# On the token distance modeling ability of higher RoPE attention dimension

#### **Anonymous EMNLP submission**

#### Abstract

001 Length extrapolation algorithms based on Rotary position embedding (RoPE) have shown 002 003 promising results in extending the context length of language models. However, under-005 standing how position embedding can capture longer-range contextual information remains 007 elusive. Based on the intuition that different dimensions correspond to different frequency of changes in RoPE encoding, we conducted a dimension-level analysis to investigate the 011 correlation between a hidden dimension of an 012 attention head and its contribution to capturing long-distance dependencies. Using our correlation metric, we identified a particular type of attention heads, which we named Positional Heads, from various length-extrapolated models. These heads exhibit a strong focus on longrange information interaction and play a pivotal role in long input processing, as evidence by our ablation. We further demonstrate the correlation between the efficiency of length extrapolation and the extension of the highdimensional attention allocation of these heads. The identification of Positional Heads provides insights for future research in long-text comprehension.

## 1 Introduction

027

034

040

The Transformer model has revolutionized natural language processing tasks, but it demonstrates limitations in modeling long sequences. Meanwhile, models like Mamba (Gu and Dao, 2023) that excel in capturing long-range dependencies struggle to meet the practical requirements of natural language modeling (Lieber et al., 2024). Consequently, there has been a recent surge of work focused on extending the context length in language models based on the Transformer architecture (Zhang et al., 2024; Xiong et al., 2023; Fu et al., 2024b). Particularly, some of these efforts that leverage and enhance the capabilities of RoPE (Rotary Positional Embedding) (Jin et al., 2024; Peng et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2023a), have shown promising results in extrapolating the model's capacity to handle longer contexts (Wang et al., 2024). 042

043

044

045

046

047

051

054

055

058

060

061

062

063

064

065

066

067

068

069

070

071

072

073

074

075

076

077

078

079

081

Open-source large language models commonly employ Rotary Positional Embedding (RoPE) to model sequence positional information (Touvron et al., 2023; Jiang et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2023; Bai et al., 2023a). RoPE exhibits two desirable properties. Firstly, its exponential positional encoding introduces long-range attention decay, allowing the model to focus more on neighboring semantic information. Secondly, by utilizing trigonometric functions to differentiate frequencies, RoPE effectively captures different distances between tokens, enabling higher attention scores for tokens that have longer semantic dependencies, facilitating semantic aggregation. When compared to length extrapolation methods based on sparse attention (Ratner et al., 2022; Xiao et al., 2023) or prompt compression (Yen et al., 2024; Xiao et al., 2024b), modifications to RoPE for length extrapolation do not result in the loss of fine-grained contextual information at a global level. Therefore, it possesses distinct advantages in tasks such as long text comprehension (Bai et al., 2023b; Lv et al., 2024), where the preservation of comprehensive contextual information is essential for practical applications.

A prevailing viewpoint suggests that language models based on RoPE encounter out-ofdistribution (OOD) issues when faced with contexts longer than the pre-training text length, specifically affecting the sampling of the trigonometric function component for token distances (Peng et al., 2023; Xiong et al., 2023). As a result, related studies have adjusted the attention resolution in the context of long texts and fine-tuned the model to adapt to longer token distances. We hypothesize that the effectiveness of such methods stems from RoPE's ability to decouple information from different distances by representing them through different dimensions with varying rotational frequencies. However, this pattern has not been thoroughly observed and analyzed in the actual inference process.

084

087

100

102

103

104

105 106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

Our paper presents a novel approach by examining the impact of each dimension of RoPE, specifically focusing on the 128-dimensional attention heads, on modeling text distances. We empirically validate the claim in Yarn that lower-frequency dimensions are responsible for modeling longer text dependencies. Furthermore, we discover that not all attention heads exhibit this characteristic, emphasizing the importance of heads that possess this relationship in modeling long texts. Our study explores RoPE's potential for long text modeling from a frequency perspective, shedding light on the relationship between dimensions and text modeling capabilities. Our primary findings are as follows: nosep

> • In most attention heads, regardless of whether length extrapolation is performed, the impact of high-dimensional low-frequency components is greater than that of low-dimensional high-frequency components.

• Input lengths exceeding the pre-training length can result in anomalies in highdimensional components. Length extrapolation extend the high-dimensional attention allocation for longer token distance.

• We refer attention heads that have stronger correlation between token distance and dimension allocation as Positional Heads, which play a crucial role in modeling text distances.

