# OCCSORA: 4D OCCUPANCY GENERATION MODELS AS WORLD SIMULATORS FOR AUTONOMOUS DRIVING

Anonymous authors

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

024

025

026 027 028

029

Paper under double-blind review

## ABSTRACT

Understanding the evolution of 3D scenes is important for effective autonomous driving. While conventional methods model the scene development with the motion of individual instances, world models emerge as a generative framework to describe the general scene dynamics. However, most existing methods adopt an autoregressive framework to perform next-token prediction, which suffer from inefficiency to model long-term temporal evolutions. To address this, we propose a diffusionbased 4D occupancy generation model, OccSora, to simulate the development of the 3D world for autonomous driving. We employ a 4D scene tokenizer to obtain compact discrete spatial-temporal representations for 4D occupancy input and achieve high-quality reconstruction for long-sequence occupancy videos. We then learn a diffusion transformer on the spatial-temporal representations and generate 4D occupancy conditioned on a trajectory prompt. We conduct extensive experiments on the widely used nuScenes dataset with Occ3D occupancy annotations. OccSora can generate 16s videos with authentic 3D layout and temporal consistency, demonstrating its ability to understand the spatial and temporal distributions of driving scenes. With trajectory-aware 4D generation, OccSora has the potential to serve as a world simulator for the decision-making of autonomous driving.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

As a critical application of artificial intelligence technology, autonomous driving has garnered widespread attention and research in recent years (Hu et al., 2023b; Fu et al., 2024; Yang et al., 2023a). Establishing the relationship between perception (Liu et al., 2023c; Chang et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2023; Mao et al., 2023), prediction, and planning (Mozaffari et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2023b; Jia et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2024b) in autonomous driving is crucial for a comprehensive understanding of the field.

Conventional autonomous driving models (Hu et al., 2023b) rely on the motion of the ego vehicle 037 instances to model the development of scenes, unable to develop a profound understanding of scene perception and vehicle motion control comparable to human understanding. The emergence and establishment of world models (Ha and Schmidhuber, 2018) offer new possibilities for a deeper 040 understanding of the comprehensive relationship between autonomous driving scenes and vehicle 041 motion. Based on strong image pretrained models, image-based world models (Hu et al., 2023a; 042 Wang et al., 2023b) are able to generate high-quality driving-scene images with conditions of 3D 043 bounding boxes. OccWorld (Zheng et al., 2023) further learns a world model in the 3D occupancy 044 space, which can be better leveraged for 3D reasoning for autonomous driving. However, most existing methods adopt an autoregressive framework to model the dynamics (e.g., image tokens, bounding boxes, occupancy) of a 3D scene, and thus cannot efficiently produce long-term sequences. 046

To address this, we propose a 4D world model OccSora to directly generate spatial-temporal representations with diffusion models as shown in Figure 1, motivated by OpenAI's 2D video generation model Sora (Brooks et al., 2024). To accurately understand and represent 4D scenes, we design 4D scene discretization to capture the dynamic characteristics of scenes and propose a diffusion-based world model to achieve controllable scene generation in accordance with physical laws. Specifically, in the 4D occupancy scene tokenizer, we focus on extracting and compressing real 4D scenes to establish an understanding of the world model environment. In the diffusion-based world model, we employ multidimensional diffusion techniques to propagate accurate spatiotemporal 4D information



Figure 1: Comparisons with existing methods. It can comprehend the intricate relationship between
 scenes and trajectories and generate long-term, physically consistent 4D occupancy.

and realize trajectory-controllable scene generation by incorporating real ego car trajectories as
 supervision, thereby achieving a deeper understanding between autonomous driving scenes and
 vehicle motion control. Through training and testing, OccSora can generate autonomous driving 4D
 occupancy scenes that adhere to physical logic and achieve controllable scene generation based on
 different trajectories. The proposed autonomous driving 4D world model opens up new possibilities
 for understanding dynamic scene changes in autonomous driving and the physical world.

70 2 RELATED WORK

069

071 **3D Occupancy Prediction.** 3D occupancy focuses on partitioning space into voxels and assigning 072 specific semantic types to each voxel. It is considered a crucial means of representing real-world 073 scenes, following 3D object detection (Mao et al., 2023; Ma et al., 2023; Yu et al., 2024) and Bird's 074 Eye View (BEV) perception (Yang et al., 2023b; Zhao et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2023a; Zhang et al., 075 2022), for autonomous driving perception tasks. Early research on this task primarily focused on semantically classifying discrete points from LiDAR (Zhou et al., 2021; Singh et al., 2020; Liu et al., 076 077 2023a). In fact, due to the camera containing semantic information far exceeding that of LiDAR and their low cost. Thus, utilizing images for depth estimation or employing end-to-end methods for 3D scene perception research is currently the mainstream approach (Huang et al., 2023a; Li et al., 079 2023; Wei et al., 2023). Considering the advantageous of multi-sensor systems, some studies research multi-modal fusion for 3D occupancy prediction (Wang et al., 2023c; Zhang and Ding, 2024). 081

082 In addition to utilizing typical sensor devices for 3D occupancy prediction, some studies focus on 083 other tasks involving occupancy. For instance, OccWorld (Zheng et al., 2023) proposes a spatiotemporal generative transformer to predict subsequent scene tokens and the vehicle token, thereby predicting 084 future occupancy and vehicle trajectory. On the other hand, GenOcc (Wang et al., 2024a) utilizes 085 generative models to accomplish occupancy prediction. DriveWorld (Min et al., 2024) introduces a world-model-based framework for learning in autonomous driving from 2D images and videos, 087 addressing tasks such as 3D object detection, online map creation, and occupancy prediction. Al-880 though progress has been made in 3D occupancy prediction and continuous 4D prediction, the scope 089 of these studies remains limited. They usually use autoregressive models in conjunction with scene 090 information from preceding frames to carry out subsequent occupancy tasks, thereby necessitating 091 prior scene or 3D bounding box inputs. Besides, the performance drop over time is significant in 092 both 4D occupancy forecasting and motion planning, demonstrating the struggle of autoregressive models for generation in the autonomous driving field. This is because the complexity of capturing the spatial and temporal information of vehicle movements and scenes is greater than that of language 094 text. Consequently, they lack a genuine understanding of the fundamental relationships between 095 scene and motion, and they do not constitute world models conditioned on actions. 096

Generative Model. Generative models have garnered widespread attention recently due to their pow-098 erful capabilities. By learning the probability distribution of data, generative models can train models 099 capable of generating new samples. From the emergence of Generative Adversarial Networks (GAN) (Goodfellow et al., 2020) to the recent advent of diffusion models like Variational Autoencoders 100 (VAE) (Van Den Oord et al., 2017), the tasks of generative models have gradually expanded from 101 initial image generation tasks to in-depth studies on videos (Yan et al., 2021). Tasks such as image 102 generation based on the DIT model (Peebles and Xie, 2023) delve into and utilize their generative 103 capabilities. The Sora video generation model (Brooks et al., 2024) further demonstrates the ability 104 to produce high-quality videos with realistic transitions between frames in continuous scenes. 105

Similarly, in the field of autonomous driving, controllable image generation can provide various
 driving scenarios to serve perception, planning, control, and decision-making tasks. For instance,
 MagicDriver (Gao et al., 2023) generates videos depicting various weather scenarios by learning

111





116





122

123

124

125



Figure 2: **The pipeline of OccSora.** The 4D occupancy scene tokenizer achieves compression and restoration of real information. The compressed information and vehicle trajectories are simultaneously used as inputs for the diffusion-based world model, generating trajectory-controllable tokens that are decoded into 4D occupancy.

