12</sup> Fu Feng<sup>12</sup> Ruixiao Shi<sup>12</sup> Jing Wang<sup>12</sup> Yong Rui<sup>3</sup> Xin Geng<sup>12</sup>

# Abstract

Pre-trained models have become the preferred backbone due to the increasing complexity of model parameters. However, traditional pretrained models often face deployment challenges due to their fixed sizes, and are prone to negative transfer when discrepancies arise between training tasks and target tasks. To address this, we propose KIND, a novel pre-training method designed to construct decomposable models. KIND integrates knowledge by incorporating Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) as a structural constraint, with each basic component represented as a combination of a column vector, singular value, and row vector from  $U, \Sigma$ , and  $V^{\top}$  matrices. These components are categorized into learngenes for encapsulating class-agnostic knowledge and tailors for capturing class-specific knowledge, with knowledge diversion facilitated by a class gate mechanism during training. Extensive experiments demonstrate that models pretrained with KIND can be decomposed into learngenes and tailors, which can be adaptively recombined for diverse resource-constrained deployments. Moreover, for tasks with large domain shifts, transferring only learngenes with task-agnostic knowledge, when combined with randomly initialized tailors, effectively mitigates domain shifts. Code will be made available at https://github.com/Te4P0t/KIND.

# 1. Introduction

The increasing size of models has significantly increased computational costs, making pre-trained models a corner-



*Figure 1.* (a) Traditional pre-training prioritizes maximizing performance on training datasets, often producing fixed-size models and making them prone to negative transfer. In contrast, KIND redefines the training objective to pre-train models that are both structure- and knowledge-decomposable. (b) Consequently, KIND enables pre-trained models to be adaptively restructured, facilitating deployment in diverse resource-constrained scenarios. (c) Additionally, the task-agnostic knowledge encapsulated in learngenes can effectively mitigate domain shifts.

stone of modern machine learning (Qiu et al., 2020; Han et al., 2021; Feng et al., 2025b). These pre-trained models have proven highly effective, especially when combined with parameter-efficient fine-tuning (PEFT) techniques such as LoRA (Hu et al., 2022; Hayou et al., 2024) and its variants (Zhang et al., 2023; Valipour et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2024). However, traditional pre-training approaches primarily focus on optimizing performance for specific training datasets, often neglecting their transferability to downstream tasks and adaptability to diverse deployment scenarios.

As a result, pre-trained models typically have a fixed, large size, designed to encapsulate as much knowledge as possible from the training data. This design, however, presents significant challenges for practical deployment, which is often constrained by factors like memory usage, processing power, and response time (Zhang et al., 2022). More importantly, when downstream tasks differ significantly from the pre-training datasets, the transferred knowledge can

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>School of Computer Science and Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing, China <sup>2</sup>Key Laboratory of New Generation Artificial Intelligence Technology and Its Interdisciplinary Applications (Southeast University), Ministry of Education, China <sup>3</sup>Lenovo Research. Correspondence to: Jing Wang <wangjing91@seu.edu.cn>, Xin Geng <xgeng@seu.edu.cn>.

Proceedings of the  $42^{nd}$  International Conference on Machine Learning, Vancouver, Canada. PMLR 267, 2025. Copyright 2025 by the author(s).

become redundant (Feng et al., 2024), biased (Ren et al., 2024), or even harmful (Wang et al., 2019; Rosenstein et al., 2005). These limitations underscore that traditional pretrained models may not always serve as optimal backbones, as illustrated in Figure 1. This raises a critical question: *Can we rethink the pre-training process to develop decom-posable pre-trained models* that can be adaptively adjusted to meet the specific requirements of downstream tasks and deployment scenarios?

Recently, a novel knowledge transfer framework called *Learngene* has been introduced (Wang et al., 2023). Unlike traditional transfer learning methods, *Learngene* encapsulates task-agnostic knowledge into modular network fragments (Feng et al., 2023) known as learngenes, to enhance the efficiency of knowledge transfer and improve network adaptability. Building upon the *Learngene* framework, we propose KIND, a novel pre-training method that performs Knowledge INtegration and Diversion during the pre-training process. KIND is designed to construct flexible and decomposable pre-trained models, facilitating adaptive transformations to address the diverse requirements of downstream tasks and deployment scenarios.

KIND decomposes the weight matrix into basic components for knowledge integration, then associates class-specific and class-agnostic knowledge with distinct components to facilitate knowledge diversion. For this decomposition, KIND employs Singular Value Decomposition (SVD), representing each basic component as a combination of a column vector, singular value, and row vector derived from the  $U, \Sigma$ , and  $V^{\top}$  matrices. These basic components are categorized into two types: learngenes, which encapsulate class-agnostic knowledge, and tailors, which capture classspecific knowledge. Instead of directly applying SVD to pre-trained model weights (Han et al., 2023; Zhang & Pilanci, 2024; Robb et al., 2020), KIND incorporates SVD as a structural constraint during pre-training and trains the basic components rather than the full weight matrices. Such indirect training enables more explicit control over each class-specific component, guided by a class gate mechanism, thereby facilitating effective knowledge diversion.

We conduct experiments on class-conditional image generation tasks to better demonstrate knowledge transfer, using Diffusion Transformers (DiTs) (Peebles & Xie, 2023) as the backbone for diffusion models. We pre-train DiT-B and DiT-L with KIND on ImageNet-1K, resulting in decomposable models that can be effectively divided into learngenes and tailors. Extensive experiments evaluate KIND across three scenarios. 1) **General Tasks**: Models pre-trained with KIND perform on par with traditional pre-trained models (often outperforming them) without additional computational costs. 2) **Resource-constrained Scenarios**: KIND facilitates flexible combinations of learngenes and tailors to meet storage and computational limits, maintaining performance without sacrificing performance. 3) **Tasks with Large Domain Shifts**: KIND transfers learngenes only, combined with randomly initialized tailors, enabling efficient adaptation via class-agnostic knowledge.

Our main contributions are as follows: 1) We redefine the pre-training objective by shifting the focus from solely maximizing model performance to diverting knowledge into class-agnostic knowledge and class-specific components, facilitating the construction of a more flexible and decomposable backbone adaptable to various scenarios. 2) We propose KIND, a novel pre-training method that integrates and diverts knowledge, marking the first application of learngenes to image generation tasks. 3) We establish a new benchmark for evaluating transfer efficiency and flexibility in diffusion models. Extensive experiments demonstrate that KIND achieves state-of-the-art performance while providing flexible storage and computational efficiency.

# 2. Related Work

# 2.1. Initialization and Training of Variable-sized Models

Practical deployments often encounter constraints related to memory usage, processing power, and response time, necessitating models of variable sizes (Zhang et al., 2022). However, traditional pre-trained models are typically fixed in size, requiring *retraining* when a suitable model size is unavailable (Qiu et al., 2020; Han et al., 2021). While traditional model compression techniques, such as knowledge distillation (Gou J, 2021; Muralidharan et al., 2024) and model pruning (Zhang et al., 2024a; Castells et al., 2024), can generate models of variable sizes, they involve *repeated operations* for each model size, resulting in significant inefficiencies in both time and resource consumption.

The *Learngene* framework, inspired by the transfer of genetic information in nature (Feng et al., 2023), encapsulates common knowledge into modular network fragments, termed "learngenes", and employs them to initialize variable-sized models (Wang et al., 2023). Notably, the process of condensing knowledge from pre-trained models into learngenes incurs a *one-time cost*, eliminating the need for further training during model initialization. Current learngene-based methods, either direct transfer selected layers from pre-trained models (Wang et al., 2022; 2023), or apply predefined rules (e.g., Kronecker products) to distill knowledge knowledge into learngenes (Xia et al., 2024; Feng et al., 2025a). However, these approaches neglect the alignment between model components and their corresponding knowledge, limiting their efficiency and adaptability.

In contrast, KIND enhances such alignment through knowledge diversion during pre-training, constructing a decomposable model that enables more flexible and efficient initialization across varying model sizes.

# 2.2. Parameter Efficient Fine-Tuning (PEFT)

The increasing complexity of model parameters has made fine-tuning all parameters of pre-trained models resourceintensive and time-consuming (Touvron et al., 2021; Achiam et al., 2023). To address this, PEFT techniques are developed to adapt large pre-trained models to new tasks by fine-tuning only a small set of parameters (Hu et al., 2022; Houlsby et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2022). Recent approaches apply SVD to pre-trained weight matrices, fine-tuning models by adjusting singular values, a process known as spectral shift (Han et al., 2023; Robb et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2022), or by fine-tuning singular vectors (Zhang et al., 2024b; Zhang & Pilanci, 2024). However, existing PEFT methods rely on models pre-trained with traditional objectives and do not fully consider their adaptability as universal backbones across diverse tasks.

