SATPose: Improving Monocular 3D Pose Estimation with Spatial-aware Ground Tactility

Anonymous Authors

ABSTRACT

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

Estimating 3D human poses from monocular images is an important research area with many practical applications. However, the depth ambiguity of 2D solutions limits their accuracy in actions where occlusion exits or where slight centroid shifts can result in significant 3D pose variations. In this paper, we introduce a novel multimodal approach to mitigate the depth ambiguity inherent in monocular solutions by integrating spatial-aware pressure information. To achieve this, we first establish a data collection system with a pressure mat and a monocular camera, and construct a large-scale multimodal human activity dataset comprising over 600,000 frames of motion data. Utilizing this dataset, we propose a pressure image reconstruction network to extract pressure priors from monocular images. Subsequently, we introduce a Transformer-based multimodal pose estimation network to combine pressure priors with monocular images, achieving a world mean per joint position error (W-MPJPE) of 51.6mm, outperforming state-of-the-art methods. Extensive experiments demonstrate the effectiveness of our multimodal 3D human pose estimation method across various actions and joints, highlighting the significance of spatial-aware pressure in improving the accuracy of monocular 3D pose estimation methods. Our dataset is available at: https://anonymous.4open.science/r/SATPose-51DD.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Human-centered computing \rightarrow Ubiquitous and mobile computing systems and tools; • Computing methodologies \rightarrow Motion capture.

KEYWORDS

Multimodal 3D Human Pose Estimation, Pressure Sensor, Multimodal Human Activity Dataset, Pressure Image Reconstruction

1 INTRODUCTION

Estimating 3D human poses from monocular images and videos [21, 42, 48, 53, 62] is a classic and challenging computer vision task, which involves determining the locations of various body keypoints to construct a representation of the human skeleton. Thanks to its wide range of applications in sports and fitness, medical rehabilitation, virtual character embodiment in games, etc., significant efforts have been made to explore advanced network architectures

⁵⁰ Unpublished working draft. Not for distribution.

51 for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation 52 on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the 53 author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, of 54 permitted to post on servers or to redistribute to lists requires prior specific permission 55 permitted. To copy otherwise, or 56 permitted to post on servers or to redistribute to lists requires prior specific permission 57 permitted.

57 https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnnnnn



54



Figure 1: We constructed a real-time 3D human pose estimation system, consisting of a monocular camera and an optional pressure mat (left). With pressure images, we mitigate the inherent depth ambiguity in monocular vision solutions, enabling accurate prediction of 3D human poses (right).

[20, 29, 43, 56] to improve its accuracy. However, the fact that monocular vision cannot reliably gauge depth makes it difficult for these efforts to be fully effective [26, 56]. Specifically, different body movements can be projected into the image space in the same 2D pose. During certain actions like sideways poses, some parts of the human body may be occluded by other body parts; slight shifts in the body centroid that may not be easily discernible from monocular images can lead to different body poses; deficiencies in depth information estimation can also detrimentally impact the effectiveness of global displacement estimation. To alleviate the impact of depth ambiguity, previous research either resorts to the temporal context captured by successive action frames [26, 39] or the spatial context of the input monocular image modeled by Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) [8, 20]. Although effective, these methods rely solely on monocular 2D visual cues and lack true 3D spatial awareness, thus limiting their performance.

Parallel to monocular-vision-based methods, grounding tactility has also been used to estimate 3D human poses. The benefit of this approach originates from the large size and flexible nature of pressure sensors, enabling their embedding into everyday exercise equipment such as fitness mats. This expands the applicability of pressure sensors in various domains including sports, fitness and medical rehabilitation, etc. To achieve these, most existing works rely on array-type pressure sensors as tactile sensing devices, which are highly sensitive to external forces and offer precise localization of force areas and perception of force magnitude. For example, some prior works [6, 45] utilized pressure insoles and pressure mats to study the distribution of plantar pressure during human motion; Luo et al. [32] proposed a method utilizing solely pressure mat information to estimate 3D human pose. Despite achieving lower full-body estimation accuracy compared to visual approaches, pressure sensors demonstrated excellent performance in lower limb joint estimation, highlighting their potential to address the depth ambiguity inherent in vision-based solutions. Inspired by this, we propose an integrative approach that ingeniously leverages the sensitivity of pressure sensors to grounding positions to mitigate the

116

59 60

and/or a fee Request permissions from permissions@acm org

⁵⁵ ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia

^{© 2024} Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM

ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM

depth ambiguity of monocular vision method, thereby improving 117 the accuracy of 3D human pose estimation. However, this is a 118 119 challenging task as: i) to the best of our knowledge, there are no existing systems that can simultaneously collect both tactile and 120 vision data, while collecting this type of multimodal dataset requires 121 substantial effort. ii) Developing an algorithm to fuse the different modalities introduced by the new system poses another challenge. 123 iii) Introducing new hardware increases the system's complexity. 124

125 To tackle these challenges, in this paper, we established a hu-126 man activity collection system incorporating a pressure mat and a monocular camera, and introduced a Transformer-based multi-127 128 modal framework designed for accurate 3D human pose estimation from both monocular images and pressure images (Fig. 1). Com-129 pared to monocular methods, our approach enhances the 3D per-130 ception of the system by integrating additional spatial knowledge 131 from ground pressure, resulting in more accurate 3D pose esti-132 mation. Specifically, utilizing the data collection system we built, 133 we first created a large-scale multimodal human activity dataset, 134 135 namely the PVM (Pressure, Vision, Mocap) Dataset, which includes pressure images, monocular images and ground truth 3D poses. 136 This dataset covers data from 20 volunteers performing 16 different 137 138 actions, totaling over 600,000 frames. Particularly, to enhance the 139 generalizability and performance of our method in various scenarios, especially those where a pressure mat is unavailable, we 140 proposed a test-time adaptation strategy that reconstructs pres-141 142 sure images from monocular images and feeds them into the pose estimation network. Leveraging the large-scale PVM Dataset, we 143 can reliably reconstruct ground pressure images from monocu-144 145 lar images, and then achieve accurate 3D human pose estimation even if no real pressure information is available during testing. 146 Experimental results show that our multimodal 3D pose estimation 147 148 network achieved a world mean per joint position error (W-MPJPE) 149 of 51.6mm and 51.8mm with real pressure and predicted pressure, respectively, both surpassing state-of-the-art methods. This demon-150 151 strates the effectiveness and flexibility of our approach, allowing 152 for choosing whether to use the pressure mat depending on the requirement for higher accuracy or simpler system complexity. 153 154

In summary, our contributions can be summarized as follows:

- A novel human activity collection system including a pressure mat and a monocular camera. Leveraging this system and optical motion capture cameras, we constructed the PVM Dataset, a large-scale multimodal human activity dataset.
- · A test-time adaptation strategy that predicts pressure data from monocular images, enabling accurate 3D human pose estimation solely from monocular images during testing. This makes our system applicable to more diverse real-world scenarios, especially those without a pressure mat.
- A Transformer-based multimodal fusion framework that incorporates spatial-aware pressure information to mitigate the inherent depth ambiguity of monocular-vision-based 3D pose estimation methods and improve their accuracy.

