VISION-LSTM: XLSTM AS GENERIC VISION BACKBONE

Benedikt Alkin 1,2 Maximilian Beck 1,3 Korbinian Pöppel 1,3 Sepp Hochreiter^{1,2,3} Johannes Brandstetter^{1,2}

¹ELLIS Unit Linz, Institute for Machine Learning, JKU Linz, Austria ²Emmi AI GmbH, Linz, Austria ³NXAI GmbH, Linz, Austria {alkin,brandstetter}@ml.jku.at

ABSTRACT

Transformers are widely used as generic backbones in computer vision, despite initially introduced for natural language processing. Recently, the Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) has been extended to a scalable and performant architecture – the xLSTM – which overcomes long-standing LSTM limitations via exponential gating and parallelizable matrix memory structure. In this paper, we introduce Vision-LSTM (ViL), an adaption of the xLSTM building blocks to computer vision. ViL comprises a stack of xLSTM blocks where odd blocks process the sequence of patch tokens from top to bottom while even blocks go from bottom to top. ViL achieves strong performances on classification, transfer learning and segmentation tasks as well as a beneficial pre-training cost-to-performance trade-off. Experiments show that ViL holds promise to be further deployed as new generic backbone for computer vision architectures.

Project page: <https://nx-ai.github.io/vision-lstm/>

Figure 1: The efficient and scalable design of Vision-LSTM shows strong performances, uses less FLOPS than Transformer/Mamba counterparts and scales linear to higher resolutions. Performance is averaged over ImageNet accuracy, ADE20K mIoU and VTAB-1K accuracy.

1 INTRODUCTION

Language modeling architectures — such as Transformers [\(Vaswani et al., 2017;](#page-15-0) [Achiam et al.,](#page-10-0) [2023;](#page-10-0) [Team et al., 2023\)](#page-14-0) or more recently State Space Models [\(Gu et al., 2021;](#page-12-0) [Gupta et al., 2022\)](#page-12-1) such as Mamba (Gu & Dao, 2023) — are commonly adapted to the domain of computer vision to make use of their powerful modeling capabilities. However, in natural language processing, an input sentence is typically encoded into tokens that represent words or common subwords [\(Bostrom](#page-10-1) [& Durrett, 2020\)](#page-10-1) via a discrete vocabulary. To encode images into a set of tokens, Vision Transformer [\(Dosovitskiy et al., 2021\)](#page-11-0) (ViT) proposed to group an input image into non-overlapping patches (of e.g. 16x16 pixel), linearly project them into a sequence of so-called patch tokens and add positional information to these tokens. This sequence can then be processed by language modeling architectures.

Figure 2: Schematic overview of Vision-LSTM (ViL). Following ViT [\(Dosovitskiy et al., 2021\)](#page-11-0), an input image is split into patches and linearly projected. Then, a learnable vector is added per position to the patches, producing a sequence of patch tokens. This sequence is then processed by alternating mLSTM blocks where even blocks flip the sequence before and after the mLSTM layer. For classification, ViL uses the concatenation of the first and the last patch as input to a linear classification head. ViL is an isotropic architecture, i.e., all blocks have the same input and output dimension and no downsampling layers are used except the initial patch embedding. Projection layers process each patch individually and the mLSTM exchanges information between patches.

The Extended Long Short-Term Memory (xLSTM) family [\(Beck et al., 2024\)](#page-10-2) was recently introduced as a new architecture for language modeling. It demonstrates the resurgence of LSTM in the LLM era, performing favorably against the likes of Transformers and State Space Models (SSMs). Analogous to existing vision versions of Transformers or SSMs, e.g., ViT [\(Dosovitskiy et al., 2021\)](#page-11-0) or Vision Mamba [\(Zhu et al., 2024\)](#page-16-0), which have produced great results in various computer vision tasks [\(Singh et al., 2023;](#page-14-1) [Kirillov et al., 2023;](#page-13-0) [Oquab et al., 2023;](#page-14-2) [Peebles & Xie, 2023;](#page-14-3) [Alkin et al.,](#page-10-3) [2024b\)](#page-10-3), we introduce Vision LSTM (ViL) – a generic computer vision backbone that uses xLSTM blocks as its core components. To adjust xLSTM (an autoregressive model) to computer vision (an often non-autoregressive domain), we employ a stack of alternating mLSTM blocks [\(Beck et al.,](#page-10-2) [2024\)](#page-10-2) where odd blocks process patches row-wise from top left to bottom right and even blocks go from bottom right to top left. This simple alternating design allows ViL to efficiently process non-sequential inputs, such as images, without introducing additional computations.

Similar to vision adaptions of SSMs [\(Liu et al., 2024;](#page-13-1) [Zhu et al., 2024;](#page-16-0) [Wang et al., 2024\)](#page-15-1), ViL can exhibit linear computational and memory complexity w.r.t. sequence length which makes it appealing for tasks that benefit from high-resolution images such as medical imaging [\(Chen et al.,](#page-11-1) [2021;](#page-11-1) [Hatamizadeh et al., 2022;](#page-12-3) [Valanarasu et al., 2021;](#page-15-2) [Xu et al., 2024\)](#page-15-3), segmentation [\(Kirillov](#page-13-0) [et al., 2023;](#page-13-0) [Cheng et al., 2022\)](#page-11-2), or physics simulations [\(Bi et al., 2023;](#page-10-4) [Nguyen et al., 2023;](#page-13-2) [Bodnar](#page-10-5) [et al., 2024;](#page-10-5) [Alkin et al., 2024a\)](#page-10-6). In contrast, ViT's computational complexity scales quadratically due to the self-attention mechanism, rendering them costly to apply to high-resolution tasks.

Our contributions summarize as follows:

- We introduce Vision-LSTM (ViL), an adaption of the mLSTM to computer vision tasks that can serve as a generic vision backbone with linear complexity.
- We show modeling capacity and generalization in the common vision benchmark of pretraining models on ImageNet-1K, followed by fine-tuning on transfer classification and semantic segmentation tasks.
- We ablate various architectural design choices to evaluate their impact on performance and provide insights into the model design.
- We discuss potential future directions and current limitations that, once addressed, will improve ViL even further.

2 METHOD

Vision-LSTM (ViL) introduces xLSTM [\(Beck et al., 2024\)](#page-10-2) to computer vision, similar to other vision adaptions of sequence modeling architectures, e.g., Vision Transformers [\(Dosovitskiy et al.,](#page-11-0) [2021\)](#page-11-0), Vision Mamba [\(Zhu et al., 2024\)](#page-16-0), or Vision RWKV [\(Duan et al., 2024\)](#page-12-4).

2.1 PRELIMINARIES

In the notation of sequence modeling, we consider a series of input vectors $x_t \in \mathbb{R}^D$. This series is created by reshaping an image $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} \in \mathbb{R}^{H_I \times W_I \times C_{in}}$ into a sequence of flattened 2D patches $\bar{X} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{T\times (H_P\cdot W_P\cdot C_{\text{in}})}$ and then projected to $\boldsymbol{X}\in\mathbb{R}^{T\times D}$ via a shared linear projection. D is the hidden dimension, (H_I, W_I) is the image resolution, C_{in} is the number of image channels, T is the number of patches and (H_P, W_P) is the patch size. After creating a sequence of patches, ViL iteratively refines the features of the patch sequence by processing it with a stack of mLSTM blocks where the sequence is flipped within every second block.

The key innovations of the mLSTM [\(Beck et al., 2024\)](#page-10-2) are the enhanced storage capacity compared to the classical LSTM [\(Hochreiter & Schmidhuber, 1997\)](#page-13-3) by using a matrix memory cell $C \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ instead of a scalar memory cell $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and introducing exponential gates (instead of sigmoid gates) to the input and forget gates, where d is the hidden dimension within the mLSTM block (typically $d = 2D$).