126 from videos of autonomous driving vehicles and incorporating labels such as object detection boxes 127 and maps. DriveDreamer (Wang et al., 2023b) proposes a world model that is entirely derived from real-world driving scenes, enabling a deep understanding of structured traffic constraints and thereby 128 achieving precise and controllable video generation. However, for autonomous driving scenarios, 129 obtaining the 3D occupancy of scenes is more important compared to 2D information (Zhang et al., 130 2023b; Mescheder et al., 2019; Sima et al., 2023). Some studies (Lee et al., 2024; Liu et al., 2023b) 131 propose a three-dimensional diffusion model suitable for generating outdoor real scenes, which, by 132 utilizing diffusion methods, accomplishes scalable seamless scene generation tasks. While some 133 previous studies have generated 2D static images and extended them to the temporal dimension 134 through autoregression, and others have achieved static generation of 3D occupancy scenes, both 135 the 2D images generated based on 3D object bounding boxes and the static large-scale scenes are 136 difficult to directly apply to autonomous driving tasks (Wang et al., 2024b; Zheng et al., 2024). In contrast, our proposed OccSora establishes a dynamic 4D occupancy world model that adapts to 137 scene changes with vehicle trajectories, without the need for any prior object detection boxes or scene 138 information, representing the first generative 4D occupancy world model for autonomous driving. 139

# 3 OccSora

141 142 143

140

# 5 OCCSORA

3.1 WORLD MODEL FOR AUTONOMOUS DRIVING

Considering that 4D occupancy can comprehensively capture the structural, semantic, and temporal 144 information of a 3D scene and effectively facilitate weak supervision or self-supervised learning, 145 it can be applied to visual, LiDAR, or multimodal tasks. Based on these principles, we represent 146 the world model  $\chi$  as 4D occupancy R. Figure 2 illustrates the overall framework of OccSora. 147 We constructed a 4D occupancy scene tokenizer (Van Den Oord et al., 2017) to compress real 4D occupancy  $R_i \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times D \times H \times W \times T}$  in both the temporal T and spatial  $D \times H \times W$  dimensions, capturing 148 149 the relationships and evolution patterns in 4D autonomous driving scenes. This results in compressed high-level tokens  $R_m \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times c \times h \times w \times t}$  and reconstructed 4D occupancy data  $R_o \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times D \times H \times W \times T}$ . We 150 designed a diffusion-based world model that uses trajectory information  $R_r \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times T \times 2}$  as control units, 151 152 training them along with the compressed tokens  $R_m$  to generate high-dimensional scene representation tokens  $T_o \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times c \times h \times w \times t}$ . These are then decoded by the 4D occupancy scene tokenizer into physically 153 consistent and dynamically controllable  $R_o$ . 154

- 155 3.2 4D OCCUPANCY SCENE TOKENIZER
- 156

3.2 ID OCCOTAINCT SCENE TORENIZER

The goal of 4D occupancy prediction is to determine the semantic type at specific locations over time. We discretize and encode the real 4D occupancy scene  $R_i$  into an intermediate latent space  $R_m$ to obtain a true representation of the 4D occupancy scene, as shown in Figure 3. The formula is as follows:  $R_m = \zeta_{token} \{\tau_{en}(R_i)\}$ . Here,  $\zeta_{token}$  represents the encoded codebook, and  $\tau_{en}$  denotes the designed 3D encoder network and category embedding. This 3D occupancy representation divides the 3D space around the vehicle into voxels  $r^T = N \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times D}$ , where each voxel position is assigned a 162 3D Embedded Encoded Tensor Codebook Category embedding 4D Occupancy Scene Tokenizer 163 Tensor and Tokenizer Encoder Quantizer 164 Conv 3D Attention Loss Design  $\mathbf{B} \times (\mathbf{4} \times \mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{c}) \times \frac{\mathbf{H}}{4} \times \frac{\mathbf{W}}{4} \times \frac{\mathbf{T}}{4} \quad \mathbf{B} \times (\mathbf{8} \times \mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{c}) \times \frac{\mathbf{H}}{8} \times \frac{\mathbf{W}}{8} \times \frac{\mathbf{T}}{8}$  $R_i \in \mathbb{R}^{B imes}$  $D \times H \times W \times T$  $\mathbf{B} \times (\mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{c}) \times \frac{\mathbf{H}}{2} \times \frac{\mathbf{W}}{2} \times \frac{\mathbf{T}}{2} \quad \mathbf{B} \times (\mathbf{4} \times \mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{c}) \times \frac{\mathbf{H}}{4} \times \frac{\mathbf{W}}{4} \times \frac{\mathbf{T}}{4} \quad \mathbf{B} \times (\mathbf{8} \times \mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{c}) \times \frac{\mathbf{H}}{8} \times \frac{\mathbf{W}}{8} \times \frac{\mathbf{$ 166 Down sample Down sample Down sample Down sample Down sample 167 Conv 3D Conv 3D Conv 3D Conv 3D Conv 3D  $arg \min_z z$ Batch Batch Batch Batch Batch Normalization Normalization Normalization Normalization Normalization 169 Reconstruction 170 Decoder Reshape Reshap Token  $R_{a}$ Conv 3D Attention 171  $R'_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{B imes (Dc') imes T imes H imes W}$ 172

Figure 3: The structure of the 4D occupancy scene tokenizer. The proposed method encodes and
compresses 4D scenes to extract high-dimensional features, which are then decoded to retrieve the
spatiotemporal physical characteristics of the scenes.

176 type label  $T_i$ , indicating whether it is occupied and the semantics of the object occupying it. Unlike 177 traditional methods, we incorporate and compress temporal information within the same scene, 178 reshaping the tensor to  $R_i$ . This approach allows for unified learning of both spatial and temporal 179 evolution patterns and the physical relationships of real scenes, compared to previous autoregressive 180 methods. After passing through the  $\tau_{en}$  3D encoder network with category embedding and the  $\zeta_{token}$ 181 encoded codebook, the tensor is transformed into  $R_m$  representing the potential spaces. This reshaping 182 ensures a comprehensive representation of the temporal dynamics of 4D occupancy.

**Category embedding and Tokenizer.** To accurately capture the spatial information of the original parameters, we first perform an embedding operation on the input  $R_i$ . For each category in  $R_i$ , we assign a learnable category embedding  $b \in \mathbb{R}^{c'}$ , which serves to label the categories of continuous 3D occupancy scenes with dimensions  $\mathbb{R}^{B \times D \times T \times H \times W \times c}$ . The positional information is embedded as tokens representing the categories, resulting in dimensions  $\mathbb{R}^{B \times D \times c \times T \times H \times W}$ . These embeddings are then concatenated along the feature dimension. To enable subsequent 3D encoding with dimensional compression,  $R_i$  is further reshaped into  $R'_i \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times (Dc') \times T \times H \times W}$ .

190 **3D Video Encoder.** To effectively learn discrete latent tokens, we further performed downsampling 191 on the embedded positional information of the 4D occupancy  $R'_i$  to extract high-dimensional features. 192 The designed encoder architecture comprises a series of 3D downsampling convolutional layers, 193 which perform 3D downsampling in both the time dimension (T) and spatial dimensions ( $H \times W$ ), increasing the fusion dimension to  $D \times c'$ . We initially downscaled the input  $R'_i$  three times to obtain 194 195  $R''_{:} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times (8 \times Dc') \times \frac{T}{8} \times \frac{H}{8} \times \frac{W}{8}}$ , and introduced dropout layers after the feedforward and attention block 196 layers for regularization. Considering the relationships between consecutive frames, we introduced 197 cross-channel attention after downsampling, segmenting  $R_i''$  along the  $8 \times Dc'$  dimension and then performing cross-channel attention between the segmented parts. This operation enhanced the 199 model's ability to capture relationships between features along different axes, and subsequently reshaped them back to the original shape to obtain the output tensor  $R_m$ . 200

**Coodbook and Loss Design.** To achieve a more condensed representation, we simultaneously learn a codebook  $\zeta_{token} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_c \times D}$  containing  $N_c$  codes. Each code  $b \in \mathbb{R}^{c'}$  in the codebook encodes a high-level concept of the scene, such as whether the corresponding position is occupied by a car.  $\zeta_{token}$  represents the encoded codebook. We quantize each spatial feature  $\widehat{R_m^{(ij)}}$  in  $\widehat{R_m}$  by mapping it to the nearest code  $N(\widehat{R_m^{(ij)}}, B)$ :

207 208 209

$$R_{m}^{(ij)} = N(\hat{R}_{m}^{(ij)}, \zeta_{token}) = \min_{b \in \zeta_{token}} ||\hat{R}_{m}^{(ij)} - b||_{2},$$
(1)

where  $||\cdot||_2$  represents the L2 norm. Subsequently, we integrate the quantized features  $\widehat{R_m^{(ij)}}$  to obtain the final scene representation  $R_m$ .

