# LANGUAGE MODEL FOR LARGE-TEXT TRANSMIS SION IN NOISY QUANTUM COMMUNICATIONS

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

## ABSTRACT

Quantum communication has the potential to revolutionise information processing, providing unparalleled security and increased capacity compared to its classical counterpart by using the principles of quantum mechanics. However, the presence of errors poses a significant challenge to realising these advantages. While strategies like quantum error correction and quantum error mitigation have been developed to address these errors, they often come with substantial overhead, hindering the practical transmission of large texts. Here, we introduce an application of machine learning frameworks for natural language processing to enhence the performance of noisy quantum communications, particularly superdense coding. Using bidirectional encoder representations from transformers (BERT), a model known for its capabilities in natural language processing, we demonstrate that language-model-assisted quantum communication protocols can substantially improve the efficiency of large-scale information transfer. This brings us closer to the practical realisation of a quantum internet.

025 026

027

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

## 1 INTRODUCTION

028 In our increasingly interconnected world, effective communication is essential for facilitating the 029 exchange of information, fostering collaboration, and driving problem-solving across various domains. It serves as the foundation of a modern society, enabling the development of technological 031 landscapes. Entering the spotlight, quantum communication Bouwmeester et al. (1997); Gisin & Thew (2007); Cozzolino et al. (2019); Xing et al. (2024) is emerging as a revolutionary field that 033 uses the principles of quantum mechanics to achieve unprecedented levels of security and efficiency, 034 signaling a new era in data transmission. Today, quantum information can already be transmitted from satellites to the ground Ren et al. (2017); Liao et al. (2017); Lu et al. (2022); Li et al. (2024a), and quantum networks are being established within cities (Yin et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2024; Knaut 036 et al., 2024). One notable example of the advantages of quantum communication is superdense cod-037 ing Bennett et al. (1999); Harrow et al. (2004); Barreiro et al. (2008); Hu & et al. (2018); Li et al. (2024b), which enables a single qubit channel to transmit the equivalent of two classical bits. This is made possible through shared entanglement between the sender and receiver, effectively doubling 040 the amount of information transmitted. 041

The next step in advancing quantum communication involves utilizing the superdense coding pro-042 tocol as a subroutine for transmitting text. However, two practical issues need to be addressed. The 043 first, which is relatively straightforward, is transforming the text into a bit string that can be used for 044 superdense coding. This can be easily achieved using standard ASCII code. The second, more com-045 plex challenge arises from the influence of noise on quantum communications, which can degrade 046 performance. Current strategies, including quantum error correction and quantum error mitigation, 047 have their pros and cons. For instance, quantum error correction Knill & Laflamme (1997); Aoki 048 et al. (2009); Terhal (2015); Krinner et al. (2022); Sivak et al. (2023) can efficiently handle errors but often requires substantial additional resources that may not be available in practice. On the other hand, quantum error mitigation Endo et al. (2018); Cai et al. (2023) necessitates multiple experi-051 mental repetitions to minimize the impact of errors, potentially undermining the advantages offered by superdense coding. Given these challenges, is there an alternative protocol that can effectively 052 mitigate noise in quantum communication without requiring extra quantum resources or multiple communication repetitions?

054 Here, we address this question by introducing a language-model-assisted quantum protocol which benefits from both superdense coding and natural language processing (NLP). In particular, we in-056 corporate BERT, a pre-trained language model recognized for its ability to understand the context 057 and predict corrections in tasks such as sentiment analysis Hoang et al. (2019); Xu et al. (2019); 058 Sousa et al. (2019); Cao et al. (2021); Prottasha et al. (2022) and spell error correction Zhang et al. (2020); Tan et al. (2020); Nguyen et al. (2020), into the superdense coding framework, resulting in what we term post quantum communicaton BERT (PQC-BERT). PQC-BERT incorporates both a 060 word-level repairing module (WLRM) and a sentence-level repairing module (SLRM), significantly 061 enhancing the fidelity of text transmission in quantum communications. We assess the model's per-062 formance by comparing the bit error rate, word error rate, and sentence error rate before and after its 063 implementation through numerical experiments, validating its effectiveness in ensuring reliable text 064 communication. This language-model-assisted quantum communication protocol presents a new 065 method for mitigating noise in quantum communication, potentially accelerating the advancement 066 of hybrid classical-quantum networks. 067

068 **Related works** Recent advancements in machine learning techniques have revealed substantial 069 potential for enhancing our understanding and manipulation of complex quantum systems. For example, these methods can optimize quantum circuits, simulate quantum phenomena, and identify 071 new quantum algorithms (Carrasquilla, 2020; Melko et al., 2019). In particular, neural networks are highly effective at approximating quantum states and predicting behavior (Carleo & Troyer, 072 2017; Carrasquilla & Melko, 2017). Additionally, artificial intelligence is revolutionizing quantum 073 chemistry by predicting molecular properties and designing new materials (Rupp et al., 2012; von 074 Lilienfeld et al., 2020). This synergy not only enhances quantum computations but also tackles 075 challenges that classical computing cannot solve (Biamonte et al., 2017; Dunjko & Briegel, 2018). 076 Reinforcement learning has proven effective in optimizing long-distance quantum communication, 077 improving the performance of communication protocols (Wallnöfer et al., 2020). Moreover, quantum computing offers new insights into machine learning problems (Guo et al., 2024; Yu et al., 079 2023; Cherrat et al., 2024; Tian et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2023). Beyond these developments, NLP 080 plays a crucial role in information processing. Among the techniques in this field, BERT, a pre-081 trained model derived from Transformers Vaswani et al. (2017), utilizes self-attention mechanisms to analyze language data (Devlin et al., 2019). It achieves outstanding performance in sentiment 083 analysis Hoang et al. (2019); Bello et al. (2023), spam filtering Cao & Lai (2020); Oswald et al. (2022), named entity recognition Hakala & Pyysalo (2019); Chang et al. (2021), question answering 084 systems, reading comprehension Xu et al. (2019), matching tasks, and information retrieval (Lo & 085 Simard, 2019; Peinelt et al., 2020; Feifei et al., 2020). The success of BERT has spurred further advancements, including models like XLNet Yang et al. (2019), RoBERTa Zhuang et al. (2021), and 087 ALBERT (Lan et al., 2019). 088

ารด

## 2 PRELIMINARIES

090 091 092

094

095

096

This section offers a concise overview of fundamental concepts in quantum information theory, including quantum states, gates, channels, entanglement, and standard noise models. For a more detailed introduction to the topic, we recommend consulting Ref. (Nielsen & Chuang, 2010; Wilde, 2013; Watrous, 2018). Readers who are already well-versed in these concepts may opt to skip this section.