#### Background 2

#### 2.1 **Rotary Position Embeddings**

Large Language Models (LLMs) are primarily based on the Transformer architecture (Vaswani et al., 2017), with the attention mechanism at its core. A prevalent method for incorporating positional information in these models is Rotary Position Embeddings (RoPE) (Su et al., 2021), which leverages rotation matrices to encode the positional information of sequences.

In RoPE, the positional encoding for a hidden layer, with the hidden dimension denoted by d, uses a rotation matrix for each position m. The rotation matrix  $\mathcal{R}_m$  is defined as follows:



where

$$\theta_i = 10000^{-2i/d} \tag{2}$$

Explicitly, for the query vector  $\mathbf{q}$  at position m131 and the key vector  $\mathbf{k}$  at position n, we have:

$$\mathbf{q} = \begin{bmatrix} q_0 \\ q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_{d-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{k} = \begin{bmatrix} k_0 \\ k_1 \\ \vdots \\ k_{d-1} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3) 133

After applying RoPE, the transformed vectors 134  $\mathbf{q}_m$  and  $\mathbf{k}_n$  are given by: 135

$$\mathbf{q}_{m} = \mathcal{R}_{m}\mathbf{q} = \begin{bmatrix} q_{m0} \\ q_{m1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{m(d-1)} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{k}_{n} = \mathcal{R}_{n}\mathbf{k} = \begin{bmatrix} k_{n0} \\ k_{n1} \\ \vdots \\ k_{n(d-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

The attention weights are then calculated using the dot product of the transformed vectors:

softmax 
$$\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}_m^T \mathbf{k}_n}{\sqrt{d}}\right)$$
 (5)

The dot product for  $\mathbf{q}_m$  and  $\mathbf{k}_n$  is given by:

$$\mathbf{q}_m^T \mathbf{k}_n = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} q_{m,i} k_{n,i} \tag{6}$$
 141

136

137

138

139

140

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

#### 2.2 Length Extrapolation Methods

We have investigated methods to extend the context length of language models, particularly using Rotary Position Embedding (RoPE). Our research focuses on three prominent techniques: Yarn (Peng et al., 2023), CLEX (Chen et al., 2023a), and Self-Extend (Jin et al., 2024). Each method leverages different aspects of positional encoding to enhance long-range token interactions, showing favorable performance in our tests.

YaRN (Peng et al., 2023) addresses Out-of-Distribution (OOD) scenarios by categorizing RoPE dimensions into three frequency-based

237

238

239

240

241

201

202

203

204

205

groups and applying tailored interpolation strategies. Low-frequency dimensions use linear interpolation with adjusted  $\theta_i$  (=1) for smooth transitions. High-frequency dimensions remain unchanged, while intermediate-frequency dimensions use linear interpolation to bridge the extremes effectively.

155

156

157

158

160

161

162

163

164

166

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

177

178

179

181

183

184

186

187

188

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

199

**CLEX** (Chen et al., 2023a) advances the concept of Dynamic Scaling by modeling  $\theta_i(\text{pos})$  as a continuous function of position using a neural ODE. This method enables precise parameter fine-tuning to fit the data, demonstrating superior performance in our tests.

**SelfExtend** (Jin et al., 2024) uses bi-level attention: grouped attention and neighbor attention, to capture dependencies among both distant and adjacent tokens. It addresses positional O.O.D. issues by remapping unseen large relative positions to those encountered during pretraining through a floor division operation. This approach allows LLMs to maintain coherence over longer texts without fine-tuning.

## 3 Defining Dimension Contribution in RoPE

In Rotary Position Embedding (RoPE), each dimension of the vectors  $\mathbf{q}_m$  and  $\mathbf{k}_n$  contributes to the attention score via their dot product. To thoroughly investigate the role of different dimensions in RoPE for semantic modeling, we utilize an algorithm that analyzes the contribution of each dimension to the attention scores.

To capture the contribution of each dimension, we employ the Hadamard product, i.e., elementwise multiplication, denoted by the symbol ⊙:

$$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{q}_m \odot \mathbf{k}_n \in R^d, \mathbf{h}_i = q_{m,i} k_{n,i}, \qquad (7)$$

where

$$h_{2i} = q_{2i}k_{2i}\cos(m\theta_i)\cos(n\theta_i)$$
  

$$- q_{2i+1}k_{2i}\sin(m\theta_i)\cos(n\theta_i)$$
  

$$- q_{2i}k_{2i+1}\cos(m\theta_i)\sin(n\theta_i)$$
  

$$+ q_{2i+1}k_{2i+1}\sin(m\theta_i)\sin(n\theta_i)$$
  

$$h_{2i+1} = q_{2i}k_{2i}\sin(m\theta_i)\sin(n\theta_i)$$
  

$$+ q_{2i+1}k_{2i}\cos(m\theta_i)\sin(n\theta_i)$$
  

$$+ q_{2i}k_{2i+1}\sin(m\theta_i)\cos(n\theta_i)$$
  

$$+ q_{2i+1}k_{2i+1}\cos(m\theta_i)\cos(n\theta_i)$$
 (8)

