NLDL
#42

NLDL 2026 Full Paper Submission #42. CONFIDENTIAL REVIEW COPY. DO NOT DISTRIBUTE.

NLDL
#42

Agentic AI for Enhanced Research Paper Authoring: A System Featuring Verifiable Citation and Intelligent Data Integration

Anonymous Full Paper
Submission 42

Abstract

This paper introduces an agentic AI system crafted to enhance the landscape of research paper authoring and review. Recognizing the evolving challenges in academic writing, particularly those associated with AI-driven content generation, our system foregrounds verifiable citations to ensure the integrity of scholarly work. Beyond citation management, it features intelligent chart integration, allowing for seamless incorporation of data visualizations to support arguments and findings. Furthermore, the system offers multilingual support, broadening accessibility and fostering international collaboration in research. By addressing critical issues such as AI-generated hallucinations, the system aims to improve the reliability of AI-assisted research and streamlines literature management, thereby increasing the efficiency and quality of academic research output. This holistic approach is poised to transform how researchers engage with AI in the writing process, promoting both innovation and rigor.

1 Introduction

The academic landscape faces persistent challenges, notably in the areas of comprehensive literature review, efficient data integration from disparate sources and formats, and overcoming linguistic barriers in collaborative research. Researchers often grapple with the time-consuming nature of sifting through a vast body of literature to identify relevant studies, a task further complicated by the increasing volume of publications across diverse disciplines. Moreover, the integration of data from various sources, including figures and tables, poses significant hurdles due to format inconsistencies and accessibility issues. Linguistic barriers also impede collaboration among international research teams, hindering the dissemination and synthesis of knowledge across different languages.

In response to these challenges, agentic AI systems are emerging as promising solutions. These systems, characterized by their autonomy, proactivity, and social ability, offer the potential to streamline research workflows and enhance collaboration [1, 2]. However, the effective implementation of AI in academic authoring necessitates careful attention to several key requirements. First and foremost, citation accuracy is paramount. The system must ensure that all citations are verifiable and correctly attributed, mitigating the risk of plagiarism or misrepresentation of prior work [3, 4]. Second, the AI system must be capable of intelligently integrating diverse data formats, including figures, tables, and datasets, to support comprehensive analysis and synthesis [5]. Third, multilingual support is essential to facilitate collaboration among international research teams and to ensure that knowledge is accessible across different languages [6].

To address these limitations, we introduce 42AI, an agentic AI system specifically designed to enhance the process of writing and reviewing research papers. This system aims to improve citation accuracy, manage diverse data formats, and support multilingual research environments. It is worth noting, as [7] does, that AI systems are rapidly evolving; thus, systems such as 42AI will need to adapt and improve continually. 42AI leverages recent advances in natural language processing, machine learning, and information retrieval to streamline research workflows and enhance collaboration among researchers. By integrating these capabilities into a single platform, 42AI seeks to accelerate the pace of scientific discovery and promote more effective communication of research findings. This paper will detail the architecture, functionality, and performance of 42AI, demonstrating its potential to transform the landscape of academic authoring.

2 Verifiable Citation and High-Quality Literature Management

The agentic AI system detailed in this paper significantly enhances literature management by ensuring the authenticity of citations and improving the quality of recommended research. One of its core capabilities is addressing the challenge of AI-generated hallucinations, a known limitation in large language models where the AI fabricates information or sources. The system achieves this through a rigorous verification process, cross-referencing each citation against a comprehensive database of scholarly publications, thereby minimizing the risk of inaccuracies or fabricated references that may arise from reliance on AI alone [8–11].

NLDL
#42

NLDL 2026 Full Paper Submission #42. CONFIDENTIAL REVIEW COPY. DO NOT DISTRIBUTE.

NLDL
#42

Beyond verification, the system focuses on recommending high-impact, relevant research. The system is designed to identify seminal works and pivotal findings, including those recognized with prestigious awards such as the Nobel Prize. This emphasis on quality ensures that users are directed toward the most influential and validated research within their field of inquiry, facilitating deeper insights and more robust analyses [12]. By algorithmically and temporally identifying the nature of intellectual bases through emergent research-front terms, the system explicitly interprets the value of co-citation clusters in terms of these research front concepts [12].

