SpikeVoice: High-Quality Text-to-Speech Via Efficient Spiking Neural Network

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

 Brain-inspired Spiking Neural Network (SNN) has demonstrated its effectiveness and effi- ciency in vision, natural language, and speech understanding tasks, indicating their capacity to "see", "listen", and "read". In this paper, we de-006 sign **SpikeVoice**, which performs high-quality Text-To-Speech (TTS) via SNN, to explore the potential of SNN to "speak". A major obsta- cle to using SNN for such generative tasks lies in the demand for models to grasp long-term dependencies. The serial nature of spiking neu- rons, however, leads to the invisibility of in- formation at future spiking time steps, limiting SNN models to capture sequence dependen- cies solely within the same time step. We term 016 this phenomenon "partial-time dependency". To address this issue, we introduce Spiking Temporal-Sequential Attention (STSA) in the SpikeVoice. To the best of our knowledge, 020 SpikeVoice is the first TTS work in the SNN field. We perform experiments using four well- established datasets that cover both Chinese and English languages, encompassing scenar- ios with both single-speaker and multi-speaker configurations. The results demonstrate that SpikeVoice can achieve results comparable to Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) with only **10.5**% energy consumption of ANN. Both our demo and code are available as supplementary material.

031 1 Introduction

 Since the advent of Artificial Neural Networks (ANN), remarkable achievements have been made [i](#page-8-0)n the field of image [\(Radford et al.,](#page-9-0) [2021;](#page-9-0) [Car-](#page-8-0) [ion et al.,](#page-8-0) [2020;](#page-8-0) [Liu et al.,](#page-9-1) [2021\)](#page-9-1), natural lan- guage [\(Vaswani et al.,](#page-9-2) [2017;](#page-9-2) [Devlin et al.,](#page-8-1) [2018;](#page-8-1) **[Brown et al.,](#page-8-2) [2020\)](#page-8-2), and speech [\(Baevski et al.,](#page-8-3)** [2020;](#page-8-3) [Hsu et al.,](#page-8-4) [2021\)](#page-8-4). In recent years, with the success of large language models [\(OpenAI,](#page-9-3) [2023;](#page-9-3) [Anil et al.,](#page-8-5) [2023;](#page-8-5) [Touvron et al.,](#page-9-4) [2023;](#page-9-4) [Li et al.,](#page-8-6) [2023a;](#page-8-6) [Sun et al.,](#page-9-5) [2023;](#page-9-5) [Radford et al.,](#page-9-6) [2023\)](#page-9-6), there has been a notable upward trend in energy consumption. At the same time, Spiking Neural Net- **043** work (SNN), inspired by the biological nervous **044** system and recognized as the third generation of **045** neural networks [\(Maass,](#page-9-7) [1997\)](#page-9-7), employs spiking **046** neurons [\(Hodgkin and Huxley,](#page-8-7) [1952;](#page-8-7) [Abbott,](#page-8-8) [1999;](#page-8-8) **047** [Fang et al.,](#page-8-9) [2023b\)](#page-8-9) with charge-fire-reset temporal **048** dynamic. The temporal dynamic makes SNN to **049** exhibit the event-driven feature of sparse firing and **050** the binary spike communication feature between **051** neurons using 0s and 1s, providing a distinct ad- **052** vantage in energy efficiency [\(Cao et al.,](#page-8-10) [2015\)](#page-8-10). **053**

Recently, SNN has achieved remarkable **054** progress on several tasks, such as object detec- **055** tion and image classification [\(Zhao et al.,](#page-10-0) [2021;](#page-10-0) **056** [Rajagopal et al.,](#page-9-8) [2023;](#page-9-8) [Yao et al.,](#page-10-1) [2023\)](#page-10-1), speech **057** recognition [\(Wu et al.,](#page-9-9) [2020;](#page-9-9) [Wang et al.,](#page-9-10) [2023\)](#page-9-10), **058** and text classification tasks [\(Lv et al.,](#page-9-11) [2023,](#page-9-11) [2022\)](#page-9-12). **059** It is the success of these tasks that have led us to be- **060** lieve that SNN has preliminarily acquired the abili- **061** ties of "seeing", "listening", and "reading". How- **062** ever, applying SNN to generative tasks encounters **063** some obstacles, particularly in addressing the chal- **064** lenge of SNN capturing long-term dependencies. **065** As mentioned above, spiking neurons have a tem- **066** poral dynamic of charge-fire-reset. Such a serial **067** process hinders the capture of information from **068** future time steps in the spiking temporal dimen- **069** sion. Existing SNN models performing attention **070** operations in the spiking sequential dimension can **071** only establish sequence dependencies within the **072** same time step or, in other words, among partial bi- **073** nary embedding [\(Lv et al.,](#page-9-11) [2023;](#page-9-11) [Li et al.,](#page-9-13) [2023b\)](#page-9-13), **074** hindering the establishment of long-term depen- **075** dencies. We term this phenomenon as "partial-time **076** dependency".

In this paper, we introduce SpikeVoice, a **078** high-quality Text-To-Speech (TTS) model with **079** [a](#page-9-2) Transformer-based SNN framework [\(Vaswani](#page-9-2) **080** [et al.,](#page-9-2) [2017\)](#page-9-2) solving the "partial-time dependency" **081** problem, and successfully explore the potential of **082** SNN to "speak". To address the issue of "partial- **083**

 time dependency", we propose Spiking Temporal- Sequential Attention (STSA) in SpikeVoice. STSA performs temporal-mixing in the spiking temporal dimension to capture information from future time steps, enabling access to the global information of binary embedding at each spiking time step. After time-mixing, STSA performs sequential-mixing in the spiking sequential dimension to integrate contextual information. Furthermore, we imple- ment SpikeVoice in a spike-driven manner with the Leaky Integrate-and-Fire (LIF) [\(Maass,](#page-9-7) [1997\)](#page-9-7) neu- rons, fully harnessing the energy efficiency of SNN. Spike-driven denotes the concurrent existence of both the binary spike communication feature and the event-driven feature. To the best of our knowl- edge, SpikeVoice is the first TTS model within the SNN framework, which not only promotes the development of SNN in generative tasks but also expands the scope of the SNN model in practical applications.

104 The main contributions are summarized as fol-**105** lows:

106 • To the best of our knowledge, SpikeVoice is the first TTS model within the SNN frame- work that endows SNN with the "speaking" capability, enabling high-quality speech syn- thesis and filling the blank of speech synthesis in the SNN field.

 • In SpikeVoice, we introduce STSA, where the temporal-mixing in the spiking temporal di- mension enables the access to the global infor- mation of binary embedding at each spiking time step, resolving the issue of "partial-time dependency" caused by the serial spiking neu-**118** rons.