In RoPE, every two dimensions correspond to trigonometric functions with the same frequency

 $\theta_i$ . We sum the values of these corresponding dimensions to form new vectors:

$$\mathbf{g} \in R^{\frac{a}{2}}, \quad g_i = h_{2i} + h_{2i+1}$$
(9)

for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d}{2} - 1$ .

The value of  $g_i$  reflects the contribution of each dimension in RoPE to the attention score. A higher value indicates a greater contribution of that dimension to the attention score, where:

$$g_{i} = h_{2i} + h_{2i+1}$$

$$= (q_{2i}k_{2i} + q_{2i+1}k_{2i+1})\cos((m-n)\theta_{i})$$

$$+ (q_{2i}k_{2i+1} - q_{2i+1}k_{2i})\sin((m-n)\theta_{i}).$$
(10)

Here,  $\theta_i$  represents the positional encoding frequency for the *i*-th dimension.

The dot product of  $\mathbf{q}_m$  and  $\mathbf{k}_n$  can be expressed as:

$$\mathbf{q}_m^T \mathbf{k}_n = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} q_{m,i} k_{n,i} = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} h_i = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} g_i \quad (11)$$

Therefore, we use the value  $g_i$  to measure the contribution of  $\theta_i$  to the attention score.

This methodological framework enables a comprehensive analysis of how each dimension in Rotary Position Embedding (RoPE) contributes to the attention scores. Through this approach, we can delve into the role of different dimensions in RoPE for semantic modeling.

## 4 **Experiments**

# 4.1 Study on dimension-level contributions to attention scores

This study aims to answer the following question: *Are there distinct patterns of attention contributions across different dimensions?* 

To examine this, we initially observe the overall contribution of each dimension to the attention scores. We sampled 17 inputs, and for each input, at each layer and each head of the model, we randomly selected  $100 \times$  number of tokens qk pairs. For each selected qk pair, we computed the contribution of each dim as shown in Section 3, then recorded the top 5 dimensions that contributed the most. We conducted a statistical analysis of the distribution of attention scores in terms of dimensions for each layer and head across four models: the original Llama-2-7B, Mistral-7B, and their vrsions with 64K length extrapolation using the Yarn method. The average values of these dimension distributions are presented in Figure 1. As per the theoretical analysis of RoPE, the models tend to focus on syntactic parsing in the shallow layers, placing greater emphasis on shorter distance information. The attention scores of the majority of attention heads are predominantly contributed by the higher-dimensional components. There were no significant changes observed in the dimension distribution before and after length extrapolation.



Figure 1: The average of the dimensional distribution of attention scores for each head in each layer of the four models



Figure 2: The value of trigonometric function in rotation position coding changes with the dimension and token distance, and the red dots represents the trigonometric function value of different dimension corresponding to a specific token distance

We now provide a potential explanation for the higher contribution observed in the higherdimensional components. According to Equation (11), the effect of the rotated positional encoding matrix on positions n and m in dimension i is equivalent to a trigonometric function of the form  $cos(n-m)\theta_i$ . We visualized the values of these trigonometric functions, as shown in Figure 2. The red dots represent several distances (n-m) with the maximum token distance set at 128k. It can be observed that tokens with longer distances correspond to shorter distinguishable curves in the rotated positional encoding. In the lower-dimensional range, the abrupt changes in values between adjacent dimensions become irregular due to the higher frequency of the trigonometric function. The purpose of RoPE is to encode different token distances in different dimensions, and the aggregation of information from different dimensions is performed when computing attention scores. The irregularity in the lower-dimensional range hinders the disentanglement of distance-related information. Consequently, during the training process, the model tends to favor the working of attention in the higher-dimensional components. Moreover, the maximum text length that the model can handle is also determined by the higher-dimensional components. Furthermore, increasing the base of the exponential function lowers the frequency of the trigonometric function, leading to increased distinguishable components in the higher dimensions. This has been confirmed to be a practical method for length extrapolation in pre-training approaches such as Llama3(AI@Meta, 2024) and Code-Llama (Roziere et al., 2023).

