EXPLOITING CULTURAL BIASES VIA HOMOGLYPHS IN TEXT-TO-IMAGE SYNTHESIS

Lukas Struppek

German Center for Artificial Intelligence Technical University of Darmstadt

Felix Friedrich Technical University of Darmstadt Hessian Center for AI (hessian.AI)

Patrick Schramowski

German Center for Artificial Intelligence Technical University of Darmstadt Hessian Center for AI (hessian.AI), Ontocord

Dominik Hintersdorf

German Center for Artificial Intelligence Technical University of Darmstadt

Manuel Brack

German Center for Artificial Intelligence Technical University of Darmstadt

Kristian Kersting

Technical University of Darmstadt Centre for Cognitive Science, hessian.AI German Center for Artificial Intelligence

ABSTRACT

Models for text-to-image synthesis have recently drawn a lot of interest. They are capable of producing high-quality images that depict a variety of concepts and styles when conditioned on textual descriptions. However, these models adopt cultural characteristics associated with specific Unicode scripts from their vast amount of training data, which may not be immediately apparent. We show that by simply inserting single non-Latin characters in the textual description, popular models reflect cultural biases in their generated images. We analyze this behavior both qualitatively and quantitatively, and identify the model's text encoder as the root cause of the phenomenon. Such behavior can be interpreted as a model feature, offering users a simple way to customize the image generation and reflect their own cultural background. Yet, malicious users or service providers may also try to intentionally bias the image generation. Ill-intended users can exploit this behavior to create racist stereotypes by replacing Latin characters with similarlylooking characters from non-Latin scripts, so-called homoglyphs.

1 INTRODUCTION

In recent months, text-driven image-generation models have received a lot of attention. These models are trained on large data collections, yet little is known about their learned representation and behavior. Our research showcases the models' surprising behavior on prompts containing non-Latin characters. Common text-to-image synthesis models are already known to be biased towards various societal representations, such as gender and ethnicity [\(Friedrich et al., 2023\)](#page-5-0), if prompted with standard Latin characters. We go one step further and show that cultural biases and stereotypes can explicitly be triggered by inserting non-Latin characters into a prompt. For example, DALL-E 2 [\(Ramesh et al., 2022\)](#page-6-0) generates facial images with Asian or Indian appearance and stereotypes when provided with a generic description and a single character replaced with a Korean or Indian character, as illustrated in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) We identified similar behavior across different models, domains, and scripts, where inserting a single non-Latin character is sufficient to induce cultural biases.

We present the first study of image generation models when conditioned on descriptions that contain non-Latin Unicode characters. Our research demonstrates that replacing standard Latin characters with visually similar ones, so-called homoglyphs, allows any party to disrupt the image generation while making the manipulations hard to detect with the naked eye. More importantly, we show that

A [long version](https://jair.org/index.php/jair/article/view/15388) of this paper was published in the Journal of AI Research (JAIR) [\(Struppek et al., 2023\)](#page-6-1).

Figure 1: Example of homoglyph manipulations and the resulting cultural biases in the DALL-E 2 pipeline. Replacing the o in the prompt with visually barely distinguishable characters, so-called homoglyphs, from the Korean, Indian, or Arabic script leads to the generation of images that reflect cultural stereotypes and influences, including facial features, clothing, and jewelry.

Figure 2: The computation of our Relative Bias metric is done in four steps: 1.) Two variations of each prompt are formed: one with only Latin characters, the other with one non-Latin character added. 2.) Images are generated for both prompts. 3.) The cosine similarity between each image and the prompt, which explicitly states the expected cultural association of the inserted homoglyph, is computed. 4.) The Relative Bias is calculated as the percentage increase in cosine similarity.

homoglyphs from non-Latin scripts not only influence image generation in general but also induce stereotypes and biases from the cultural circle of the corresponding scripts. We emphasize that such model behavior is not compellingly bad and may even be desirable, as it allows the models to reflect subtle input nuances and can help address Western bias.

In this work, we refer to the cultural and ethnic characteristics associated with certain language scripts as cultural biases. While the term bias is usually negatively connoted, it is important to clarify that we utilize this term in its neutral interpretation. Our intention is to portray how a model's behavior can change when faced with non-Latin characters. More precisely, our understanding follows the definition of the American Psychological Association, which defines a bias as *an inclination or predisposition for or against something* [\(American Psychological Association, 2023\)](#page-5-1).

