# ECO Decoding: Entropy-Based Control for Controllability and Fluency in Controllable Dialogue Generation

Anonymous ACL submission

## Abstract

Controllable Dialogue Generation (CDG) enables chatbots to generate responses with desired attributes, and weighted decoding methods have achieved significant success in the CDG task. However, using a fixed constant value to manage the bias of attribute probabilities makes it challenging to find an ideal control strength that satisfies both controllability and fluency. To address this issue, we propose a novel dynamic control strength method that considers the uncertainty of the model's generation and classification probabilities. Specifically, we dynamically adjust the control strength at each generation step based on the entropy of the language model's next token probabilities and the entropy of the attribute classifier's probability estimates. Experimental results on various existing models demonstrate that our decoding method achieves high control performance while maintaining fluency compared to existing decoding strategies across all models. Additionally, our approach alleviates the probability interpolation issue in multi-attribute controlled generation, yielding superior performance.

#### 1 Introduction

011

012

013

014

017

019

042

Recently, Controllable Dialogue Generation (CDG) (Zhang et al., 2023; Zeng et al., 2023) has been proposed to enhance the realism and accuracy of responses generated by conversational models, improving the user experience. CDG enables chatbots to generate responses tailored to desired attributes like emotion and dialog-act. Among studies on controllable generation, **weighted decoding** methods (Yang and Klein, 2021; Arora et al., 2022) have achieved significant success.

In the field of controllable generation, trainingbased methods such as alignment tuning and **weighted decoding** approaches (Yang and Klein, 2021; Arora et al., 2022) have achieved notable success. While alignment tuning suffers from the



Figure 1: Controllable Dialog Generation method based on dynamic weighting with Eco Decoding. By dynamically determining the weights between the language model probability distribution and the attribute control probability distribution, it is possible to perform attribute control while maintaining fluency.

disadvantage of requiring the entire model to be retrained, weighted decoding can be easily applied during the inference stage and enables the generation of controlled responses by training an attribute classifier with relatively little data. Consequently, we focused on this weighted decoding strategy to effectively generate controllable responses.

044

045

046

047

048

050

051

054

059

060

061

062

063

064

065

066

067

In weight decoding methods, generating responses controlled by desired attributes involves the adjustment of the next token probability distribution modeled by the language model. This is achieved by multiplying the attribute probability of the generated response obtained from the attribute classifier and the next token probability. In this process, the control strength is used as the exponent of the attribute probability to control attribute bias. As the control strength increases, the generated tokens become more dependent on the token rank of attribute probability.

Multiplying the attribute probability alters the probability distribution of the language model, which can affect language modeling performance. When static control strength is used, the same control probability is continuously reflected in the generated sentence, even if the sentence has already

1

100

101

102

103

104

106

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

068

received sufficient attribute control or if specific words need to be generated for fluency. This can lead to a trade-off between controllability and fluency. Furthermore, the fact that the appropriate control strength varies depending on the situation is an important issue. If this is not properly accounted for, it can lead to decreased efficiency. Figure 1 shows an example of a failed response generation with these fixed static control strength.

In this paper, we propose the Entropy-based **CO**ntrol strength decoding method, named **ECO decoding**, to resolve the aforementioned problem with static control strength. Our method can generate controlled responses that achieve high controllability as well as maintain text fluency. During the decoding process, the entropy (Shannon, 2001) of each probability distribution from language model and the attribute classification model is calculated at every generation step, and calculated entropy is used as a dynamic factor to adjust the control strength on the response probabilities at each generation step.

Specifically, with respect to the language model's probability distribution, if a particular token is assigned a high probability, which implies low entropy, it is considered contextually and syntactically appropriate. To maintain fluency, the language model's prediction is given priority. In contrast, when token probabilities are uniformly distributed, implying high entropy, the model is considered less confident, and to achieve a higher degree of control, the bias toward the attribute probabilities is increased.

This dynamic control method effectively balances the language model's fluency with the attribute classifier's controllability, thereby achieving an optimal trade-off between naturalness and the desired attribute expression in the final generated sentences. To validate our intuition, we experiment with three existing controllable generation models using the DailyDialog (Li et al., 2017) dataset. Experimental results demonstrate that ECO decoding achieves high controllability while maintaining text fluency across all models.

