# VIRTUAL COMMUNITY: A GENERATIVE SOCIAL WORLD FOR EMBODIED AI

Anonymous authors

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

025

026

027

028

029

035

Paper under double-blind review

#### ABSTRACT

We present Virtual Community, a social world simulation platform designed to support embodied AI research, featuring large-scale community scenarios derived from the real world. Virtual Community introduces two key features to enrich the virtual social world with generative AI: scalable 3D Scene creation, which supports the generation of expansive outdoor and indoor environments at any location and scale, addressing the lack of a large-scale, interactive, openworld scene for embodied AI research; and embodied agents with grounded characters and social relationship networks, the first to simulate socially connected agents at a community level, that also have scene-grounded characters. We design two novel challenges to showcase that Virtual Community provides testbeds to evaluate the social reasoning and planning capabilities of embodied agents in open-world scenarios: Route Planning and Election Campaign. The *Route Planning* task examines the agent's ability to reason about time, location, and tools in the community to plan fast and economical commutes in daily life. The *Election Campaign* task evaluates an agent's ability to explore and connect with other agents as a new member of the community. . We evaluate several baseline agents on these challenges and demonstrate the performance gap of current methods in addressing embodied social challenges within open-world scenarios, which our simulator is designed to unlock. We plan to open-source this simulation and hope Virtual Community can accelerate the development in this direction. We encourage the readers to view the demo of our simulation at https://sites.google.com/view/virtual-community-iclr.

1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years, we have witnessed tremendous progress in developing intelligent embodied agents, 037 driven by advancements in embodied AI simulators (Savva et al., 2019; Puig et al., 2023b; Kolve et al., 2017; Li et al., 2021; Xiang et al., 2020b; Makoviychuk et al., 2021; Puig et al., 2018; Gan et al., 2021; Cheng et al., 2024). However, existing simulators face significant challenges in ground-040 ing realistic social interactions in 3D open-world environments. Most simulators focus on simulating 041 a limited number of agents without incorporating social relationships (Szot et al., 2021; Gan et al., 042 2022), and are restricted to small-scale scene regions (Puig et al., 2018; 2020; 2023a;b; Zhang et al., 043 2023; 2024). In contrast, real-world social scenarios typically involve large communities of agents 044 with diverse personalities and complex social networks spread over expansive areas. This limita-045 tion significantly restricts the study of complex and diverse social interactions between agents in simulated environments. 046

To address this challenge, it is crucial to enable the simulators to support the following key aspects.
First, it should offer large-scale 3D environments, including complex and diverse indoor and outdoor scenes, capable of accommodating expansive agent communities and supporting tasks that span vast spatial regions. Current approaches for this aspect can be divided into manual design (Wang et al., 2024; Gan et al., 2021), which provide rich interactions but are inherently limited in number and diversity, and 3D reconstruction methods (Savva et al., 2019), which create visually realistic and diverse environments but often result in noisy scenes with limited interactivity in open-world settings.



Figure 1: Virtual Community features embodied agent communities within open-world scenes. We provide a pipeline for automatically generating scenes and agent communities from real-world geospatial data. The agents are simulated in the Genesis physics engine as humanoid avatars, enabling them to engage in diverse social interactions within the community.

Second, the simulator must support a large number of interconnected 3D agents, each engaged in
their own daily activities while maintaining social relationships with others, allowing for meaningful
social interactions. However, existing multi-agent embodied AI simulators often lack the capacity
to model complex social networks, limiting the study of rich social interactions. Additionally, they
do not automatically align agent profiles, memories, and activities with the scene context, reducing
the overall realism of the agent communities.

In this paper, we present Virtual Community, a generative social world for embodied intelligence re search. Virtual Community addresses these challenges by integrating large-scale real-world geospatial data with generative models to produce interactive, scalable open-world scenes and socially
 grounded agent communities as shown in Figure 1. The platform is advanced in two aspects:

087

071

073

074

075 076

Scalable 3D Virtual Scenes from Real-World Scenarios Virtual Community enables the fully automatic generation of 3D background scenes with several key features: (1) scalable indoor and outdoor scenes with customizable sizes and amounts, (2) automatic annotations of locations and objects within the scenes, and (3) a wide variety of interactive objects based on real-world locations. Virtual Community creates these scenes by combining generative models with real-world geospatial data, ensuring scalability in both data volume and scene size. Being built from geospatial data, these scenes can be seamlessly integrated with real-world tools including search engines and maps.