2 METHODOLOGY FOR INVESTIGATING CHARACTER MANIPULATION

We mainly focus our analyses on homoglyphs, i.e., non-Latin characters that look similar to Latin characters, to investigate their effects in settings where a user is unlikely to spot the manipulations. Whereas most of our examples use homoglyphs of the Latin o, we stress that the demonstrated effects also hold for other homoglyphs and non-Latin characters. In order to measure the bias induced into generated images by non-Latin characters, we introduce a novel metric called *Relative Bias*. This metric is computed on three custom prompt datasets that describe general concepts usually influenced by local cultures, namely *People*, *Buildings*, and *Misc*. Each dataset contains generic prompts that describe people, architectural styles, and various other concepts reflecting local culture, including clothing, food, and religion. Each prompt contains a placeholder, e.g., "A small <PLACEHOLDER> town". We generated multiple images for each prompt, once with the <PLACEHOLDER> removed and once replaced by the non-Latin character whose bias we want to measure. For this Relative Bias metric, we used a pre-trained OpenCLIP model [\(Ilharco et al.,](#page-5-2) [2021\)](#page-5-2) and computed the similarity of each generated image with its corresponding prompt. This prompt replaced the <PLACEHOLDER> with the adjective of the culture we expect to be associated with the non-Latin character's underlying script, e.g., *Greek* in the case of an omicron. Fig. [2](#page-1-1) provides an example visualization of the metric. Relative Bias quantifies the relative increase in cosine similarity between the given prompt that explicitly states the culture and the generated images with and without the non-Latin character included in the prompt. A higher Relative Bias indicates a stronger connection between this character and the associated culture.

Figure 3: Examples of induced biases with a single homoglyph replacement. We queried DALL-E 2 with \mathbb{R} city in bright sunshine" (top row) and "Delicious food on a table" (middle row), and Stable Diffusion with "A photo of an actress" (bottom row). Each query differs only by the underlined characters A and o, respectively.

We further quantify the biasing effects of single characters in the text encoder's embedding space used to guide the image generation. For this, we apply an adjusted version of the common Word Embedding Association Test (WEAT) [\(Caliskan et al., 2017\)](#page-5-3). The test is built around two sets of attribute words and two sets of target words. For our purposes, we interpret the attribute words as sets of characters from two different Unicode scripts, e.g., the Latin and Greek scripts. The sets of target words contain terms associated with specific cultures, e.g., *(Western, American)* and *(Greek, Greece)*. WEAT then tests the null hypothesis that there is no difference between the two target sets regarding their cosine similarity to the two attribute sets. The effect size is measured as the number of standard deviations that separate the target words with respect to their association with the attribute words. A higher positive effect size indicates a stronger connection between characters and words of one culture. Appendix [A](#page-7-0) provides more formal metric definitions.

3 MANIPULATING THE IMAGE GENERATION WITH HOMOGLYPHS

We first qualitatively demonstrate the effects of homoglyphs injected into subordinate words for image generations with DALL-E 2 [\(Ramesh et al., 2022\)](#page-6-0) and Stable Diffusion v1.5 [\(Rombach et al.,](#page-6-2) [2022\)](#page-6-2). We focus on single characters within words that are not crucial to the overall image content. Fig. [3](#page-2-0) illustrates biases induced by replacing a single character in the generic descriptions with homoglyphs. Inserting only a single homoglyph already strongly biases the image generation, and nearly all generated images depict distinct cultural characteristics. Overall, we found that both models behave similarly in the face of homoglyph replacements. We further quantified the biasing effects on Stable Diffusion v1.5 in Table [1](#page-3-0) (left), measuring Relative Bias for five homoglyphs. The results indicate that different homoglyphs trigger biases in different domains. For example, the Greek homoglyph mainly influences the generation of buildings, which is to be expected since the Greek architectural style offers strong influences from ancient Greece.

We further found the biases to be stronger and clearer from those homoglyphs that relate to a more narrowly defined culture. For example, characters from the Greek script, which are limited to the Greek language spoken in Greece and Cyprus. In contrast, the character o (U+1ECD) is part of the Vietnamese language anmd the International African Alphabet. Therefore, this homoglyph induces Vietnamese biases into DALL-E 2, but images generated by Stable Diffusion reflect African culture. We provide more qualitative results in Appendix [C](#page-10-0) and Appendix [D.](#page-14-0)

Next, we explore the reasons behind the biasing behavior of homoglyphs and non-Latin characters in general. We expect the models' text encoders to be the main biasing factor, since their interpretations of distinct non-Latin characters in the embedding space might be linked to specific cultures. To verify this assumption, we analyzed the embedding space of the CLIP [\(Radford et al., 2021\)](#page-6-3) text encoder, which is used by both DALL-E 2 and Stable Diffusion. The WEAT for the text encoder of Stable Diffusion v1.5 and characters from five scripts (Greek, Cyrillic, Arabic, Korean, and African) are presented in Table [2.](#page-3-1) In all five cases, a strong biasing effect, as measured by effect size d ,

Table 1: Relative Bias measured for five homoglyphs on Stable Diffusion v1.5 (left) and AltDiffusion-m18 (right). A higher Relative Bias indicates a stronger connection between a non-Latin character and its associated culture. See Appendix [B](#page-9-0) for more results on other models.

