META CONTROLNET: ENHANCING TASK ADAPTATION VIA META LEARNING

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

ABSTRACT

Diffusion-based image synthesis has attracted extensive attention recently. In particular, ControlNet that uses image-based prompts exhibits powerful capability in image tasks such as canny edge detection and generates images well aligned with these prompts. However, vanilla ControlNet generally requires extensive training of around 5000 steps to achieve a desirable control for a single task. Recent context-learning approaches have improved its adaptability, but mainly for edge-based tasks, and rely on paired examples. Thus, two important open issues are yet to be addressed to reach the full potential of ControlNet: (i) zero-shot control for certain tasks and (ii) faster adaptation for non-edge-based tasks. In this paper, we introduce a novel Meta ControlNet method, which adopts the task-agnostic meta learning technique and features a new layer freezing design. Meta ControlNet significantly reduces learning steps to attain control ability from 5000 to 1000. Further, Meta ControlNet exhibits direct zero-shot adaptability in edge-based tasks without any finetuning, and achieves control within only 100 finetuning steps in more complex non-edge tasks such as Human Pose. ¹

025 026

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

1 INTRODUCTION

027 028

029 Image synthesis (Dhariwal & Nichol, 2021; Ramesh et al., 2022; Brock et al., 2018) is a rapidly growing field in computer vision and draws significant interest from various application domains. As a key approach in this area, Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) (Brock et al., 2018; Karras et al., 031 2019; Goodfellow et al., 2020) employ a discriminator-generator pair, where the generator is trained to creating enhanced images via sharpening the discriminator. However, such an adversarial approach 033 typically has difficulty to model more complex distributions. Recently, diffusion models (Dhariwal & 034 Nichol, 2021; Ramesh et al., 2022) have emerged as a powerful alternative, excelling in high-quality image generation. These models utilize a series of denoising autoencoders to progressively refine an image from pure Gaussian noise. Among these, a new model known as Stable Diffusion (Rombach 037 et al., 2022) has been proposed, which has better computational efficiency. Unlike traditional methods, 038 Stable Diffusion uses latent representations for image compression, and achieves superior image quality, which includes advancements in text-to-image synthesis and unconditional image generation.

040 ControlNet (Zhang et al., 2023) further advances image synthesis with enhanced control over image 041 content by using conditional control as different tasks. This approach clones the encoder and middle 042 block of Stable Diffusion, and introduces zero convolution to link with the decoders of Stable 043 Diffusion. Such a setup allows ControlNet to accept image prompt inputs, such as canny or HED 044 edge, and can generate images specific to certain tasks, demonstrating improved control from both image and textual inputs. However, ControlNet's capability for precise control requires extensive 046 training. Specifically, learning to control a new task demands about 5000 steps. Recently, Prompt Diffusion was proposed in (Wang et al., 2023), which leverages in-context learning idea to enhance 047 ControlNet's adaptability to new tasks, but requires task-specific example pairs for training. 048

Although ControlNet and its variants have achieved enhanced the generalizability, several critical
 open issues remain unresolved to reach the full power of ControlNet. Firstly, *zero-shot* capability
 of ControlNet has not yet been explored, leaving it as an open question whether it is possible to
 control new tasks without finetuning samples. Secondly, while most existing studies have focused on

¹Our code is provided at https://anonymous.4open.science/r/meta_controlnet-4E0C



Figure 1: Trained from stable diffusion initial θ_{SD} , the meta learned initial θ_{meta} is used for various task adaptation.

edge-based tasks, rapid adaptation in more complex scenarios, such as the human pose task, has not yet been achieved.



Figure 2: Meta ControlNet training pipeline. ControlNet parameter is meta updated via meta tasks (HED, Segmentation, Depth). Stable Diffusion parameters are fixed and ControlNet middle layers (Encoder Block 4 and Middle Block) are frozen during the training phase.

In this paper, we propose a novel **Meta ControlNet** method to address the aforementioned open issues. Specifically, Meta ControlNet adopts the FO-MAML (Finn et al., 2017) framework with various image condition types serving as different meta tasks. The inner-loop training of Meta ControlNet takes finetune steps separately for each task. Then the outer-loop training updates meta parameters (i.e., the model initial) based on averaged gradients over all training tasks.

(Novel Laver Freezing Design) Meta ControlNet features a new layer freezing design. Typically, 091 meta learning algorithms such as ANIL (Raghu et al., 2019) freezes the earlier embedding layers 092 during the inner-loop training. As a sharp difference, Meta ControlNet freezes latter encoder block and the *middle* block during meta training. This idea is based on the observation that the initial 094 encoder blocks are directly linked to the control images of control tasks. It is essential to finetune 095 these encoder blocks for individual task. On the other hand, the middle and latter encoder blocks, 096 which capture common and high-level information, can be retained and shared across tasks. Such a design has been proven to be critical for Meta ControlNet to exhibit desirable performance in our 098 experiments. Note that for the meta testing phase, we recommend training all layers to achieve the 099 best possible adaptation performance.