 • The results reveal that SpikeVoice achieves synthesis performance close to ANN in both English and Chinese scenarios with both single-speaker and multi-speaker configura- tions. Remarkably, the energy consumption of SpikeVoice is merely 10.5% of ANN, al- leviating the high energy consumption issue associated with ANN.

¹²⁷ 2 Related work

128 **Transformers in SNN:** Training in SNN is primar-**129** ily categorized into two methods: ANN-to-SNN **130** [c](#page-8-12)onversion (ANN2SNN) [\(Bu et al.,](#page-8-11) [2023;](#page-8-11) [Deng](#page-8-12) [and Gu,](#page-8-12) [2021;](#page-8-12) [Han et al.,](#page-8-13) [2020\)](#page-8-13) and surrogate train- **131** ing [\(Wu et al.,](#page-9-14) [2018a;](#page-9-14) [Shrestha and Orchard,](#page-9-15) [2018;](#page-9-15) **132** [Wu et al.,](#page-9-16) [2018b;](#page-9-16) [Duan et al.,](#page-8-14) [2022\)](#page-8-14). Leveraging **133** ANN2SNN, [\(Mueller et al.,](#page-9-17) [2021\)](#page-9-17) integrates the **134** Transformer architecture into SNN. Nevertheless, **135** this approach demands dozens or even hundreds of **136** time steps to attain satisfactory performance. Spike- **137** former [\(Zhou et al.,](#page-10-2) [2022\)](#page-10-2) conducts direct training **138** of the Transformer within the SNN framework and **139** achieves state-of-the-art performance on ImageNet **140** with just four time steps. However, it doesn't fully 141 harness the energy-efficient advantages of SNN 142 due to the presence of Multiply-and-Accumulate **143** [\(](#page-10-1)MAC) operations. Spike-driven Transformer [\(Yao](#page-10-1) **144** [et al.,](#page-10-1) [2023\)](#page-10-1) incorporates the spike-driven paradigm **145** into Transformer architecture and introduces the **146** Spike-Driven Self-Attenton (SDSA) [\(Yao et al.,](#page-10-1) **147** [2023\)](#page-10-1). SDSA utilizes sparse additive operations **148** as a replacement for multiplication operations in **149** attention mechanisms, effectively addressing the **150** issues present in Spikeformer related to MAC oper- **151** ations. SpikeGPT [\(Zhu et al.,](#page-10-3) [2023\)](#page-10-3) is the first to **152** introduce text generation tasks into the SNN frame- **153** work. However, it still does not make full of the **154** energy-efficient capabilities of SNN. **155**

Transformers in TTS: Tactron2 [\(Shen et al.,](#page-9-18) **156** [2018\)](#page-9-18) employs RNN [\(Hochreiter and Schmidhu-](#page-8-15) **157** [ber,](#page-8-15) [1997\)](#page-8-15) for speech synthesis which results in **158** low training efficiency and struggles to establish **159** long-term dependencies. To address these issues, **160** Transformer-TTS [\(Li et al.,](#page-9-19) [2019\)](#page-9-19) introduces an **161** autoregressive TTS model that combines Tactron2 **162** with the Transformer, enhancing training efficiency 163 while capturing long-term dependencies. However, 164 autoregressive TTS models often suffer from slow **165** synthesis speed and less robust speech synthesis. **166** FastSpeech [\(Ren et al.,](#page-9-20) [2019\)](#page-9-20), on the other hand, 167 utilizes knowledge distillation during training to **168** build a non-autoregressive TTS model, yet the train- **169** [i](#page-9-21)ng process can be complicated. FastSpeech2 [\(Ren](#page-9-21) **170** [et al.,](#page-9-21) [2020\)](#page-9-21) simplifies the training process by re- **171** moving knowledge distillation from the FastSpeech **172** training pipeline and adopting the end-to-end train- **173** ing approach, effectively addressing the issue of **174** the extended training duration associated with Fast- **175** Speech. **176**

3 Method **¹⁷⁷**

In this study, we propose SpikeVoice, the first spike- **178** driven TTS model. The overall model architecture **179** is illustrated in Fig[.1.](#page-2-0) The Spiking Phoneme En- **180**

Figure 1: The overview model structure of SpikeVoice. In the figure, the left part represents the Spiking Temporal-Sequential Attention (STSA). In the middle part, from bottom to top, are the Spiking Phoneme Encoder (SPE), Spiking Variance Adapter (SVA), and Spiking Mel Decoder (SMD) with the topmost part represents the output Mel-Spectrogram. On the right part, the green module represents the predictor within the Spiking Variance Adapter, the blue module represents Spiking FeedForward, and the orange module indicating Spiking PostNet.

 coder (SPE) performs binary embedding on the input phoneme embedding sequence and gener- ates high-level spiking phoneme representations. The Spiking Variance Adaptor (SVA) enhances the spiking phoneme representations by incorporating variance information related to duration, pitch, and energy. Finally, the Spiking Mel Decoder (SMD) and Spiking PostNet generate Mel-Spectrograms in a non-autoregressive manner. In the following sections, we will first introduce the LIF neurons, and then introduce the components of SpikeVoice.

192 3.1 Leaky Integrate-and-Fire Neuron

193 The LIF neuron is a biologically inspired spiking **194** neuron having the charge-fire-reset biological neu-**195** ronal dynamics as shown in Fig[.2.](#page-3-0) The working

process of LIF neuron can be described as: **196**

$$
H_t = V_{t-1} + \frac{1}{\tau} (X_t - (V_{t-1} - V^{re})) \tag{1}
$$

$$
S_t = \Theta(H_t - V^{th}) \tag{2}
$$

$$
V_t = V^{re} S_t + H_t (1 - S_t)
$$
 (3) 199

Eq.[\(1\)](#page-2-1) to [\(3\)](#page-2-2) respectively represent the charging, **200** firing, and membrane potential resetting of LIF. X_t 201 denotes the input current at time t , H_t signifies the 202 membrane potential after charging, S_t represents 203 the spike tensor at time t , Θ represents the step 204 function, V^{th} denotes the firing threshold, V^{re} is 205 the reset membrane potential, and V_t signifies the 206 membrane potential after resetting. 207