In contrast, KIND decomposes pre-trained models into learngenes and tailors through knowledge diversion. The class-agnostic knowledge encapsulated in learngenes significantly enhances transfer adaptability, particularly for tasks with large domain shifts compared to the training tasks.

## 3. Methods

### 3.1. Preliminary

# **3.1.1. LATENT DIFFUSION MODELS**

Latent diffusion models transfer the diffusion process from the high-resolution pixel space to the latent space by employing an autoencoder  $\mathcal{E}$ , which encodes an image x into a latent code  $z = \mathcal{E}(x)$ . A diffusion model is then trained to generate the corresponding latent code in a denoising process, minimizing the following objective:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{z,c,\varepsilon,t}[||\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\theta}(z_t|c,t)||_2^2]$$
(1)

Here,  $\varepsilon_{\theta}$  is a noise prediction network that predicts the noise  $\varepsilon$  added to  $z_t$  at timestep t, conditioned on c.

#### 3.1.2. DIFFUSION TRANSFORMERS (DITS)

DiT is a transformer-based architecture for noise prediction, replacing the traditional UNet. Given an image  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{H_1 \times H_2 \times C}$  and its latent code  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{h_1 \times h_2 \times c}$  encoded by  $\mathcal{E}$ , DiT divides the latent code z into T patches, which are then mapped to D-dimensional patch embeddings, with added position embeddings.

The structure of DiTs resembles that of Vision Transformers (ViTs), which comprises L stacked layers, each containing a Multi-Head Self-Attention (MSA) mechanism and a Pointwise Feedforward (PFF) layer. In each layer, a self-attention head  $A_i$  performs self-attention using a query Q, key K,

and value  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D}$ , with parameter matrices  $W_q^i, W_k^i$ , and  $W_v^i \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times d}$ :

$$A_{i} = \operatorname{softmax}(\frac{Q_{i}K_{i}^{\top}}{\sqrt{d}})V_{i}, \ A_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times d}$$
(2)

MSA mechanism combines h self-attention heads A and projects the concatenated outputs using a weight matrix  $W_o$ :

$$MSA = concat(A_1, A_2, ..., A_h)W_o, \ W_o \in \mathbb{R}^{hd \times D}$$
(3)

In the implementation of MSA, the matrices  $W_q^i$ ,  $W_k^i$ , and  $W_v^i \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times d}$  for h attention heads are combined into three parameter matrices  $W_q$ ,  $W_k$ , and  $W_v \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times hd}$ .

PFF layer comprises two linear transformations  $W_{in} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D'}$  and  $W_{out} \in \mathbb{R}^{D' \times D}$  with a GELU (Hendrycks & Gimpel, 2016) activation function:

$$PFF(x) = GELU(xW_{in} + b_1)W_{out} + b_2$$
(4)

where  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are the biases for the linear transformations, and D' denotes the hidden layer dimensions.

### 3.2. Knowledge Integration in Weight Matrices

FSGAN (Robb et al., 2020) directly applies SVD to pretrained model parameters and fine-tunes the singular values for adaptation, achieving success in image segmentation (Sun et al., 2022) and generation (Han et al., 2023) This shows that SVD can create a compact parameter space, facilitating efficient fine-tuning of pre-trained models.

However, directly applying SVD to pre-trained parameter matrices decomposes them based on fixed orthogonalization rules, leading to poor interpretability and making it challenging to determine whether the knowledge in each basic component is class-specific. This limits the model's decomposability, risking the loss of valuable knowledge.

To address this, we integrate knowledge by reconstructing weight matrices using the SVD-derived components U,  $\Sigma$ , and V, where each basic component is a combination of a column vector, singular value and row vector from U,  $\Sigma$ , and  $V^{\top}$ . We then explicitly associate each basic component with a specific type of knowledge (either class-specific or class-agnostic), which is achieved through a class gate mechanism to divert knowledge (Section 3.3).

For the DiT architecture, the main weight matrices across the *L*-layers are  $\theta = \{W_q^{(1\sim L)}, W_k^{(1\sim L)}, W_v^{(1\sim L)}, W_{out}^{(1\sim L)}, W_{out}^{(1\sim L)}, W_{out}^{(1\sim L)}\}^1$ . Let  $W_{\star}^{(l)}$  represent any weight matrix in layer *l*, where  $\star \in S$  and  $S = \{q, k, v, o, in, out\}$  denotes the set of subscripts. The matrices  $U_{\star}^{(l)}$ 

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{W_q^{(1\sim L)}}$  denotes the set  $\{W_q^{(1)}, W_q^{(2)}, \ldots, W_q^{(L)}\}$ . Similar notations throughout the paper follow this convention.



Figure 2. (a) For each weight matrix in DiTs, we integrate it into the product of matrices U,  $\Sigma$  and  $V^{\top}$ , formally inspired by SVD. The components of these matrices are then explicitly partitioned into the learngenes and tailors, which encapsulate class-agnostic and class-specific knowledge, respectively. (b) Knowledge is diverted through a class gate ensuring each training image updates only the learngenes and their corresponding class-related tailors, so that the class-agnostic knowledge can be condensed into the learngenes, while knowledge specific to each class is diverted into corresponding tailors.

 $\Sigma_{\star}^{(l)}$ ,  $V_{\star}^{(l)}$  are the corresponding components that constitute  $W_{\star}^{(l)}$ , which is calculated as:

$$W_{\star}^{(l)} = U_{\star}^{(l)} \Sigma_{\star}^{(l)} V_{\star}^{(l)^{\top}}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{r} u_{\star}^{(l,i)} \sigma_{\star}^{(l,i)} v_{\star}^{(l,i)}$$
(5)

where  $\Sigma_{\star}^{(l)} = \text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$  with  $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = [\sigma_{\star}^{(l,1)}, \sigma_{\star}^{(l,2)}, ..., \sigma_{\star}^{(l,r)}]$ .  $U_{\star}^{(l)} = [u_{\star}^{(l,1)}, u_{\star}^{(l,2)}, ..., u_{\star}^{(l,r)}] \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times r}$ , and  $V_{\star}^{(l)} = [v_{\star}^{(l,1)}, v_{\star}^{(l,2)}, ..., v_{\star}^{(l,r)}]^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times m_2}$ . The rank r and dimensions  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are associated with  $W_{\star}^{(l)}$ . Each basic component is represented as  $\Theta_{\star}^{(l,i)} = (u_{\star}^{(l,i)}, \sigma_{\star}^{(l,i)}, v_{\star}^{(l,i)})$ .

# 3.3. Knowledge Diversion by Class Labels

Given a dataset with  $N_{cls}$  classes, our objective is to allocate knowledge of each class to the corresponding basic components while extracting class-agnostic knowledge shared across all classes, thereby achieving knowledge diversion.

We categorize all basic components into *learngenes* and *tailors*, encapsulating class-agnostic and class-specific knowledge, respectively. Specifically, the components are partitioned based on the number of classes  $N_{cls}$  and matrix rank r, satisfying  $r = N_{cls} \cdot N_T + N_G$ , where  $N_T$  denotes the number of components per class, with the tailor for the *c*-th class  $\mathcal{T}_c$ :

$$\mathcal{T}_c = \{\Theta^{(l,i)}_{\star} | i \in [(c-1) \cdot N_T, c \cdot N_T], \star \in \mathcal{S}, \ l \in [1, L]\}$$
(6)

 $N_G$  is the number of basic components forming learngenes:

$$\mathcal{G} = \{\Theta_{\star}^{(l,i)} | i \in [N_{cls} \cdot N_T, N_{cls} \cdot N_T + N_G], \star \in \mathcal{S}, l \in [1, L]\}$$
(7)

In this way, the *r* basic components of each matrix are partitioned into  $N_G$  learngenes and  $N_{cls}$  tailors, with the model parameters represented as  $\theta = \mathcal{G} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_{cls}} \mathcal{T}_c$ .

To encapsulate the class-specific knowledge of the *c*-th class in the *c*-th tailor, we introduce a class gate  $G = [0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0] \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{cls}}$  for knowledge diversion during the training of DiTs, where only one the element at the *c*-th position is set to 1, corresponding to the class index. This mechanism ensures that, for each training class, only the weight parameters of the learngene and relevant tailors are updated (See Algorithm 1 for more details). The optimization objective is defined as:

$$\underset{\mathcal{G},\mathcal{T}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \mathcal{L}_{G\cdot\theta}, \quad \text{s.t.} \ \theta = \mathcal{G} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_{cls}} \mathcal{T}_c$$
(8)

where the loss function  $\mathcal{L}$  is defined in Eq. (1).

