# DSCONV: DYNAMIC CONVOLUTION ON SERIALIZED POINT CLOUD

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

#### Abstract

In recent years, research on point-based architectures has advanced rapidly, showcasing their competitive performance. However, the unstructured nature of point clouds limits the application of effective operators such as convolutions in feature extraction. Although many works have attempted to address the issues of unstructured data and introduce convolutions or transformers, the complex spatial mappings of point clouds and cumbersome convolution implementations in these methods limit real-time performance of the model. Furthermore, excessive structural mapping ignores the independence of point cloud position representation and fails to capture finer-grained features. To tackle these challenges, we serialize point clouds to provide them with structure and introduce AdaConv to directly utilize 2D convolutions, which simplifies the process and better preserves the relative positional relationship. Additionally, we propose a novel dynamic refinement approach for point cloud positions, continuously modifying the coordinates of points within the convolutional neighborhood to enhance the flexibility and adaptability. We also integrate local and global features to compensate for the loss of point cloud features during downsampling. Finally, we propose DSConv based on PointNeXt, maintaining scalability and inference speed. By combining DSConv with new architectural designs, we outperform the current state-of-the-art methods on ScanObjectNN, Scannet V2, and S3DIS datasets.

### 028 029

031

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

025

026

027

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

In the realm of dense point cloud analysis, there are currently two main approaches: one represented 033 by models like Qian et al. (2022) and Thomas et al. (2019), which utilize MLP and convolutional 034 structures; the other employing self-attention mechanisms (Guo et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2022; 2024) for point cloud analysis. The former relies on unstructured data or complex mappings, where the MLPs focuses on point-wise feature analysis, while point cloud convolutional structures perform complex equivalent convolutions over neighborhoods, failing to achieve the fine-037 grained neighborhood feature extraction based on spatial positions like 2D convolutions. The latter struggles to effectively capture local features. Hence, neither method fully leverages the local relative positional relationships among points. Redundant MLP computations and complex 3D convo-040 lutions also introduce computational burdens. Moreover, the richer degrees of freedom and structure 041 in three-dimensional objects makes addressing issues such as object rotation and deformation more 042 challenging. To address these challenges, we propose a flexible sequential convolution method to 043 extract richer local features with strong real-time performance and leverage the independence of 044 point cloud positions to cope with changes in object posture.

Recent advancements, such as PointGPT (Chen et al., 2024) have addressed the unstructured nature of point clouds by employing serialization, allowing for the extraction of features based on relationships in one-dimensional space. Inspired by this, the core motivation of our work is to utilize serialization techniques to transform unstructured point cloud data into sequences with structured spatial features, followed by the extraction of local features through designed convolution. To explore these methods, we propose Dynamic Sequence Convolution (DSConv) built upon Inverted Residual MLP (InvResMLP) module of PointNeXt (Qian et al., 2022) for Local Aggregation. We map points within groups across multiple directions and compute sliding windows along the corresponding sequence to extract local features, which is a fine-grained convolution without complex mappings, while improving the reduction method to ensure global features. We couple point posi-

tions with convolution parameters to enable dynamic adjustments of these parameters based on the
 distribution of points within the convolution neighborhood. Additionally, we propose an efficient
 method for point position refinement to handle target pose variations, dynamically adjusting point
 positions based on the features of neighbors to enhance flexibility while maintaining high through put. Specifically, our contributions are summarized as follows:

- We introduce point cloud serialization and adaptive convolution (AdaConv), which replace the reliance on unstructured point clouds or complex spatial mapping, enabling better utilization of relative positional relationships among point clouds and less computational burden.
- We propose a dynamic point position refinement method to address challenges with object pose variation in three-dimensional space.
- We adopt a local-global structure that enables network to flexibly combine global MLP and local features, thereby reducing instability and feature loss in traditional models. We also couple point cloud positions with convolution parameters to improve the generalization of DSConv.
- Our proposed DSConv shares the same scalability and inference speed as Qian et al. (2022), with fewer parameters. DSConv-XL outperforms PointNeXt-XL by a 4.8% mean IoU (mIoU) increase on S3DIS (Armeni et al., 2016) (6-fold cross-validation). Additionally, through further architecture designs, DSConv-XXL achieves state-of-the-art performances across multiple tasks.
- 071 072 073

074

060

061

062

063

064 065

066

067 068

069

### 2 RELATED WORK

075 **Point-based Networks.** Point-based networks provide greater flexibility and preserve the original 076 information more effectively compared to voxel-based methods (Zhou & Tuzel, 2018; Yan et al., 077 2018). PointNet (Qi et al., 2017a) introduce MLPs for handling unordered point cloud data. Point-Net++ (Oi et al., 2017b) introduce a hierarchical structure for feature aggregation at different scales. 079 Wang et al. (2019b;a); Qian et al. (2021a) utilize graph neural networks for point cloud analysis. Yu et al. (2022); Pang et al. (2022) utilize self-supervised algorithms for pre-training. Transformer-like 080 networks (Zhao et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2022; 2024) extract local features via self-attention. Ma et al. 081 (2022); Qian et al. (2022); Deng et al. (2023) improve model performance through residual connections and different feature preprocessing techniques. However, the use of unstructured point clouds 083 in these methods impedes the exploitation of relative position information, while the serialization 084 and convolution strategies in DSConv effectively utilize this information. 085

**Convolution in Point Clouds.** Convolution, as one of the effective methods for processing local 087 features, has always been a focus in point cloud analysis. SpiderCNN (Xu et al., 2018) defines con-880 volution kernels as Taylor-expansion-based polynomials, encoding geometric information via step 089 functions within local neighborhoods. PointCNN (Li et al., 2018) learns an X-transformation from 090 input points to reassign associated features and feature weights, enabling convolution to process 091 regularized input features. PointConv (Wu et al., 2019) generates convolution kernels by applying MLPs and kernel density estimation to points, convolving them with neighborhood points. KPConv 092 (Thomas et al., 2019) introduces rigid kernel point deformable convolution, where the parameters of 093 kernel points are used to process the points mapped to that position. These convolution methods in 094 point clouds rely on complex mappings that affect the algorithm speed, while our serialized mapping 095 approach is simpler and reduces the computational cost. 096

107

Utilization of Point Cloud Serialization. Recent works have begun exploring serialization meth-098 ods to process raw point clouds, aiming to overcome their inherent disorder and non-structural nature. OctFormer (Wang, 2023) applies Morton-like sorting to octree-based point cloud data and 100 performs windowing along the resulting sequence. PointGPT (Chen et al., 2024) utilizes Z-order 101 (Morton, 1966) sorting on points during self-supervised pre-training, leveraging remaining points 102 to predict missing patches. Point Transformer V3 (Wu et al., 2024) alternates between Z-order and 103 Hilbert curves (Hilbert & Hilbert, 1935) to convert point clouds into patches, aiming to mitigate 104 the impact of K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) search on speed. These methods suggest that serialized 105 raw point cloud data still maintains a good spatial proximity relationship. However, the aforementioned serialization methods can only ensure compactness within the sequence, with relatively poor 106 ability to preserve relative positional information among point clouds. In contrast, our approach to 107 serialization leveraging positional relationships avoids this limitation.



Figure 1: Overview of the DSConv module. The red point serves as the center for feature extraction. All input points are treated as center points once during DSConv module processing.