Stable Diffusion						AltDiffusion-m18					
				Greek 0 Cyrillic 0 Arabic 0 Korean o African 0				Greek 0 Cyrillic 0 Arabic 0		Korean \circ	African ^o
People	18.60	13.14	24.73	59.34	69.58	People	-2.50	0.72	3.54	34.73	-0.06
Buildings	36.64	28.04	27.28	28.02	11.32	Buildings	17.42	6.03	12.39	18.43	2.06
Misc	22.31	21.50	32.18	30.43	32.58	Misc	12.64	5.03	4.16	24.94	-6.73

Table 2: WEAT hypothesis test p -values and effect sizes d for various characters from non-Latin scripts. The results for the CLIP encoder indicate strong and significant biasing effects with all p values $p < 0.025$. For the multilingual CLIP (M-CLIP) encoder, WEAT states no significant biases.

is evident and statistically significant, as supported by the low p-values. The Greek, Cyrillic, and Arabic scripts exhibit the strongest biasing effects, while characters from the African script show a smaller but still significant effect size. We assume that this is because the characters investigated are not exclusively used by African languages, and thus, other biasing influences may be present.

We repeated the WEAT computation on a multilingual CLIP encoder (M-CLIP) [\(Carlsson et al.,](#page-5-4) [2022\)](#page-5-4) trained on data from a hundred different languages. As the results in Table [2](#page-3-1) demonstrate, the multilingual encoder shows no significant biasing behavior. We conclude that explicitly training on multilingual data may mitigate the biased behavior of homoglyphs compared to training on predominantly English texts that occasionally contain non-English characters or words. In the English datasets, non-Latin characters often appear in untranslated names, places, and other terms associated with the respective cultures. In contrast, the non-Latin characters in the multilingual data appear in different contexts, limiting the cultural association between characters and cultures.

While using a multilingual text encoder in combination with Stable Diffusion is a promising avenue, the encoder cannot be simply replaced in a tex-to-image pipeline due to mismatching embedding spaces. However, training diffusion models around multilingual encoders offers an interesting avenue for future research but requires vast amounts of computing capacity. Instead, we repeated the Relative Bias computation on AltDiffusion-m18 [\(Ye et al., 2023\)](#page-6-4) that supports 18 different languages, including Korean, Arabic, and Russian. The results, which we report in Table [1](#page-3-0) (right), demonstrate that the model indeed exhibits significantly lower Relative Bias scores and supports our assumption that training on multilingual data successfully mitigates the character-induced biases.

Overall, transformer-based language models are well-known for their ability to learn the intricacies of language when provided with ample capacity and a sufficient amount of training data [\(Radford](#page-6-5) [et al., 2018\)](#page-6-5). Therefore, it is reasonable that text encoders in multimodal systems learn the nuances of various cultural influences from a relatively small number of training samples. Diffusion models provide strong mode coverage and sample diversity, allowing for generating images that reflect the various cultural biases encoded in text embeddings. The interaction of both components plays a crucial role in explaining the culturally influenced behavior in the presence of homoglyphs.

4 CONCLUSION

We demonstrated that multimodal models implicitly pick up cultural characteristics and biases linked to various Unicode scripts when trained on huge web-scraped image-text datasets. A single non-Latin character in the prompt can already cause the image generation process to reflect biases associated with the character's script. Although this surprising model behavior provides valuable insights into the nuanced information learned from the training data and offers an intriguing feature to allow users to incorporate cultural influences, it may also be exploited by malicious actors to unnoticeably reinforce stereotypes in generated images. We believe that our research will contribute to a better understanding of multimodal models and promote the creation of more robust and fair systems.

DISCUSSION ON SOCIAL IMPACTS

Let us discuss the positive and negative implications arising from the models' susceptibility to character encodings. It is important to underline that drawing a definitive line between harmful and benign applications is challenging, given that outcomes generated by the model can be interpreted in various manners based on individuals' diverse backgrounds. Our results from the previous section demonstrate that subtle character substitutions are sufficient to alter the presentation of sensitive image attributes, notably in the context of human appearances. Homoglyph manipulations may build and reinforce stereotypes, which describe a *widely held but fixed and oversimplified image or idea of a particular type of person or thing* [\(Bordalo et al., 2023\)](#page-5-5).