		SpikeVoice	FastSpeech2
	Q, K, V	$TR_{t/s} * E_{add} * 3ND^2$	$E_{mac}*3ND^2$
	F(Q, K, V)	$T\hat{R}_{t/s} * E_{add} * ND$	$E_{mac} * ND^2$
STSA/Attention	$Linear_0$	$TR_{mlp_1} * E_{add} * FLP_{mlp_0}$	$E_{mac} * FLP_{mlp_0}$
	Scale		E_m*N^2
	Softmax		$E_{mac}*2N^2$
Spiking Feedforward	$Conv_Layer_{0/1}$	$TR_{c_0/c_1} * E_{add} * FLP_{c_0/c_1}$	$E_{mac} * FLP_{c_0/c_1}$
Predictors	$Conv_Layer_{2/3}$	$TR_{c_2/c_3} * E_{add} * FLP_{c_2/c_3}$	$E_{mac} * FLP_{c_2/c_3}$
	$Linear_1$	$TR_{mlp_1} * E_{add} * FLP_{mlp_1}$	$E_{mac} * FLP_{mlp_1}$
Spiking PostNet	Linear ₂	$TR_{mlp_2} * E_{add} * FLP_{mlp_2}$	$E_{mac} * FLP_{mlp_2}$
	$Conv_Layer_{4-9}$	$TR_{c_4-c_9} * E_{add} * FLP_{c_4-c_9}$	$E_{mac} * FLP_{c_4-c_9}$

Table 1: The energy consumption estimation of the main components. T is the total time steps, and R denotes the firing rates of spike tensors. $E_{add} = 0.9pJ$ and $E_{mac} = 4.6pJ$ are the energy consumption of add and MAC operations at 45nm process nodes for full precision (FP32) SynOps. N is the length of sequences, and D represents the number of channels. FLP_c and FLP_{mlp} are FLOPs of Conv layers and MLP layers.

Figure 2: The LIF neuron layer.

208 3.2 SpikeVoice

 Temporal-Sequential Embedding: At spiking temporal wise, we first expand the phoneme em- bedding sequence z to T time steps. In order to in- corporate the position information with STSA, we then apply position embedding in both the spiking temporal dimension and the phoneme sequential dimension.

$$
x_{(t,l)}^0 = z_{(t,l)} + e_{(t)}^{tem} + e_{(l)}^{seq}
$$
 (4)

217 where $x^0 \in \mathcal{R}^{T \times L \times D}$ will be taken as the input to Spiking Phoneme Encoder. L represents the length of the phoneme sequence, D denotes the **size of embedding dimension,** $t \in \{1, ..., T\}$ and $l \in \{1, ..., L\}$. $e_{(t,j)}^{tem}$ and $e_{(l)}^{seq}$ $l \in \{1, \ldots, L\}$. $e_{(t)}^{tem}$ and $e_{(l)}^{seq}$ are the position embedding of time step t at temporal wise and position l at sequence wise.

 Spiking Phoneme Encoder: Spiking Phoneme Encoders are composed of a stack of N identi- cal layers, each of which consists of an STSA module and a Spiking FeedForward module. As shown on the right side of Fig[.1,](#page-2-0) each Spiking FeedForward module consists of two stacked 1D-Convolution layers. To ensure the energy efficiency

of SpikeVoice, we introduce a LIF neuron layer **231** before each 1D-Convolution layer, to convert con- **232** tinuous inputs into sparse spiking tensors. Then the **233** high-level spiking phoneme representations x^n of 234 layer *n* can be obtained as: 235

$$
u^n = STSA(x^{n-1}) \tag{5}
$$

$$
x^n = LN(u^n + f(u^n))
$$
 (6) 237

$$
f(\cdot) = [Conv(\mathcal{SN}(\cdot))]_2 \tag{7}
$$

where LN is layer nomalization, SN refers to 239 the LIF neuron layer depicted in Eq.[\(1\)](#page-2-1)-[\(3\)](#page-2-2). $f(\cdot)$ 240 represents the stacked 1D-Convolution and LIF **241** neuron layers, u^n is the membrane potential output 242 of STSA. **243**

Spiking Temporal-Sequential Attention: As **244** illustrated in the left block of Fig[.1,](#page-2-0) STSA is com- **245** posed of a Spiking Temporal Attention and a Spik- **246** ing Sequential Attention. Due to the serial nature **247** of LIF neurons, it results in the inability to cap- **248** ture information from future time steps along the **249** spiking temporal dimension and leads to the issue **250** of "partial-time dependency". Therefore, we pro- **251** pose the Spiking Temporal Attention to perform **252** temporal-mixing over the spiking temporal dimen- **253** sion obtaining the global information of binary em- **254** bedding. **255**

Taking STSA in layer *n* of Spiking Phoneme 256 Encoder as an example, initially, we perform bi- **257** nary embedding on the output of layer $n - 1$ to **258** obtain the sparse spiking hidden representation **259** $s^n = \mathcal{SN}(x^{n-1}), s^n \in \mathcal{R}^{T \times L \times D}$. Along the spik- 260 ing temporal dimension T the binary embedding of 261 each token can be obtained. The Spiking Temporal **262**

263 Attention can be depicted as:

$$
264 \qquad \qquad \mu^n = \mathcal{SN}(BN(W^{n,tem}_{\mu}s^n)) \tag{8}
$$

265
$$
s_{(t,:)}^n = \mathcal{SN}(\Sigma_c(q_{(t,:)}^n \odot k_{(t,:)}^n)) \odot v_{(t,:)}^n) \tag{9}
$$

$$
266 \qquad \sigma^n = LN(x^{n-1} + Linear(s^n)) \qquad (10)
$$

267 where $\mu \in \{q, k, v\}$, \mathcal{BN} represents Batch Nor-268 malization, and $W_{\mu}^{n,tem}$ is a learnable matrix for Spiking Temporal Attention. For vanilla attention can introduce MAC operations to the SpikeVoice, we utilize the SDSA [\(Yao et al.,](#page-10-1) [2023\)](#page-10-1) in Eq.[\(9\)](#page-4-0) as a substitute for vanilla attention. ⊙ is the Hadamard **product and** Σ_c **means sum up in column-wise.** $s_{(t,:)}^n$ denotes the spiking tensor at time step t, which is the output of attention computing on spik-**ing temporal wise.** σ^n represents the membrane potential output of Spiking Temporal Attention.

278 **Then** $s^n = \mathcal{SN}(\sigma^n)$ will serve as the sparse **279** input to Spiking Sequential Attention:

$$
\mu^n = \mathcal{SN}(BN(W^{n,seq}_{\mu}s^n)) \tag{11}
$$

281
$$
s_{(:,l)}^n = \mathcal{SN}(\Sigma_c(q_{(:,l)}^n \odot k_{(:,l)}^n)) \odot v_{(:,l)}^n \qquad (12)
$$

$$
u^n = LN(u^n + Linear(s^n))
$$
\n⁽¹³⁾

283 where $s_{(:,l)}^n$ is the spiking tensor at position l in the **284** sequence wise. The computation process above **285** can be easily extended to Spiking Mel Decoder.