RELATED WORK 2

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

2.1 Vision-based 3D Human Pose Estimation

3D human pose estimation (HPE) is a traditional computer vision task [1, 2, 38, 48, 62], existing works of 3D HPE can broadly be 175

classified into two mainstreams. The first involves the one-stage estimation [12, 38, 46], where the 3D poses are directly predicted from the images. The second is the two-stage estimation, where the 2D poses are first extracted from the images, and then a lift from 2D to 3D is performed. As for two-stage estimation, benefiting from the excellent performance of state-of-the-art 2D pose detectors [7, 19, 34], numerous works [25, 29, 39, 44, 49, 59, 61] engage in improving the performance of 2D-3D pose lifting. Most of them can be divided into TCN (Temporal Convolutional Network)based methods [29, 39], GCN (Graph Convolutional Network)-based methods [8, 20, 54-56, 60], and Transformer-based methods [18, 26-28, 31, 41, 43, 49, 63]. Compared to TCN-and-GCN-based structures, Transformer-based architecture is better suited for modeling long sequnences of 2D poses due to its well-designed attention mechanism. In this paper, we innovatively incorporate a multimodal Transformer-based structure to fuse the 2D poses extracted from monocular images and ground pressure data.

2.2 Application of Pressure Sensors

Pressure sensors can provide comprehensive biomechanical information. Their integration in various fields such as interactive control [14, 36, 40], robot touch [24, 57], gesture recognization [3, 15, 30, 47, 51, 52], etc., highlights their unique advantages. For example, TouchEditor [58] supports text editing for AR glasses through tactile gestures on a flexible touchpad. SmartSleeve [36] enables real-time sensing of surface and deformation gestures on a textile pressure sensor. In addition to the aforementioned small-area pressure sensors, studies by Clever et al. [9] and Casas et al. [5] utilize 2D pressure data to simulate lying postures, while Luo et al. [32] propose a method for 3D pose estimation using pressure sensors integrated into a mat, showing promising prospects in depth estimation and lower limb pose estimation. However, it is difficult to provide effective support for upper limb posture estimation using a single pressure sensor. Therefore, we integrate visual and tactile information, leveraging the complementary nature of both to enhance the pressure-based pose estimation, while addressing issues such as depth information absence in monocular images and estimation errors from centroid shifts.

Multimodal 3D Human Pose Estimation 2.3

Recent research [10, 17, 23, 33, 37, 64] indicates that integrating data from various sensors can improve the accuracy of 3D human pose estimation. For instance, Pan et al. [35] merge monocular RGB and sparse IMU data to facilitate robust motion capture even when visual signals are unavailable. [16] proposes an architecture fusing RGB and LiDAR data for precise pedestrian localization and pose prediction. [37] achieves efficient and accurate pose tracking by integrating data from LiDAR and IMU sensors. Additionally, Zhou et al. [65] integrate visual features, skeletal poses, probability maps, and multi-channel audio signals to create a hybrid representation for human action analysis. However, these wearable device-based solutions introduce foreignness and interference to human movement in rehabilitation and sports training. Sensor displacement during movement can also compromise the effectiveness of pose estimation. In contrast, our solution can offer users a seamless training experience while ensuring stable tracking performance.

PVM DATASET

In this section, we detail the experimental setup employed for the collection of the large-scale multimodal human activity dataset, PVM (Pressure, Vision, Mocap) Dataset.



Figure 2: Diagram of the multimodal data collection system, comprising a pressure mat and a monocular camera situated in an optical motion capture space, and all devices centrally controlled by a computer.

Participants and Actions 3.1

3.1.1 Participants. Twenty volunteers (10 M and 10 F, mean age = 22.9, SD = 2.86) were invited to participate in our data collection experiment. The body mass index (BMI) of participants ranged from 18 to 28, ensuring a moderate amount of body shape variability and different ranges of mobility among them. Participants were outfitted in a motion capture suit crafted from elastic Velcro fabric, including a top, pants, hat, and foot covers. The suit was designed to be flexible and elastic, prioritizing participants comfort and minimizing interference with their movements to the greatest extent possible. Our research received Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval from the local institution of the university. All individuals signed an informed consent form before the experiment, and they were remunerated accordingly after the completion of the experiment.

3.1.2 Actions. We focus on 3D human pose estimation for actions with some body parts being occluded and actions sensitive to slight shifts in body centroid. It is challenging to address these issues with monocular images due to depth ambiguity, yet they can im-pair the effectiveness of applications requiring high-precision pose estimation, such as sports training. Thus, by filtering and integrat-ing existing human activity datasets [22, 32] and the functional movement screen (FMS) action set [11], we designed a set of 16 actions (see Fig.3). Participants performed each action for approxi-mately 90 seconds (1800 frames), with the flexibility to take breaks as needed. Prior to the commencement of the experiment, the re-searcher conducted a brief demonstration of each action for the participant. Once the experiment began, no additional guidance was provided, allowing participants the freedom to perform actions at any location according to their individual preferences.

Apparatus 3.2

3.2.1 Pressure Sensing Mat. The ground pressure images were collected using a commercial, large-format, high-resolution pressure



Figure 3: 16 human actions in the PVM dataset.

sensing mat provide by Matrix Innovation¹. As shown in Fig.4, this mat is equipped with eight independent piezoresistive film sensors. The application of external pressure leads to a slight change in the distance between film layers, resulting in variations in the resistance and output voltage of the sensing layer. The pressure sensors can detect pressures of up to 30 kPa, with a sensitivity of 0.5 kPa. Each pressure sensor covers an area of 50×40 cm² with a resolution of 64×32 . Thus, the final assembled pressure sensing mat has an area of 200×80 cm², and the resolution of output pressure image is 256×64 . The output values for each sensor point fall within the range of [0, 100]. These eight pressure sensors are wired and connected to an eight-in-one serial module, which is further connected to a computer. Sensor data is transmitted via serial communication at a collection frame rate of 20Hz, and data synchronization among sensors is achieved through broadcast communication.