**3D Video Decoder.** To reconstruct  $R_o$  from the learned scene representation  $R_m$ , we design a decoder consisting of 3D deconvolution layers. In contrast to the encoder, the decoder architecture includes cross-channel attention, residual blocks, and a series of 3D convolutions, enabling upsampling in both temporal and spatial dimensions. This gradual upsampling process transforms  $R_m$  to its



Figure 4: The structure of the diffusion-based world model. The model involves utilizing the optimal codebook obtained from training the 4D occupancy scene tokenizer to convert 4D occupancy into a sequence of tokens. These tokens, along with the ego vehicle trajectory and random noise, are then combined as input for denoising training to acquire the generated token.

original occupancy resolution  $R_o$ . The decoder then splits the result along the channel dimension to reconstruct the temporal dimension, yielding occupancy values for each voxel. During training, we accomplished the training of the encoder, decoder parameters, and the encoding codebook. The designed network enables us to simultaneously encode the input 4D occupancy information and compress it into multiple tokens, thereby learning the physical correlations of world models under spatiotemporal fusion. Additionally, we restore the information during the decoding process.

239 3.3 DIFFUSION-BASED WORLD MODEL

Inspired by the diffusion method (Peebles and Xie, 2022), we use scene tokens  $R_m$  containing spatiotemporal information features as inputs for the generative model. Additionally, we conduct denoising training and trajectory-controllable generation tasks under the control of vehicle trajectories  $R_r$ , as shown in Figure 4.

**Token embedding.** To efficiently and accurately utilize the transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017), we flatten the input data tokens  $R_m$  into  $R_{re}$ . Simultaneously, considering the significance of positional information for spatiotemporal compression, we perform positional embedding on the input. We design the following function, which utilizes sin and cos functions to encode positional indices:

229

230

231

$$R_{re}^{(\text{emb})} = \text{emb}_{i}^{d} + R_{re}, R_{re} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times c \times (\text{hwt})}.$$
(2)

It operates on two main parameters: *C*, representing the embedding output dimensionality of each position, and i = hwt, representing the number of tokens enumerating the positions to be encoded. The resulting output follows a matrix structure of dimensions  $C \times i$ , and *emb* constructs the positional embedding representation using sin and cos functions. These embeddings encapsulate the positional attributes of the tokens, enhancing the model's understanding of positions within the input. We add the positional encoding *emb* to the input  $R_{re}$ , yielding  $R_{re}^{(emb)}$ , which represents the tokens after positional encoding.

258 **Trajectory conditioning embedding.** The transformation relationship between scenes and trajecto-259 ries is a crucial aspect of autonomous driving. Generating diverse 4D occupancy scenes that align with control trajectories is essential. Therefore, we use the ego vehicle trajectory  $T_r$  as input to 260 generate controllable 4D occupancy. Firstly, the ego vehicle trajectory  $T_r \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times t \times 2}$  is used as one of 261 the control inputs, where t denotes the continuous time dimension, and the third dimension represents 262 the vehicle positions along the x and y axes of the absolute coordinate system. To achieve trajectory 263 embedding and encoding, we reshape the vehicle trajectory to  $T_r \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times (t \times 2)}$  and learn and encode it 264 as follows: 265

$$g = \mathbf{v}(t_d) + \boldsymbol{\delta}(T_r), \boldsymbol{\delta}(T_r) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{c} \times (\mathbf{hwt})}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{c} \times (\mathbf{hwt})},$$
(3)

266 267

where  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times c \times (hwt)}$  represents the waypoint time step embedding, and  $\delta$  denotes the Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) network that extracts trajectory information. *g* is then embedded into the input sequence of the diffusion transformer and processed together with the token information  $R_{re}^{(emb)}$ . 270 Diffusion Transformer. We developed a diffusion-based world model to learn from and generate 271 within the latent space  $R_m$ , while integrating trajectory labels  $T_r$  and denoising time steps  $v_d$  as control 272 conditions. In the model diffusion learning process, we constructed a forward noise process that 273 gradually introduces noise to the latent space  $R_m$ :  $q\left(R_{re}^g|R_{re}\right) = N\left(R_{re}^g; \sqrt{\overline{\sigma^g}}R_{re}, \left(1-\overline{\sigma^g}\right)I\right)$ , where the constant *g* represents the embedding of trajectories and time steps. Utilizing the reparameterization 274 275 trick, we can sample:  $R_{re}^g = \sqrt{\overline{\sigma^g}} R_{re} + \sqrt{1 - \overline{\sigma^g}} \varepsilon^g$ , where  $\varepsilon^g \sim N(0, I)$ . The 4D occupancy diffusion 276 model is trained to learn the reverse propagation process. To invert the forward process corruption: 277

- 278
- 279

$$p_{\theta}\left(R_{\rm re}^{g-1}|R_{\rm re}^g\right) = N\left(\mu_{\theta}\left(R_{\rm re}^g\right), \Sigma_{\theta}\left(R_{\rm re}^g\right)\right), \tag{4}$$

280 where neural networks predict the statistical properties of p. By reparameterizing as a noise prediction 281 network, the model can be trained using the simple mean squared error between the predicted noise 282  $\hat{R}_{re}^{g}$  and the sampled gaussian noise  $R_{re}^{g}$ :  $L_{simple}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{R}_{re}^{g} - R_{re}^{g})^{2}$ . However, to train the diffusion model with learned reverse process covariance, the full KL divergence term needs to be optimized. 283 We follow diffusion models approach (Dhariwal and Nichol, 2021): train first with  $L_{simple}(\theta)$ , then 284 with the full L. Once p is trained, new token can be sampled by initializing  $R_{re}^g \sim N(0,I)$  and sampling 285  $R_{re}^{g-1} \sim p(R_{re}^{g-1} | R_{re}^g)$  using the reparameterization trick. 286

287 Overall, tokens  $R_m$  processed in the initial stage as  $R_{re}$  are passed to a series of transformer blocks for 288 further refinement. These blocks effectively capture the relationships between trajectory information 289 and tokens. Regarding noisy image input processing, the diffusion transformer employs specific 290 attention mechanisms and loss functions to minimize the impact of noise on model performance, 291 ensuring robust operation in noisy environments. To incorporate trajectory labels  $T_r$  and denoising 292 time steps  $v_d$  as additional control conditions, we feed them as supplementary inputs alongside token 293 embeddings into the transformer blocks. This enables the model to dynamically adjust its processing based on these conditions, thereby better adapting to various trajectory control requirements. In the 294 end, the trained diffusion-based world model successfully transforms pure noise and trajectory labels 295  $T_r$  into  $T_o \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times c \times h \times w \times t}$ , which are eventually decoded into  $R_o$  through the 3D decoder. 296

#### 4 **EXPERIMENTS**

As the first 4D occupancy world model in the field of autonomous driving, OccSora offers a deeper 299 understanding of the relationship between autonomous driving scenes and vehicle trajectories without 300 requiring any input of 3D bounding boxes, maps, or historical information. It can construct a longtime sequence world model that adheres to physical laws. We have conducted a series of quantitative experiments and visualizations to illustrate this.

