# MDDR: Multi-modal Dual-Attention aggregation for Depression Recognition

Anonymous Author(s)\*

# ABSTRACT

Automated diagnosis of depression is crucial for early detection and timely intervention. Previous research has largely concentrated on visual information, often neglecting the value of leveraging a variety of data types. Although some studies have attempted to employ multiple modalities, they typically fall short in investigating the complex dynamics between features from various modalities over time. To address this challenge, we present an innovative Multi-modal Dual-Attention aggregation architecture for Depression Recognition (MDDR). This framework capitalizes on multimodal pre-trained features and introduces two attention aggregation mechanisms: the Feature Alignment and Aggregation (FAA) module and the Sequence Encoding and Aggregation (SEA) module. The FAA module is designed to dynamically evaluate the relevance of multi-modal features for each instance, facilitating a dynamic integration of these features over time. Following this, the SEA module determines the importance of the amalgamated features for each frame, ensuring that aggregation is conducted based on their significance, to extract the most relevant features for accurately diagnosing depression. Moreover, we propose a unique loss calculation method specifically designed for depression assessment, named DRLoss. Our approach, evaluated on the AVEC2013 and AVEC2014 depression audiovisual datasets, achieves unparalleled performance.

### CCS CONCEPTS

• Computing methodologies  $\rightarrow$  Artificial intelligence; • Human-centered computing  $\rightarrow$  Human-centered computing.

# KEYWORDS

Affective Computing; Automatic depression recognition; Multimodal; Attention aggregation; Self-attention.

#### ACM Reference Format:

Anonymous Author(s). 2024. MDDR: Multi-modal Dual-Attention aggregation for Depression Recognition. In *Proceedings of Proceedings of the 32th ACM International Conference on Multimedia (MM '24)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 8 pages. https://doi.org/XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

# 1 INTRODUCTION

Major Depression Disorder (MDD) is a severe mental illness, with over 350 million people worldwide suffering from it [1]. However,



Figure 1: Our approach employs a Multi-modal framework that effectively capture the complex dynamics between features from various modalities over time, aimed at achieving accurate and efficient automatic depression assessment.

due to factors such as social stigma and a significant imbalance between the number of doctors and patients, over 50 percent of individuals with depression do not receive relevant mental health services, missing the optimal time for intervention [2, 3]. In fact, diagnosing depression is a highly complex and time-consuming task.Clinicians must comprehensively consider multiple diagnostic outcomes, including patient interviews and depression test scales, to assess the symptoms and severity of a patient's depression [4]. Nonetheless, such assessments, which heavily rely on the subjective analysis of clinicians, are prone to errors and inefficiency.

In recent years, significant advancements have been made in the research on automatic depression diagnosis based on patient facial videos, thanks to the rapid development of computer vision and deep learning technologies. Initial research primarily focused on analyzing video data of patients, utilizing basic models such as convolutional neural networks (CNNs) to detect facial features indicative of depression [7, 8, 17, 21, 23]. However, subsequent findings have revealed that the indicators of depression are not confined to visual cues alone but also include the patient's speech patterns and head movements [5, 6, 45, 46]. These elements are vital as they provide significant insights into an individual's emotional and cognitive states, thereby presenting a more comprehensive set of indicators for depression.

Recent efforts have sought to incorporate multiple modalities, analyzing features within individual modalities before integrating them [16, 18, 26, 27, 42]. This approach, however, tends to overlook the nuanced diagnostics practiced in clinical settings, where clinicians assess patients' conditions through a combination of verbal and non-verbal cues and dynamically adjust their focus based on the context. This situation highlights the need for methodologies that not only merge multimodal features but also adaptively prioritize their importance over time, mirroring the variable emphasis clinicians place on different aspects of patient behavior. To date,

116

59 60

61 62

63 64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

<sup>55</sup> MM '24, 28 October - 1 November 2024, Melbourne, Australia

 <sup>© 2024</sup> Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.
 ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-XXXX-X/18/06...\$15.00

<sup>57</sup> https://doi.org/XXXXXXXXXXXXXX58

118

119

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

existing research has yet to fully embrace this approach, marking a significant gap in the development of depression recognition systems that effectively replicate clinical diagnostic processes.

In this paper, we aim to bridge the identified gap by proposing 120 a model that dynamically weights multimodal features, offering a 121 more nuanced and clinically aligned method for depression recognition, as illustrated in Figure 1. We introduce a novel Multi-modal 123 Dual-Attention Aggregation framework for this purpose, referred 124 125 to as MDDR. To enhance the generalizability of our model, we have 126 employed pre-trained models to extract features related to facial expressions, acoustic properties, and head movements separately. 127

To explore the complex dynamics between features from various 128 modalities over time, we introduce two attention-based modules: 129 the Feature Alignment and Aggregation (FAA) module and the Se-130 quence Encoding and Aggregation (SEA) module. The FAA module 131 utilizes an attention mechanism to adaptively determine the impor-132 tance of each modality at each timestep, leveraging these weights 133 to compile a fused multimodal representation for each moment. 134 135 The SEA module employs a self-attention mechanism to identify interrelations among fused features at different moments, generat-136 137 ing an aggregated representation that reflects the significance of 138 these features throughout the entire sequence.