#### 3 Method

We propose a local feature extraction method based on serialized point clouds and convolution to enhance the utilization of relative positional information, as shown in Fig. 1. This section covers the serialization of point clouds in Sec. 3.1, the design of feature extraction on serialized point clouds in Sec. 3.2, the introduction of dynamic point cloud position refinement in Sec. 3.3, a description of the coupling between position feature and convolution parameters, along with the integration of global and local features in Sec. 3.4, and the overall structure of DSConv in Sec. 3.5.

#### 3.1 POINT CLOUD SERIALIZATION



Figure 2: The effects of four serialization algorithms on the plane, with red points indicating the center points. A total of 4 points (excluding the center point) are sampled. The lower part shows the feature sequence of the sampled points. (a) Z-order serialization. (b) Hilbert serialization. (c) Serialization along the coordinate axis. (d) Serialization along the coordinate axis with group constraints. The green arrow indicates the sampling sequence along the x-axis, while the blue arrow indicates the sampling sequence along the y-axis. Additionally, the red circle is the range of groups.

Point cloud collection methods and data storage formats often result in sparse and unordered point clouds. To address this issue, point clouds are typically converted into voxels (Zhou & Tuzel, 2018) or pillars (Lang et al., 2019). However, these approaches not only incur significant computational overhead but also lead to the loss of information in dense regions. In contrast, point cloud serialization, while imparting structure, can preserve all point cloud information intact and achieve higher efficiency. By designing appropriate mapping methods, points in multi-dimensional space can be mapped to a one-dimensional continuous sequence, preserving the local relationships between points. We propose a multi-directional point cloud serialization method and compare it with



162 existing methods. Mapping methods based on distance relationships, such as those shown in Fig. 2a 163 and Fig. 2b, can better ensure the compactness of adjacent points in the sequence, but are limited 164 in representing the relative positional relationships of points. By comparison, our proposed method, 165 based on mapping along three coordinate axes (as shown in Fig. 2c and Fig. 2d), can directly repre-166 sent the relative positional relationships of points in the point cloud, thereby preserving local relative positional features of objects to a certain extent and providing better geometric representation capa-167 bilities. Additionally, our approach does not require encoding, leading to greater efficiency. 168

169 In most cases, simply serializing along coordinate axes may map points that are far apart to nearby 170 positions in the sequence (as shown in Fig. 2c). Therefore, we map the point clouds after grouping 171 module, and by leveraging the compactness of the grouped point clouds, we can effectively avoid 172 the issue of distant points being mapped to close positions due to non-encoded mapping. With the constraint of grouping, our serialization method achieves similar results to those based on encoded 173 distance serialization, and the order within each sequence also reflects the relative positional rela-174 tionships between point clouds, as shown in Fig. 2d. The serialized point cloud, which can directly 175 reflect the spatial physical distribution, simplifies the implementation of 3D spatial convolution and 176 enables finer-grained point cloud convolution. 177

178 179

189 190 191

#### 3.2 LOCAL FEATURE EXTRACTION ON SERIALIZED POINT CLOUDS

Adaptive convolution. Although serialization structures point cloud data, allowing for the direct 181 application of 2D convolution, there is still a drawback in using convolution for point cloud pro-182 cessing: the point cloud needs to overcome the influence of absolute positions and focus solely on 183 the local positional relationships within the convolution neighborhood. Therefore, we propose an 184 adaptive convolution to address this issue, thereby achieving the translation invariance of convolu-185 tion and extracting the local features. To ensure that convolution attends only to relative positional information in the neighborhood, we fix certain parameters of the convolutional operator and embed the decentering process into the convolution operation. We modify the center position parameters in 187 the input channels of position coordinates as follows: 188

$$w_0^{position} = -\sum_{j=-N, j\neq 0}^N w_j^{position},\tag{1}$$

192 where  $w_0^{position}$  represents the parameter for processing the position channel at the convolution 193 center point,  $w_i^{position}$  denotes the parameters at other positions of the convolution operator when 194 processing the position channel and N is the size of  $1 \times N$  convolutional kernel. Redefining the 195 convolutional parameters according to Eq. 1, the convolution computation, which takes features 196 incorporating positional coordinates as input, is transformed into the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{197} \\ & \text{198} \\ & \text{198} \\ & \text{199} \\ & \text{200} \\ & \text{201} \\ & \text{202} \\ & \text{202} \\ & \text{202} \\ & \text{203} \\ & \text{203} \\ & \text{204} \\ & \text{205} \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{aligned} & feature_i = \sum_{j=-N}^{N} (w_j^{position} \times p_{i+j} + w_j^{feature} \times f_{i+j}) \\ & \text{model} \times f_{i+j} + \sum_{j=-N, j \neq 0}^{N} w_j^{position} \times p_{i+j} - \sum_{j=-N, j \neq 0}^{N} w_j^{position} \times p_i \end{aligned} (2)$$

205 206

207

208

209

210

201

where  $p_i$  and  $p_{i+j}$  represent the coordinates of the central point and its neighboring point, respectively, f denotes the corresponding feature values,  $w^{feature}$  is the convolution parameters on feature value channel, and  $feature_i$  is a single convolution result in the neighborhood of the central point *i*. This calculation achieves dynamic decentralization of coordinates during convolution.

211 Feature extraction on serialized point clouds. After grouping and serialization, the point fea-212 tures are represented in a form similar to a four-dimensional tensor of images, allowing for the 213 application of convolutions along the group dimension to perform sliding window calculations and extract features. In order to ensure sufficient receptive fields in the spatial dimensions and reduce 214 memory usage, we propose a multi-sequence fusion method based on AdaConv, enabling processing 215 of features from different sequences, akin to 2D convolutions, as depicted in Fig. 3.



Figure 3: Multi-sequence fusion. After sorting points along three directions, three sets of sequences are obtained. Then, the padded results are convolved using AdaConv, where padding ensures that the lengths of the three sets of sequences remain the same as the original sequence after convolution, which is necessary. For one point in original sequence, different neighborhoods of this point along the three sequences are convolved separately, and then fused into a feature point. This method is equivalent to using a 3×3 convolution to process a 3×3 receptive field.

#### 3.3 DYNAMIC POINT POSITION REFINEMENT

The objects in three-dimensional space exhibit richer geometric transformations. To reduce the impact of these intricate pose variations on feature extraction, we propose point position refinement, leveraging the characteristic of position information embedded in the feature channels of point clouds. This method dynamically modifies the position of input points for each group using the convolution introduced in Sec. 3.2, thereby enhancing the stability and consistency of features.



Figure 4: Position refinement and convolutions after refinement. (a) Sequential position fine-tuning, adjusting the positions of points within the convolutional neighborhood as needed without modifying the original point cloud distribution. (b) Fine-tuning points within each group individually.