**Quantum state** In quantum information and computing, information is encoded in quantum sys-098 tems through the preparation of quantum states, with the fundamental unit being the quantum bit, 099 or qubit, which represents a two-dimensional quantum system. In contrast to a classical bit, which 100 can only exist in one of two states, 0 or 1, a qubit can exist in a superposition of states, described 101 by a unit vector in the two-dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . The state of a qubit is expressed in Dirac 102 notation as  $|\psi\rangle = \alpha_0 |0\rangle + \alpha_1 |1\rangle$ , where  $|0\rangle = [1,0]^{\mathsf{T}}$  and  $|1\rangle = [0,1]^{\mathsf{T}}$  and  $|\alpha_0|^2 + |\alpha_1|^2 = 1$ . In this work, the notation T indicates the transpose, and  $[\alpha, \beta]^{T}$  denotes the corresponding column 103 vector. The coefficients  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_1$  satisfy the normalization condition  $|\alpha_0|^2 + |\alpha_1|^2 = 1$ , which 104 reflects the principle of superposition. In a system composed of n qubits, the quantum state is rep-105 resented as a normalized vector within the *n*-fold tensor product Hilbert space, denoted as  $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$ . 106 A quantum state in a d-dimensional Hilbert space is referred to as a qudit, which can be expressed 107 as  $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \alpha_i |i\rangle$  (Wang et al., 2020).

Quantum gate The fundamental operations for manipulating quantum states are referred to as quantum gates. Due to their reversible nature, quantum gates are represented by unitary matrices. The essential quantum operations for qubits consist of the Pauli gates and the Hadamard gate

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(1)

**Quantum measurement** The standard method for extracting or decoding information from a quantum system involves implementing quantum measurements. A widely used one in quantum machine learning Biamonte et al. (2017) and, more generally, variational quantum computing Cerezo et al. (2021); Bharti et al. (2022); Larocca et al. (2024), is measurement in the computational basis. For a qubit represented as  $|\psi\rangle = \alpha_0 |0\rangle + \alpha_1 |1\rangle$ , measuring the state yields outcomes 0 or 1 with probabilities  $|\alpha_0|^2$  and  $|\alpha_1|^2$ , respectively. Similarly, when measuring a qudit in the computational basis, the state collapses to  $|i\rangle$  with probability  $|\alpha_i|^2$  for  $i \in \{0, \ldots, d-1\}$ .

Quantum Entanglement A fundamental quantum resource that sets quantum theory apart from classical physics is quantum entanglement Horodecki et al. (2009), which enables technologies such as quantum computing and quantum communication. In many applications, maximally entangled states play an essential role. For qudit systems, these states, commonly referred to as generalized Bell states, are given by

$$|\Phi_{zx}\rangle = (Z(z)X(x)\otimes I) |\Phi_{00}\rangle, \quad \forall z, x \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}.$$
(2)

Here,  $|\Phi_{00}\rangle := 1/\sqrt{d} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |ii\rangle$ , and the Heisenberg-Weyl operators are expressed as Khatri & Wilde (2024) Wilde (2024)

$$Z(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i k z}{d}} |i\rangle \langle i|, \quad X(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |(i+x) \mod d\rangle \langle i|.$$
(3)

For qubits, the following four standard Bell states are identified.

$$|\Phi_{00}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle), \quad |\Phi_{01}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|10\rangle + |01\rangle), |\Phi_{10}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle - |11\rangle), \quad |\Phi_{11}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle - |10\rangle).$$
(4)

These generalized Bell states  $\Phi_{zx}$  naturally give rise to projective measurements in the form of { $|\Phi_{zx}\rangle\langle\Phi_{zx}|$ }, resulting in outcomes zx (Schuck et al., 2006).

**Quantum channel** In a closed quantum system, the evolution of the quantum state is reversible and is described by unitary gates. However, in the broader context of open quantum systems Rivas & Huelga (2012); Pollock et al. (2018); Lidar (2019); Milz & Modi (2021); Xiao et al. (2023); Xing et al. (2023), where the environment is taken into account, the quantum dynamics is characterized by completely positive trace-preserving (CPTP) linear maps known as quantum channels. Mathematically, a quantum channel  $\mathcal{E}$  can be decomposed into the following form using Kraus operators  $K_i$ 

154

128 129

144

$$\mathcal{E}(\cdot) = \sum_{i} K_i \cdot K_i^{\dagger},\tag{5}$$

where the operators satisfy the completeness condition, namely  $\sum_i K_i^{\dagger} K_i = I$ , with <sup>†</sup> denoting the Hermitian adjoint. The Kraus decompositions for various qubit noise models Gottesman (1998); Lidar & Brun (2013); Gottesman (2024) are listed in Table 1.

The bit flip error, as previously discussed, is also a typical error in classical information theory, where bits can be flipped with a certain probability  $\lambda$  during transmission. Alongside the qubit case, we will perform numerical experiments for the qudit case with d = 4 to examine the bit flip error in the following sections. Accordingly, we also present the Kraus operators of bit flip channel specific to the 4-dimensional case Khatri & Wilde (2024) in Table 1. Table 1: Noise Models. The first four rows list commonly used noise models for qubits, while the last row represents the bit-flip error for qudits with d = 4. The parameter  $\lambda$  denotes the noise strength, representing the magnitude of noise affecting the communication process.

Noise Models	Kraus Operators
Bit Flip Channel	$K_0 = \sqrt{1 - \lambda}I,  K_1 = \sqrt{\lambda}X$
Phase Flip Channel	$K_0 = \sqrt{1 - \lambda}I,  K_1 = \sqrt{\lambda}Z$
Depolarizing Channel	$K_0 = \sqrt{1 - 3\lambda/4}I,  K_1 = \sqrt{\lambda/4}X,$ $K_2 = \sqrt{\lambda/4}Y,  K_3 = \sqrt{\lambda/4}Z$
Amplitude Damping Channel	$K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1-\lambda} \end{pmatrix}, K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\lambda} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
Qudit Bit Flip Channel( $d = 4$ )	$K_0 = \sqrt{1 - \lambda}I,  K_1 = \sqrt{\lambda/3}X(1),$ $K_2 = \sqrt{\lambda/3}X(2),  K_3 = \sqrt{\lambda/3}X(3).$

## 3 Methods

In this section, we integrate superdense coding with machine-learning-based NLP to explore the 185 language-model-assisted quantum communications. This modifies the traditional process of transmitting information – encoding  $\rightarrow$  noise  $\rightarrow$  decoding – into a more robust pipeline: pre-encoding  $\rightarrow$ encoding  $\rightarrow$  noise  $\rightarrow$  decoding  $\rightarrow$  post-decoding, as illustrated in Figure. 1(a). Specifically, super-187 dense coding offers an efficient way for transmitting classical information using quantum commu-188 nication, with the potential to double the transmission capacity compared to classical approaches. 189 However, superdense coding is typically limited to bit string inputs. To enable large-scale text trans-190 mission, the text is first converted into 8-bit ASCII code in the pre-encoding process, making it 191 compatible with superdense coding. The encoded bit strings are then transmitted, though noise dur-192 ing the process can degrade performance. After decoding, machine learning-based NLP techniques 193 are employed in a post-processing phase, helping to recover and refine the transmitted information. 194 This approach mitigates the impact of noise and enhances the overall reliability and efficiency of quantum communications. 195