In the following, we highlight the superior experimental performance that Meta ControlNet achieves:

- Fast Learning of Control Ability: Our proposed design significantly enhances the efficiency 102 of ControlNet's learning process. Our experiments demonstrate that Meta ControlNet acquires control abilities within only 1000 steps, a stark improvement over the vanilla ControlNet that achieves the same ability with 5000 steps. Meanwhile, this efficiency is demonstrated across three meta training tasks, showcasing the method's versatility.
 - Zero-Shot Adaptation for Edge-based Tasks: Meta ControlNet produces generalizable model initial, which exhibits exceptional control capabilities in zero-shot settings, especially for edge-

078

079

081 082

084 085

087

090

100

101

103

104

105

107

054

056

058

based tasks such as the canny task. This indicates that our model can adapt to new edge-based
 tasks without any task-specific finetuning. This is the *first* achievement of successful zero-shot
 adaptation by ControlNet. Our experiments also indicate that few-shot finetuning often further
 enhances the fidelity of generated images.

- **Fast Adaptation in Non-edge Tasks:** For challenging tasks in few-shot contexts, our learned model initial exhibits a robust adaptation ability. For instance, it can adapt to the human pose task within merely 100 steps and excel in the more complex human pose mapping task in only 200 steps. These achievements not only surpass all existing benchmarks but also substantially reduce image sample number required for adaptation.
- 116 117 118

119 120

121

112

113

114

115

2 RELATED WORK

2.1 DIFFUSION MODEL AND CONTROLNET

122 With the recent advancement of score-based generative models (Ho et al., 2020; Song & Ermon, 123 2019; Song et al., 2020b;a), diffusion models have achieved remarkable performance in text-to-image 124 synthesis (Dhariwal & Nichol, 2021; Ramesh et al., 2022). Essentially, the diffusion model learns a 125 time-varying mapping that gradually transforms a random noise into the sample space via a reverse 126 diffusion process (Ho et al., 2020). Stable Diffusion (SD) (Rombach et al., 2022), as an important 127 step to achieve high-resolution image generation, utilizes a variational autoencoder to first encode images into a latent space, and then learns a time-conditioned U-Net to perform the denoising process 128 on the latent space based on text prompts. 129

130 To allow diffusion models to receive more diverse user-specific guidance for image generation, 131 Composer (Huang et al., 2023), ControlNet (Zhang et al., 2023), GLIGEN (Li et al., 2023b) and T2I-132 Adapter (Mou et al., 2023) were proposed as general approaches to introduce additional controlling signals. Among these, ControlNet (Zhang et al., 2023) stands out by its superior performance in 133 various downstream tasks ranging from sketch (edge, skeleton) to geometry (depth, normal) guided 134 generation. Technically, ControlNet freezes the original SD model, while finetuning a duplicate of the 135 pre-trained SD to integrate additional control signals via zero-initialized convolution modules. Such 136 an adaptation scheme significantly reduces training costs by re-using the image prior learned in the 137 pre-trained SD. ControlNet-XS (Rother, 2023) further investigates the size and architectural design 138 of ControlNet and proposes a more parameter-efficient architecture. As downstream applications, by 139 leveraging ControlNet, Goel et al. (2023) is able to edit the structure and appearance properties for 140 each object in the image, and Chu et al. (2023); Wu et al. (2023) enforces temporal consistency for 141 video generation, Ma et al. (2023); Seo et al. (2023) make pre-trained SD aware of 3D knowledge and 142 multi-view geometry. However, for each of these tasks, an independent adapter is required for each 143 condition. The modified version Multi-ControlNet (Zhang et al., 2023) demonstrates the possibility of 144 composing multiple tasks. Uni-ControlNet (Zhao et al., 2023) proposes a unified framework allowing for the simultaneous utilization of different local and global controls. Prompt Diffusion (Wang et al., 145 2023) trains an open-domain ControlNet in an in-context learning manner. However, none of these 146 studies are designed for or have been demonstrated to have zero-shot generalization capability for 147 unseen control tasks. 148

149

150 2.2 META LEARNING

151

Meta learning focuses on few-shot learning scenarios, aiming to develop algorithms that leverage a 152 large set of pre-defined tasks to improve performance on unseen instances with only a few or even 153 zero extra training data samples. In this paper, we focus on "learning-to-initialize" approaches such as 154 Model-Agnostic Meta Learning (MAML) (Finn et al., 2017), which learns an initialization point from 155 which models can fast adapt to new tasks. MAML (Finn et al., 2017) algorithm involves two layers of 156 training, where at each iteration, the inner loop optimizes the parameter for each task independently 157 starting from the current initialization, whereas the outer loop estimates the gradient with respect 158 to the inner loop optimization path to update the initialization. Since differentiating through an 159 optimization algorithm is often computationally expensive, FO-MAML (Finn et al., 2017) proposes to simplify the outer-loop gradient computation by directly averaging task-specific gradients evaluated 160 at the outputs of the inner loop. ANIL (Raghu et al., 2019) improves MAML via feature reusing, 161 where the main feature backbone is frozen and only prediction heads are updated by each task in the

inner loop. Reptile (Nichol et al., 2018; Nichol & Schulman, 2018) simplifies the process by aiming for an initialization that minimizes the expected loss across all tasks, similar to joint training.