095

Embodied Agents with Grounded Characters and Social Relationship Networks Virtual 096 Community leverages open-world knowledge from foundation models to endow agents with rich, 097 contextually grounded characters. The simulator further incorporates social relationship networks, 098 connecting these agents into cohesive communities and enabling complex social interactions within the 3D environment. To support this, Virtual Community provides tens of human avatar skins inte-100 grated with SMPL-X skeletons, covering a diverse range of appearances, including celebrity like-101 nesses, to ensure visual variety within human society. These avatars can perform over 15 distinct 102 motions, such as walking, picking and placing objects, and operating vehicles, providing a broad 103 spectrum of embodied behaviors. 104

Virtual Community uses Genesis<sup>1</sup>, a generative physics simulator as the engine, which supports the simulation of a diverse range of materials and a vast range of robotic tasks while being fully

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://github.com/Genesis-Embodied-AI/Genesis

differentiable. Genesis also comes with a real-time OpenGL-based renderer and a path tracing renderer implemented using Luisa compute (Zheng et al., 2022).

Virtual Community enables a variety of new possibilities in embodied AI research. The scalable 111 scene generation and auto-annotation open a new challenge of open-world reasoning and planning, 112 which we created a **Route Planning** challenge as a first step in this direction. The challenge involves 113 the agent navigating from one geographic location to another, making decisions on transportation 114 methods and on-road navigation. The generative embodied agent community provides the opportu-115 nity to study the social intelligence of embodied agents in complex and diverse social tasks in the 116 open world. We propose the **Election Campaign**, which challenges agents to quickly familiarize 117 themselves with other community members and persuade them to vote for the agent, testing their 118 exploration and social communication skills.

Our simulator is novel in its ability to support long-duration and large-region tasks within realworld-based embodied AI simulators, marking a significant advancement in the field. By addressing the limitations of existing methods in data volume scaling, temporal scaling, and spatial scaling, we hope that our framework paves the way for training embodied general intelligence in environments that closely resemble the complexity and richness of the real world.

124 125

Table 1: Comparison of related simulation platforms								
Work	Real- world Set- ting	Social Net- works	Multi- agent	Physics	Humai Ac- tion	noi&calable Scene Size	Num Out- door	Num In- door
AI2-THOR	X	X	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	X	X	0	120
VirtualHome	X	X	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×	0	8
Habitat 3	X	X	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×	0	59
iGibson	X	X	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	X	0	15
ThreeDWorld	X	X	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	X	4	$\infty$
Minecraft	X	X	$\checkmark$	X	X	X	$\infty$	$\infty$
Carla	X	X	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×	12	X
Wayve	X	X	X	×	$\checkmark$	X	$\infty$	X
GPUtopia	X	X	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	X	1	100K+
Virtual Community (Ours)	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\infty$	$\infty$

- 139
- 140 141

142 143

144

#### 2 RELATED WORKS

#### 2.1 EMBODIED AI SIMULATION

145 Recently, embodied AI has seen significant advancements through the development of simulation platforms. Most existing simulators primarily focus on household tasks within indoor environ-146 ments (Beattie et al., 2016; Savva et al., 2019; Yi et al., 2018; Das et al., 2018; Xiang et al., 2020a; 147 Shen et al., 2021; Szot et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021; Puig et al., 2018; Kolve et al., 2017; Yan et al., 148 2018), while some have extended support to outdoor scenes (Gan et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2024; 149 Dosovitskiy et al., 2017; Kendall et al., 2018). However, these platforms lack diverse and scalable 150 outdoor environments that can accommodate a larger number of agents and support more complex 151 tasks. In contrast, this paper introduces a simulation platform featuring open-world environments 152 with indoor and scalable outdoor scenes, enabling broader agent activities and more intricate task 153 scenarios.

