Content- and Topology-Aware Representation Learning for Scientific Multi-Literature

Kai Zhang^{1,2}, Kaisong Song^{2,3}, Yangyang Kang^{2*}, Xiaozhong Liu^{1*}

¹Worcester Polytechnic Institute, Worcester, USA

²Alibaba Group, Hangzhou, China

³ Northeastern University, Shenyang, China

{kzhang8, xliu14}@wpi.edu, {kaisong.sks, yangyang.kangyy}@alibaba-inc.com

Abstract

Representation learning forms an essential building block in the development of natural language processing architectures. To date, mainstream approaches focus on learning textual information at the sentence- or document-level, unfortunately, overlooking the inter-document connections. This omission decreases the potency of downstream applications, particularly in multi-document settings. To address this issue, embeddings equipped with latent semantic and rich relatedness information are needed. In this paper, we propose SMRC², which extends representation learning to the multi-document level. Our model jointly learns latent semantic information from content and rich relatedness information from topological networks. Unlike previous studies, our work takes multi-document as input and integrates both semantic and relatedness information using a shared space via language model and graph structure. Our extensive experiments confirm the superiority and effectiveness of our approach. To encourage further research in scientific multi-literature representation learning, we will release our code and a new dataset from the biomedical domain¹.

1 Introduction

With the increasing amount of scientific publications, researchers progressively turn to intricate natural language processing (NLP) tools for paper discovery and recommendation. However, most existing tools are tailored towards specific downstream tasks(Lu et al., 2020; Viswanathan et al., 2021) and thus their capabilities seem limited when presented with more complex tasks. This is attributed to the focus on either the content or topology information of scholarly documents, instead of both.

An aspiring scholarly search engine offers researchers with the capacity to comprehend query

*Corresponding Author

topics and access multiple appropriate publications, or even more innovatively, a synthesized summary of the overall set of sources. Such capabilities have the potential to revolutionize the modern research process (Wang et al., 2019; Zhang and Liu, 2016). Unfortunately, existing methods only focus on single document representation learning (SDRL) and the recommended paper are selected based on the rank of similarity between the learned representation and the embedding of input query. While language models, such as Bert family(Devlin et al., 2018; Maheshwari et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2020) and GPT family(Brown et al., 2020; Ouyang et al., 2022), has seen tremendous progress in understanding scientific documents (Wei et al., 2022) and graph-based approaches like GraphFormer(Yang et al., 2021), SPECTER(Cohan et al., 2020) have studied the relatedness of a scientific paper in its citation networks, little effort has been devoted to exploring scientific literature representation learning at the multi-document level (MDRL). In this paper, we extend the community's attention of representation learning to multi-document level and explore how to learn both semantic and relatedness information from content and topological networks.

Intuitively, knowledge entities and text content of the given paper can provide latent semantic information. For example, we could simply infer a paper belongs the biomedical domain by knowing its entities such as "diabetes", "GPX1" (a gene), etc. However, there is a risk of incorrect inference when a computer science paper takes biomedical instances as examples. Same situation for using text content only, one can classify a paper simply by reading its abstract. Unfortunately, an NLP model will be hallucinated due to the close lexical similarity of papers from different categories. Thus, how to jointly learn from both entities and paper content can be critical to provide latent semantic information. Typically, entity-relation triplets will be modeled in the form of a graph which poses a challenge

¹https://github.com/MatthewKKai/SMRC2

of balancing the learning between graph neural networks (GNNs) and language models (LMs). We novelly introduce Wasserstein distance to enable GNN and LM in parallel and interactively learn from each other while ensuring the learning of the latent semantic information.

By leveraging the multi-document relations, e.g., citation graph, graph neural networks can simply enable each scientific paper to learn from its neighbors via the message passing mechanism. For example, An et al. (2021) proposed a citation graphbased memorization model (CGSUM) to incorporate the information of both the source paper and its references to obtain summarization. Wang et al. (2022b) utilized a disentangled representation based model DisenCite to encode paper according to both textual contexts and structure information for citation recommendation and generation. These works share resemblances to our method since they also take multi-document as input. However, our work aims to explore output representation equipped with latent semantic and rich relatedness information for each document, as well as a unified embedding for the entire input. This approach enables the learned representations not to be limited to specific tasks. Inspired by the effective masking strategy(Hou et al., 2022), we propose to use a graph neural network equipped with a novel masking strategy to enable each document to learn the relatedness of its neighbors.

To this end, we propose $SMRC^2$ which learns the Scientific Multi-literature Representation from both Content information under Wasserstein constraint and Citation information via graph structure. The main contributions of our work are a threefold:

• *Conceptual:* We extend scientific representation learning to multi-doc level and demonstrate its necessity in various downstream tasks as well as the main difference compared with existing work.

• *Methodological:* The proposed SMRC², incorporates a Wasserstein constraint to optimize the multi-view content learning and a graph masking strategy to complete the multi-document topology learning which enable us to learn both latent semantic and rich relatedness information from a scientific ecosystem.

• *Experimental & Resource:* We conduct extensive experiments using three benchmarks on three tasks and outperform several state-of-the-art baselines. We also release a new *Bio-Sci* dataset that can be used for multiple downstream tasks.

2 Related Work

Representation Learning Most existing representation learning works in NLP focus on capturing the dependency in word-level, token-level and sentence-level(Peters et al., 2018; Devlin et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2020). With the success in previous work, some efforts have been made to extend the learning paradigm to document-level(Yasunaga et al., 2022a; Li et al., 2021). However, these methods suffer from the massive noises introduced by irrelevant words/sentences. Several Context-based and Graph-based models are proposed(Jeong et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2022b) to reduce noises in the training stage. Given a document and its salient entities, for example, graph-based models can easily build a graph where the entities are nodes and their relations are edges to derive/infer the knowledge within this graph. Unfortunately, these methods lack the consideration of rich relatedness in citation networks. Recent works (Cohan et al., 2020; Yasunaga et al., 2022b; Yang et al., 2021) push the boundary forward by including citation information. These works are orthogonal to ours as the input to our model is also multi-literature with citation relations, but the difference is that they leverage citation information for enhancing the source document's representation while our work is forcing each document to learn both semantic and relatedness information and at the same time, output a unified representation for the entirety. Further, research work(Zhao et al., 2022; Chien et al., 2021; Duan et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2020; Kong et al., 2022) proposed to tackle the Text-Attributed Graph learning task (TAG) are also similar to our approach which aims at better schema for jointly graph and language model learning. However, our work goes beyond these approaches by explicitly integrating semantic and relatedness information in scientific multi-literature representation.