139 Furthermore, we recognize that the actual diagnosis of depression more accurately resembles an interval prediction challenge. To 140 address this, we propose a novel loss function specifically designed 141 142 for depression recognition, termed the Depression Recognition (DR) loss. The DR loss introduces a range discrimination hyperparameter, 143 aiming to diminish the model's sensitivity to outliers and ensure 144 the convergence of prediction results within a specified range. 145 146

Our contributions can be summarized as follows:

- We propose a novel Multi-modal Dual-Attention Aggregation architecture for Depression Recognition (MDDR), offering a more nuanced and clinically aligned approach to diagnosing depression.
- We propose two Attention Aggregation modules (FAA and SEA), designed for the dynamic fusion of features from various modalities over time.
- We have introduced a novel loss function specifically designed for depression recognition, named Depression Recognition (DR) loss.
- · We evaluated our approach on two depression audiovisual datasets: AVEC2013 and AVEC2014, both achieving stateof-the-art results.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly summarizes the related works for depression. In Section 3, we detail our proposed method (MDDR). Section 4 and Section 5 illustrate the experimental setup, along with the evaluate and analyze results, respectively. Finally, in Section 6, we discuss the conclusions of this paper and future work.

# 2 RELATED WORK

Considering the challenges faced in existing research on automated depression diagnosis using patient facial videos, we can categorize them into the following types.

Multi-modal learning. Recently, Multi-modal methods have made valuable progress in many depression recognition tasks [12, 175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

13, 22]. For instance, Zhang et al. utilized state-of-the-art models to extract Multi-modal (audio and visual) features, then fused these features using a Transformer Encoder, achieving excellent results in multiple emotion analysis tasks [14]. Sun et al. used multilayer perceptrons (MLPs) instead of self-attention to mix across three dimensions (modality, sequence length, features) for tasks like sentiment analysis and depression estimation. [15]. Chao et al. concatenated audio features, facial appearance features, and facial shape features for early exploration of multimodal learning in depression recognition [18]. Chen et al. employed audio, textual, and visual features to design a feature fusion framework both within and across modalities, achieving advanced results in depression recognition [16]. However, the complex relationships between modalities over time have not been effectively explored. In this paper, we designed two Attention Aggregation modules to dynamically fuse features from various modalities over time, achieving a more refined multimodal integration.

Spatio-temporal modeling. To fully utilize the spatio-temporal information in patients' videos, researchers employ Dual-stream approaches or recurrent neural networks (RNN, LSTM) to model the spatio-temporal representation of the entire video [18-20]. For instance, Azher et al. utilized Bi-directional Long Short-Term Memory networks (BiLSTM) to capture the global representation of entire videos for depression recognition [20]. Jazaery et al. employed RNNs to model the local and global spatio-temporal information of continuous facial expressions to identify patients' depressive states [7]. Zhu et al. and Melo et al. propose a depression assessment method based on a two-stream framework, where one stream learns static features in the video through convolutional neural networks, and another stream learns dynamic features, combining results from both streams to diagnose depression [19, 29]. However, these methods focusing solely on the variation of spatial features temporally, overlooking the interrelations between spatial features at different times, represent a significant oversight. In this paper, we employ a self-attention mechanism to capture the interrelations among spatial features at different times, obtaining an enhanced representation that incorporates global relationships and spatiotemporal information.

Pre-trained models. Due to the scarcity of publicly available depression video datasets, researchers utilize pre-trained models to extract facial depression features from videos [19-21]. For instance, Azher et al. used the Inception-ResNet-v2 network, pretrained on the ImageNet dataset, to extract static features from videos for depression assessment [20]. Melo et al. employed a two-stream framework, with both streams utilizing the ResNet-50 network, pretrained on the VGG Face dataset, to capture appearance and motion information from videos for depression assessment [19]. Zhou et al. utilized a ResNet network trained on the CASIA largescale facial database, subsequently fine-tuning it to capture facial depression features in patients [17]. Jazaery et al. and Melo et al. pre-trained the C3D network on the Sports-1M and UCF101 video datasets to learn depression representations in videos [7, 21]. However, these methods use pret-rained data that significantly differ from the depression recognition task, limiting the improvement in model performance. Considering the strong correlation between facial emotional states and depression outcomes, in this paper, we first utilize a pre-trained model, trained on a large-scale facial emotion

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

dataset, to extract facial depression features from videos, thereby enhancing the model's generalization ability.

# 3 METHODOLOGY

# 3.1 Overview

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

Our framework takes a face video containing audio as input. As illustrated in Figure 2, the Multi-modal features pre-extraction module extracts three modal features from given video: visual features  $X_v$ , acoustic features  $X_a$  and Head movement features  $X_p$ . The Features Alignment and Aggregation (FAA) module aligns and stacks these features into  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times M \times D}$ , where L represents the length of the sequence, M represents the number of modalities, and Drepresents the feature dimension. The X is processed by the Intermodality Attention aggregation network to produce  $F \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times D}$ . Following this, the Sequence Encoding and Aggregation (SEA) module uses a self-attention-based Encoder to process F and produce  $O \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times D}$ , followed by the Temporal Attention aggregation network that yields an aggregated representation  $E \in \mathbb{R}^{D}$ . Finally, E is processed by a classification network based on a Multilayer Perceptrons (MLPs) to produce the final depression assessment results, which is a depression score ranging from 0 to 63.