In computer vision, a popular application of meta learning is few-shot image classification, where a network is adapted to new classes using only a small number of labeled instances per class (Finn et al., 2017; Ravi & Larochelle, 2016; Verma et al., 2020). Li et al. (2018) also adopts MAML-based methods to improve cross-domain generalization. MetaGAN (Zhang et al., 2018) and MetaDiff (Zhang & Yu, 2023) combines MAML respectively with GAN (Goodfellow et al., 2020) and diffusion model (Song & Ermon, 2019; Ho et al., 2020) to facilitate few-shot image classification. More recently, meta learning has been employed to accelerate implicit neural representation for visual signals (Sitzmann et al., 2020; Tancik et al., 2021).

172 173

174

190

196 197

200

3 META CONTROLNET

In this section, we first propose the Meta ControlNet method, and then explain how to select and
 structure both training and adaptation tasks.

178 3.1 ALGORITHM DESIGN

In this section, we propose our algorithm Meta ControlNet, which maintains the Stable Diffusion
 network while training its duplicates via the task-agnostic meta learning technique for obtaining
 adaptive model initial.

In particular, Meta ControlNet adopts three control tasks (HED, Segmentation, Depth) as the primary meta tasks. The training of Meta ControlNet takes the double-loop training framework of FO-MAML (Finn et al., 2017), as depicted in Figure 2, and is described in detail as follows.

The *inner-loop* training of Meta ControlNet takes finetune steps separately for each task. During each step t, the meta parameter θ_t of Meta ControlNet is finetuned independently for each task based on gradient descent as follows:

(Inner Loop)
$$\theta_t^{task} = \theta_t - \alpha \nabla \mathcal{L}_{task}(\theta_t),$$

where $task \in \{\text{HED}, \text{Seg}, \text{Depth}\}$ and α represents the step size. Note that we here update the parameter only once in the inner loop to enhance efficiency.

The *outer-loop* training first calculates the meta gradient $\nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta_t)$ as follows by taking an average of the gradients across all tasks, based on each task's finetuned parameters in the inner loop:

$$\nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta_t) = \operatorname{Avg}_{task}(\nabla \mathcal{L}_{task}(\theta_t^{task}))$$

where "Avg" denotes the averaging operator over all task gradients. Then the meta parameter θ_t is updated using the meta gradient as follows:

(Outer Loop)
$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \alpha \nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta_t),$$

where α is the step size. This design guides Meta ControlNet to minimize the loss of the finetuned model for each task, and hence makes the model more responsive to updates and enables fast adaptability.

Novel Layer Freezing Design: A main novel component that Meta ControlNet features is the design 205 of freezing layers during training, which turns out to be critical for its superior performance. Typically, 206 meta learning algorithms such as ANIL (Raghu et al., 2019) freeze the earlier embedding layers 207 during the inner-loop training. Meta ControlNet has sharp differences in two aspects. Firstly, Meta 208 ControlNet freezes *latter* encoder block and the *middle* block during meta training. This idea is 209 based on the observation that the initial encoder blocks are directly linked to the control images of 210 control tasks. Given that our Stable Diffusion initial was used to process Gaussian noise rather than 211 control task-specific inputs, and different control image styles signify distinct tasks, it is essential to 212 finetune these initial encoder blocks for individual tasks. On the other hand, the middle and latter 213 encoder blocks, which capture common and high-level information, can be retained and shared across tasks. Therefore, during the training process, Meta ControlNet selectively freezes the Encoder Block4 214 and Middle block of the U-Net, and focuses on training the remaining parameters. The detailed 215 architecture is available in Appendix. Secondly, unlike ANIL, where freezing occurs only in the



Figure 3: Validation set samples from each training task (HED, Depth, Segmentation) after 1000 steps of training updates.

inner loop, Meta ControlNet applies layer freezing in both inner and outer loops to achieve better efficiency by leveraging our network's initial high-quality image generation capability from Stable Diffusion.

It is important to note that the meta design and layer freezing are applied only during Meta Control-Net's training phase. During the adaptation phase, finetuning uses the standard ControlNet training protocol without using layer freezing or meta learning methods.