196 197

204

205

207

208

210

211

165 166 167

179 180 181

182 183

## 3.1 QUANTUM SUPERDENSE CODING

Quantum superdense coding is a protocol that enables the transmission of classical bits using fewer qubits, provided that the sender and receiver share a pre-established entangled resource. In the qubit case, if the parties pre-share a maximally entangled state [qq], and the sender has access to a noiseless qubit channel  $[q \rightarrow q]$  to transmit a qubit, it is possible to communicate 2 classical bits of information. In other words, this simulates the process of  $2[c \rightarrow c]$ .

$$[qq] + [q \to q] \ge 2[c \to c]. \tag{6}$$

206 The formal procedure is outlined as follows:

- 1. Entanglement Distribution. A third party prepared the maximally entangled state  $|\Phi_{00}\rangle$  and distributed it to the sender, Alice, and the receiver, Bob.
- 2. Encoding. Alice encodes two bits of classical information  $zx \in \{0,1\}^2$  into one of the Bell states  $|\Phi_{zx}\rangle$  by applying Pauli operations Z(z)X(x) to her qubit.
- 212
  213
  214
  3. Noisy Communication. Alice then sends her qubit to Bob through the noisy quantum channel *E*.
- 215 4. **Decoding.** Upon receiving the qubit from Alice, Bob performs a Bell measurement. Based on the measurement outcome, Bob decodes the classical information *zx* that Alice encoded.



Figure 1: Language-Model-Assisted Quantum Communication. Figure 1(a) shows the outline of our communication protocol designed for large-text transmission tasks. Figure 1(b) explicitly illustrates the standard superdense coding. In addition to superdense coding, we encapsulate it with a pre-encoding process and a post-decoding process based on a language model. Figure 1(c) further details the structure of our post-decoding component, including the word-level repairing module (WLRM) and the sentence-level repairing module (SLRM).

3.2 PRE-ENCODING PROCESSING

To transmit text using a subroutine that incorporates superdense coding, we first convert the char-acters into a bit string using the standard 8-bit ASCII code. We refer to this process as "ASCII encoding" and denote it by  $\mathcal{A}$ . This encoded bit string is then processed through the superdense coding protocol. We denote the original content that sender Alice wishes to transmit to receiver Bob as  $\mathcal{T} = [w_1, \cdots, w_n]$ , where  $w_i \ (i \in [n] := \{1, \dots, n\})$  represents a word within the text. Af-ter transmitting the bit string through the superdense coding protocol, where a noisy channel  $\mathcal{E}$  has occurred, we obtain an output bit string. To recover the transmitted message, we apply the inverse mapping  $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$  to convert the output bit string back into the message  $\mathcal{T}^q = [w_1^q, \cdots, w_n^q]$ . Similar to the original content  $\mathcal{T}$ , each  $w_i^q$  signifies a word, with *n* representing the total number of words. Additionally, each word  $w_i^q$  can be expressed as  $[l_{i1}^q, l_{i2}^q, \cdots, l_{im}^q]$ , consisting of m letters.

#### 270 3.3 POST-DECODING PROCESSING 271

272 Our newly introduced post-decoding process comprises two main components: word-level repair-273 ing module (WLRM) and sentence-level repairing module (SLRM). The first component utilizes a predefined dictionary, denoted as Dict, to rectify misspelled words at the word level. The second 274 component employs a language model to tackle more intricate semantic errors at the sentence level. 275

#### 3.3.1 WORD-LEVEL REPAIRING MODULE (WLRM) 277

278 We begin by introducing our word-level repairing module (WLRM). For a given word  $w_i^q$ , derived 279 from superdense coding and subsequently mapped through the inverse mapping  $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$  of the "ASCII 280 encoding", we assess its validity against the shared dictionary Dict. If  $w_i^q$  is found in Dict, we redefine  $w_i^q$  as  $w_i^w$  and proceed to the next word  $w_{i+1}^q$ . Otherwise, the word is identified as misspelled, prompting us to select candidate corrections  $w_i^w$  from Dict based on the following measure 281 282

$$\Delta(w, w_i^q) := \sum_{j=1}^m \text{Hamming}\left(\mathcal{A}(l_j), \mathcal{A}(l_{ij}^q)\right), \tag{7}$$

where Hamming  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the Hamming distance, and  $l_i$  represents the *j*-th letter of the word w. Based on this distance, our objective is to find a word in Dict that minimizes the aforementioned distance, which we denote as

$$w_i^w := \underset{w \in \text{Dict}}{\arg\min} \Delta(w, w_i^q).$$
(8)

292 By repeatedly applying this process, we obtain a word-level repaired text  $\mathcal{T}^w = [w_1^w, \cdots, w_n^w]$ . 293 This text  $\mathcal{T}^w$  is subsequently fed into the next sentence-level repairing module (SLRM). It is worth noting that using spell-corrected text as tokenized input can enhance the correction accuracy of the BERT-based module. 295

#### SENTENCE-LEVEL REPAIRING MODULE (SLRM) 3.3.2

298 Our sentence-level repairing module (SLRM) is constructed using BERT and comprises two inter-299 connected networks: the correction network and the evaluation network. The correction network 300 utilizes BERT Devlin et al. (2019) to analyze the linguistic context effectively. However, we have 301 observed that BERT tends to recommend changes even when the original words are correct. To 302 counter this behavior, we incorporate an evaluation network that assesses the proposed edits from 303 the correction network, making decisions about whether to accept or reject them.

#### 305 3.3.3 CORRECTION NETWORK

306 Our correction network is a sequential multi-class labeling model based on BERT Devlin et al. 307 (2019), designed to take corrected text  $\mathcal{T}^w$  as input and generate contextually accurate sentences 308  $\mathcal{T}^c$ . The model consists of 12 stacked identical blocks, each comprising a multi-head self-attention 309 layer followed by a feedforward network. Every word  $w_i^w$  in the input  $\mathcal{T}^w$  is transformed into an 310 embedding vector, combined with positional embeddings to encode word order, and marked with 311 special tokens [CLS] at the start and [SEP] at the end. The multi-head self-attention mechanism, 312 described in Vaswani et al. (2017), allows the model to capture global dependencies between words 313 in the sequence, irrespective of their distance. The attention function is computed simultaneously on the combined sets of queries Q, keys K, and values V as follows 314

276

283 284

285

287

288

289 290

291

296

297

304

Attention
$$(Q, K, V) := \operatorname{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)V$$
(9)

318 where  $d_k$  is the dimension of the Q. Each attention head, i.e., head<sub>h</sub>, learns distinct aspects of these 319 relationships, expressed as

$$MultiHead(Q, K, V) := Concatenation(head_1, head_2, \dots, head_h)W^O$$
(10)

322 where

$$head_i := Attention(QW_i^Q, KW_i^K, VW_i^V)$$
(11)

320

321

323

317

and  $W^O$ ,  $W_i^Q$ ,  $W_i^Q$ ,  $W_i^Q$  are the weight matrixs parameters obtained by learning.