301

297

298

# 4.1 IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

306 Our experiments are conducted on the widely used nuScenes-Occupancy dataset (Caesar et al., 307 2020), which is currently one of the most mainstream and standard datasets, supporting many well-308 known research studies (Hu et al., 2023b; Wang et al., 2023c). For the OccSora-Base network, we 309 applied three rounds of compression to 32 consecutive frames and increased its channel dimension 310 to 128. Subsequently, we conducted further comparative and ablation experiments under different components and trajectory scenarios. We trained using the AdamW optimizer with an initial learning 311 rate set to  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  and a weight decay of 0.01. Using 8 NVIDIA GeForce A100 GPUs, we set a 312 batch size of 2 per GPU. For the training of the 4D occupancy scene tokenizer, we needed about 313 42GB of memory per GPU to train for 150 epochs, which took 50.6 hours. For the diffusion-based 314 world model, we needed about 47GB of memory per GPU to train for 1,200,000 steps, which took 315 108 hours. We used the Fréchet distance between the spatial-temporal latent representations, referred 316 to as FOD, as the evaluation metric for the generation.

317

#### 318 4.2 4D OCCUPANCY RECONSTRUCTION

319 The compression and reconstruction of 4D occupancy are essential for learning the latent spatiotempo-320 ral correlations and features necessary for image generation. Unlike traditional models for video and 321 image processing, OccSora operates one dimension higher than occupancy maps for single frames and two dimensions higher than images. Therefore, achieving efficient compression and accurate 322 reconstruction is paramount. Figure 5 depicts the ground truth and reconstruction of the occupancy. 323 We also conducted a quantitative analysis of 4D occupancy reconstruction. OccSora outperforms

 To
 Ti
 <td

Figure 5: Visualization of reconstruction of the 4D occupancy scene tokenizer. OccSora outperforms OccWorld under the same compression conditions, whether at a high compression ratio of 512x or a low compression ratio of 16x.

Table 1: The quantitative analysis of 4D occupancy reconstruction. We compressed OccSora and OccWorld at a 16x compression ratio (input dimensions: 200x200x6, output dimensions: 50x50x6) and at a 512x compression ratio (input dimensions: 200x200x32, output dimensions: 25x25x4). In terms of both the high compression ratio of 512x and the low compression ratio of 16x, the quantitative results of OccSora outperform those of OccWorld under the same compression conditions.



Figure 6: The visualization of the gradual generation of accurate scenes sequence as the model undergoes iterative training.

the existing method (OccWorld (Zheng et al., 2023)) by a large margin under both high and low compression rates. This is because OccSora further considers temporal interactions for 4D occupancy reconstruction, which is important in the autonomous driving scenario, as shown in Table 1.

368 4.3 4D OCCUPANCY GENERATION

In the diffusion-based world model for the 4D occupancy generation task, we used tokens generated by the OccSora model, trained with 32 frames, as input for our generation experiments. In Figure 6, we present the visual results of across training iterations, from 10,000 to 1,200,000 steps. These visual results indicate that as the number of training iterations increases, the accuracy of the OccSora model continuously improves, demonstrating the generation of coherent scenes.

Trajectory Video Generation. OccSora has the capability to generate various dynamic scenes based
 on different input trajectories, thus learning the relationship between ego vehicle trajectories and
 scene evolution in autonomous driving. As shown in Figure 7, we input different vehicle trajectory
 motion patterns into the model, demonstrating the 4D occupancy for go straight, turning right, and
 motionless. We conducted experiments at different scales for generating trajectories, revealing that

T=15 T=0 T=20 T=10 T=5 (0.966,0.033) (0.801,0.201) (0.653.0.352)(0.502,0.507) (0.340, 0.669)Scene 1 Go Straigh FID: 8.902 Position (x, y) (0.003,0.008) (0.226,0.69 0.071.0.238 (0.255.0.750)Scene 1 Turning Right FID: 10.886 Position (x, y) (0.000,0.000) (0.000,0.000) (0.000.0.000) (0.000.0.000) 🚄 (0.000.0.000)Scene 1 1 Motionless FID: 5.257 Position (x, y) 2

Figure 7: 4D occupancy generation under different input trajectories. From top to bottom, there is go straight, turning right, and motionless, with each scene generation corresponding to the trajectory, ensuring logical coherence and continuity.



Figure 8: Generating diverse continuous scenes under trajectory control. The generated scenes exhibit diversity while maintaining the stability of the original trajectory control.

Table 2: **Results of ablative evaluation on different components.** We quantitatively evaluated the impact of different compression rates, components, and channel dimensions on the reconstruction and generation results through controlled variables.

410									
411	Input Size	Token Size	Channel	Class	way. embed.	Trajectory	IoU	mIoU	FOD
412	32x200x200	128x4x25x25	8	1	✓	1	37.03	27.42	8.34
413	32x200x200	128x4x25x25	8	1	×	1	37.03	27.42	87.26
414	32x200x200	128x4x25x25	8	1	1	×	37.03	27.42	17.48
445	32x200x200	128x4x25x25	4	1	1	1	29.67	23.21	34.24
415	32x200x200	128x8x50x50	8	1	1	1	32.91	24.4	72.32
416	12x200x200	64x3x50x50	8	~	1	1	26.73	14.12	187.78
417	12x200x200	64x3x25x25	8	1	1	1	22.423	9.274	270.23
418	12x200x200	32x3x25x25	8	1	1	✓	13.595	3.847	465.18

419

378

379

380

381

382

384

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393 394

397

399 400 401

402 403 404

405

406 407

408

409

the FOD score is lowest for stationary scenes and higher for curved scene, indicating the complexity 420 of continuously modeling curved motion scenes and the simplicity of modeling stationary scenes. 421

422 Scene Video Generation. Diversity in scenes is crucial under reasonable trajectory control. We tested the reconstruction of 4D occupancy scenes for different scenarios under three trajectories to 423 verify the generalization performance of generating scenes under controllable trajectories. In Figure 424 8, the left and right parts respectively demonstrate the capability to generate different scenes under 425 the same trajectory. In the reconstructed scenes, surrounding trees and road environments exhibit 426 random variations while still maintaining the logic of the original trajectory, showcasing the model's 427 ability to maintain robustness in generating scenes corresponding to the original trajectory amidst its 428 generalization across different scenarios. 429

4.4 ABLATION AND ANALYSIS 430

Analysis of the Tokenizer and Embeddings. We conducted an ablation of the proposed components 431 including different compression scales, the number of class tokenizer discretizations, waypoint embed-



Figure 9: The denoising ratios under different trajectories or denoising steps. Denoising steps and trajectories have a minor impact on the quality of generation, while denoising ratios have a significant effect.

Table 3: The quantitative analysis of different scales regarding denoising steps and denoising rates. Denoising steps have a relatively minor impact on the model, whereas denoising rates and model scales significantly affect the quality of the generated outputs.

Sten	Input Size	Token Size			FOI	)		
otep	input bize	Token bize	10%	30%	50%	70%	90%	100%
10	32x200x200	128x4x25x25	49863	34927	17630	339	42	9.1
100	32x200x200	128x4x25x25	53297	29521	19471	1084	72	10.08
1000	32x200x200	128x4x25x25	32171	10284	5924	591	17	8.94
10	12x200x200	64x3x50x50	71293	54625	5644	7416	742	431
100	12x200x200	64x3x50x50	81274	53431	45346	3161	456	446
1000	12x200x200	64x3x50x50	43631	33415	17431	4366	379	353

dings, and vehicle trajectory embeddings, as shown in Table 2. When the number of class tokenizer
discretizations was reduced from 8 to 4, the reconstruction accuracy dropped by approximately 18%.
The FOD score also declined after removing the waypoint embeddings. Without position embeddings,
the generated scenes lacked motion control and displayed almost linear movement patterns influenced
by the data distribution. Additionally, at lower compression ratios, although the reconstruction performance was better compared to higher compression ratios, the lack of higher-dimensional feature
correlations prevented the generation of effective scenes.