For instance, consider the generation of images depicting construction workers, which are considered low-prestige professions [\(Goyder & Frank, 2007;](#page-5-6) [Han et al., 2023\)](#page-5-7). In this case, a consistent portrayal of individuals with darker skin tones might be induced by surreptitiously injected homoglyphs. Stereotypical representations can lead to a distorted global perspective that potentially hinders the promotion of cross-cultural understanding. From an alternative perspective, homoglyph manipulations also have the capability to deliberately omit cultural diversities by forcing the generation to only represent certain cultures. By excluding other cultural contexts, the generative model inadvertently fosters sentiments of exclusion and marginalization among individuals not aligned with the showcased culture. This exclusionary practice contributes to a sense of inequality and inadequate representation, significantly affecting individuals of underrepresented cultural groups.

In this sense, we argue that using homoglyphs to manipulate text prompts creates, to some extent, a potential security breach in the realm of text-to-image synthesis. This vulnerability arises from the possibility that a malicious prompt tool or prompt database could deliberately infuse generated images with sensitive and generally undesired cultural stereotypes. It might be subtly achieved by strategically inserting homoglyphs within subordinate words or as supplementary inputs, all while remaining imperceptible to end users' detection of textual alterations.

Models that undergo training on data with a lack of diversity and a narrow spectrum of representations are known to inherit the resulting biases [\(Bianchi et al., 2023\)](#page-5-8). Nevertheless, our demonstrations clearly show that including individual characters from non-Latin Unicode scripts has the remarkable ability to turn pre-existing Western biases toward alternative cultural spheres. This strategic integration of non-Latin characters facilitates the incorporation of features characteristic to different cultural backgrounds. It is highly questionable whether universal purpose models should provide users with Western biases by default, regardless of the user's individual cultural background. Inserting characters from their native language script into a prompt offers a simple approach to equip users with a technique to guide and customize the image generation process. Through this uncomplicated technique, users can effectively tailor the generated images to reflect their own cultural background. Such personalized adaptations encompass a wide spectrum of cultural elements, ranging from the appearances of individuals to architectural styles, religious symbolism, culinary dishes, clothing preferences, and many more.

DISCUSSION ON LIMITATIONS

We focused our investigation on short prompt descriptions to ensure that the models are generally able to reflect the described concepts in the generated images. We note that with increasing prompt complexity, the biasing effects of non-Latin characters can decrease and might not be perceivable anymore. However, the insertion of multiple non-Latin characters can still partially increase the biasing effects. Also, the induced biases could be suppressed by strong, explicitly stated concepts, such as names of celebrities or attributes like hair color that interfere with certain cultural backgrounds.

Whereas we examined DALL-E 2 and Stable Diffusion as well-known representatives of text-toimage generation models, it remains to be empirically investigated whether other text-conditional image generation models, such as Google's Imagen [\(Saharia et al., 2022\)](#page-6-6), or Meta's Make-A-Scene [\(Gafni et al., 2022\)](#page-5-9), exhibit similar behavior for non-Latin characters. Unfortunately, these models were not publicly available at the time of writing. However, the fact that these models were all trained to extract image semantics from large collections of written descriptions obtained on the internet, which almost certainly contain non-Latin letters if not rigorously filtered, suggests that they tend to behave similarly.

REPRODUCIBILITY STATEMENT

Our source code to reproduce the experiments and facilitate further analysis on text-to-image synthesis models is publicly at [https://github.com/LukasStruppek/Exploiting-Cul](https://github.com/LukasStruppek/Exploiting-Cultural-Biases-via-Homoglyphs) [tural-Biases-via-Homoglyphs](https://github.com/LukasStruppek/Exploiting-Cultural-Biases-via-Homoglyphs). We also state further training details in the Appendix.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Daniel Neider for fruitful discussions. This research has benefited from the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) project KISTRA (reference no. 13N15343), the Hessian Ministry of Higher Education, Research, Science and the Arts (HMWK) cluster projects "The Third Wave of AI" and hessian.AI, from the German Center for Artificial Intelligence (DFKI) project "SAINT", as well as from the joint ATHENE project of the HMWK and the BMBF "AVSV".