3.2 TASK SELECTION

Training Tasks: During training phase, we choose HED, Segmentation, and Depth map control as our training tasks. Specifically, we obtain HED map using HED boundary detector proposed by (Xie & Tu, 2015). We obtain Segmentation map using Uniformer (Li et al., 2023a). We collect Depth map using Midas (Ranftl et al., 2020).

Adaptation Tasks: Follwoing Wang et al. (2023), we utilize Canny Edge maps and Normal maps as our adaptation tasks. These tasks, which align the generated image with the control image's edges, are categorized as *edge-based* tasks. Additionally, we introduce two more complex tasks to demonstrate the versatility of our model: Human Pose (line segments to objects) and Human Pose Mapping (objects to line segments), referred to as *non-edge* tasks.

In detail, we collect Canny Edge by using Canny Edge detector (Canny, 1986). We obtain Normal map by applying Midas (Ranftl et al., 2020). We collect human pose and its reverse human pose mapping by using Openpose (Cao et al., 2019).



Figure 4: Samples from edge-based tasks (Canny, Normal) in zero-shot adaptation.

Proposed Photograph Slippery Stilts by Carlos Resend on 500px Baseline

Figure 5: Sample comparison between proposed Meta ControlNet and Prompt Diffusion (PD) baseline for canny task in few-shot finetuning. PD requires example pairs to update and thus is only available in odd number few-shot setting.



Figure 6: Samples from the validation set for non-edge tasks (Human Pose, Human Pose Map) in a finetuning context. Below each image, the number of updates indicates the first iteration achieving significant control. The validation set is evaluated every two updates.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Dataset: We use the generated CLIP-filtered dataset proposed by InstructPix2Pix (Brooks et al., 2023) as our training and validation datasets. The CLIP-filtered dataset contains 313k image-prompt pairs.

Implementations: Meta ControlNet is developed using the ControlNet codebase (Zhang et al., 2023), and utilizes the Stable Diffusion v1.5 checkpoint for finetuning. We fix learning rate to be 1×10^{-4} and batch size to be 256, and accumulate gradients over every 4 batches. Our model is trained on 4 Nvidia A100 GPUs. In our study, both our Meta ControlNet and the baseline of Prompt Diffusion (PD) (Wang et al., 2023) are evaluated at the 8000-step checkpoint. Note that more finetuning steps enhance image quality.

Regarding the meta design, the meta training images in the inner loop are reused in the meta testing phase in the outer loop for each task in order to optimize memory efficiency. Note that the aforementioned batch size of 256 is the total number of images from all tasks. Namely, we randomly sample 256 images across all tasks, and organize them into batches respectively for each task. This strategy ensures that our algorithm does not require additional image sample during the training phase.

- 4.1 FAST CONTROL ACQUIRING IN TRAINING

The proposed Meta ControlNet is trained on tasks of HED, segmentation, and depth mapping. Figure 3 displays the validation results after 1000 steps. Clearly, our Meta ControlNet generates the images that closely match the control images with high fidelity. While the vanilla ControlNet requires

5000 steps to exhibit control ability on a single task, our algorithm Meta ControlNet enjoys rapid
 learning within only 1000 steps. In fact, for most images, control ability of Meta ControlNet occurs
 within 500 steps or even fewer, with additional training serving to enhance image fidelity.

4.2 ZERO-SHOT CAPABILITY FOR EDGE-BASED TASKS

We evaluate Meta ControlNet on edge-based tasks, specifically Canny and Normal tasks. Figure 4 presents the control images alongside the corresponding generated images and text prompts. This adaptation is assessed in a *zero-shot* context, and does not require finetuning or additional data. This is the *first* ControlNet-type method featuring *zero-shot* capability. In contrast, the baseline Prompt Diffusion (PD) method (Wang et al., 2023) relies on example pairs for learning, rendering it unsuitable for zero-shot settings. The zero-shot results clearly showcase the superior adaptation capability of Meta ControlNet with strong control ability and high fidelity in both tasks.

Further, we compare our Meta ControlNet and the PD baseline with both finetuned in a few-shot context, namely, each method is updated with an equal number of few-shot images. Note that the proposed Meta ControlNet needs only one sample per update step, while PD requires two examples per step. The results in Figure 5 indicate that image quality by Meta ControlNet is enhanced with additional shots. Further, our Meta ControlNet clearly outperforms PD, although the fidelity of the PD gradually improves with more shots. When comparing both methods over the same number of finetuning steps, such as 10 shots for our proposed method and 21 shots for the PD baseline, our approach consistently yields higher quality images. Note that PD requires example pairs to update and thus is only available in odd number few-shot settings.

We highlight that in our experiments, most images generated in zero-shot already exhibit high fidelity, and thus additional few-shot finetuning is not required and might not result in substantial improvements.



Figure 7: Validation sample comparison between proposed Meta ControlNet and Prompt Diffusion (PD) baseline for Human Pose task after 100 steps of finetuning updates.