 Spiking Variance Adaptor: The Spiking Vari- ance Adaptor takes the high-level spiking phoneme **b** representations x^N as its input. And then the Dura- tion Predictor Pd, Energy Predictor Pe, and Pitch **Predictor** P_p impart variance information to x^N . The predictors in Spiking Variance Adaptor all take an identical structure, shown in the green block on the right side of Fig[.1.](#page-2-0) Besides, We employ a residual connection around the Energy Predictor and Pitch Predictor. Finally, the Length Regulator LR aligns the hidden sequence to the length of the Mel-Spectrogram:

$$
d = P_d(x^N) \tag{14}
$$

299
$$
u = P_e(P_p(x^N))
$$
 (15)

$$
300 \qquad \{y_{(t,l')}^0\}_{l'=1,\dots,L'} = LR\left(u_{(t,l)}, d_{(l,i)}\right)_{l=1,\dots,L} \tag{16}
$$

301 where $d \in R^L$ comprises the length of mel frames **302** corresponding to each phoneme. u represents the **303** membrane potential incorporated the pitch and en-304 **ergy variance information.** $\{y_{(t,l')}^0\}$ signifies the 305 mel representations corresponding to $u_{(t,l)}$ after be-306 ing extended by $d_{(l)}$ times. L' represents the total **307** length of the target Mel-Spectrogram.

Spiking Mel Decoder and PostNet: Spiking **308** Phoneme Encoders are composed of a stack of 309 M identical layers, each of which also comprises **310** an STSA and a Spiking FeedForward. The Spik- **311** ing PostNet is designed to enhance the fine details **312** of Mel-Spectrograms. LIF neuron layers are also **313** added before each linear layer and 1D-convolution **314** layer in the Spiking PostNet to ensure sparse inputs. **315** Then the Mel-Spectrogram can be obtained as: **316**

$$
y^m = SFF(STSA(y^{m-1})) \tag{17}
$$

$$
O = PostNet(y^M) \tag{18}
$$

(19) **319**

$$
O_{(l',)}^c = \bar{y}_{(:,l')}^M, \quad O_{(l',)}^f = \bar{O}_{(:,l')} \qquad (19)
$$

where y^m is the output of the mth layer of Spik- 320 ing Mel Decoder. To calculate the supervised **321** loss with ground truth, we average the output at **322** spiking temporal dimension as the predicted Mel- **323** Spectrograms, and $\overline{\cdot}$ represents the average opera- 324 tion. We denote the Mel-Spectrograms obtained **325** before the Spiking PostNet as O^c and the output 326 obtained from the Spiking PostNet as O^f . . **327**

The loss function encompasses supervised losses **328** using Mean Squared Error (MSE) for pitch, en- **329** ergy, and duration, as well as Mean Absolute **330** Error (MAE) losses for both the coarse Mel- **331** Spectrograms O^c and the fine Mel-Spectrograms 332 O^f . **333**

4 Experiments **³³⁴**

We conducted experiments with SpikeVoice on 335 single-speaker and multi-speaker datasets, encom- **336** passing both English and Chinese. The single- **337** [s](#page-8-16)peaker datasets include LJSpeech [\(Ito and John-](#page-8-16) **338** [son,](#page-8-16) [2017\)](#page-8-16) and Baker^{[1](#page-4-1)}, while the multi-speaker 339 datasets comprise LibriTTS [\(Zen et al.,](#page-10-4) [2019\)](#page-10-4) and **340** AISHELL3 [\(Yao Shi,](#page-10-5) [2015\)](#page-10-5). In the following sub- **341** sections, we present results on subjective and objec- **342** tive metrics for ground truth denoted as *'GT'*, ANN **343** baseline denoted as *'FastSpeech2'*, SpikeVoice sig- **344** nified as *'SpikeVoice-STSA'*, and SNN baselines: **345** SpikeVoice with attention in Spikeformer replacing **346** the STSA, which is denoted as *'SpikeVoice-ATTN'* **347** and SpikeVoice with only Spiking Sequential Atten- **348** tion, which denoted as *'SpikeVoice-SDSA'*. Addi- **349** tionally, In Section [4.5,](#page-6-0) we perform visual analysis, **350** and in Section [4.6,](#page-7-0) we discuss the balance between **351** SpikeVoice's energy consumption and the quality **352** of synthesized speech. **353**

¹ https://www.data-baker.com/data/index/TNtts/

 LJSpeech is a female single-speaker English monolingual dataset. It comprises a collection of 13100 utterances, each lasting between 1 to 10 seconds, amounting to roughly 24 hours of speech material.

 Baker is a female single-speaker Chinese dataset. It encompasses a wide range of content domains, including news, novels, technology, and so on. In total, Baker comprises 10000 speech recordings, with approximately a total of 12 hours of speech material.

 LibriTTS comprises approximately 191 hours of speech with 1,160 speakers. We utilized the *train-clean-360* set from LibriTTS. Within this sub- set, there are 430 female speakers and 474 male speakers.

375 AISHELL3 is a multi-speaker Chinese dataset, **376** containing a total of approximately 85 hours of **377** speech, recorded by 218 speakers.

378 4.2 Experiments settings

 Training Settings SpikeVoice is stacked by $N = 4$ Spiking Phoneme Encoders, a Spiking Variance Adaptor, and $M = 6$ Spiking Mel Decoders. We transformed the raw speech in all the datasets into mel-spectrograms with a frame length of 1024 and a hop length of 256. The synthesized mel- spectrograms were uniformly converted into speech using the vocoder HiFiGAN [\(Kong et al.,](#page-8-17) [2020\)](#page-8-17). We performed the training on four Tesla V100- SXM2-32G GPUs with batch size 48. The opti-mization settings were in line with those defined

in [\(Ren et al.,](#page-9-21) [2020\)](#page-9-21). The implementation of the **390** SNN framework in SpikeVoice is based on Spik- **391** ingJelly [\(Fang et al.,](#page-8-18) [2023a\)](#page-8-18). **392**