Figure 4: The pressure mat is composed of eight piezoresistive film sensors, with each pressure sensor having an area of 0.5 \times 0.4m² and a resolution of 64 \times 32.

3.2.2 Monocular Camera. We employed the C93 monocular camera manufactured by $AONI^2$ to record videos of human activities (Fig.2). The videos were captured at a resolution of 1280×720 with a frame rate of 30Hz. The camera was securely mounted on a tripod, positioned 1.7m directly in front of the pressure sensing mat at a height of 1.2m. This camera setup was designed to ensure the capture of the complete body of all participants at any location on the pressure sensing mat. The camera was connected to a computer through a wired connection, facilitating control over video recording and the transmission and storage of the video stream.

¹https://www.moxiantech.com/ ²https://www.aoni.cc/

3.2.3 Optical Motion Capture System. We utilized a optical motion 349 capture (Mocap) system manufactured by NOKOV³, which con-350 sists of 12 Mars2H series optical cameras, to record the 3D human 351 pose (Fig.2). This data serves as the ground truth for subsequent 352 model training. The Mocap system determines the 3D positions of 353 354 the 18 body joints by capturing the reflective markers on human 355 body, ultimately recording the human motion with millimeter-level precision (error range of ±0.15 mm) and a frame rate of 60Hz. 356

Dataset Structure 3.3

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

The three aforementioned data acquisition devices were connected to the same computer for data collection and storage control, ensuring data synchronization. The computer receives eight 64×32 pressure matrices from the pressure mat and ultimately concatenates them into a 256 \times 64 pressure matrix. Mocap data is exported in .bvh format and further converted into the 3D world coordinates of various body keypoints, resulting in data of size 18×3 for each frame. For monocular videos, we retain the original image frames, and extract 2D skeleton keypoints with the open-source human pose estimation library, OpenPose [4]; Finally, we obtain 2D skeleton with 18 keypoints corresponding one-to-one with the 3D one. After post-processing, data from all modalities were unified to a frame rate of 20Hz. In the end, we collected over 600,000 frames of multimodal human activity data from 20 participants performing 16 actions. The dataset was divided into a training set and a test set 374 with a ratio of 16:4 participants.

METHOD 4

In this section, we introduce our data-driven pressure image reconstruction method along with the multimodal 3D human pose estimation framework.

Data-driven Pressure Image Reconstruction 4.1



Figure 5: Architecture of the pressure image reconstruction network, employing a convolutional neural network to predict the pressure image from input 2D human pose.

4.1.1 Motivation. The spatial-aware grounding tactility is crucial for enhancing the 3D perception capability of monocular-visionbased human pose estimation networks. However, the reliance on the pressure mat may limit the application of this system to more scenarios. There is a need for an adaptive method that can utilize pressure information during testing while overcoming the restrictions associated with the pressure mat. A dual-pronged strategy involves extracting pressure priors from 2D poses, and subsequently utilizing both 2D poses and pressure images reconstructed from

Anonymous Authors



Figure 6: Qualitative evaluation results of the pressure image reconstruction model.

2D poses to estimate 3D poses. The PVM dataset, a large-scale multimodal human activity dataset including rich pressure images corresponding to 2D poses, provides a robust data foundation for training a high-precision pressure image reconstruction network.

4.1.2 Implementation. We propose a pressure image reconstruction network based on a multi-layer deconvolution neural network (Fig.5). Given a 2D pose $\tilde{Po} \in \mathbb{R}^{J \times 2}$, representing the (x, y) coordinates of J(J = 18) body joints, we first concatenate these J 2D coordinates to obtain $\tilde{Po}' \in \mathbb{R}^{J \cdot 2}$. Then, through a Multi-Layer Perception (MLP), we embed $\tilde{Po'}$ into a high-dimensional feature $\tilde{Z} \in \mathbb{R}^{dm}$ (*m* = 128), and further map it to the image space $\tilde{Z}' \in \mathbb{R}^{dm \times 1 \times 1}$ using a fully connected layer. Subsequently, the feature \tilde{Z}' is input into multiple deconvolution blocks, gradually reducing its channel number, upsampling its resolution, and finally obtaining the reconstructed pressure image $I \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times H \times W}$. H = 256represents the height and W = 64 represents the width of the image. Each deconvolution block comprises a convolutional layer for channel transformation, a deconvolutional layer for upsampling image resolution, three convolutional layers for further feature extraction, and corresponding batch normalization, dropout, and ReLU activation operations. Additionally, Mean Squared Error (MSE) is used as the loss function for model optimization. In this process, the network learns how to synthesize more detailed pose features, ultimately successfully reconstructing pressure images of the target size. This achievement is attributed to the large-scale PVM dataset, enabling the data-driven network to effectively extract pressure priors and accurately reconstruct pressure images.

4.1.3 Training. We train the pressure image reconstruction model for 35 epochs with one 3090 GPU, taking about 12 hours to converge. We employ the Adam optimizer with a peak learning rate of 1e-3 that gradually decreases following a cosine learning rate schedule.

4.1.4 Reconstruction Performance. We assessed the performance of the pressure image reconstruction model on the PVM test set. Fig.6 presents visual results illustrating the qualitative evaluation of the reconstruction model. The model demonstrated outstanding reconstruction performance in various scenarios, including actions with both feet on the ground, actions with a single foot on the ground, and actions involving multiple body parts in contact with the ground. Notably, the model excelled in actions with a frontal stance and both feet on the ground, accurately reconstructing the position and shape of footprints, and capturing differences in pressure distribution caused by changes in the body centroid (Deep Squat and Waiting). For lateral and single-footed actions, reconstructed pressure images also accurately reflected the body centroid

³https://www.nokov.com/

474

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580



Figure 7: Architecture of the 3D human pose estimation network, employing a Transformer-based neural network to predict the 3D human pose of the target frame from input sequences of pressure images and monocular images.

and provided a reasonably accurate depiction of the contact position (Inline Lunge and Hurdle Step). Even for actions involving multiple body parts in contact with the ground, such as Push-ups, the model achieved satisfactory reconstruction results. In more flexible actions with greater individual variations, such as Sitting, the model appropriately reconstructed the pressure distribution. In summary, the reconstructed pressure images possess the capability to provide the necessary spatial and pressure magnitude information for 3D pose estimation.

4.2 Multimodal 3D Human Pose Estimation

We propose a Transformer-based framework (Fig.7) for estimating 3D pose from sequences of 2D keypoints and pressure images.