Following the self-attention sublayer, a position-wise feed-forward network (FFN) is applied independently, whose input is the output x from the previous sublayer. The process of current layer can be formulated as

$$FFN(x) := \max(0, xW_1 + b_1)W_2 + b_2 \tag{12}$$

where  $W_1, W_2$  stand for the learnable weight matrices, and  $b_1, b_2$  are the biases. Outputs from each sublayer are followed by residual connections and layer normalization, which respectively mitigate the vanishing gradient problem and stabilize training in deep networks.

Let the output of BERT be denoted as  $P^c = [p_1^c, p_2^c, \dots, p_n^c]$ , where  $p_i^c$  is a probability vector. Each element in  $p_i^c$  corresponds to the probability of a specific dictionary word appearing in the *i*-th position of the text. For example, if the word 'quantum' has the highest probability among all elements in  $p_1^c$ , the first word of the text will be 'quantum.' To construct the full text, we select the word with the highest probability for each position, producing the text  $\mathcal{T}^c = [w_1^c, \dots, w_n^c]$ .

## 340 3.3.4 EVALUATION NETWORK

Our evaluation network will take  $\mathcal{T}^c$  as input and produce a vector of confidence scores  $c = [c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n]$ . If the score for the *i*-th position, namely  $c_i$ , is close to 0, we conclude that the output from BERT is over-corrected; thus, we should select  $w_i^w$  from the word-level repairing module (WLRM) as the *i*-th word in the text. Conversely, if the score is close to 1, we trust the correction provided by BERT, indicating that the sentence-level repairing module (SLRM) is necessary, and we take the *i*-th word from the text as  $w_i^c$ . We denote the resulting text from this process as  $\mathcal{T}^e$ .

### 3.4 LEARNING

330

339

347 348

349

356

357 358

365 366

367

The learning phase is focused on the SLRM component. The training dataset consists of inputoutput pairs  $(\mathcal{T}^w, \mathcal{T})$ , where  $\mathcal{T}^w$  denotes the texts corrected by the WLRM, and  $\mathcal{T}$  represents the original texts that the sender, Alice, intends to transmit to the receiver, Bob. The learning objective is composed of two key components: correction and evaluation. To address these, we formulate two separate loss functions – one for the correction network and another for the evaluation network. The loss function  $\mathcal{L}_c$  for the correction network is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_c := -\sum_{i=1}^n \log P(w_i^c | \mathcal{T}^w), \tag{13}$$

where  $P(w_i^c | \mathcal{T}^w)$  denotes the probability of selecting the word  $w_i^c$  given the input  $\mathcal{T}^w$ . The loss function  $\mathcal{L}_e$  for the evaluation network is based on Focal Loss (Ross & Dollár, 2017). Given that incorrect words in  $\mathcal{T}^q$  represent a relatively small portion of our dataset, we employ a modified Focal Loss to address the challenge of class imbalance. Using the word-level repaired text  $\mathcal{T}^w$ , we create a boolean flag array  $q = [q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n]$  to indicate whether  $w_i^w = w_i$ , i.e.,  $q_i := \mathbf{1}\{w_i^w = w_i\}$ . Meanwhile, the modified focal loss function is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_e := -\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha (1 - f_i)^\gamma \log(f_i + \epsilon), \tag{14}$$

where  $f_i = c_i$  when  $q_i = 1$  (indicating that the word should be replaced), and  $f_i = 1 - c_i$  otherwise (indicating that the word should not be replaced). The parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\epsilon$  are employed to fine-tune the Focal Loss function, thereby enhancing its effectiveness in handling the imbalanced dataset.

Ultimately, we take the linear combination of Eq. 13 and Eq. 14 as the final loss function for training

374

375

$$\mathcal{L} := \theta \cdot \mathcal{L}_c + (1 - \theta) \cdot \mathcal{L}_e, \quad \theta \in [0, 1].$$
(15)

The remaining hyperparameters and learning rates were established based on prior work (Quijano et al., 2021). We selected the Adam optimizer and implemented slight adjustments to enhance its performance.

# 378 4 NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS 379

380 In this section, we present numerical experiments to demonstrate the advantages of our language-381 model-assisted communication protocols, emphasizing the role of machine learning, particularly 382 NLP, in enhancing communication. We compare the performance of bit and qubit communication in the presence of bit-flip errors, finding that quantum communication consistently surpasses classical 384 methods in terms of sentence accuracy, recall, precision, and F1 score. Furthermore, our evaluation of the language-model-assisted communication protocols shows that the PQC-BERT module 385 386 effectively mitigates noise, significantly reducing the bit error rate, word error rate, and sentence error rate. These results highlight the superior performance of language-model-assisted quantum 387 communication. 388

4.1 DATASETS

391 Our numerical experiments are based on modified versions of two established datasets: Flickr-8k 392 Text Captioning Dataset (Flickr-8k) Hodosh et al. (2013) and Corpus of Linguistic Acceptability 393 (CoLA) (Warstadt et al., 2019). The Flickr-8k dataset includes 8,000 images, each paired with 5 394 distinct captions that effectively describe the key entities and events within the images. In contrast, 395 CoLA serves as a benchmark for single-sentence classification, containing sentences sourced from 396 23 linguistic publications, expertly annotated for acceptability by their original authors. To assess 397 the performance of our models on text transmission tasks, we manually extracted 12, 459 corrected English sentences from Flickr-8k and 9,078 from CoLA, resulting in two new datasets: Mini-Flickr 398 and Mini-CoLA. 399

4.2 Setting

Given a noisy channel  $\mathcal{E}$  and ideal text  $\mathcal{T}$ . we first simulate the effects of  $\mathcal{E}$  and then apply WLRM to generate the modified text  $\mathcal{T}^w$  through word-level correction. During training, we use  $\mathcal{T}^w$  as the input and  $\mathcal{T}$  as the output to train our neural network. For testing, we evaluate the similarity between the original ideal text  $\mathcal{T}$  (before it passes through the noisy channel  $\mathcal{E}$ ) and the text produced after the post-decoding process. This evaluation encompasses the entire language-model-assisted communication protocol. To validate our approach, we conduct independent and replicated experiments to demonstrate the average performance of our method.

409 410

411

416

417

418

400 401

389

390

## 4.3 Results

We assess the performance of our models using standard metrics to evaluate language models: Ac curacy, Recall, Precision, and F1-score. As shown in Table 2, we demonstrate the performance of PQC-BERT under a bit-flip noise parameter of 0.01 in both quantum and classical channels. The results reveal that PQC-BERT performs effectively, demonstrating its robustness in handling noise.