Our main contributions are as follows:

1. We raise the issue of static control strength in existing weighted decoding methods and propose a dynamic control strength approach to generate responses with high controllability as well as maintain fluency.

2. We show that the ECO decoding methodol-

ogy enables multi attribute control over single attribute based weighted decoding methodologies.

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

159

3. Experimental results show that the ECO decoding method outperforms the existing weighted decoding methods for all existing controllable generation models.

## 2 Related Work

## 2.1 Weighted Decoding

Controllable dialogue generation aims to generate a response,  $R = \{r_1, r_2, ..., r_N\}$ , with desired attributes, given dialogue history h and attribute c, using a pre-trained auto-regressive model (e.g. GPT2, (Radford et al., 2019), DialoGPT (Zhang et al., 2020)). Emotion and dialog-act can be attributes for controllable dialogue generation.

To condition on attribute c, the response generation given a dialogue can be formulated as follows:

$$P(R|h,c) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} P(r_i|r_{< i}, h, c)$$
(1)

Using Bayesian factorization,  $P(r_i|r_{< i}, h, c)$  can be converted into the following equation.

$$P(r_i|r_{\leq i}, h, c) \propto P(r_i|r_{\leq i}, h)P(c|r_{\leq i}, h)^{\lambda} \quad (2)$$

where the first term  $P(r_i|r_{<i}, h)$  represents the next token probability modeled by a language model, and the second term  $P(c|r_{\le i}, h)$  represents the attribute probability of the generated response obtained from the attribute classifier. In addition, control strength  $\lambda$  is added to the exponential term of the attribute probabilities to control attribute bias.

When dealing with multi-attribute control, Equation 3 can be extended by introducing the product of multiple attribute classifiers, assuming that the attributes are conditionally independent:

$$P(r_i|r_{
(3)$$

where C denotes the set of target attributes. The product of probabilities is typically implemented as the sum of logits.

# 2.2 Weighted Decoding Models

**FUDGE** Yang and Klein, 2021 trained a classification model for partial sequences through an



Figure 2: An illustration of controllable dialogue generation using the weighted decoding method.

160external attribute classifier. Specifically, for each161training example  $\{(x, c)\}$ , where x is sentence and162c is class label, the classifier is trained on all partial163sequences  $\{(x_{1:i}, c)\}$  at each step. During infer-164ence, at a given time step i, the classifier predicts165the probability that appending the top k candidate166tokens to the generated text will satisfy the attribute167c in future generations.

**Director** Arora et al., 2022 addressed the ineffi-168 ciency issue of requiring a external model during inference. It integrates the language model and 170 attribute classification functionality into a single 171 model, overcoming the inefficiency of the external 172 classifier evaluating the attribute for every candi-173 date token. To address this issue, an additional 174 classification head is introduced, which takes the 175 last hidden state as input and computes the prob-176 ability that each token in the vocabulary satisfies the specified attribute. This allows for the effective 178 incorporation of attribute information without the 179 need for a external classifier. 180

DASC Zhang et al., 2023 addressed the computa-181 tional inefficiency issues arising from dual-head ar-182 chitectures. DASC introduces Attribute Token Em-183 bedding and Attribute Semantic Embedding concepts, employing a semantic space-based weighted 185 decoding mechanism to reduce the number of parameters while improving computational efficiency. 187 Each token is associated with an embedding that 189 captures its attribute semantics, and these embeddings are projected into an attribute semantic space 190 via attribute-specific linear layers. This design fa-191 cilitates smooth control over multiple attributes and enables effective interpolation among attribute em-193

beddings, allowing more diverse range of attribute combinations.

194

196

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

224

225

226

## 3 Methodology

#### 3.1 Entropy-based Control Strength

The existing weighted decoding methods apply a fixed control strength and they are not flexible enough to handle situations where stronger or no more control is needed. In such cases, they may fail to control attribute, or even if they succeed, the fluency and grammar may degraded. To solve this problem, we propose the ECO decoding method that utilizes the entropy of the probability distribution to dynamically adjust the control strength. Dynamic control strength allows to achieve higher attribute control rates, while maintaining generation quality, including context and grammar.