154 155

156

#### 2.2 EMBODIED SOCIAL INTELLIGENCE

157 Current research on *Embodied Social Intelligence* is often limited to small agent populations in con158 strained household scenarios (Puig et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2023; Stone et al., 2022) or simplified
159 to 2D or grid worlds (Carroll et al., 2019; Suarez et al., 2019), hindering model development in the
160 open world. Specifically, Park et al. (2023) demonstrates the robust simulation of human-like agents
161 within a symbolic community, ignoring the 3D perception and realistic physics in the open world.
Wang et al. (2023c) studies human-like simulation guided by system 1 processing with basic needs.

162 Predominant approaches, such as multi-agent reinforcement learning (MARL) and other planning 163 models, face several limitations when applied to open-world settings. MARL, for instance, often 164 struggles with scalability due to the exponential growth of state and action spaces as the number of 165 agents increases (Wen et al., 2022). This makes it difficult to learn effective policies in complex, dynamic environments. Additionally, MARL approaches typically require extensive training data 166 and computational resources, which may not be feasible in real-world applications. Other planning 167 models, while potentially more efficient, often lack the adaptability required to handle the unpre-168 dictable nature of open-world interactions. They may rely on predefined rules or assumptions that do not hold in all scenarios, leading to suboptimal performance and limited generalization to new 170 contexts (Puig et al., 2020). 171

- 172
- 173

#### 2.3 FOUNDATION AND GENERATIVE MODELS FOR EMBODIED AI

174 With the recent advance of foundation models (Bubeck et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2023; Driess et al., 175 2023; Blattmann et al., 2023), numerous works have explored how they can help build powerful 176 embodied agents (Wang et al., 2023b; Xi et al., 2023; Sumers et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2023d; Ahn 177 et al., 2022; Sharma et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2023a; Park et al., 2023; Hong et al., 2024; Black 178 et al., 2024), and scenes for simulation (Höllein et al., 2023; Schult et al., 2023; Deitke et al., 2022; 179 Fu et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2024; Feng et al., 2024; Tang et al., 2023; Paschalidou et al., 2021). 180 Robogen (Auerbach et al., 2014) leverages foundation models to automatically generate diversified 181 tasks, scenes, and training supervision, thereby scaling up robotic skill learning with minimal human 182 supervision. Different from them, this work aims to use a generative pipeline to create open world scenes and agent communities instead of constraint indoor scenes and tasks. 183

184 185

#### 3 SCALABLE 3D SCENE GENERATION

187 The existing 3D geospatial datasets<sup>2</sup> provide extensive data in terms of quantity and diversity. How-188 ever, they are not directly suitable for embodied AI research because of several limitations. First, 189 these geospatial data often contain noise, including pedestrians, vehicles, and other transient objects 190 that can disrupt simulations. Second, visual quality is inadequate for ground-level agent perspec-191 tives because these environments are typically reconstructed from aerial imagery, leading to less 192 detailed textures and geometries at street level. To bridge this gap, we perform comprehensive mesh 193 cleaning and enhancement in both geometry and texture to make the scenes suitable for embodied 194 AI simulations.

To overcome these challenges, we propose a pipeline to transform 3D geospatial data into simulation-ready scenes for embodied AI. This pipeline consists of four main steps: mesh simplification, texture refinement, object placement, and automatic annotation. We list some qualitative example in Figure 4 and Figure 3

199 200

201

#### 3.1 MESH CONSTRUCTION AND SIMPLIFICATION FOR SCENES

Since 3D geospatial data like Google 3D tiles are reconstructed from images using photometric methods, they often include noisy surfaces, excessive transient objects like moving cars and people, and unreliable mesh topology. These deficiencies make them inefficient and unsuitable for embodied AI simulations. To address this, we decompose the scene into the terrain, buildings, and decorative roofs and perform different operations to reconstruct each part of the scene.

207 The terrain is built procedurally using sparse reference elevation points and bilinear interpolation. 208 We then derive simple and topologically sound mesh using information provided by the Open-209 StreetMap (OSM) service. The building mesh is then modified to better fit the Google 3D tiles 210 geometry and to align with the terrain elevation. By aligning the mesh geometries with OSM primi-211 tives, we eliminate unnecessary details and artifacts, such as distorted surfaces and irregular shapes caused by aerial reconstruction errors. This geometric simplification not only reduces noise but also 212 decreases the total number of primitives in the scene, leading to more efficient physical simulations 213 and improved rendering performance. 214

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>https://www.google.com/maps/



228

229

230

231

232



233 234 235

236

237

238

239

240

241

Figure 2: Framework of the Virtual Community Generation Pipeline. This pipeline generates scenes and corresponding agents from real-world geospatial data. The scene generation component (A) refines rough 3D data by using generative models to enhance textures and geospatial data to simplify geometry. It also utilizes generative methods to create interactive objects and detailed indoor scenes. The agent generation component (B) leverages LLMs to generate agent characters and social relationship networks based on scene descriptions, resulting in a socially grounded community of embodied agents (C).