#### 3.4. Decomposable Models for Diverse Scenarios

After training via knowledge diversion, we obtain a decomposable model made up of basic components, which can be adaptively reassembled to meet the target memory size and specific task requirements during deployment.

**Recombination for Variable Model Sizes.** In practice, not all knowledge in pre-trained models is applicable to



*Figure 3.* (a) For downstream tasks with pre-trained classes, it can directly select the tailors corresponding to the target classes while discarding unrelated ones. (b) When encountering tasks with large domain shifts, only the learngene is transferred, combined with randomly initialized tailors for class-specific fine-tuning.

downstream tasks, and transferring excessive knowledge can be both memory-intensive and redundant. For downstream tasks similar to parts of the training dataset, we can directly select the appropriate pre-trained tailors combined with learngenes. For instance, when deploying a DiT pretrained on *ImageNet* to a resource-constrained device for generating images of "*dogs*", we can deploy only the tailor corresponding to "dog" ( $\mathcal{T}_{dog}$ ) and the learngene ( $\mathcal{G}$ ). Similarly, for unknown classes, we can select closely related tailors for fine-tuning, adjusting the number of tailors based on the available memory.

**Class-agnostic Knowledge for Large Domain Shift.** Pretrained models often encounter negative transfer when facing large domain shifts, a challenge that also affects the transfer of pre-trained tailors. In such cases, class-agnostic knowledge encapsulated in learngenes fully demonstrates its advantages. Thus, for tasks with large domain shifts, only learngenes need to be transferred, along with randomly initialized tailors  $\mathcal{T}_{random}$ . During fine-tuning, we freeze the learngene and only update the tailors, enabling them to learn class-specific knowledge from the downstream task, thereby achieving more efficient fine-tuning.

# 4. Experiments

# 4.1. Datasets

We conduct class-conditioned generation on ImageNet-1K (Deng et al., 2009), which contains 1,000 classes. To

*Table 1.* Performance of constructing variable-sized models on training classes. "Para." denotes the total number of model parameters, which reflects the model size. "Time" is the additional training steps required to construct models of the target sizes.

_	Para.(M)	Methods	Time	FID↓	sFID↓	IS↑	Prec.↑	Rec.↑
T-L	457.0	Trad. PT	0	9.68	<b>6.15</b>	72.22	0.69	<b>0.47</b>
	362.5	Heur-LG	100K	23.86	7.24	48.34	0.54	0.47
	249.2	Laptop-diff	100K	17.20	7.25	57.07	0.59	0.47
ā	249.2	Auto-LG	100K	18.38	8.22	57.68	0.58	0.46
	245.9	KIND	0	9.33	6.80	<b>79.39</b>	<b>0.69</b>	0.46
DiT-B	129.7	Trad. PT	0	25.14	<b>7.57</b>	47.15	0.53	0.46
	108.4	Heur-LG	100K	41.53	8.93	34.29	0.42	0.47
	76.5	Laptop-diff	100K	48.22	11.09	31.19	0.37	<b>0.47</b>
	76.5	Auto-LG	100K	45.69	10.77	32.77	0.39	0.47
	70.2	KIND	0	21.14	8.85	58.18	0.55	0.44

minimize inter-class similarity, we merge certain similar classes based on their superclasses in WordNet (Miller, 1995), resulting in a final set of 611 classes. Among these, 150 classes are used for pre-training the diffusion models, while the remaining 461 classes serve as novel classes for constructing downstream tasks. Further details can be found in Appendix A.3. Additionally, we use datasets, including CelebA-HQ (Huang et al., 2018), Hubble (Weinzierl, 2023), MRI, and Pokémon, to simulate large domain shifts compared to the training data.

### 4.2. Basic Setting

For pre-training DiT, we train class-conditional latent DiTs of sizes -B and -L, with a latent patch size of p = 2 at a  $256 \times 256$  image resolution on training classes. All models are trained using AdamW with a batch size of 256 and a constant learning rate of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  over 300K steps. An exponential moving average (EMA) of DiT weights is used with a decay rate of 0.9999, and results are reported using the EMA model. During image generation, a classifier-free guidance (cfg) scale of 1.5 is applied. Performance is evaluated using Fréchet Inception Distance (FID) (Heusel et al., 2017), sFID (Nash et al., 2021), Fréchet DINO distance(FDD) (Stein et al., 2023), Inception Score (Salimans et al., 2016) and Precision/Recall (Kynkäänniemi et al., 2019). Further details are provided in Appendix A.2.

## 5. Results

# 5.1. Construction of Variable-Sized Pre-Trained Models

The models pre-trained by KIND are inherently decomposable, consisting of *learngenes* that encapsulate classagnostic knowledge and *tailors* that capture class-specific knowledge. This decomposition enables flexible deployment of models across devices, as demonstrated in Table 1.

Compared to traditional pre-trained models, KIND achieves comparable performance with the same number of training

N	lethods			Di	Г-В/2						Di٦	-L/2			
111	lethous	Para.(M)	FLOPs(G)	$\text{FID}{\downarrow}$	sFID↓	IS↑	Prec.↑	Recall↑	Para.(M)	FLOPs(G)	$\text{FID}{\downarrow}$	$\text{sFID}{\downarrow}$	IS↑	Prec.↑	Recall↑
	SVDiff	0.1	43.6	55.01	18.12	19.6	0.35	0.55	0.2	155.0	49.59	16.81	20.8	0.38	0.56
	OFT	14.2	119.7	36.19	17.79	32.0	0.48	0.50	50.5	425.6	24.81	18.27	44.1	0.59	0.47
F	LoRA	12.8	50.1	36.70	16.28	31.6	0.44	0.57	45.3	178.2	22.55	14.00	46.3	0.55	0.56
E	PiSSA	12.8	50.1	33.16	15.51	34.6	0.49	0.52	45.3	178.2	19.41	14.72	53.7	0.63	0.50
Ъ	LoHa	12.7	87.1	42.38	17.37	27.3	0.40	0.58	45.3	309.6	29.79	15.17	35.8	0.49	0.59
	DoRA	12.8	129.5	35.87	16.40	32.3	0.45	0.56	45.6	503.0	21.28	14.16	48.3	0.57	0.55
7 8	Heur-LG	129.6	43.6	55.45	22.14	24.4	0.33	0.48	456.8	155.0	41.83	19.23	30.9	0.40	0.51
3	Auto-LG	129.6	43.6	56.38	21.39	25.5	0.30	0.49	456.8	155.0	31.78	18.71	41.7	0.46	0.54
	KIND	12.8	33.7	20.94	14.75	62.4	0.53	0.50	45.4	119.6	12.87	12.93	86.1	0.65	0.51
E	Full FT	129.6	43.6	26.49	15.08	45.1	0.51	0.55	456.8	155.0	14.51	13.16	69.1	0.63	0.55

Table 2. Performance of various PEFT and learngene methods on novel classes. All methods are fine-tuned for 50K steps on 18 downstream tasks involving novel classes. "Para." denotes the average number of trainable parameters, while "FLOPs" represents the average total floating-point operations required during fine-tuning.

steps, without increasing training complexity. Additionally, the decomposable nature of KIND allows for direct recombination tailored to specific deployment needs, with *no further time-consuming* steps required. In contrast to knowledge distillation and pruning (Zhang et al., 2024a), KIND offers significant advantages by avoiding the resource overhead of *repeated distillation and pruning* for each model size, which is required in distillation-based methods.

Unlike traditional learngenes, such as Heur-LG (Wang et al., 2022) and Auto-LG (Wang et al., 2023), which directly transfer certain layers from traditional pre-trained models, KIND encapsulates task-agnostic knowledge into learngenes and retains task-specific knowledge in tailors through knowledge diversion. This enables the direct combination of learngenes and tailors without additional training, ensuring both efficiency and adaptability across tasks.

#### 5.2. Performance on Tasks with Novel Classes

To evaluate KIND's adaptability, we use learngenes as the backbone with randomly initialized tailors and compare it to PEFT methods based on traditional pre-trained models on tasks with novel classes. As shown in Table 2, KIND achieves state-of-the-art results on DiT-B and DiT-L, reducing FID by 6.54 and sFID by 1.07, while using only 45.4M parameters and saving 35.4G FLOPs on DiT-L.