Entropy is a measure of the uncertainty of a probability distribution, which is lower when the probability distribution is focused on a specific value and higher when it is more evenly distributed. Given this property, the higher the entropy of the next token probability distribution is, the more likely it is to contain a variety of plausible candidates. This is an advantageous property for exploring plausible options that satisfy desired attribute. Based on this insight, a novel mechanism of dynamically controlling strength is developed by weighting probability distributions from language models and it controls each property inversely to their entropy score. That is, distributions with lower uncertainty are more strongly reflected. Figure 2 shows how ECO decoding is working by using dynamic control strength based on both of the language model entropy and

Model	Accuracy	Rouge-1	Rouge-L	Dist-1	Dist-2	Grammar
Emotion						
DialoGPT	-	9.00	8.53	0.58	0.76	90.21
FUDGE	76.98	9.06	8.60	0.60	0.75	90.30
+ ECO decoding	81.03 (+4.05)	9.13 (+0.07)	8.64 (+0.04)	0.62 (+0.02)	0.75 (-)	90.34 (+0.04)
Director	79.94	8.83	8.37	0.59	0.70	90.23
+ ECO decoding	82.82 (+2.88)	8.82 (-0.01)	8.34 (-0.03)	0.59 (-)	0.71 (+0.01)	90.30 (+0.07)
DASC	74.65	8.25	7.87	0.58	0.70	90.30
+ ECO decoding	75.74 (+1.09)	8.22 (-0.03)	7.79 (-0.08)	0.58 (-)	0.71 (+0.01)	90.39 (+0.09)
Dialog-act						
DialoGPT	-	9.14	8.66	0.57	0.78	91.24
FUDGE	41.07	9.21	8.75	0.59	0.78	90.98
+ ECO decoding	46.42 (+5.35)	9.21 (-)	8.79 (+0.04)	0.62 (+0.03)	0.79 (+0.01)	91.00 (+0.02)
Director	70.96	10.43	9.94	0.62	0.78	91.18
+ ECO decoding	71.56 (+0.60)	10.46 (+0.03)	9.96 (+0.02)	0.63 (+0.01)	0.79 (+0.01)	91.15 (-0.03)
DASC	42.59	9.53	9.03	0.59	0.75	91.13
+ ECO decoding	47.17 (+4.58)	9.52 (-0.01)	9.05 (+0.02)	0.60 (+0.01)	0.76 (+0.01)	91.13 (-)

Table 1: Evaluation results for a single attribute of emotion or dialog-act on the DailyDialog test set. The scores in brackets indicate the performance gap between static control and dynamic control settings.

the attribute entropy. ECO decoding can be applied to the existing weighted decoding methods and requires no additional modules or training.

229

231

232

236

240

241

242

243

244

245

Language Model Entropy Dynamic control strength  $\alpha_{x,i}$  is separately calculated for *i*-th generation step, and it can have different values while a sentence is generated. To calculate control strength, we select the top-*k* candidate tokens. From the probability distribution  $P_{lm,i}$  of the language model, we construct the set *S*, which consists of the *k* tokens with the highest probabilities. Let  $P'_{lm,i}$  denote the partial probability distribution of top-*k* tokens in *S*.

$$P'_{lm,i} = \{P_{lm}(t|r_{< i}, h)|t \in S\}$$
(4)

To convert the partial probability distribution  $P'_{lm,i}$  into a probability distribution, we recompute the probability distribution of the top-k tokens using a softmax function with temperature  $\tau_{lm}$ .

$$e_{lm,i} = Entropy(Softmax(P'_{lm,i}/\tau_{lm})) \quad (5)$$

246Attribute EntropyWeighted decoding method-247ologies for CDG utilize attribute classifier  $P_c$  to248reflect attributes. For each candidate token t in249the top-k token set S, concatenates the current se-250quence  $r_{<i}$  with t and computes the probability251 $P_{c,i}([r_{<i};t],h)$  which represents the probability of252token t being part of the generated response while253aligning with the target attribute to be controlled.