LJSpeech Baker

Evaluation Settings We employed Word Er- **393** ror Rate (WER) for English and Character Er- **394** ror Rate (CER) for Chinese, along with NISQA- **395** V2 [\(Mittag et al.,](#page-9-22) [2021\)](#page-9-22), as objective metrics to **396** evaluate the quality of single-speaker speech syn- **397** thesis. For multi-speaker synthesis, we addition- **398** ally utilized Speaker Embedding Cosine Similarity **399** (SECS) to gauge the similarity between the syn- **400** thesized speech and the target speech in terms of **401** the speaker's voice. Specifically, for WER, we uti- **402** lized Hubert [\(Hsu et al.,](#page-8-4) [2021\)](#page-8-4) for English ASR 403 transcription and Wav2Vec2 [\(Baevski et al.,](#page-8-3) [2020\)](#page-8-3) **404** for Chinese ASR transcription. As for SECS, we **405** employed the speaker encoder from the Resem- **406** blyzer^{[2](#page-5-0)} toolkit to extract speaker embeddings and 407 calculate cosine similarity. In assessing both single **408** and multi-speaker synthesis, we relied on 5-scale **409** Mean Opinion Scores (MOS) with 95% confidence **410** intervals as our subjective metric. To obtain these **411** scores, we randomly selected 80 samples from each **412** test set, and a total of 12 participants were asked to **413** provide ratings for the synthesized speech. **414**

4.3 Performance on Single-Speaker **415**

As shown in Tab[.2,](#page-5-1) we conducted experiments on 416 the LJSpeech and Baker datasets, reflecting the **417** synthesis quality of English and Chinese single- **418** speaker respectively. 419

For the objective metrics, SpikeVoice surpasses **420** all the SNN and ANN baselines on the WER/CER **421** metric and is the best-performing SNN-based **422** model on NISQA. These results demonstrate that **423** the global information of temporal spike sequence **424**

Single-Speaker

FastSpeech2 [\(Ren et al.,](#page-9-21) [2020\)](#page-9-21) 7.98 4.13 4.10 ± .057 13.18 3.80 3.82 ± .089 *SpikeVoice-ATTN [\(Zhou et al.,](#page-10-2)* 2022) 8.39 4.08 $3.69 \pm .053$ 13.16 3.78 $3.52 \pm .093$

Methods WER↓NISQA-V2↑ MOS↑ CER↓NISQA-V2↑ MOS↑ GT 6.39 4.42 4.75 ± .037 12.25 4.06 4.30 ± .052

² https://github.com/resemble-ai/Resemblyzer

Multi-Speaker								
	AISHELL3				LibriTTS			
Methods		$\sqrt{\text{WER}\downarrow}$ $\frac{\text{NISQA}}{-V2}$ SECS		MOS [↑]		$\begin{array}{cc}\n\sqrt{\text{CER}\downarrow} & \text{NISOA} \\ \hline\n-\text{V2} & \text{SECS}\uparrow\n\end{array}$		MOS [↑]
GT	5.36	3.37	$\overline{}$	$4.48 \pm .057$	5.07	4.14	$\overline{}$	$4.46 \pm .047$
FastSpeech2	6.36	3.09	0.849	$3.92 \pm .059$	5.72	3.47	0.822	$3.43 \pm .074$
$SpikeVoice-ATTN$	7.13	3.12	0.841	$3.55 \pm .061$	6.63	3.42	0.794	$2.72 \pm .089$
SpikeVoice-SDSA	7.42	3.12	0.849	$3.63 \pm .058$	6.45	3.4	0.794	$2.88 \pm .066$
SpikeVoice-STSA	6.32	3.13		0.850 $3.79 \pm .056$	6.06	3.43		$0.795 \ \ 3.32 \pm .052$

Table 3: Results on AISHELL3 and LibriTTS for experiments of multi-speaker. CER, NISQA-V2, and SCER are the objective metric and MOS is the subjective metric. The best results of the SNN-based models are highlighted with **bold font**, and the <u>underlined font</u> indicates that the performance of the ANN-based model is superior to the optimal performance of the SNN-based model.

425 in STSA contributes to the synthesis of higher-**426** quality and clearer speech.

 For the subjective evaluation, SpikeVoice out- performs both *SpikeVoice-ATTN* and *SpikeVoice- SDSA*. The difference in MOS scores between SpikeVoice and ANN is merely 0.04 on LJSpeech and SpikeVoice even surpasses the ANN-based model on the Baker dataset, indicating that SpikeVoice's synthesis quality closely approaches that of ANN in terms of human perception. The results compared to *SpikeVoice-SDSA* also confirm the effectiveness of temporal-mixing.

437 4.4 Model Performance on Multi-Speaker

 In Tab[.3,](#page-6-1) we respectively present the performance on the AISHELL3 and LibriTTS. In the multi- speaker experiments, we have additionally incorpo- rated the SCER metric to assess the speaker similar-ity between synthesized speech and target speech.

 Compared to single-speaker, multi-speaker datasets present more challenges for SNN-based models. SpikeVoice with STSA remains the best- performing SNN-based model, however, the sparse nature of the spike tensor contributes to energy efficiency at the expense of information loss, lead- ing to a performance gap of MOS scores between the SNN-based models and ANN-based models in multi-speaker datasets, which encompass richer information. Investigating strategies to minimize information loss in the context of spike tensors with low firing rates is worthwhile for future research.

455 4.5 Visualized Analysis

 Visualization of Mel-Spectrograms: Speech syn- thesized by SpikeVoice exhibits less noise and is clearer compared to the SNN-based baselines, which is evident in Fig[.3.](#page-6-2) As shown in Fig. [3\(b\)](#page-6-3) and [3\(c\),](#page-6-4) Mel-Spectrograms synthesized by the 460 SNN baselines become blurry towards the end, los- **461** ing fine details. In contrast, the Mel-Spectrograms **462** in Fig[.3\(d\)](#page-6-5) synthesized by SpikeVoice with STSA **463** exhibit minimal sacrifice of details as to ANN in **464** [3\(a\)](#page-6-6) and remain notably clearer than those pro- **465** duced by SNN baselines.

Figure 3: Mel-Spectrograms visualization analysis on English single-speaker dataset LJSpeech.

466

Visualization of Spike Patterns: By visualizing 467 spike tensors, more details of SpikeVoice can be ob- **468** served. As the spike patterns of STSA depicted in **469** Fig[.4\(a\)](#page-7-1) and Fig[.4\(b\),](#page-7-2) each dot represents an event, **470** the spike events in the lower layers are sparser, and **471** as the network deepens, more information is incor- **472** porated, leading to denser spike events. Spike ten- **473** sors that convey similar information exhibit similar **474** spike patterns, while others reveal markedly dif- **475** ferent spike patterns. Spike patterns of the energy **476** and pitch predictors are displayed in Fig[.4\(c\)](#page-7-3) and **477** Fig[.4\(d\),](#page-7-4) different from the distribution of spike 478 pattern in [4\(a\)](#page-7-1) and [4\(b\),](#page-7-2) noticeable channel cluster- **479** ing phenomena can be observed in $4(c)$ and $4(d)$. 480

Methods	Spike-Driven Complexity			Param Time Step	E(pJ)	MOS
FastSpeech2		$O(N^2D)$	35.4		2.14e11	$4.10 \pm .057$
SpikeVoice-ATTN		$O(TN^2D)$	35.4	$\overline{4}$	2.55e10	$3.69 \pm .053$
SpikeVoice-SDSA		O(TND)	35.4	4	2.06e10	$3.63 \pm .059$
SpikeVoice-STSA		O(2TND)	37.2		8.84e09	$3.61 \pm .053$
SpikeVoice-STSA		O(2TND)	37.2	4	2.26e10	$4.06\pm.052$

Table 4: Balance between consumption and synthesized quality of models. *Spike-Driven* denotes the existence of solely AC operations. *Param* represents the amount of parameters of models, *Time Step* is total spike sequence time steps, and *E(pJ)* represents the energy consumption calculated according to Table [1.](#page-3-1) MOS represents the results of the LJSpeech.