242 243 244

245

#### 3.2 ENHANCED TEXTURE QUALITY FOR REALISTIC SIMULATION

246 To improve the visual quality of 3D geospatial data, we employ advanced image processing techniques. First, we use an inpainting method based on Stable Diffusion (Rombach et al., 2022) to 247 remove noise and repair missing or damaged areas in textures. This process corrects inconsisten-248 cies and eliminates artifacts from the reconstruction phase, resulting in smooth and realistic surface 249 appearances. Once the texture integrity is restored, we enhance finer details using street view im-250 ages and super-resolution tools. While some approaches directly use street view images for 3D 251 reconstruction (Pang & Biljecki, 2022; Gao et al., 2024), these methods often struggle with limited coverage and density. Instead, we blend street view images with existing textures on scene primitives 253 to improve visual richness. For super-resolution, we use GigaPixel<sup>3</sup> to increase texture resolution 254 and sharpen finer details. This two-step enhancement significantly increases the visual fidelity of 255 the textures, making them more suitable for ground-level rendering. These high-quality textures 256 create a more immersive environment for agents, improving the realism and overall effectiveness of embodied AI training. 257

- 258
- 259 260

#### IMPROVING INTERACTIVITY BY OBJECTS RETRIEVAL AND REPLACEMENT 3.3

To enhance the interactivity of the scenes, we use generative methods to populate the environment 261 with interactive objects, such as bikes and tent. We use annotations in OpenStreetMap (OSM) 262 dataset to determine the type and location of generated objects to match the real-world context. 263 The OSM annotations are used as input for the One-2-3-45 Liu et al. (2024) generative framework, 264 which outputs the corresponding 3D meshes of corresponding objects. These generative objects are 265 assigned physical properties that allow them to interact seamlessly with agents in the simulation. By 266 aligning object generation with real-world geospatial data, this approach ensures that the scenes are 267 functionally rich and physically interactive, enabling agents to engage in meaningful interactions 268 that mirror real-world environments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>https://www.topazlabs.com/gigapixel-ai



Figure 3: Large-scale scene rendered from the generated city scenes in North America and Europe. Our method is capable of generating high-quality scenes with an area of square miles. Objects are dynamically loaded in the simulator and are therefore not rendered in this figure.

#### 3.4 AUTOMATIC ANNOTATION OF SCENES WITH GEOSPATIAL DATA

To facilitate alignment with real-world locations and provide semantic context, we automatically annotate the scenes using geospatial data. We integrate metadata from sources such as OpenStreetMap and other GIS databases to label buildings, roads, and other landmarks within the environment. This annotation enables agents to access location-specific information and supports tasks that require an understanding of the spatial context, such as navigation and location-based decision-making. The enriched semantic information enhances the potential for more sophisticated and context-aware agent behaviors within the simulation.

Bus Transit Annotation We search for the bus stops in the scene using Google Places API and then annotate the routes between any two adjacent bus stops using Google Directions API. We use depth-first search (DFS) on the graph of routes to find the route in the scene that maximizes the number of bus stops. Then, we decode polyline from the route to extract the dense waypoints which follow rounds of optimization to ensure the distance between waypoints is roughly equal and contains turning points. We also generate the bus schedule by estimating the travel time between bus stops using the distance and speed of the bus.

Shared Bicycle Transit Annotation We search for the shared bicycle stations in the scene using the
 OpenStreetMap API.

315 316

293

295 296 297

298 299

300

301

302

303

304

305

317 318

## 4 COMMUNITY OF EMBODIED AGENTS WITH GROUNDED CHARACTERS AND SOCIAL RELATIONSHIP NETWORKS

319 320

Given diverse generated scenes with real-world geospatial data mapping, we introduce a generative
 pipeline to populate the scenes with communities of agents with grounded characters and social
 relationship networks in section 4.1. Then we discuss how we design the embodiment for the agents
 and simulation details in section 4.2.