REFERENCES

- American Psychological Association. Apa dictionary of psychology. [https://dictionary.a](https://dictionary.apa.org) [pa.org](https://dictionary.apa.org), 2023. Accessed: 17-August-2023, Keywords: bias, stereotype.
- Federico Bianchi, Pratyusha Kalluri, Esin Durmus, Faisal Ladhak, Myra Cheng, Debora Nozza, Tatsunori Hashimoto, Dan Jurafsky, James Zou, and Aylin Caliskan. Easily accessible text-toimage generation amplifies demographic stereotypes at large scale. In *Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency (FAccT)*, pp. 1493–1504, 2023.
- Pedro Bordalo, Katherine Coffman, Nicola Gennaioli, and Andrei Shleifer. Stereotypes. [https://](https://scholar.harvard.edu/files/shleifer/files/stereotypes_june_6.pdf) scholar.harvard.edu/files/shleifer/files/stereotypes_june_6.pdf, 2023. Accessed: 18-August-2023, Keywords: bias, stereotype.
- Aylin Caliskan, Joanna J Bryson, and Arvind Narayanan. Semantics derived automatically from language corpora contain human-like biases. *Science*, 356(6334):183–186, 2017.
- Fredrik Carlsson, Philipp Eisen, Faton Rekathati, and Magnus Sahlgren. Cross-lingual and multilingual clip. In *Language Resources and Evaluation Conference (LREC)*, pp. 6848–6854, 2022. URL <https://github.com/FreddeFrallan/Multilingual-CLIP>.
- Felix Friedrich, Patrick Schramowski, Manuel Brack, Lukas Struppek, Dominik Hintersdorf, Sasha Luccioni, and Kristian Kersting. Fair diffusion: Instructing text-to-image generation models on fairness. *arXiv*, arXiv: 2302.10893, 2023.
- Oran Gafni, Adam Polyak, Oron Ashual, Shelly Sheynin, Devi Parikh, and Yaniv Taigman. Makea-scene: Scene-based text-to-image generation with human priors. In *European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, volume 13675, pp. 89–106, 2022.
- John Goyder and Kristyn Frank. A scale of occupational prestige in canada, based on noc major groups. *The Canadian Journal of Sociology*, 32:63 – 83, 2007.
- Anthony Greenwald, Debbie McGhee, and Jordan Schwartz. Measuring individual differences in implicit cognition: The implicit association test. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 74 (6):1464–1480, 1998.
- Sehee Han, Heaseung Kim, and Hee-Sun Lee. A multilevel analysis of social capital and selfreported health: evidence from seoul, south korea. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 11, 2023.
- Gabriel Ilharco, Mitchell Wortsman, Ross Wightman, Cade Gordon, Nicholas Carlini, Rohan Taori, Achal Dave, Vaishaal Shankar, Hongseok Namkoong, John Miller, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, Ali Farhadi, and Ludwig Schmidt. Openclip. [https://github.com/mlfoundations](https://github.com/mlfoundations/open_clip) [/open_clip](https://github.com/mlfoundations/open_clip), 2021.
- Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, and Ilya Sutskever. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. [https://d4mucfpksywv.cloudfront.ne](https://d4mucfpksywv.cloudfront.net/better-language-models/language-models.pdf) [t/better-language-models/language-models.pdf](https://d4mucfpksywv.cloudfront.net/better-language-models/language-models.pdf), 2018. Accessed: 28-August-2022.
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, Gretchen Krueger, and Ilya Sutskever. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, pp. 8748–8763, 2021. URL [https:](https://github.com/openai/CLIP) [//github.com/openai/CLIP](https://github.com/openai/CLIP).
- Aditya Ramesh, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, and Mark Chen. Hierarchical textconditional image generation with CLIP latents. *arXiv preprint*, arXiv:2204.06125, 2022.
- Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Bjorn Ommer. High- ¨ resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 10684–10695, 2022.
- Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Saurabh Saxena, Lala Li, Jay Whang, Emily L. Denton, Seyed Kamyar Seyed Ghasemipour, Raphael Gontijo Lopes, Burcu Karagol Ayan, Tim Salimans, Jonathan Ho, David J. Fleet, and Mohammad Norouzi. Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding. In *Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 2022.
- Christoph Schuhmann, Romain Beaumont, Richard Vencu, Cade Gordon, Ross Wightman, Mehdi Cherti, Theo Coombes, Aarush Katta, Clayton Mullis, Mitchell Wortsman, Patrick Schramowski, Srivatsa Kundurthy, Katherine Crowson, Ludwig Schmidt, Robert Kaczmarczyk, and Jenia Jitsev. LAION-5B: an open large-scale dataset for training next generation image-text models. In *Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 2022.
- Lukas Struppek, Dominik Hintersdorf, Felix Friedrich, Manuel Brack, Patrick Schramowski, and Kristian Kersting. Exploiting cultural biases via homoglyphs in text-to-image synthesis. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research (JAIR)*, 78:1017–1068, 2023.
- Fulong Ye, Guang Liu, Xinya Wu, and Ledell Wu. Altdiffusion: A multilingual text-to-image diffusion model. *arXiv preprint*, arXiv:2308.09991, 2023.