Figure 4: Visualization of spike tensor. Fig[.4\(a\)](#page-7-1) and Fig[.4\(b\)](#page-7-2) are the spike patterns of STSA in the first layer and the fourth layer. [4\(c\)](#page-7-3) and [4\(d\)](#page-7-4) denote spike pattern for speech energy and speech pitch. Each dot depicts a fired event.

481 4.6 Analysis of Balance between Consumption **482** and Synthesized Speech Quality

 Apart from its notable biological interpretability, one of the most prominent advantages of SNN lies in its energy efficiency. However, SNN's binary em- bedding within a finite time step results in some de- gree of performance decay. In Tab[.4,](#page-7-5) we present the number of model parameters, time steps of binary embedding, and energy consumption. The term "Spike-Driven" refers to the existence of solely AC operations, and "MOS" here refers to the results on LJSpeech.

493 While SpikeVoice-STSA comes with a slight in-

crease in the parameter, it takes only 10.5% energy **494** consuming of ANN with 4 time steps and achieves **495** a better performance than SNN baselines. In con- **496** trast, SpikeVoice-SDSA exhibits noticeable perfor- **497** mance degradation, while the energy consumption **498** is 9.6% of ANN with an equivalent amount of pa- **499** rameters. Similarly, SpikeVoice-ATTN also results **500** in an **88.1%** reduction in energy consumption. It **501** is worth to noting that when set time step to 1, **502** the energy consumption of SpikeVoice-STSA can **503** be merely 4.11% of ANN. Hence, when consid- **504** ering both the quality of speech synthesis and en- **505** ergy consumption, SpikeVoice is a superior choice, **506** offering significant energy savings with minimal **507** performance sacrifice. **508**

5 Conclusion **⁵⁰⁹**

In this paper, we introduce SpikeVoice. To the **510** best of our knowledge, it is the first TTS model **511** that achieves high-quality speech synthesis within **512** the SNN framework and for the first time endows **513** SNN with the ability to "speak". Additionally, **514** SpikeVoice is a spike-driven model with highly **515** energy-efficient. In SpikeVoice, we propose STSA, **516** which performs temporal-mixing in the spiking 517 temporal dimension to address the issue of informa- **518** tion invisibility at future time steps on the spiking **519** temporal dimension caused by the serial nature of **520** spiking neurons and thereby address the issue of **521** "partial-time dependency". **522**

We conducted experiments on both single- **523** speaker and multi-speaker datasets in both Chi- **524** nese and English. The results demonstrate that **525** SpikeVoice achieves performance comparable to **526** ANN models while consuming only 10.5% of the 527 energy required by ANN. Our successful practice **528** proves the feasibility of TTS tasks within the SNN **529** framework and offers an energy-saving solution for **530** TTS tasks. **531**

⁵³² 6 Limitation

 The SpikeVoice within the SNN framework still has several limitations. Primarily, the binary em- bedding results in inevitably information lost from the input data, leading to a decline in performance. Secondly, due to the inherent sequential mechanism of LIF neurons, the training speed of SpikeVoice is slower than ANN. Finally, as analyzed in section [4.5](#page-6-2) with the layers deepen, the firing rate becomes progressively higher, which implies the potential for further reductions in energy consumption. In light of this, we present several prospective ex- ploration directions that reduce information loss during the binary embedding process in SNN, low- ering the firing rate in deep neural networks, and parallelization of spike neurons.

⁵⁴⁸ References

- **549** Larry F Abbott. 1999. Lapicque's introduction of the **550** integrate-and-fire model neuron (1907). *Brain re-***551** *search bulletin*, 50(5-6):303–304.
- **552** Rohan Anil, Andrew M Dai, Orhan Firat, Melvin John-**553** son, Dmitry Lepikhin, Alexandre Passos, Siamak **554** Shakeri, Emanuel Taropa, Paige Bailey, Zhifeng **555** Chen, et al. 2023. Palm 2 technical report. *arXiv* **556** *preprint arXiv:2305.10403*.
- **557** Alexei Baevski, Yuhao Zhou, Abdelrahman Mohamed, **558** and Michael Auli. 2020. wav2vec 2.0: A framework **559** for self-supervised learning of speech representations. **560** *Advances in neural information processing systems*, **561** 33:12449–12460.
- **562** Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie **563** Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind **564** Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda **565** Askell, et al. 2020. Language models are few-shot **566** learners. *Advances in neural information processing* **567** *systems*, 33:1877–1901.
- **568** Tong Bu, Wei Fang, Jianhao Ding, PengLin Dai, **569** Zhaofei Yu, and Tiejun Huang. 2023. Optimal **570** ann-snn conversion for high-accuracy and ultra-low-**571** latency spiking neural networks. *arXiv preprint* **572** *arXiv:2303.04347*.
- **573** Yongqiang Cao, Yang Chen, and Deepak Khosla. 2015. **574** Spiking deep convolutional neural networks for **575** energy-efficient object recognition. *International* **576** *Journal of Computer Vision*, 113:54–66.
- **577** Nicolas Carion, Francisco Massa, Gabriel Synnaeve, **578** Nicolas Usunier, Alexander Kirillov, and Sergey **579** Zagoruyko. 2020. End-to-end object detection with **580** transformers. In *European conference on computer* **581** *vision*, pages 213–229.
- Shikuang Deng and Shi Gu. 2021. Optimal conversion **582** of conventional artificial neural networks to spiking **583** neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.00476*. **584**
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and **585** Kristina Toutanova. 2018. Bert: Pre-training of deep **586** bidirectional transformers for language understand- **587** ing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*. **588**
- Chaoteng Duan, Jianhao Ding, Shiyan Chen, Zhaofei **589** Yu, and Tiejun Huang. 2022. Temporal effective **590** batch normalization in spiking neural networks. *Ad-* **591** *vances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, **592** 35:34377–34390. **593**
- Wei Fang, Yanqi Chen, Jianhao Ding, Zhaofei Yu, Tim- **594** othée Masquelier, Ding Chen, Liwei Huang, Huihui **595** Zhou, Guoqi Li, and Yonghong Tian. 2023a. Spiking- **596** jelly: An open-source machine learning infrastruc- **597** ture platform for spike-based intelligence. *Science* **598** *Advances*, 9(40):eadi1480. **599**
- Wei Fang, Zhaofei Yu, Zhaokun Zhou, Yanqi Chen, **600** Zhengyu Ma, Timothée Masquelier, and Yonghong **601** Tian. 2023b. Parallel spiking neurons with high effi- **602** ciency and long-term dependencies learning ability. **603** *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.12760*. **604**
- Bing Han, Gopalakrishnan Srinivasan, and Kaushik **605** Roy. 2020. Rmp-snn: Residual membrane poten- **606** tial neuron for enabling deeper high-accuracy and **607** low-latency spiking neural network. In *Proceedings* **608** *of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and* **609** *pattern recognition*, pages 13558–13567. **610**
- Sepp Hochreiter and Jürgen Schmidhuber. 1997. Long **611** short-term memory. *Neural computation*, 9(8):1735– **612** 1780. **613**
- Alan L Hodgkin and Andrew F Huxley. 1952. A quan- **614** titative description of membrane current and its ap- **615** plication to conduction and excitation in nerve. *The* **616** *Journal of physiology*, 117(4):500. **617**
- Wei-Ning Hsu, Benjamin Bolte, Yao-Hung Hubert Tsai, **618** Kushal Lakhotia, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Abdel- **619** rahman Mohamed. 2021. Hubert: Self-supervised **620** speech representation learning by masked prediction **621** of hidden units. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio,* **622** *Speech, and Language Processing*, 29:3451–3460. **623**
- Keith Ito and Linda Johnson. 2017. The lj **624** speech dataset. [https://keithito.com/](https://keithito.com/LJ-Speech-Dataset/) **625** [LJ-Speech-Dataset/](https://keithito.com/LJ-Speech-Dataset/). **626**
- Jungil Kong, Jaehyeon Kim, and Jaekyoung Bae. 2020. **627** Hifi-gan: Generative adversarial networks for effi- **628** cient and high fidelity speech synthesis. *Advances in* **629** *Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:17022– **630** 17033. **631**
- Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Silvio Savarese, and Steven Hoi. **632** 2023a. Blip-2: Bootstrapping language-image pre- **633** training with frozen image encoders and large lan- **634** guage models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.12597*. **635**