The set  $P'_{c,i}$  is the probabilities of the target attribute for all candidate tokens in top-k token set S. The attribute entropy  $e_{c,i}$  is computed based on a probability distribution normalized by softmax the set of attribute probabilities  $P'_{c,i}$  over  $\tau_c$ , where  $\tau_c$ is the attribute temperature for softmax.

$$P'_{c,i} = \{P_c([r_{< i}; t], h) | t \in S\}$$
(6)

254

255

256

257

258

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

$$e_{c,i} = Entropy(Softmax(P'_{c,i}/\tau_c)) \quad (7)$$

Entropy Based Control Strength To assign higher weights to probability distributions with higher entropy, we utilize a control strength formula with an inverse function structure, as shown in Equation 8. The control strength  $\alpha_{x,i}$  is applied to both the language model probability distribution  $P_{lm}$  and the attribute probability distribution  $P_c$ . The language model probability distribution and the attribute probability distribution are reflected by a power of their respective weight  $\alpha_{x,i}$ . The attribute probability distribution additionally reflects the strength scale factor  $\lambda$ . The value of  $\lambda$  allows to adjust whether to focus more on attribute control or language modeling performance. The final probability distribution for generating the next token  $P(r_i | r_{< i}, h, c)$  is computed by multiplying the two weighted probability distributions as shown in Equation 9. If each of the control strength *alpha* values were fixed at 1, the same result would be

Model	Accuracy(Emo)	Accuracy(Act)	Rouge-1	Rouge-L	Dist-1	Dist-2	Grammar
DialoGPT	-	-	9.00	8.53	0.58	0.76	90.21
FUDGE	66.17	44.17	8.21	7.82	0.57	0.74	90.20
+ ECO decoding	66.41 (+0.24)	45.57 (+1.40)	8.20 (-0.01)	7.81 (-0.01)	0.58 (+0.01)	0.74 (-)	90.21 (+0.01)
Director	80.48	60.65	9.41	8.99	0.58	0.73	90.22
+ ECO decoding	81.18 (+0.7)	61.20 (+0.65)	9.49 (+0.08)	8.97 (-0.02)	0.58 (-)	0.74 (+0.01)	90.23 (+0.01)
DASC	75.19	51.17	8.22	7.67	0.60	0.77	90.05
+ ECO decoding	77.22 (+2.03)	54.12 (+2.95)	7.60 (-0.62)	7.15 (-0.52)	0.61 (+0.01)	0.78 (+0.01)	90.19 (+0.14)

Table 2: Evaluation results for multiple attributes setting on the DailyDialog test set. The scores in brackets indicate the performance gap between static control and dynamic control settings.

obtained as with the traditional weighted decoding methodologies.

281

282

283

291

292

296

297

301

305

307

310

$$\alpha_{x,i} = 1 + \left(\frac{1}{1 + e_{x,i}}\right) \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{P(r_i|r_{$$

3.2 Multiple Attribute Control Strength

Existing weighted decoding methodologies struggle to control multiple attributes simultaneously due to their fixed control strength. When using a fixed control strength for each attribute, the search space of attribute control strengths grows exponentially. Furthermore, even when control strength is applied, effectively incorporating more than two attributes remains a main challenge. In contrast, our proposed ECO-decoding method enables CDG to control generation by reformulating the final probability distribution based on multiple attributes. Dynamic control strength  $\alpha_{x,i}$  adjusts the weight of probability distributions at each generation step based on the entropy of the language model and the entropy of each attribute, allowing more flexible and adaptive multi-attribute control. When C is the set of controlling attributes, the multiple attribute control formula for ECO-decoding is as follows:

$$P(r_i|r_{
$$\times \prod_{c_j \in C} P_{c_j}(c_j|r_{\le i}, h)^{\lambda * \alpha_{c_j,i}}$$
(10)$$