Intuitively, the mLSTM is a more expressive and faster version of the classical LSTM that can be efficiently parallelized on modern hardware. In ViL, the mLSTM is used to process dependencies between patches, similar to how the attention exchanges information between patches in a ViT. The mLSTM is embedded into a gated MLP architecture, as shown on the right of Figure [2,](#page-1-0) where the weight matrices of the MLP process each patch individually and the mLSTM exchanges information between patches. For completeness, we outline the forward pass of the mLSTM in the following paragraphs.

The mLSTM [\(Beck et al., 2024\)](#page-10-2) is a recurrent neural network, which maps a state $(h_{t-1}, C_{t-1}, n_{t-1})$ to a successor state (h_t, C_t, n_t) given input x_{t-1} . Thereby, $h_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ denotes the hidden state, $C_t \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is the cell state and $n_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ corresponds to a normalizer state. The full forward pass of the mLSTM is as follows [\(Beck et al., 2024\)](#page-10-2):

 $\bm{h}_t \ = \ \bm{o}_t \ \odot \ \tilde{\bm{h}}_t \qquad \qquad \qquad \tilde{\bm{h}}_t \ = \ \bm{C}_t \bm{q}_t \ /\ \max \big\{ |\bm{n}_t^\top \bm{q}_t|, 1 \big\}$ hidden state (3)

$$
q_t = W_q x_t + b_q \qquad \qquad \text{query input} \qquad (4)
$$

$$
k_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} W_k x_t + b_k
$$
 key input (5)

 $v_t = W_v x_t + b_v$ value input (6) $i_t = \exp(\tilde{i}_t)$ $\tilde{i}_t = \boldsymbol{w}_i^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}_t + b_i$ input gate (7)

$$
f_t = \exp(\tilde{f}_t) \qquad \qquad \tilde{f}_t = \boldsymbol{w}_f^\top \boldsymbol{x}_t + b_f \qquad \qquad \text{forget gate} \qquad (8)
$$

$$
o_t = \sigma(\tilde{f}o_t) \qquad \qquad \tilde{o}_t = W_o x_t + b_o \qquad \qquad \text{output gate} \qquad (9)
$$

As exponential activation functions can lead to large activations, the input and forget gates are stabilized with an additional state m_t :

 $m_t = \max\left(\log(f_t) + \mathbf{m}_{t-1}, \log(f_t)\right)$ stabilizer state (10)

$$
i'_{t} = \exp\left(\log(i_{t}) - m_{t}\right) = \exp\left(\tilde{i} - m_{t}\right)
$$
stabilized input gate (11)

$$
f' = \exp\left(\log(f_{t}) + m_{t-1} - m_{t}\right)
$$
stabilized forget state (12)

$$
f'_t = \exp\left(\log(f_t) + m_{t-1} - m_t\right) \qquad \text{stabilized forget gate} \tag{12}
$$

As the mLSTM has no memory mixing, i.e, interactions between hidden states from one timestep to the next, it can be fully parallelized for fast computation on modern hardware. For a detailed discussion and theory of the cell state update, further details to the mLSTM we refer to the original work [\(Beck et al., 2024\)](#page-10-2).

2.2 VISION-LSTM (VIL)

Vision-LSTM (ViL) is a generic backbone for computer vision tasks, which is residually built from mLSTM blocks, as visualized in Figure [2.](#page-1-0) Following ViT [\(Dosovitskiy et al., 2021\)](#page-11-0), ViL first splits an image into non-overlapping patches via a shared linear projection, then adds learnable positional embeddings to each patch token. At the core of ViL are alternating mLSTM blocks, which are fully parallelizable and equipped with a matrix memory combined with a covariance update rule. Odd mLSTM blocks process patch tokens from top left to bottom right while even blocks go from bottom right to top left.

Formally, the forward pass of a pair of ViL blocks is:

$$
Y' = X + \text{Block}_{\theta}(X) \tag{13}
$$

$$
Y = Y' + \text{Flip}(\text{Block}_{\phi}(\text{Flip}(Y'))) \tag{14}
$$

Where "Flip" reverses the sequence and "Block ϕ " and "Block ϕ " corresponds to mLSTM blocks with parameters θ and ϕ (shown in Figure [2,](#page-1-0) right).

A key motivation of ViL is that the autoregressive mLSTM can operate in a recurrent, parallel or chunkwise mode, each with distinct FLOPS and runtime characteristics. Given a sequence length T and hidden dimension d, the complexity of the recurrent mode is $\mathcal{O}(Td^2)$ and needs to be processed sequentially, whereas the parallel mode has complexity $O(T^2d)$ and is fully parallelizable. The chunkwise mode combines the advantages of the other modes by introducing a chunksize S where the parallel mode is used within chunks and the recurrent mode between chunks. This allows high parallelization, minimal operations and linear scaling with T . Complexity wise, the chunkwise mode has $\mathcal{O}(\frac{T}{S}S^2d + \frac{T}{S}d^2)$ or $\mathcal{O}(TSd + \frac{T}{S}d^2)$ where $\frac{T}{S}$ corresponds to the number of chunks.

3 EXPERIMENTS

Figure 3: Performance overview of ImageNet-1K pre-trained models in relation to pre-training compute. ViL shows strong performances across classification (ImageNet-1K), semantic segmentation (ADE20K) and transfer classification (VTAB-1K) tasks.

We pre-train models on ImageNet-1K [\(Deng et al., 2009\)](#page-11-3), which contains 1.3M training images and 50K validation images where each image belongs to one of 1000 classes. ViL models are trained for 800 epochs (tiny) or 400 epochs (small, base) on 192x192 resolution with a learning rate of 1e-3 using a cosine decay schedule. Afterwards, the model is fine-tuned on 224x224 resolution for 20 epochs using a learning rate of 1e-5. Detailed hyperparameters can be found in Appendix Table [10.](#page-19-0)

We then transfer the pre-trained models to serveral benchmark tasks: ImageNet-1K classification on the validation set, ADE20K [\(Zhou et al., 2019\)](#page-16-1) semantic segmentation and VTAB-1K [\(Zhai](#page-15-4) [et al., 2019\)](#page-15-4) classification. These benchmarks evaluate global image understanding (ImageNet-1K), semantic local and global understanding (ADE20K) and few-shot generalization to a diverse set of Table 1: ImageNet-1K pre-training accuracy. All models use a patch size of 16x16 with 224x224 resolution at most. Models with "+" in their "Epochs" column pre-train on lower resolution followed by fine-tuning on 224x224 resolution for some epochs. ViL performs favorably against an isotropic convolutional architecture (ConvNeXt) and vision adaptions of transformers (DeiT series), RWKV (VRWKV) and Mamba (Vim, Mamba®). Appendix Table [9](#page-18-0) confirms these results on OOD and robustness evaluations of these classifiers.

19 VTAB-1K classification datasets, which include natural images, specialized imagery (medical and satellite) and structured tasks (camera angle prediction, depth estimation, object counting, . . .).

Figure [3](#page-3-0) shows an overview of performance metrics in relation to total pre-training compute where ViL performs favorably against heavily optimized transformer protocols (DeiT, DeiT-III) and Vision Mamba (Vim). Detailed results are presented in the following sections.

As ViTs are well established in the vision community, they underwent multiple optimization cycles over the years [\(Dosovitskiy et al., 2021;](#page-11-0) [Touvron et al., 2021a;](#page-14-4) [2022a;](#page-14-5) [2021b;](#page-14-6) [2022b\)](#page-15-5). Therefore, a vast part of the hyperparameter space for pre-training ViTs has been explored. Since this work is the first to apply xLSTM to computer vision, considerably less effort has been put into hyperparameter tuning and architecture optimization, suggesting that future work could improve ViL even further.