Table 2: **Performance Analysis of PQC-BERT in Classical and Quantum Communications.** PQC-BERT was assessed on classical and quantum channels (qubit) with a fixed noise parameter of 0.01 for bit flip error. The results indicate that our SLRM module performed well in the text repair task for both cases.

419 420 421

Dataset	Channel	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-Score
Mini-Flickr	Classical	0.6336	0.6583	0.6167	0.7045
	Quantum	<b>0.7642</b>	<b>0.7971</b>	<b>0.7491</b>	<b>0.7725</b>
Mini-CoLA	Classical	0.5104	0.7108	0.5903	0.5172
	Quantum	<b>0.7401</b>	<b>0.7971</b>	<b>0.6611</b>	<b>0.6765</b>

427 428 429

To further demonstrate the advantages of the entire language-model-assisted communication protocol, we evaluate its performance across various noise parameters and high-dimensional qudit channels with d = 4 in Table 3. Our analysis focuses on three key metrics:

- 1. **Bit Error Rate.** This metric quantifies the ratio of erroneous bits to the total number of bits transmitted. It is affected by the channel's noise parameters, providing valuable insights into the system's sensitivity to noise, its interference suppression capabilities, and its overall communication capacity making it a crucial metric in information theory.
  - 2. Word Error Rate. This metric assesses the proportion of errors within transmitted data blocks, defined as the number of erroneous words divided by the total number of transmitted words. It effectively evaluates the quality of transmission at the word level.
  - 3. **Sentence Error Rate.** This metric measures the performance of our text communication protocol by calculating the ratio of erroneous sentences to the total number of sentences transmitted. It provides valuable insight into the protocol's accuracy at the sentence level.

Table 3: Performance Analysis of Language-Model-Assisted Communication Protocols Under Varying Noise Conditions. We assess the quality of text transmission across classical bit communication, quantum qubit communication, and quantum qudit communication with d = 4 under various noise parameters of the bit-flip channel and using different datasets. For each metric, we present two columns: one showing the error rate before the application of the PQC-BERT module and the other reflecting the error rate after its application, with the latter presented in bold font. This bold formatting highlights the effectiveness of our protocols.

Dataset	Noise.Para	Bit Error Rate		Word Error Rate		Sentence Error Rate	
Mini-Flickr	0.01	0.0101	0.0086	0.2426	0.1979	0.9316	0.3664
	0.005	0.0050	0.0040	0.1271	0.0269	0.7643	0.2239
	0.001	0.0012	0.0010	0.0287	0.0239	0.2718	0.1361
Mini-CoLA	0.01	0.0102	0.0100	0.2911	0.2146	0.9071	0.4896
	0.005	0.0050	0.0045	0.1576	0.0364	0.7173	0.2676
	0.001	0.0010	0.0009	0.0376	0.0324	0.2486	0.1963

(a) Language-model-assisted classical protocol

(b) Language-model-assisted quantum protocol (qubit)							
Dataset	Noise.Para	oise.Para Bit Error Rate		Word E	rror Rate	Sentence Error Rate	
Mini-Flickr	0.01 0.005	0.0049	0.0018 0.0016	0.1301 0.0706	0.0325 0.0091	0.7685 0.5376	0.2358 0.1054
	0.001	0.0004	0.0003	0.0141	0.0054	0.1755	0.0542
Mini-CoLA	0.005 0.001	0.0002 0.0031 0.0005	0.0019 0.0004	0.0859 0.0205	0.0377 0.0431 0.0184	0.4858 0.1348	0.2399 0.1954 0.0665

(c) Language-model-assisted quantum protocol (qudit)

Dataset	Noise.Para	Bit Err	Bit Error Rate		Word Error Rate		Sentence Error Rate	
	0.01	0.0034	0.0016	0.0686	0.0036	0.5313	0.1349	
Mini-Flickr	0.005	0.0017	0.0013	0.0349	0.0027	0.3027	0.0914	
	0.001	0.0003	0.0001	0.0081	0.0023	0.0918	0.0305	
	0.01	0.0037	0.0023	0.0945	0.0605	0.4877	0.1955	
Mini-CoLA	0.005	0.0016	0.0013	0.0436	0.0358	0.2752	0.1049	
	0.001	0.0003	0.0002	0.0114	0.0109	0.0968	0.0565	

With a fixed noise parameter, quantum communication protocols based on either qubits or qudits (d = 4) outperform their classical counterparts. This advantage becomes increasingly pronounced as the dimensionality of the qudit increases. For instance, when the noise parameter is set at 0.001,

language-model-assisted classical communication achieves a sentence error rate of 13.61%. In contrast, the sentence error rate for language-model-assisted quantum communication using qubits is reduced to 5.42%. Furthermore, when qubits are replaced by qudits (d = 4), the performance improves further, yielding a sentence error rate of only 3.05%. These findings demonstrate that quantum dense coding not only offers high capacity but also exhibits greater robustness against noise.

492 Our numerical experiments confirm the necessity of employing machine learning techniques, such 493 as language models, in quantum communication. For instance, when using a bit flip channel with 494  $\lambda = 0.01$  to transmit qubit states, we observe a significant sentence error rate – 76.85% for Mini-495 Flickr and 73.06% for Mini-CoLA – despite the low noise strength. These high error rates render the 496 sentences largely unreadable. However, with our language model-assisted quantum communication protocol, specifically after applying the PQC-BERT module, we successfully reduce the sentence 497 error rates to 23.58% for Mini-Flickr and 25.99% for Mini-CoLA. This improvement allows the 498 receiver, Bob, to start reading the text sent to him. In addition to the bit flip error analyzed here, we 499 have also conducted experiments with other quantum noise models. Due to the absence of classical 500 counterparts for these models, we are unable to make comparisons between language model-assisted 501 classical and quantum communications, and thus, we do not present those data here. 502

503 504

## 5 CONCLUSION

505

506 Quantum communications offer significant advantages over classical protocols, particularly in ca-507 pacity and privacy. However, these benefits are often undermined by noise, which is nearly unavoid-508 able in practical applications. In this work, we leverage the power of language models in machine 509 learning to bolster the performance of a key quantum communication protocol – quantum super-510 dense coding – in the presence of noise. We present PQC-BERT, a model that extends conventional 511 superdense coding to enable the transmission of text while effectively mitigating errors that arise during quantum communication. Distinct from conventional quantum error correction techniques, 512 our method protects information without the need for additional systems. Furthermore, unlike typ-513 ical quantum error mitigation strategies, PQC-BERT operates without requiring extra samples to 514 address noise effects. This makes our approach both resource-efficient and easy to implement, high-515 lighting the remarkable potential of classical machine learning techniques in advancing quantum 516 communications. 517