4.3 FAST ADAPTATION FOR NON-EDGE TASKS

We evaluate the generalizability of Meta ControlNet in non-edge tasks, with focus on human pose and its reverse mapping. These non-edge tasks, which are typically challenging in few-shot setting, require a training-like approach for finetuning. To enhance stability, we double the gradient accumulation from 4 to 8 and keep the batch size to be 256.

For Meta ControlNet, we assess validation at every two steps, and record the first instance when
 the generated image is aligned with the control image, as shown in Figure 6. We observe that for
 the human pose task, effective control is achieved within 50 steps. The human pose mapping task,



Figure 8: Validation sample comparison between proposed Meta ControlNet and Prompt Diffusion (PD) baseline for Human Pose Mapping task after 200 steps of finetuning updates.



Figure 9: Sample comparison among different freeze methods for edge-based tasks in zero-shot context. En_N refers to the N^{th} Encoder Block. 'Freeze En₄ + Middle' refers to freezing the 4th Encoder Block and the Middle Block in U-Net, which is adapted in Meta ControlNet.

which converts human poses into line segments, presents a greater challenge due to the deviation
from the high-quality images typically generated by stable diffusion. Nevertheless, Meta ControlNet
demonstrates control over most samples within approximately 100 steps. We note that in tasks such
as human pose mapping, minor errors can occur, for example, incorrectly depicting a chicken pose
in the third sample pair. This is due to ControlNet's inherent limitation in distinguishing between
human and animal, a distinction that requires learning from more samples.

To compare our method with the PD baseline in the human pose task, we evaluate 100-step finetuning
in Figure 7. Despite the use of example pairs, PD achieves control but at the cost of reduced fidelity.
In contrast, Meta ControlNet maintains both high control and fidelity. For the more challenging
human pose mapping task, our method achieves comparable results as the PD baseline with the same
200 steps, but with only half number of images used by PD, demonstrating our better efficiency.

We note that the different convergence speeds to reach control between the human pose task and
its mapping counterpart, i.e., 100 versus 200 steps, arise from the inherent characteristics of Stable
Diffusion and our choice of training tasks. Both the standard Stable Diffusion and our selected
tasks are geared towards generating natural images rather than line segments. Consequently, in the
adaptation phase, the model more readily adapts to the human pose task, which involves creating
natural human images, as opposed to learning to generate line segments from human images, a



Figure 10: Sample comparison among various connection methods for zero-shot edge-based tasks. De_N refers to the N^{th} Decoder Block.

requirement of the mapping task. Nevertheless, our method still achieves better efficiency than PD, namely, comparable results but with only half number of images during training.

5 ABLATION STUDY

5.1 LAYER FREEZING

To evaluate the effectiveness of various freezing designs, we conduct an experimental comparison focusing on the canny and normal tasks in a zero-shot setting. The experiment compares our freezing strategy (freezing Encoder Block 4 and the Middle block in U-Net) against other methods: freezing Encoder Blocks 2 to 4 plus the Middle block, freezing Encoder Blocks 1 to 3, and no freezing. The U-Net architecture is available in Appendix. The comprehensive results are detailed in Figure 9, with all methods under identical experimental conditions.

Our results indicate that while all freezing designs facilitate control ability, freezing Encoder Block 4 and the Middle block achieves the most accurate alignment with the control image and the highest image fidelity. This superiority is likely because the first three encoder blocks in U-Net are more task-specific, as they are more directly connected to the control image. In contrast, the latter encoder block and the Middle block contribute significantly to the high-quality image output inherent in stable diffusion, making them ideal candidates for freezing across diverse tasks.

5.2 DECODER CONNECTION

We evaluate our algorithm using different types of connections between the ControlNet decoder and the pre-trained Stable Diffusion (SD) model decoder. Specifically, we use Meta ControlNet with all decoder connected by zero convolution as our baseline. We then disconnect Decoder Blocks 4 to 1 from the pre-trained SD model in separate variants (each Decoder Block n corresponds to Encoder Block n). These variants are trained under the same conditions as the baseline, up to the 8000-step checkpoint, and are then tested on normal and canny edge tasks. Figure 10 depicts the result and suggests that disconnecting Decoder Block 4 significantly reduces image fidelity, and often results in images with repetitive lines or objects with strange shapes. In contrast, disconnecting the other three blocks produces results similar to our baseline. This indicates that Decoder Block 4 plays a critical role in ensuring high image fidelity, which is consistent with our design choice of freezing Encoder Block 4 for this purpose.