4.2.1 Pose Embedding. The encoding of 2D poses is executed with a convolutional network. The input consists of multiple frames of 2D poses, denoted as $Po \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times J \times 2}$, where N = 351 represents the number of frames, and J = 18 represents the number of body joints. The N frames encompass the current frame along with the past $\frac{N-1}{2}$ frames and future $\frac{N-1}{2}$ frames. The pose embedding concatenates the 2D coordinates (x, y) of the \overline{J} joints for each frame, resulting in N tokens $Po' \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times (J \cdot 2)}$. Subsequently, a 1D convolutional layer embeds each token into a high-dimensional feature $Z_{po} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d_m}$, (m = 256).

4.2.2 Pressure Embedding. The encoding of pressure images is 512 realized through a Vision Transformer (ViT)-based architecture 513 [13]. The input pressure images, denoted as $Pr \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times H \times W}$, have 514 N frames in the sequence, with H = 256 representing the height 515 and W = 64 representing the width of each pressure image. Prior 516 to the encoding, pressure images are resized from 256×64 to 517 120 \times 120, aligning them with the input format of Transformer. 518 Subsequently, each pressure image is divided into a sequence of 519 520 tokens, which are then fed into Transformer's encoder. ViT encodes 521 this information into a high-dimensional feature representation, 522

resulting in $Z_{pr} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d_m}$. Leveraging the global relationship modeling capability of ViT for sequential data, it effectively captures spatial relationships within pressure images.

4.2.3 Pure Transformer. The 2D pose embedding and pressure image embedding are added to obtain a fused feature vector, denoted as $Z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d_m} = Z_{po} + Z_{pr}$. Z_0 is further encoded using Vanilla Transformer [50] to obtain an intermediate feature vector $Z_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d_m}.$ Vanilla Transformer operates based on self-attention mechanisms, allowing it to better understand the spatial relationships between different joints and contextual information between different frames. By stacking these layers, the network can effectively encode information about multi-frame human movements, capturing the spatiotemporal correlations between body keypoints.

4.2.4 Stride Transformer. Our ultimate goal is to estimate the 3D human pose for the current frame. Therefore, we use Stride Transformer [26] to compress the frame dimension of the features Z_1 . Unlike Pure Transformer, Stride Transformer replaces the feedforward neural network (FFN) layer with a convolutional feedforward neural network (CFFN) layer. This involves downsampling the input vector through convolutional operations, resulting in the final feature representation $Z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d_m}$ for the current frame.

4.2.5 Regression Head and Loss Function. To better model multiframe pose information, we supervise the model at both the full sequence scale and the single target frame scale. Specifically, regression is performed on the outputs Z_1 and Z_2 of Pure Transformer and Stride Transformer, respectively, to obtain the estimated 3D poses $X_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times J \times 3}$ and $X_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{J \times 3}$. X_1 and X_2 represent the estimation results for the 3D poses across N frames and the 3D pose for the current frame, respectively. The regression head consists of a batch normalization layer and a 1D convolutional layer. Given the 3D pose sequence ground truth $Y_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times J \times 3}$ and the target frame 3D pose ground truth $Y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{J \times 3}$, the L2 norm is computed between X_1 and Y_1 , and between X_2 and Y_2 , serving as two

581

582

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

Table 1: Quantitative comparison results with state-of-the-art methods on protocol #0. Bold and underline indicate the best and second-best values.

Protocol #0	D.S.	S.T.	H.S.	I.L.	M.P.	A.W.	Direction	W.T.	Twisting	Bending	Sitting	A.S.L.R.	R.S.	P.U.	Posing	Waiting	Avg.
Shan et al. [44] MM2021	56.7	47.1	57.5	60.7	55.0	60.5	52.4	52.6	51.3	57.7	52.4	54.6	87.8	76.2	70.1	62.3	59.7
Li et al. [26] ToMM2022	49.8	43.1	58.5	65.8	54.5	56.2	50.9	46.9	55.5	60.4	52.2	50.9	73.9	70.4	65.1	49.7	56.5
Shan et al. [43] ECCV2022	48.7	46.4	55.7	60.0	49.3	55.2	51.5	44.4	50.7	57.7	50.4	50.9	71.2	68.1	62.8	70.1	55.8
Li et al. [27] CVPR2022	52.8	47.2	58.2	61.6	55.5	56.1	57.3	47.3	58.1	59.4	55.5	53.8	76.3	67.4	63.8	72.2	58.9
Zhang et al. [59] CVPR2022	64.0	62.1	60.0	64.1	66.0	59.6	64.0	63.9	55.5	55.4	50.7	54.0	72.5	57.7	80.1	69.6	62.5
Zhao et al. [61] CVPR2023	53.6	48.5	56.6	64.1	58.8	61.2	58.1	47.9	52.1	64.6	51.6	53.6	81.3	65.9	74.1	67.3	60.0
Yu et al. [56] ICCV2023	50.3	44.7	56.2	64.4	52.7	54.2	51.5	50.2	58.1	57.5	52.3	56.1	79.2	70.0	60.4	48.9	56.7
Ours (pred. pressure)	47.1	41.4	57.0	63.0	48.1	48.4	46.2	43.1	45.4	54.7	49.8	47.7	68.5	62.9	56.4	45.4	51.8
Ours	44.4	41.2	56.5	61.6	47.4	<u>53.8</u>	46.9	40.5	44.2	56.4	47.2	46.1	70.7	63.6	<u>58.8</u>	46.9	51.6

separate loss functions, *i.e.*, $L_1(Y_1, X_1) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^J \left\| Y_{1j}^n - X_{1j}^n \right\|_2$, $L_2(Y_2, X_2) = \sum_{j=1}^J ||Y_{2j} - X_{2j}||_2$. Therefore, the total loss for the 3D pose estimation network is $L = L_1 + L_2$.

4.2.6 Training. We train the pose estimation model for 25 epochs with one 3090 GPU, which takes about 20 hours to converge. We employ the Adam optimizer with a peak learning rate of 1e-3 that gradually decreases following a cosine learning rate schedule.

4.3 Metrics

MPJPE (mean per joint position error) is a commonly used metric for evaluating the performance of human pose estimation networks. In this paper, the variant of MPJPE is used, i.e., W-MPJPE (protocol #0), measured in millimeters (mm). It indicates the Euclidean distance between the predicted joint positions and the true joint positions in the world coordinate system.