- **636** Naihan Li, Shujie Liu, Yanqing Liu, Sheng Zhao, and **637** Ming Liu. 2019. Neural speech synthesis with trans-**638** former network. In *Proceedings of the AAAI con-***639** *ference on artificial intelligence*, volume 33, pages **640** 6706–6713.
- **641** Tianlong Li, Wenhao Liu, Changze Lv, Jianhan Xu, **642** Cenyuan Zhang, Muling Wu, Xiaoqing Zheng, and **643** Xuanjing Huang. 2023b. Spikeclip: A contrastive **644** language-image pretrained spiking neural network. **645** *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.06488*.
- **646** Ze Liu, Yutong Lin, Yue Cao, Han Hu, Yixuan Wei, **647** Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Baining Guo. 2021. **648** Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer **649** using shifted windows. In *Proceedings of the* **650** *IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vi-***651** *sion*, pages 10012–10022.
- **652** Changze Lv, Tianlong Li, Jianhan Xu, Chenxi Gu, Zix-**653** uan Ling, Cenyuan Zhang, Xiaoqing Zheng, and Xu-**654** anjing Huang. 2023. Spikebert: A language spik-**655** former trained with two-stage knowledge distillation **656** from bert. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.15122*.
- **657** Changze Lv, Jianhan Xu, and Xiaoqing Zheng. 2022. **658** Spiking convolutional neural networks for text classi-**659** fication. In *The Eleventh International Conference* **660** *on Learning Representations*.
- **661** Wolfgang Maass. 1997. Networks of spiking neurons: **662** the third generation of neural network models. *Neu-***663** *ral networks*, 10(9):1659–1671.
- **664** Gabriel Mittag, Babak Naderi, Assmaa Chehadi, and **665** Sebastian Möller. 2021. Nisqa: A deep cnn-self-**666** attention model for multidimensional speech qual-**667** ity prediction with crowdsourced datasets. *arXiv* **668** *preprint arXiv:2104.09494*.
- **669** Etienne Mueller, Viktor Studenyak, Daniel Auge, and **670** Alois Knoll. 2021. Spiking transformer networks: A **671** rate coded approach for processing sequential data. **672** In *2021 7th International Conference on Systems and* **673** *Informatics (ICSAI)*, pages 1–5.
- **674** OpenAI. 2023. [Gpt-4 technical report.](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:257532815) *ArXiv*, **675** abs/2303.08774.
- **676** Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya **677** Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sas-**678** try, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, **679** et al. 2021. Learning transferable visual models from **680** natural language supervision. In *International con-***681** *ference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763.
- **682** Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Tao Xu, Greg Brock-**683** man, Christine McLeavey, and Ilya Sutskever. 2023. **684** Robust speech recognition via large-scale weak su-**685** pervision. In *International Conference on Machine* **686** *Learning*, pages 28492–28518.
- **687** RKPMTKR Rajagopal, R Karthick, P Meenalochini, **688** and T Kalaichelvi. 2023. Deep convolutional spiking **689** neural network optimized with arithmetic optimiza-**690** tion algorithm for lung disease detection using chest

x-ray images. *Biomedical Signal Processing and* **691** *Control*, 79:104197. **692**