#### 4 Experiments

#### 4.1 Datasets

**Daily Dialog** (Li et al., 2017) is an English opendomain dialogue dataset with two controllable attributes: emotion and dialog-act. For dialogues, each utterance is regarded as the response, and all preceding utterances are used as the corresponding dialogue history. For the dialog-act attribute, it consists of training (75,957), validation (7,059), and test (6,740) dialogues, and it is composed of four classes (inform, question, directive, and commissive). For the emotion attribute, experiments were conducted with 6 classes (anger, disgust, fear, happiness, sadness, and surprise), excluding the "no emotion" attribute value. The dataset consisted of training (13,681), validation (882), and test (1,286) dialogues. 311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

#### 4.2 Experimental Settings

**Language Model** We use DialoGPT (Zhang et al., 2020), pre-trained on a large-scale dialogue corpus, as the baseline model. For most of our experiments, the DialoGPT-small (176M) is used, but the DialoGPT-large (1.1B) is used for comparison across different sizes. In addition, we also use a Llama2-7B (Touvron et al., 2023) to evaluate the applicability of ECO decoding in LLM.

**Weighted Decoding Methods** We evaluate and compare the performances of ECO decoding with those of various controllable generation models with weighted decoding methods, including FUDGE (Yang and Klein, 2021), Director (Arora et al., 2022), and DASC (Zhang et al., 2023), and all of them use DialoGPT as the backbone model for comparison.

**Implementation Details** For the three weighted 339 decoding method, the language model is frozen and 340 each attribute classifier is trained on the training 341 dataset. FUDGE is trained for 30 epochs with a 342 batch size of 8 and a learning rate of 2e-5 for each 343 attribute. For the Director, each attribute is fine-344 tuned for 20 epochs with a batch size of 32 and 345 a learning rate of 1e-5. For DASC, each attribute is fine-tuned for 30 epochs with a batch size of 347 4 and a learning rate of 1e-5. All methods use 348

Model	Accuracy	Rouge-1	Rouge-L	Dist-1	Dist-2	Grammar
Small Model (176M)						
DialoGPT	-	9.00	8.53	0.58	0.76	90.21
Director	79.94	8.83	8.37	0.59	0.70	90.23
+ ECO decoding	82.82 (+2.88)	8.82 (-0.01)	8.34 (-0.03)	0.59 (-)	0.71 (+0.01)	90.30 (+0.07)
Large Model(1.1B)						
DialoGPT	-	11.54	10.89	0.75	0.73	87.28
Director	75.66	11.76	11.15	0.74	0.73	87.18
+ ECO decoding	76.05 (+0.39)	11.82 (+0.06)	11.23 (+0.08)	0.74 (-)	0.73 (-)	87.25 (+0.07)
		Large Langu	age Model (7I	3)		
Llama2	-	15.23	12.99	0.35	0.08	90.60
Director	75.43	15.95	13.74	0.35	0.80	90.51
+ ECO decoding	75.66 (+0.23)	15.88 (-0.07)	13.63 (-0.03)	0.35(-)	0.80 (-)	90.55 (+0.04)

Table 3: Evaluation results for attributes of emotion on the DailyDialog test set with various size of model. The scores in brackets indicate the performance gap between static control and dynamic control settings.

Model	Accuracy	Interest	Sensible	
	Emotio	on		
Director	2.82	2.96	2.75	
+ ECO decoding	3.19 (+0.37)	3.16 (+0.20)	3.15 (+0.40)	
	Dialog-	act		
Director	3.04	2.93	2.78	
+ ECO decoding	3.42 (+0.38)	3.41 (+0.48)	3.36 (+0.58)	

Table 4: Human Evaluation on DailyDailog test set(single attribute)

greedy search (Li et al., 2016b), and the maximum sequence length is set to 128. All experiments are run on a single NVIDIA GeForce RTX 3090.