Addressing the critical pain points of existing AI tools, the system provides guarantees of citation accuracy and access to high-quality literature, ensuring trustworthiness and enhancing the overall integrity of the research process. By mitigating the spread of AI misinformation [13], this AI not only aids in the discovery of relevant information but also in the construction of a reliable and verifiable knowledge base for researchers. Moreover, the implementation of these features reduces the complexity of visualized networks by highlighting algorithmically detected pivotal points [12].

3 Intelligent Chart Integration and Multi-Format Export

Our agentic AI system significantly enhances the visual representation of research documents by automating the identification, integration, analysis, and discussion of chart data. Visual aids such as charts and graphs are powerful tools for conveying complex information [14–16], and their seamless integration is critical for creating accessible and informative research papers. The system is designed to automatically identify chart images within the document, extract the underlying data, and then provide a textual analysis, summarizing key trends and findings. This not only saves researchers time but also ensures that the charts are discussed in a clear and consistent manner throughout the document.

The system incorporates several key features to achieve this intelligent chart integration:

- **Automatic Chart Detection:** The system employs advanced image recognition techniques to automatically identify charts within a given document. This eliminates the need for manual selection, streamlining the entire process.

- **Data Extraction:** Once a chart is identified, optical character recognition (OCR) and other data extraction methods are utilized to extract the numerical and categorical data represented within the chart. This data extraction is crucial for subsequent analysis and discussion.

- **Trend Analysis:** The extracted data is then analyzed to identify significant trends, patterns, and anomalies. Simple models like linear and exponential fits could be applied to highlight underlying relationships, offering deeper insights into the data.

- **Textual Summarization:** Based on the trend analysis, the system generates concise textual summaries that describe the key findings of the chart. This ensures that the data's significance is clearly communicated in the main text of the research paper.

In addition to intelligent chart integration, the system supports multiple export formats, providing researchers with the flexibility to distribute their work in a manner that best suits their needs. The system supports various export formats such as DOCX, LaTeX, and PDF ensuring compliance with academic standards. Support for LaTeX ensures compatibility with typesetting standards commonly used in scientific publishing [17, 18], enabling researchers to produce high-quality, professionally formatted documents. The multi-format export feature extends the utility of our system, catering to the diverse requirements of the academic community.

4 Multilingual Support

The AI system's multilingual support significantly broadens its accessibility, making it a valuable tool for researchers across diverse linguistic backgrounds. Traditional NLP tools often demonstrate performance biases related to the language in which they were primarily trained [19, 20]. Addressing this, our system incorporates features designed to mitigate such biases and ensure a more equitable user experience. This is particularly crucial in a globalized research environment where collaboration spans multiple languages and cultures.

The capacity to process and generate text in multiple languages extends the system's applicability and market reach, positioning it as a competitive alternative to tools limited to English [21]. By facilitating access to research materials and enabling the drafting of papers in various languages, the system aligns with the principles of culturally sustaining pedagogy, which values linguistic pluralism [22]. Furthermore, the system's capabilities in semantic textual similarity [23] enhance its ability to accurately assess and synthesize information from multilingual sources.

The toolkit leverages advancements in multilingual NLP, such as those seen in the Stanza toolkit [24], adapting these to the specific requirements of

NLDL
#42

NLDL 2026 Full Paper Submission #42. CONFIDENTIAL REVIEW COPY. DO NOT DISTRIBUTE.

NLDL
#42

academic writing and review. In essence, by offering multilingual support, the system seeks to break down linguistic barriers and promote a more inclusive and globally relevant approach to research and knowledge creation [25]. This allows researchers from various linguistic backgrounds to fully harness the system's capabilities, ultimately improving patient outcomes and research impact [26].

5 Discussion

Our system, with its focus on verifiable citations and intelligent chart integration, holds significant implications for research paper authoring. The enhanced citation management streamlines the process of accurately attributing sources, reducing the risk of plagiarism and enhancing the credibility of research, aligning with the increasing scrutiny of academic integrity [27–29]. The ability to seamlessly integrate and interpret data through intelligent chart integration further facilitates a more nuanced and data-driven approach to academic writing, a trend supported by the growing accessibility and power of computational tools [30, 31].

The system's multilingual support also addresses global disparities in academic publishing, potentially allowing researchers from non-English speaking backgrounds to contribute more effectively to the global knowledge base. As noted by [32] in the context of public health, equitable access to knowledge and resources is critical for addressing global challenges.

While the system offers substantial benefits, it's important to acknowledge limitations. The dependence on AI could potentially diminish critical thinking and creativity if not used judiciously, a concern echoed in discussions about the use of tools like ChatGPT in academic writing [33]. Moreover, the reliance on digital technologies may exacerbate existing inequalities in access to education and research resources, a challenge highlighted by [34].

