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Abstract
This paper presents JEDAI, an AI system designed for outreach and educational efforts aimed at non-AI experts. JEDAI features a novel synthesis of research ideas from integrated task and motion planning and explainable AI. JEDAI helps users create high-level, intuitive plans while ensuring that they will be executable by the robot. It also provides users customized explanations about errors and helps improve their understanding of AI planning as well as the limits and capabilities of the underlying robot system.

1 Introduction
AI systems are increasingly common in everyday life, where they can be used by laypersons who may not understand how these autonomous systems work or what they can and cannot do. This problem is particularly salient in cases of taskable AI systems whose functionality can change based on the tasks they are performing. Lack of understanding about the limits of an imperfect system can result in unproductive usage or, in the worst-case, serious accidents (Randazzo 2018). This, in turn, limits the adoption and productivity of the AI systems. The current research on AI safety focuses on designing AI systems that allow humans to safely instruct and control them (e.g., (Russell, Dewey, and Tegmark 2015; Zilberstein 2015; Hadfield-Menell et al. 2016, 2017; Russell 2017) ). In this work, we present an AI system JEDAI (JEDAI Explains Decision-Making AI) that can be used in outreach and educational efforts to help laypersons learn how to provide AI systems with new tasks, debug such systems, and understand their capabilities.

The research ideas brought together in JEDAI address three key technical challenges: (i) abstracting a robot’s functionalities into high-level actions (capabilities) that the user can more easily understand; (ii) converting the user-understandable capabilities into low-level motion plans that a robot can execute; and (iii) explaining errors in a manner sensitive to the user’s current level of knowledge so as to make the robot’s capabilities and limitations clear.

JEDAI utilizes recent work in explainable AI and integrated task and motion planning to address these challenges and provides a simple interface to support accessibility. Users select a domain and an associated task, after which they create a plan consisting of high-level actions (Fig. 1 left) to complete the task. The user puts together a plan in a drag-and-drop workspace, built with the Blockly visual programming library (Google 2017). JEDAI validates this plan using the Hierarchical Expertise Level Modeling algorithm (HELM) (Sreedharan, Srivastava, and Kambhampati 2018, 2021). If the plan contains any errors, HELM computes a user-specific explanation of why the plan would fail. JEDAI converts such explanations to natural language, thus helping to identify and fix any gaps in the user’s understanding. Whereas, if the plan given by the user is a correct solution to the current task, JEDAI uses a task and motion planner ATM-MDP (Shah et al. 2020; Shah and Srivastava 2021) to convert the high-level plan, that the user understands, to a low-level motion plan that the robot can execute. The user is shown the execution of this low-level motion plan by the robot in a simulated environment (Fig. 1 right).

The next section discusses the relationship of the presented methods with prior work. Sec. 3 presents an architecture of JEDAI. Finally, Sec. 4 presents our conclusion and future directions.
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2 Related Work

Prior work on the topic includes approaches that solve the three technical challenges mentioned earlier in isolation. This includes tools for: providing visualizations or animations of standard planning domains (Magnaguagno et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2019; Aguiñaldo and Regli 2021; Dvorak, Agarwal, and Baklanov 2021; De Pellegrin and Petrick 2021; Roberts et al. 2021); making it easier for non-expert users to program robots with low-level actions (Krishnamoorthy and Kapila 2016; Weintrop et al. 2018; Huang et al. 2020; Winterer et al. 2020); and generating explanations for plans provided by the users (Grover et al. 2020; Karthik et al. 2022; Brandao et al. 2021; Kumar et al. 2022).

In addition, none of these works make the instructions easier for the user, have the ability to automatically compute user-aligned explanations, and work with real robots (or their simulators) at the same time. JEDAI addresses all three challenges in tandem by using 3D simulations for domains with real robots and their actual constraints and providing personalized explanations that inform a user of any mistake they make while using the system.

3 Architecture

Fig. 2 shows the four core components of the JEDAI framework: (i) user interface, (ii) task and motion planner, (iii) personalized explanation generator, and (iv) natural language templates. We now describe each component in detail.

3.1 User interface

JEDAI’s user interface (Fig. 1) is made to be unintimidating and easy to use. The Blockly visual programming interface is used to facilitate this. JEDAI generates a separate interconnecting block for each high-level action, and action parameters are picked from drop-down selection fields that display type-consistent options for each parameter. Users can drag-and-drop these actions and select different arguments to create a high-level plan.