Multi-Document as Input In real-world scientific document applications, obtaining information from multiple documents is a common requirement. Researchers may want to know the whole story of a topic without reading every paper related to it, which falls under the subfield of NLP known as multi-document summarization (MDS). While other multi-document tasks, such as multi-document reading comprehension, which aims to understand the content of multiple documents, are also of interest, our focus in this paper is on MDS to evaluate the learned multi-document rep-

resentation. Extractive methods usually produce a summary by selecting the ranked sentences from the given document set(Wan et al., 2015; Mendes et al., 2019; Zhong et al., 2020). For example, Nallapati et al. (2017) treats MDS as a sequence classification problem where each sentence is visited in sequential order and then adopts an RNNbased model to decide whether the sentence should be included in the summarization or not. Liu et al. (2019) conceptualizes MDS to induce a multi-root dependent tree representation of the documents. However, similar sentences may be close in the vector space and share close scores which would cause redundancy problem(Narayan et al., 2018). Recently, graph-based approaches which aim to extract salient textual units from documents based on graph structure representations of sentences are proposed to eliminate this(Chen et al., 2021; Pasunuru et al., 2021). Different from these works, our method leverages document representation with latent semantic information as nodes and their citation relations as edges to construct the multi-document level graph. Then, the message passing mechanism can be resorted to equipping relatedness information for the final representation which can be applied in multi-document tasks.

3 Methodology

Problem Formulation Before going further, we first give preliminary definitions of concepts in this paper. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_N\}$ denotes a paper group with N papers and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X})$ is the multi-doc graph of \mathcal{P} with each node $v_i \in \mathcal{V}$ represents a paper, $\mathcal{A} \in \{0, 1\}^{N \times N}$ is the adjacency matrix and $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}$ is the node feature matrix. Moreover, given f_{GE} as the graph encoder, f_{LM} as the language model, and f_{GD} as the graph decoder, our goal here is to obtain the document representation H_i as well as the multi-document representation H_M as follow:

$$h_i = [f_{GE}(\mathcal{P}), f_{LM}(\mathcal{P})]$$

$$H_M = f_{GD}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}(H))$$
(1)

where $h_i \in H$, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the concatenation operation. **Overview** As can be seen in Figure 1, SMRC² consists of two modules: Semantic representation learning module and Relatedness representation learning module. In the semantic learning module, SMRC² first in parallel obtain the entity-relation graph embedding via a GNN encoder and the abstract text² embedding via a language model. These two levels of information are then concatenated to form the initialized node features, along with their citation relations, to create a multi-doc graph. To learn rich relatedness information, we randomly mask some of their citation relations and train a GNN-based decoder to recover them based on the node features using the message passing mechanism. By leveraging both the latent semantic and rich relatedness information, SMRC² is capable of not only single document but also multi-document applications.

3.1 Semantic Information Learning

Intuitively, the content of a scientific paper contains abundant semantic information. However, using the entire text content can be challenging since it may exceed length and computation resource limits, and would also introduce significant noise. Various attempts have been made to learn semantic representation from different aspects such as entities(Pivovarova and Yangarber, 2018) and abstract(Kim and Gil, 2019) etc. Our hypothesis is that entities can provide a knowledge backbone and abstract can provide an overall summary which should be modeled and learned interactively together. Hence, we utilize two encoders for both entities and text, and novelly introduce the Wasserstein constraint to jointly train them.

Entity-Level Salient entity information can be used to somehow infer the content of a given paper. For instance, consider a scientific paper such as Zeng et al. (2020). If you know the "Dataset (e.g., DocRed)", "Metrics (e.g., F1 score)", "Task (e.g., Relation Extraction)", "Method (e.g., GAIN)", you can conclude that this paper is using GAIN to tackle relation extraction task and evaluate their approach on DocRed with F1 scores. Motivated by this, we follow Neumann et al. (2019); Jain et al. (2020)'s work and extract the entities within the given paper. Then we retrieve the relations among entities from a pre-defined relation set and use the extracted entities as nodes and their relations as edges to build an entity-level graph. Then we apply a two-layer GCN to obtain the representation h_i^E as shown in Eq.2.

$$h_i^{E(l)} = ReLU((\mathcal{A} + I)h_i^{E(l-1)}W_E^l) \quad (2)$$

²Other sections are also considered, however, experiments show that they would introduce noises and require more computational resources.



Figure 1: The overall frame for SMRC² involves first obtaining semantic embeddings for each document in a paper group via the semantic information learning module. Then these embeddings serve as the initialized node features and their citation relations serve as the edges to form the multi-doc graph, which can later learns the relatedness information. The concatenation operation is denoted as $[\cdot, \cdot]$.

where $h_i^{E(l)}$ is the l-th layer's hidden embedding $(h_i^E = h_i^{E(l)}, h_i^{E(l)} = [h_i^{e_1(l)}, ..., h_i^{e_m(l)}]$ where $h_i^{e_m(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ and m is the number of entities), I is the identity matrix and W_E^l is trainable parameters. Notably, for entity starting from s-th word to t-th word, $h_i^{e_m(0)} = \frac{1}{t-s+1} \sum_{j=s}^t g_j$, where g_j is the word embedding.