6 CONCLUSION

In our study, we propose a novel Meta ControlNet approach, which adopts the meta learning technique and features novel freezing layer design to learn a generalizable ControlNet initial. This method

486 487 488 489	exhibits rapid training convergence, and requires only 1000 steps to effectively control generative imaging. Further, such a meta initial exhibits remarkable zero-shot adaptability for edge-based tasks, the first demonstration in this domain. It also excels in more challenging non-edge tasks, and adapts rapidly within 100 steps for the human pose task and 200 steps for the human pose map task. These
490	results not only outperform existing baselines in terms of control ability and efficiency but also
491	represent significant advancement beyond vanilla ControlNet.
492	
493	
494	
495	
496	
497	
498	
499	
500	
501	
502	
503	
504	
505	
506	
507	
508	
509	
510	
511	
512	
513	
514	
515	
516	
517	
518	
519	
520	
521	
522	
523	
524	
526	
527	
528	
529	
530	
531	
532	
533	
534	
535	
536	
537	
538	
539	

540 REFERENCES 541

542 543	Andrew Brock, Jeff Donahue, and Karen Simonyan. Large scale gan training for high fidelity natural image synthesis. In <i>International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)</i> , 2018.
544 545 546	Tim Brooks, Aleksander Holynski, and Alexei A. Efros. Instructpix2pix: Learning to follow image editing instructions, 2023.
547 548	John Canny. A computational approach to edge detection. <i>IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence</i> , PAMI-8(6):679–698, 1986. doi: 10.1109/TPAMI.1986.4767851.
549 550 551	Zhe Cao, Gines Hidalgo, Tomas Simon, Shih-En Wei, and Yaser Sheikh. Openpose: Realtime multi-person 2d pose estimation using part affinity fields, 2019.
552 553 554	Mathilde Caron, Hugo Touvron, Ishan Misra, Hervé Jégou, Julien Mairal, Piotr Bojanowski, and Armand Joulin. Emerging properties in self-supervised vision transformers. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision</i> , pp. 9650–9660, 2021.
556 557 558 559	Mehdi Cherti, Romain Beaumont, Ross Wightman, Mitchell Wortsman, Gabriel Ilharco, Cade Gordon, Christoph Schuhmann, Ludwig Schmidt, and Jenia Jitsev. Reproducible scaling laws for contrastive language-image learning. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition</i> , pp. 2818–2829, 2023.
560 561 562	Ernie Chu, Shuo-Yen Lin, and Jun-Cheng Chen. Video controlnet: Towards temporally consistent synthetic-to-real video translation using conditional image diffusion models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.19193</i> , 2023.
564 565	Prafulla Dhariwal and Alexander Nichol. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. Advances in neural information processing systems, 34:8780–8794, 2021.
566 567 568	Chelsea Finn, Pieter Abbeel, and Sergey Levine. Model-agnostic meta-learning for fast adaptation of deep networks. In <i>International conference on machine learning</i> , pp. 1126–1135. PMLR, 2017.
569 570 571	Stephanie Fu, Netanel Tamir, Shobhita Sundaram, Lucy Chai, Richard Zhang, Tali Dekel, and Phillip Isola. Dreamsim: Learning new dimensions of human visual similarity using synthetic data, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.09344.
572 573 574 575	Vidit Goel, Elia Peruzzo, Yifan Jiang, Dejia Xu, Nicu Sebe, Trevor Darrell, Zhangyang Wang, and Humphrey Shi. Pair-diffusion: Object-level image editing with structure-and-appearance paired diffusion models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.17546</i> , 2023.
576 577 578	Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. Generative adversarial networks. <i>Communications of the ACM</i> , 63(11):139–144, 2020.
579 580 581	Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. Advances in neural information processing systems, 33:6840–6851, 2020.
582 583 584	Lianghua Huang, Di Chen, Yu Liu, Yujun Shen, Deli Zhao, and Jingren Zhou. Composer: Creative and controllable image synthesis with composable conditions. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.09778</i> , 2023.
586 587 588	Tero Karras, Samuli Laine, and Timo Aila. A style-based generator architecture for generative adversarial networks. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (CVPR)</i> , pp. 4401–4410, 2019.
589 590 591	Da Li, Yongxin Yang, Yi-Zhe Song, and Timothy Hospedales. Learning to generalize: Meta-learning for domain generalization. In <i>Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence</i> , volume 32, 2018.
593	Kunchang Li, Yali Wang, Junhao Zhang, Peng Gao, Guanglu Song, Yu Liu, Hongsheng Li, and Yu Qiao. Uniformer: Unifying convolution and self-attention for visual recognition, 2023a.