3.1 IMAGENET-1K CLASSIFICATION

Table [1](#page-4-0) relates parameter counts and FLOPS to validation accuracy after pre-training on ImageNet-1K. ViL outperforms heavily optimized ViT protocols and other backbones on the tiny and small scale. While ViL does not outperform all other models on the base scale, evaluations on downstream tasks (as shown later in Table [2](#page-5-0) and Table [3\)](#page-5-1) show that ViL-B still learns strong features, particularly for semantic segmentation and structured tasks.

3.2 ADE20K SEMANTIC SEGMENTATION

Table [2](#page-5-0) shows results for transferring ImageNet-1K pre-trained models to ADE20K [\(Zhou et al.,](#page-16-1) [2019\)](#page-16-1) semantic segmentation using UperNet [\(Xiao et al., 2018\)](#page-15-6). Also here, ViL shows strong performances across the board, even outperforming DeiT-III-B despite the lower ImageNet-1K accuracy of ViL-B. The high resolution of the ADE20K segmentation task (512x512) results in a total of 1024 patch tokens where the quadratic complexity of self-attention is significantly more expensive than the linear complexity of the mLSTM, resulting in much fewer FLOPS for ViL. Additionally, the

efficient alternating block design results in lower FLOPS than Mamba-based vision models (which also have linear complexity).

Table 2: Semantic segmentation results on ADE20K [\(Zhou et al., 2019\)](#page-16-1) using UperNet [\(Xiao et al.,](#page-15-6) [2018\)](#page-15-6). We report mean intersection over union (mIoU) and pixelwise accuracy (ACC) for singleand multi-scale evaluation. Models are trained for 160K updates with a batchsize of 16 on 512x512 resolution. We use a feature pyramid consisting of rescaled feature maps after the 4th, 6th, 8th and final block. Detailed hyperparameters are listed in Appendix Table [12.](#page-21-0) FLOPS are calculated only from the backbone at 512x512 resolution as all models use the same segmentation head.

3.3 VTAB-1K TRANSFER CLASSIFICATION

Table 3: Transfer classification accuracies on the VTAB-1K [\(Zhai et al., 2019\)](#page-15-4) benchmark using ImageNet-1K pre-trained models. VTAB-1K consists of 19 datasets split into 7 natural, 4 specialized and 8 structured datasets. We show averages per category and the average accuracy over all 19 datasets (Appendix Table [8](#page-17-0) lists all individual accuracies). ViL shows strong generalization performance, outperforming heavily optimized ViT protocols and Vim on the full VTAB-1K benchmark. ViL performs exceptionally well on the structured category. We tune the learning rate for each model and dataset on the validation set and report the average testset accuracy over 5 seeds. Appendix Table [11](#page-20-0) lists further hyperparameters.

Table [3](#page-5-1) shows transfer classification results for ImageNet-1K pre-trained models on the VTAB-1K [\(Zhai et al., 2019\)](#page-15-4) benchmark. VTAB-1K consists of 19 datasets split into 7 natural datasets (such as CIFAR100 [\(Krizhevsky, 2009\)](#page-13-5) or Caltech101 [\(Fei-Fei et al., 2006\)](#page-12-5)), 4 specialized datasets (medical imaging [\(Veeling et al., 2018;](#page-15-7) [Kaggle & EyePacs, 2015\)](#page-13-6) and remote sensing [\(Helber et al.,](#page-12-6) [2019;](#page-12-6) [Cheng et al., 2017\)](#page-11-4)) and 8 structured datasets (with tasks such as object counting [\(Johnson](#page-13-7) [et al., 2017\)](#page-13-7) or binned depth estimation [\(Geiger et al., 2013\)](#page-12-7)). We follow common practices and tune the learning rate per model and dataset on the validation set followed by training with the best learning rate on the union of train and validation set. The performance metric is the average testset accuracy over 5 seeds. ViL shows strong transfer classification performance outperforming all other

models on the average over all 19 datasets. ViL performs particularly well on the structured datasets where ViL-B outperforms DeiT-III-B despite ViL-B having lower ImageNet-1K accuracy.

4 ABLATION STUDIES

We ablate various design choices of ViL by training ViL-T models for 100 epochs on ImageNet-1K in 224x224 resolution, other hyperparameters follow the ones from Section [3](#page-3-1) (see also Appendix [B.3\)](#page-19-1). We then report the validation accuracy on ImageNet-1K and fine-tune the model on ADE20K to ensure that design choices are not overfitted to classification. We also use a reduced segmentation pipeline where we use a linear segmentation head and train for 40K updates using a batch size of 16 (other hyperparameters follow Appendix [12\)](#page-21-0).

Table 4: Architecture design ablation studies. Default settings

4.1 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN

We consider various architecture design choices in Table [4.](#page-6-0)

Figure 4: Uni-directional, bi-directional, quad-directional and oct-directional traversal paths. Squares represent individual patch tokens. Traversal starts at the circle and goes in direction of the arrow, if no further patches are in a row/column, the traversal continues in the next row/column as indicated by the dashed line.

(a) Traversal Directions Traversing the sequence in at least two directions greatly improves performance due to the non-causal 2D structure of images. Adding column-wise traversal directions (Quad-dir.) could even further improve semantic segmentation performance. Additionally using 4 instead of 2 starting positions (Oct-dir.) shows no benefit. Note that all variants have the same amount of FLOPS due to sequential application of different directions. Directions are visualized in Figure [4.](#page-6-1)

We use "Bi-dir." for our final models due to current technical limitations which would slow down training on more than 2 directions. This limitation comes from the current lack of optimized hardware implementations of the mLSTM (e.g., CUDA kernels) where we instead rely on torch.compile, a generic speed optimization method from PyTorch [\(Paszke et al., 2019\)](#page-14-7), to optimize computations. Our implementation of quad- and oct-directional traversals is not compatible with torch.compile, which results in approximately double the runtime. We therefore train all models from Section [3](#page-3-1) with "Bi-dir." only. Note that this is only a technical limitation, not a methodical one and the ablation study suggest that future ViL models could be even better using a quad-directional design.

(b) QK Convolution The mLSTM block design uses a causal 1D convolution to aggregate local context to improve storage/retrieval to/from the cell state C . This is done by applying a convolution layer to X before projecting it to Q with W_q and K with W_k respectively. The convolution is shared for Q and K . The causal 1D structure of the convolution from the original mLSTM [\(Beck](#page-10-2) [et al., 2024\)](#page-10-2) is necessary due to the causal 1D structure of language modeling. However, as images are neither causal nor 1D structures, we replace the causal 1D convolution with a 2D convolution (with kernel size 3). This allows the mLSTM to make better storage/retrieval decisions through the added local context.

(c) Positional Embedding ViTs require positional embedding to tell the model where each patch is located in the image, suffering heavy performance losses if the position is not required [\(Doso](#page-11-0)[vitskiy et al., 2021;](#page-11-0) [Chu et al., 2023\)](#page-11-5). The mLSTM is an autoregressive model, which makes it optional to add positional embeddings as it can recognize the position of the current patch based on how many patches have been processed. However, the ablation shows that it is nevertheless beneficial to provide this information explicitly as it improves segmentation results without hurting classification performance.

(d) Sequential vs. Parallel Related architectures use a parallel design where a sequence is processed from multiple directions in a single block [\(Zhu et al., 2024;](#page-16-0) [Duan et al., 2024\)](#page-12-4). We investigate a similar design where we apply both directions in parallel instead of sequentially. To keep parameters and FLOPS constant, we apply the directions akin to parallel transformer blocks [\(Wang, 2021\)](#page-15-8) while halving the depth.

$$
Y = X + \text{Block}_{\theta}(X) + \text{Flip}(\text{Block}_{\phi}(\text{Flip}(X)))
$$
\n(15)

4.2 CLASSIFICATION DESIGN

In order to perform classification from a sequence of tokens, it is common to aggregate information from the whole sequence, which is then used as input to a classification head. The most common methods to do this aggregation are (i) adding a learnable [CLS] token to the input sequence or (ii) averaging all patch tokens to produce an [AVG] token. In ViTs, whether to use the [CLS] or [AVG] token is typically a hyperparameter, where both variants achieve comparable performances. On the contrary, other sequence models models often require specialized classification designs. For example, Vim [\(Zhu et al., 2024\)](#page-16-0) requires the [CLS] token to be in the middle of the sequence, suffering heavy performance losses if other classification designs, e.g., an [AVG] token or two [CLS] tokens at start and end of the sequence, are employed.