# 3.2 Multi-modal features pre-extraction

To derive more generalized features indicative of depression, we utilize pre-trained models to extract deep representations from each modality within raw audiovisual data. This paper focuses on extracting three types of features: visual, acoustic, and head movement features, each demonstrating unique characteristics associated with depression. For the extraction of head movement and acoustic features, we employ OpenFace[34] and HuBERT[35], respectively. Specifically, considering the strong correlation between depression-related visual features and facial expressions, coupled with the challenge conventional image pre-trained models (such as those based on ImageNet[44]) face in extracting effective emotional features, we have developed a facial feature extraction model. This model, which is pre-trained using ResNet-50[47] on the extensive emotion recognition dataset AffectNet[43], achieves a generalized deep representation of facial expressions.

# 3.3 Features alignment and aggregation (FAA)

Due to variations in the methods used for pre-extracting features across different modalities, there can be discrepancies in sequence lengths and feature dimensions. These differences pose challenges for the subsequent fusion of information among modalities. To align the acoustic and head movement features with the visual features, we utilize two alignment networks that process these two types of features separately. Both alignment networks employ a 1D-CNN as their backbone, enabling them to effectively capture the local features within the input sequence. After processing, we obtained three modalities of features with aligned dimensions, including visual features  $X_v \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times D}$ , acoustic features  $X_a \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times D}$  and head movement features  $X_p \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times D}$ .

In diagnosing depression, clinicians dynamically focus on pa tients' depressive characteristics at different modalities over time
 and considering them comprehensively based on the context. To

emulate this complex diagnostic approach, we utilize an attention mechanism to adaptively determine the importance of each modality at each timestep, leveraging these weights to compile a fused multimodal representation for each moment. Referred to as Intermodality Attention aggregation network, as illustrated in Figure 3 (a). First, we stack these aligned features from different modalities, denoted as  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times M \times D}$ . This step is primarily for the ease of subsequent unified data processing, with the attention weights for each modality learned separately. Next, we utilize a multi-layer fully connected network with shared weights to learn the attention weights of each modality at different times, which are then normalized using a softmax function. Finally, we multiply the attention weights of each modality by their respective feature vectors and sum these products, obtaining a fused feature that incorporates the significance of each modality over all times, defined as  $F \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times D}$ . This method involves a linear combination of each feature based on attention weights, proves to be much faster and more space-efficient in practice. The Attention aggregation calculation formula is as shown in Equation 1, where  $W_m$  represents a trainable parameter,  $W_m \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times 1 \times D}$ .

$$F = \sum_{i=0}^{M} softmax(W_m X^T) X \tag{1}$$

# 3.4 Sequence encoding and aggregation (SEA)

The complex interrelations between depressive characteristics at different times may contain subtle clues of depression. To capture these clues, we utilize a self-attention-based Encoder module to encode the input sequence. The Encoder consists of multiple Blocks, each comprised of a self-attention network and a fully connected layer. Within a Block, the process begins with a Multi-Head Attention layer, followed by a residual connection and Layer Normalization, then fed into a Feed Forward network, with multiple Blocks repeating this process to finally output a sequence. Additionally, the input vectors are not fed directly into the Encoder but are first augmented with Positional Encoding, which is crucial for processing time-series data. The self-attention calculation formula is as shown in Equation 2, where Q, K, and V represent the query, key, and value vectors, respectively.  $O \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times D}$  denotes the output obtained from self-attention computations that encompasses global relationship information.

$$O = softmax(\frac{QK^{T}}{\sqrt{d_{k}}})V$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

We implemented a method similar to the Inter-modality attention aggregation described in Section 3.3 to aggregate temporal information, referred to as Temporal attention Aggregation network, as illustrated in Figure 3 (b). The Temporal Attention aggregation network dynamically focuses on the significance of each moment within the input sequence, condensing long sequences into a fixed representation that encompasses the significance of all temporal aspects. O, after the Temporal Attention Aggregation network, is transformed into a fixed-size depression representation  $E \in \mathbb{R}^{D}$ . Finally, this representation is passed through a classification network based on Multilayer Perceptrons (MLPs) to output the final depression assessment results.

Anon



Figure 2: Overall framework of the proposed method MDDR. The Multi-modal feature Extraction module extracts multiple pre-defined features from raw audiovisual data, including visual features  $X_v$ , acoustic features  $X_a$  and head movement features  $X_p$ . The FAA module aligns and stacks these features into  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times M \times D}$ . Processed by the Inter-modality Attention aggregation network to produce  $F \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times D}$ . After that, the SEA module uses a self-attention-based Encoder to process F and produce  $O \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times D}$ , followed by the Temporal Attention aggregation network that yields a representation  $E \in \mathbb{R}^D$ . Finally, E is processed by a classification network to produce the final depression assessment results.