A METRIC DETAILS

A.1 RELATIVE BIAS

For the Relative Bias, we created three prompt datasets describing general concepts that are usually influenced by local cultures, namely *People*, *Buildings*, and *Misc*. The *People* dataset contains generic prompts that describe images of people and aims to check the effects on their appearance. The *Buildings* dataset provides textual descriptions of landmarks and architectural styles. The *Misc* dataset comprises prompts of various concepts that might reflect local culture, including clothing, food, and religion. Table [3](#page-7-1) states the individual prompts for the three created datasets to measure the Relative Bias. Each dataset consists of ten different prompts, each containing a placeholder, e.g., "A small <PLACEHOLDER> town"; see Table [3](#page-7-1) for an overview of the various prompts. We generated multiple images x for each prompt z , once with the \leq PLACEHOLDER> removed and once replaced by the character for which we want to measure its bias. In this setting, the non-Latin characters can be interpreted as adjectives adding implicit cultural features. Unlike the setting in Fig. [1,](#page-1-0) we did not replace any other parts or characters of the prompts to avoid additional influences on the metrics by removing or replacing parts of a sentence. We denote the generated images based on Latin-only prompts as x and the ones with the non-Latin character inserted as \tilde{x} . For Stable Diffusion, the images with and without homoglyphs are generated with the same seed.

To measure the Relative Bias, we used a pre-trained CLIP model, namely OpenCLIP ViT-H/14 [\(Il](#page-5-2)[harco et al., 2021\)](#page-5-2), and computed the similarity of each generated image with its corresponding prompt z. Here, we replaced the <PLACEHOLDER> in the prompts with the adjective of the culture we expect to be associated with the non-Latin character's underlying script, e.g., *Greek* in the case of an omicron. We chose the OpenCLIP model trained on the LAION-2B English dataset [\(Schuh](#page-6-7)[mann et al., 2022\)](#page-6-7) to avoid interdependent effects with the text encoders based on OpenAI's CLIP ViT-L/14, which was trained on a non-public, smaller dataset with 400M samples [\(Radford et al.,](#page-6-3) [2021\)](#page-6-3). Be $S_c(x, z) = \frac{E(x) \cdot E(z)}{\|E(x)\| \|E(z)\|}$ the cosine similarity between CLIP embeddings E of image x and text prompt z. To quantify how a single character biases the generation toward its associated culture for N prompts, we compute its Relative Bias as

$$
Relative Bias = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{S_c(\tilde{x}_i, z_i) - S_c(x_i, z_i)}{S_c(x_i, z_i)}.
$$
\n
$$
(1)
$$

Fig. [2](#page-1-1) illustrates the concept behind the Relative Bias for a single example. The Relative Bias quantifies the relative increase in similarity between the given prompt z_i that explicitly states the culture and the generated images x_i and \tilde{x}_i with and without the non-Latin character included in the text prompt. A higher Relative Bias indicates a stronger connection between this character and the associated culture. For example, a Relative Bias of 50% means that the cosine similarity between the prompt implying the culture and the N images generated based on prompts with the associated character is 50% higher on average than for images generated with Latin-only prompts. We generated a hundred images for each of the prompt-character combinations on Stable Diffusion and four images on DALL-E 2 and computed the mean Relative Bias for all image-text pairs.

Table 3: Datasets used to measure the Relative Bias of homoglyphs for three domains. The placeholder $\langle \rangle$ marks the positions where the homoglyphs are injected. For the Latin prompts, the placeholder $\langle \rangle$ were simply removed.

People	Buildings	Misc
A photo of $a \leq$ actress	$A \ll$ city in bright sunshine	The house of worship of the $\langle \rangle$ state religion
A drawing of $a \ll$ man	A well-known $\langle \rangle$ landmark	Celebration of $a \ll$ cultural festival
A portrait photo of $a \ll$ couple	A photo of the $\langle \rangle$ capital	A painting of a famous $\langle \rangle$ painter
A group of $\langle \rangle$ people chatting	A famous $\langle \rangle$ monument	A depiction of $\langle \rangle$ culture
A photo of $a \ll$ family	People walk through the streets of $a \ll$ city	A photo of the $\langle \rangle$ national flag
The face of $a \ll y$ woman	An example of the $\langle \rangle$ style of building	A traditional $\langle \rangle$ piece of clothing
The face of $a \ll$ man	A drawing of a beautiful $\langle \rangle$ city	Traditional $\langle \rangle$ food
The face of $a \ll$ child	A small $\langle \rangle$ town	A drawing of a traditional $\langle \rangle$ dress
An old $\langle \rangle$ person	A photo of the $\langle \rangle$ seat of government	A photo of $a \ll$ tradition
A painting of $a \ll y$ woman	The most famous $\langle \rangle$ city	Standard ingredients for $a \ll$ meal