245 **Sequential Position Refinement (SPR).** We utilize the convolution strategy discussed in the pre-246 vious section to compute the required offsets for each point within the receptive field when convolv-247 ing at any position. Then, these offsets are applied to the position channels (as shown in Fig. 4a), 248 enabling different fine-tuning for convolutional inputs at different positions without affecting the 249 original positions. We attempt to implement this operation using Deformable Convolutional Net-250 work (DCN) (Dai et al., 2017b; Zhu et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2023). However, DCNs result in significant memory consumption and considerably slow down the algorithm. Therefore, we propose 251 a more efficient method, as shown in Eq. 3&4: 252

255

229

230 231

232

233

234

235 236

237 238

239 240

241

$$\Delta p = Fuse(AdaConv_i(Ser_i([(p - p_{center})/R; f]))), i = x, y, z.$$
(3)

$$Output_i = AdaConv_i^p(\Delta p_i) + AdaConv_i^{p+f}([(p - p_{center})/R; f]), i = x, y, z,$$
(4)

where Ser denotes the serialization process, R represents the group radius, and  $p_{center}$  denotes the 256 center point coordinates. By employing the method mentioned in Sec. 3.2, we generate a offset  $\Delta p$ 257 in the number of channels corresponding to a 3×receptive field size, representing the offset of each 258 point in three directions within the convolutional neighborhood. The original input is computed 259 using  $AdaConv^{p+f}$ , and the offsets are calculated using  $AdaConv^{p}$ , which is a part of the param-260 eters of the former, aiming to fine-tune the input positional features. This calculation eliminates the 261 need to generate expanded feature maps, thereby significantly reducing memory usage and improv-262 ing speed. Additionally, it offers high flexibility and adaptability. Unlike directly modifying the 263 positions of the original point cloud, it can fine-tune the neighborhood points at different positions 264 according to their needs, better adapting to complex spatial structures and morphological changes. 265

Point-wise Position Refinement (PPR). Despite optimizations, the above method still consumes
 a lot of resources, as it requires storing the coordinate offsets of all points within the convolutional
 neighborhood at each convolutional position. Therefore, we propose a simpler position refinement
 method that only calculates the offset of the center point in convolutional neighborhood and then
 directly modifies its position within the group using that offset, as shown in Fig. 4b.

#### 270 3.4 NETWORK DETAILS 271

272 Local-global structure. Local Aggregation (LA) structure employs saturation sampling during 273 the downsampling process, resulting in significant redundant computations. Additionally, its max pooling excessively emphasizes the features of individual points within a group, neglecting others 274 and missing out on holistic features. Therefore, we retain the original LA module in DSConv module 275 but replace its max pooling with sum pooling to enhance the speed and improve its ability to extract 276 global features, reducing over-reliance on individual key points. A MLP is used to mix them. 277

278 **Coupling position with convolution parameters.** We improve the flexibility of DSConv by cou-279 pling the positional information of local point cloud with convolution parameters, providing different 280 computation parameters for points at different locations within the local space. We propose using 281 positional encoding to implement the coupling process, for each channels as follows: 282

$$w(f + PE(p)) = wf + bias^{coupled},$$
(5)

283 where f and p represent the features and positional coordinates of each point within each group after 284 coordinate decentralization, respectively. PE() is positional encoding. This approach introduces a 285 bias that couples position with convolution parameters for each channel computation result. Unlike 286 Vision Transformer (Dosovitskiy et al., 2020; Carion et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2021) model, we use 287 pointwise convolution to encode relative positional information into each feature channel, avoiding 288 complex trigonometric operations. Notably, experiment shows that simply adding PE to the LA 289 module does not lead to a significant performance improvement. 290

291 **Feature preprocess.** We calculate the feature differences between neighboring points and center point, thereby highlighting important features in local region. With the coupling step, we process 292 293 the input features as follows:

$$f' = f - f_{center} + Relu(BN(Conv_{1 \times 1}(p))), \tag{6}$$

295 where  $Conv_{1\times 1}$  denotes a mapping from coordinate dimensions to feature dimensions, and  $f_{center}$ 296 corresponds to the features of the central point in groups. Therefore, we can use Eq. 6 to couple positional features and capture salient changes in local features. 297

298 299 **Grouping strategy.** Excessive summation of points may result in large feature values that affect parameter convergence. On the other hand, the traditional grouping method is overly redundant in 300 sampling, while DSConv only requires less points that can reflect the spatial structure for extracting 301 geometric features, so we reduce the number of sampled points. Although this may lead to uneven 302 sampling and produce different results between consecutive samplings, it significantly improves 303 speed and resolves convergence issues. To enhance sampled point quality, we modify the sampling 304 method: firstly, N points are sampled by ball-query (Qi et al., 2017b) or KNN, then Farthest Point 305 Sampling (FPS) is used to resample N/4 points, ensuring consistent and representative sampling. 306

307 3.5 ARCHITECTURE 308

294

309 We adjust the original architecture (Qian et al., 2022), with the improvements primarily reflected in the XXL scale model. We refer to PointNeXt for model scaling and further increase the number of 310 DSConvs in each stage. In DSConv-XXL, to fully leverage the advantages of DSConv, we remove 311 the initial MLP stem and the first downsampling, directly operating on the input point cloud, effec-312 tively increasing the number of processed points by  $\times$  4. To handle the large point cloud data, we 313 adopt grid-sampling for faster downsampling, inspired by Thomas et al. (2019); Wu et al. (2022). 314 Additionally, due to the increased complexity of feature extraction in DSConv, residual connections 315 preprocess the original input with an MLP to better adapt to the feature changes. Furthermore, we 316 retain the inverted bottleneck structure, using it after every two DSConv layers. We represent the 317 channel of the initial embedding MLP as C and the number of DSConv modules as B, and designed 318 four sizes of models as follows:

319 • DSConv-B: C = 32, B = 1, 1, 2, 1 320

- DSConv-XL: C = 64, B = 3, 3, 6, 3
- DSConv-L: C = 32, B = 2, 2, 4, 2321

- DSConv-XXL: C = 64, B = 4, 4, 8, 4
- In segmentation tasks, we adopt the encoder-decoder structure of PointNet++ (Qi et al., 2017b). In 322 classification tasks, only one encoder and one classification layer are used. For extremely large point 323 cloud data, we use 5 stages for deeper downsampling. The overall architecture is depicted in Fig. 5.



Figure 5: Overall Architecture. We retain the Set Abstraction (SA) module and Feature Propagation (FP) structure from PointNet++, and introduce the DSConv module. AdaConv refer to the adaptive convolution mentioned in Sec. 3.2&3.4, while Convs are  $1 \times 1$  convolutions by default.

#### 4 EXPERIMENTS

To validate the effectiveness of our approach, we conduct experimental evaluations on the semantic segmentation datasets ScanNet V2 (Dai et al., 2017a) and S3DIS (Armeni et al., 2016), as well as the point cloud classification dataset ScanObjectNN (Uy et al., 2019). To ensure fair contrast, except for the hyperparameters of the method proposed in this paper, we maintain the same data augmentation and hyperparameter settings as Qian et al. (2022), and use the same evaluation criteria. For the new DSConv-XXL, we use larger point cloud input and independent hyperparameter settings.

**Experimental setups.** We train DSConv using CrossEntropy loss with label smoothing (Szegedy 352 et al., 2016), AdamW (Loshchilov & Hutter, 2019) optimizer, and cosine decay. For semantic 353 segmentation task, we set the initial learning rate lr=0.01, weight decay  $10^{-4}$ , and train for 100 354 epochs. In classification task, DSConv is trained with an initial lr=0.002, weight decay of 0.05, 355 and the number of input points is set to 1024 for 400 epochs. For DSConv-XXL, we set Ir to 0.006 356 and warmup epoch to 10, while employing dropout to enhance generalization. For segmentation 357 tasks, the voxel size is set to 0.02m and 0.04m for ScanNet V2 and S3DIS. In ScanNet V2, we adopt 358 the latest training strategy from Chen et al. (2023) and expand the input points to 80,000. For fair 359 comparison, we utilize the testing scheme provided by PointNext (Qian et al., 2022) for our tests. 360 Model parameter size and GFLOPs are provided, and we also compare the model inference speed 361 using throughput (TP) following Qian et al. (2021b; 2022). Throughput for segmentation models 362 is measured with  $8 \times 15000$  points, while for classification models, it is measured with  $32 \times 2048$ 363 points. We evaluate model performance using an RTX 4070 12GB GPU.