324



337

338

339 340 341

342



Figure 4: Close-up view of the generated scenes. The resulting scene has clean geometry and realistic texture, which is essential for physical simulation and sim-to-real transfer learning. Objects are dynamically loaded in the simulator and are therefore not rendered in this figure.

#### 4.1 GROUNDED CHARACTERS AND SOCIAL RELATIONSHIP NETWORK GENERATION

343 We utilize the open-world knowledge of the Large Language Model (LLM) to generate agent char-344 acter profiles and personalities grounded in the scene. The input to the LLM is structured into two parts to create characters grounded in a specific scene. The first part contains scene-related infor-345 mation, such as the scene name and details about various places, including their names, types, and 346 functionalities. The second part includes details on the agents' appearances to ensure consistency 347 between their visual attributes and generated profiles, which are annotated with the name and age. 348 With both parts provided, the LLM generates agent profiles along with their social relationships. 349 The profiles consist of basic attributes such as names, ages, occupations, personalities, and hobbies, 350 which influence each agent's daily decision-making. Social relationships are structured as groups, 351 each containing a subset of agents along with a text description and a designated place for group 352 activities, connecting these agents into a cohesive community, and allowing rich and complex social 353 interactions grounded in the 3D environment.

Grounding Validator We implemented a grounding validator to check if the generated character
 profiles are accurately grounded to the scene by checking if all related places generated exist in the
 scene. If the validation fails, LLM will be prompted again with the feedback from the validator and
 try to fix the mismatch. Empirically, we find that 1-2 rounds of prompting is enough to pass the
 grounding validator.

An example character with social relationship networks generated is shown in Figure 5 (a).

361 362

363

4.2 HUMAN AVATARS EMBODIMENTS

Human Avatars Skin Creation We obtained 12 avatar skin models of different genders, professions, and appearances from the Mixamo<sup>4</sup> website for integration into the Virtual Community. Each skin model of characters includes 71 skeletal joints and can be adapted to animation sequences in SMPL-X and FBX formats. To reduce the computational load during animation playback in the Virtual Community, we further optimized the skin models by applying Blender's Decimate Modifier tool, reducing the number of vertices in the 3D skin mesh by 90%.

In addition to the standard skin meshes provided by Mixamo, we use the Avatar SDK<sup>5</sup> to generate high-fidelity human skin meshes from real-world images, allowing us to represent diverse individuals, including celebrities, in our Virtual Community. For each character, we first obtain a highquality portrait image from the internet. This image is processed using the Avatar SDK API, which produces a 3D mesh with detailed skin textures. To further enhance realism, we adjust the avatar's clothing, height, and body shape, creating a more lifelike and personalized appearance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>https://www.mixamo.com/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>https://avatarsdk.com

Daily Schedule Brian Carter 00:00:00 - 07:00:00 Sleep in Brian Carter's room Dance Instructor at Arthur Bodie 07:00:00 - 08:00:00 Dance and Fitness Studio Morning Routine in Brian Carter's room 08:00:00 - 08:30:00 Age: 35 Commute 08:30:00 - 11:30:00 Values: stimulation, hedonism Dance Instruction in Arthur Bodie Dance and Fitness Studio Hobby: dancing 11.30.00 - 12.00.00 Group(s): CrossFit Warriors Commute Living: EOS NoMad Apartments 12:00:00 - 13:00:00 Cash: \$600 Lunch Break in Friedman's Herald Square 13:00:00 - 13:30:00 Lifestyle: I go to bed around 11pm, Commute wake up around 7am, eat dinner 13:30:00 - 15:00:00 around 8pm. CrossFit Training in CrossFit NYC

Figure 5: An example of (a) generated character and (b) daily schedule.

**Human Avatars Motion Control** We combine SMPL-X human skeletons with created avatar skins to model human avatars in Virtual Community. The motions of these avatars are parameterized by SMPL-X pose vectors  $J \in \mathbb{R}^{162}$  along with global translation and rotation vectors  $T, R \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Based on these pose representations, a skin mesh for each avatar is calculated using forward kinematics.