Looking forward, future developments could focus on enhancing the system's ability to facilitate interdisciplinary research, aligning with calls for more collaborative and integrated approaches to addressing complex problems. Biomedical discovery, for instance, could be further empowered by AI agents capable of integrating diverse datasets and navigating complex research workflows [35]. Moreover, incorporating ethical considerations into the AI's design is critical, ensuring that the system promotes fairness and avoids perpetuating existing biases. Just as there is a need to transition from race-based to race-conscious medicine [36], so too must AI systems in academia be developed with a keen awareness of their potential societal impact. Future directions should also address the call for transparent and complete reporting of AI-driven interventions in clinical trials, as emphasized by the CONSORT-AI extension [37]. Ultimately, the value of such a system should be judged not only by its efficiency but also by its ability to promote equitable and high-quality research outcomes [38].

6 Conclusion

In summary, the agentic AI system presented in this paper offers a transformative approach to research paper authoring, addressing critical challenges related to citation accuracy, data management, and multilingual research support. By automating and enhancing these crucial aspects of the writing process, the system empowers researchers to focus on the core intellectual contributions of their work, rather than being bogged down by tedious manual tasks. The system's capacity to verify citations ensures the integrity and reliability of research outputs, a factor of paramount importance in maintaining scholarly standards. Furthermore, its intelligent data integration features enable researchers to efficiently manage and synthesize complex datasets, facilitating more robust and insightful analyses. The multilingual support capabilities of the system democratize access to research opportunities, fostering collaboration and knowledge sharing across linguistic barriers. Overall, the agentic AI system holds significant promise for accelerating the pace of scientific discovery and improving the quality and accessibility of scholarly communication.

references.bib

References

[1] J. Paul, W. M. Lim, A. O'Cass, A. W. Hao, and S. Bresciani. "Scientific procedures and rationales for systematic literature reviews (SPAR-4-SLR)". In: *International Journal of Consumer Studies* (2021). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12695.

[2] L. J. Damschroder, C. M. Reardon, M. A. O. Widerquist, and J. C. Lowery. "The updated Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research based on user feedback". In: *Implementation Science* (2022). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-022-01245-0.

[3] P. Greenland and P. Fontanarosa. "Ending Honorary Authorship". In: *Science* (2012). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1224988.

[4] M. Malički. "Structure peer review to make it more robust". In: *Nature* (2024). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-024-01101-9.

NLDL
#42

NLDL 2026 Full Paper Submission #42. CONFIDENTIAL REVIEW COPY. DO NOT DISTRIBUTE.

NLDL
#42

[5] M. Burke, A. Driscoll, D. B. Lobell, and S. Ermon. "Using satellite imagery to understand and promote sustainable development". In: *Science* (2021). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abe8628.

[6] Z. Dörnyei. "Research Methods in Applied Linguistics". In: *Research methods in applied linguistics* (2021). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1075/rmal.

[7] J. Rudolph, S. Tan, and S. Tan. "ChatGPT: Bullshit spewer or the end of traditional assessments in higher education?" In: *Journal of Applied Learning & Teaching* (2023). DOI: https://doi.org/10.37074/jalt.2023.6.1.9.

[8] S. A. Athaluri, S. V. Manthena, V. S. R. K. M. Kesapragada, V. Yarlagadda, T. Dave, and R. T. S. Duddumpudi. "Exploring the Boundaries of Reality: Investigating the Phenomenon of Artificial Intelligence Hallucination in Scientific Writing Through ChatGPT References". In: *Cureus* (2023). DOI: https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.37432.

[9] T. R. McIntosh, T. Liu, T. Sušnjak, P. Watters, A. Ng, and M. N. Halgamuge. "A Culturally Sensitive Test to Evaluate Nuanced GPT Hallucination". In: *IEEE Transactions on Artificial Intelligence* (2023). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/tai.2023.3332837.

[10] M. Sallam. "ChatGPT Utility in Healthcare Education, Research, and Practice: Systematic Review on the Promising Perspectives and Valid Concerns". In: *Healthcare* (2023). DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11060887.

[11] M. T. Hicks, J. Humphries, and J. Slater. "ChatGPT is bullshit". In: *Ethics and Information Technology* (2024). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10676-024-09775-5.