Despite the efficiency of PEFT methods, a significant performance gap remains compared to Full FT, highlighting the task discrepancy between training and novel classes. PEFT methods, which freeze pre-trained parameters, struggle to adapt to novel tasks. As shown in Figure 4, PEFT-generated images perform poorly in capturing class-specific knowledge due to limited trainable parameters and task mismatch. Existing learngene methods like Heur-LG and Auto-LG transfer partial knowledge from pre-trained models, but the transferability of each module, trained with traditional objectives, is limited.

*Table 3.* Performance comparison of KIND and PEFT methods in transferring to downstream tasks with significant domain shifts, evaluated using FDD for image quality assessment.

	Celeb	A-HQ	Hu	bble	M	RI	Pokemon		
	DiT-B	DiT-L	DiT-B	DiT-L	DiT-B	DiT-L	DiT-B	DiT-L	
SVDiff	0.622	0.388	0.385	0.305	0.187	0.148	0.605	0.469	
OFT	0.343	0.226	0.255	0.168	0.056	0.046	0.469	0.321	
LoRA	0.284	0.197	0.232	0.142	0.061	0.056	0.412	0.285	
PiSSA	0.281	0.195	0.211	0.152	0.057	0.051	0.418	0.295	
LoHa	0.336	0.268	0.252	0.189	0.065	0.130	0.439	0.316	
DoRA	0.282	0.203	0.589	0.330	0.043	0.048	0.396	0.333	
KIND	0.201	0.152	0.124	0.109	0.042	0.040	0.343	0.262	

In contrast, KIND diverts class-agnostic knowledge into learngenes, creating a flexible backbone for adaptation to downstream tasks with novel classes. The randomly initialized tailors are adjusted via low-rank assumptions, combining with learngenes to meet task-specific needs, thereby improving transfer efficiency and enhancing the generalizability of knowledge transfer. As shown in Figure 4 and Table 2, KIND-generated images outperform PEFT methods in both quality and performance metrics.

### 5.3. Performance on Tasks with Large Domain Shifts

KIND demonstrates significant advantages in adapting to tasks with novel classes, with these benefits becoming even more pronounced when dealing with tasks involving large domain shifts. As shown in Table 3 and Figure 5, KIND outperforms PEFT methods on both DiT-B and DiT-L, achieving substantial improvements in image generation quality.

This further demonstrates that the knowledge encapsulated in learngenes is sufficiently class-agnostic, allowing it to be shared effectively across various tasks. In contrast, PEFT methods based on traditional pre-trained models show disadvantages, as the knowledge learned from ImageNet is often difficult to transfer to new domains, especially in specialized fields like Hubble and MRI. This highlights a key limitation



Figure 4. Selected samples from tasks with novel classes, generated by KIND and other PEFT methods using the DiT-L/2 model, with a resolution of  $256 \times 256$ . All images are generated using a classifier-free guidance (cfg) scale of 3.0.



Figure 5. Selected samples from tasks with large domain shifts, generated by KIND and other PEFT methods using the DiT-L/2, with a resolution of  $256 \times 256$ . All images are generated using a classifier-free guidance (cfg) scale of 1.5.

of current pre-training approaches, which aim to improve generalization by incorporating as many domain-specific images as possible during training (Ramesh et al., 2022; Esser et al., 2024). While this may enhance performance, it leads to larger model sizes, reduced transfer flexibility, and increased computational overhead.

### 5.4. Ablation and Analysis

#### 5.4.1. Ablation Experiments

To assess the effectiveness of learngenes, tailors, and the class gate, we conduct a series of ablation experiments. #1 performs Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) on pre-

Table 4. Ablation study on different components of KIND.

		LG	Tailor	Gate	$\text{FID}{\downarrow}$	$\text{sFID}{\downarrow}$	IS↑	$\text{Prec.}\uparrow$	Recall↑
2	#1				60.28	19.96	20.4	0.30	0.49
m m	#2	$\checkmark$			49.54	18.08	23.2	0.34	0.56
Ë	#3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		21.60	14.84	59.7	0.54	0.50
	KIND	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	20.94	14.75	62.4	0.53	0.50
5	#1				42.04	18.07	28.0	0.41	0.54
Ļ	#2	$\checkmark$			33.53	15.55	32.2	0.46	0.59
Ë	#3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		13.03	12.93	85.1	0.64	0.51
	KIND	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	12.87	12.93	86.1	0.65	0.51

trained weights and randomly selects  $N_G$  singular vectors to form its backbone, followed by fine-tuning with LoRA. #2 replaces the backbone with learngenes extracted by KIND, based on the structure in #1. #3 substitutes tailors for LoRA in fine-tuning the model, without using the class gate.

As shown in Table 4, the knowledge encapsulated in learngenes, which undergoes knowledge diversion, is more classagnostic, making it better suited for adaptation to downstream tasks, especially when these tasks differ significantly from the training tasks (e.g., #1 vs. #2). Additionally, tailors can also function as a PEFT method by integrating classspecific knowledge into pre-trained models or learngenes, thereby enhancing the model's ability to acquire new knowledge for downstream tasks (#2 vs. #3). Finally, the class gate further enhances this by helping the model distinguish class-specific knowledge, boosting the effectiveness of the tailors (#3 vs. KIND).



*Figure 6.* Visualization of convergence speed of KIND and other methods on downstream tasks. Each image is sampled every 10K steps to illustrate progress more clearly.

*Table 5.* Comparison of pre-trained models and learngenes when serving as backbones on training tasks.

	Entropy↑	$Variance {\downarrow}$	Kurtosis↓
Raw Images of ImageNet	1.458	$6.414e^{-4}$	884.3
Pretrained Model	2.387	$4.516e^{-4}$	780.1
Learngene	4.046	$1.495e^{-4}$	544.9

# 5.4.2. Strong Learning Ability Brought by Learngenes

As noted in (Wang et al., 2022; Xia et al., 2024), learngenes accelerate downstream model adaptation by transferring common knowledge, offering a significant advantage over training from scratch. Beyond this, KIND further improves convergence speed compared to PEFT methods. Figure 6 illustrates the convergence speed of KIND, with images generated by models every 10K training steps.

The convergence speed is generally influenced by the number of trainable parameters during fine-tuning, with PEFT methods focusing on reducing this number using techniques like orthogonalization and low-rank constraints (Ding et al., 2023; Han et al., 2024). However, these methods often neglect the transferability of knowledge in pre-trained models by directly fixing their parameters. In contrast, KIND leverages learngenes that encapsulate class-agnostic knowledge as the backbone, offering superior transferability while remaining lightweight. Meanwhile, the tailors capture taskspecific knowledge, allowing KIND to achieve faster convergence and improved performance on downstream tasks.

# 5.4.3. ANALYSIS ON CLASS-AGNOSTIC KNOWLEDGE

As discussed earlier, learngenes provide a superior backbone compared to pre-trained models by encapsulating class-



*Figure 7.* Visualization of KIND w/ and w/o Tailers (i.e., learngene only) across 12 superclasses for 2 different seeds.

agnostic knowledge. To further investigate this, we analyze the properties of the class-agnostic knowledge encapsulated in learngenes. Table 5 compares learngenes themselves (i.e., w/o tailors) with pre-trained models on training tasks. The results reveal that learngenes demonstrate higher entropy, along with lower variance and kurtosis, suggesting that the class-agnostic knowledge they encapsulate is widely applicable across diverse classes. Such stability underscores that learngenes, as a backbone, offer better adaptability to unfamiliar classes than traditional pre-trained models.

We also visualize learngenes with and without tailors in Figure 7. The visualizations demonstrate that learngenes are not sensitive to category variations, consistently generating similar images across different class conditions. While these images may lack detailed semantic information on their own, combining them with class-specific knowledge (i.e., tailors) enables the generation of images corresponding to specific classes. This further underscores the inherent commonality of knowledge within learngenes.

# 6. Conclusion

In this study, we introduce KIND, a pre-training method for constructing decomposable models. KIND employs knowledge diversion during pre-training, separating class-agnostic knowledge into learngenes and class-specific knowledge into tailors. This approach enables the adaptive assembly of variable-sized models by selectively integrating relevant tailors. The class-agnostic knowledge within learngenes mitigates the challenges of tasks with large domain shifts, particularly when combined with randomly initialized tailors for task-specific fine-tuning. We demonstrate the effectiveness of KIND in resource-constrained scenarios and tasks with significant domain shifts, with further analysis and visualizations illustrating the robustness of the class-agnostic knowledge encapsulated in learngenes.

# Acknowledgement

We sincerely appreciate Freepik for contributing to the figure design. This research was supported by the Jiangsu Science Foundation (BK20243012, BG2024036, BK20230832), the National Science Foundation of China (62125602, U24A20324, 92464301, 62306073), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2022M720028), and the Xplorer Prize.