Text-Level However, relying solely on entity-level information may not be sufficient for representing the entire paper, as prescribed in Section 1. Therefore, we opt to incorporate the abstract text since it contains the most general content of a scientific paper. Given the abstract of a scientific publication P_i , a language model will generate a d-dimensional embedding vector denoted as $h_i^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$h_i^T = f_{LM}(P_i^{abs}) \tag{3}$$

where f_{LM} is a language model.

Entity-Text Interaction Given \mathcal{P} , the corresponding distribution of document labels $\mu(\mathbf{y}) = \mu(\{y_1, y_2, ..., y_N\})$ can be the supervised signals for f_{GE} and f_{LM} to learn salient semantic information. However, relying solely on abstract or entity information for predicting labels can be unreliable. To overcome this limitation, we introduce a novel approach using Wasserstein Distance (WD) to restrict f_{GE} and f_{LM} from learning independently of each other while ensuring they predict accurate labels for the documents. For LM and GNN, the predicted distribution can be described as follows:

$$\mu^{T}(\mathbf{y}^{T}|\mathcal{P}) = Cat(y_{i}^{T}|\phi(MLP(h_{i}^{T})))$$

$$\mu^{E}(\mathbf{y}^{E}|\mathcal{P}) = Cat(y_{i}^{E}|\phi(MLP(h_{i}^{E})))$$
(4)

where ϕ is a Softmax function, MLP is a multilayer perceptron.

Kullback-Liebler divergence(Joyce, 2011) and other likehood-based divergence methods suffer from statistical limitations such as invariant to any invertible transformation(Ozair et al., 2019). They are also not suitable for representation learning due to their sensitivity to the trivial differences in the data samples. However, inspired by the success of WD in GAN training(Arjovsky et al., 2017), we consider using WD to enable f_{GE} and f_{LM} to learn from each other by minimizing the distance between their predicted label distributions. Wasserstein Distance is a metric-aware divergence derived from transport optimal theory. It measures the discrepancy between two distributions in terms of minimum total costs associated with some transport function(Hou et al., 2020). Drawing inspiration from Villani et al. (2009), we give the definition of Wasserstein Constraint in our settings:

Definition 1. Given two distributions μ_1 , μ_2 , a cost function cst: $\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ and the set of joint probability $\eta(\mu_1, \mu_2)$, the **Wasserstein Distance** can be defined as:

$$W_{cst}(\mu_1,\mu_2) = \inf_{\gamma \in \eta(\mu_1,\mu_2)} \int_{\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}} cst(y_1,y_2) d\gamma \quad (5)$$

where y_1 and y_2 are data points in distributions μ_1 and μ_2 , respectively.

Therefore, our current objective can be reformulated as minimizing the optimal cost function while simultaneously forcing the encoders to learn semantic information for accurate document label prediction. Assuming that the optimal cost function can be replaced with a certain metric d or its k-th power d^k with $k \ge 1$, then the Wasserstein Loss of our settings can be defined as:

$$W_d^k(\mu^T, \mu^E) = \inf_{\gamma \in \eta(\mu^T, \mu^E)} \mathbb{E}(d^k(y_i^T, y_i^E), \gamma)$$
(6)

where the set of joint distribution is $\eta(\mu^T, \mu^E) = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}_+ : \gamma \mathbf{1} = \mu^T, \gamma^T \mathbf{1} = \mu^E\}, \mathbf{1}$ is the all-one vector. By calculating the derivative of μ^T , μ^E with respect to W_d^k in an attempt to approach true document label distribution, we can describe the overall loss function of semantic representation learning module as:

$$\mu(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) = Cat(\hat{y}_i | \phi(MLP([h_i^T, h_i^E])))$$

$$l_1 = W_d^k + \left(-\sum_{i \in N} P(y_i) log P(\hat{y}_i)\right)$$
(7)

where the second term is the Cross-Entropy loss. By incorporating the Wasserstein Constraint, the two encoders can enhance their learning ability by leveraging each other's outputs, while also capturing the necessary semantic information for document classification. As far as our knowledge extends, this is the first attempt to introduce Wasserstein Distance for multi-view semantic representation learning within a scientific document.

3.2 Relatedness Information Learning

To achieve effective multi-document representation learning, it is imperative to consider the rich relatedness information of the topological network. While the knowledge of each paper's semantic information can aid in determining its content, it may be challenging to produce summaries based solely on this information. Relying solely on semantic representation may also lead to sub-optimal results, especially in cases with insufficient textual features. Therefore, it is crucial to capture the semantic information while also incorporating the rich relatedness information. A promising aspect of Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) is their ability to be aware of their neighbors' facts through the message-passing mechanism (details can be seen in Appendix 5), which can be described as follows:

$$h_i^{(l)} = \sigma(AGG(MSG(h_{NB(i)}^{(l-1)}), \mathcal{A}))$$
(8)

where $h_i^{(0)} = [h_i^E, h_i^T]$, σ is an activation function (e.g., ReLU), $MSG(\cdot)$ and $AGG(\cdot)$ stand for the message and aggregation functions respectively,

NB(i) is the neighbor nodes of i. We can create $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}$ by considering each document in \mathcal{P} as a node and their citation relations as edges. Then the messagepassing mechanism can be leveraged to enable each document to learn about the relatedness within its citation network. Intuitively, one can judge the possibility of citation relation between two papers by knowing their semantic content (e.g., if two papers are all about using graph to learn document representation, there exists a chance that they have citation relation). Inspired by the success of masking strategy on Variational Graph Auto Encoder (VGAE) to predict the adjacency matrix(Hou et al., 2022), we employ a similar approach. We intuitively mask some of the citation relations and train a VGAE to recover the citation relations. However, the difference is that we don't need the encoder part of VGAE and instead of an unsupervised process, we adopt self-supervised settings. Specifically, we first initialized a random masking matrix \mathcal{M} with masking ratio ω and obtain the masked adjacency matrix A_{masked} by applying element-wise multiplication between \mathcal{M} and **A** (e.g., ω of \mathcal{M} are zeros and the reset are ones). Then given the semantic representation of each document as the initial node embedding, GNN can iteratively update them by applying Eq. 8 on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A}_{masked}, [h_i^T, h_i^E]).$ Now, our goal here becomes reconstructing the \hat{A} in terms of learned *l*-th node embedding. However, directly applying the final-layer hidden representation $h_i^{(l)}$ can cause performance degradation for producing $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ since there would be long-distance information lost and irrelevant inclusion problems as the number of layers increase, hence we aim to capture the cross-correlations for every node-pair:

$$h_{ij} = [h_i^0 \odot h_j^0, ..., h_i^l \odot h_j^l]$$
(9)

where \odot denotes pair-wise element multiplication, $i, j \in [0, N]$. We now can reconstruct \hat{A} as:

$$p(\hat{\mathcal{A}}|\mathbf{h}_{ij}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{N} p(\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{ij}|h_{ij})$$
with $p(\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{ij} = 1|h_{ij}) = \sigma(h_{ij}^{T}h_{ij})$
(10)

where \hat{A}_{ij} is the element of \hat{A} and σ is an activation function. By having \hat{A} and A, we follow Kipf and Welling (2016b)'s work to optimize f_{GD} as:

$$l_2 = -\sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} p(\mathcal{A}) \log p(\hat{\mathcal{A}})$$
(11)

Task	Туре	Dataset			
		Ogbn-Arxiv	Ogbl-Citation2	Multi-XScience	Bio-Sci
Document Classification	SDT	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark
Citation Prediction	301	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark
Multi-doc Summarization	MDT	-	-	\checkmark	\checkmark

Table 1: Different tasks on different datasets

Model	Туре	Ogbn-Arxiv	Bio-Sci
Bio-Bert	IМ	68.21 ± 0.17	75.67 ± 0.17
Sci-Bert	LIVI	73.80 ± 0.12	70.49 ± 0.31
EnGCN	Graph	77.57 ± 0.07	85.27 ± 0.06
GraphSAGE	Oraph	71.49 ± 0.21	79.35 ± 0.07
GIANT-XRT		76.37 ± 0.11	84.81 ± 0.13
GLEM(Graph)	LM+Graph	75.50 ± 0.24	82.93 ± 0.11
GLEM(LM)		74.53 ± 0.12	78.91 ± 0.07
SMRC ²	LM+Graph	77.66 ± 0.17	88.41 ± 0.21

Table 2: Mean accuracy (%) \pm one standard deviation comparison for Document Classification in terms of different types of baselines.

Now, with the latent semantic and rich relatedness information, the learned representation is $h_i = h_i^{(l)}$ according to Eq. 8 and $H_M = [..., h_i, ...]$.

3.3 Training

Overall, we consider the semantic representation learning module as a supervised process and the relatedness representation learning module as a selfsupervised process, the whole pipeline of SMRC² can be trained through l as follows:

$$l = l_1 + l_2 \tag{12}$$

We perform full-batch gradient descent on l which enables SMRC² to obtain both semantic and relatedness information within supervised plus selfsupervised learning settings for scientific multiliterature representation learning.

4 **Experiments**

In this section, we empirically evaluate the performance of our learned document representation h_i and the unified multi-doc representation H_M .

4.1 Tasks & Datasets

Tasks The key distinguishing aspect of our work from previous research is that our learned representation is applicable not only to single-document tasks (SDT) but also to multi-document tasks (MDT). Thus, we have evaluated our learned representation on both SDT and MDT for four datasets, as shown in the Table 1:

Document Classification (Doc Cla.) which aims to predict the class for each paper using semantic or structural information. Here, we resort to predicting the labels by $\hat{y} = \phi(MLP(h_i))$. We have selected several SOTA baselines of different types to assess the efficacy of our model: LM - Bio-Bert(Lee et al., 2020), Sci-Bert(Maheshwari et al., 2021); Graph - EnGCN(Duan et al., 2022), Graph-Sage(Kong et al., 2022); LM+Graph - GIANT-XRT(Chien et al., 2021), GLEM(Zhao et al., 2022).

Citation Prediction (Cit Pre.) which refers to predicting the citation relations by knowing the semantic content of each paper. Our model predicts citation relations by reconstructing the adjacency matrix A via Eq. 10. For evaluating the performance of our proposed model, we compare it against several SOTA baselines: GCN(Kipf and Welling, 2016a), GraphSage(Kong et al., 2022), GraphSaint(Zeng et al., 2019).

Model	Ogbn-Citation2	Bio-Sci
Full-batch GCN	83.14 ± 0.21	71.41 ± 0.17
GraphSage	82.60 ± 0.36	69.17 ± 0.31
GraphSaint	79.85 ± 0.39	70.31 ± 0.26
SMRC ²	83.31 ± 0.27	73.97 ± 0.19

Table 3: MRR score in percent comparison for Citation Prediction in terms of different baselines.

Multi-Document Summarization (MDS) aims to generate a summary for given multiple documents. Here, we gauge the similarity between H_M and the sentences from a sentence set derived from original papers. The top k sentences will be picked and copied as the generated summary. SMRC² outperforms several baselines: HiMAP(Fabbri et al., 2019), Pointer-Generator(See et al., 2017), Hier-Summ(Liu and Lapata, 2019), KGSum(Wang et al., 2022a), REFLECT(Song et al., 2022).