594 Yuheng Li, Haotian Liu, Qingyang Wu, Fangzhou Mu, Jianwei Yang, Jianfeng Gao, Chunyuan Li, 595 and Yong Jae Lee. Gligen: Open-set grounded text-to-image generation. In Proceedings of the 596 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 22511–22521, 2023b. 597 Wufei Ma, Qihao Liu, Jiahao Wang, Angtian Wang, Yaoyao Liu, Adam Kortylewski, and Alan Yuille. 598 Adding 3d geometry control to diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.08103, 2023. 600 Chong Mou, Xintao Wang, Liangbin Xie, Jian Zhang, Zhongang Qi, Ying Shan, and Xiaohu Qie. 601 T2i-adapter: Learning adapters to dig out more controllable ability for text-to-image diffusion 602 models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.08453, 2023. 603 Alex Nichol and John Schulman. Reptile: a scalable metalearning algorithm. arXiv preprint 604 arXiv:1803.02999, 2(3):4, 2018. 605 606 Alex Nichol, Joshua Achiam, and John Schulman. On first-order meta-learning algorithms. arXiv 607 preprint arXiv:1803.02999, 2018. 608 609 Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, 610 Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual 611 models from natural language supervision. In International conference on machine learning, pp. 612 8748-8763. PMLR, 2021. 613 Aniruddh Raghu, Maithra Raghu, Samy Bengio, and Oriol Vinyals. Rapid learning or feature reuse? 614 towards understanding the effectiveness of maml. arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.09157, 2019. 615 616 Aditya Ramesh, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, and Mark Chen. Hierarchical text-617 conditional image generation with clip latents. arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125, 1(2):3, 2022. 618 RenÄl' Ranftl, Katrin Lasinger, David Hafner, Konrad Schindler, and Vladlen Koltun. Towards 619 robust monocular depth estimation: Mixing datasets for zero-shot cross-dataset transfer, 2020. 620 621 Sachin Ravi and Hugo Larochelle. Optimization as a model for few-shot learning. In International 622 conference on learning representations, 2016. 623 624 Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-625 resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 10684–10695, 2022. 626 627 Denis Zavadski Carsten Rother. Controlnet-xs. https://vislearn.github.io/ControlNet-XS/, 2023. 628 629 Junyoung Seo, Wooseok Jang, Min-Seop Kwak, Jaehoon Ko, Hyeonsu Kim, Junho Kim, Jin-Hwa 630 Kim, Jiyoung Lee, and Seungryong Kim. Let 2d diffusion model know 3d-consistency for robust 631 text-to-3d generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.07937, 2023. 632 Vincent Sitzmann, Eric Chan, Richard Tucker, Noah Snavely, and Gordon Wetzstein. Metasdf: 633 Meta-learning signed distance functions. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 33: 634 10136-10147, 2020. 635 636 Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. arXiv 637 preprint arXiv:2010.02502, 2020a. 638 Yang Song and Stefano Ermon. Generative modeling by estimating gradients of the data distribution. 639 Advances in neural information processing systems, 32, 2019. 640 641 Yang Song, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Diederik P Kingma, Abhishek Kumar, Stefano Ermon, and Ben 642 Poole. Score-based generative modeling through stochastic differential equations. arXiv preprint 643 arXiv:2011.13456, 2020b. 644 645 Matthew Tancik, Ben Mildenhall, Terrance Wang, Divi Schmidt, Pratul P Srinivasan, Jonathan T Barron, and Ren Ng. Learned initializations for optimizing coordinate-based neural representations. 646 In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 647 2846-2855, 2021.

648 649 650	Vinay Kumar Verma, Dhanajit Brahma, and Piyush Rai. Meta-learning for generalized zero-shot learning. In <i>Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence</i> , volume 34, pp. 6062–6069, 2020.
651 652 653	Zhendong Wang, Yifan Jiang, Yadong Lu, Yelong Shen, Pengcheng He, Weizhu Chen, Zhangyang Wang, and Mingyuan Zhou. In-context learning unlocked for diffusion models, 2023.
654 655 656 657	Jay Zhangjie Wu, Yixiao Ge, Xintao Wang, Stan Weixian Lei, Yuchao Gu, Yufei Shi, Wynne Hsu, Ying Shan, Xiaohu Qie, and Mike Zheng Shou. Tune-a-video: One-shot tuning of image diffusion models for text-to-video generation. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision</i> , pp. 7623–7633, 2023.
658 659 660	Saining Xie and Zhuowen Tu. Holistically-nested edge detection. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision</i> , pp. 1395–1403, 2015.
661 662	Baoquan Zhang and Demin Yu. Metadiff: Meta-learning with conditional diffusion for few-shot learning. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.16424</i> , 2023.
663 664 665	Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models, 2023.
666 667 668	Ruixiang Zhang, Tong Che, Zoubin Ghahramani, Yoshua Bengio, and Yangqiu Song. Metagan: An adversarial approach to few-shot learning. <i>Advances in neural information processing systems</i> , 31, 2018.
669 670 671 672	Shihao Zhao, Dongdong Chen, Yen-Chun Chen, Jianmin Bao, Shaozhe Hao, Lu Yuan, and Kwan-Yee K Wong. Uni-controlnet: All-in-one control to text-to-image diffusion models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.16322</i> , 2023.
673 674	
675	
677	
678	
679	
680	
681	
682	
683	
684	
685	
686	
687	
688	
689	
690	
691	
692	
693	
695	
695	
697	
698	
699	
700	
701	