We explore different classification designs for ViL in Table [5.](#page-8-0) (a) We choose concatenating the first and last patch as aggregation method due to its strong classification performance. As our final models also perform well in semantic segmentation (see Table [2\)](#page-5-0), we do not retrain models with [AVG] aggregation even though the ablation suggests that this could boost performance even further for segmentation tasks. (b) Adding learnable [CLS] tokens show no benefit. Therefore, we do not use any [CLS] tokens for ViL.

5 LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The biggest limitation of ViL is the current lack of an optimized hardware implementation of the mLSTM, which results in longer runtimes than ViTs, which have multiple optimized hardware imTable 5: Classification design. (a) ViL aggregates classification information well in the first and the last patches (bilateral), leading to good classification performance if the first and last patches are averaged or concatenated. Averaging all patches ([AVG]) or the 4 center patches (Center [AVG]) results in strong segmentation performances but lackluster classification performances. (b) Adding learnable [CLS] tokens to the start and end of the input sequence (Bilateral [CLS]) offers no benefit over simply using the first and the last patch. Incorporating a [CLS] token in the middle of the sequence, akin to Vim [\(Zhu et al., 2024\)](#page-16-0), does not improve performance. Default settings

plementations [\(Dao et al., 2022;](#page-11-6) [Dao, 2023\)](#page-11-7). This makes a runtime/throughput analysis of models, a vital metric to judge practicability, difficult as the practical relevance of inefficient implementations is quite low. As a proxy, we report FLOP counts, where ViL is comparable to ViT on low-resolution tasks and far better than ViT on high-resolution tasks due to its linear complexity. While FLOPS are far from an optimal proxy for runtime/throughput, they suggest that ViL can be much faster than ViT on high-resolution tasks once an optimized hardware implementation exists. Note that ViL is already faster than Vim (see Appendix [A.1\)](#page-17-1) despite its optimized hardware implementation.

This limitation snowballs in multiple other directions. For example, scaling model size further, tuning hyperparameters, training on larger datasets, exploring self-supervised pre-training or investigating hierarchical architectures are all interesting avenues for future work that are currently quite costly due to the lack of an optimized hardware implementation.

Please note that this is merely a technical limitation, not a methodical one as the mLSTM is heavily parallelizable. However, implementing fast compute kernels in CUDA [\(NVIDIA et al., 2020\)](#page-13-8) or Triton [\(Tillet et al., 2019\)](#page-14-8) is highly non-trivial as it requires expert hardware architecture knowledge, advanced implementation skills and potentially multiple development cycles to iron out numerical inaccuracies or instabilities.

However, the results of recent linear attention mechanisms show impressive FLOPS utilization (e.g., [Yang et al.](#page-15-9) [\(2024\)](#page-15-9)). As the mLSTM can be parallelized with similar techniques it is only a matter of time that the mLSTM achieves a similar FLOPS utilization, which will make the mLSTM faster than transformers once an efficient hardware implementation is available.

Additionally, we made a significant effort to make our architecture as efficient as possible, using the tools that are currently available to us. Notably, our architecture is already much faster (up to 70%) than Vim [\(Zhu et al., 2024\)](#page-16-0) despite Vim using a custom CUDA kernel, as shown in Appendix [A.1.](#page-17-1) For reference, in language modeling, Mamba is roughly on-par with transformers in terms of speed and 4x faster than than the xLSTM (as mentioned in [Beck et al.](#page-10-2) [\(2024\)](#page-10-2)), again, due to the current lack of efficient hardware implementation of the mLSTM. These considerations further underline the potential of our simple and efficient design for vision applications.

6 RELATED WORK

Generic Vision Backbones. The inductive bias of CNNs [\(Fukushima, 1980;](#page-12-8) [LeCun et al., 1998\)](#page-13-9) has demonstrated ground-breaking advancements in computer vision [\(Krizhevsky et al., 2012\)](#page-13-10) in the early deep learning days. Features of CNNs have been found to learn generic visual features that can be used for a variety of tasks [\(Donahue et al., 2014\)](#page-11-8). Subsequently, countless works improved various aspects such as architectures [\(Szegedy et al., 2015;](#page-14-9) [He et al., 2016;](#page-12-9) [Huang et al., 2017;](#page-13-11) [Tan](#page-14-10) [& Le, 2019;](#page-14-10) [Liu et al., 2022\)](#page-13-4) or pre-training strategy [\(Doersch et al., 2015;](#page-11-9) [Noroozi & Favaro, 2016;](#page-13-12) [Zhang et al., 2016;](#page-16-2) [Gidaris et al., 2018;](#page-12-10) [Chen et al., 2020b;](#page-11-10) [Grill et al., 2020\)](#page-12-11).

Sequence Models in Vision. The introduction of transformers [\(Vaswani et al., 2017\)](#page-15-0) demonstrated exceptional scalability in language processing, which motivated the vision community to explore transformers also in computer vision [\(Chen et al., 2020a;](#page-11-11) [Cordonnier et al., 2020\)](#page-11-12) but was applied on pixels or small patches which inhibited large costs due to the quadratic complexity of self-attention. This restriction was alleviated by the seminal work Vision Transformers (ViTs) [\(Dosovitskiy et al.,](#page-11-0) [2021\)](#page-11-0) by using larger patches to aggregate local information and reduce training costs. Similar to CNNs, lots of work improved on the ViT architecture by refining training procedures [\(Touvron](#page-14-4) [et al., 2021a](#page-14-4)[;b;](#page-14-6) [2022b;](#page-15-5) [Caron et al., 2021;](#page-11-13) [Bao et al., 2022;](#page-10-7) [Xie et al., 2022;](#page-15-10) [He et al., 2022\)](#page-12-12). The recent advancement of autoregressive models in language processing [\(Gu & Dao, 2023;](#page-12-2) [Peng et al.,](#page-14-11) [2023\)](#page-14-11) has also gathered interest in the vision community [\(Zhu et al., 2024;](#page-16-0) [Duan et al., 2024\)](#page-12-4) due to the linear scaling property which allows applications to high-resolution tasks such as medical imaging [\(Ma et al., 2024\)](#page-13-13) or video understanding [\(Li et al., 2024\)](#page-13-14).

7 CONCLUSION

Motivated by the success of xLSTM in language modeling, we introduced ViL, an adaption of the xLSTM architecture to vision tasks. ViL processes a sequence of patch tokens in alternating fashion. Odd blocks process image patches row-wise from top left to bottom right and even blocks go row-wise from bottom right to top left. Our new architecture outperforms SSM-based vision architectures, other autoregressive vision architectures and also optimized ViT models on ImageNet-1K classification, VTAB-1K transfer classification and ADE20K semantic segmentation. Remarkably, ViL is able to outperform ViT training pipelines, which are the result of years of hyperparameter tuning and transformer improvements.

In the future, we see potential in applying ViL when high-resolution images are needed for optimal performance, such as semantic segmentation or medical imaging. In these settings, transformers suffer from high computational costs due to the quadratic complexity of self-attention, where the linear complexity of ViL allows compute efficient processing of long sequences. Additionally, improving pre-training schemes (e.g., via self-supervised learning), exploring better hyperparameter settings or investigating hierarchical architectures are promising future directions that could improve ViL even further.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge EuroHPC Joint Undertaking for awarding us access to Karolina at IT4Innovations, Czech Republic, MeluXina at LuxProvide, Luxembourg, Leonardo at CINECA, Italy and LUMI at CSC, Finland.