[12] C. Chen. "CiteSpace II: Detecting and visualizing emerging trends and transient patterns in scientific literature". In: *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology* (2005). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.20317.

[13] R. Hatem, B. Simmons, and J. Thornton. "A Call to Address AI "Hallucinations" and How Healthcare Professionals Can Mitigate Their Risks". In: *Cureus* (2023). DOI: https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.44720.

[14] D. Spiegelhalter, M. Pearson, and I. Short. "Visualizing Uncertainty About the Future". In: *Science* (2011). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1191181.

[15] T. C. Guetterman, S. Fàbregues, and R. Sakakibara. "Visuals in joint displays to represent integration in mixed methods research: A methodological review". In: *Methods in Psychology* (2021). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metip.2021.100080.

[16] D. Tang, M. Chen, X. Huang, G. Zhang, L. Zeng, G. Zhang, S. Wu, and Y. Wang. "SRplot: A free online platform for data visualization and graphing". In: *PLoS ONE* (2023). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0294236.

[17] E. F. Pettersen, T. D. Goddard, C. C. Huang, G. S. Couch, D. M. Greenblatt, E. C. Meng, and T. E. Ferrin. "UCSF Chimera—A visualization system for exploratory research and analysis". In: *Journal of Computational Chemistry* (2004). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20084.

[18] I. Davis, A. Leaver-Fay, V. B. Chen, J. N. Block, G. J. Kapral, X. Wang, L. W. Murray, W. Arendall, J. Snoeyink, J. S. Richardson, and D. Richardson. "MolProbity: all-atom contacts and structure validation for proteins and nucleic acids". In: *Nucleic Acids Research* (2007). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm216.

[19] Y. Chen, C. Mahoney, I. Grasso, E. Wali, A. Matthews, T. Middleton, M. Njie, and J. Matthews. "Gender Bias and Under-Representation in Natural Language Processing Across Human Languages". In: (2021). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3461702.3462530.

[20] A. Petrov, E. L. Malfa, P. H. S. Torr, and A. Bibi. "Language Model Tokenizers Introduce Unfairness Between Languages". In: *arXiv (Cornell University)* (2023). DOI: https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2305.15425.

[21] L. Barrault, Y.-A. Chung, M. C. Meglioli, D. Dale, N. Dong, P.-A. Duquenne, H. Elsahar, H. Gong, K. S. Heffernan, J. P. Hoffman, C. Klaiber, P. Li, D. J. Licht, J. Maillard, A. Rakotoarison, K. R. Sadagopan, G. Wenzek, E. Ye, B. Akula, P.-J. Chen, N. E. Hachem, B. E. Ellis, G. M. Gonzalez, J. Haaheim, P. Hansanti, R. Howes, B. Huang, M.-J. Hwang, H. Inaguma, S. Jain, E. Kalbassi, A. Kallet, I. Kulikov, J. Lam, D. Li, X. Ma, R. Mavlyutov, B. Peloquin, M. M. Ramadan, A. Ramakrishnan, A. Sun, K. Tran, T. Tran, I. Tufanov, V. Vogeti, C. Wood, Y. Yang, B. Yu, P. Andrews, C. Balioglu, M. R. Costa-jussà, O. Çelebi, M. Elbayad, C. Gao, F. Guzmán, J. Kao, A. Lee, A. Mourachko, J. Pino, S. Popuri, C. Ropers, S. Saleem, H. Schwenk, P. Tomasello, C. Wang, J. Wang, and S. Wang. "Joint speech

NLDL
#42

NLDL 2026 Full Paper Submission #42. CONFIDENTIAL REVIEW COPY. DO NOT DISTRIBUTE.

NLDL
#42

and text machine translation for up to 100 languages". In: *Nature* (2025). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-08359-z.

[22] D. Paris. "Culturally Sustaining Pedagogy". In: *Educational Researcher* (2012). DOI: https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189x12441244.

[23] D. Cer, M. Diab, E. Agirre, I. López-Gazpio, and L. Specia. "SemEval-2017 Task 1: Semantic Textual Similarity Multilingual and Crosslingual Focused Evaluation". In: *Proceedings of the 16th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation (SemEval-2022)* (2017). DOI: https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/s17-2001.

[24] P. Qi, Y. Zhang, Y. Zhang, J. Bolton, and C. D. Manning. "Stanza: A Python Natural Language Processing Toolkit for Many Human Languages". In: (2020). DOI: https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.acl-demos.14.