401 Our motion model for humanoid avatars supports over 15 distinct motions, such as walking, picking objects, and entering various vehicles. We use motion clips from Mixamo and adjust these clips to 402 our humanoid avatar models with appropriate animating speeds. For walking, we loop the walking 403 motion clip until the avatar reaches the given distance. For object-related motions, the interacting 404 object will be kinematically attached or detached to or from the avatars' hands depending on the 405 action type. Similarly, the humanoid avatar will be kinematically attached or detached to or from 406 the given vehicle for vehicle-related motions. We also incorporate physics constraints into our avatar 407 motion model, where collision detection is performed between avatars and other scene entities, and 408 the motion process is terminated when a potential collision is detected. To handle different terrain 409 altitudes within community scenes, we preprocess a height field for each scene and kinematically 410 adjust the height of our humanoid avatars according to their current locations.

411 412 413

414

415

416

417

418

419

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

389

390

391 392 393

394

396

397

398

399

400

#### 4.3 DAILY SCHEDULE GENERATION

Given the scene-grounded characters and social relationship networks, we prompt the foundation models to generate the daily schedule for each agent, using a similar design to Park et al. (2023). Differently, we generate the daily schedule in a structured manner directly with each activity represented with a start time, an ending time, an activity description, and the corresponding activity place, and consider the required commute time between adjacent activities that are happening in different places explicitly, due to the actual cost of navigating in an expansive 3D environment. An example daily schedule generated is shown in Figure 5 (b).

- 420 421 422
- 423 424

#### 5 OPEN WORLD AND SOCIAL CHALLENGES IN VIRTUAL COMMUNITY

We introduce and study two tasks in Virtual Community: *Route Planning* and *Election Campaigning*. The tasks cover agents planning ability in a community context and social intelligence to interact with other agents.

As the foundation for both tasks, agents in the community follow a default daily plan and routine (introduced in Section 4.3) if no specific tasks are assigned. During each episode, one or two agents are randomly selected and assigned one task. When an agent is given a task, it suspends its daily plan and focuses on completing the assigned social task in the community.

## 432 5.1 ROUTE PLANNING: USE TRANSPORTATIONS IN COMMUNITY

433 434

435

436

437

438

439

440

**Task Definition** To live a daily life in a human society, an embodied agent needs first to be able to plan its route from one place to another in the community. To study this basic ability of embodied agents, we introduce the *Route Planning* task. In this task, an agent needs to commute from place to place 5 - 7 times a day given the schedule. The agent can utilize available transit options, including buses with fixed routes and rental bikes along the roads. The bus is only available at the bus stop and the agent can only take a bus when the bus arrives. The bikes are available at given bike stations

along the roads, and the agent also needs to return the bike to any bike station before the task finishes.

At each simulation step, agents are provided with an observation of RGB-D images with the corresponding camera matrix, current poses, daily schedules, and transit information in the community. The action space for these avatars includes *move\_forward*, *turn\_left*, *turn\_right*, *enter/exit\_bus*, and *enter/exit\_bike*. The movement and turning actions can be set with a variable amount. When an agent is within a specified distance threshold of another agent, it can perform a communication action, enabling text-based interaction with agents within that range.

**Baselines** We compare three baseline agents in the *Route Planning* task:

•Rule-based Agent The rule-based agent always chooses to walk directly toward the target location.

•MCTS agent This agent is based on Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS) and simulates various decisions, such as choosing to take a bus. For each action, the agent estimates its associated cost and uses Monte Carlo sampling to iteratively update the expected reward for each decision path. The agent ultimately selects the action sequence that maximizes the cumulative reward.

•LLM agent This agent converts all the task information into a prompt and queries the Large Langauge Model (we use GPT-40 here) to generate a commute plan directly, which may include multiple steps such as walking to a bus stop, taking the bus to a specific stop, and then walk to the final destination.

All agents use the same low-level point-based navigation algorithm, which reconstructs the point cloud based on RGB-D images from the ego-centric observation at each step and converts the point cloud into a volume grid representation with a resolution of 0.1m. Subsequently, a 2D occurrence map is established with a resolution of 0.5m based on this representation and an A\* algorithm is used to search for the shortest path efficiently.