A.2 WEAT SCORE

To further quantify the biasing effects of single characters in the text embeddings, we adapted the Word Embedding Association Test (WEAT) proposed by [Caliskan et al.](#page-5-3) [\(2017\)](#page-5-3). WEAT is a statistical permutation test based on the Implicit Association Test from psychology research [\(Greenwald](#page-5-10) [et al., 1998\)](#page-5-10). The test is built around two sets of attribute words, denoted as A, B , and two sets of target words, denoted as X, Y . In its traditional application, attribute words might be, for example, gender-related terms like *(man, male)* and *(woman, female)*. For our purposes, we interpret the attribute words as sets of characters from two different Unicode scripts, e.g., the Latin and Greek scripts. Target words in the gender example might be *(programmer, astronaut)* and *(nurse, teacher)*. For our case, we used target words associated with specific cultures, e.g., *(Western, American)* and *(Greek, Greece)*. Table [4](#page-8-0) states the attribute and target sets we used to compute the WEAT test. We note that there are not enough homoglyphs in the various scripts, so not all characters used in the attribute sets have a similarly-looking Latin counterpart. However, since text encoders work with the character encodings and not their visual appearance, this fact does not limit the informative value of the test.

The WEAT test statistic is then computed as follows:

$$
s(X, Y, A, B) = \sum_{x \in X} s(x, A, B) - \sum_{y \in Y} s(y, A, B), \qquad (2)
$$

where $s(w, A, B)$ measures the association of a word w with the attributes of A and B by computing

$$
s(w, A, B) = \text{mean}_{a \in A} S_c(w, a) - \text{mean}_{b \in B} S_c(w, b) . \tag{3}
$$

Here, S_c describes the cosine similarity between the text embeddings of two words. WEAT tests the null hypothesis that there is no difference between the two target sets regarding their cosine similarity to the two attribute sets. The effect size d is measured as the number of standard deviations that separate the target words in X, Y with respect to their association with the attribute words A, B, A higher positive effect size indicates a stronger connection between characters and words in A and X and in B and Y , respectively, and therefore a larger bias. It is computed as follows:

$$
d = \frac{mean_{x \in X} s(x, A, B) - mean_{y \in Y} s(y, A, B)}{std_{w \in X \cup Y} s(w, A, B)}.
$$
\n
$$
(4)
$$

Table 4: Attribute sets A, B of characters from different scripts and target sets X, Y of target words to compute the WEAT test.

Script	Set	Keywords
Latin	A	'a', 'e', 'i', 'o', 'u', 'q', 'd', 't', 'm', 'k'
	Х	'USA', 'Western', 'Washington', 'North America', 'American', 'German', 'Berlin'
Greek	B_1	'α', 'ε', 'ι', 'ο', 'υ', 'β', 'ν', 'δ', 'θ', 'μ'
	Yı	'Greek', 'Greece', 'Athens', 'Hellenic', 'Southeast Europe', 'Mediterranean', 'Crete'
Cyrillic	B ₂	'а', 'г', 'е', 'и', 'о', 'т', 'с', 'ц', 'к', 'п'
	Y_2	'Russia', 'Russian', 'Moscow', 'Soviet', 'Eastern Europe', 'Slavic', 'Saint Petersburg'
Arabic	B_3	"ه", "م", "ن", "ن", "و", "ة", "د", "ز", "ع", "ب"
	Y_3	'Arabic', 'Arab', 'Arabian', 'Western Asia', 'United Arab Emirates', 'Morocco', 'Saudi Arabia'
Korean	B_4	'ㅇ''ㅅ''ㅂ''ㅋ''ㅊ''ㅎ''ㄲ''늬''ㄱ'''긔'
	$Y_{\scriptscriptstyle{A}}$	'Korean', 'South Korea', 'North Korea', 'East Asia', 'Seoul', 'Pyongyang', 'Busan'
African	B_5	'ο'. 's'. 'e'. 'ɔ'. 'ε'
	Y5	'African', 'West African', 'Nigeria', 'Benin', 'Yoruba', 'Abuja', 'Porto-Novoa'

B ADDITIONAL RELATIVE BIAS RESULTS

Figure 4: Relative bias measured for five homoglyphs from different scripts on Stable Diffusion v2.1. The dark bars state the results for the standard text encoder. Compared to Stable Diffusion v1.x, the biases are smaller, and for Cyrillic and African scripts are almost completely removed. However, for the Korean homoglyph, the bias seems to be stronger.