364 365 366

324

325

326

327

328

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337 338 339

340

341 342 343

344

351

#### 4.1 3D SEMANTIC SEGMENTATION ON S3DIS AND SCANNET

Segmentation on S3DIS. S3DIS (Stanford Large-Scale 3D Indoor Spaces) (Armeni et al., 2016) 367 comprises laser-scanned data from 271 rooms across six different large-scale indoor areas, encom-368 passing 13 categories. Results for both Area 5 and 6-fold cross-validation are presented in Tab. 1 369 and Tab. 2. With more modules and updated training strategies, DSConv-XXL achieves 75.5% 370 mIoU on S3DIS Area 5 surpassing KPConvX by 2.0% mIoU. By removing the stem MLP from 371 DSConv-XXL, the number of points processed by DSConv in each stage increases, while the num-372 ber of feature channels is halved. This reduces parameters but increases GFLOPs. On S3DIS 6-fold 373 cross-validation, DSConv-XL also achieves state-of-the-art performance, with a 4.8% improvement 374 in mIoU compared to PointNeXt and outperforming the state-of-the-art PointVector (Deng et al., 375 2023) by 1.3% mIoU, with faster inference speed. The performance of DSConv-XL matches Point Transformer V3 on S3DIS Area 5, surpassing it by 2.0% mIoU on S3DIS 6-fold cross-validation 376 and achieving a higher throughput. Notably, Point Transformer V3 (Wu et al., 2024) is trained using 377 PPT (Wu et al., 2023). Thus, we compare with its original training results to ensure fairness.

	*		_		-		
380	Method	mIoU (%)	mAcc (%)	OA (%)	Params. (M)	FLOPs (G)	TP (ins./sec.)
381	SparseUNet (Choy et al., 2019)	67.7	73.1	90.1	37.9	1.43	52
382	RepSurf (Ran et al., 2022)	68.9	76.0	90.2	0.98	1.04	-
200	PointNeXt (Qian et al., 2022)	70.5	76.8	90.6	41.6	84.8	40
383	PTv2 (Wu et al., 2022)	72.6	78.0	91.6	12.9	305	13
384	PointVector (Deng et al., 2023)	72.3	78.1	91.0	24.1	58.5	37
205	PointMetaBase (Lin et al., 2023)	72.3	78.0	91.3	19.7	11.0	83
385	Swin3D (Yang et al., 2023)	72.5	-	-	23.6	50.6	6
386	PTv3 (Wu et al., 2024)	73.4	79.0	91.7	46.2	42.7	29
07	LPFP (Han et al., 2024)	73.5	78.7	92.0	31.2	24.2	-
587	OA-CNNs (Peng et al., 2024)	71.1	-	90.7	51.5	23.5	22
388	KPConvX (Thomas et al., 2024)	73.5	78.7	91.7	13.5	-	-
389	DSConv-B(our)	70.9	76.3	90.9	3.4	9.7	129
200	DSConv-L(our)	72.4	78.2	91.3	5.5	14.5	101
590	DSConv-XL(our)	73.5	78.8	92.1	27.3	74.3	40
391	DSConv-XXL(our)	75.5	81.2	92.7	23.5	99.6	26
302							

#### Table 1: Semantic segmentation on S3DIS Area 5. Only the best test results of all algorithms are reported. The methods are listed in chronological order. The highest scores are marked in bold.

Table 2: Semantic segmentation on S3DIS 6-fold. Table 3: Semantic segmentation on ScanNet V2.

Method	mIoU (%)	OA (%)	Method	Val mIoU (%)	Params.(M)
RepSurf-U (Ran et al., 2022)	74.3	90.9	StratTrans (Lai et al., 2022)	74.3	18.8
CBL (Tang et al., 2022)	73.1	89.6	DeLA (Chen et al., 2023)	75.9	8.0
PointNeXt (Qian et al., 2022)	74.9	90.3	OctFormer (Wang, 2023)	75.7	39.0
PTv2 (Wu et al., 2022)	73.5	-	Swin3D (Yang et al., 2023)	76.4	23.6
PointVector (Deng et al., 2023)	78.4	91.9	AVS-Net (Yang et al., 2024)	76.0	20.9
PointMetaBase (Lin et al., 2023)	77.0	91.3	OA-CNNs (Peng et al., 2024)	76.1	51.5
Swin3D (Yang et al., 2023)	76.9	-	PTv3 (Wu et al., 2024)	77.5	46.2
PTv3 (Wu et al., 2024)	77.7	-	KPConvX (Thomas et al., 2024)	76.3	13.5
DSConv-XL(our)	79.7	92.2	DSConv-XXL(our)	77.8	25.9

**Segmentation on ScanNet.** ScanNet V2 (Dai et al., 2017a) comprises scans in indoor rooms, divided into 1201 training scenes, 312 validation scenes, and 100 testing scenes, with a total of 20 categories. We use mIoU as the validation metric for ScanNet V2. In ScanNet V2, we add a DSConv stage at the beginning of architecture like Chen et al. (2023), and experiments show that this stage at higher resolution is beneficial. As shown in Tab. 1, DSConv-XXL achieves the state-of-the-art performance among all methods **without** extra training data and outperforms PTv3 by 0.3% mIoU.

#### 4.2 3D OBJECT CLASSIFICATION ON SCANOBJECTNN

410 ScanObjectNN (Uy et al., 2019) consists of ap-411 proximately 15,000 actual scanned objects with 412 15 categories. Similar to PointNeXt, we evalu-413 ate the challenging variant PB\_T50\_RS of ScanOb-414 jectNN, using the mean±std deviation of OA and 415 mAcc as our metrics. In the classification model, we use max pooling for reduction. As shown in 416 Tab. 4, our DSConv-S surpasses PointNeXt-S by 417 0.6% OA, and a 1% increase in mAcc demonstrates 418 that our algorithm achieves a more balanced per-419 formance across different categories. Meanwhile, 420 our DSConv-XXL achieves a best result of 91.0% 421 **OA**, reaching the state-of-the-art performance.

Table	4:	Classification	on	ScanObjectNN
witho	ut pr	e-training.		Ū

1 0		
Method	OA(%)	mAcc(%)
PointNet++ (Qi et al., 2017b)	77.9	75.4
RepSurf-U (Ran et al., 2022)	86.0	83.1
PointMLP (Ma et al., 2022)	85.4±1.3	$83.9 \pm 1.5$
PointNeXt (Qian et al., 2022)	$87.7 \pm 0.4$	$85.8 {\pm} 0.6$
PointVector (Deng et al., 2023)	$87.8 \pm 0.4$	$86.2 \pm 0.5$
PointMetaBase (Lin et al., 2023)	$87.9 \pm 0.2$	$86.2 \pm 0.7$
SPoTr (Park et al., 2023)	88.6	86.8
DeLA (Chen et al., 2023)	$90.1 \pm 0.2$	$89.0 \pm 0.4$
KPConvX (Thomas et al., 2024)	$88.3 \pm 0.4$	$86.7 \pm 0.5$
DSConv-S(our)	88.3±0.4	86.8±0.6
DSConv-XXL(our)	<b>90.6</b> ±0.2	<b>89.6</b> ±0.4

422

428

393

396 397

399 400 401

402

403

404

405

406

407 408

409

423 4.3 ABLATION STUDY 424

We conduct ablation studies on DSConv, focusing on the impact of algorithm design on accuracy
and throughput. By default, we test DSConv-L on S3DIS (Armeni et al., 2016) Area 5, employing
Sequential Position Refinement method. All training parameters are configured identically.