# **Impact Statement**

The broader impact of our work lies in how KIND redefines the training objectives of pre-trained models, enabling the construction of decomposable models that can be recombined to create models with variable sizes. This approach facilitates faster deployment, reduces resource consumption, and enhances adaptability across various tasks and datasets, offering significant value for both research and industrial applications in AI model scaling and transfer learning.

# References

- Achiam, J., Adler, S., Agarwal, S., Ahmad, L., Akkaya, I., Aleman, F. L., Almeida, D., Altenschmidt, J., Altman, S., Anadkat, S., et al. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023.
- Castells, T., Song, H.-K., Kim, B.-K., and Choi, S. Ldpruner: Efficient pruning of latent diffusion models using task-agnostic insights. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (CVPR'24), pp. 821–830, 2024.
- Chen, S., Ge, C., Tong, Z., Wang, J., Song, Y., Wang, J., and Luo, P. Adaptformer: Adapting vision transformers for scalable visual recognition. In *Proceedings of the Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems* (*NeurIPS'22*), pp. 16664–16678, 2022.
- Deng, J., Dong, W., Socher, R., Li, L.-J., Li, K., and Fei-Fei, L. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV'09), pp. 248–255, 2009.
- Ding, N., Qin, Y., Yang, G., Wei, F., Yang, Z., Su, Y., Hu, S., Chen, Y., Chan, C.-M., Chen, W., et al. Parameterefficient fine-tuning of large-scale pre-trained language models. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 5(3):220–235, 2023.
- Esser, P., Kulal, S., Blattmann, A., Entezari, R., Müller, J., Saini, H., Levi, Y., Lorenz, D., Sauer, A., Boesel, F., et al. Scaling rectified flow transformers for high-resolution image synthesis. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML'24)*, pp. 1–13, 2024.

- Feng, F., Wang, J., Zhang, C., Li, W., Yang, X., and Geng, X. Genes in intelligent agents. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.10225, 2023.
- Feng, F., Wang, J., and Geng, X. Transferring core knowledge via learngenes. arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.08139, 2024.
- Feng, F., Xie, Y., Wang, J., and Geng, X. Wave: Weight template for adaptive initialization of variable-sized models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR'25)*, pp. 1–10, 2025a.
- Feng, F., Xie, Y., Yang, X., Wang, J., and Geng, X. Redefining <creative> in dictionary: Towards an enhanced semantic understanding of creative generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR'25)*, 2025b.
- Gou J, Yu B, M. S. J. Knowledge distillation: A survey. International Journal of Computer Vision, 129(6):1789– 1819, 2021.
- Han, L., Li, Y., Zhang, H., Milanfar, P., Metaxas, D., and Yang, F. Svdiff: Compact parameter space for diffusion fine-tuning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference* on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR'23), pp. 7323–7334, 2023.
- Han, X., Zhang, Z., Ding, N., Gu, Y., Liu, X., Huo, Y., Qiu, J., Yao, Y., Zhang, A., Zhang, L., et al. Pre-trained models: Past, present and future. *AI Open*, 2:225–250, 2021.
- Han, Z., Gao, C., Liu, J., Zhang, J., and Zhang, S. Q. Parameter-efficient fine-tuning for large models: A comprehensive survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.14608*, 2024.
- Hayou, S., Ghosh, N., and Yu, B. Lora+: Efficient low rank adaptation of large models. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML'24)*, pp. 1–12, 2024.
- Hendrycks, D. and Gimpel, K. Gaussian error linear units (gelus). *arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.08415*, 2016.
- Heusel, M., Ramsauer, H., Unterthiner, T., Nessler, B., and Hochreiter, S. Gans trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium. In *Proceed*ings of the Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS'17), pp. 1–12, 2017.
- Houlsby, N., Giurgiu, A., Jastrzebski, S., Morrone, B., De Laroussilhe, Q., Gesmundo, A., Attariyan, M., and Gelly, S. Parameter-efficient transfer learning for nlp. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML'19)*, pp. 2790–2799, 2019.

- Hu, E. J., Wallis, P., Allen-Zhu, Z., Li, Y., Wang, S., Wang, L., Chen, W., et al. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR'22)*, pp. 1–13, 2022.
- Hu, Z., Wang, L., Lan, Y., Xu, W., Lim, E.-P., Bing, L., Xu, X., Poria, S., and Lee, R. Llm-adapters: An adapter family for parameter-efficient fine-tuning of large language models. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP'23)*, pp. 5254–5276, 2023.
- Huang, H., He, R., Sun, Z., Tan, T., et al. Introvae: Introspective variational autoencoders for photographic image synthesis. In *Proceedings of the Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS'18)*, pp. 1–12, 2018.
- Kynkäänniemi, T., Karras, T., Laine, S., Lehtinen, J., and Aila, T. Improved precision and recall metric for assessing generative models. In *Proceedings of the Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems* (*NeurIPS'19*), pp. 1–9, 2019.
- Liu, S.-y., Wang, C.-Y., Yin, H., Molchanov, P., Wang, Y.-C. F., Cheng, K.-T., and Chen, M.-H. Dora: Weightdecomposed low-rank adaptation. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML'24)*, pp. 1–13, 2024.
- Miller, G. A. Wordnet: a lexical database for english. *Communications of the ACM*, 38(11):39–41, 1995.
- Muralidharan, S., Sreenivas, S. T., Joshi, R. B., Chochowski, M., Patwary, M., Shoeybi, M., Catanzaro, B., Kautz, J., and Molchanov, P. Compact language models via pruning and knowledge distillation. In *Proceedings of the Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems* (*NeurIPS'24*), pp. 1–15, 2024.
- Nash, C., Menick, J., Dieleman, S., and Battaglia, P. Generating images with sparse representations. In *Proceed*ings of International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML'11), pp. 7958–7968, 2021.
- Peebles, W. and Xie, S. Scalable diffusion models with transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV'23)*, pp. 4195–4205, 2023.
- Qiu, X., Sun, T., Xu, Y., Shao, Y., Dai, N., and Huang, X. Pre-trained models for natural language processing: A survey. *Science China Technological Sciences*, 63(10): 1872–1897, 2020.
- Ramesh, A., Dhariwal, P., Nichol, A., Chu, C., and Chen, M. Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents. arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125, 1(2):3, 2022.

- Ren, H., Materzynska, J., Gandikota, R., Bau, D., and Torralba, A. Art-free generative models: Art creation without graphic art knowledge. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.00176*, 2024.
- Robb, E., Chu, W.-S., Kumar, A., and Huang, J.-B. Fewshot adaptation of generative adversarial networks. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2010.11943, 2020.
- Rosenstein, M. T., Marx, Z., Kaelbling, L. P., and Dietterich, T. G. To transfer or not to transfer. In *NIPS 2005 Workshop on Transfer Learning*, pp. 1–4, 2005.
- Salimans, T., Goodfellow, I., Zaremba, W., Cheung, V., Radford, A., and Chen, X. Improved techniques for training gans. In *Proceedings of the Annual Conference* on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS'16), pp. 1–9, 2016.
- Stein, G., Cresswell, J. C., Hosseinzadeh, R., Sui, Y., Ross, B. L., Villecroze, V., Liu, Z., Caterini, A. L., Taylor, E., and Loaiza-Ganem, G. Exposing flaws of generative model evaluation metrics and their unfair treatment of diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the Annual Conference* on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS'23), pp. 1–16, 2023.
- Sun, Y., Chen, Q., He, X., Wang, J., Feng, H., Han, J., Ding, E., Cheng, J., Li, Z., and Wang, J. Singular value finetuning: Few-shot segmentation requires few-parameters fine-tuning. In *Proceedings of the Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS'22)*, pp. 37484–37496, 2022.
- Touvron, H., Cord, M., Douze, M., Massa, F., Sablayrolles, A., and Jégou, H. Training data-efficient image transformers & distillation through attention. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML'21)*, pp. 10347–10357, 2021.
- Valipour, M., Rezagholizadeh, M., Kobyzev, I., and Ghodsi, A. Dylora: Parameter-efficient tuning of pre-trained models using dynamic search-free low-rank adaptation. In Proceedings of the Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pp. 3274–3287, 2023.
- Wang, Q., Geng, X., Lin, S., Xia, S.-Y., Qi, L., and Xu, N. Learngene: From open-world to your learning task. In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI'22), pp. 8557–8565, 2022.
- Wang, Q., Yang, X., Lin, S., and Geng, X. Learngene: Inheriting condensed knowledge from the ancestry model to descendant models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.02279*, 2023.