Metrics We evaluate the agents on two different scenes with 19 diverse personal schedules, making
 106 commutes in total. Agents are expected to commute efficiently, so we use the following metrics
 for this task

• Arrival Rate: Percentage of in-time arrivals at the target location within the given time.

• Time: Average time in seconds taken on the road to reach the destination.

Methods	Route plan Arrival Rate↑	ning Time↓
Rule	0.97	668.5
MCTS	0.91	698.7
LLM (GPT-40)	0.89	963.0

Table 2: Experiment results of Route Planning task.

477 478

467

468

469 470 471

479

Results As shown in Table 2, both search-based agent MCTS and LLM-based agent fail to make
effective use of the available public transit options, resulting in even more time spent on commuting
and a lower arrival rate compared to the naive rule agent baseline. This is due to the complexity of
predicting whether the agent could catch a bus given partially built maps of the scene. We observe
that the LLM agent tends to leverage public transits more but without a good estimation of the time
needed to get to the transit station based on uncertainty on the navigation, it costs significantly more
time in commuting.



Figure 6: **Election Campaign Task Results.** We study LLM-driven agent behavior in the election campaign task. Different candidate agents exhibit distinct strategies.

#### 5.2 ELECTION CAMPAIGN: FIND AND PERSUADE OTHERS

Task Definition In this task, two agents in the community are designated as candidates. The candidates need to navigate through the community, find potential voters, and persuade them to vote through direct communication. The election concludes at the end of the day, and the winner is determined by the percentage of votes each candidate receives. Due to pre-existing social relationships, some voters may have initial preferences for certain candidates at the beginning of the task, so candidates must devise strategies to influence and shift voter opinions throughout the election process.

Baselines We use an LLM-based agent as the baseline for this task. The agent's behavior is determined through iterative prompting of the LLM to identify which voter the candidate should visit next. After selecting the target voter, the candidate navigates to their location and delivers a campaign speech, also generated via LLM prompts. This process is repeated until the simulation ends. At the conclusion of the campaign, an election is held, during which each agent is prompted to decide which candidate they will vote for.

Results As shown in Figure 6, Trump began his campaign from the top right corner of the map, visiting Chad Thompson, Brian Carter, and Joe Anderson in succession. His target audience mainly consisted of achievement-oriented young men. In contrast, Harris started her actions from the top left corner of the map, visiting Megan Carter, Zara Williams, and Emily Johnson in succession. Her target audience is primarily focused on young women. Both chose targets that aligned with their campaign strategies.

#### 529 6 CONCLUSION

506

507 508

530 We introduce Virtual Community, a generative social world for Embodied AI, featuring scalable 531 scene generation and a community of embodied agents with grounded characters and social rela-532 tionship networks. Virtual Community generation pipeline leverages rich real geospatial data and 533 open-world knowledge data of advanced generative models and creates infinite scenes and grounded 534 social agent communities. As an initial exploration of this simulator, we introduce two novel open-535 world and social challenges, Route Planning and Election Campaign, which are developed and tested using various baseline methods. These experiments highlight the difficulty of the challenges 536 enabled by our new virtual social world. We hope Virtual Community can help advance the Embod-537 ied AI research towards building embodied generalist intelligence that can handle the difficulty of 538 the real world and coexist with the human community.