Figure 5: Relative bias measured for five homoglyphs from different scripts on DALL-E 2. The bars state the results for the standard text encoder. Since DALL-E 2 does not support seeding, the generated images and, consequently, the measured Relative Bias includes more variance compared to Stable Diffusion. However, the biasing behavior is still clearly present.

Figure 6: Relative bias measured for five homoglyphs from different scripts on AltDiffusion-m18. The bars state the results for the standard text encoder. Compared to the Stable Diffusion models, AltDiffusion reduces the biases for most investigated homoglyphs. However, For the Korean character, there is still a notable bias but considerably lower than in the Stable Diffusion models. We conclude that training on multilingual data indeed reduces the model biases related to individual character scripts.

C ADDITIONAL DALL-E 2 RESULTS

Here, we visualize additional results for the impact of homoglyphs on text-guided image generation with DALL-E 2.

C.1 A CITY IN BRIGHT SUNSHINE

Figure 7: Non-cherry-picked examples of induced biases with a single homoglyph replacement. We queried DALL-E 2 with the following prompt: " \underline{A} city in bright sunshine". Each query differs only by the first character \underline{A} .

C.2 A PHOTO OF AN ACTRESS

Figure 8: Non-cherry-picked examples of induced biases with a single homoglyph replacement. We queried DALL-E 2 with the following prompt: "A photo of an actress". Each query differs only by the Ω in \circ f.

C.3 DELICIOUS FOOD ON A TABLE

Figure 9: Non-cherry-picked examples of induced biases with a single homoglyph replacement. We queried DALL-E 2 with the following prompt: "Delicious food on a table". Each query differs only by a single character in the word Delicious replaced by the stated homoglyphs.

C.4 A PHOTO OF A FLAG

Cyrillic А (U+0410)

Figure 10: Non-cherry-picked examples of induced biases with a single homoglyph replacement. We queried DALL-E 2 with the following prompt: "A photo of a flag". Each query differs by the article \underline{A} replaced by the stated homoglyphs. Whereas the model has a learned bias towards generating USA flags, inducing a Greek bias leads to the generation of Greek flags. Surprisingly, using a Cyrillic bias enables the model to generate a wide range of different flags from European countries.

D ADDITIONAL STABLE DIFFUSION RESULTS

Here, we visualize additional results for the impact of homoglyphs on text-guided image generation with Stable Diffusion 2.

D.1 A PHOTO OF AN ACTRESS

(U+1ECD) N'Ko (West African) o (

(U+07CB) Hangul (Korean) \circ (U+3147)

Arabic ه

(U+0647) Armenian օ (U+0585) Bengali

০ (U+09E6)

Figure 11: Non-cherry-picked examples of induced biases with a single homoglyph replacement. We queried Stable Diffusion v1.5 with the following prompt: "A photo σf an actress". Each query differs only by the Ω in \circ f.

D.2 DELICIOUS FOOD ON A TABLE

Figure 12: Non-cherry-picked examples of induced biases with a single homoglyph replacement. We queried Stable Diffusion v1.5 with the following prompt: "Delicious food on a table". Each query differs only by a single character in the word Delicious replaced by the stated homoglyphs.

D.3 VARYING THE NUMBER OF INJECTED HOMOGLYPHS FOR COMPLEX PROMPTS

Standard Latin characters

1x African o (U+1ECD)

2x African ọ

 $(U+1ECD)$ 1x Hangul (Korean) \circ (U+3147)

 $(U+1ECD)$ 2x Hangul (Korean) $\sigma(U+3147)$

3x African o (

 $(U+1ECD)$ 3x Hangul (Korean) \circ (U+3147)

Figure 13: In complex prompts, the effects of homoglyphs might reduce or even vanish. However, by inserting multiple homoglyphs, their biasing effects can be amplified. Also, explicitly stated attributes, e.g., blond hair might interfere with triggered biases. The images were generated with the prompts A photo close-up of a beautiful black haired woman, fashion editorial, studio photography, elegant, 8k, hyperdetailed and A photo close-up of a beautiful blonde haired man, fashion editorial, studio photography, elegant, 8k, hyperdetailed. We then replaced 1, 2 or 3 of the underlined characters with the specified homoglyphs, starting from the first underlined characters.