#### 4.3 Evaluation Metrics

349

350

351

354

357

361

363

365

367

371

Automatic Evaluation To evaluate the controllability, we train RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019) based evaluators using the DailyDialog training data to estimate attribute accuracy. The two evaluators achieved accuracies of 89.66% and 80.60% on the test set for emotion and dialog-act, respectively. Note that the attribute evaluator is independent from the attribute classifier used in the weight decoding model. For the generation quality, we use ROUGE-1 and ROUGE-L (Lin, 2004) scores to evaluate match scores between generated responses and ground-truth references. We evaluate the diversity of generated responses using 1-gram and 2-gram distinctness(Li et al., 2016a), referred to as Dist-1 and Dist-2. For grammar checking, We utilize the probability of grammaticality given by a RoBERTa-based CoLA grammaticality model (Liu et al., 2019; Warstadt et al., 2019; Morris et al., 2020).

**Human Evaluation** Experiments on the Director model, which showed the best performance in emotion and dialog-act attributes, conducted human evaluation based on sampling 10 contexts for each attribute value from the test set. We evaluate our generated responses based on three aspects: (1) Accuracy: Response is generated according to the desired attribute. (2) Interest: Response is specific and novel, and it can lead to more engaging conversation. (3) Sensible: Response is grammatically correct and contextually coherent. We asked three expert evaluators to rate each metric on a scale of 1 to 5, with higher scores being better.

372

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

386

387

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

## 4.4 Experimental Results

**Single Attribute Control** Table 1 presents the results of evaluation on how effectively the proposed ECO decoding, which leverages dynamic weighting, enhances the controllability of dialogue generation models while maintaining fluency. We first determine a baseline grammar score using outputs generated by the Backbone Model without any attribute control. For each controllable generation model (FUDGE, Director, and DASC), we then search for the optimal control strength  $\lambda$  that achieves a grammar score comparable to this baseline. Based on the selected  $\lambda$ , we evaluate Accuracy, Dist, and ROUGE.

The results indicate that applying ECO decoding consistently improves the accuracy for emotion and dialog-act attributes, outperforming methods that rely on static control strengths. Notably, unlike existing decoding approaches, ECO decoding achieves these improvements without degrading the grammar score. Moreover, while maintaining a

grammar score close to the baseline, ECO decod-406 ing preserves or even slightly improves the Dist 407 and ROUGE metrics. The experimental results 408 demonstrate that ECO decoding leverages dynamic 409 weighting to more actively reflect specific attributes 410 in the generated responses while simultaneously 411 maintaining grammatical fluency and overall re-412 sponse quality. 413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

Multi Attribute Control Multi attribute control typically involves combining attribute probabilities via multiplication. Consequently, when interpolating across multiple distributions (Lin and Riedl, 2021; Kumar et al., 2021), differences in scale and calibration can make it difficult to maintain a proper balance, often leading to a decline in overall controllability compared to single-attribute control.

In Table 2, multi attribute control for the Emotion attribute achieves grammar performance on par with single-attribute control, yet exhibits a decrease in overall controllability. Conversely, multiattribute control for the Dialo-act attribute appears to yield higher controllability relative to single attribute control. However, this does not necessarily indicate an actual improvement in controllability; rather, it likely reflects the selection of a relatively lower grammar score baseline due to differences in the experimental data.

Compared to methods using a fixed control strength, experimental results show that applying ECO decoding can alleviate the interpolation problem, thereby improving controllability for both Emotion and Dialo-act attributes. Furthermore, similar to single-attribute control, experimental results demonstrate that dynamic weighting helps consistently maintain and enhance grammatical fluency and overall response quality in multi-attribute generation.

Language Model Scaling Table 3 shows the re-443 sults of evaluating the performance of the Director 444 method and ECO decoding on models of different 445 sizes. The results show that in all cases, from the 446 smallest 176M model to the 7B model, the ECO 447 method achieves higher grammar scores while 448 maintaining good control over attributes. This sug-449 gests that applying ECO decoding to traditional 450 weighted decoding methods can achieve better per-451 formance regardless of model size. 452

Human Evaluation Table 4 presents the human
evaluation results. Similar to the automatic evaluation results, the ECO decoding approach con-



Figure 3: The single attribute control performance of the existing weighted decoding method (red) and ECO decoding (green) with respect to changes in the control strength  $\lambda$ . The y-axis represents grammar, and the x-axis represents accuracy. The blue dot line represents uncontrolled dialogpt's grammar score.