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

289

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

299

300

301

302

303

# 4.2 Study on correlation between dimensions and token distances

The previous study confirms the significant contribution from higher dimensions. Continued from the conclusion, in this study, we aim to understand: *Are higher dimensions responsible for long-range attention among tokens?* 

#### 4.2.1 Correlation Plot

Indeed, according to the principles of RoPE, higher dimensions are responsible for modeling longer token distances. However, it remains to be examined whether this correlation strictly holds in the actual inference process of pre-trained models such as Llama. In order to investigate this, we primarily focused on Llama and employed the methods showed below to observe the original Llama model as well as three different length extrapolation meth-

242

243

244

246

247

251



Figure 3: Correlation plot comparing the original Llama model with three different length extrapolation methods. The top and bottom rows show correlations in different heads.

ods. To comprehensively assess the influence of all dimensions in Rotary Position Embedding (RoPE) on a given query-key pair ( $q_m$  and  $k_n$ ), we propose an algorithm to compute the *Dominant Dimension*. This value is determined by analyzing the contribution scores assigned to each dimension within RoPE. The *Dominant Dimension* signifies that the attention score predominantly originates from the vicinity of this particular dimension. For each vector  $g_i$  in (9), we apply the softmax function:

softmax
$$(\mathbf{g})_i = \frac{e^{\mathbf{g}_i}}{\sum_j e^{\mathbf{g}_j}}$$
 (12)

We then compute the dot product of the softmax output with its corresponding position vector to determine the *Dominant Dimension*:

Dominant Dimension = softmax(
$$\mathbf{g}$$
) · **pos** (13)

where

304

305

312

313

314

315

317

319

322

330

331

332

334

335

336

$$\mathbf{pos} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & \frac{d}{2} - 1 \end{bmatrix}^T \tag{14}$$

To investigate the relationship between relative distance and Dominant Dimension, we sampled 17 prompts. For each prompt, across every layer and head of the model, we selected the top 100 tokens with the highest interaction attention scores for each token. This resulted in a total of 100 times the number of tokens qk pairs. For each qk pair, *Dominant Dimension* was computed, and its relative distance m - n was recorded.

For each head, we obtained a collection of  $100 \times$ number of tokens *Relative Distance - Dominant Dimension* pairs. If a relative distance corresponds to multiple Dominant Dimensions, we averaged them to obtain the Dominant Dimension corresponding to that distance.

The correlation between token relative distances and the dominant dimension of attention scores is depicted in Figure 3.

#### 4.2.2 Observation

Through a thorough analysis of the relationship between the dominant dimension and the relative distance of each head of each layer of the model, we have drawn the following inspiring observation: 339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

350

351

352

353

355

356

359

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

370

371

372

374

- 1. In some heads of the model, there is a significant correlation between the dominant dimension and the relative distance, whereas, in other heads, this correlation is not observed.
- 2. For the original Llama model, a sudden change in the dominant dimension occurs when the sequence length exceeds the pretraining length (4K). We observed a similar phenomenon in other models, such as Baichuan, as illustrated in Appendix A.1.
- 3. For the length extrapolation method, by observing the dominant dimension of the model, it can be seen that this method extends the trend of the dominant dimension within the pre-training length range of Llama to a new length range, thereby achieving length extrapolation. This observation is consistent with the design methodology of these length extrapolation approaches.

## 4.2.3 OOD Explanation

To further elucidate why the original model exhibits a sudden change in behavior when exceeding the pretraining length, we conducted an ablation study on the dimension matrix  $R_m$  of the rotary position encoding. The results are depicted in the figure. As shown in Figure 4, it can be observed that when the length is less than the pretraining length (4K), the image after removing  $R_m$  shows little difference compared to the original. However, beyond the pretraining length, no abrupt changes occur. Therefore, we propose a plausible explanation based on



Figure 4: Correlation plot comparing the original Llama model with the model where  $R_m$  has been removed. On the left side are the results from the original Llama model, and on the right side are the results after removing  $R_m$ . It is evident that the abrupt changes disappear after  $R_m$  removal.

the finding: As depicted in Figure 2, as the rela-375 tive distance increases, the lower dimensions of the rotary positional encoding tend to resemble characteristics similar to random sampling, while the higher dimensions remain comparatively stable. 379 Consequently, when the relative position exceeds the pretraining length (4K), the values in the lower dimensions gradually become overshadowed by noise from the trigonometric functions, whereas the values in the higher dimensions remain intact. The model training adjusts to accommodate this sampling characteristic of trigonometric functions. However, when the relative distance surpasses the model's pretraining length, the model struggles to adapt to this extended sampling range, leading to a scenario where the lower dimensions lose coherence while the influence of the higher dimensions becomes predominant.