Datasets We choose three benchmark datasets according to the tasks and would like to introduce a new benchmark dataset for the community - *Bio-Sci* which consists of 32,330 publications, 222,652 citation relations derived from PubMed Central³. Specifically, we follow the work of Achakulvisut et al. (2020) to extract the abstract, introduction and citation sentence for each paper (Notably, we only use abstract for LM in this work). Further, every

³https://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pub/pmc/oa_bulk/oa_comm/

Model	Туре	Multi-XScience		Bio-Sci			
		ROUGE-1	ROUGE-2	ROUGE-L	ROUGE-1	ROUGE-2	ROUGE-L
BioBertABS		24.77	4.01	21.67	31.66	5.91	28.43
SciBertABS	Concat	25.11	4.17	22.91	30.02	5.04	27.60
REFLECT		29.41	6.11	24.91	34.17	6.67	29.57
HIMAP		26.61	6.19	25.31	34.11	6.76	29.77
HIERSUMM	Multi	25.43	6.01	24.91	35.02	7.04	29.66
KGSum	wiuiti	30.16	6.24	25.37	34.98	6.91	30.07
SMRC ²		31.47	6.73	26.03	36.23	7.31	30.63

Table 4: Rouge-1, Rouge-2, Rouge-L score comparison with different baselines.

paper is associated with an id called pmid which enables us to find the citation relations by matching the pmid. For each paper, we use its MeSH term as its category label. Every paper group in *Bio-Sci* consists of 10 papers and their citation relations⁴.

4.2 Implementation Details

For Bio-Sci, we follow the work of Wang et al. (2021) and use SciSpacy(Neumann et al., 2019) to obtain entity-relation graph can is detailed in Appendix 5, the base LM model is Bio-Bert(Lee et al., 2020). For other datasets, we follow the work of Ye et al. (2022) in the data processing stage and use Sci-Bert(Maheshwari et al., 2021) as the base language model. We leverage Adam(Kingma and Ba, 2014) as the optimizer. Hyperparameters setting can be seen in Appendix 5. For evaluation, we follow the commonly used metrics on these benchmarks. All experiments are conducted with PyTorch(Ketkar and Moolayil, 2021) and Transformers(Wolf et al., 2020) on 4 Tesla P100 GPUs.

4.3 Comparative Study⁵

As shown in Table 2, SMRC² has demonstrated a relatively 0.12% and 1.33% improvement over the best baseline on Ogbn-Arxiv and Bio-Sci, respectively. Generally, we can conclude that relying solely on either LM or Graph alone is not comparable in terms of results. This can be explained by the fact that plain text can introduce noise, while graph structure can mitigate this issue. Furthermore, the results highlight the effectiveness of jointly leveraging Graph and LM, which validate our efforts in modeling the interactions of different modules. However, EnGCN produced competitive results among all baselines which we attributed to its ensemble training schema from multi-view of input which boosts the convergence of the whole model. Additionally, the citation prediction results demonstrate the importance of node features in inferring the connections among publications since our model outperforms other baselines by aggregating the semantic embedding first as the initial node features while other baselines use word embedding solely. Furthermore, the learned multi-literature embedding of our model holds great promise for multi-document tasks such as multi-document summarization due to its ability of aggregating both latent semantic and rich relatedness information into the final representation.

Table 4 confirms our assumption, as our model outperforms current SOTA baselines. Surprisingly, HiMAP, which adapts a pointer-generator model for weight computation over multi-document, and HierSumm, which leverages a ranking-based selection mechanism for sentence selection, perform better than pre-trained large language models. We believe this is because these two models focus on finding the most important parts over multi-document, whereas pretrained models inevitably suffer from noise by simply concatenating all documents together as a single document before performing summary generation. It's also very interesting that on Bio-Sci, the goal is to recover abstract, sentence selection based models such as HierSumm and $SMRC^2$ performs better than other models. We also observe that KGSum outperforms REFLECT which we attribute to the fact that REFLECT only focuses on sentence selection which ignores the fine-grained semantic information from entity level and also the rewriting process is finished based on the inner natural language understanding ability of chosen pre-trained model without awareness of topological information. However, it is evident that our method surpasses KGSum which we attribute to the fact that our method leverages a Wasserstein Constraint for modeling the interactions between entity-relation graph and semantic text information while KGSum learns only from salient

⁴Detailed comparison can be seen in Appendix 5

⁵All baseline, we use the best parameter settings reported in their paper and we keep the base language model the same for fair comparison

Туре	w/o	w/	Doc Classification	Citation Prediction	Summarization
	LM	-	78.91 ± 0.14	69.33 ± 0.11	19.11
	Graph	-	79.61 ± 0.20	67.47 ± 0.10	23.71
SMRC ² (Part)	WD	-	82.91 ± 0.34	69.71 ± 0.02	24.31
	-	KL	81.31 ± 0.17	71.27 ± 0.14	20.51
	-	JS	85.77 ± 0.08	72.41 ± 0.22	24.41
SMRC ² (Full)	-	-	88.41 ± 0.21	73.97 ± 0.19	26.03

Table 5: Ablation study on different components. w/o denotes without, w/ denotes with, all the metrics stay the same as in Comparative Study (For the Summarization task, the metric used is ROUGE-L).

entity-sentence graph. Our model grasps not only semantic information from LM but also relatedness information from graph structure which help to perform the best against all baselines.

4.4 Ablation Study

To further evaluate the completeness of $SMRC^2$, we conduct ablation study and the results are presented in Table 5. During experiments without LM, we use random embedding initialization to replace the initial embedding from LM which results in the huge drop of performance in Document Classification task compared to experiments without graph, showing the importance of semantic information. The citation prediction results reveal that solely using semantic information is not enough for inferring the connections while graph structure is necessary for including relatedness information. We can also witness the superiority of introducing Wasserstein Constraint as the performance drops when we apply KL or JS divergence as a replacement for semantic representation learning. Interestingly, we found that KL divergence is not stable during the training stage, with performance oscillation as the training iteration progresses, which we attribute to its asymmetry. Introducing Wasserstein Constraint not only solved the imbalance training problem but also enabled our model to learn semantic information from both text and entity aspects while forcing it to make correct label predictions, further confirming the necessity and superiority of the entire structure of our model.