The ELLIS Unit Linz, the LIT AI Lab, the Institute for Machine Learning, are supported by the Federal State Upper Austria. We thank the projects Medical Cognitive Computing Center (MC3), INCONTROL-RL (FFG-881064), PRIMAL (FFG-873979), S3AI (FFG-872172), DL for GranularFlow (FFG-871302), EPILEPSIA (FFG-892171), AIRI FG 9-N (FWF-36284, FWF-36235), AI4GreenHeatingGrids (FFG- 899943), INTEGRATE (FFG-892418), ELISE (H2020-ICT-2019-3 ID: 951847), Stars4Waters (HORIZON-CL6-2021-CLIMATE-01-01). We thank Audi.JKU Deep Learning Center, TGW LOGISTICS GROUP GMBH, Silicon Austria Labs (SAL), FILL Gesellschaft mbH, Anyline GmbH, Google, ZF Friedrichshafen AG, Robert Bosch GmbH, UCB Biopharma SRL, Merck Healthcare KGaA, Verbund AG, GLS (Univ. Waterloo), Software Competence Center Hagenberg GmbH, Borealis AG, TUV Austria, Frauscher Sensonic, TRUMPF and the ¨ NVIDIA Corporation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

Our work proposes a novel architecture that shows improved FLOPS efficiency compared to previous methods which could greatly reduce energy consumption and carbon emission for future training of large-scale vision or multi-modal models.

We proposes a foundational change in the form of a novel vision architecture, which can be used for a broad set of applications, inheriting their potential benefits, such as enhancing diagnostic accuracy in healthcare, and challenges, such as the risk of improving generative vision models to generate better deepfakes to propagate misinformation.

REFERENCES

- Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023.
- Benedikt Alkin, Andreas Fürst, Simon Schmid, Lukas Gruber, Markus Holzleitner, and Johannes Brandstetter. Universal physics transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.12365*, 2024a.
- Benedikt Alkin, Lukas Miklautz, Sepp Hochreiter, and Johannes Brandstetter. Mim-refiner: A contrastive learning boost from intermediate pre-trained representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.10093*, 2024b.
- Hangbo Bao, Li Dong, Songhao Piao, and Furu Wei. Beit: BERT pre-training of image transformers. In *The Tenth International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2022, Virtual Event, April 25-29, 2022*. OpenReview.net, 2022.
- Maximilian Beck, Korbinian Poppel, Markus Spanring, Andreas Auer, Oleksandra Prudnikova, ¨ Michael Kopp, Günter Klambauer, Johannes Brandstetter, and Sepp Hochreiter. xlstm: Extended long short-term memory, 2024.
- Kaifeng Bi, Lingxi Xie, Hengheng Zhang, Xin Chen, Xiaotao Gu, and Qi Tian. Accurate mediumrange global weather forecasting with 3d neural networks. *Nature*, 619(7970):533–538, 2023.
- Cristian Bodnar, Wessel P Bruinsma, Ana Lucic, Megan Stanley, Johannes Brandstetter, Patrick Garvan, Maik Riechert, Jonathan Weyn, Haiyu Dong, Anna Vaughan, et al. Aurora: A foundation model of the atmosphere. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.13063*, 2024.
- Kaj Bostrom and Greg Durrett. Byte pair encoding is suboptimal for language model pretraining. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.03720*, 2020.
- Mathilde Caron, Hugo Touvron, Ishan Misra, Hervé Jégou, Julien Mairal, Piotr Bojanowski, and Armand Joulin. Emerging properties in self-supervised vision transformers. In *2021 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV 2021, Montreal, QC, Canada, October 10- 17, 2021*, pp. 9630–9640. IEEE, 2021.
- Jieneng Chen, Yongyi Lu, Qihang Yu, Xiangde Luo, Ehsan Adeli, Yan Wang, Le Lu, Alan L. Yuille, and Yuyin Zhou. Transunet: Transformers make strong encoders for medical image segmentation. *CoRR*, abs/2102.04306, 2021.
- Mark Chen, Alec Radford, Rewon Child, Jeffrey Wu, Heewoo Jun, David Luan, and Ilya Sutskever. Generative pretraining from pixels. In *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2020, 13-18 July 2020, Virtual Event*, volume 119 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pp. 1691–1703. PMLR, 2020a.
- Ting Chen, Simon Kornblith, Mohammad Norouzi, and Geoffrey E. Hinton. A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations. In *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2020, 13-18 July 2020, Virtual Event*, volume 119 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pp. 1597–1607. PMLR, 2020b.
- Bowen Cheng, Ishan Misra, Alexander G. Schwing, Alexander Kirillov, and Rohit Girdhar. Maskedattention mask transformer for universal image segmentation. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, June 18-24, 2022*, pp. 1280–1289. IEEE, 2022.
- Gong Cheng, Junwei Han, and Xiaoqiang Lu. Remote sensing image scene classification: Benchmark and state of the art. *Proc. IEEE*, 105(10):1865–1883, 2017.
- Xiangxiang Chu, Zhi Tian, Bo Zhang, Xinlong Wang, and Chunhua Shen. Conditional positional encodings for vision transformers. In *ICLR*. OpenReview.net, 2023.
- Jean-Baptiste Cordonnier, Andreas Loukas, and Martin Jaggi. On the relationship between selfattention and convolutional layers. In *8th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2020, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, April 26-30, 2020*. OpenReview.net, 2020. URL [https:](https://openreview.net/forum?id=HJlnC1rKPB) [//openreview.net/forum?id=HJlnC1rKPB](https://openreview.net/forum?id=HJlnC1rKPB).
- Tri Dao. Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. *CoRR*, abs/2307.08691, 2023.
- Tri Dao, Daniel Y. Fu, Stefano Ermon, Atri Rudra, and Christopher Re. Flashattention: Fast and ´ memory-efficient exact attention with io-awareness. In *NeurIPS*, 2022.
- Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, and Li Fei-Fei. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In *2009 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR 2009), 20-25 June 2009, Miami, Florida, USA*, pp. 248–255. IEEE Computer Society, 2009.
- Carl Doersch, Abhinav Gupta, and Alexei A. Efros. Unsupervised visual representation learning by context prediction. In *2015 IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV 2015, Santiago, Chile, December 7-13, 2015*, pp. 1422–1430. IEEE Computer Society, 2015.
- Jeff Donahue, Yangqing Jia, Oriol Vinyals, Judy Hoffman, Ning Zhang, Eric Tzeng, and Trevor Darrell. Decaf: A deep convolutional activation feature for generic visual recognition. In *Proceedings of the 31th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2014, Beijing, China, 21-26 June 2014*, volume 32 of *JMLR Workshop and Conference Proceedings*, pp. 647–655. JMLR.org, 2014.
- Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, Jakob Uszkoreit, and Neil Houlsby. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. In *9th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2021, Virtual Event, Austria, May 3-7, 2021*. OpenReview.net, 2021.
- Yuchen Duan, Weiyun Wang, Zhe Chen, Xizhou Zhu, Lewei Lu, Tong Lu, Yu Qiao, Hongsheng Li, Jifeng Dai, and Wenhai Wang. Vision-rwkv: Efficient and scalable visual perception with rwkv-like architectures. *CoRR*, abs/2403.02308, 2024.
- Li Fei-Fei, Robert Fergus, and Pietro Perona. One-shot learning of object categories. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 28(4):594–611, 2006.
- Kunihiko Fukushima. Neocognitron: A self-organizing neural network model for a mechanism of pattern recognition unaffected by shift in position. *Biological cybernetics*, 36(4):193–202, 1980.
- Andreas Geiger, Philip Lenz, Christoph Stiller, and Raquel Urtasun. Vision meets robotics: The KITTI dataset. *Int. J. Robotics Res.*, 32(11):1231–1237, 2013.
- Spyros Gidaris, Praveer Singh, and Nikos Komodakis. Unsupervised representation learning by predicting image rotations. In *6th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2018, Vancouver, BC, Canada, April 30 - May 3, 2018, Conference Track Proceedings*. OpenReview.net, 2018. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=S1v4N2l0->.
- Jean-Bastien Grill, Florian Strub, Florent Altche, Corentin Tallec, Pierre H. Richemond, Elena ´ Buchatskaya, Carl Doersch, Bernardo Avila Pires, Zhaohan Guo, Mohammad Gheshlaghi Azar, ´ Bilal Piot, Koray Kavukcuoglu, Remi Munos, and Michal Valko. Bootstrap your own latent - A ´ new approach to self-supervised learning. In Hugo Larochelle, Marc'Aurelio Ranzato, Raia Hadsell, Maria-Florina Balcan, and Hsuan-Tien Lin (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 33: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2020, NeurIPS 2020, December 6-12, 2020, virtual*, 2020.
- A. Gu, K. Goel, and C. Ré. Efficiently modeling long sequences with structured state spaces. ArXiv, 2111.00396, 2021.
- Albert Gu and Tri Dao. Mamba: Linear-time sequence modeling with selective state spaces. *CoRR*, abs/2312.00752, 2023.
- A. Gupta, A. Gu, and J. Berant. Diagonal state spaces are as effective as structured state spaces. *ArXiv*, 2203.14343, 2022.
- Ali Hatamizadeh, Yucheng Tang, Vishwesh Nath, Dong Yang, Andriy Myronenko, Bennett A. Landman, Holger R. Roth, and Daguang Xu. UNETR: transformers for 3d medical image segmentation. In *IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision, WACV 2022, Waikoloa, HI, USA, January 3-8, 2022*, pp. 1748–1758. IEEE, 2022.
- Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2016, Las Vegas, NV, USA, June 27-30, 2016*, pp. 770–778. IEEE Computer Society, 2016.
- Kaiming He, Xinlei Chen, Saining Xie, Yanghao Li, Piotr Dollar, and Ross B. Girshick. Masked ´ autoencoders are scalable vision learners. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2022*, pp. 15979–15988, 2022.
- Patrick Helber, Benjamin Bischke, Andreas Dengel, and Damian Borth. Eurosat: A novel dataset and deep learning benchmark for land use and land cover classification. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote. Sens.*, 12(7):2217–2226, 2019.
- Dan Hendrycks and Thomas G. Dietterich. Benchmarking neural network robustness to common corruptions and perturbations. In *ICLR (Poster)*. OpenReview.net, 2019.
- Dan Hendrycks, Steven Basart, Norman Mu, Saurav Kadavath, Frank Wang, Evan Dorundo, Rahul Desai, Tyler Zhu, Samyak Parajuli, Mike Guo, Dawn Song, Jacob Steinhardt, and Justin Gilmer. The many faces of robustness: A critical analysis of out-of-distribution generalization. *ICCV*, 2021a.
- Dan Hendrycks, Kevin Zhao, Steven Basart, Jacob Steinhardt, and Dawn Song. Natural adversarial examples. *CVPR*, 2021b.
- Sepp Hochreiter and Jürgen Schmidhuber. Long short-term memory. *Neural computation*, 9(8): 1735–1780, 1997.
- Gao Huang, Yu Sun, Zhuang Liu, Daniel Sedra, and Kilian Q. Weinberger. Deep networks with stochastic depth. In Bastian Leibe, Jiri Matas, Nicu Sebe, and Max Welling (eds.), *Computer Vision - ECCV 2016 - 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 11-14, 2016, Proceedings, Part IV*, volume 9908 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 646–661. Springer, 2016.
- Gao Huang, Zhuang Liu, Laurens van der Maaten, and Kilian Q. Weinberger. Densely connected convolutional networks. In *2017 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2017, Honolulu, HI, USA, July 21-26, 2017*, pp. 2261–2269. IEEE Computer Society, 2017.
- Justin Johnson, Bharath Hariharan, Laurens van der Maaten, Li Fei-Fei, C. Lawrence Zitnick, and Ross B. Girshick. CLEVR: A diagnostic dataset for compositional language and elementary visual reasoning. In *2017 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2017, Honolulu, HI, USA, July 21-26, 2017*, pp. 1988–1997. IEEE Computer Society, 2017.