Figure 3: We utilized two different Attention aggregation network to separately aggregate information across modalities and over temporal sequences. (a) The Inter-modality Attention aggregation Network. (b) The Temporal Attention aggregation network.

### 3.5 Depression Recognition Loss (DR)

In clinical practice, depression scores obtained through interviews and scales are usually range values, and doctors categorize scores within the same range into one category [39]. Therefore, we designed a loss calculation method suitable for depression assessment (DR), which introduces a range discrimination hyperparameter  $\delta$  aimed at reducing the model's sensitivity to outliers and ensuring convergence of prediction results within a specified range. Specifically, when the predicted value and the target value are in the same category and the prediction error is less than  $\delta$  ( $loss \leq \delta$ ), the model's predictions can be considered consistent with clinical diagnoses. In this case, we multiply the loss by a very small weight w to minimize the gradient impact from the current sample. In all other cases, the Huber loss is applied to calculate the loss. Huber loss is a loss calculation method that combines the advantages of Mean Square Error (MSE) and Mean Absolute Error (MAE), offering robustness against outliers.[40].

$$L_{\delta}(y, f(x)) = \begin{cases} wL_H, & \text{if}(y, f(x)) \in C \land |y - f(x)| \le \delta \\ L_H, & \text{other} \end{cases}$$
(3)

The DR loss calculation formula as shown in Equation 3 , where y represents the target value, f(x) represents the predicted value. C denotes a specific depression category,  $L_H$  is the Huber loss function. w is a minimal weight value, set here as 0.1. The hyperparameter  $\delta$  can be selected through cross-validation for optimal value.

#### MM '24, 28 October - 1 November 2024, Melbourne, Australia

# 4 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

# 4.1 Dataset

465

466

467

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

We conducted extensive experiments on the AVEC2013 and AVEC2014 468 audiovisual depression datasets [30, 31]. These are the currently 469 known two public datasets containing original video data of patients 470 with depression, providing conditions for our research to capture 471 depressive features from raw audiovisual data. The AVEC2013 de-472 pression dataset comprises 150 videos from 82 participants, with 473 an average video length of about 25 minutes. Each video docu-474 ments participants performing a series of tasks, including sustained 475 vowel phonation, speaking loudly, counting from 1 to 10, among 476 others. The AVEC 2014 depression dataset contains 300 recorded 477 videos from 84 participants, with an average video length of about 478 2 minutes. It encompasses two types of recordings: one in which 479 participants loudly read excerpts from the German fable "The North 480 Wind and the Sun" and another where they answer routine ques-481 tions. 482

Each video is labeled with an assessment based on the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II), representing its level of depression. The BDI-II is the most widely used self-rating questionnaire related to depression, extensively employed for detecting depressive symptoms in the general population and assessing the severity of depression in psychiatric patients [38]. It consists of 21 questions measuring aspects of depression, including typical symptoms such as sadness, guilt, suicidal thoughts, and lack of interest. BDI-II scores range from minimal (0-13), to mild (14-19), moderate (20-28), and severe (29-63).

# 4.2 Evaluation Metrics

The model performance is evaluated using Mean Absolute Error (MAE) and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), both of which are used to measure the degree of deviation between predicted values and target values. RMSE represents the square root of the average squared differences between predicted values and target values. MAE represents the average absolute deviation between predicted values and target values. The definitions of MAE and RMSE are as follows:

MAE = 
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i - \hat{x}_i|$$
 (4)

RMSE = 
$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \hat{x}_i)^2}$$
 (5)

Where *N* is the total number of samples,  $\hat{x}_i$  is the predicted value for the *j*-th sample, and  $x_i$  is the true value for the *i*-th sample.

### 4.3 Implementation details

Considering the redundancy in video data, we extracted one frame out of every 100 for AVEC 2013 and four frames out of every 100 for AVEC 2014, cropping the images to a size of 224×224 pixels. We utilize facial emotion pre-trained model to extract visual features from facial images, resulting in 2048-dimensional deep representations. We use the audio processing library librosa to extract audio signals from videos with a sampling rate of 16000 Hz. We utilize speech pre-trained model to extract acoustic features, resulting in 1024-dimensional deep representation. Using the open-source tool OpenFace, we extract head pose and gaze features from the face images after frame extraction, obtaining a feature dimension of 12. For missing sequence features, we use zero-padding to ensure that each sample sequence length is consistent.

We employed the PyTorch deep learning framework for all experiments, which were conducted on AMD Ryzen 9 5900HX with GeForce RTX 3080 hardware. In our method, we adopted the Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) algorithm with a momentum of 0.9 and a weight decay of 0.0005. The initial learning rate was set to 0.001, employing learning rate decay (the learning rate is multiplied by 0.1 every 50 training rounds). The batch size was set to 16. We utilized DRLoss as the loss function, with hyperparameters set to 2. Data normalization was performed using LayerNorm, with ReLu as the activation function and a dropout rate of 0.1. The AL-CNN uses three 1D convolutional layers for acoustic features with strides (5, 5, 2), kernels (10, 5, 3), and filters (128, 256, 512), and a single layer for head movement features with a stride of 1, a kernel size of 1, and 512 filters. The hidden dimension of the sequence Encoder module is 2048, the number of heads in the multi head attention model is 8. and the number of encoder layers is 6. The classification network employs two fully connected layers, with a hidden layer dimension of 128.