Figure 4: The multi attribute control performance of the existing weighted decoding method (red) and ECO decoding (green) with respect to changes in the control strength  $\lambda$ . The y-axis represents grammar, and the x-axis represents accuracy. The blue dot line represents uncontrolled dialogpt's grammar score.

sistently outperformed traditional methods in generating consistent and controlled responses. For both emotion and dailog-act, accuracy increased by at least 12.5%, and for sensible, performance improved by more than 14%. In addition, evaluation of interest metrics confirmed that when ECO decoding is applied to traditional weighted decoding methods, it can generate engaging responses that drive conversations.

**Robustness Test** The control strength coefficient *lambda* determines the proportion of weights in the probability distribution of the attribute classifier.

467

456

468 469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481 482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

502

503

504

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

Therefore, a larger lambda will tend to generate tokens that are more attribute-specific, resulting in a trade-off between increased attribute accuracy and decreased grammar score.

Figure 3 shows the results of applying traditional weighted decoding methodology and ECOdecoding for varying the control strength coefficient *lambda* in a single attribute control setting. The experiments were conducted based on the Director and DASC method for two attributes, emotion and dialog-act, and the red line is for the Director and DASC. The green line is for the application of ECO decoding with each method and the blue bashed line is the grammar score of vanila DialoGPT without attribute control according to each dataset. In all experiments, we observed a tradeoff between grammar and accuracy, and showed that for the same grammar score, ECO decoding achieves higher attribute accuracy by dynamically applying weights. In other words, for the same control degree, ECO decoding produces higher quality responses. This demonstrates that our approach has a strong capability in controllable generation to maintain fluency while enhancing controllability, regardless of the  $\lambda$  values.

> Figure 4 shows the performance by lambda in the multi attribute control setting. The results are the same for multi attribute as for single, with ECO decoding for each methodology resulting in higher controllability and grammar scores. The interesting thing is that the Director model is not a structured model for multi attribute control, and because of this, there is some performance variation by lambda.

In almost all cases using the dialoGPT-small model, we observed a trend of grammar score increase and then decrease as the lambda value increases. Due to the increase in grammaticality at low  $\lambda$  ranges, some experimental results showed higher grammar score with attribute control than dialoGPT without attribute control. This was not observed when using larger models such as Llama2, which could be thought of as a slight inconsistency in the performance of small language models. For fairness, all experimental results measured grammar score and attribute accuracy after the lambda value where the trade-off occurs.

# 5 Conclusions

516 In this paper, we introduce an entropy-based dy-517 namic control strength approach, ECO decoding, to overcome the limitation of static control strength in the previous weighted decoding methods. ECO decoding can be easily adapted to any weighted decoding methodology, and the advantage is that no additional training is required for ECO decoding. Our approach enables the generation of responses with enhanced controllability while maintaining fluency, and extends to a wide variety of multi-attribute environments. Both automated and human evaluations have shown that ECO decoding performs consistently well when applied across a range of controllable generation methods, and has been shown to perform well enough on large models such as Llama2-7B. 518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

## Limitations

Our method improves control performance while maintaining fluency using entropy-based control strength in single attribute conditions. However, we need to validate the effectiveness of the proposed method in multi-attribute conditions. In a multi-attribute setting, it is necessary to consider not only the uncertainty of the language model's generation probabilities but also the degree of influence of each attribute's probability in adjusting the control strength. These topics should be addressed as future work.

## **Ethics Statement**

The proposed method aims to enhance the interest and accuracy of responses generated by chatbots to improve user experience. However, this method could be potentially used for malicious purposes. In our experiments, we focus on attributes like emotion and dialog-act, but if malicious desired attributes such as bias are used, the model could be induced to generate inappropriate responses. Therefore, generating controlled responses using malicious attributes should be restricted.