Kaggle and EyePacs. Kaggle diabetic retinopathy detection, July 2015.

Alexander Kirillov, Eric Mintun, Nikhila Ravi, Hanzi Mao, Chloe Rolland, Laura Gustafson, Tete ´ Xiao, Spencer Whitehead, Alexander C. Berg, Wan-Yen Lo, Piotr Dollar, and Ross B. Girshick. ´ Segment anything. In *ICCV*, pp. 3992–4003. IEEE, 2023.

Alex Krizhevsky. Learning multiple layers of features from tiny images. 2009.

- Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey E. Hinton. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. In Peter L. Bartlett, Fernando C. N. Pereira, Christopher J. C. Burges, Léon Bottou, and Kilian Q. Weinberger (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 25: 26th Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2012. Proceedings of a meeting held December 3-6, 2012, Lake Tahoe, Nevada, United States*, pp. 1106–1114, 2012.
- Yann LeCun, Léon Bottou, Yoshua Bengio, and Patrick Haffner. Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. *Proc. IEEE*, 86(11):2278–2324, 1998.
- Kunchang Li, Xinhao Li, Yi Wang, Yinan He, Yali Wang, Limin Wang, and Yu Qiao. Videomamba: State space model for efficient video understanding. *CoRR*, abs/2403.06977, 2024.
- Yue Liu, Yunjie Tian, Yuzhong Zhao, Hongtian Yu, Lingxi Xie, Yaowei Wang, Qixiang Ye, and Yunfan Liu. Vmamba: Visual state space model. *CoRR*, abs/2401.10166, 2024.
- Zhuang Liu, Hanzi Mao, Chao-Yuan Wu, Christoph Feichtenhofer, Trevor Darrell, and Saining Xie. A convnet for the 2020s. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, June 18-24, 2022*, pp. 11966–11976. IEEE, 2022.
- Jun Ma, Feifei Li, and Bo Wang. U-mamba: Enhancing long-range dependency for biomedical image segmentation. *CoRR*, abs/2401.04722, 2024.
- Tung Nguyen, Johannes Brandstetter, Ashish Kapoor, Jayesh K Gupta, and Aditya Grover. Climax: A foundation model for weather and climate. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.10343*, 2023.
- Mehdi Noroozi and Paolo Favaro. Unsupervised learning of visual representations by solving jigsaw puzzles. In Bastian Leibe, Jiri Matas, Nicu Sebe, and Max Welling (eds.), *Computer Vision - ECCV 2016 - 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 11-14, 2016, Proceedings, Part VI*, volume 9910 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 69–84. Springer, 2016.
- NVIDIA, Péter Vingelmann, and Frank H.P. Fitzek. Cuda, release: 10.2.89, 2020. URL [https:](https://developer.nvidia.com/cuda-toolkit) [//developer.nvidia.com/cuda-toolkit](https://developer.nvidia.com/cuda-toolkit).
- Maxime Oquab, Timothée Darcet, Théo Moutakanni, Huy Vo, Marc Szafraniec, Vasil Khalidov, Pierre Fernandez, Daniel Haziza, Francisco Massa, Alaaeldin El-Nouby, Mahmoud Assran, Nicolas Ballas, Wojciech Galuba, Russell Howes, Po-Yao Huang, Shang-Wen Li, Ishan Misra, Michael G. Rabbat, Vasu Sharma, Gabriel Synnaeve, Hu Xu, Hervé Jégou, Julien Mairal, Patrick Labatut, Armand Joulin, and Piotr Bojanowski. Dinov2: Learning robust visual features without supervision. *CoRR*, abs/2304.07193, 2023.
- Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca Antiga, Alban Desmaison, Andreas Köpf, Edward Z. Yang, Zachary DeVito, Martin Raison, Alykhan Tejani, Sasank Chilamkurthy, Benoit Steiner, Lu Fang, Junjie Bai, and Soumith Chintala. Pytorch: An imperative style, highperformance deep learning library. In *NeurIPS*, pp. 8024–8035, 2019.
- William Peebles and Saining Xie. Scalable diffusion models with transformers. In *IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV 2023, Paris, France, October 1-6, 2023*, pp. 4172–4182. IEEE, 2023.
- Bo Peng, Eric Alcaide, Quentin Anthony, Alon Albalak, Samuel Arcadinho, Stella Biderman, Huanqi Cao, Xin Cheng, Michael Chung, Leon Derczynski, Xingjian Du, Matteo Grella, Kranthi Kiran GV, Xuzheng He, Haowen Hou, Przemyslaw Kazienko, Jan Kocon, Jiaming Kong, Bartlomiej Koptyra, Hayden Lau, Jiaju Lin, Krishna Sri Ipsit Mantri, Ferdinand Mom, Atsushi Saito, Guangyu Song, Xiangru Tang, Johan S. Wind, Stanislaw Wozniak, Zhenyuan Zhang, Qinghua Zhou, Jian Zhu, and Rui-Jie Zhu. RWKV: reinventing rnns for the transformer era. In *EMNLP (Findings)*, pp. 14048–14077. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2023.
- Mannat Singh, Quentin Duval, Kalyan Vasudev Alwala, Haoqi Fan, Vaibhav Aggarwal, Aaron Adcock, Armand Joulin, Piotr Dollar, Christoph Feichtenhofer, Ross B. Girshick, Rohit Girdhar, ´ and Ishan Misra. The effectiveness of MAE pre-pretraining for billion-scale pretraining. *CoRR*, abs/2303.13496, 2023.
- Christian Szegedy, Wei Liu, Yangqing Jia, Pierre Sermanet, Scott E. Reed, Dragomir Anguelov, Dumitru Erhan, Vincent Vanhoucke, and Andrew Rabinovich. Going deeper with convolutions. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2015, Boston, MA, USA, June 7-12, 2015*, pp. 1–9. IEEE Computer Society, 2015.
- Mingxing Tan and Quoc V. Le. Efficientnet: Rethinking model scaling for convolutional neural networks. In Kamalika Chaudhuri and Ruslan Salakhutdinov (eds.), *Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2019, 9-15 June 2019, Long Beach, California, USA*, volume 97 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pp. 6105–6114. PMLR, 2019.
- Gemini Team, Rohan Anil, Sebastian Borgeaud, Yonghui Wu, Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jiahui Yu, Radu Soricut, Johan Schalkwyk, Andrew M Dai, Anja Hauth, et al. Gemini: a family of highly capable multimodal models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.11805*, 2023.