- Wang, Z., Dai, Z., Póczos, B., and Carbonell, J. Characterizing and avoiding negative transfer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR'24)*, pp. 11293–11302, 2019.
- Weinzierl, M. A. Esa hubble deep space images & captions. https://huggingface.co/datasets/ Supermaxman/esa-hubble, 2023.
- Xia, S., Zhang, M., Yang, X., Chen, R., Chen, H., and Geng, X. Transformer as linear expansion of learngene. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* (AAAI'24), pp. 16014–16022, 2024.
- Zhang, D., Li, S., Chen, C., Xie, Q., and Lu, H. Laptop-diff: Layer pruning and normalized distillation for compressing diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.11098*, 2024a.
- Zhang, F. and Pilanci, M. Spectral adapter: Fine-tuning in spectral space. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.13952, 2024.
- Zhang, F., Li, L., Chen, J., Jiang, Z., Wang, B., and Qian, Y. Increlora: Incremental parameter allocation method for parameter-efficient fine-tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.12043*, 2023.
- Zhang, J., Peng, H., Wu, K., Liu, M., Xiao, B., Fu, J., and Yuan, L. Minivit: Compressing vision transformers with weight multiplexing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (CVPR'22), pp. 12145–12154, 2022.
- Zhang, X., Wen, S., Han, L., Juefei-Xu, F., Srivastava, A., Huang, J., Wang, H., Tao, M., and Metaxas, D. N. Spectrum-aware parameter efficient fine-tuning for diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21050, 2024b.

# A. Training Details

### A.1. Details of Knowledge Diversion

Algorithm 1 presents the pseudo code for diverting classagnostic knowledge into learngenes and class-specific knowledge into tailors.

Algorithm 1 Diversion of Class-agnostic Knowledge and Class-specific Knowledge

**Input**: DiT f, Training dataset  $\mathcal{D} = \{(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})\}_{i=1}^m$  of  $N_{cls}$ classes, number of epochs  $N_{ep}$ , batch size B, learning rate  $\alpha$ **Output**: Learngene G

- 1: Randomly initialize the weight matrices  $\theta$  of f, as well as the matrices  $U_{\star}^{(l)}, \Sigma_{\star}^{(l)}$ , and  $V_{\star}^{(l)}$
- 2: for ep = 1 to  $N_{ep}$  do
- for each batch  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^B$  do 3:
- Update  $\theta$  of f with  $U_{\star}^{(l)}, \Sigma_{\star}^{(l)}$  and  $V_{\star}^{(l)}$  under the rule of 4: Eq. (5)
- Initialize class gate  $G \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times N_{cls}}$  according to labels of 5: images in this batch
- 6:
- For each  $x_i$ , forward propagate  $\hat{y}_i = f(x_i, G \cdot \theta)$ Calculate  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{batch}} = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^{B} \mathcal{L}(\hat{y}_i, y_i)$  according to 7: Eq. (1)

Backward propagate the loss  $\mathcal{L}_{batch}$  to compute 8: the gradients with respect to  $U_{\star}^{(l)}$ ,  $\Sigma_{\star}^{(l)}$  and  $V_{\star}^{(l)}$ :  $\nabla_U \mathcal{L}_{\text{batch}}, \nabla_\Sigma \mathcal{L}_{\text{batch}} \text{ and } \nabla_V \mathcal{L}_{\text{batch}}$ 

9: Update the learngenes 
$$U_{G,\star}^{(l)}, \Sigma_{G,\star}^{(l)}$$
 and  $V_{G,\star}^{(l)}$ :  
 $U_{G,\star}^{(l)} := U_{G,\star}^{(l)} - \alpha \cdot \nabla_U \mathcal{L}_{batch},$   
 $\Sigma_{G,\star}^{(l)} := \Sigma_{G,\star}^{(l)} - \alpha \cdot \nabla_{\nabla} \mathcal{L}_{batch}$   
 $V_{G,\star}^{(l)} := V_{G,\star}^{(l)} - \alpha \cdot \nabla_V \mathcal{L}_{batch}$   
10: Update the tailors  $U_{T_i,\star}^{(l)}, \Sigma_{T_i,\star}^{(l)}$  and  $V_{T_i,\star}^{(l)}$ :  
 $U_{T_i,\star}^{(l)} := U_{T_i,\star}^{(l)} - \alpha \cdot G(\nabla_U \mathcal{L}_{batch})$   
 $\Sigma_{T_i,\star}^{(l)} := \Sigma_{T_i,\star}^{(l)} - \alpha \cdot G(\nabla_\Sigma \mathcal{L}_{batch})$   
 $V_{T_i,\star}^{(l)} := V_{T_i,\star}^{(l)} - \alpha \cdot G(\nabla_V \mathcal{L}_{batch})$ 

12: end for

### A.2. Hyper-parameters

Table 6 presents the basic settings, including learning rate, training steps and the number of learngene components  $N_G$ and tailor components  $N_T$  for KIND integrating and diverting knowledge. And Table 7 presents the hyper-parameters of PEFT and other learngene methods on 18 downstream tasks. Apart from general hyper-parameters, we also record the hyper-parameters specific to each method. Among them, the parameter r of Lora, PiSSA, Dora and LoHA denotes the rank and the r in OFT denotes the block number respectively.

#### A.3. Details of Downstream Tasks

Table 9 presents the details of 18 downstream tasks, which are sorted by the class numbers in each task. Each task is composed of  $c \in [7, 35]$  novel classes, where the classes Table 6. Hyper-parameters for KIND diverting knowledge on training classes of ImageNet-1K.

Training Settings	Configuration
optimizer	AdamW
learning rate	1e-4
weight decay	0
batch size	256
training steps	200,000
image size	256×256
VAE	ema
DiT block	adaLN-Zero
$N_G$ (DiT-B/-L)	318 / 424
$N_T$ (DiT-B/-L)	3/4

merged into superclasses in ImageNet1K and their corresponding superclasses are listed in Table 10 and Table 11, while the rest remain the same as the classes in ImageNet-1K.

# **B. Additional Results**

We provide more images of novel classes generated by our KIND which is a DiT-L/2 model composed of learngenes and tailors at  $256 \times 256$  resolution, as shown in Figure 8-15.

Methods	Batch Size	<b>Iraining Steps</b>	Learning Rate (DiT-B / -L)								Ran	k or 1	Block	Num	ber 1	2						
		-		Task ID	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8	#9	#10	#11	#12	#13	#14	#15	#16	#17	#18
OFT	256	50K	1e-4		21	11	8	7	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
Lora	512	50K	1e-3	-B	21	39	54	60	69	72	78	78	78	84	84	87	90	90	93	99	102	105
				-L	28	52	72	80	92	96	104	104	104	112	112	116	120	120	124	132	136	140
PiSSA	256	50K	1e-3	-B	21	39	54	60	69	72	78	78	78	84	84	87	90	90	93	99	102	105
				-L	28	52	72	80	92	96	104	104	104	112	112	116	120	120	124	132	136	140
Dora	256	50K	1e-3	-B	21	39	54	60	69	72	78	78	78	84	84	87	90	90	93	99	102	105
				-L	28	52	72	80	92	96	104	104	104	112	112	116	120	120	124	132	136	140
LoHA	256	50K	1e-3	-B	10	19	27	30	34	36	39	39	39	42	42	43	45	45	46	49	51	52
GT 10-100				-L	14	26	36	40	46	48	52	52	52	56	56	58	60	60	62	66	68	70
SVDiff	256	50K	5e-3/3e-3																			
Heru-LG	256	50K	1e-4																			
Auto-LG	256	50K	1e-4																			
KIND	256	50K	1e-3																			
Full FT	256	50K	1e-4																			

Table 7. Hyper-parameters for PEFT and learngene methods when fine-tuning on novel classes of ImageNet-1K.

Table 8. Detailed FID of PEFT and learngene methods when fine-tuning on each novel classes.