5 **EXPERIMENTS**

5.1 **Comparison with State-of-the-Art Results**

We compared our multimodal method for 3D human pose estimation with state-of-the-art (SOTA) monocular-vision-based methods. Utilizing the official code of these methods, we performed training and testing on our PVM dataset. Table 1 presents the results of the 16 actions for these SOTA methods and our method on protocol #0. The results of our method encompass estimations based on real pressure and predicted pressure.

Our estimations based on real pressure (51.6mm) and predicted 622 pressure (51.8mm) both outperform SOTA methods, achieving op-623 timal results in terms of the mean values and for the majority 624 625 of actions. Despite the challenges in some complex actions, such as "Rotation Stability", where various methods exhibit poor per-626 627 formance (around 80mm), our approach consistently delivers a 628 relatively favorable result (below 70mm). This underscores the superiority of our multimodal pose estimation method. Additionally, 629 the test results based on predicted pressure demonstrate perfor-630 mance comparable to those based on real pressure. This presents a 631 632 reliable approach for obtaining prior pressure information during testing, mitigating the constraints of pressure mats and allowing 633 adaptation to a broader range of scenarios. 634

Fig.8 illustrates qualitative comparison results for four actions. 635 636 The causes of estimation errors include: i) Information loss due to body parts being obscured (e.g. the knee joints during bending); ii) 637 638

Depth ambiguity resulting in errors in estimating joint positions in depth (e.g. the feet joints during bending and waiting), while our method has achieved significant improvement in the z-axis direction (depth direction) of 4.7mm compared with the monocular method; iii) Subtle variations in the body centroid that are challenging to capture from 2D vision (e.g. the shoulder-neck joints during bending and the hip joints during waist turning), while our method has achieved significant improvement ranging from 5 to 10mm in these joints compared with the monocular method; iv) Occasional deviations in the horizontal direction due to global displacement, such as the feet joints during waist turning.

In summary, the spatial perception characteristics of pressure images offer significant gains in the depth information required for 3D human pose estimation through the following mechanisms: i) Facilitating the localization of various body joints in contact with the ground and providing accurate global displacement information by utilizing the position distribution of pressure; ii) Reflecting the body centroid through the differences in pressure magnitude across these distributions, aiding in inferring the spatial relationships between different body joints.

5.2 Accuracy across Joints

Fig.9 depicts box plots illustrating estimation errors for various joints across different action distributions, showcasing errors along the x, y, and z axes for each joint. The multimodal human pose estimation network was adjusted by separately removing pressure embedding and 2D pose embedding, and models were trained with only 2D poses and only pressure inputs on the PVM dataset.

Methods excel in estimating lower limb joints compared to upper limb joints. This is attributed to the more complex joint structure and increased degrees of freedom in the upper body. Additionally, for upper limb joints, estimation errors gradually increase from the root joint (hip joint) to the middle joints (shoulder, elbow joints), and then to the end joints (wrist joints). Similarly, the end joints exhibit a larger error range, showing significant performance variations under different actions. This complexity stems from the motion transfer through the multi-joint chain, involving rotations, translations, or their combinations, making end joints more flexible in terms of degrees of freedom.

Methods based on real pressure (see Fig.9a) and predicted pressure (see Fig.9b) exhibit comparable performance, both outperforming results of the monocular-vision-based method in Fig.9c. This is primarily evident in the following aspects: i) Significant reduction

ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia



Figure 8: Qualitative comparison results with state-of-the-art methods, Pose3D-RIE [44], P-STMO [43], MHFormer [27], GLA-GCN [56]. Skeletons drawn with black line represent the ground truth poses.

in estimation errors across all joints, notably with a 5.9mm decrease in the upper limb joints and a 4.2mm decrease in the lower limb joints; ii) Error reduction is more noticeable in joints closer to the root node, with a 6.0mm decrease in the hip, followed by sequential decreases from the knees to the toes (3.4mm, 3.3mm, and 3.1mm) and from the shoulders to the wrists (6.0mm, 3.4mm, and 2.5mm); iii) The z-axis direction, representing the depth dimension, demonstrates the most substantial error reduction (4.7mm) in predictions compared to the other two axes (1.7mm and 2.3mm).

On one hand, ground pressure sensors capture pose-related information by sensing the contact between the human body and the ground. As a result, they complements reliable and stable data for pose estimation of the feet and leg joints. When it comes to upper limb joints, it plays a significant role in estimating the positional relationships between joints, thereby substantially enhancing the accuracy of upper limb joints. On the other hand, introducing spatial-aware pressure knowledge helps mitigate the inherent limitation of depth information loss, resulting in notable reduction in estimation errors along the z-axis. In addition, the purely pressure-based method demonstrates the weakest performance overall (120.9mm) but exhibits relatively superior and stable performance for lower limb joints (83.6mm) compared to upper limb joints (158.3mm) (see Fig.9d). This showcases its potential for estimating lower limb joints.

5.3 Ablation Studies

5.3.1 Different Modal Combinations. In Table 2, we have summarized the 3D human pose estimation errors for different modal combinations. The method that integrates 2D poses with reconstructed pressure and the one that integrates 2D poses with real pressure

achieved comparable results, surpassing the performance of the purely monocular-vision-based approach. This outcome strongly validates the efficacy of introducing spatial-aware pressure information in enhancing the performance of monocular-vision-based 3D human pose estimation methods.

Table 2: Pose estimation errors across modal combinations.

Modal Combinations	Pressure	2D Pose	2D Pose & Pred. Pressure	2D Pose & Pressure	
Protocol #0 (mm)	120.9	56.7	51.8	51.6	

5.3.2 Different Pressure Image Resolutions. We conducted tests on pressure images with varying resolutions. Specifically, we trained four models, each utilizing a different size when resizing the input pressure images originally at a resolution of 256×64 . The four sizes employed for resizing were 40×40 , 80×80 , 120×120 , and 160×160 . The results in Table 3 indicate that as the resolution of the pressure images increases, there is a general trend of decreasing error in 3D human pose estimation. Higher-resolution pressure images provide more detailed information, enabling the model to capture the nuances of human pose more accurately. However, it is noteworthy that when the image resolution increases from 120 \times 120 to 160×160 , the estimation error does not decrease but rather increases. Beyond a certain resolution level, additional details in the images may not be crucial for the pose estimation task and could instead lead to difficulties in network convergence. Moreover, higher-resolution images may escalate computational costs and model complexity. Therefore, we ultimately adopted the 120×120 resolution scheme for optimal performance.

ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia



Figure 9: Estimation errors for each joint (calculated using Euclidean distance) and estimation errors along the x, y, and z axes for each joint (calculated using Manhattan distance) for different modal combinations, all computed as global distances.

Table 3: Pose estimation errors across pressure resolutions.

Pressure Resolutions	40*40	80*80	120*120	160*160
Protocol #0 (mm)	54.0	53.1	51.6	52.7

5.3.3 Different Dataset Sizes. We also conducted ablation studies on different dataset sizes. To mitigate the impact of individual and action variations on the results, we retained data for 16 actions from 20 participants in the sub-datasets. Random sampling was performed at different proportions for each action of each individual in the PVM dataset, namely 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, and 1.0. As depicted in Table 4, the pose estimation network trained on a larger-scale dataset achieved superior results. This outcome underscores the significance of a large dataset for accurate 3D human pose estimation. A large dataset can provide ample samples, diverse pose variations, and detailed information, enabling the model to learn and generalize more effectively.

Table 4: Pose estimation errors across data sizes.

Data Sizes	0.1	0.25	0.5	0.75	1
Protocol #0 (mm)	61.2	58.6	54.9	52.5	51.6

CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose a novel 3D human pose estimation method that integrates ground pressure images with monocular images. As a foundation, we construct a large-scale multimodal dataset incorporating pressure images, monocular images, and ground truth 3D poses recorded during 16 distinct actions from 20 participants, totaling over 600,000 frames. Furthermore, to accommodate situations where a pressure mat is unavailable, we propose a pressure image reconstruction network capable of reconstructing ground pressure images from monocular images. Experimental results indicate that both combining monocular vision with real pressure and combining monocular vision with predicted pressure outperformed all monocular-vision-based SOTA methods. This demonstrates the exceptional performance of pressure information in enhancing the accuracy of 3D human pose estimation task, and provides viable new directions for future research in this field.

Limitations and Future Work: For pressure embedding, we conduct image-wide feature extraction, which, although effective, entails redundant information. Exploring more compact feature extraction methods may enhance information density, potentially improving estimation accuracy and efficiency for the multimodal pose estimation network. For pressure image reconstruction, there are various methods to introduce pressure priors. In this paper, we employ a data-driven image reconstruction approach, yet future exploration could involve biomechanical analysis to understand force relationships and generate pressure images, offering an intriguing and promising direction for future research.

SATPose: Improving Monocular 3D Pose Estimation with Spatial-aware Ground Tactility

ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043 1044

929 **REFERENCES**

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

- Ankur Agarwal and Bill Triggs. 2005. Recovering 3D human pose from monocular images. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* 28, 1 (2005), 44–58.
- [2] Mykhaylo Andriluka, Stefan Roth, and Bernt Schiele. 2009. Pictorial structures revisited: People detection and articulated pose estimation. In 2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. IEEE, 1014–1021.
- [3] Aakash Bhatt, Thomas Truong, Svetlana Yanushkevich, and Mohammed Almekhlafi. 2021. Body Pose Analysis using CNN and Pressure Sensor Array Data. In 2021 IEEE EMBS International Conference on Biomedical and Health Informatics (BHI). IEEE, 1–4.
- [4] Zhe Cao, Tomas Simon, Shih-En Wei, and Yaser Sheikh. 2017. Realtime multiperson 2d pose estimation using part affinity fields. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 7291–7299.
- [5] Leslie Casas, Nassir Navab, and Stefanie Demirci. 2019. Patient 3D body pose estimation from pressure imaging. *International journal of computer assisted* radiology and surgery 14 (2019), 517–524.
- [6] Wenqiang Chen, Yexin Hu, Wei Song, Yingcheng Liu, Antonio Torralba, and Wojciech Matusik. 2024. CAvatar: Real-time Human Activity Mesh Reconstruction via Tactile Carpets. Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies 7, 4 (2024), 1–24.
- [7] Yilun Chen, Zhicheng Wang, Yuxiang Peng, Zhiqiang Zhang, Gang Yu, and Jian Sun. 2018. Cascaded pyramid network for multi-person pose estimation. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 7103-7112.
- [8] Hongsuk Choi, Gyeongsik Moon, and Kyoung Mu Lee. 2020. Pose2mesh: Graph convolutional network for 3d human pose and mesh recovery from a 2d human pose. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part VII 16.* Springer, 769–787.
- [9] Henry M Clever, Zackory Erickson, Ariel Kapusta, Greg Turk, Karen Liu, and Charles C Kemp. 2020. Bodies at rest: 3d human pose and shape estimation from a pressure image using synthetic data. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 6215–6224.
- [10] Peishan Cong, Yiteng Xu, Yiming Ren, Juze Zhang, Lan Xu, Jingya Wang, Jingyi Yu, and Yuexin Ma. 2023. Weakly supervised 3d multi-person pose estimation for large-scale scenes based on monocular camera and single lidar. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 37. 461–469.
- [11] Gray Cook, Lee Burton, Barbara J Hoogenboom, and Michael Voight. 2014. Functional movement screening: The use of fundamental movements as an assessment of function-part 1. *International journal of sports physical therapy* 9, 3 (2014), 396.
- [12] Carl Doersch and Andrew Zisserman. 2019. Sim2real transfer learning for 3d human pose estimation: motion to the rescue. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32 (2019).
- [13] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, et al. 2020. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929 (2020).
- [14] Fengyi Fang, Hongwei Zhang, Lishuang Zhan, Shihui Guo, Minying Zhang, Juncong Lin, Yipeng Qin, and Hongbo Fu. 2023. Handwriting velcro: Endowing AR glasses with personalized and posture-adaptive text input using flexible touch sensor. Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies 6, 4 (2023), 1–31.
- [15] M. Farshbaf, R. Yousefi, M. Baran Pouyan, S. Ostadabbas, M. Nourani, and M. Pompeo. 2013. Detecting high-risk regions for pressure ulcer risk assessment. In 2013 IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine. 255–260. https://doi.org/10.1109/BIBM.2013.6732499
- [16] Michael Fürst, Shriya TP Gupta, René Schuster, Oliver Wasenmüller, and Didier Stricker. 2021. HPERL: 3d human pose estimation from RGB and lidar. In 2020 25th International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR). IEEE, 7321–7327.
- [17] Vladimir Guzov, Aymen Mir, Torsten Sattler, and Gerard Pons-Moll. 2021. Human poseitioning system (hps): 3d human pose estimation and self-localization in large scenes from body-mounted sensors. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 4318–4329.
- [18] Mohammed Hassanin, Abdelwahed Khamiss, Mohammed Bennamoun, Farid Boussaid, and Ibrahim Radwan. 2022. Crossformer: Cross spatio-temporal transformer for 3d human pose estimation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.13387 (2022).
- [19] Kaiming He, Georgia Gkioxari, Piotr Dollár, and Ross Girshick. 2017. Mask r-cnn. In Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision. 2961–2969.
- [20] Wenbo Hu, Changgong Zhang, Fangneng Zhan, Lei Zhang, and Tien-Tsin Wong. 2021. Conditional directed graph convolution for 3d human pose estimation. In Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Multimedia. 602–611.
- [21] Catalin Ionescu, Fuxin Li, and Cristian Sminchisescu. 2011. Latent structured models for human pose estimation. In 2011 International Conference on Computer Vision. IEEE, 2220–2227.
- [22] Catalin Ionescu, Dragos Papava, Vlad Olaru, and Cristian Sminchisescu. 2013. Human3. 6m: Large scale datasets and predictive methods for 3d human sensing in natural environments. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine*