Analysis of the Generation Steps. The total number of denoising steps and the denoising rate can affect the generation quality to some extent. As shown in Figure 9, as the denoising rate increases, the generated scenes become progressively clearer. According to the quantitative results in Table 3, increasing the total number of denoising steps can improve generation accuracy to a certain extent. However, the generation quality is much more significantly influenced by the model's token size and the number of channels than by the total number of denoising steps.

Analysis of the 4D occupancy Reconstruction. To understand the effectiveness of temporal modeling, we further conduct ablation experiments on OccSora with different compression strategies. We analyze the effect of different compression ratios. We observe that the reconstruction performance generally improves as the compression ratio decreases. This is reasonable since a lower compression rate results in less information loss yet poses more challenges (e.g., taking up more memory) for generation. We therefore select the largest compression rate (512) for long occupancy video generation, as shown in Table 4. This unified temporal compression effectively captures the dynamic changes of various elements, improving long-sequence modeling capabilities compared to progressive autoregressive methods. 

Analysis of the 4D occupancy prediction. We compared and quantitatively evaluated our proposed
OccSora model against other models. We follow existing methods to employ a history of 2 seconds
to predict occupancy for the upcoming 3 seconds. We compare OccSora with existing methods
including 4D OCC (Khurana et al., 2023), Copilot4D (Zhang et al., 2023a), and OccWorld (Zheng
et al., 2023) and report mIoU and IoU at different time stamps in the Table 5. We also provide
visualizations in Figure 10. We observe major improvements of our OccSora over existing methods,
demonstrating the superiority of spatial-temporal 4D occupancy modeling and diffusion-based future generation.

Table 4: The quantitative analysis of 4D occupancy reconstruction of different compression ratios. We conducted an ablation study on the reconstruction performance of OccSora under different compression ratios, gradually increasing from a lower compression ratio (16x) to a higher ratio (512x). The quantitative results show that as the compression ratio increases, the reconstruction performance gradually decreases. 

491 492 493 494 495	Method	Ratio	IoU	mIoU	Others	barrier	bicycle	pus	car	const. veh.	motorcycle	pedestrian	traffic cone	trailer	truck	drive. suf.	other flat	sidewalk	terrain	man made	vegetation
496	OccSora	16	71.9	69.0	47.7	73.5	62.8	79.6	72.2	50.1	73.7	78.9	41.7	63.8	73.8	84.4	83.6	77.3	3 75.6	54.1	48.5
497	OccSora	32	65.6	69.4	57.6	72.4	75.4	71.1	70.5	53.1	76.0	79.2	78.8	59.9	68.6	84.6	81.2	73.0	69.5	56.1	48.8
498	OccSora	64	54.5	39.6	26.4	34.8	0.0	57.9	50.0	41.5	18.7	35.0	8.1	27.9	51.6	69.7	63.2	59.1	53.1	27.0	33.2
499	OccSora	128	45.8	29.9	15.7	23.9	0.0	47.0	39.1	34.0	0.0	15.3	0.4	24.0	43.3	47.2	46.7	45.7	41.4	19.6	28.5
500	OccSora	256	40.9	27.1	22.9	29.8	0.0	50.5	44.1	38.4	0.0	17.2	0.1	19.6	47.4	42.0	42.7	51.5	5 47.0	24.9	30.8
501	OccSora	512	37.0	27.4	11.7	22.6	0.0	34.6	29.0	16.6	8.7	11.5	3.5	20.1	29.0	61.3	38.7	36.5	5 31.1	12.0	18.4

Table 5: The comparison of OccSora with other models focuses on occupancy prediction using historical occupancy as a condition for future generations. Following existing methods. We set the compression ratio to match the OccWorld configuration (processing each frame separately and compressing from 200x200 to 50x50) and employ a 2-second history to predict occupancy for the following 3 seconds. Quantitative results show that OccSora outperforms other models.

Method	Input		r	nIoU (%	)				IoU (%)		
Method	Input	Os	1s	2s	3s	Avg.	Os	1s	2s	3s	Avg.
4D OCC	4D-Occ	66.38	15.66	11.85	8.78	12.10	62.29	26.79	20.88	17.93	21.87
Copilot4D	4D-Occ	66.38	20.32	13.56	9.34	14.41	62.29	29.45	22.57	18.28	23.43
OccWorld	4D-Occ	66.38	25.78	15.14	10.51	17.14	62.29	34.63	25.07	20.18	26.63
OccSora	4D-Occ	66.97	32.77	22.04	14.40	23.07	68.78	41.39	33.68	29.97	35.01



Figure 10: Prediction results of OccSora by using past frames as conditions. We follow existing methods to employ a history of 2 seconds to predict occupancy for the upcoming 3 seconds.

#### **CONCLUSION AND LIMITATIONS**

In this paper, we have introduced a framework for generating 4D occupancy to simulate 3D world development in autonomous driving. Using a 4D scene tokenizer, we obtain compact representations for input and achieve high-quality reconstruction for long-sequence occupancy videos. Then, we learn a diffusion transformer on the spatiotemporal representations and generate 4D occupancy conditioned on a trajectory prompt. Through experiments on the nuScenes dataset, we demonstrate accurate scene evolution. In the future, we will investigate more refined 4D occupancy world models and explore the possibilities of end-to-end autonomous driving under closed-loop data environments.

**Limitations.** The advantage of a 4D occupancy world model lies in its ability to establish an understanding of the relationship between scenes and motion. However, due to limitations in the granularity of voxel data within the dataset, constructing more finely detailed 4D scenes is not feasible. Moreover, using the real-world occupancy of the first frame to explore long-term 4D occupancy prediction tasks with controllable trajectories is an important direction for future research.

# 6 CODE OF ETHICS AND ETHICS STATEMENT

All authors of this submission have read and adhered to the ICLR Code of Ethics. We acknowledge compliance with the ethical standards in all aspects of this work, including submission, review, and discussion. This research follows ethical practices concerning data privacy, fairness, and transparency. There are no conflicts of interest, and no involvement of human subjects or harmful applications.

# 7 REPRODUCIBILITY STATEMENT

We have made efforts to ensure the reproducibility of our results. Relevant sections of the paper, appendix, and supplementary materials provide clear descriptions of the model, algorithms, datasets, and data processing steps. Anonymous source code will also be provided as part of the supplementary materials to facilitate reproducibility.