# 4.4 Baseline

We introduce the baselines compared in our experiments as follows, including common base classifiers and novel deep learning methods.

**LPQ**[32, 33]: This approach employs artificial features such as Local Phase Quantization (LPQ) to extract features of patients' facial expressions. However, this method is susceptible to changes in the external environment, can only represent spatial information, and fails to capture dynamic information.

**Two-stream**[19, 29]: This approach utilizes convolutional neural networks to extract static features from video frames and employs optical flow or temporal pooling methods to capture the dynamic features of the video. Finally, the results from both streams are aggregated to determine the ultimate depression outcome.

**C3D**[7, 21, 23]: 3D convolutional neural network can simultaneously extract spatial and temporal features from 3-dimensional data, making them more suitable for video data modeling. However, the number of parameters in 3D CNN is much larger than in 2D CNN, and due to hardware, memory, and runtime limitations, they cannot model excessively long video sequences at once.

**RNN**[18, 20]: This approach uses 2D CNN to extract static features from video frames or employs 3D CNN to extract short-term spatio-temporal features from video clips. Then, recurrent neural networks (RNN, LSTM) are used to further learn global spatiotemporal information from sequential features, facilitating depression assessment.

**Attention**[17]: The authors utilize the ResNet-50 network to learn frame-level features from the video, outputting a set of feature vectors. Subsequently, two cascaded attention modules are employed to adaptively learn the weights of different facial images, generating an aggregated representation. Finally, a regression layer uses the aggregated features to produce a depression score.

**AUs**[28]: The authors use Facial Action Units (AUs) as the underlying features for each frame and employ spectral heatmaps

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

523

524

525

582

583

584

585

586

587

and spectral vectors to characterize multi-scale spatio-temporal information in videos. Subsequently, the constructed spectral representations are fed into Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) and Artificial Neural Network (ANN) for depression analysis.

# **5 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS**

### 5.1 Comparative experimental results

588 We compared our proposed method with the latest results on 589 the AVEC2013 and AVEC2014 audiovisual depression datasets, as 590 shown in Table 1. Our method outperforms all schemes in the ta-591 ble, achieving state-of-the-art results. In AVEC2013, our method 592 improved the MAE results by 3.8% compared to the previous state-593 of-the-art approaches. In AVEC2014, both RMSE and MAE results 594 saw improvements of 3.5% and 0.4%, respectively, against prior 595 state-of-the-art methods. Specifically, 1) Methods using deep neural 596 networks surpassed those relying on manual features (LPQ) [30-597 33]. 2) Our approach exceeded the performance of all convolutional 598 neural network methods [8, 21]. Such methods focusing solely on 599 the spatial features and overlooking the temporal features, which 600 impacts model accuracy. 3) Our method also outperformed both 601 Dual-stream and recurrent neural network approaches [7, 20, 29]. 602 Such methods primarily focuses on the temporal changes in facial 603 spatial features, and the interrelations between spatial features at 604 different times have not been adequately addressed, resulting in lim-605 ited model effectiveness. 4) Our method surpassed those using other 606 pre-trained models [17, 19, 20]. Interestingly, although both [19] 607 and [20] employed Dual-stream approaches and used pre-trained 608 models to extract facial features, Melo et al. utilized a face recogni-609 tion pre-trained model that is more closely related to the task of 610 depression recognition, yielding better results. Notably, we found 611 that attention-based methods are effective [17, 25]. For example, 612 [25] utilized two attention modules to capture facial depression 613 characteristics in patients, achieving commendable depression de-614 tection results even without the use of pre-trained models. Further-615 more, Song et al. employed additional low-dimensional features 616 related to emotions, such as Facial Action Units (AUs) [28]. This 617 approach also yielded favorable results, providing new insights for 618 our subsequent research. 619

# 5.2 Ablation study

620

621

622

623

624

638

To further validate the effectiveness of each module we designed, we conducted extensive ablation experiments.

625 5.2.1 Ablation Study on Pre-trained models. To assess the effectiveness of facial emotion pre-trained models, we conducted ex-626 periments under three conditions: using models pre-trained on 627 AffectNet[43], using models pre-trained on ImageNet[44], and with-628 629 out using any pre-trained models, as shown in Table 2. The results indicate that using facial emotion pre-trained models trained on Af-630 fectNet significantly enhances model performance, outperforming 631 632 other scenarios considerably. Compared to using models pre-trained on ImageNet, RMSE and MAE improved by 14.3% and 19.2%, re-633 spectively, in AVEC2013. In AVEC2014, RMSE and MAE increased 634 by 13.9% and 21.7%, respectively. Using facial emotion pre-trained 635 636 models enhances the focus on facial expression details, thereby 637 extracting more effective features of expressions. Such features are