intelligence 36, 7 (2013), 1325-1339.

- [23] Tomoya Kaichi, Tsubasa Maruyama, Mitsunori Tada, and Hideo Saito. 2020. Resolving position ambiguity of imu-based human pose with a single rgb camera. Sensors 20, 19 (2020), 5453.
- [24] Farnaz Khoshmanesh, Peter Thurgood, Elena Pirogova, Saeid Nahavandi, and Sara Baratchi. 2021. Wearable sensors: At the frontier of personalised health monitoring, smart prosthetics and assistive technologies. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics* 176 (2021), 112946.
- [25] Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Caiming Xiong, and Steven Hoi. 2022. Blip: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training for unified vision-language understanding and generation. In International Conference on Machine Learning. PMLR, 12888–12900.
- [26] Wenhao Li, Hong Liu, Runwei Ding, Mengyuan Liu, Pichao Wang, and Wenming Yang. 2022. Exploiting temporal contexts with strided transformer for 3d human pose estimation. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia* 25 (2022), 1282–1293.
- [27] Wenhao Li, Hong Liu, Hao Tang, Pichao Wang, and Luc Van Gool. 2022. Mhformer: Multi-hypothesis transformer for 3d human pose estimation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 13147–13156.
- [28] Kevin Lin, Lijuan Wang, and Zicheng Liu. 2021. End-to-end human pose and mesh reconstruction with transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference* on computer vision and pattern recognition. 1954–1963.
- [29] Ruixu Liu, Ju Shen, He Wang, Chen Chen, Sen-ching Cheung, and Vijayan Asari. 2020. Attention mechanism exploits temporal contexts: Real-time 3d human pose reconstruction. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 5064–5073.
- [30] Zhong Liu, SU Mingliang, Ken Lu, et al. 2019. A method to recognize sleeping position using an CNN model based on human body pressure image. In 2019 IEEE International Conference on Power, Intelligent Computing and Systems (ICPICS). IEEE, 219–224.
- [31] Fuchen Long, Zhaofan Qiu, Yingwei Pan, Ting Yao, Chong-Wah Ngo, and Tao Mei. 2022. Dynamic temporal filtering in video models. In *European Conference* on Computer Vision. Springer, 475–492.
- [32] Yiyue Luo, Yunzhu Li, Michael Foshey, Wan Shou, Pratyusha Sharma, Tomás Palacios, Antonio Torralba, and Wojciech Matusik. 2021. Intelligent carpet: Inferring 3d human pose from tactile signals. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 11255–11265.
- [33] Charles Malleson, Andrew Gilbert, Matthew Trumble, John Collomosse, Adrian Hilton, and Marco Volino. 2017. Real-time full-body motion capture from video and imus. In 2017 International Conference on 3D Vision (3DV). IEEE, 449–457.
- [34] Alejandro Newell, Kaiyu Yang, and Jia Deng. 2016. Stacked hourglass networks for human pose estimation. In Computer Vision–ECCV 2016: 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 11-14, 2016, Proceedings, Part VIII 14. Springer, 483–499.
- [35] Shaohua Pan, Qi Ma, Xinyu Yi, Weifeng Hu, Xiong Wang, Xingkang Zhou, Jijunnan Li, and Feng Xu. 2023. Fusing Monocular Images and Sparse IMU Signals for Real-time Human Motion Capture. In SIGGRAPH Asia 2023 Conference Papers. 1–11.
- [36] Patrick Parzer, Adwait Sharma, Anita Vogl, Jürgen Steimle, Alex Olwal, and Michael Haller. 2017. SmartSleeve: real-time sensing of surface and deformation gestures on flexible, interactive textiles, using a hybrid gesture detection pipeline. In Proceedings of the 30th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. 565–577.
- [37] Ashok Kumar Patil, Adithya Balasubramanyam, Jae Yeong Ryu, Pavan Kumar BN, Bharatesh Chakravarthi, and Young Ho Chai. 2020. Fusion of multiple lidars and inertial sensors for the real-time pose tracking of human motion. *Sensors* 20, 18 (2020), 5342.
- [38] Georgios Pavlakos, Xiaowei Zhou, Konstantinos G Derpanis, and Kostas Daniilidis. 2017. Coarse-to-fine volumetric prediction for single-image 3D human pose. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 7025–7034.
- [39] Dario Pavllo, Christoph Feichtenhofer, David Grangier, and Michael Auli. 2019. 3d human pose estimation in video with temporal convolutions and semi-supervised training. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern* recognition. 7753–7762.
- [40] Narjes Pourjafarian, Anusha Withana, Joseph A. Paradiso, and Jürgen Steimle. 2019. Multi-Touch Kit: A Do-It-Yourself Technique for Capacitive Multi-Touch Sensing Using a Commodity Microcontroller. In Proceedings of the 32nd Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (New Orleans, LA, USA) (UIST '19). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1071–1083. https://doi.org/10.1145/3332165.3347895
- [41] Zhaofan Qiu, Ting Yao, Chong-Wah Ngo, and Tao Mei. 2022. Mlp-3d: A mlplike 3d architecture with grouped time mixing. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 3062–3072.
- [42] Nikolaos Sarafianos, Bogdan Boteanu, Bogdan Ionescu, and Ioannis A Kakadiaris. 2016. 3d human pose estimation: A review of the literature and analysis of covariates. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding* 152 (2016), 1–20.
- [43] Wenkang Shan, Zhenhua Liu, Xinfeng Zhang, Shanshe Wang, Siwei Ma, and Wen Gao. 2022. P-stmo: Pre-trained spatial temporal many-to-one model for 3d