- Yi Ren, Chenxu Hu, Xu Tan, Tao Qin, Sheng Zhao, **693** Zhou Zhao, and Tie-Yan Liu. 2020. Fastspeech **694** 2: Fast and high-quality end-to-end text to speech. **695** *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.04558*. **696**
- Yi Ren, Yangjun Ruan, Xu Tan, Tao Qin, Sheng Zhao, **697** Zhou Zhao, and Tie-Yan Liu. 2019. Fastspeech: Fast, **698** robust and controllable text to speech. *Advances in* **699** *neural information processing systems*, 32. **700**
- Jonathan Shen, Ruoming Pang, Ron J Weiss, Mike **701** Schuster, Navdeep Jaitly, Zongheng Yang, Zhifeng **702** Chen, Yu Zhang, Yuxuan Wang, Rj Skerrv-Ryan, **703** et al. 2018. Natural tts synthesis by conditioning **704** wavenet on mel spectrogram predictions. In 2018 **705** *IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech* **706** *and signal processing (ICASSP)*, pages 4779–4783. **707**
- Sumit B Shrestha and Garrick Orchard. 2018. Slayer: **708** Spike layer error reassignment in time. *Advances in* **709** *neural information processing systems*, 31. **710**
- Quan Sun, Qiying Yu, Yufeng Cui, Fan Zhang, **711** Xiaosong Zhang, Yueze Wang, Hongcheng Gao, **712** Jingjing Liu, Tiejun Huang, and Xinlong Wang. **713** 2023. Generative pretraining in multimodality. *arXiv* **714** *preprint arXiv:2307.05222*. **715**
- Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Al- **716** bert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay **717** Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti **718** Bhosale, et al. 2023. Llama 2: Open founda- **719** tion and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint* **720** *arXiv:2307.09288*. **721**
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob **722** Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz **723** Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all **724** you need. *Advances in neural information processing* **725** *systems*, 30. **726**
- Qingyu Wang, Tielin Zhang, Minglun Han, Yi Wang, **727** Duzhen Zhang, and Bo Xu. 2023. Complex dynamic **728** neurons improved spiking transformer network for **729** efficient automatic speech recognition. In *Proceed-* **730** *ings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, **731** volume 37, pages 102–109. **732**
- Jibin Wu, Emre Yılmaz, Malu Zhang, Haizhou Li, and **733** Kay Chen Tan. 2020. Deep spiking neural networks **734** for large vocabulary automatic speech recognition. **735** *Frontiers in neuroscience*, 14:199. *736*
- Yujie Wu, Lei Deng, Guoqi Li, Jun Zhu, and Luping Shi. **737** 2018a. Spatio-temporal backpropagation for training **738** high-performance spiking neural networks. *Frontiers* **739** *in neuroscience*, 12:331. **740**
- Yujie Wu, Lei Deng, Guoqi Li, Jun Zhu, and Luping Shi. **741** 2018b. Spatio-temporal backpropagation for training **742** high-performance spiking neural networks. *Frontiers* **743** *in neuroscience*, 12:331. **744**
-
-
-

- Man Yao, JiaKui Hu, Zhaokun Zhou, Li Yuan, Yonghong Tian, XU Bo, and Guoqi Li. 2023. Spike- driven transformer. In *Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*.
- Xin Xu Shaoji Zhang Ming Li Yao Shi, Hui Bu. 2015. [Aishell-3: A multi-speaker mandarin tts corpus and](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.11567) [the baselines.](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.11567)
- Heiga Zen, Viet Dang, Rob Clark, Yu Zhang, Ron J Weiss, Ye Jia, Zhifeng Chen, and Yonghui Wu. 2019. 754 **Libritts: A corpus derived from librispeech for text**-
755 **corpus to-speech** *arXiv preprint arXiv*: 1904.02882. to-speech. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.02882*.
- Jianqing Zhao, Xiaohu Zhang, Jiawei Yan, Xiaolei Qiu, Xia Yao, Yongchao Tian, Yan Zhu, and Weixing Cao. 2021. A wheat spike detection method in uav im- ages based on improved yolov5. *Remote Sensing*, 13(16):3095.
- Zhaokun Zhou, Yuesheng Zhu, Chao He, Yaowei Wang, YAN Shuicheng, Yonghong Tian, and Li Yuan. 2022. Spikformer: When spiking neural network meets transformer. In *The Eleventh International Confer-ence on Learning Representations*.
- Rui-Jie Zhu, Qihang Zhao, Guoqi Li, and Jason K Eshraghian. 2023. Spikegpt: Generative pre-trained language model with spiking neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13939*.

A Firing Rate of SpikeVoice

 In Tab[.5,](#page-11-0) Tab[.6,](#page-11-1) and Tab[.7,](#page-11-2) we respectively present the spike firing rates of Spiking Phoneme Encoder, Spiking Variance Adapter, and Spiking Mel De-coder.

B Examples of Spike Patterns

 In Fig[.5](#page-12-0) we present the spike patterns of STSA and also the spike patterns of Pitch Predictor and Energy Predictor.

C Examples of Mel-Spectrograms

 In Fig[.6](#page-13-0) we present Mel-Spectrograms of LJSpeech, Baker, LibriTTS, and AISHELL3, and we have magnified the tail of the Mel-Spectrogram for a clearer observation.

Spiking Phoneme Encoder							
		Layer1	Layer ₂	Layer3	Layer4	AVG	
	Q	0.19	0.18	0.19	0.2	0.19	
Spiking Sequential Attention	K	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.05	
	V	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.05	
	Linear	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.09	0.06	
	Q	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	
	K	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04	
Spiking Temporal Attention	\mathbf{V}	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	
	Linear	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	
	Conv1	0.07	0.10	0.13	0.15	0.11	
Spiking FeedForward	Conv2	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.17	0.13	

Table 5: Spike Firing Rates in Spiking Phoneme Encoder of SpikeVoice on LJSpeech dataset. The spike firing rate refers to the proportion of elements in the spike tensor that have an activation value of 1, with the value of other elements being 0.

Spiking Variance Adapter								
AVG FR Conv1 FR Conv3 FR Conv2								
Duration Predictor	0.23	0.29	0.24	0.25				
Energy Predictor	0.27	0.31	0.32	0.30				
Pitch Predictor	0.23	0.38	0.30	0.30				

Table 6: Spike Firing Rates in Spiking Variance Adapter of SpikeVoice on LJSpeech dataset. "FR_Conv1", "FR_Conv2" and "FR_Conv3" in the SpikeVoice refer to the firing rate in Conv1, Conv2, and Conv3 of the Predictors respectively.

Table 7: Spike Firing Rates in Spiking Mel Decoder of SpikeVoice on LJSpeech dataset. The spike firing rate refers to the proportion of elements in the spike tensor that have an activation value of 1, with the value of other elements being 0.

Figure 5: Visualization of spike tensor in the SpikeVoice. Figures in $5(a)$, $5(b)$, $5(c)$, $5(d)$ are the spike pattern of STSA in Spiking Phoneme Encoder. [5\(e\)](#page-12-5) and [5\(f\)](#page-12-6) denote spike pattern for speech energy and speech pitch. Fig[.5\(g\)](#page-12-7) to [5\(l\)](#page-12-8) are the spike pattern of STSA in Spiking Mel Decoder.

(a) Mel-Spectrograms of LJSpeech

(b) Mel-Spectrograms of Baker

(d) Mel-Spectrograms of AIshell3

Figure 6: Mel Spectrograms on LJSpeech, Baker, LibriTTS and Aishell3. Each row from left to right is the Mel spectrograms of the model ANN, SpikeVoice-ATTN, SpikeVoice-SDSA and SpikeVoice-STSA.