4.5 Masking Study

Settings	Doc Cla.	Cit Pre.	MDS
10%	86.19 ± 0.11	74.13 ± 0.31	24.91
20%	88.41 ± 0.21	73.97 ± 0.19	26.03
30%	84.17 ± 0.07	70.31 ± 0.26	22.51

Table 6: Masking study on evaluating the effectiveness of Masking Strategy, all the metrics stay the same as in Ablation Study.

We assess the effectiveness of our masking strategy applied in the relatedness learning module by setting different values of ω . As can be seen in Table 6, we can conclude that masking ratio can influence the effectiveness of overall performance since the masking strategy is associated with the relatedness information learning. When masking more edges, the performance of citation prediction will inevitably decrease since the complexity of recovering can be higher. However, further experimentation revealed that 5% masking for citation prediction produced a result of 70.21 ± 0.11 , suggesting a decrease due to the risk of overfitting. We can also notice that the performance on Document Classification and Multi-Doc Summarization tasks decreased as masking more edges since there can be semantic information lost and noise introduction due to the update of hidden representations which further validates the necessity of Eq. 9. Nevertheless, the recover process can be insufficient for the model to learn relatedness information when masking 10% of edges. The results suggest that masking 20% can be the best choice for $SMRC^2$.

4.6 Visualization

To further demonstrate the effectiveness of $SMRC^2$, we randomly select 100 papers for each category from Bio-Sci and utilize t-SNE(Van Der Maaten, 2014) to visualize these learned embeddings by SMRC² and compared them with the ones generated by BioBert. We set the perplexity and the number of iterations for t-SNE as 30 and 300, respectively. As shown in Figure 2, the clusters of papers from different categories generated by SMRC² are more compact than those by BioBert, indicating that our model is better at modeling semantic information. Our model's ability to learn rich relatedness information is also reflected by the intercategory distance. Intuitively, diseases that share high correlations should be close in the embedding space. For instance, the relationship between diabetes and kidney disease is accurately reflected, as



Figure 2: Representation visualization of selected papers using t-SNE.

the representations of "Diabetes mellitus(green)" and "Kidney disease(orange)" are close in the embedding space, which is consistent with the fact that diabetes is the leading cause of chronic kidney disease, and people with kidney disease are at a higher risk of developing diabetes. These findings further confirm the ability of SMRC² to capture latent semantic and rich relatedness information.

5 Conclusion

As a conclusion, we have advanced the field of representation learning to the multi-doc level for scientific literature, with the introduction of $SMRC^2$. This novel approach effectively combines two elements - semantic content and topological relatedness, to create a superior learning space. To address the imbalance learning problem that occurs during the semantic learning stage, we introduced Wasserstein distance. To our best knowledge, this is the first attempt to introduce WD for multi-view content learning. The extensive experiments and ablation study that we conducted validate the effectiveness of the proposed model. Additionally, we released a new dataset to encourage the community to further explore the multi-document representation learning. These contributions advance research in scientific literature mining and provide a useful resource for the community to further investigate this new but important topic.

Limitations

Despite its empirical success, the use of $SMRC^2$ has two notable limitations. Firstly, its application is restricted as it requires interconnections between documents (e.g. a citation graph) to form the multi-document graph. Secondly, the method incurs high computational costs due to its inclusion of a Lan-

guage Model, two Graph Neural Network-based modules, and multiple operations to be trained in tandem. Furthermore, this multi-MLP embedding concatenation process makes it challenging to parse the encoded embeddings, thus potentially impacting performance. These limitations suggest that further investigations are needed to address the scalability and generalizability of the proposed model to improve its applicability in a broader range of scientific data.

Ethics Statement

After carefully reviewing the ACL Ethics Policy, we are committed to show our respect and obey to consent all.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge support from NSF, Award # 2122232 - SCISIPBIO: Constructing Heterogeneous Scholarly Graphs to Examine Social Capital DuringMentored K Awardees Transition to Research Independence: Explicating a Matthew Mechanism.

References

- Titipat Achakulvisut, Daniel Acuna, and Konrad Kording. 2020. Pubmed parser: A python parser for pubmed open-access xml subset and medline xml dataset xml dataset. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 5(46):1979.
- Chenxin An, Ming Zhong, Yiran Chen, Danqing Wang, Xipeng Qiu, and Xuanjing Huang. 2021. Enhancing scientific papers summarization with citation graph. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 35, pages 12498–12506.
- Martin Arjovsky, Soumith Chintala, and Léon Bottou. 2017. Wasserstein generative adversarial networks.

In International conference on machine learning, pages 214–223. PMLR.

- Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:1877–1901.
- Moye Chen, Wei Li, Jiachen Liu, Xinyan Xiao, Hua Wu, and Haifeng Wang. 2021. Sgsum: Transforming multi-document summarization into sub-graph selection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.12645*.
- Eli Chien, Wei-Cheng Chang, Cho-Jui Hsieh, Hsiang-Fu Yu, Jiong Zhang, Olgica Milenkovic, and Inderjit S Dhillon. 2021. Node feature extraction by self-supervised multi-scale neighborhood prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.00064*.
- Arman Cohan, Sergey Feldman, Iz Beltagy, Doug Downey, and Daniel S Weld. 2020. Specter: Document-level representation learning using citation-informed transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.07180*.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2018. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805.
- Keyu Duan, Zirui Liu, Peihao Wang, Wenqing Zheng, Kaixiong Zhou, Tianlong Chen, Xia Hu, and Zhangyang Wang. 2022. A comprehensive study on large-scale graph training: Benchmarking and rethinking. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.07494*.
- Alexander R Fabbri, Irene Li, Tianwei She, Suyi Li, and Dragomir R Radev. 2019. Multi-news: A large-scale multi-document summarization dataset and abstractive hierarchical model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.01749*.
- Linfang Hou, Liang Pang, Xin Hong, Yanyan Lan, Zhiming Ma, and Dawei Yin. 2020. Robust reinforcement learning with wasserstein constraint. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.00945*.
- Zhenyu Hou, Xiao Liu, Yukuo Cen, Yuxiao Dong, Hongxia Yang, Chunjie Wang, and Jie Tang. 2022. Graphmae: Self-supervised masked graph autoencoders. In Proceedings of the 28th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, pages 594–604.
- Sarthak Jain, Madeleine Van Zuylen, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, and Iz Beltagy. 2020. Scirex: A challenge dataset for document-level information extraction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.00512*.
- Chanwoo Jeong, Sion Jang, Eunjeong Park, and Sungchul Choi. 2020. A context-aware citation recommendation model with bert and graph convolutional networks. *Scientometrics*, 124(3):1907–1922.