Mathada	AVEC2013		AVEC2014	
Methods	MAE↓	RMSE↓	MAE↓	RMSE↓
Baseline[30, 31]	10.88	13.61	8.86	10.86
LPQ + Geo [32, 33]	7.86	9.72	8.20	10.27
Concat+LSTM[18]	\	\	7.91	9.98
Two-DCNN [29]	9.82	7.58	7.47	9.55
C3D+RNN [7]	7.37	9.28	7.22	9.20
Two-Stream[20]	7.04	8.93	6.86	8.78
C3D+atten[23]	6.83	8.46	6.78	8.42
C3D+Pool [21]	6.40	8.26	6.59	8.31
LGA-CNN[25]	6.59	8.39	6.51	8.30
ResNet + atten [17]	\	\	6.37	8.43
ResNet+distribution[8]	6.30	8.25	6.15	8.23
Two-stream[19]	5.96	7.97	6.20	7.94
AUs[28]	6.16	8.10	5.95	7.15
Proposed Approach	5.98	7.80	5.75	7.12
= =				

Table 1: Comparison of our method with recent other methods on the AVEC 2013 and AVEC 2014 datasets

highly correlated with depression and enable the model to generalize facial depression features from limited training data, which is crucial for depression recognition tasks.

Pro trained	AVEC2013		AVEC2014	
rie-traineu	MAE↓	RMSE↓	MAE↓	RMSE↓
\	9.86	10.53	9.45	10.93
ImageNet	7.13	8.92	7.0	8.11
AffectNet	5.98	7.80	5.75	7.12

#### Table 2: Result of the study on Pre-training models

5.2.2 Ablation Study on multiple modalities. To assess the impact of different modal information on depression assessment, we compared the effects of a single visual modality with those of integrated multiple modalities information, as shown in Table 3. Experiments indicate that although visual modalities have the potential to contain abundant depressive cues, indicators of depression are not confined to visual cues alone. In fact, these indicators also encompass other modalities such as the patient's speech patterns and head movements. In our experiments, integrating multimodal information, including audio and head movement, significantly enhanced model performance. These results validate our hypothesis that integrating information from various modalities enhances our comprehensive understanding of patients' mental states, thereby improving the accuracy of depression detection.

5.2.3 Ablation Study on Dual-Attention aggregation module. To assess the effectiveness of our proposed Dual-Attention aggregation modules, we conducted experiments on depression assessment results with the FAA module removed, the SEA module removed, and both modules in use, as shown in Table 4. The results show a significant decline in performance when either module is removed. This





Figure 4: The experiment was conducted on the AVEC2014 dataset, employing different loss functions to obtain depression assessment results. Different colors represent different categories of depression severity, with red indicating outliers where the predicted values do not match the target value category. (a) represents the depression assessment results using DR loss. (b) represents the depression assessment results using Huber loss.

Model	AVEC2013		AVEC2014		
Model	MAE↓	RMSE↓	MAE↓	RMSE↓	
v	6.32	8.11	6.21	7.76	
а	8.55	10.25	8.76	9.94	
p	9.53	10.24	9.0	10.01	
v+a+p	5.98	7.80	5.75	7.12	

Table 3: Result of the study on multiple modalities

confirms our hypothesis: Firstly, the characteristics of depression in patients change over time across various modalities in depression diagnosis. The FAA module dynamically learns the importance of different modalities over time, enabling effective integration of multimodal information. Secondly, patients' depressive manifestations are often highly concealed. The SEA module, by capturing the interrelations among features fused at different times within videos, enabling the detection of subtle depression clues hidden within complex temporal relationships. These considerations are crucial for a detailed and accurate depression diagnosis. Notably, the method integrating both FAA and SEA modules achieved the best results, with RMSE and MAE reaching 7.12 and 5.75, respectively.

Mathada	AVEC2013		AVEC2014	
Methous	MAE↓	RMSE↓	MAE↓	RMSE
FAN	6.85	8.55	6.22	7.65
SAN	6.68	8.36	6.13	7.32
FAN+SAN	5.98	7.80	5.75	7.12

Table 4: Result of the study on the Dual-Attention aggrega-tion module

5.2.4 Ablation Study on DR Loss. To verify the effectiveness of our proposed DR loss, experiments were conducted to compare

depression assessment results using different loss calculation methods. According to the classification method in Section 4.1 using the BDI-II, depression severity is categorized into four levels: minimal (white, circle), mild (green, triangle), moderate (yellow, square), and severe (blue, diamond) [38]. Red dots represent outliers, indicating data points that do not fall into the same category as their actual depression level. All true values and predicted values were plotted on one graph, as illustrated in Figure 4. The results show that the number of outliers with the Huber loss method was 47, while with the DR loss method, it was 43. Our loss calculation method resulted in fewer outliers and depression scores within the same category being closer together, which also aligns more closely with clinical diagnostic outcomes in real-world scenarios.