- Philippe Tillet, Hsiang-Tsung Kung, and David D. Cox. Triton: an intermediate language and compiler for tiled neural network computations. In Tim Mattson, Abdullah Muzahid, and Armando Solar-Lezama (eds.), *Proceedings of the 3rd ACM SIGPLAN International Workshop on Machine Learning and Programming Languages, MAPL@PLDI 2019, Phoenix, AZ, USA, June 22, 2019*, pp. 10–19. ACM, 2019.
- Hugo Touvron, Matthieu Cord, Matthijs Douze, Francisco Massa, Alexandre Sablayrolles, and Hervé Jégou. Training data-efficient image transformers $\&$ distillation through attention. In *ICML*, volume 139 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pp. 10347–10357. PMLR, 2021a.
- Hugo Touvron, Matthieu Cord, Alexandre Sablayrolles, Gabriel Synnaeve, and Hervé Jégou. Going deeper with image transformers. In *ICCV*, pp. 32–42. IEEE, 2021b.
- Hugo Touvron, Matthieu Cord, Alaaeldin El-Nouby, Jakob Verbeek, and Hervé Jégou. Three things everyone should know about vision transformers. In *ECCV (24)*, volume 13684 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 497–515. Springer, 2022a.
- Hugo Touvron, Matthieu Cord, and Hervé Jégou. Deit III: revenge of the vit. In *ECCV (24)*, volume 13684 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 516–533. Springer, 2022b.
- Hugo Touvron, Matthieu Cord, Maxime Oquab, Piotr Bojanowski, Jakob Verbeek, and Hervé Jégou. Co-training 2l submodels for visual recognition. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2023, Vancouver, BC, Canada, June 17-24, 2023*, pp. 11701– 11710. IEEE, 2023.
- Jeya Maria Jose Valanarasu, Poojan Oza, Ilker Hacihaliloglu, and Vishal M. Patel. Medical transformer: Gated axial-attention for medical image segmentation. In Marleen de Bruijne, Philippe C. Cattin, Stephane Cotin, Nicolas Padoy, Stefanie Speidel, Yefeng Zheng, and Caroline Essert ´ (eds.), *Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention - MICCAI 2021 - 24th International Conference, Strasbourg, France, September 27 - October 1, 2021, Proceedings, Part I*, volume 12901 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 36–46. Springer, 2021.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- Bastiaan S. Veeling, Jasper Linmans, Jim Winkens, Taco Cohen, and Max Welling. Rotation equivariant cnns for digital pathology. In Alejandro F. Frangi, Julia A. Schnabel, Christos Davatzikos, Carlos Alberola-Lopez, and Gabor Fichtinger (eds.), ´ *Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention - MICCAI 2018 - 21st International Conference, Granada, Spain, September 16-20, 2018, Proceedings, Part II*, volume 11071 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 210–218. Springer, 2018.
- Ben Wang. Mesh-Transformer-JAX: Model-Parallel Implementation of Transformer Language Model with JAX. <https://github.com/kingoflolz/mesh-transformer-jax>, 2021.
- Feng Wang, Jiahao Wang, Sucheng Ren, Guoyizhe Wei, Jieru Mei, Wei Shao, Yuyin Zhou, Alan Yuille, and Cihang Xie. Mamba-r: Vision mamba also needs registers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.14858*, 2024.
- Haohan Wang, Songwei Ge, Zachary Lipton, and Eric P Xing. Learning robust global representations by penalizing local predictive power. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pp. 10506–10518, 2019.
- Tete Xiao, Yingcheng Liu, Bolei Zhou, Yuning Jiang, and Jian Sun. Unified perceptual parsing for scene understanding. In Vittorio Ferrari, Martial Hebert, Cristian Sminchisescu, and Yair Weiss (eds.), *Computer Vision - ECCV 2018 - 15th European Conference, Munich, Germany, September 8-14, 2018, Proceedings, Part V*, volume 11209 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 432– 448. Springer, 2018.
- Zhenda Xie, Zheng Zhang, Yue Cao, Yutong Lin, Jianmin Bao, Zhuliang Yao, Qi Dai, and Han Hu. Simmim: a simple framework for masked image modeling. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, June 18-24, 2022*, pp. 9643–9653. IEEE, 2022.
- Hanwen Xu, Naoto Usuyama, Jaspreet Bagga, Sheng Zhang, Rajesh Rao, Tristan Naumann, Cliff Wong, Zelalem Gero, Javier Gonzalez, Yu Gu, et al. A whole-slide foundation model for digital ´ pathology from real-world data. *Nature*, pp. 1–8, 2024.
- Songlin Yang, Bailin Wang, Yikang Shen, Rameswar Panda, and Yoon Kim. Gated linear attention transformers with hardware-efficient training. In *ICML*. OpenReview.net, 2024.
- Xiaohua Zhai, Joan Puigcerver, Alexander Kolesnikov, Pierre Ruyssen, Carlos Riquelme, Mario Lucic, Josip Djolonga, Andre Susano Pinto, Maxim Neumann, Alexey Dosovitskiy, et al. A large-scale study of representation learning with the visual task adaptation benchmark. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.04867*, 2019.
- Richard Zhang, Phillip Isola, and Alexei A. Efros. Colorful image colorization. In Bastian Leibe, Jiri Matas, Nicu Sebe, and Max Welling (eds.), *Computer Vision - ECCV 2016 - 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 11-14, 2016, Proceedings, Part III*, volume 9907 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 649–666. Springer, 2016.
- Bolei Zhou, Hang Zhao, Xavier Puig, Tete Xiao, Sanja Fidler, Adela Barriuso, and Antonio Torralba. Semantic understanding of scenes through the ADE20K dataset. *Int. J. Comput. Vis.*, 127(3):302– 321, 2019.
- Lianghui Zhu, Bencheng Liao, Qian Zhang, Xinlong Wang, Wenyu Liu, and Xinggang Wang. Vision mamba: Efficient visual representation learning with bidirectional state space model. *CoRR*, abs/2401.09417, 2024.