# REFERENCES

540

541 542

543

544

545

546 547

548 549

550

551

552

553 554

555

566

567

570

571

572

578

579

580

- Tim Brooks, Bill Peebles, Connor Holmes, Will DePue, Yufei Guo, Li Jing, David Schnurr, Joe
   Taylor, Troy Luhman, Eric Luhman, Clarence Ng, Ricky Wang, and Aditya Ramesh. Video
   generation models as world simulators. 2024. URL https://openai.com/research/
   video-generation-models-as-world-simulators.
- Holger Caesar, Varun Bankiti, Alex H Lang, Sourabh Vora, Venice Erin Liong, Qiang Xu, Anush Krishnan,
   Yu Pan, Giancarlo Baldan, and Oscar Beijbom. nuscenes: A multimodal dataset for autonomous driving. In
   *In CVPR*, pages 11621–11631, 2020.
- 563
   564
   564
   565
   Cheng Chang, Jiawei Zhang, Kunpeng Zhang, Wenqin Zhong, Xinyu Peng, Shen Li, and Li Li. Bev-v2x: cooperative birds-eye-view fusion and grid occupancy prediction via v2x-based data sharing. *IEEE Transactions* on Intelligent Vehicles, 2023.
  - Xuanyao Chen, Tianyuan Zhang, Yue Wang, Yilun Wang, and Hang Zhao. Futr3d: A unified sensor fusion framework for 3d detection. In *In CVPR*, pages 172–181, 2023.
- 569 Prafulla Dhariwal and Alex Nichol. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis, 2021.
  - Daocheng Fu, Xin Li, Licheng Wen, Min Dou, Pinlong Cai, Botian Shi, and Yu Qiao. Drive like a human: Rethinking autonomous driving with large language models. In *In WACV*, pages 910–919, 2024.
- Ruiyuan Gao, Kai Chen, Enze Xie, Lanqing Hong, Zhenguo Li, Dit-Yan Yeung, and Qiang Xu. Magicdrive:
  Street view generation with diverse 3d geometry control. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.02601*, 2023.
- Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. Generative adversarial networks. *Communications of the ACM*, 63(11): 139–144, 2020.
  - David Ha and Jürgen Schmidhuber. World models. 2018. doi: 10.5281/ZENODO.1207631. URL https: //zenodo.org/record/1207631.
- Anthony Hu, Lloyd Russell, Hudson Yeo, Zak Murez, George Fedoseev, Alex Kendall, Jamie Shotton, and Gian-luca Corrado. Gaia-1: A generative world model for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.17080*, 2023a.
- Yihan Hu, Jiazhi Yang, Li Chen, Keyu Li, Chonghao Sima, Xizhou Zhu, Siqi Chai, Senyao Du, Tianwei Lin,
   Wenhai Wang, et al. Planning-oriented autonomous driving. In *In CVPR*, pages 17853–17862, 2023b.
- Yuanhui Huang, Wenzhao Zheng, Yunpeng Zhang, Jie Zhou, and Jiwen Lu. Tri-perspective view for vision-based 3d semantic occupancy prediction. In *In CVPR*, pages 9223–9232, 2023a.
- Zhiyu Huang, Haochen Liu, Jingda Wu, and Chen Lv. Differentiable integrated motion prediction and planning
   with learnable cost function for autonomous driving. *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems*, 2023b.
- Xiaosong Jia, Penghao Wu, Li Chen, Jiangwei Xie, Conghui He, Junchi Yan, and Hongyang Li. Think
   twice before driving: Towards scalable decoders for end-to-end autonomous driving. In *In CVPR*, pages 21983–21994, 2023.

594	Tarasha Khurana Peiyun Hu David Held and Deva Ramanan. Point cloud forecasting as a proxy for 4d
595	occupancy forecasting. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern
596	Recognition, pages 1116–1124, 2023.
597	
598	Jumin Lee, Sebin Lee, Changho Jo, Woobin Im, Juhyeong Seon, and Sung-Eui Yoon. Semcity: Semantic scene
599	generation with triplane diffusion. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.0/773, 2024.
600	Yiming Li, Zhiding Yu, Christopher Choy, Chaowei Xiao, Jose M Alvarez, Sanja Fidler, Chen Feng, and Anima
601	Anandkumar. Voxformer: Sparse voxel transformer for camera-based 3d semantic scene completion. In In
602	<i>CVPR</i> , pages 9087–9098, 2023.
603	Xinhao Liu Mooniun Gong Oi Fang Haoyu Xie Yiming Li Hang Zhao and Chen Feng. Lidar-based 4d
604	occupancy completion and forecasting. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.11239, 2023a.
605	Yuheng Liu, Xinke Li, Xueting Li, Lu Oi, Chongshou Li, and Ming-Hsuan Yang. Pyramid diffusion for fine 3d
606 607	large scene generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.12085, 2023b.
608	Zhijian Liu, Haotian Tang, Alexander Amini, Xinyu Yang, Huizi Mao, Daniela L Rus, and Song Han. Bevfusion:
609	Multi-task multi-sensor fusion with unified bird's-eye view representation. In <i>In ICRA</i> , pages 2774–2781. IEEE, 2023c.
610	
611 612	Xinzhu Ma, Wanli Ouyang, Andrea Simonelli, and Elisa Ricci. 3d object detection from images for autonomous driving: a survey. <i>IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence</i> , 2023.
613	Jiageng Mao, Shaoshuai Shi, Xiaogang Wang, and Hongsheng Li. 3d object detection for autonomous driving:
614	A comprehensive survey. International Journal of Computer Vision, 131(8):1909–1963, 2023.
615	Lars Mescheder Michael Oechsle, Michael Niemever, Sebastian Nowozin, and Andreas Geiger, Occupancy
616	networks: Learning 3d reconstruction in function space. In <i>In CVPR</i> , pages 4460–4470, 2019
617	
618	Chen Min, Dawei Zhao, Liang Xiao, Jian Zhao, Xinli Xu, Zheng Zhu, Lei Jin, Jianshu Li, Yulan Guo, Junliang
619	Xing, et al. Driveworld: 4d pre-trained scene understanding via world models for autonomous driving. <i>arXiv</i>
620	preprint urxiv.2405.04590, 2024.
621	Sajjad Mozaffari, Omar Y Al-Jarrah, Mehrdad Dianati, Paul Jennings, and Alexandros Mouzakitis. Deep
622	learning-based vehicle behavior prediction for autonomous driving applications: A review. IEEE Transactions
623	on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 23(1):33–47, 2020.
624	William Peebles and Saining Xie. Scalable diffusion models with transformers. arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.09748.
625	2022.
626	
627	william Peebles and Saining Xie. Scalable diffusion models with transformers. In In ICCV, pages 4195–4205,
628	2025.
629	Chonghao Sima, Wenwen Tong, Tai Wang, Li Chen, Silei Wu, Hanming Deng, Yi Gu, Lewei Lu, Ping Luo,
630	Dahua Lin, and Hongyang Li. Scene as occupancy. 2023.
631	Gurpreet Singh Soumvaiit Gupta Matthew Lease and Clint Dawson Range-net: A high precision streaming
632	svd for big data applications. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.14226, 2020.
633	
634	Xiaoyu Tian, Tao Jiang, Longtei Yun, Yucheng Mao, Huitong Yang, Yue Wang, Yilun Wang, and Hang Zhao.
635	Occ3d: A large-scale 3d occupancy prediction benchmark for autonomous driving. In NeurIPS, 36, 2024.
636	Aaron Van Den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, et al. Neural discrete representation learning. In NeurIPS, 30, 2017.
637	Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser,
638	and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. In NeurIPS, 30, 2017.
639	Cussing Wang Thomsday Wang Din Tors Lile: 74 and Vieneway D. D. 'L. D. L.C. M. C.
640	Generative multi-modal 3d occupancy prediction for autonomous driving arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.15014
641	2024a.
642	
643	Pengqin Wang, Meixin Zhu, Hongliang Lu, Hui Zhong, Xianda Chen, Shaojie Shen, Xuesong Wang, and Yinhai
644	wang. Bevgpt: Generative pre-trained large model for autonomous driving prediction, decision-making, and
645	planning. <i>urxiv preprint urxiv.2510.10557</i> , 2025a.
646	Tianqi Wang, Sukmin Kim, Ji Wenxuan, Enze Xie, Chongjian Ge, Junsong Chen, Zhenguo Li, and Ping Luo.
647	Deepaccident: A motion and accident prediction benchmark for v2x autonomous driving. In <i>In AAAI</i> , volume 38, pages 5599–5606, 2024b.