#### 4.3 Finding the Positional Heads

#### 4.3.1 **Positional Heads Detection**

Positional Heads refer to attention heads with significant correlations mentioned in Section 4.2.2. In order to identify them, we quantified the distancedimension correlation for each head using the

Spearman rank correlation coefficient. This statistical measure was computed based on the visualization provided earlier. A Spearman correlation coefficient closer to 1 (in absolute value) indicates a stronger correlation, with the sign showing the direction. More details are in Appendix A.2.

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432



Figure 5: Spearman correlation coefficients of each head in the YaRN-Llama-2-7b-64K model. In most heads, there is a correlation between the dominant dimension and the relative distance.

#### 4.3.2 **Influence of Positional Heads on long** distance modeling

To validate the importance of attention heads with high distance-dimension correlations for long text comprehension, we conducted a masking procedure on these heads. Using the metrics described in the previous section, we identified the top 5%and top 10% heads based on their rankings and set their output to zero. We then compared the performance of these heads with randomly sampled 5% and 10% heads. The results, as shown in follows, demonstrate that heads with high distancedimension correlations exhibit greater importance across various tasks.

**Question Ansering.** The question-answering (QA) task is a commonly used text comprehension task that requires models to comprehend long text inputs and retrieve information relevant to the given questions. We utilized four QA tasks from Long-Bench (Bai et al., 2023b) to evaluate the impact of random masking of attention heads on the results. Figure 6 indicate that randomly masking out attention heads had no significant effect on the results. However, when we masked out the top 5% and 10%heads based on the distance-dimension correlation metrics, it resulted in a significant decline in the model's performance on this task. More results can be found in Appendix A.3.

381 387

- 392



Figure 6: Masking out top scored heads v.s. random heads. For the QA tasks in LongBench, the removal of heads with top scores clearly reduces performance.

**Code Completion.** Compared to the QA task, the code completion task places higher demands on long-distance dependencies in the text. We employed the code completion task from LongBench to assess the impact of random masking of attention heads on the results. As shown in Figure 7, this observation suggests that our proposed metrics can effectively identify the heads that are more important for understanding long texts from the perspective of long-distance information interaction. **PassKey.** The PassKey task is commonly used to

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453



Figure 7: Masking out top scored heads v.s. random heads. For the Code tasks in LongBench, the removal of heads with top scores clearly reduces performance.

evaluate the long text retrieval capability of models. We conducted the same ablation experiments on this task. The models used were the original Llama2-7B model and the Llama2-7B model with length extrapolation using the Yarn method. The results are shown in Figure 8. When the input length exceeds the pre-training length of the model, the original model exhibits out-of-distribution failures in long-distance retrieval. However, when we mask out the high-score attention heads of the lengthextrapolated model, the model shows a uniform performance decline across all lengths of retrieval, indicating that these attention heads are highly sensitive to the distance between texts. On the other hand, random masking out of attention heads does not exhibit this phenomenon.



Figure 8: Masking out heads with top scores v.s. random heads. For the passkey task , the removal of heads with top scores clearly reduces performance.

**Perplexity.** While evaluating the long text comprehension ability of the models, it is important to ensure that the fundamental performance of the models does not collapse. We assessed the perplexity (PPL) of the aforementioned models and their ablated versions, and the results are shown in Figure 9. It can be observed that although the ablation of high-score attention heads led to a decrease in PPL, it did not result in the PPL explosionseen in the original Llama model when faced with long texts.

# 5 Related Work

Handling longer contexts in Transformer models has seen significant improvements through various

454 455 456 457 458

475



Figure 9: Masking out heads with top scores v.s. random heads. For the ppl, the removal of heads with top scores clearly reduces performance.

methods, including enhanced training techniques, innovative frameworks, memory mechanisms, and adjustments to positional encoding, including enhanced training techniques(Fu et al., 2024a), external summary designs (Xiao et al., 2024a), memory mechanisms (Dai et al., 2019; Mohtashami and Jaggi, 2023), and adjustments to positional encoding. Among these methods, modifying positional encoding stands out due to its simplicity and efficacy. Some methods manipulate the token position numbering itself, as seen in PI (Chen et al., 2023b) and Selfextend (Jin et al., 2024). Others make adjustments within the encoding layers at the level of rotational positional encoding, exemplified by works like YaRN (Peng et al., 2023) and CLEX (Chen et al., 2023a). Additionally, novel positional encodings, such as CoPE (Golovneva et al., 2024), have been proposed to generalize rotational positional encoding and further enhance long-text capabilities.