A EXTENDED RESULTS

A.1 RUNTIME COMPARISON OF VIL VS VIM

We compare the runtime to train ViL and Vim [\(Zhu et al., 2024\)](#page-16-0) for 10 ImageNet-1K epochs in Table [6.](#page-17-2) We follow the scaling procedure of ViTs, using 192 (T), 384 (S), 768 (B), 1024 (L) as hidden dimension where the (L)arge scale doubles the number of blocks.

Table 6: Runtime comparisons between Vim [\(Zhu et al., 2024\)](#page-16-0) and ViL. ViL is up to 69% faster despite the current lack of a optimized hardware implementation. As mLSTM (and ViL) can be parallelized analogous to FlashAttention [\(Dao et al., 2022;](#page-11-6) [Dao, 2023\)](#page-11-7) via custom hardware optimizations, ViL will become even faster in the future. Runtimes denote the training time for 10 ImageNet-1K epochs and are extrapolated from short benchmark runs on a single A100-80GB-PCIe using float16 precision and 224x224 images.

A.2 IMPACT OF LONGER TRAINING

We investigate the impact of training for a longer duration in Table [7.](#page-17-3)

Table 7: Performance comparison of tiny models trained for 400 and 800 epochs. ADE20K mIoU uses single-scale evaluation. All settings follow the ones used in the main paper.

A.3 VTAB-1K INDIVIDUAL DATASET RESULTS

Table [8](#page-17-0) presents accuracies for each individual dataset of the VTAB-1K benchmark.

Table 8: Results on all datasets of the VTAB-1K [\(Zhai et al., 2019\)](#page-15-4) benchmark.

A.4 ROBUSTNESS AND DOMAIN GENERALIZATION

Table [9](#page-18-0) presents robustness and OOD evaluations of ImageNet-1K pre-trained classifiers.

Table 9: Robustness and OOD evaluations on ImageNet-C(orruption) [\(Hendrycks & Dietterich,](#page-12-13) [2019\)](#page-12-13), ImageNet-A(dversarial) [\(Hendrycks et al., 2021b\)](#page-12-14), ImageNet-R(endition) [\(Hendrycks et al.,](#page-12-15) [2021a\)](#page-12-15) and ImageNet-Sketch [\(Wang et al., 2019\)](#page-15-11).. For ImageNet-C, we report the mean corruption error [\(Hendrycks & Dietterich, 2019\)](#page-12-13) with AlexNet [\(Krizhevsky et al., 2012\)](#page-13-10) as baseline.

B IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

B.1 HARDWARE

We train models on servers with either 8xA100 or 4xA100 nodes.

We estimate the total number of A100 GPU-hours used for this project to be 38K hours. This estimate includes initial exploration, method development, analysis and evaluations.

B.2 FLOPS CALCULATION

We use the fvcore^{[1](#page-18-1)} library to count FLOPS and report FLOPS of the mLSTM chunkwise form as described in Section [2.2.](#page-3-2) For the parallel parts, we report FLOPS for a complexity of $\mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{S}{2}+1\right)Sd\right)$ because the upper triangular entries of the QK matrix do not need to be calculated due to the causal structure. We justify this by the fact that FlashAttention-2 [\(Dao, 2023\)](#page-11-7) is approximately 1.7x faster with a causal mask than without. Therefore, an optimized hardware implementation of the mLSTM could also omit the calculation of the upper triangular part of QK .

As Vim [\(Zhu et al., 2024\)](#page-16-0) does not report FLOPS and their model makes use of CUDA kernels (which are not counted as FLOPS by fvcore), we replace all calls to CUDA kernels with their reference PyTorch implementation and count the FLOPS with fvcore.

For the total pre-training compute in Figure [3,](#page-3-0) we consider an efficient implementation of stochastic depth [\(Huang et al., 2016;](#page-13-15) [Touvron et al., 2023\)](#page-15-12) which omits the calculation of a dropped block instead of masking it. Therefore, we change the implementation of ViT [\(Dosovitskiy et al., 2021\)](#page-11-0) to use our efficient stochastic depth implementation. Vim does not use stochastic depth for training as they only train tiny and small models.

¹ https://github.com/facebookresearch/fvcore

B.3 VIL HYPERPARAMETERS

Table [10](#page-19-0) shows detailed hyperparameters used to train ViL models.

Table 10: Hyperparameters for training ViL on ImageNet-1K, inspired by DeiT-III [\(Touvron et al.,](#page-15-5) [2022b\)](#page-15-5). We follow the best setting from DeiT-III [\(Touvron et al., 2022b\)](#page-15-5) and pre-train on 192 resolution followed by a short fine-tuning on 224 resolution (indicated by \rightarrow).

B.4 FINE-TUNING ON VTAB-1K

For fine-tuning models on VTAB-1K we provide the hyperparameters in Table [11.](#page-20-0) We search for the best learning rate for each dataset by fine-tuning the model 25 times (5 learning rates with 5 seeds each) on the 800 training samples and evaluating them on the 200 validation samples. With the best learning rate, we then train each model 5 times on concatenation of training and validation split, evaluate on the test split and report the average accuracy.

Table 11: Hyperparameters for fine-tuning on VTAB-1K. *For Vim and ViL we group two consecutive blocks for the layer-wise lr decay similar to how ViT considers a pair of attention and MLP block as a single "layer" for the decay.

B.5 ADE20K SEMANTIC SEGMENTATION FINE-TUNING

We fine-tune models on ADE20K [\(Zhou et al., 2019\)](#page-16-1) using an UperNet [\(Xiao et al., 2018\)](#page-15-6) head. We follow common practices and fine-tune on 512x512 resolution, where we interpolate the absolute positional embedding from 224x224 to 512x512. For ViTs, we add relative position biases to the attention layers (initialized to 0) [\(He et al., 2022\)](#page-12-12). Table [12](#page-21-0) lists detailed hyperparameters.

Table 12: Hyperparameters for fine-tuning on VTAB-1K. *For ViL we group two consecutive blocks into one similar to how a ViT block consists of a pair of attention and MLP block.

B.6 DEIT-III REIMPLEMENTATION HYPERPARAMETERS

Table [10](#page-19-0) shows detailed hyperparameters used to train DeiT-III-T (reimpl.) from Table [1.](#page-4-0) Our reimplementation easily outperforms older baselines like DeiT-II-T (+2.7% ImageNet-1K accuracy) and is approximately even with the original on ADE20K (40.1 vs 39.8 on mIoU single-scale, 41.8 vs 42.2 mIoU multi-scale).

Table 13: Hyperparameters for training our reimplementation of DeiT-III-T [\(Touvron et al., 2022b\)](#page-15-5) on ImageNet-1K. The most significant change is that we reduce the learning rate from 3e-3 to 1e-3 as we found this to greatly improve performance. We make minor changes to the protocol such as using AdamW or no gradient clipping as models were stable without it. We follow the best setting from DeiT-III [\(Touvron et al., 2022b\)](#page-15-5) and pre-train on 192 resolution followed by a short fine-tuning on 224 resolution (indicated by \rightarrow).