**Effectiveness of serialization.** To validate the effectiveness of our serialization method, we compare it with other serialization methods. The 3rd-order Z-order represents serialization with three different encodings, while random indicates no serialization. As both Z-order (Morton, 1966) and Hilbert (Hilbert & Hilbert, 1935) are encoding distance-based serialization strategies, we only test

Table	5:	Effectiveness	of	serialization.	
10010	•••		~ -	o o i i and a ci o i i i	

Method	OA(%)	mAcc(%)	mIoU(%)
Random (w/o ser.)	90.2	76.2	69.9
Z-order	90.5	77.0	70.8
3rd-order Z-order	90.5	77.2	71.1
Coordinate-Axis	91.3	78.2	72.4

Table 6: Point cloud position refinement methods.

Method	OA(%)	mAcc(%)	mIoU(%)	TP
w/o refine	90.6	77.0	71.3	107
PPR	91.0	77.4	71.8	105
D-SPR	91.4	78.0	72.3	59
SPR	91.3	78.2	72.4	101

Table 7: Convolution shape and sequence fusion Table 8: Module design ablation. FPS ensures methods.  $3 \times 1 \times 3$  (xyz) means using three  $1 \times 3$  that sequences have engough spatial coverage, so convolutions along the three sequences of x, y, z. it is included in Seq.AdaConv.

	U			
Method	OA(%)	mAcc(%)	mIoU(%)	TP
MLPs	90.9	77.3	71.2	105
$3 \times 1 \times 5$ (xyz)	90.5	77.2	71.0	96
$2 \times 1 \times 3$ (xy)	91.0	77.6	71.7	110
$3 \times 1 \times 3$ (xyz)	91.3	78.2	72.4	101
Maxpool	90.7	77.0	71.1	93
Concat	91.2	77.9	71.8	92
Add	91.3	78.2	72.4	101

PPC	Global path	Seq.Adaconv	SPR	mIoU(%)
				69.0
$\checkmark$				69.3
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			69.5
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		71.3
	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	69.8
$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	70.7
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	72.4

Z-order. As shown in Tab. 5, our method proposed in Sec. 3.1 outperforms other serialization methods, indicating that our approach can better express relative positional relationships and is effectively utilized by convolution. The inferior performance of 3rd-order Z-order method compared to ours shows that the improvement of our algorithm is not solely due to an increase in model parameters.

**Point cloud position refinement methods.** We explore the effectiveness of different point cloud position refinement methods proposed in Sec. 3.3 and their impact on model throughput. As shown in Tab. 6, our SPR method achieves performance close to D-SPR (a SPR based on DCN method, which samples neighborhoods for each sequence to generate intermediate feature maps of size 9  $\times$  the original feature size), demonstrating a certain level of flexibility and significantly improving throughput. Despite having less flexibility, the PPR method still enhances performance. This result indicates that incrementally refining point cloud positions as needed during feature extraction is effective, and the higher flexibility, the more pronounced the performance improvement.

461 **Convolution strategies.** We evaluate different receptive field shapes and multi-sequence fusion 462 algorithms. As shown in Tab. 7, the receptive field of  $3 \times 1 \times 5$  is limited by the number of sampling points, resulting in a lower mIoU. In contrast, the  $3 \times 1 \times 3$  receptive field achieves higher perfor-463 mance with fewer parameters and computational cost. Using BEV (Yang et al., 2018) perspective 464 (xy sequences) for receptive fields performs poorly due to the loss of information in the Z-axis di-465 rection. Additionally, using different convolution parameters for sequences in different directions is 466 beneficial. Using addition for sequence fusion has fewer parameters and a higher mIoU than others. 467

468 Module design. We analyze each modules introduced in DSConv: the position-parameter cou-469 pling (PPC), Local-Global structure, sequence adaptive convolution and point position refinement. 470 The results are illustrated in Tab. 8. PointNeXt-L (Qian et al., 2022) is our baseline. Simply adding 471 PPC or global path in baseline do not significantly improve performance. However, removing them 472 from DSConv leads to a significant performance decline, indicating that sequence convolution re-473 lies on global information and is more sensitive to position. Additionally, it shows that the cou-474 pling of position and parameters enhances the flexibility of convolution. By gradually adding each component we propose, we improve the baseline results to 72.4% mIoU. The increase in mIoU 475 demonstrates the effectiveness of each component. More experiments are provided in Appendix B. 476

477 478

479

432

438

439 440

448 449

450

451 452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

#### 5 CONCLUSION

480 In this paper, we introduce DSConv, which achieves state-of-the-art performance on S3DIS, Scan-481 NetV2 and ScanObjectNN. We utilize coordinate-based serialization to preserve the relative posi-482 tional information of point clouds, followed by feature extraction using convolution. Additionally, 483 we propose adaconv and multi-sequence fusion strategies to achieve finer-grained sequential convolution. Local-global structure ensures the global features within the groups. Position refinement and 484 parameter coupling enhances the flexibility in processing point clouds. By adjusting input features 485 and optimizing sampling methods, our model still maintains excellent scalability and speed.

## 486 REFERENCES

513

- Iro Armeni, Ozan Sener, Amir R. Zamir, Helen Jiang, Ioannis Brilakis, Martin Fischer, and Silvio
   Savarese. 3d semantic parsing of large-scale indoor spaces. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, June 2016.
- 491 Nicolas Carion, Francisco Massa, Gabriel Synnaeve, Nicolas Usunier, Alexander Kirillov, and
   492 Sergey Zagoruyko. End-to-end object detection with transformers. In *European conference on* 493 *computer vision*, pp. 213–229. Springer, 2020.
- Binjie Chen, Yunzhou Xia, Yu Zang, Cheng Wang, and Jonathan Li. Decoupled local aggregation for point cloud learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.16532*, 2023.
- Guangyan Chen, Meiling Wang, Yi Yang, Kai Yu, Li Yuan, and Yufeng Yue. Pointgpt: Autoregressively generative pre-training from point clouds. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- Christopher Choy, Jun Young Gwak, and Silvio Savarese. 4d spatio-temporal convnets: Minkowski convolutional neural networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 3075–3084, 2019.
- Angela Dai, Angel X Chang, Manolis Savva, Maciej Halber, Thomas Funkhouser, and Matthias
   Nießner. Scannet: Richly-annotated 3d reconstructions of indoor scenes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 5828–5839, 2017a.
- Jifeng Dai, Haozhi Qi, Yuwen Xiong, Yi Li, Guodong Zhang, Han Hu, and Yichen Wei. Deformable
   convolutional networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*,
   pp. 764–773, 2017b.
- Xin Deng, WenYu Zhang, Qing Ding, and XinMing Zhang. Pointvector: a vector representation in point cloud analysis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 9455–9465, 2023.
- Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas
  Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, et al. An
  image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929*, 2020.
- Meng-Hao Guo, Jun-Xiong Cai, Zheng-Ning Liu, Tai-Jiang Mu, Ralph R. Martin, and Shi-Min Hu.
   Pct: Point cloud transformer. *Computational Visual Media*, 7(2):187 199, 2021.
- Abdullah Hamdi, Silvio Giancola, and Bernard Ghanem. Mvtn: Multi-view transformation network
   for 3d shape recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 1–11, 2021.
- Jiawei Han, Kaiqi Liu, Wei Li, Guangzhi Chen, Wenguang Wang, and Feng Zhang. A large-scale network construction and lightweighting method for point cloud semantic segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 33:2004–2017, 2024. doi: 10.1109/TIP.2024.3372446.
- Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 770–778, 2016.
- David Hilbert and David Hilbert. Über die stetige abbildung einer linie auf ein flächenstück. Dritter Band: Analysis. Grundlagen der Mathematik. Physik Verschiedenes: Nebst Einer Lebensgeschichte, pp. 1–2, 1935.
- Xin Lai, Jianhui Liu, Li Jiang, Liwei Wang, Hengshuang Zhao, Shu Liu, Xiaojuan Qi, and Jiaya Jia. Stratified transformer for 3d point cloud segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 8500–8509, 2022.
- Alex H. Lang, Sourabh Vora, Holger Caesar, Lubing Zhou, Jiong Yang, and Oscar Beijbom. Point pillars: Fast encoders for object detection from point clouds. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Com- puter Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, volume 2019-June, pp. 12689 12697, Long Beach, CA, United states, 2019.