The fusion of classical machine learning with quantum superdense coding also opens up new av-518 enues for exploration and raises intriguing questions for future research: (1) Superdense coding is 519 typically used to transmit classical bit strings through quantum communication, demonstrating its 520 advantage in conveying more information per channel use. A key challenge now lies in extending the 521 protocol to support more complex data types, such as images and audio. Given the distinct structure 522 and features of such data, more advanced pre-encoding schemes - beyond the "ASCII encoding" 523 - may be needed to fully optimize the transmission process. Developing these schemes could un-524 lock even broader applications of quantum communication protocols in the future. (2) While our 525 focus has been on language models and superdense coding, quantum communication encompasses 526 a wider array of tasks. As we move towards building a quantum internet, there is exciting poten-527 tial to integrate artificial intelligence with other key protocols, such as quantum teleportation and 528 quantum repeaters, to further push the boundaries of this field. (3) In NLP domain, various models have emerged following the BERT architecture. Will these subsequent models outperform BERT 529 in the post-decoding phase of superdense coding? Could different language models reveal distinct 530 advantages for different noise models? Our numerical experiments are based on processed datasets, 531 Mini-Flickr and Mini-CoLA, masked with simulated quantum noise. It will be beneficial to further 532 evaluate the performance of language-model-assisted quantum communications in real physical sys-533 tems, such as photonics. However, exploring this aspect falls beyond the scope of the current work 534 and will be addressed in future research. 535

536

# 537 REFERENCES

T. Aoki, G. Takahashi, T. Kajiya, and et al. Quantum error correction beyond qubits. *Nature Physics*, 5:541–546, 2009.

540 Julio T. Barreiro, Tzu-Chieh Wei, and Paul G. Kwiat. Beating the channel capacity limit for linear 541 photonic superdense coding. *Nature Physics*, 4(4):282–286, 2008. 542 Abayomi Bello, Sin-Chun Ng, and Man-Fai Leung. A bert framework to sentiment analysis of 543 tweets. Sensors, 23(1):506, 2023. 544 Charles H. Bennett, Peter W. Shor, John A. Smolin, and Ashish V. Thapliyal. Entanglement-assisted 546 classical capacity of noisy quantum channels. Phys. Rev. Lett., 83:3081-3084, 1999. 547 548 Kishor Bharti, Alba Cervera-Lierta, Thi Ha Kyaw, Tobias Haug, Sumner Alperin-Lea, Abhinav Anand, Matthias Degroote, Hermanni Heimonen, Jakob S. Kottmann, Tim Menke, Wai-Keong 549 Mok, Sukin Sim, Leong-Chuan Kwek, and Alán Aspuru-Guzik. Noisy intermediate-scale quan-550 tum algorithms. Rev. Mod. Phys., 94:015004, 2022. 551 552 Jacob Biamonte, Peter Wittek, Nicola Pancotti, Patrick Rebentrost, Nathan Wiebe, and Seth Lloyd. 553 Quantum machine learning. Nature, 549(7671):195-202, 2017. 554 D. Bouwmeester, J. W. Pan, K. Mattle, and et al. Experimental quantum teleportation. *Nature*, 390: 555 575–579, 1997. 556 Zhenyu Cai, Ryan Babbush, Simon C. Benjamin, Suguru Endo, William J. Huggins, Ying Li, Jar-558 rod R. McClean, and Thomas E. O'Brien. Quantum error mitigation. Rev. Mod. Phys., 95:045005, 559 2023. 560 Jie Cao and Chengzhe Lai. A bilingual multi-type spam detection model based on m-bert. In 561 GLOBECOM 2020-2020 IEEE Global Communications Conference, pp. 1–6, 2020. 562 563 Yuan Cao, Qiang Zhang, Tao-Yang Chen, et al. An integrated space-to-ground quantum communication network over 4,600 kilometres. Nature, 589:214-219, 2021. 564 565 Giuseppe Carleo and Matthias Troyer. Solving the quantum many-body problem with artificial 566 neural networks. Science, 355(6325):602-606, 2017. 567 568 Juan Carrasquilla. Machine learning for quantum matter. Advances in Physics: X, 5(1):1797528, 569 2020. 570 Juan Carrasquilla and Roger G Melko. Machine learning phases of matter. Nature Physics, 13(5): 571 431-434, 2017. 572 573 M. Cerezo, Andrew Arrasmith, Ryan Babbush, Simon C. Benjamin, Suguru Endo, Keisuke Fujii, 574 Jarrod R. McClean, Kosuke Mitarai, Xiao Yuan, Lukasz Cincio, and Patrick J. Coles. Variational 575 quantum algorithms. *Nature Reviews Physics*, pp. 625–644, 2021. 576 Yuan Chang, Lei Kong, Kejia Jia, and Qinglei Meng. Chinese named entity recognition method 577 based on bert. In 2021 IEEE international conference on data science and computer application 578 (ICDSCA), pp. 294–299, 2021. 579 580 El Amine Cherrat, Iordanis Kerenidis, Natansh Mathur, Jonas Landman, Martin Strahm, and Yun Yvonna Li. Quantum vision transformers. Quantum, 8:1265, 2024. 581 582 Daniele Cozzolino, Beatrice Da Lio, Davide Bacco, and Leif Katsuo Oxenløwe. High-dimensional 583 quantum communication: Benefits, progress, and future challenges. Quantum Science and Tech-584 nology, 5(4):043001, 2019. 585 Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. BERT: Pre-training of deep 586 bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language 588 Technologies, Volume 1, 2019. 589 Vedran Dunjko and Hans J Briegel. Machine learning & artificial intelligence in the quantum domain: a review of recent progress. Reports on Progress in Physics, 81(7):074001, 2018. 592 Suguru Endo, Simon C. Benjamin, and Ying Li. Practical quantum error mitigation for near-future

applications. Phys. Rev. X, 8:031027, 2018.