- James M Joyce. 2011. Kullback-leibler divergence. In *International encyclopedia of statistical science*, pages 720–722. Springer.
- Nikhil Ketkar and Jojo Moolayil. 2021. Introduction to pytorch. In *Deep learning with python*, pages 27–91. Springer.
- Sang-Woon Kim and Joon-Min Gil. 2019. Research paper classification systems based on tf-idf and lda schemes. *Human-centric Computing and Information Sciences*, 9:1–21.
- Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. 2014. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*.
- Thomas N Kipf and Max Welling. 2016a. Semisupervised classification with graph convolutional networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.02907*.
- Thomas N Kipf and Max Welling. 2016b. Variational graph auto-encoders. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.07308*.
- Kezhi Kong, Guohao Li, Mucong Ding, Zuxuan Wu, Chen Zhu, Bernard Ghanem, Gavin Taylor, and Tom Goldstein. 2022. Robust optimization as data augmentation for large-scale graphs. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 60–69.
- Jinhyuk Lee, Wonjin Yoon, Sungdong Kim, Donghyeon Kim, Sunkyu Kim, Chan Ho So, and Jaewoo Kang. 2020. Biobert: a pre-trained biomedical language representation model for biomedical text mining. *Bioinformatics*, 36(4):1234–1240.
- Peizhao Li, Jiuxiang Gu, Jason Kuen, Vlad I Morariu, Handong Zhao, Rajiv Jain, Varun Manjunatha, and Hongfu Liu. 2021. Selfdoc: Self-supervised document representation learning. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 5652–5660.
- Yang Liu and Mirella Lapata. 2019. Hierarchical transformers for multi-document summarization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.13164*.
- Yang Liu, Ivan Titov, and Mirella Lapata. 2019. Single document summarization as tree induction. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), pages 1745–1755, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yao Lu, Yue Dong, and Laurent Charlin. 2020. Multixscience: A large-scale dataset for extreme multidocument summarization of scientific articles. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.14235.
- Himanshu Maheshwari, Bhavyajeet Singh, and Vasudeva Varma. 2021. SciBERT sentence representation for citation context classification. In Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Scholarly Document

Processing, pages 130–133, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Afonso Mendes, Shashi Narayan, Sebastiao Miranda, Zita Marinho, André FT Martins, and Shay B Cohen. 2019. Jointly extracting and compressing documents with summary state representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.02020*.
- Ramesh Nallapati, Feifei Zhai, and Bowen Zhou. 2017. Summarunner: A recurrent neural network based sequence model for extractive summarization of documents. In *Thirty-first AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*.
- Shashi Narayan, Shay B Cohen, and Mirella Lapata. 2018. Ranking sentences for extractive summarization with reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.08636*.
- Mark Neumann, Daniel King, Iz Beltagy, and Waleed Ammar. 2019. ScispaCy: Fast and robust models for biomedical natural language processing. In *Proceedings of the 18th BioNLP Workshop and Shared Task*, pages 319–327, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Long Ouyang, Jeffrey Wu, Xu Jiang, Diogo Almeida, Carroll Wainwright, Pamela Mishkin, Chong Zhang, Sandhini Agarwal, Katarina Slama, Alex Ray, et al. 2022. Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:27730–27744.
- Sherjil Ozair, Corey Lynch, Yoshua Bengio, Aaron Van den Oord, Sergey Levine, and Pierre Sermanet. 2019. Wasserstein dependency measure for representation learning. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 32.
- Ramakanth Pasunuru, Mengwen Liu, Mohit Bansal, Sujith Ravi, and Markus Dreyer. 2021. Efficiently summarizing text and graph encodings of multi-document clusters. In Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, pages 4768–4779.
- Matthew E. Peters, Mark Neumann, Mohit Iyyer, Matt Gardner, Christopher Clark, Kenton Lee, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2018. Deep contextualized word representations. In Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers), pages 2227–2237, New Orleans, Louisiana. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Lidia Pivovarova and Roman Yangarber. 2018. Comparison of representations of named entities for document classification. In *Proceedings of the Third Workshop on Representation Learning for NLP*, pages 64–68, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Abigail See, Peter J Liu, and Christopher D Manning. 2017. Get to the point: Summarization with pointer-generator networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.04368*.
- Yun-Zhu Song, Yi-Syuan Chen, and Hong-Han Shuai. 2022. Improving multi-document summarization through referenced flexible extraction with creditawareness. In Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, pages 1667–1681, Seattle, United States. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Chuxiong Sun, Jie Hu, Hongming Gu, Jinpeng Chen, and Mingchuan Yang. 2020. Adaptive graph diffusion networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.15024*.
- Laurens Van Der Maaten. 2014. Accelerating t-sne using tree-based algorithms. *The journal of machine learning research*, 15(1):3221–3245.
- Cédric Villani et al. 2009. *Optimal transport: old and new*, volume 338. Springer.
- Vijay Viswanathan, Graham Neubig, and Pengfei Liu. 2021. Citationie: Leveraging the citation graph for scientific information extraction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.01560*.
- Xiaojun Wan, Ziqiang Cao, Furu Wei, Sujian Li, and Ming Zhou. 2015. Multi-document summarization via discriminative summary reranking. arXiv preprint arXiv:1507.02062.
- Pancheng Wang, Shasha Li, Kunyuan Pang, Liangliang He, Dong Li, Jintao Tang, and Ting Wang. 2022a. Multi-document scientific summarization from a knowledge graph-centric view. In Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Computational Linguistics, pages 6222–6233, Gyeongju, Republic of Korea. International Committee on Computational Linguistics.
- Shike Wang, Fan Xu, Yunyang Li, Jie Wang, Ke Zhang, Yong Liu, Min Wu, and Jie Zheng. 2021. Kg4sl: knowledge graph neural network for synthetic lethality prediction in human cancers. *Bioinformatics*, 37(Supplement_1):i418–i425.
- Yifan Wang, Yiping Song, Shuai Li, Chaoran Cheng, Wei Ju, Ming Zhang, and Sheng Wang. 2022b. Disencite: Graph-based disentangled representation learning for context-specific citation generation.
- Yongzhen Wang, Xiaozhong Liu, and Zheng Gao. 2019. Neural related work summarization with a joint context-driven attention mechanism. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.09492*.
- Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten Bosma, Ed Chi, Quoc Le, and Denny Zhou. 2022. Chain of thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.11903.

- Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Remi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, Joe Davison, Sam Shleifer, Patrick von Platen, Clara Ma, Yacine Jernite, Julien Plu, Canwen Xu, Teven Le Scao, Sylvain Gugger, Mariama Drame, Quentin Lhoest, and Alexander Rush. 2020. Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. In Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations, pages 38–45, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zhuofeng Wu, Sinong Wang, Jiatao Gu, Madian Khabsa, Fei Sun, and Hao Ma. 2020. Clear: Contrastive learning for sentence representation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.15466*.
- Junhan Yang, Zheng Liu, Shitao Xiao, Chaozhuo Li, Defu Lian, Sanjay Agrawal, Amit Singh, Guangzhong Sun, and Xing Xie. 2021. Graphformers: Gnn-nested transformers for representation learning on textual graph. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:28798–28810.
- Michihiro Yasunaga, Jure Leskovec, and Percy Liang. 2022a. Linkbert: Pretraining language models with document links. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.15827*.
- Michihiro Yasunaga, Jure Leskovec, and Percy Liang. 2022b. LinkBERT: Pretraining language models with document links. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 8003– 8016, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Deming Ye, Yankai Lin, Peng Li, and Maosong Sun. 2022. Packed levitated marker for entity and relation extraction. In Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), ACL 2022, Dublin, Ireland, May 22-27, 2022, pages 4904–4917. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Hanqing Zeng, Hongkuan Zhou, Ajitesh Srivastava, Rajgopal Kannan, and Viktor Prasanna. 2019. Graphsaint: Graph sampling based inductive learning method. arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.04931.
- Shuang Zeng, Runxin Xu, Baobao Chang, and Lei Li. 2020. Double graph based reasoning for documentlevel relation extraction. In Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP), pages 1630–1640, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Lin Zhang and Xiaozhong Liu. 2016. Synopsizing "literature review" for scientific publications. *IConference* 2016 Proceedings.
- Jianan Zhao, Meng Qu, Chaozhuo Li, Hao Yan, Qian Liu, Rui Li, Xing Xie, and Jian Tang. 2022. Learning on large-scale text-attributed graphs via variational inference. arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.14709.

Ming Zhong, Pengfei Liu, Yiran Chen, Danqing Wang, Xipeng Qiu, and Xuanjing Huang. 2020. Extractive summarization as text matching. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.08795*.

Training Settings

The parameter settings of trainable module are detailed in Table 7 and Table 8.

GCN		Training		
Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value	
Number of layers	1, 2 , 3	learning rate	$10^{-3}, 10^{-5}$	
emb size	100	dropout	0.3, 0.6	
hidden size	512	batch_size	20 , 50	
		weight decay	10^{-3}	

Table 7: The experimental settings of graph and training with the best parameter settings are highlighted.

Pre-traine	d Model	Transform	er
Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
emb size	768	Num of Attention Head	8, 16, 32
max length	256, 512	Num of Layers	16, 32, 64 , 128
-		dimension	512 , 768

Table 8: The experimental settings of language model with the best parameter settings are highlighted.

Dataset Statistics

Category *Bio-Sci* contains 11 different paper categories in total: Cardiovascular diseases, Kidney disease, Respiratory diseases, Diabetes mellitus, Digestive diseases, Hiv, Hepatitis, Mental disorders, Musculoskeletal disorders, Neoplasms, Neurological disorders, of which "Cardiovascular diseases" and "Mental disorders" take the most percent 26%, 18% respectively. Others share evenly for the rest.

Dataset	Nodes	Edges	Avg. Node Degree
Ogbn-Arxiv	169,343	1,166,243	13.7
Ogbl-Citation2	2,927,963	30,561,187	-
Bio-Sci	32,330	222,652	13.7

Table 9: Statistics of datasts

SciSpacy Details

For SciSpacy usage, we use them to obtain the entities with "en_core_sci_sm" and "en_ner_bionlp13cg_md" corpus because these two settings are trained in large-scale biomedical domain corpus. The f1 score is 67.87% on the entity extraction task for "en_core_sci_sm", and 76.75% for "en_ner_bionlp13cg_md". We mainly leverage "en_ner_bionlp13cg_md" in our experiments. What we do for linking entities is we first extract the entities and retrieve the BKG to see if there are matches for them.

Message Passing Mechanism Details

What we do for using MPM is that we use the semantic information, h_i^E and h_i^T , learned from Section 3.1 to initialize h_i . As we obtain the initialized document embedding, the MSG function is for finding and collecting the embeddings of nearby nodes for node i and the AGG function will be used to perform aggregation (e.g., concatenation, pooling etc.) of embeddings in terms of node i and its neighbors. Eq. 8 will update the representation of node i iteratively and thus both the semantic information of node i itself and its neighbors can be learned and will be used in the following training procedure.