540 541 542	Yangyan Li, Rui Bu, Mingchao Sun, Wei Wu, Xinhan Di, and Baoquan Chen. Pointcnn: Convolution on x-transformed points. In <i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems</i> , volume 2018-December, pp. 820 – 830, Montreal, QC, Canada, 2018.
543 544 545 546	Haojia Lin, Xiawu Zheng, Lijiang Li, Fei Chao, Shanshan Wang, Yan Wang, Yonghong Tian, and Rongrong Ji. Meta architecture for point cloud analysis. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Con-</i> <i>ference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition</i> , pp. 17682–17691, 2023.
547 548 549	Ze Liu, Yutong Lin, Yue Cao, Han Hu, Yixuan Wei, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Baining Guo. Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows. In <i>Proceedings of the</i> <i>IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision</i> , pp. 10012–10022, 2021.
550 551 552	Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. Decoupled weight decay regularization. In 7th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2019, New Orleans, LA, United states, 2019.
553 554	Xu Ma, Can Qin, Haoxuan You, Haoxi Ran, and Yun Fu. Rethinking network design and local geometry in point cloud: A simple residual mlp framework, 2022.
555 556 557	Guy M Morton. A computer oriented geodetic data base and a new technique in file sequencing. <i>physics of plasmas</i> , 1966.
558 559 560	Yatian Pang, Wenxiao Wang, Francis EH Tay, Wei Liu, Yonghong Tian, and Li Yuan. Masked au- toencoders for point cloud self-supervised learning. In <i>European conference on computer vision</i> , pp. 604–621. Springer, 2022.
562 563 564	Jinyoung Park, Sanghyeok Lee, Sihyeon Kim, Yunyang Xiong, and Hyunwoo J Kim. Self- positioning point-based transformer for point cloud understanding. In <i>Proceedings of the</i> <i>IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 21814–21823, 2023.
565 566	Bohao Peng, Xiaoyang Wu, Li Jiang, Yukang Chen, Hengshuang Zhao, Zhuotao Tian, and Jiaya Jia. Oa-cnns: Omni-adaptive sparse cnns for 3d semantic segmentation. In <i>CVPR</i> , 2024.
567 568 569 570 571	Charles R. Qi, Hao Su, Kaichun Mo, and Leonidas J. Guibas. Pointnet: Deep learning on point sets for 3d classification and segmentation. In <i>Proceedings - 30th IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2017</i> , volume 2017-January, pp. 77 – 85, Honolulu, HI, United states, 2017a.
572 573 574 575	<ul> <li>Charles Ruizhongtai Qi, Li Yi, Hao Su, and Leonidas J Guibas. Pointnet++: Deep hierarchical feature learning on point sets in a metric space. In I. Guyon, U. Von Luxburg, S. Bengio, H. Wallach, R. Fergus, S. Vishwanathan, and R. Garnett (eds.), <i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems</i>, volume 30. Curran Associates, Inc., 2017b.</li> </ul>
576 577 578	Guocheng Qian, Abdulellah Abualshour, Guohao Li, Ali Thabet, and Bernard Ghanem. Pu-gcn: Point cloud upsampling using graph convolutional networks. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF</i> <i>Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition</i> , pp. 11683–11692, 2021a.
579 580 581 582 583	Guocheng Qian, Hasan Hammoud, Guohao Li, Ali Thabet, and Bernard Ghanem. Assanet: An anisotropic separable set abstraction for efficient point cloud representation learning. In M. Ranzato, A. Beygelzimer, Y. Dauphin, P.S. Liang, and J. Wortman Vaughan (eds.), <i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems</i> , volume 34, pp. 28119–28130. Curran Associates, Inc., 2021b.
584 585 586	Guocheng Qian, Yuchen Li, Houwen Peng, Jinjie Mai, Hasan Hammoud, Mohamed Elhoseiny, and Bernard Ghanem. Pointnext: Revisiting pointnet++ with improved training and scaling strategies. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS), 2022.
587 588 589 590	Haoxi Ran, Jun Liu, and Chengjie Wang. Surface representation for point clouds. In <i>Proceedings</i> of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pp. 18942–18952, June 2022.
591 592 593	Ramprasaath R Selvaraju, Michael Cogswell, Abhishek Das, Ramakrishna Vedantam, Devi Parikh, and Dhruv Batra. Grad-cam: Visual explanations from deep networks via gradient-based local- ization. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision</i> , pp. 618–626, 2017.