594 Xu Feifei, Zheng Shuting, and Tian Yu. Bert-based siamese network for semantic similarity. In Journal of Physics: Conference Series, volume 1684, pp. 012074, 2020. 596 N. Gisin and R. Thew. Quantum communication. *Nature Photonics*, 1:165–171, 2007. 597 598 Daniel Gottesman. Theory of fault-tolerant quantum computation. Phys. Rev. A, 57:127–137, 1998. 600 Daniel Gottesman. Surviving as a quantum computer in a classical world. Textbook manuscript preprint, 2024. 601 602 Naixu Guo, Zhan Yu, Matthew Choi, Aman Agrawal, Kouhei Nakaji, Alán Aspuru-Guzik, and 603 Patrick Rebentrost. Quantum linear algebra is all you need for Transformer architectures. 604 (arXiv:2402.16714), 2024. 605 606 Kai Hakala and Sampo Pyysalo. Biomedical named entity recognition with multilingual bert. In Proceedings of the 5th workshop on BioNLP open shared tasks, pp. 56–61, 2019. 607 608 Aram Harrow, Patrick Hayden, and Debbie Leung. Superdense coding of quantum states. Phys. 609 Rev. Lett., 92:187901, 2004. 610 611 Mickel Hoang, Oskar Alija Bihorac, and Jacobo Rouces. Aspect-based sentiment analysis using bert. In Proceedings of the 22nd nordic conference on computational linguistics, pp. 187–196, 612 2019. 613 614 Micah Hodosh, Peter Young, and Julia Hockenmaier. Framing image description as a ranking task: 615 Data, models and evaluation metrics. Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research, 47:853-899, 616 2013. 617 Ryszard Horodecki, Paweł Horodecki, Michał Horodecki, and Karol Horodecki. Quantum entan-618 glement. Rev. Mod. Phys., 81:865-942, 2009. 619 620 Xiao-Min Hu and et al. Beating the channel capacity limit for superdense coding with entangled 621 ququarts. Science Advances, 4(eaat9304), 2018. 622 Sumeet Khatri and Mark M. Wilde. Principles of quantum communication theory: A modern ap-623 proach. arXiv, 2024. 624 625 C. M. Knaut, A. Suleymanzade, Y. C. Wei, and et al. Entanglement of nanophotonic quantum 626 memory nodes in a telecom network. *Nature*, 629:573–578, 2024. 627 Emanuel Knill and Raymond Laflamme. Theory of quantum error-correcting codes. Phys. Rev. A, 628 55:900-911, 1997. 629 630 S. Krinner, N. Lacroix, A. Remm, and et al. Realizing repeated quantum error correction in a 631 distance-three surface code. Nature, 605:669-674, 2022. 632 Zhenzhong Lan, Mingda Chen, Sebastian Goodman, Kevin Gimpel, Piyush Sharma, and Radu Sori-633 cut. Albert: A lite bert for self-supervised learning of language representations. arXiv, 2019. 634 635 Martin Larocca, Supanut Thanasilp, Samson Wang, Kunal Sharma, Jacob Biamonte, Patrick J. 636 Coles, Lukasz Cincio, Jarrod R. McClean, Zoë Holmes, and M. Cerezo. A review of barren 637 plateaus in variational quantum computing. arXiv, 2024. 638 Yang Li, Wen-Qi Cai, Ji-Gang Ren, Chao-Ze Wang, Meng Yang, Liang Zhang, Hui-Ying Wu, Liang 639 Chang, Jin-Cai Wu, Biao Jin, Hua-Jian Xue, Xue-Jiao Li, Hui Liu, Guang-Wen Yu, Xue-Ying 640 Tao, Ting Chen, Chong-Fei Liu, Wen-Bin Luo, Jie Zhou, Hai-Lin Yong, Yu-Huai Li, Feng-Zhi 641 Li, Cong Jiang, Hao-Ze Chen, Chao Wu, Xin-Hai Tong, Si-Jiang Xie, Fei Zhou, Wei-Yue Liu, 642 Nai-Le Liu, Li Li, Feihu Xu, Yuan Cao, Juan Yin, Rong Shu, Xiang-Bin Wang, Qiang Zhang, 643 Jian-Yu Wang, Sheng-Kai Liao, Cheng-Zhi Peng, and Jian-Wei Pan. Microsatellite-based real-644 time quantum key distribution, 2024a. 645 Yuqi Li, Junjing Xing, Dengke Qu, Lei Xiao, Zhaobing Fan, Zhu-Jun Zheng, Haitao Ma, 646 Peng Xue, Kishor Bharti, Dax Enshan Koh, et al. Communication with quantum catalysts. 647 arXiv:2406.14395, 2024b.

648 649 650 651	Sheng-Kai Liao, Wen-Qi Cai, Wei-Yue Liu, Liang Zhang, Yang Li, Ji-Gang Ren, Juan Yin, Qi Shen, Yuan Cao, Zheng-Ping Li, Feng-Zhi Li, Xia-Wei Chen, Li-Hua Sun, Jian-Jun Jia, Jin-Cai Wu, Xiao-Jun Jiang, Jian-Feng Wang, Yong-Mei Huang, Qiang Wang, Yi-Lin Zhou, Lei Deng, Tao Xi, Lu Ma, Tai Hu, Qiang Zhang, Yu-Ao Chen, Nai-Le Liu, Xiang-Bin Wang, Zhen-Cai Zhu,
652 653 654	Chao-Yang Lu, Rong Shu, Cheng-Zhi Peng, Jian-Yu Wang, and Jian-Wei Pan. Satellite-to-ground quantum key distribution. <i>Nature</i> , 549(7670):43–47, 2017.
655	Daniel A. Lidar. Lecture notes on the theory of open quantum systems. arXiv preprint, 2019.
656 657 658	Daniel A. Lidar and Todd A. Brun (eds.). <i>Quantum Error Correction</i> . Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
659 660	J. L. Liu, X. Y. Luo, Y. Yu, and et al. Creation of memory–memory entanglement in a metropolitan quantum network. <i>Nature</i> , 629:579–585, 2024.
662 663 664	Chi-kiu Lo and Michel Simard. Fully unsupervised crosslingual semantic textual similarity metric based on bert for identifying parallel data. In <i>Proceedings of the 23rd Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning (CoNLL)</i> , pp. 206–215, 2019.
665 666	Chao-Yang Lu, Yuan Cao, Cheng-Zhi Peng, and Jian-Wei Pan. Micius quantum experiments in space. <i>Rev. Mod. Phys.</i> , 94:035001, 2022.
668 669	Roger G Melko, Giuseppe Carleo, Juan Carrasquilla, and J Ignacio Cirac. Restricted boltzmann machines in quantum physics. <i>Nature Physics</i> , 15(9):887–892, 2019.
670 671 672	Simon Milz and Kavan Modi. Quantum stochastic processes and quantum non-markovian phenom- ena. <i>PRX Quantum</i> , 2:030201, 2021.
673 674 675	Thi Tuyet Hai Nguyen, Adam Jatowt, Nhu-Van Nguyen, Mickael Coustaty, and Antoine Doucet. Neural machine translation with bert for post-ocr error detection and correction. In <i>Proceedings</i> of the ACM/IEEE joint conference on digital libraries in 2020, pp. 333–336, 2020.
676 677 678	Michael A. Nielsen and Isaac L. Chuang. <i>Quantum Computation and Quantum Information: 10th Anniversary Edition.</i> Cambridge University Press, 2010.
679 680 681	C Oswald, Sona Elza Simon, and Arnab Bhattacharya. Spotspam: Intention analysis-driven sms spam detection using bert embeddings. <i>ACM Transactions on the Web (TWEB)</i> , 16(3):1–27, 2022.
682 683 684 685	Nicole Peinelt, Dong Nguyen, and Maria Liakata. tbert: Topic models and bert joining forces for semantic similarity detection. In <i>Proceedings of the 58th annual meeting of the association for computational linguistics</i> , pp. 7047–7055, 2020.
686 687 688	Felix A. Pollock, César Rodríguez-Rosario, Thomas Frauenheim, Mauro Paternostro, and Kavan Modi. Non-markovian quantum processes: Complete framework and efficient characterization. <i>Phys. Rev. A</i> , 97:012127, 2018.
689 690 691 692	Nusrat Jahan Prottasha, Abdullah As Sami, Md Kowsher, Saydul Akbar Murad, Anupam Kumar Bairagi, Mehedi Masud, and Mohammed Baz. Transfer learning for sentiment analysis using bert based supervised fine-tuning. <i>Sensors</i> , 22(11):4157, 2022.
693 694	Alex John Quijano, Sam Nguyen, and Juanita Ordoñez. Grid search hyperparameter benchmarking of bert, albert, and longformer on duorc. <i>ArXiv</i> , abs/2101.06326, 2021.
695 696 697 698	Ji-Gang Ren, Ping Xu, Hai-Lin Yong, Liang Zhang, Sheng-Kai Liao, Juan Yin, Wei-Yue Liu, Wen- Qi Cai, Meng Yang, Li Li, et al. Ground-to-satellite quantum teleportation. <i>Nature</i> , 549(7670): 70–73, 2017.
699 700	Á. Rivas and S. F. Huelga. Open Quantum Systems: An Introduction. Springer, 2012.
	TVI DC Does and CVIID Dollán Ecolo loss for dance abient datastica. In success di Col UDE