	м	-4h - J -									Task 1	D								
	IVI	ethods	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8	#9	#10	#11	#12	#13	#14	#15	#16	#17	#18
		SVDiff	143.4	144.9	140.6	112.3	112.5	114.2	117.4	104.3	108.6	107.5	102.3	93.6	97.5	108.9	109.6	95.2	81.6	100.6
	_	OFT	92.3	90.4	93.7	71.9	76.0	86.7	82.3	72.6	74.5	76.9	65.4	63.0	67.8	77.3	78.1	64.4	63.7	75.2
	Ξ	Lora	85.5	94.2	97.7	75.8	80.3	89.2	89.4	76.6	76.2	83.1	68.9	64.7	70.5	78.7	79.1	67.0	63.3	78.6
	PE	PiSSA	83.0	89.4	93.0	69.3	73.8	82.1	81.1	69.4	71.6	76.2	64.3	60.5	64.3	74.4	70.2	60.7	59.7	71.1
Ξ		LoHa	94.9	100.8	108.3	84.3	88.2	95.8	97.5	85.5	86.6	90.6	78.9	73.2	79.3	88.8	88.0	76.4	69.4	86.9
D		Dora	82.9	91.6	94.0	73.1	77.8	87.2	87.8	73.9	75.4	79.0	67.8	64.2	69.6	77.0	78.6	65.2	62.2	77.0
		Heru-LG	98.7	111.1	122.4	97.0	102.5	114.4	122.2	95.1	99.5	108.4	87.8	90.5	91.7	103.6	101.8	94.4	88.3	100.2
	2	Auto-LG	107.8	113.7	129.3	105.6	100.1	117.7	112.3	100.5	100.3	105.7	89.9	91.4	93.7	105.1	101.7	99.1	87.3	99.9
		KIND	55.0	73.4	70.4	52.7	58.3	65.2	59.7	47.8	51.9	56.7	42.7	43.7	44.6	56.3	62.8	43.5	39.8	52.0
	FT	Full FT	56.3	75.5	78.1	59.9	65.1	72.6	70.1	58.6	60.1	66.1	54.2	51.4	53.8	63.7	63.3	52.8	51.5	62.7
		SVDiff	118.2	132.0	127.2	98.3	97.2	103.0	105.6	92.3	98.3	97.2	92.9	84.1	90.5	102.3	110.4	109.0	76.3	92.3
	r .	OFT	59.4	71.4	72.4	52.3	57.9	65.1	64.5	55.1	60.4	58.6	48.7	50.1	52.7	62.2	60.8	50.1	51.1	61.9
	E	Lora	54.6	72.5	72.0	55.9	59.0	65.7	65.1	53.7	54.5	61.6	48.7	49.4	50.3	57.4	57.1	47.5	46.1	59.7
	PE	PiSSA	52.6	68.9	67.0	50.2	54.1	60.8	58.4	49.2	48.4	55.4	43.1	44.4	44.3	53.1	48.6	41.1	41.9	50.6
Ξ		LoHa	65.3	78.7	83.3	63.9	69.6	77.6	78.3	66.1	66.7	73.8	62.2	59.0	62.5	68.6	68.1	59.3	56.1	72.5
Di		Dora	52.2	71.3	68.0	52.9	56.7	64.3	62.4	52.2	51.1	58.7	46.9	47.0	47.9	56.0	55.7	44.9	45.1	56.2
		Heru-LG	73.3	92.6	97.1	79.9	86.2	94.4	94.5	77.8	82.2	88.8	72.4	71.9	77.2	85.8	85.1	74.8	71.9	84.0
	E	Auto-LG	66.5	81.1	82.6	69.3	70.0	80.4	76.3	66.6	67.4	72.8	58.8	59.3	61.0	70.9	69.3	64.9	58.1	70.2
		KIND	39.0	66.2	61.8	44.2	46.0	54.7	47.5	39.1	40.0	46.3	33.2	36.3	34.7	45.9	43.9	31.5	30.9	40.8
	F	Full FT	38.0	64.1	61.9	44.6	45.5	56.1	50.8	41.4	41.2	48.5	36.1	38.6	38.2	47.4	43.4	35.3	34.9	44.1

Task				Superc	lasses of Im	ageNet				
#1	n02510455	n02509815	n01662784	n02118333	n02083346	n02437616	n02457408			
#2	n03187595 n03854065	n03788365 n03868863	n03933933 n07711569	n04273569	n03843555	n03400231	n03325584	n09472597	n03874293	n04591713
#3	n07753592 n06785654	n03763968 n04131690	n03109150 n02794156	n09399592 n02971356	n03903868 n02056570	n03720891 n02965783	n02939185 n04243546	n03908714 n06359193	n04014297	n02804414
#4	n02877765 n03717622	n04238763 n04041544	n04009552 n03873416	n03666591 n04467665	n07614500 n03394916	n09332890 n03272010	n01629276 n04118538	n04483307 n04367480	n03291819 n04447861	n02120997 n03775071
#5	n04086273 n04090263 n04146614	n04141076 n04557648 n04525305	n03657121 n03016953 n04264628	n03379051 n02808304	n02401031 n02879718	n01503061 n03724870	n03840681 n04423845	n04380533 n02917067	n03871628 n03691459	n11879895 n02672831
#6	n03496892 n04005630 n09421951	n06874185 n03065424 n07760859	n04392985 n04200800 n04133789	n03485794 n02823750 n07565083	n03982430 n03344393	n04540053 n04325704	n03602883 n03220513	n02871525 n03498962	n02978881 n04356056	n03961711 n03347037
#7	n04332243 n04265275 n04524313	n02883205 n03028079 n03110669	n03405725 n07920052 n03764736	n03017168 n03954731 n12267677	n04553703 n04141327 n02676566	n03777568 n03255030 n03417042	n02951358 n03447447	n07720875 n00002684	n03637318 n03530642	n02090827 n03425413
#8	n03676483 n02317335 n01769347	n02865351 n02815834 n07880968	n03792972 n03388043 n03197337	n02974003 n03529860 n03876231	n02906734 n02817516 n02699494	n07860988 n03761084 n03472232	n03249569 n09246464	n00021265 n03899768	n02727426 n03970156	n03782006 n04485082
#9	n02121808 n02512053 n02669723	n07734744 n04517823 n03000134	n03424325 n02730930 n02793495	n03494278 n03133878 n02766320	n03935335 n03259280 n03649909	n03690938 n04376876 n04125021	n03240683 n03803284	n03467068 n03920288	n02980441 n02966193	n03450230 n02814860
#10	n03985232 n02769748 n02704792	n03590841 n02791270 n03384352	n03388549 n03814639 n03785016	n04065272 n03481172 n03459775	n03633091 n03692522 n03599486	n02916936 n04501370 n01806143	n03201208 n03584829 n03294048	n04208210 n02843684 n03995372	n02988304 n04252225	n09229709 n03196217
#11	n04341686 n03180011 n03793489	n03603722 n03532672 n02268148	n04081281 n03540267 n04209239	n03623198 n02356798 n04266014	n03497657 n03662601 n01861778	n02690373 n04277352 n03062245	n09193705 n04204238 n03179701	n04486054 n04204347 n11939491	n01986214 n04530566	n01639765 n04033901
#12	n04111531 n02797295 n02153203	n04597913 n04228054 n03207941	n07932039 n03207743 n03908618	n04118776 n01882714 n03796401	n02859443 n07716906 n07697313	n04523525 n03216828 n02898711	n02077923 n04589890 n04548362	n03938244 n03063689 n03290653	n07707451 n03630383 n02930766	n04371430 n04252077
#13	n03000247 n03998194 n03838899	n04040759 n03443371 n04192698	n04590129 n03983396 n02837789	n03492542 n03902125 n02074367	n03733805 n03598930 n02701002	n04044716 n01844917 n07717070	n01877812 n04509417 n03977966	n04418357 n02441326 n12992868	n09428293 n02786058 n03445777	n03045698 n03134739 n04162706
#14	n03538406 n09288635 n03980874	n03314780 n04033995 n04596742	n03916031 n03929855 n03457902	n04310018 n03733281 n04536866	n04074963 n04562935 n03085013	n04462240 n03124043 n03527444	n03250847 n03682487 n04099969	n01704323 n04487081 n04141975	n07753113 n03743016 n04326547	n04532106 n03670208 n02825657
#15	n04417672 n04552348 n01604330 n02454379	n02966687 n07831146 n03891332	n03868242 n04149813 n04613696	n02692877 n03787032 n04592741	n04435653 n03791053 n02687172	n04039381 n04357314 n02782093	n02084071 n04476259 n04525038	n02776631 n02129604 n02835271	n02950826 n03791235 n01674464	n04350905 n03992509 n07742313
#16	n02910353 n02909870 n03208938 n03584254	n02323902 n04311174 n01976146 n04019541	n03327234 n04067472 n02062744 n03461385	n01726692 n04270147 n03697007	n03095699 n04344873 n03476684	n04443257 n03777754 n02469914	n04201297 n03658185 n04458633	n02667093 n03706229 n02274259	n04584207 n07836838 n10565667	n04328186 n03770679 n01872401
#17	n03063599 n01514668 n04230808 n02329401	n04576211 n03476991 n03141823 n03160309	n03841143 n04229816 n00001930 n03721384	n03617480 n03776460 n03485407 n03857828	n02992211 n04429376 n04372370	n04251144 n01696633 n04285008	n04239074 n01905661 n03032252	n02131653 n03594945 n04286575	n04254120 n04370456 n02894605	n02979186 n02159955 n03709823
#18	n02870880 n03661043 n10148035 n04505470	n03127747 n04548280 n04531098 n03825788	n02880940 n04235860 n03814906 n03794056	n04346328 n02807133 n02927161 n03929660	n04482393 n02790996 n04296562 n03742115	n03800933 n03877472 n03729826	n04152593 n07892512 n04023962	n03051540 n07871810 n01768244	n03042490 n03866082 n00003553	n04317175 n07875152 n04127249