#### 6 CONCLUSION

In this paper, We propose a novel Multi-modal Dual-Attention aggregation architecture for depression recognition (MDDR). It extracts multiple modalities features from patient video as inputs and employs two Attention aggregation modules to capture the complex dynamics between features from various modalities over time, facilitating accurate and efficient automatic depression assessment. Additionally, we propose a loss calculation method (DR) suitable for depression assessment. Our method was evaluated on two standard depression audiovisual datasets (AVEC2013 and AVEC2014), demonstrating its effectiveness and superiority.

Due to the scarcity of data, the method of extracting depression features from video data using complex deep neural networks is limited. In future work, we will focus on mining more effective and generalized depression features from small sample data. Furthermore, we will collect more data from depression patients and establish a multimodal database of depression patients (including EEG, physiological indicators, etc.) to enhance our research.

#### REFERENCES

 Herrman H, Patel V, Kieling C, et al. Time for united action on depression: a Lancet-World Psychiatric Association Commission[J]. The Lancet, 2022, 399(10328): 957-1022. 814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

- [2] Lu J, Xu X, Huang Y, et al. Prevalence of depressive disorders and treatment in China: a cross-sectional epidemiological study.[J]. The lancet. Psychiatry, 2021, 8(11):981-990.DOI:10.1016/S2215-0366(21)00251-0.
- [3] Mathers C, Fat DM, Boerma JT. Depression and other common mental disorders: global health estimates. World Health Organization; 2020.
- [4] Geisser M E, Roth R S, Robinson M E. Assessing depression among persons with chronic pain using the Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale and the Beck Depression Inventory: a comparative analysis[J]. The Clinical journal of pain, 1997, 13(2): 163-170.
- [5] A. Pampouchidou, P. Simos, K. Marias, F. Meriaudeau, F. Yang, M. Pediaditis, and M. Tsiknakis, "Automatic assessment of depression based on visual cues: A systematic review," IEEE Trans. on Affective Computing, 2017.
- [6] H. Ellgring, Non-verbal Communication in Depression, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2007.
- [7] Al Jazaery M, Guo G. Video-based depression level analysis by encoding deep spatiotemporal features[J]. IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing, 2018, 12(1): 262-268.
- [8] De Melo W C, Granger E, Hadid A. Depression detection based on deep distribution learning[C]//2019 IEEE international conference on image processing (ICIP). IEEE, 2019: 4544-4548.
- [9] Safari P , India M , Hernando J .Self-attention encoding and pooling for speaker recognition[J]. 2020.DOI:10.48550/arXiv.2008.01077.
- [10] [1] Vaswani A, Shazeer N, Parmar N, et al. Attention is all you need[J]. Advances in neural information processing systems, 2017, 30.
- [11] Hu J, Shen L, Sun G. Squeeze-and-excitation networks[C]//Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 2018: 7132-7141.
- [12] Dutta S, Ganapathy S. Multimodal transformer with learnable frontend and self attention for emotion recognition[C]//ICASSP 2022-2022 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP). IEEE, 2022: 6917-6921.
- [13] Lv F, Chen X, Huang Y, et al. Progressive modality reinforcement for human multimodal emotion recognition from unaligned multimodal sequences[C]//Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 2021: 2554-2562.
- [14] Zhang Z, An L, Cui Z, et al. Abaw5 challenge: a facial affect recognition approach utilizing transformer encoder and audiovisual fusion[C]//Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 2023: 5724-5733.
- [15] Sun H, Wang H, Liu J, et al. CubeMLP: An MLP-based model for multimodal sentiment analysis and depression estimation[C]//Proceedings of the 30th ACM international conference on multimedia. 2022: 3722-3729.
- [16] Chen J, Hu Y, Lai Q, et al. IIFDD: Intra and inter-modal fusion for depression detection with multi-modal information from Internet of Medical Things[J]. Information Fusion, 2024, 102: 102017.
- [17] Zhou X , Huang P , Liu H ,et al.Learning content-adaptive feature pooling for facial depression recognition in videos[J].Electronics Letters, 2019, 55(11).DOI:10.1049/el.2019.0443.
- [18] Chao L , Tao J , Yang M ,et al.Multi task sequence learning for depression scale prediction from video[C]//International Conference on Affective Computing & Intelligent Interaction.IEEE, 2015.DOI:10.1109/ACII.2015.7344620.
- [19] Melo W C D , Granger E , Lopez M B Encoding Temporal Information For Automatic Depression Recognition From Facial Analysis[C]//Int'l Conf. on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing (ICASSP 2020).2020.DOI:10.1109/ICASSP40776.2020.9054375.
- [20] Uddin M A, Joolee J B, Lee Y K.Depression Level Prediction Using Deep Spatiotemporal Features and Multilayer Bi-LTSM[J].IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing, 2020, PP(99):1-1.DOI:10.1109/TAFFC.2020.2970418.
- [21] Melo W C D , Granger E , Hadid A .Combining Global and Local Convolutional 3D Networks for Detecting Depression from Facial Expressions[C]//14th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition.IEEE, 2019.DOI:10.1109/FG.2019.8756568.
- [22] Haque A, Guo M, Miner A S, et al. Measuring depression symptom severity from spoken language and 3D facial expressions[J]. arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.08592, 2018.
- [23] He L, Guo C, Tiwari P, et al.Intelligent system for depression scale estimation with facial expressions and case study in industrial intelligence[J].International Journal of Intelligent Systems, 2021(4).DOI:10.1002/int.22426.
- [24] Choi D , Zhang G , Kim D E ,et al.Depression Diagnosis Algorithm Based on 2-stream CNN Using Facial Image[C]//2023 IEEE/ACIS 23rd International Conference on Computer and Information Science (ICIS).0[2024-02-05].DOI:10.1109/ICIS57766.2023.10210234.
- [25] He L, Chan J C W, Wang Z. Automatic depression recognition using CNN with attention mechanism from videos[J]. Neurocomputing, 2021, 422: 165-175.
- [26] Xu P, Zhu X, Clifton D A. Multimodal learning with transformers: A survey[J]. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 2023.
- [27] Wei P C, Peng K, Roitberg A, et al. Multi-modal depression estimation based on sub-attentional fusion[C]//European Conference on Computer Vision. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2022: 623-639.