701 T-YLPG Ross and GKHP Dollár. Focal loss for dense object detection. In *proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 2980–2988, 2017.

- Matthias Rupp, Alexandre Tkatchenko, Klaus-Robert Müller, and O Anatole Von Lilienfeld. Fast and accurate modeling of molecular atomization energies with machine learning. *Physical review letters*, 108(5):058301, 2012.
- C. Schuck, G. Huber, C. Kurtsiefer, and H. Weinfurter. Complete deterministic linear optics bell state analysis. *Physical Review Letters*, 96:190501, 2006.
- V. V. Sivak, A. Eickbusch, B. Royer, and et al. Real-time quantum error correction beyond break even. *Nature*, 616:50–55, 2023.
- Matheus Gomes Sousa, Kenzo Sakiyama, Lucas de Souza Rodrigues, Pedro Henrique Moraes, Eraldo Rezende Fernandes, and Edson Takashi Matsubara. Bert for stock market sentiment analysis. In 2019 IEEE 31st International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence (ICTAI), pp. 1597–1601, 2019.
- Min Tan, Dagang Chen, Zesong Li, and Peng Wang. Spelling error correction with bert based on character-phonetic. In 2020 IEEE 6th International Conference on Computer and Communications (ICCC), pp. 1146–1150. IEEE, 2020.
- Barbara M. Terhal. Quantum error correction for quantum memories. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 87:307–346, 2015.
- Jinkai Tian, Xiaoyu Sun, Yuxuan Du, Shanshan Zhao, Qing Liu, Kaining Zhang, Wei Yi, Wanrong Huang, Chaoyue Wang, Xingyao Wu, Min-Hsiu Hsieh, Tongliang Liu, Wenjing Yang, and Dacheng Tao. Recent advances for quantum neural networks in generative learning. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 45(10):12321–12340, 2023.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Ł ukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. In I. Guyon, U. Von Luxburg, S. Bengio, H. Wallach, R. Fergus, S. Vishwanathan, and R. Garnett (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. Curran Associates, Inc., 2017.
- O Anatole von Lilienfeld, Klaus-Robert Müller, and Alexandre Tkatchenko. Exploring chemical compound space with quantum-based machine learning. *Nature Reviews Chemistry*, 4(7):347–358, 2020.
- Julius Wallnöfer, Alexey A. Melnikov, Wolfgang Dür, and Hans J. Briegel. Machine learning for
   long-distance quantum communication. *PRX Quantum*, 1:010301, 2020.
- Xinbiao Wang, Junyu Liu, Tongliang Liu, Yong Luo, Yuxuan Du, and Dacheng Tao. Symmetric
   pruning in quantum neural networks. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023.
- Yuchen Wang, Zixuan Hu, Barry C. Sanders, and Sabre Kais. Qudits and high-dimensional quantum computing. *Frontiers in Physics*, 2020.
- Alex Warstadt, Amanpreet Singh, and Samuel R Bowman. A corpus for modeling rich morphological agreement. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pp. 4186–4191, 2019.
- 745 John Watrous. *The Theory of Quantum Information*. Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- Mark M. Wilde. *Quantum Information Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- Yunlong Xiao, Yuxiang Yang, Ximing Wang, Qing Liu, and Mile Gu. Quantum uncertainty principles for measurements with interventions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 130:240201, 2023.
- Junjing Xing, Tianfeng Feng, Zhaobing Fan, Haitao Ma, Kishor Bharti, Dax Enshan Koh, and Yunlong Xiao. Fundamental limitations on communication over a quantum network. *arXiv:2306.04983*, 2023.
- Junjing Xing, Yuqi Li, Dengke Qu, Lei Xiao, Zhaobing Fan, Haitao Ma, Peng Xue, Kishor Bharti,
   Dax Enshan Koh, and Yunlong Xiao. Teleportation with embezzling catalysts. *arXiv:2406.14386*, 2024.

756 757 758 759	Hu Xu, Bing Liu, Lei Shu, and S Yu Philip. Bert post-training for review reading comprehension and aspect-based sentiment analysis. In <i>Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North Amer-</i> <i>ican Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies,</i> <i>Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)</i> , pp. 2324–2335, 2019.
760 761 762 763	Zhilin Yang, Zihang Dai, Yiming Yang, Jaime Carbonell, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Quoc V. Le. <i>XLNet: generalized autoregressive pretraining for language understanding</i> . Curran Associates Inc., Red Hook, NY, USA, 2019.
764 765	Jianwei Yin, Ji-Gang Ren, H. Lu, et al. Quantum teleportation and entanglement distribution over 100-kilometre free-space channels. <i>Nature</i> , 488:185–188, 2012.
766 767 768 769	Zhan Yu, Qiuhao Chen, Yuling Jiao, Yinan Li, Xiliang Lu, Xin Wang, and Jerry Zhijian Yang. Provable advantage of parameterized quantum circuit in function approximation. <i>arXiv preprint</i> , 2023.
770 771 772	Shaohua Zhang, Haoran Huang, Jicong Liu, and Hang Li. Spelling error correction with soft-masked bert. In <i>Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics</i> , pp. 882–890, 2020.
773 774 775 776	Liu Zhuang, Lin Wayne, Shi Ya, and Zhao Jun. A robustly optimized BERT pre-training approach with post-training. In Sheng Li, Maosong Sun, Yang Liu, Hua Wu, Kang Liu, Wanxiang Che, Shizhu He, and Gaoqi Rao (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 20th Chinese National Conference on Computational Linguistics</i> , 2021.
777	
770	
780	
781	
782	
783	
784	
785	
786	
787	
788	
789	
790	
791	
792	
793	
794	
795	
796	
797	
798	
799	
000 901	
802	
803	
804	
805	
806	
807	
808	
809	