702 A APPENDIX

704

705

A.1 DETAILED META CONTROLNET ARCHITECTURE

In Figure 11, the detailed Meta ControlNet architecture is illustrated. This architecture shows that
 during both training and testing phases, the stable diffusion part remain locked, in line with the
 ControlNet settings. Specifically, for the ControlNet part, SD Encoder Block4 and SD Middle Block
 are frozen during the meta training phase, while other blocks are subject to finetuning. This approach
 is chosen because SD Encoder Blocks 1-3 are more closely linked to the control image, necessitating
 their adaptation to capture task-specific differences. During the meta testing phase, all blocks within
 the ControlNet part are finetuned to optimize performance.



A.2 QUANTITATIVE RESULTS

743

744 We quantitatively compare Meta ControlNet with Prompt Diffusion using DreamSim score (Fu et al., 745 2023), a visual quality metric derived from Large Vision Models such as DINO (Caron et al., 2021), 746 CLIP (Radford et al., 2021), and OpenCLIP (Cherti et al., 2023) that highly aligns with human 747 preferences on visual quality evaluation for both synthetic and real images. We sample the same set 748 of 1130 random images from the test split of InstructPix2Pix (Brooks et al., 2023) for both Prompt 749 Diffusion and Meta ControlNet. Both of the methods also use the same text prompt and the control 750 image for generation. We then measure the average DreamSim score (with different backbone Large 751 Vision Models) over all the generated images and the reference test images for both Meta ControlNet 752 and Prompt Diffusion. We show the Test Average DreamSim Score in Table 1 and Table 2, and 753 Zero-Shot Average DreamSim Score in Table 3 and Table 4. We observe that Meta ControlNet with 1000 training steps achieves significantly better performance than Prompt Diffusion with the 754 same number of training steps over all control tasks from both test generalization and zero-shot 755 generalization perspectives using any DreamSim variations.

Test Set Generalization	Average DreamSim Score (ensemble) ↓			Average DreamSim Score (DINO-ViTb16)↓		
Test Set Generalization	HED-to-image	Seg-to-image	Depth-to-image	HED-to-image	Seg-to-image	Depth-to-image
Prompt Diffusion (1000 steps)	0.8182	0.8214	0.8354	0.8389	0.8320	0.8498
Meta ControlNet (1000 steps)	0.2905	0.3558	0.3369	0.3225	0.3806	0.3623

Table 1: Test Average DreamSim Scores using Ensemble² and Dino-ViTb16 Models as backbone, evaluated under HED, Segmentation, and Depth control tasks.

	Test Set Generalization	Average DreamSim Score (CLIP-ViTb32)↓			Average DreamSim Score (OpenCLIP-ViTb32)↓		
		HED-to-image	Seg-to-image	Depth-to-image	HED-to-image	Seg-to-image	Depth-to-image
	Prompt Diffusion (1000 steps)	0.8338	0.8393	0.8467	0.8296	0.8338	0.8416
	Meta ControlNet (1000 steps)	0.2573	0.3182	0.2969	0.2615	0.3217	0.2947

Table 2: Test Average DreamSim Scores using CLIP-ViTb32 and OpenCLIP-ViTb32 Models as backbone, evaluated under HED, Segmentation, and Depth control tasks.

Zero Shot Generalization	Average DreamSir	n Score (ensemble)↓	Average DreamSim Score (DINO-ViTb16)↓		
Zero shot Generalization	Canny-to-image	Normal-to-image	Canny-to-image	Normal-to-image	
Prompt Diffusion (1000 steps)	0.8179	0.8329	0.8409	0.8580	
Meta ControlNet (1000 steps)	0.3358	0.3858	0.3636	0.4081	

Table 3: Zero-Shot Average DreamSim Scores using Ensemble and Dino-ViTb16 Models as backbone, evaluated under Canny and Normal map control tasks.

Zero Shot Generalization	Average DreamSim	Score (CLIP-ViTb32)↓	Average DreamSim Score (OpenCLIP-ViTb32) ↓		
	Canny-to-image	Normal-to-image	Canny-to-image	Normal-to-image	
Prompt Diffusion (1000 steps)	0.8404	0.8459	0.8261	0.8396	
Meta ControlNet (1000 steps)	0.2975	0.3461	0.3017	0.3531	

Table 4: Zero-Shot Average DreamSim Scores using CLIP-ViTb32 and OpenCLIP-ViTb32 Models as backbone, evaluated under Canny and Normal map control tasks.

²By default, DreamSim uses an ensemble of CLIP, DINO, and OpenCLIP (all ViT-B/16) to achieve the best human preference alignment on visual quality evaluation.