Certain studies have delved into the impact of positional encoding in depth. Some research indicates that the initial token's position is crucial in longtext contexts (Han et al., 2023; Xiao et al., 2023), while other work (Men et al., 2024) highlights that the base of rotational positional encoding can limit a model's capacity to handle long texts. Fang et al. (2024) proposes a comprehensive framework to describe length extrapolation. However, the role of different dimensions within rotational positional encoding for information interaction remains underexplored. Moreover, the precise mechanisms by which positional encoding affects information interaction are not yet fully understood.

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

Highly relevant to this work are studies focusing on the interpretability of attention heads(Wu et al., 2024; Olsson et al., 2022). These studies specifically investigate the role of attention heads in information retrieval processes. The function of self-attention mechanisms extends beyond mere replication of highly relevant information; we emphasize the capability of self-attention mechanisms to integrate information from different positions. This capability is crucial for practical long text comprehension tasks.

# 6 Conclusion

We investigated the properties of attention heads with rotary position embeddings (RoPE) in commonly used Transformer architectures. Using long text comprehension tasks as a starting point, we explored the modeling of token-to-token distance within the model by deconstructing the contributions of different dimensions within the attention heads to the attention scores.

We found that due to the computational nature of rotary position embeddings, higher dimensions of the attention heads, which correspond to lower rotational frequencies, are more effective at distinguishing distances between tokens. Furthermore, attention heads that, through training, allocate attention scores across different dimensions according to token distances and exhibit a certain degree of correlation, demonstrate superior capabilities in modeling text distances. These heads are crucial for integrating information from varying distances in long text comprehension tasks.

We provide an analytical perspective on the currently popular rotary position embeddings, illustrating the attention patterns of models trained with RoPE. Future research can leverage the properties of these attention heads to address challenging tasks such as long text comprehension.

## Limitations

Although we demonstrated the capability of RoPE in modeling textual distances, several limitations are worth noting. First, our dimensional decom-

position approach is based on the explicit mean-551 ing of dimensions in rotary position embeddings; this method is not applicable to all types of po-553 sition encodings. Nonetheless, we maintain that decoupling token distance in attention computa-555 tion is crucial for integrating and understanding 556 information across different distances. Second, due 557 to computational resource constraints, we could not implement many hypotheses we wished to validate on a larger scale. Our observations were not 560 validated with longer input sequences, and the im-561 pact of fine-tuning on these attention heads was 562 not analyzed. We leave more detailed experimental 563 analysis to future work.

## References

566

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

590

591

593

594

597

598

600

- AI@Meta. 2024. Llama 3 model card.
- Jinze Bai, Shuai Bai, Yunfei Chu, Zeyu Cui, Kai Dang, Xiaodong Deng, Yang Fan, Wenbin Ge, Yu Han, Fei Huang, et al. 2023a. Qwen technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.16609*.
- Yushi Bai, Xin Lv, Jiajie Zhang, Hong Lyu, Jiankai Tang, Zhidian Huang, Zhengxiao Du, Xiao Liu, Aohan Zeng, Lei Hou, Yuxiao Dong, Jie Tang, and Juanzi Li. 2023b. Longbench: A bilingual, multitask benchmark for long context understanding. *ArXiv*, abs/2308.14508.
- Guanzheng Chen, Xin Li, Zaiqiao Meng, Shangsong Liang, and Li Bing. 2023a. Clex: Continuous length extrapolation for large language models. *ArXiv*, abs/2310.16450.
- Shouyuan Chen, Sherman Wong, Liangjian Chen, and Yuandong Tian. 2023b. Extending context window of large language models via positional interpolation. *ArXiv*, abs/2306.15595.
- Zihang Dai, Zhilin Yang, Yiming Yang, Jaime G. Carbonell, Quoc V. Le, and Ruslan Salakhutdinov. 2019.
  Transformer-xl: Attentive language models beyond a fixed-length context. In Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Junjie Fang, Likai Tang, Hongzhe Bi, Yujia Qin, Si Sun, Zhenyu Li, Haolun Li, Yongjian Li, Xin Cong, Yukun Yan, Xiaodong Shi, Sen Song, Yankai Lin, Zhiyuan Liu, and Maosong Sun. 2024. Unimem: Towards a unified view of long-context large language models. *ArXiv*, abs/2402.03009.
- Yao Fu, Rameswar Panda, Xinyao Niu, Xiang Yue, Hanna Hajishirzi, Yoon Kim, and Hao Peng. 2024a.
  Data engineering for scaling language models to 128k context. *ArXiv*, abs/2402.10171.
- Yao Fu, Rameswar Panda, Xinyao Niu, Xiang Yue, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, Yoon Kim, and Hao Peng. 2024b.