648 649	Xiaofeng Wang, Zheng Zhu, Guan Huang, Xinze Chen, and Jiwen Lu. Drivedreamer: Towards real-world-driven world models for autonomous driving. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.09777</i> , 2023b.
650 651	Xiaofeng Wang, Zheng Zhu, Wenbo Xu, Yunpeng Zhang, Yi Wei, Xu Chi, Yun Ye, Dalong Du, Jiwen Lu, and Xingang Wang. Openoccupancy: A large scale benchmark for surrounding semantic occupancy perception.
653	In In ICCV, pages 17850–17859, 2023c.
654 655	Yi Wei, Linqing Zhao, Wenzhao Zheng, Zheng Zhu, Jie Zhou, and Jiwen Lu. Surroundocc: Multi-camera 3d occupancy prediction for autonomous driving. In <i>In ICCV</i> , pages 21729–21740, 2023.
656	Wilson Van Vunzhi Zhang Pieter Abbeel and Aravind Srinivas, Videognt: Video generation using va-vae and
657	transformers, 2021.
658	Chenyu Yang Yuntao Chen Hao Tian Chenyin Tao Yizhou Zhu, Zhaoyiang Zhang, Gao Huang, Hongyang Li
659 660	Yu Qiao, Lewei Lu, et al. Bevformer v2: Adapting modern image backbones to bird's-eye-view recognition via perspective supervision. In <i>In CVPR</i> , pages 17830–17839, 2023a.
661	Lei Vere Veichere Vertre Len Li Vere Li Were Viere 7kere end Dane Chen Daubeicht A
662 663	robust framework for vision-based roadside 3d object detection. In <i>In CVPR</i> , pages 21611–21620, 2023b.
664 665	Haibao Yu, Yingjuan Tang, Enze Xie, Jilei Mao, Ping Luo, and Zaiqing Nie. Flow-based feature fusion for vehicle-infrastructure cooperative 3d object detection. <i>In NeurIPS</i> , 36, 2024.
666	Ji Zhang and Yiran Ding. Occfusion: Depth estimation free multi-sensor fusion for 3d occupancy prediction.
667	arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.05329, 2024.
660	Lunjun Zhang, Yuwen Xiong, Ze Yang, Sergio Casas, Rui Hu, and Raquel Urtasun. Learning unsupervised
670	world models for autonomous driving via discrete diffusion. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.01017, 2023a.
671	Yunpeng Zhang, Zheng Zhu, Wenzhao Zheng, Junije Huang, Guan Huang, Jie Zhou, and Jiwen Lu. Beverse:
672	Unified perception and prediction in birds-eye-view for vision-centric autonomous driving, 2022.
673	Yunneng Zhang, Zheng Zhu, and Dalong Du. Oceformer: Dual-path transformer for vision-based 3d semantic
674	occupancy prediction. In <i>In ICCV</i> , pages 9433–9443, 2023b.
675	Vuen Zheng, Lu Zheng, Jiajun Dang, and Vanyang Zhang. Bay radar, hidiractional radar somera fusion for 2d
676 677	object detection. JUSTC, 54(1):0101–1, 2024.
678 679	Wenzhao Zheng, Weiliang Chen, Yuanhui Huang, Borui Zhang, Yueqi Duan, and Jiwen Lu. Occworld: Learning a 3d occupancy world model for autonomous driving. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.16038</i> , 2023.
680 681	Wenzhao Zheng, Ruiqi Song, Xianda Guo, and Long Chen. Genad: Generative end-to-end autonomous driving. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.11502, 2024.
682	
683 684	Zixiang Zhou, Yang Zhang, and Hassan Foroosh. Panoptic-polarnet: Proposal-free lidar point cloud panoptic segmentation. In <i>In CVPR</i> , pages 13194–13203, 2021.
685	
686	
687	
688	
689	
690	
602	
693	
694	
695	
696	
697	
698	
699	
700	
701	

#### 702 APPENDIX 8 703

#### 704 **8.1** TRAINING DETAILS 705

706 In Table 6, we provide detailed information about each step of our OccSora-Base model. The bolded 707 sections indicate the dimensions of the model's intermediate outputs at the 4D occupancy scene tokenizer stage and the inputs and generated outputs of the diffusion-based world model. Similarly, 708 for OccSora-Small and OccSora-Tiny, we also present the model's input and compression sizes, as 709 shown in Table 7. Additionally, the reconstruction accuracy at each frame is also displayed in Table 710 8. 711

712 Table 6: Basic structure of the OccSora-Base network. The OccSora-Base network illustrates the 713 design and dimensional changes of critical steps in two stages. The bolded sections indicate the flow 714 of tokens from the intermediate 4D occupancy scene tokenizer stage into the diffusion-based world 715 model, and the output of the diffusion-based world model feeding back into the 4D occupancy scene 716 tokenizer stage.

Model	Step	Input dimension	Layer	Output dimension
OccSora-Base	First Step	32x200x200x16	Class Embedding	32x200x200x16x8
OccSora-Base	First Step	(16x8)x32x200x200	3D Encoder Down Sample 1	256x100x100x16
OccSora-Base	First Step	256x100x100x16	3D Encoder Down Sample 2	256x50x50x8
OccSora-Base	First Step	256x50x50x8	3D Encoder Down Sample 3	512x25x25x4
OccSora-Base	First Step	512x25x25x4	3D Encoder Attention	256x25x25x4
OccSora-Base	First Step	256x25x25x4	3D Encoder Attention	128x25x25x4
OccSora-Base	First Step	128x25x25x4	3D Decoder Up Sample 1	256x50x50x8
OccSora-Base	First Step	256x50x50x8	3D Decoder Up Sample 2	256x100x100x16
OccSora-Base	First Step	256x100x100x16	3D Decoder Up Sample 3	128x200x200x32
OccSora-Base	First Step	128x200x200x32	Reshape Out	32x200x200x16x8
OccSora-Base	Second Step	128x25x25x4	Reshape	128x2500
OccSora-Base	Second Step	128x2500	Pos Embedding	128x2500
OccSora-Base	Second Step-Other	32x2	MLP	128x2500
OccSora-Base	Second Step-Other	Time Embedding	128x2500	
OccSora-Base	Second Step-Fuse	128x2500	Fuse	128x2500
OccSora-Base	Second Step	128x2500	DiT(FN-Attention)	128x2500
OccSora-Base	Second Step	128x2500	Reshape	128x25x25x4

734 Table 7: Three different SoraOcc network structures and their compression dimensions. In 735 OccSora-base, we present the model with the best performance, featuring 32 frames and 128 layers 736 of channels. On the other hand, OccSora-Small and OccSora-Tiny showcase models with 12 frames and channel sizes of 64 and 32 layers, respectively. 737

9	Method	Step	Original size	Token size	Reshape size
0	OccSora-Base	First Step	32x200x200	128x4x25x25	-
1	OccSora-Small	First Step	12x200x200	64x3x25x25	-
2	OccSora-Tiny	First Step	12x200x200	32x3x25x25	-
2	OccSora-Base	Second Step	-	128x4x25x25	128x2500
-	OccSora-Small	Second Step	-	64x3x25x25	128x1875
+	OccSora-Tiny	Second Step	-	32x3x25x25	128x1875

<sup>746</sup> 747

7/9

717

Table 8: The scene reconstruction capabilities of OccSora models across various frames. We demonstrate the accuracy of three OccSora models in reconstruction tasks across different frames.