3.2 Personalized explanation generator

Users will sometimes make mistakes when planning, either failing to achieve goal conditions or applying actions before the necessary preconditions are satisfied. For inexperienced users in particular, these mistakes may stem from an incomplete understanding of the task’s requirements or the robot’s capabilities. JEDAI assists users in apprehending these details by providing explanations personalized to each user.

Explanations in the context of this work are of two types: (i) non-achieved goal conditions, and (ii) violation of a precondition of an action. JEDAI validates the plan submitted by the user to check if it achieves all goal conditions. If it fails to achieve any goal condition, the user is informed about it. E.g., consider the pick-up action shown in Fig. 1 fails if the robot is not holding the plank_i; this error is explained to the user as “The action at step 3 (pick up plank ‘plank_i’ with robot ‘yumi’ using gripper ‘left’) could not be performed because ‘plank_i’ is not held in ‘left’ gripper.”

JEDAI uses HELM to compute such user-specific contrastive explanations in order to explain any unmet precondition in an action used in the user’s plan. HELM does this by using the plan submitted by the user to estimate the user’s understanding of the robot’s model and then uses the estimated model to compute the personalized explanations. In case of multiple errors in the user’s plan, HELM generates explanation for one of the errors. This is because explaining the reason for more than one errors might be unnecessary and in the worst case might leave the user feeling overwhelmed (Miller 2019). An error is selected for explanation by HELM based on optimizing a cost function that indicates the relative difficulty of concept understandability which can be changed to reflect different users’ background knowledge.

3.3 Natural language templates

Even with a user-friendly interface and personalized explanations for errors in abstract plans, domain model syntax used for interaction with ATM-MDP presents a significant barrier to a non-expert trying to understand the state of an environment and the capabilities of a robot. To alleviate this, JEDAI uses language templates that use the structure of the planning formalism for generating natural language descriptions for goals, actions, and explanations. E.g., the action “pickup (plank_j yumi gripper_i left)” can be described in natural language as “pick up plank_j using robot yumi with the left gripper”. Currently, we use hand-written templates for these translations, but an automated approach can also be used.

3.4 Task and motion planner

JEDAI uses ATM-MDP to convert the high-level plan submitted by the user into sequences of low-level primitive actions that a robot can execute.

ATM-MDP uses sampling-based motion planners to provide a probabilistically complete approach to hierarchical planning. High-level plans are refined by computing feasible motion plans for each high-level action. If an action does not accept any valid refinement due to discrepancies between the symbolic state and the low-level environment, it reports the failure back to JEDAI. If all actions in the high-level plan are refined successfully, the plan’s execution is shown using the OpenRAVE simulator (Diankov and Kuffner 2008).
3.5 Implementation

Any custom domain can be set up with JEDAI. We provide five built-in domains, each with one of YuMi (ABB 2015) or Fetch (Wise et al. 2016) robots. Each domain contains a set of problems that the users can attempt to solve and low-level environments corresponding to these problems. Source code for the framework, an already setup virtual machine, and the documentation are available at: https://github.com/aair-lab/AAIR-JEDAI. A video demonstrating JEDAI’s working is available at: https://youtu.be/ASIg28-ADZ8.

4 Conclusions and Future Work

We demonstrated a novel AI tool JEDAI for helping people understand the capabilities of an arbitrary AI system and enabling them to work with such systems. JEDAI converts the user’s input plans to low level motion plans executable by the robot if it is correct, or explains to the user any error in the plan if it is incorrect. JEDAI works with off-the-shelf task and motion planners and explanation generators. This structure allows it to scale automatically with improvements in either of these active research areas. JEDAI’s visualization-based interface could also be used to foster trust in AI systems (Beauxis-Aussalet et al. 2021).

JEDAI uses predefined abstractions to verify plans provided by the user. In the future, we plan on extending it to learn abstractions automatically (Shah and Srivastava 2022). JEDAI could also be extended as an interface for assessing an agent’s functionalities and capabilities by interrogating the agent (Verma, Marpally, and Srivastava 2021; Nayyar, Verma, and Srivastava 2022; Verma, Marpally, and Srivastava 2022) as well as to work as an interface that makes AI systems compliant with Level II assistive AI – systems that makes it easy for operators to learn how to use them safely (Srivastava 2021). Extending this tool for working in non-stationary settings, and generating natural language descriptions of predicates and actions autonomously are a few other promising directions of future work.
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