594 595 596	Christian Szegedy, Vincent Vanhoucke, Sergey Ioffe, Jon Shlens, and Zbigniew Wojna. Rethink- ing the inception architecture for computer vision. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on</i> <i>Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)</i> , June 2016.
597 598 599 600	Liyao Tang, Yibing Zhan, Zhe Chen, Baosheng Yu, and Dacheng Tao. Contrastive boundary learning for point cloud segmentation. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition</i> , pp. 8489–8499, 2022.
601 602 603 604	Hugues Thomas, Charles R. Qi, Jean-Emmanuel Deschaud, Beatriz Marcotegui, Francois Goulette, and Leonidas Guibas. Kpconv: Flexible and deformable convolution for point clouds. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision</i> , volume 2019-October, pp. 6410 – 6419, Seoul, Korea, Republic of, 2019.
605 606 607 608	Hugues Thomas, Yao-Hung Hubert Tsai, Timothy D Barfoot, and Jian Zhang. Kpconvx: Moderniz- ing kernel point convolution with kernel attention. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference</i> <i>on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition</i> , pp. 5525–5535, 2024.
609 610 611 612	Mikaela Angelina Uy, Quang-Hieu Pham, Binh-Son Hua, Thanh Nguyen, and Sai-Kit Yeung. Revis- iting point cloud classification: A new benchmark dataset and classification model on real-world data. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision</i> , pp. 1588– 1597, 2019.
613 614 615	Lei Wang, Yuchun Huang, Yaolin Hou, Shenman Zhang, and Jie Shan. Graph attention convolution for point cloud semantic segmentation. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 10296–10305, 2019a.
617 618	Peng-Shuai Wang. Octformer: Octree-based transformers for 3d point clouds. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 42(4):1–11, 2023.
619 620 621 622	Wenhai Wang, Jifeng Dai, Zhe Chen, Zhenhang Huang, Zhiqi Li, Xizhou Zhu, Xiaowei Hu, Tong Lu, Lewei Lu, Hongsheng Li, et al. Internimage: Exploring large-scale vision foundation models with deformable convolutions. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition</i> , pp. 14408–14419, 2023.
623 624 625 626	Yue Wang, Yongbin Sun, Ziwei Liu, Sanjay E. Sarma, Michael M. Bronstein, and Justin M. Solomon. Dynamic graph cnn for learning on point clouds. <i>ACM Transactions on Graphics</i> , 38(5), 2019b.
627 628 629	Wenxuan Wu, Zhongang Qi, and Li Fuxin. Pointconv: Deep convolutional networks on 3d point clouds. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition</i> , volume 2019-June, pp. 9613 – 9622, Long Beach, CA, United states, 2019.
630 631 632 633	Xiaoyang Wu, Yixing Lao, Li Jiang, Xihui Liu, and Hengshuang Zhao. Point transformer v2: Grouped vector attention and partition-based pooling. <i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems</i> , 35:33330–33342, 2022.
634 635 636	Xiaoyang Wu, Zhuotao Tian, Xin Wen, Bohao Peng, Xihui Liu, Kaicheng Yu, and Hengshuang Zhao. Towards large-scale 3d representation learning with multi-dataset point prompt training. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.09718</i> , 2023.
637 638 639	Xiaoyang Wu, Li Jiang, Peng-Shuai Wang, Zhijian Liu, Xihui Liu, Yu Qiao, Wanli Ouyang, Tong He, and Hengshuang Zhao. Point transformer v3: Simpler, faster, stronger. In <i>CVPR</i> , 2024.
640 641 642	Zhirong Wu, Shuran Song, Aditya Khosla, Fisher Yu, Linguang Zhang, Xiaoou Tang, and Jianxiong Xiao. 3d shapenets: A deep representation for volumetric shapes. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 1912–1920, 2015.
643 644 645	Tiange Xiang, Chaoyi Zhang, Yang Song, Jianhui Yu, and Weidong Cai. Walk in the cloud: Learning curves for point clouds shape analysis. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision</i> , pp. 915–924, 2021.
647	Mutian Xu, Runyu Ding, Hengshuang Zhao, and Xiaojuan Qi. Paconv: Position adaptive convolution with dynamic kernel assembling on point clouds. In <i>CVPR</i> , 2021.

- Yifan Xu, Tianqi Fan, Mingye Xu, Long Zeng, and Yu Qiao. Spidercnn: Deep learning on point sets with parameterized convolutional filters. In *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV)*, pp. 87–102, 2018.
- Yan Yan, Yuxing Mao, and Bo Li. Second: Sparsely embedded convolutional detection. *Sensors*, 18(10):3337, 2018.
- Bin Yang, Wenjie Luo, and Raquel Urtasun. Pixor: Real-time 3d object detection from point clouds.
  In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 7652–7660, 2018.
- Hongcheng Yang, Dingkang Liang, Dingyuan Zhang, Zhe Liu, Zhikang Zou, Xingyu Jiang, and
   Yingying Zhu. Avs-net: Point sampling with adaptive voxel size for 3d scene understanding.
   *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.17521*, 2024.
- Yu-Qi Yang, Yu-Xiao Guo, Jian-Yu Xiong, Yang Liu, Hao Pan, Peng-Shuai Wang, Xin Tong, and
   Baining Guo. Swin3d: A pretrained transformer backbone for 3d indoor scene understanding.
   *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.06906*, 2023.
- Kumin Yu, Lulu Tang, Yongming Rao, Tiejun Huang, Jie Zhou, and Jiwen Lu. Point-bert: Pre-training 3d point cloud transformers with masked point modeling. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 19313–19322, June 2022.
- Hengshuang Zhao, Li Jiang, Jiaya Jia, Philip H.S. Torr, and Vladlen Koltun. Point transformer. In
   *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pp. 16259–16268, October 2021.
- Yin Zhou and Oncel Tuzel. Voxelnet: End-to-end learning for point cloud based 3d object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 4490 4499, Salt Lake City, UT, United states, 2018.
- Kizhou Zhu, Han Hu, Stephen Lin, and Jifeng Dai. Deformable convnets v2: More deformable, better results. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 9308–9316, 2019.

# 702 A CLASSIFICATION ARCHITECTURE



Figure 6: DSConv-S architecture for classification. We replace the SetAbstraction modules with DSConv modules and make adjustments to the DSConv modules, while the rest of the components remain unchanged.

As shown in Fig. 6, we replace the middle three SetAbstraction modules of PointNeXt with our DSConv modules. We keep the first SetAbstraction module to guarantee the accuracy of model, and maintain the last SetAbstraction module for its global pooling function. Meanwhile, to ensure the extraction of key points, we set the number of neighbor points to 32 and move the FPS block after grouping to the front for downsampling. Additionally, we swap the sum pooling in the MLP path with max pooling to improve performance in classification tasks. For DSConv-XXL, we design it according to Sec. 3.5 without changing SetAbstraction module, and reduce the arrangement of DSConvs to [2, 2, 2].

## **B** EXPERIMENTS

#### B.1 3D SEMANTIC SEGMENTATION ON S3DIS

Table 9: S3DIS 6-fold cross-validation.

Method	Metric	Area1	Area2	Area3	Area4	Area5	Area6	6-Fold
	OA	92.69	91.14	93.81	90.24	91.01	94.27	91.86
PointVector-XL	mAcc	89.58	79.07	92.22	83.81	78.09	92.84	86.12
	mIoU	82.38	70.56	84.64	70.17	72.29	86.40	78.41
	OA	91.96	89.05	93.74	89.89	91.27	94.36	91.41
DSConv-L	mAcc	88.02	75.38	92.86	82.86	78.21	92.37	85.46
	mIoU	80.88	65.94	84.99	72.46	72.43	86.76	77.99
	OA	92.46	91.17	93.92	90.57	92.09	94.34	92.22
DSConv-XL	mAcc	89.40	79.82	92.90	82.41	78.79	92.86	87.07
	mIoU	82.08	70.18	85.11	71.10	73.49	87.21	79.65

We introduce the detailed results on S3DIS with 6-fold cross-validation and compare them with the previous state-of-the-art method, PointVector (Deng et al., 2023). As shown in Tab. 9, our DSConv-XL surpasses PointVector in all three metrics: OA, mAcc, and mIoU, and it performs better in 4 areas, including an improvement of 1.2% mIoU on Area 5. Meanwhile, we observe the mIoU of DSConv-XL is 1.36% lower than that of DSConv-L on S3DIS Area 4. Further experiments indicate that DSConv-XXL performs with lower accuracy than DSConv-XL in some areas. Therefore, we select DSConv-XL as the best model on S3DIS 6-fold cross-validation.