Method	Metric	Original size	Token size	Туре	0S	1 <b>S</b>	2S	3S	4S	5S	6S	7S	8S	9S
OccSora-Base	IoU	12x200x200	64x3x25x25	3D	32.9	37.0	37.4	35.4	36.0	37.4	37.8	35.9	36.1	37.
OccSora-Small	IoU	32x200x200	128x4x25x25	3D	22.4	26.1	27.8	28.7	29.3	28.8	27.0	25.8	26.2	27.
OccSora-Tiny	IoU	12x200x200	32x3x25x25	3D	13.5	14.8	15.8	16.6	17.4	18.0	18.1	18.3	18.4	18.
OccSora-Base	mIoU	12x200x200	64x3x25x25	3D	23.0	27.4	27.3	25.3	25.8	27.7	27.2	25.4	25.6	27.
OccSora-Small	mIoU	32x200x200	128x4x25x25	3D	9.2	11.2	13.3	14.4	15.2	14.2	12.4	11.4	11.6	12.
OccSora-Tiny	mIoU	12x200x200	32x3x25x25	3D	3.8	4.3	4.7	5.1	5.4	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6



Figure 11: **Visualizations of generating longer sequences**(**20seconds**). Scenes with a full duration of 20 seconds were selected for training to demonstrate the model's ability to generate extended 4D occupancy. The model produces consistent high-quality scenes with a comparable FOD score.

Table 9: Performance of OccSora on the Occ3D-Waymo. We tested the proposed algorithm on
 the Occ3D-Waymo, where (CRLO) represents the categories of curb, road, lane marker, and other
 ground.



Figure 12: Figure shows the visualization results of our model's predictions on the Occ3D-Waymo. From top to bottom, the rows represent the ground truth, predictions under 16x compression, and predictions under 512x compression, all conducted under the same experimental conditions as OccSora.

804

797

798

799

800

771

772

773 774

8.2 GENERATING LONGER SEQUENCES

Our framework can readily generalize to longer sequences by modifying the input size of the 4D occupancy scene tokenizer. We selected 16 seconds due to the limitations of the nuScenes data. We have selected scenes with the full length of 20 seconds for training to demonstrate our model's ability to generate longer 4D occupancy, as shown in Figure 11. We see that our model still generates consistent scenes with high quality and comparable FOD. We will test our model on longer sequences like 40 seconds with newly released data. Table 10: Prediction comparison between the autoregressive and diffusion-based methods. It can
be observed that the autoregressive method experiences an increase in inference time as the number
of frames grows, while the generative model maintains consistent inference time due to its parallel
processing capability. This highlights the temporal efficiency advantage of OccSora, particularly for
long-sequence generation tasks.

Model	1 Frame	8 Frames	16 Frames	32 Frames
OccWorld	27 ms	220 ms	431 ms	855 ms
OccSora	49 ms	52 ms	51 ms	57 ms

Table 11: **The experimental settings and their corresponding mIoU values are summarized.** It shows how the input size, model size, and mIoU change with the number of frames under a fixed compression ratio and sampling iterations.

Frame	Sampling	Compression	Input	Model	mIoU
	Iterations	Ratio	Size	Size	(%)
1	50	64	200x200x1	25x25x1	33.96
8	50	64	200x200x8	25x25x8	19.71
16	50	64	200x200x16	25x25x16	13.68
32	50	64	200x200x32	25x25x32	8.42

## 8.3 GENERALIZATION ACROSS OCC3D-WAYMOS

To analyze the differences in model performance across different datasets, we conducted training and testing on the Occ3D-Waymo (Tian et al., 2024), with visualization results shown in Figure 12. The quantitative results in Table 9 demonstrate the model's high accuracy on the Occ3D-Waymo, showcasing its robustness and adaptability.

8.4 TEMPORAL-SPATIAL EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS

OccSora operates as a diffusion-based generative framework and OccWorld functions as an au-toregressive approach; the two exhibit substantial differences in generation efficiency. OccWorld generates data sequentially for each timestep, resulting in a total generation time of  $T_{AR} = T \cdot t_{AR}$ with a time complexity of O(T), implying that generation time increases linearly with the sequence length T. In contrast, OccSora generates the entire sequence in parallel through denoising steps, with a total generation time of  $T_{GM} = N \cdot t_{GM}$  and a time complexity of O(1). Here, the number of denoising steps N is typically much smaller than T. As a result, when  $T \gg N$ , OccSora demonstrates significantly higher temporal efficiency than OccWorld, especially in tasks requiring long-sequence generation. Quantitative analyses are provided in Table 10. Additionally, considering that the test time varies depending on the experimental setup, we provide detailed explanations of the experimental configurations in Table 11. This includes the number of sampling iterations, compression ratio, input dimensions, model dimensions, and evaluation metrics. 

## 8.5 PREDICTION COMPARISON WITH OCCWORLD

We present a visual comparison of OccSora and OccWorld on the prediction task, as shown in Figure 13. From top to bottom, the figure displays the ground truth followed by the prediction results of the two models.

## 8.6 DIVERSE DATA BALANCING

We separated the straight-driving and turning trajectories in the NuScenes dataset (Caesar et al., 2020)and balanced the data volumes between the two categories. The visualization 14 demonstrate that using the balanced dataset leads to improved performance in predicting steering trajectories.



Figure 13: **Provides a visual comparison between OccSora and OccWorld on the prediction task.** From top to bottom, show the ground truth and the prediction results of the two models. The visualization highlights the differences in the predictive capabilities of each method under the same experimental conditions.



Figure 14: The difference in generation quality before and after balancing the dataset. The improved quality of the generated trajectories demonstrates the effectiveness of the balanced dataset in enhancing model performance.

# 8.7 QUANTIZERS ABLATION STUDY

917 To explore the relationship between quantizers, a codebook in the tokenizer, and the continuous compression model, we conducted an ablation study. In the VAE experiments, we removed the VQ



Figure 15: The visualization results of the VAE experiment after removing the VQ and codebook. The image demonstrates the effectiveness of the approach in reconstructing 4D Occupancy, with visual comparisons showing its performance.

Table 12: The table presents the quantitative results of the VAE experiment, highlighting the performance of the approach in reconstructing 4D Occupancy. The numerical values indicate the accuracy and effectiveness of the method under the experimental conditions.

938 939 940 941 942	Method	Ratio	IoU	mIoU	Others	barrier	bicycle	pus	car	const. veh.	motorcycle	pedestrian	traffic cone	trailer	truck	drive. suf.	<ul> <li>other flat</li> </ul>	sidewalk	terrain	man made	vegetation
943 077	OccWorld	512	22.4	8.2	2.0	12.1	0.0	4.4	3.9	0.3	0.0	0.5	1.2	0.3	2.2	48.8	19.3	20.2	17.6	4.0	4.3
945	OccSora	512	37.0	27.4	11.7	22.6	0.0	34.6	29.0	16.6	8.7	11.5	3.5	20.1	29.0	61.3	38.7	36.5	31.1	12.0	18.4
946	OccSora-VAE	512	43.8	31.7	15.7	37.2	13.4	46.4	37.4	23.9	26.8	20.9	6.4	27.3	37.1	69.0	46.9	45.2	41.0	21.3	23.9

and codebook, and performed experiments under the same conditions. The visualization results are shown in Figure 15, and the quantitative results are presented in Table 12. The results demonstrate that both approaches effectively reconstruct 4D Occupancy.

#### 8.8 OCCSORA MODEL VISUALIZATION

To provide results for longer time series, we comprehensively present the generated scenes under different ego vehicle trajectory controls, namely Go Straight, Turning Right, Motionless, and Accelerate, as depicted in Figure 17. Additionally, we also showcase the equivalent control methods under different scenes in Figure 16. Furthermore, dynamic demonstrations are available in the accompanying video. 



Figure 16: **The generalization ability to generate different scenes under fixed ego vehicle trajectories.** From top to bottom, we respectively showcase the capabilities of generating different scenes under the four vehicle trajectories: Go Straight, Turning Right, Motionless, and Accelerate.

1024

1025



Figure 17: The ability to generate long time-series 4D occupancy under different trajectory
 controls. From top to bottom, we present long-term continuous scenes generated under four types of
 ego vehicle trajectories: Go Straight, Turning Right, Motionless, and Accelerate.