- [28] Song S, Jaiswal S, Shen L, et al. Spectral representation of behaviour primitives for depression analysis[J]. IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing, 2020, 13(2): 829-844.
- [29] Zhu Y, Shang Y, Shao Z, et al. Automated depression diagnosis based on deep networks to encode facial appearance and dynamics[J]. IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing, 2017, 9(4): 578-584.
- [30] Valstar M, Schuller B, Smith K, et al. Avec 2013: the continuous audio/visual emotion and depression recognition challenge[C]//Proceedings of the 3rd ACM international workshop on Audio/visual emotion challenge. 2013: 3-10.
- [31] Valstar M, Schuller B, Smith K, et al. Avec 2014: 3d dimensional affect and depression recognition challenge[C]//Proceedings of the 4th international workshop on audio/visual emotion challenge. 2014: 3-10.
- [32] Kaya H, Salah A A. Eyes whisper depression: A CCA based multimodal approach[C]//Proceedings of the 22nd ACM international conference on Multimedia. 2014: 961-964.
- [33] Kaya H, Çilli F, Salah A A. Ensemble CCA for continuous emotion prediction[C]//Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Audio/Visual Emotion Challenge. 2014: 19-26.
- [34] T. Baltrusaitis, A. Zadeh, Y. C. Lim and L. -P. Morency, "OpenFace 2.0: Facial Behavior Analysis Toolkit," 2018 13th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face & Gesture Recognition (FG 2018), Xi'an, China, 2018, pp. 59-66, doi: 10.1109/FG.2018.00019.
- [35] Hsu W N, Tsai Y H H, Bolte B, et al. HuBERT: How much can a bad teacher benefit ASR pre-training?[C]//ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP). IEEE, 2021: 6533-6537.
- [36] Chen W, Xing X, Xu X, et al. Key-sparse transformer for multimodal speech emotion recognition[C]//ICASSP 2022-2022 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP). IEEE, 2022: 6897-6901.
- [37] Zou H, Si Y, Chen C, et al. Speech emotion recognition with co-attention based multi-level acoustic information[C]//ICASSP 2022-2022 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP). IEEE, 2022: 7367-7371.
- [38] Beck AT, Steer RA, Ball R, Ranieri WF. Comparison of beck depression inventories-IA and -II in psychiatric outpatients. J Person Assessment. 1996;67(3):588-597.
- [39] Brown C, Schulberg H C, Madonia M J. Assessment depression in primary care practice with the Beck Depression Inventory and the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression[J]. Psychological Assessment, 1995, 7(1): 59.
- [40] P. J. Huber, "Robust estimation of a location parameter," Breakthroughs in statistics: Methodology and distribution, pp. 492–518, 1992.
- [41] Zhou X , Jin K , Shang Y ,et al.Visually Interpretable Representation Learning for Depression Recognition from Facial Images[J].IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing, 1949:1-1.DOI:10.1109/TAFFC.2018.2828819.
- [42] Huang J , Tao J , Liu B ,et al.Multimodal Transformer Fusion for Continuous Emotion Recognition[C]//ICASSP 2020 - 2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP).IEEE, 2020.DOI:10.1109/ICASSP40776.2020.9053762.
- [43] Mollahosseini A, Hasani B, Mahoor M H. Affectnet: A database for facial expression, valence, and arousal computing in the wild[J]. IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing, 2017, 10(1): 18-31.
- [44] Deng J, Dong W, Socher R, et al. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database[C]//2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. Ieee, 2009: 248-255.
- [45] Zhang P, Wu M, Dinkel H, et al. Depa: Self-supervised audio embedding for depression detection[C]//Proceedings of the 29th ACM international conference on multimedia. 2021: 135-143.
- [46] Gahalawat M. Explainable Depression Detection using Multimodal Behavioural Cues[C]//Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Multimodal Interaction. 2023: 721-725.
- [47] He K , Zhang X , Ren S ,et al.Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition[J].IEEE, 2016.DOI:10.1109/CVPR.2016.90.

Anon.

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

921

922

923

924

925