763 764

765

789 790

791

793 794

#### B.2 3D OBJECT CLASSIFICATION ON MODELNET40

ModelNet40 (Wu et al., 2015) is a 766 commonly used dataset for 3D ob-767 ject recognition and classification, 768 comprising 40 different categories, 769 each containing unique 100 CAD 770 models with varying orientations and 771 poses. Due to the inclusion of mul-772 tiple categories and a large num-773 ber of 3D object models, the Mod-774 elNet40 dataset presents challenges for algorithm generalization and ro-775 bustness. However, recent research 776 has favored ScanObjectNN, which is 777 based on real-world objects. There-778 fore, we mainly benchmark DSConv 779 on ScanObjectNN. Here, our results on ModelNet40 are also provided. 781 We employ the exact same training 782 strategy as DeLA, including AdamW

Table 10: Classification on ModelNet40.

Method	OA(%)	mAcc(%)
PointNet (Qi et al., 2017a)	89.2	86.2
PointNet++ (Qi et al., 2017b)	91.9	-
PointCNN (Li et al., 2018)	92.2	88.1
DGCNN (Wang et al., 2019b)	92.9	90.2
KPConv (Thomas et al., 2019)	92.9	-
ASSANet-L (Qian et al., 2021b)	92.9	-
MVTN (Hamdi et al., 2021)	93.5	92.2
CurveNet (Xiang et al., 2021)	93.8	-
PTv1 (Zhao et al., 2021)	93.7	90.6
PointMLP (Ma et al., 2022)	94.1	91.3
PTv2 (Wu et al., 2022)	94.2	91.6
PointNeXt (Qian et al., 2022)	94.0	91.1
DeLA (Chen et al., 2023)	94.0	92.1
DSConv-XXL(our)	94.0	92.2

optimizer, a learning rate of 0.002, cosine learning rate decay, weight decay of 0.05, a batch size of
32 for 600 epochs, and 1024 input points, with random scaling and translation as data augmentation. As shown in Tab. 10, our algorithm achieves results similar to DeLA (Chen et al., 2023) and
PointNeXt (Qian et al., 2022). Furthermore, consistent with the ScanObjectNN test, the weighted
sum downsampling of convolution does not effectively extract crucial edge features, which is the
primary reason for the lack of greater performance improvement in our algorithm.

#### B.3 CONTRAST WITH 3D CONVOLUTION-BASED METHODS.

Table 11: Contrast with 3D convolution-based methods upon PointNeXt baseline on S3DIS Area 5.

Method	OA (%)	mIoU (%)	Params. (M)	TP (ins./sec.)
PointConv (Wu et al., 2019)	89.5	67.9	29.9	30
KPConv (Thomas et al., 2019)	89.9	69.5	17.3	54
PAConv (Xu et al., 2021)	90.7	70.5	27.3	31
DSConv(our)	91.3	72.4	5.5	101

800 To validate the effectiveness of our serialization method and adaptive convolution, we conduct a 801 comparative analysis with 3D convolution-based methods. To compensate for potential shortcom-802 ings in the training strategies of previous 3D convolution-based methods and to ensure a fair com-803 parison, all methods are trained and evaluated under the PointNeXt-L (Qian et al., 2022) baseline 804 by default, employing identical training and testing parameters. Similar to DSConv, we solely re-805 place the LA module of PointNeXt with other 3D convolution-based methods, while preserving the 806 residual structure in PointNeXt. Furthermore, we adopt the same block stacking strategy. For speed 807 test,  $16 \times 15,000$  points are used to measure throughput. As shown in Tab. 11, our method demonstrates a significant advantage among all approaches applying 2D convolutions to 3D point clouds, 808 achieving an accuracy improvement of 1.9% mIoU over PAConv (Xu et al., 2021). Moreover, our 809 method significantly outperforms other convolution-based methods in terms of speed, primarily due to the combination of serialization and AdaConv, which effectively avoids the complexities of spatial mapping in point clouds. Additionally, DSConv has only 5.5M parameters, because we directly compute the point cloud sequences using 2D convolutions, rather than employing a large number of MLPs to simulate convolution, as seen in previous methods.

#### 815 B.4 ABLATION STUDY 816

814

MLPs in DSConv module. In ad-817 dition to convolution, our DSConv 818 module also employs MLPs to ac-819 complish feature fusion at the front-820 end and terminal positions. To val-821 idate the necessity of these two parts 822 of MLPs, we conduct ablation studies 823 on DSConv-L, testing various combi-824 nations of MLPs. Preconv and Post-825 conv are located after the positional 826 encoding and before the summation 827 of residual connections, respectively. They are respectively used to fuse in-828

Table 12: The results of different MLP combinations for feature fusion on S3DIS Area 5.

Method	OA(%)	mAcc(%)	mIoU(%)
Preconv	91.3	78.2	72.4
Preconv+Attention	90.6	75.8	70.2
Attention	90.3	76.9	70.5
w/o Pre-MLPs	90.8	77.4	71.6
Postconv	91.3	78.2	72.4
Bottleneck Postconv	90.8	77.0	71.0
w/o Post-MLPs	90.5	76.0	70.3

put features with positional encoding and integrate features from MLP path and sequential convolution path. Both Preconv and Postconv are composed of point-wise convolutions. In addition, Attention refers to the use of a channel attention mechanism to process the input features. As shown in Tab. 12, using a simple MLPs structure for positional encoding fusion is more effective. Furthermore, since the DSConv module introduces multi-layer convolutions during feature processing, the original use of bottleneck convolutions would excessively increase the network depth, affecting the performance of the residual structure. Therefore, using a single MLP layer in Postconv achieves higher mIoU and OA.

837 Skip connection. We experiment with 838 different residual structures. Concat+Mlp 839 refers to concatenating the output of the 840 cross-layer connection with the result of the main path, and then fusing them 841 through a MLP. As shown in Tab. 13, 842 the method of fusing the main path and 843 the skip connection through Concat+MLP 844

Table 13: Skip connection in DSConv module.

Method	OA(%)	mAcc(%)	mIoU(%)
Identity	91.0	76.8	70.8
Concat+MLP	91.1	76.2	71.1
Linear	91.3	78.2	72.4

outperforms the residual structure (He et al., 2016) of PointNeXt. But using only linear for the skip connection achieves better results. Therefore, we choose it as our baseline.

#### C VISUALIZATION

As shown in Fig. 7, our algorithm is able to perform better at the boundary planes, with more distinct segmentation lines for planar regions. This indicates that our algorithm is more sensitive to edge information, similar to 2D convolution algorithms. The utilization of local-global features gives us an advantage in both large complex scenes and simple scenarios. However, like most methods, our algorithm also exhibits limitations in segmenting small embedded components, such as small windows on walls.

855 856 857

858

836

845

846 847

848 849

850

851

852

853

854

#### D EFFECTIVENESS OF DSCONV

We visualize output features of the last module in DSConv using Grad-CAM (Selvaraju et al., 2017) and compare it with KPConv to analyze the effectiveness of DSConv. As shown in Fig. 8, DSConv demonstrates a higher sensitivity to edge features, with a greater distinction between high and low attention regions. This is because DSConv performs convolution within serialized group points, allowing it to extract more fine-grained features. Additionally, the high flexibility of DSConv make it easier to learn complex shapes, such as airplane tail fins and computer brackets.



Figure 7: Qualitative comparisons of Ground Truth ( $2^{nd}$  column), PointNeXt ( $3^{rd}$  column), and DSConv ( $4^{th}$  column) on S3DIS semantic segmentation. The specific differences are highlighted with red anchor boxes.



Figure 8: Attention visualization results of DSConv  $(1^{st} \text{ row})$  and KPConv  $(2^{nd} \text{ row})$  on Model-Net40. High activations are in yellow and low activations in blue.