Table 9. Details of superclasses in each downstream task

Superclass				Classes of	ImageNet			
n02084071	n02085620	n02085782	n02085936	n02086079	n02086240	n02086646	n02086910	n02087046
	n02087394	n02088094	n02088238	n02088364	n02088466	n02088632	n02089078	n02089867
	n02089973	n02090379	n02090622	n02090721	n02091244	n02091467	n02091635	n02091831
	n02092002 n02094114	n02092339	n02093256	n02093428	n0209364/	n02093754	n02093859	n02093991
	n02096294	n02096437	n02096585	n02097047	n02097130	n02097209	n02097298	n02097474
	n02097658	n02098105	n02098286	n02098413	n02099267	n02099429	n02099601	n02099712
	n02099849	n02100236	n02100583	n02100735	n02100877	n02101006	n02101388	n02101556
	n02102040	n02102177	n02102318	n02102480	n02102973	n02104029	n02104365	n02105056
	n02105162	n02105251	n02105412	n02105505	n02105641	n02105855	n02106030	n02106166
	n02108000	n02108089	n02108422	n02108551	n02108915	n02109047	n02109525	n02107908
	n02110063	n02110185	n02110341	n02110627	n02110806	n02110958	n02111129	n02111277
	n02111500	n02111889	n02112018	n02112137	n02112350	n02112706	n02113023	n02113186
	n02113624	n02113712	n02113799	n02113978				
n01503061	n01530575	n01531178	n01532829	n01534433	n01537544	n01558993	n01560419	n01580077
	n01582220	n01592084	n01601694	n01608432	n01817953	n01818515	n01819313	n01820546
	n01824373	n01828970	n01829413	n01855805	n01843003	n01843383	n02002336	n02002724
	n02018207	n02018795	n02005225	n02027492	n02028035	n02033041	n02013700	n02051845
	n02058221	1102010795	102020200	102027 192	102020035	102033011	1102037110	102001010
n02159955	n02165105	n02165456	n02167151	n02168699	n02169497	n02172182	n02174001	n02177972
	n02190166	n02206856	n02219486	n02226429	n02229544	n02231487	n02233338	n02236044
	n02256656	n02259212	n02264363					
n02469914	n02481823	n02483362	n02483708	n02484975	n02486261	n02486410	n02487347	n02488291
	n02488702	n02489166	n02490219	n02492035	n02492660	n02493509	n02493793	n02494079
	n02497673	n02500267						
n01726692	n01728572	n01728920	n01729322	n01729977	n01734418	n01735189	n01737021	n01739381
	n01756291	101/421/2	n01/44401	101748204	101749939	101/31/48	101/33488	101/33381
n02512053	n01/30291	n01///3537	n01/18/1850	n01/101361	n01/10//75	n01/106331	n01/080/11	p02514041
1102312033	n02526121	n02536864	n02606052	n02607072	n02640242	n02641379	n02643566	n02655020
n01674464	n01675722	n01677366	p01682714	p01685808	n01687078	n01688243	n01689811	n01602333
1010/4404	n01693334	n01694178	n01695060	101005000	101007770	101000245	101009011	101072333
n02401031	p02403003	n02408429	p02410509	p02412080	n02415577	p02/1701/	p02422106	n02/22600
1102401031	n02403003	110240042)	1102410307	1102412000	1102413377	1102417714	1102422100	1102422077
n01769347	n01770081	n01773157	n01773549	n01773797	n01774384	n01774750	n01775062	n01776313
n02083346	n02114367	n02114548	n02114712	n02114855	n02115641	n02115913	n02116738	n02117135
n02441326	n02441942	n02442845	n02443114	n02443484	n02444819	n02445715	n02447366	
n12992868	n12985857	n12998815	n13037406	n13040303	n13044778	n13052670	n13054560	
n02153203	n01795545	n01796340	n01797886	n01798484	n01806567	n01807496		
n02120997	n02125311	n02127052	n02128385	n02128757	n02128925	n02130308		
n02274259	n02276258	n02277742	n02279972	n02280649	n02281406	n02281787		
n04531098	n02795169	n02808440	n03950228	n04049303	n04398044	n04493381		
n01629276	n01629819	n01630670	n01631663	n01632458	n01632777			
n01662784	n01664065	n01665541	n01667114	n01667778	n01669191			
n01905661	n01924916	n01950731	n01955084	n01990800	n02321529			
n02121808	n02123045	n02123159	n02123394	n02123597	n02124075			
n02329401	n02342885	n02346627	n02361337	n02363005	n02364673			
n04341686	n03781244	n03788195	n03837869	n03877845	n03956157			

Table 10. Details of superclasses in ImageNet-1	K
---	---

Superclass		Classes of	ImageNet		Superclass	Classes of 1	mageNet
n01976957	n01978287	n01978455	n01980166	n01981276	n02134971	n02137549	n02138441
n02118333	n02119022	n02119789	n02120079	n02120505	n02268148	n02268443	n02268853
n02110555	n02119022	n021137161	n02120075	n02120303	n03906997	n02200443   n02783161	n03388183
n02131055	n02981792	n039/7888	n04147183	n04612504	n03500557	n02703101	n01616318
n04330300	n02570787	n07583066	n0758/110	n07500611	n01606633	n01607457	n01608640
n01630765	n01641577	n01644373	n01644900	107570011	n010/0035	n010/3800	n01068807
n01039703	n01855032	n01855672	n01860187		n01940730	n01943899	n01045685
$\frac{1101044917}{$	n01853052	n01855072	n02504458		n01942177	n01944390	n02071204
m00002684	n01014600	n02304013	n02304438		m020002744	n02001022	n020011294
n01076146	n01914009	n01084605	n09236479		m02090827	n02091032	n02128441
	101983481	02226422	02229150		102134971	02269442	02269952
n02323902	n02325366	n02326432	n02328150		n02268148	n02268443	n02268853
n02395003	n02395406	n02396427	n02397096		n03906997	n02783161	n03388183
n03472232	n02777292	n03535780	n03888605		n03001627	n02791124	n03376595
n03800933	n02787622	n02804610	n03884397		n00001930	n02799071	n09835506
n03791235	n02814533	n03100240	n03930630		n04235291	n02860847	n03218198
n03497657	n02869837	n03124170	n04259630		n04014297	n02895154	n03146219
n03405725	n03018349	n03337140	n04550184		n02883344	n03014705	n03127925
n04576211	n03272562	n03393912	n03895866		n03540267	n03026506	n04254777
n04230808	n03534580	n03770439	n04136333		n03380867	n03047690	n03680355
n02898711	n04311004	n04366367	n04532670		n03682487	n03075370	n03874599
n07707451	n07714571	n07716358	n07718747		n02766320	n03125729	n03131574
n01604330	n01614925	n01616318			n03928116	n03452741	n04515003
n01696633	n01697457	n01698640			n04464852	n03478589	n04389033
n01940736	n01943899	n01968897			n03985232	n03642806	n03832673
n01942177	n01944390	n01945685			n04524313	n03673027	n04347754
n02062744	n02066245	n02071294			n03051540	n03710637	n03710721
n02090827	n02091032	n02091134			n04565375	n03773504	n04008634
n02880940	n03775546	n04263257			n03294048	n03924679	n04004767
n03327234	n03930313	n04604644			n02942699	n03976467	n04069434
n03603722	n04560804	n04579145			n07679356	n07684084	n07695742
n07717070	n07717410	n07717556			n00003553	n12057211	n12620546
n13134947	n12144580	n13133613					

Table 11. Details of superclasses in ImageNet-1K (continued)



Figure 8. Images of n02510455 generated by KIND.

Figure 9. Images of n02509815 generated by KIND.



Figure 10. Images of n01882714 generated by KIND.

Figure 11. Images of n02120997 generated by KIND.



Figure 12. Images of n01503061 generated by KIND.

Figure 13. Images of n09193705 generated by KIND.



Figure 14. Images of n09472597 generated by KIND.

Figure 15. Images of n09399592 generated by KIND.