Data engineering for scaling language models to 128k context. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.10171*.

602

603

604

605

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

652

- Olga Golovneva, Tianlu Wang, Jason Weston, and Sainbayar Sukhbaatar. 2024. Contextual position encoding: Learning to count what's important.
- Albert Gu and Tri Dao. 2023. Mamba: Linear-time sequence modeling with selective state spaces. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2312.00752.
- Chi Han, Qifan Wang, Wenhan Xiong, Yu Chen, Heng Ji, and Sinong Wang. 2023. Lm-infinite: Simple on-the-fly length generalization for large language models. *ArXiv*, abs/2308.16137.
- Albert Q Jiang, Alexandre Sablayrolles, Arthur Mensch, Chris Bamford, Devendra Singh Chaplot, Diego de las Casas, Florian Bressand, Gianna Lengyel, Guillaume Lample, Lucile Saulnier, et al. 2023. Mistral 7b. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.06825.
- Hongye Jin, Xiaotian Han, Jingfeng Yang, Zhimeng Jiang, Zirui Liu, Chia yuan Chang, Huiyuan Chen, and Xia Hu. 2024. Llm maybe longlm: Self-extend llm context window without tuning. *ArXiv*, abs/2401.01325.
- Opher Lieber, Barak Lenz, Hofit Bata, Gal Cohen, Jhonathan Osin, Itay Dalmedigos, Erez Safahi, Shaked Meirom, Yonatan Belinkov, Shai Shalev-Shwartz, et al. 2024. Jamba: A hybrid transformer-mamba language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.19887*.
- Kai Lv, Xiaoran Liu, Qipeng Guo, Hang Yan, Conghui He, Xipeng Qiu, and Dahua Lin. 2024. Longwanjuan: Towards systematic measurement for long text quality. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.13583*.
- Xin Men, Mingyu Xu, Bingning Wang, Qingyu Zhang, Hongyu Lin, Xianpei Han, and Weipeng Chen. 2024. Base of rope bounds context length.
- Amirkeivan Mohtashami and Martin Jaggi. 2023. Landmark attention: Random-access infinite context length for transformers. *ArXiv*, abs/2305.16300.
- Catherine Olsson, Nelson Elhage, Neel Nanda, Nicholas Joseph, Nova Dassarma, Tom Henighan, Benjamin Mann, Amanda Askell, Yuntao Bai, Anna Chen, Tom Conerly, Dawn Drain, Deep Ganguli, Zac Hatfield-Dodds, Danny Hernandez, Scott Johnston, Andy Jones, John Kernion, Liane Lovitt, Kamal Ndousse, Dario Amodei, Tom B. Brown, Jack Clark, Jared Kaplan, Sam McCandlish, and Christopher Olah. 2022. In-context learning and induction heads. *ArXiv*, abs/2209.11895.
- Bowen Peng, Jeffrey Quesnelle, Honglu Fan, and Enrico Shippole. 2023. Yarn: Efficient context window extension of large language models. *ArXiv*, abs/2309.00071.

Nir Ratner, Yoav Levine, Yonatan Belinkov, Ori Ram, Inbal Magar, Omri Abend, Ehud Karpas, Amnon Shashua, Kevin Leyton-Brown, and Yoav Shoham. 2022. Parallel context windows for large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.10947*.