461 - 478

1045

1050

1051

1060

1061

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1098

1099

1102

human pose estimation. In European Conference on Computer Vision. Springer,

- 1046 [44] Wenkang Shan, Haopeng Lu, Shanshe Wang, Xinfeng Zhang, and Wen Gao. 1047 2021. Improving robustness and accuracy via relative information encoding in 3d human pose estimation. In Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference 1048 n Multimedia. 3446–3454. 1049
 - Xinyu Shi, Junjun Pan, Zeyong Hu, Juncong Lin, Shihui Guo, Minghong Liao, Ye [45] Pan, and Ligang Liu. 2019. Accurate and fast classification of foot gestures for virtual locomotion. In 2019 IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR). IEEE, 178-189.
- 1052 [46] Qingping Sun, Yanjun Wang, Ailing Zeng, Wanqi Yin, Chen Wei, Wenjia Wang, 1053 Haiyi Mei, Chi Sing Leung, Ziwei Liu, Lei Yang, et al. 2024. AiOS: All-in-One-Stage Expressive Human Pose and Shape Estimation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.17934 1054 (2024)
- 1055 [47] Mathias Sundholm, Jingyuan Cheng, Bo Zhou, Akash Sethi, and Paul Lukowicz. 2014. Smart-mat: recognizing and counting gym exercises with low-cost resis-1056 tive pressure sensing matrix. In Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Joint 1057 Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing (Seattle, Washington) (Ubi-Comp '14). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 373-382. 1058 https://doi.org/10.1145/2632048.2636088 1059
 - Zhenhua Tang, Jia Li, Yanbin Hao, and Richang Hong. 2023. MLP-JCG: Multi-[48] Layer Perceptron with Joint-Coordinate Gating for Efficient 3D Human Pose Estimation. IEEE Transactions on Multimedia (2023).
- Zhenhua Tang, Zhaofan Qiu, Yanbin Hao, Richang Hong, and Ting Yao. 2023. [49] 1062 3D Human Pose Estimation With Spatio-Temporal Criss-Cross Attention. In 1063 Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 4790-4799. 1064
- [50] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, 1065 Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all 1066 you need. Advances in neural information processing systems 30 (2017)
 - [51] Qilong Wan, Haiming Zhao, Jie Li, and Peng Xu. 2021. Hip positioning and sitting posture recognition based on human sitting pressure image. Sensors 21, 2 (2021), 426
 - [52] Qilong Wan, Haiming Zhao, Jie Li, and Peng Xu. 2023. Human Sleeping Posture Recognition Based on Sleeping Pressure Image. IEEE Sensors Journal 23, 4 (2023), 4069-4077. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSEN.2022.3225290
 - Jinbao Wang, Shujie Tan, Xiantong Zhen, Shuo Xu, Feng Zheng, Zhenyu He, and [53] Ling Shao. 2021. Deep 3D human pose estimation: A review. Computer Vision and Image Understanding 210 (2021), 103225.
 - Jingbo Wang, Sijie Yan, Yuanjun Xiong, and Dahua Lin. 2020. Motion guided [54] 3d pose estimation from videos. In European Conference on Computer Vision. Springer, 764-780
- Sijie Yan, Yuanjun Xiong, and Dahua Lin. 2018. Spatial temporal graph convolu-[55] 1076 tional networks for skeleton-based action recognition. In Proceedings of the AAAI 1077 conference on artificial intelligence, Vol. 32.
 - Bruce XB Yu, Zhi Zhang, Yongxu Liu, Sheng-hua Zhong, Yan Liu, and Chang Wen [56] Chen. 2023. Gla-gcn: Global-local adaptive graph convolutional network for 3d human pose estimation from monocular video. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 8818-8829.
 - Lishuang Zhan, Yancheng Cao, Qitai Chen, Haole Guo, Jiasi Gao, Yiyue Luo, [57] Shihui Guo, Guyue Zhou, and Jiangtao Gong. 2023. Enable Natural Tactile Interaction for Robot Dog based on Large-format Distributed Flexible Pressure Sensors. In 2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA). 12493-12499. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICRA48891.2023.10161049
- 1084 Lishuang Zhan, Tianyang Xiong, Hongwei Zhang, Shihui Guo, Xiaowei Chen, [58] 1085 Jiangtao Gong, Juncong Lin, and Yipeng Qin. 2024. TouchEditor: Interaction Design and Evaluation of a Flexible Touchpad for Text Editing of Head-Mounted 1086 Displays in Speech-unfriendly Environments. Proc. ACM Interact. Mob. Wearable 1087 Ubiquitous Technol. 7, 4, Article 198 (jan 2024), 29 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/ 1088 3631454
 - [59] Jinlu Zhang, Zhigang Tu, Jianyu Yang, Yujin Chen, and Junsong Yuan. 2022. Mixste: Seq2seq mixed spatio-temporal encoder for 3d human pose estimation in video. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 13232-13242
 - Long Zhao, Xi Peng, Yu Tian, Mubbasir Kapadia, and Dimitris N Metaxas. 2019. [60] Semantic graph convolutional networks for 3d human pose regression. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 3425-3435
 - Qitao Zhao, Ce Zheng, Mengyuan Liu, Pichao Wang, and Chen Chen. 2023. [61] PoseFormerV2: Exploring Frequency Domain for Efficient and Robust 3D Human Pose Estimation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 8877-8886.
 - Ce Zheng, Wenhan Wu, Chen Chen, Taojiannan Yang, Sijie Zhu, Ju Shen, Nasser Kehtarnavaz, and Mubarak Shah. 2023. Deep learning-based human pose estimation: A survey. Comput. Surveys 56, 1 (2023), 1-37.
- [63] Ce Zheng, Sijie Zhu, Matias Mendieta, Taojiannan Yang, Chen Chen, and Zheng-1100 ming Ding. 2021. 3d human pose estimation with spatial and temporal trans-1101 formers. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer

Vision. 11656-11665.

- [64] Zerong Zheng, Tao Yu, Hao Li, Kaiwen Guo, Qionghai Dai, Lu Fang, and Yebin Liu. 2018. Hybridfusion: Real-time performance capture using a single depth sensor and sparse imus. In Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV). 384-400.
- [65] Ersan Zhou and Heqing Zhang. 2020. Human action recognition toward massivescale sport sceneries based on deep multi-model feature fusion. Signal Processing: Image Communication 84 (2020), 115802.

Anonymous Authors

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1129

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157

1158

1159