#### References

- Kushal Arora, Kurt Shuster, Sainbayar Sukhbaatar, and Jason Weston. 2022. Director: Generator-classifiers for supervised language modeling. *Preprint*, arXiv:2206.07694.
- Jiwei Li, Michel Galley, Chris Brockett, Jianfeng Gao, and Bill Dolan. 2016a. A diversity-promoting objective function for neural conversation models. *Preprint*, arXiv:1510.03055.
- Jiwei Li, Will Monroe, Alan Ritter, Michel Galley, Jianfeng Gao, and Dan Jurafsky. 2016b. Deep reinforce-

- 622 623 624
- 625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

ment learning for dialogue generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.01541*.

566

567

568

570

575

577

578

579

580

581

582

589

590

591

597

598

599

610

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

621

- Yanran Li, Hui Su, Xiaoyu Shen, Wenjie Li, Ziqiang Cao, and Shuzi Niu. 2017. DailyDialog: A manually labelled multi-turn dialogue dataset. In Proceedings of the Eighth International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 986–995, Taipei, Taiwan. Asian Federation of Natural Language Processing.
- Chin-Yew Lin. 2004. Rouge: A package for automatic evaluation of summaries. In *Text summarization branches out*, pages 74–81.
- Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2019.
  Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach. *Preprint*, arXiv:1907.11692.
- John Morris, Eli Lifland, Jin Yong Yoo, Jake Grigsby, Di Jin, and Yanjun Qi. 2020. Textattack: A framework for adversarial attacks, data augmentation, and adversarial training in nlp. In *Proceedings of the* 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations, pages 119–126.
- Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, Ilya Sutskever, et al. 2019. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI blog*, 1(8):9.
- Claude Elwood Shannon. 2001. A mathematical theory of communication. *ACM SIGMOBILE mobile computing and communications review*, 5(1):3–55.
- Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti Bhosale, et al. 2023. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*.
- Alex Warstadt, Amanpreet Singh, and Samuel R. Bowman. 2019. Neural network acceptability judgments. *Preprint*, arXiv:1805.12471.
- Kevin Yang and Dan Klein. 2021. Fudge: Controlled text generation with future discriminators. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies.* Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Weihao Zeng, Lulu Zhao, Keqing He, Ruotong Geng, Jingang Wang, Wei Wu, and Weiran Xu. 2023. Seen to unseen: Exploring compositional generalization of multi-attribute controllable dialogue generation. *Preprint*, arXiv:2306.10317.
- Yizhe Zhang, Siqi Sun, Michel Galley, Yen-Chun Chen, Chris Brockett, Xiang Gao, Jianfeng Gao, Jingjing Liu, and Bill Dolan. 2020. Dialogpt: Large-scale generative pre-training for conversational response generation. *Preprint*, arXiv:1911.00536.

Zhiling Zhang, Mengyue Wu, and Kenny Q. Zhu. 2023. Semantic space grounded weighted decoding for multi-attribute controllable dialogue generation. *Preprint*, arXiv:2305.02820.

# A Cases of ECO decoding

 Dialogue history

 A : Let's program your courses. Since you have had English literature, you should take American literature and American prose and fiction. You transcript indicate that your English background is strong, so I don't think you have any problem with it.

 B : How many credits for each course?

 A : Three, you also should take two three-credit-course in education department.

 Response generation

 Desired attribute : Commissive

 Director

 B : three credits... You're gonna have to take two thirds of course. . .

+ ECO decoding

B : Thanks, I'll take that course.

Figure 5: In case where the response fails to satisfy the desired attribute with the existing method but satisfies the desired attribute using ECO decoding.

Dialogue history
A : I want something sweet after dinner. B : What do you have in mind?
Response generation
Desired attribute : Inform
Director
A : I'm not sure what I want in return.
+ ECO decoding
A : I'm going to eat a whole box of cereal.

Figure 6: In case where it fails to generate a contextconsistent response with the existing method but generates a context-consistent response using ECO decoding.

# **B** Licenses

The DailyDialog dataset is licensed under CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 License. The DialoGPT model is licensed under Contributor License Agreement (CLA) and Llama2 model is licensed under Meta Llama 2 Community License Agreement. The RoBERTa-based CoLA grammaticality model is licenced under MIT License.