658

661

667

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

679

687

696

697

698

702

705

- Baptiste Roziere, Jonas Gehring, Fabian Gloeckle, Sten Sootla, Itai Gat, Xiaoqing Ellen Tan, Yossi Adi, Jingyu Liu, Tal Remez, Jérémy Rapin, et al. 2023. Code llama: Open foundation models for code. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.12950.
- Jianlin Su, Yu Lu, Shengfeng Pan, Bo Wen, and Yunfeng Liu. 2021. Roformer: Enhanced transformer with rotary position embedding. *ArXiv*, abs/2104.09864.
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, Aurelien Rodriguez, Armand Joulin, Edouard Grave, and Guillaume Lample. 2023. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. ArXiv, abs/2302.13971.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam M. Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. In *Neural Information Processing Systems*.
- Xindi Wang, Mahsa Salmani, Parsa Omidi, Xiangyu Ren, Mehdi Rezagholizadeh, and Armaghan Eshaghi. 2024. Beyond the limits: A survey of techniques to extend the context length in large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.02244*.
- Wenhao Wu, Yizhong Wang, Guangxuan Xiao, Hao Peng, and Yao Fu. 2024. Retrieval head mechanistically explains long-context factuality. *ArXiv*, abs/2404.15574.
- Chaojun Xiao, Pengle Zhang, Xu Han, Guangxuan Xiao, Yankai Lin, Zhengyan Zhang, Zhiyuan Liu, Song Han, and Maosong Sun. 2024a. Infilm: Training-free long-context extrapolation for llms with an efficient context memory.
- Chaojun Xiao, Pengle Zhang, Xu Han, Guangxuan Xiao, Yankai Lin, Zhengyan Zhang, Zhiyuan Liu, Song Han, and Maosong Sun. 2024b. Infilm: Unveiling the intrinsic capacity of llms for understanding extremely long sequences with training-free memory. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.04617*.
- Guangxuan Xiao, Yuandong Tian, Beidi Chen, Song Han, and Mike Lewis. 2023. Efficient streaming language models with attention sinks. *ArXiv*, abs/2309.17453.
- Wenhan Xiong, Jingyu Liu, Igor Molybog, Hejia Zhang, Prajjwal Bhargava, Rui Hou, Louis Martin, Rashi Rungta, Karthik Abinav Sankararaman, Barlas Oguz, et al. 2023. Effective long-context scaling of foundation models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.16039.

Aiyuan Yang, Bin Xiao, Bingning Wang, Borong Zhang, 707 Ce Bian, Chao Yin, Chenxu Lv, Da Pan, Dian Wang, Dong Yan, et al. 2023. Baichuan 2: Open large-scale language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.10305. Howard Yen, Tianyu Gao, and Danqi Chen. 2024. Long-711 context language modeling with parallel context encoding. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.16617. 713 Peitian Zhang, Zheng Liu, Shitao Xiao, Ninglu Shao, 714 Qiwei Ye, and Zhicheng Dou. 2024. Soaring from 715 4k to 400k: Extending llm's context with activation 716 beacon. arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.03462.

# Appendix

#### A.1 OOD phenomenon in other model

Α



Figure 10: Correlation plot of Baichuan-7B. When the sequence length exceeds the pre-training length of 4k, the dominant dimension of Baichuan exhibits a sudden change.

# A.2 Spearman Correlation Coefficient in Different Methods



Figure 11: Spearman correlation coefficients of each head in the YaRN-Llama-2-7b-64K model and CLEX-7B-chat-16K across different prompts, illustrating the stability of position heads across prompts. In most heads, there is a notable correlation between the dominant dimension and the relative distance.

## A.3 Other masking Results

718

	Qasper			MultifieldQA			HotpotQA			2WikiMQA		
masking method	0-4k	4-8k	8k+	0-4k	4-8k	8k+	0-4k	4-8k	8k+	0-4k	4-8k	8k+
Selfextend-no-masking	19.52	16.27	21.39	40.73	34.77	27.25	45.5	41.86	40.21	40.12	32.64	28.07
Selfextend-Random5%	14.05	16.07	5.33	37.83	24.57	23.47	42.8	39.73	36.97	39.49	33.14	22.11
Selfextend-Random10%	15.19	15.08	3.95	37.57	23.81	18.97	44.03	39.42	31.71	33.94	29.63	20.45
Selfextend-Top5%	17.43	12.27	4.59	37.74	23.52	20.62	42.53	16.17	7.91	29.19	17.85	6.46
Selfextend-Top10%	8.19	7.39	3.75	31.92	17.89	14.28	33.39	14.57	5.54	30.7	12.12	5.15
CLEX-no-masking	25.06	27.69	19.94	48.31	32.88	24.75	21.42	23.88	28.0	21.76	20.55	9.01
CLEX-Random5%	21.52	26.12	15.88	43.43	31.52	24.0	24.22	17.87	22.22	19.92	18.58	11.02
CLEX-Random10%	22.55	27.15	19.7	46.94	30.56	19.75	25.85	24.65	29.59	19.0	18.5	14.29
CLEX-Top5%	17.59	22.34	12.04	42.57	31.13	34.73	23.22	24.61	27.17	21.77	18.36	14.44
CLEX-Top10%	13.64	18.82	8.66	45.34	29.56	22.63	21.49	22.18	24.26	17.99	20.05	12.83

Table 1: Self-extend performance on QA tasks when masking out heads with top scores vs. random heads. Removing heads with top scores significantly reduces performance.