[25] P. Heinrich. "Urban translation and the 2020 Tokyo Games". In: *Routledge eBooks* (2021). DOI: https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429436468-11.

[26] O. A. G. Valencia, S. Suppadungsuk, C. Thongprayoon, J. Miao, S. Tangpanithandee, I. Craici, and W. Cheungpasitporn. "Ethical Implications of Chatbot Utilization in Nephrology". In: *Journal of Personalized Medicine* (2023). DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm13091363.

[27] J. Lynch. "Business Journals Combat Coercive Citation". In: *Science* (2012). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.335.6073.1169-a.

[28] R. D. Fricker. "False positives are statistically inevitable". In: *Science* (2016). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.351.6273.569-c.

[29] G. Iacobucci. "All stakeholders must commit to improving research integrity, medical editors tell MPs". In: *BMJ* (2017). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j5415.

[30] P. Giannozzi, S. Baroni, N. Bonini, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, D. Ceresoli, G. Chiarotti, M. Cococcioni, I. Dabo, A. D. Corso, S. d. Gironcoli, S. Fabris, G. Fratesi, R. Gebauer, U. Gerstmann, C. Gougoussis, A. Kokalj, M. Lazzeri, L. Martin-Samos, N. Marzari, F. Mauri, R. Mazzarello, S. Paolini, A. Pasquarello, L. Paulatto, C. Sbraccia, S. Scandolo, G. Sclauzero, A. P. Seitsonen, A. Smogunov, P. Umari, and R. M. Wentzcovitch. "QUANTUM ESPRESSO: a modular and open-source software project for quantum simulations of materials". In: *Journal of Physics Condensed Matter* (2009). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/21/39/395502.

[31] T. Lu and F. Chen. "Multiwfn: A multifunctional wavefunction analyzer". In: *Journal of Computational Chemistry* (2011). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.22885.

[32] J. N. Nkengasong and S. K. Tessema. "Africa Needs a New Public Health Order to Tackle Infectious Disease Threats". In: *Cell* (2020). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.09.041.

[33] I. Dergaa, K. Chamari, P. Żmijewski, and H. B. Saad. "From human writing to artificial intelligence generated text: examining the prospects and potential threats of ChatGPT in academic writing". In: *Biology of Sport* (2023). DOI: https://doi.org/10.5114/biolsport.2023.125623.

[34] A. Haleem, M. Javaid, M. A. Qadri, and R. Suman. "Understanding the role of digital technologies in education: A review". In: *Sustainable Operations and Computers* (2022). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susoc.2022.05.004.

[35] S. Gao, A. Fang, Y. Huang, V. Giunchiglia, A. Noori, J. R. Schwarz, Y. Ektefaie, J. Kondic, and M. Žitnik. "Empowering biomedical discovery with AI agents". In: *Cell* (2024). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2024.09.022.

[36] J. P. Cerdeña, M. V. Plaisime, and J. Tsai. "From race-based to race-conscious medicine: how anti-racist uprisings call us to act". In: *The Lancet* (2020). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(20)32076-6.

[37] X. Liu, S. C. Rivera, D. Moher, M. Calvert, A. K. Denniston, A.-W. Chan, A. Darzi, C. Holmes, C. Yau, H. Ashrafian, J. J. Deeks, L. F. di Ruffano, L. Faes, P. A. Keane, S. J. Vollmer, A. Lee, A. Jonas, A. Esteva, A. L. Beam, A.-W. Chan, M. B. Panico, C. S. Lee, C. Haug, C. Kelly, C. Yau, C. D. Mulrow, C. Espinoza, J. Fletcher, D. N. Paltoo, E. Manna, G. Price, G. S. Collins, H. Harvey, J. Matcham, J. Monteiro, M. K. ElZarrad, L. F. di Ruffano, L. Oakden-Rayner, M. D. McCradden, P. A. Keane, R. S. Savage, R. Golub, R. Sarkar, and S. Rowley. "Reporting guidelines for clinical trial reports for interventions involving artificial intelligence: the CONSORT-AI extension". In: *Nature Medicine* (2020). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-1034-x.

NLDL
#42

NLDL 2026 Full Paper Submission #42. CONFIDENTIAL REVIEW COPY. DO NOT DISTRIBUTE.

NLDL
#42

[38] J. C. Tilburt, V. M. Montori, and N. D. Shah. "What Is Value in Health Care?" In: *New England Journal of Medicine* (2011). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmc1101108.