## 540 REFERENCES

550

570

- Michael Ahn, Anthony Brohan, Noah Brown, Yevgen Chebotar, Omar Cortes, Byron David, Chelsea
  Finn, Keerthana Gopalakrishnan, Karol Hausman, Alex Herzog, et al. Do as i can, not as i say:
  Grounding language in robotic affordances. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.01691*, 2022. 4
- Joshua Auerbach, Deniz Aydin, Andrea Maesani, Przemysław Kornatowski, Titus Ciesłewski, Grégoire Heitz, Pradeep Fernando, Ilya Loshchilov, Ludovic Daler, and Dario Floreano. Robogen: Robot generation through artificial evolution. In *Artificial Life Conference Proceedings*, pp. 136–137. MIT Press One Rogers Street, Cambridge, MA 02142-1209, USA journals-info ..., 2014. 4
- Charles Beattie, Joel Z Leibo, Denis Teplyashin, Tom Ward, Marcus Wainwright, Heinrich Küttler, Andrew Lefrancq, Simon Green, Víctor Valdés, Amir Sadik, et al. Deepmind lab. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.03801*, 2016. 3
- Kevin Black, Mitsuhiko Nakamoto, Pranav Atreya, Homer Rich Walke, Chelsea Finn, Aviral Kumar, and Sergey Levine. Zero-shot robotic manipulation with pre-trained image-editing diffusion models. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=c0chJTSbci. 4
- Andreas Blattmann, Robin Rombach, Huan Ling, Tim Dockhorn, Seung Wook Kim, Sanja Fidler, and Karsten Kreis. Align your latents: High-resolution video synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 22563–22575, 2023. 4
- Sébastien Bubeck, Varun Chandrasekaran, Ronen Eldan, Johannes Gehrke, Eric Horvitz, Ece
  Kamar, Peter Lee, Yin Tat Lee, Yuanzhi Li, Scott Lundberg, Harsha Nori, Hamid Palangi,
  Marco Tulio Ribeiro, and Yi Zhang. Sparks of artificial general intelligence: Early experiments
  with gpt-4, 2023. 4
- Micah Carroll, Rohin Shah, Mark K Ho, Tom Griffiths, Sanjit Seshia, Pieter Abbeel, and Anca Dragan. On the utility of learning about humans for human-ai coordination. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32, 2019. 3
- Zhili Cheng, Zhitong Wang, Jinyi Hu, Shengding Hu, An Liu, Yuge Tu, Pengkai Li, Lei Shi, Zhiyuan Liu, and Maosong Sun. Legent: Open platform for embodied agents. In *The 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, System Demonstration*, 2024. 1
- Abhishek Das, Samyak Datta, Georgia Gkioxari, Stefan Lee, Devi Parikh, and Dhruv Batra. Embodied question answering. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 1–10, 2018. 3
- Matt Deitke, Eli VanderBilt, Alvaro Herrasti, Luca Weihs, Kiana Ehsani, Jordi Salvador, Winson Han, Eric Kolve, Aniruddha Kembhavi, and Roozbeh Mottaghi. Procthor: Large-scale embodied ai using procedural generation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:5982–5994, 2022. 4
- Alexey Dosovitskiy, German Ros, Felipe Codevilla, Antonio Lopez, and Vladlen Koltun. CARLA:
   An open urban driving simulator. In *Proceedings of the 1st Annual Conference on Robot Learning*,
   pp. 1–16, 2017. 3
- Danny Driess, Fei Xia, Mehdi S. M. Sajjadi, Corey Lynch, Aakanksha Chowdhery, Brian Ichter, Ayzaan Wahid, Jonathan Tompson, Quan Vuong, Tianhe Yu, Wenlong Huang, Yevgen Chebotar, Pierre Sermanet, Daniel Duckworth, Sergey Levine, Vincent Vanhoucke, Karol Hausman, Marc Toussaint, Klaus Greff, Andy Zeng, Igor Mordatch, and Pete Florence. Palm-e: An embodied multimodal language model. In *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.03378*, 2023. 4
- Weixi Feng, Wanrong Zhu, Tsu-jui Fu, Varun Jampani, Arjun Akula, Xuehai He, Sugato Basu, Xin Eric Wang, and William Yang Wang. Layoutgpt: Compositional visual planning and generation with large language models. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 36, 2024.

628

629

630

- Huan Fu, Bowen Cai, Lin Gao, Ling-Xiao Zhang, Jiaming Wang, Cao Li, Qixun Zeng, Chengyue Sun, Rongfei Jia, Binqiang Zhao, et al. 3d-front: 3d furnished rooms with layouts and semantics. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 10933–10942, 2021. 4
- Chuang Gan, Jeremy Schwartz, Seth Alter, Damian Mrowca, Martin Schrimpf, James Traer, Julian De Freitas, Jonas Kubilius, Abhishek Bhandwaldar, Nick Haber, et al. Threedworld: A platform for interactive multi-modal physical simulation. In *Thirty-fifth Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems Datasets and Benchmarks Track (Round 1)*, 2021. 1, 3
- Chuang Gan, Siyuan Zhou, Jeremy Schwartz, Seth Alter, Abhishek Bhandwaldar, Dan Gutfreund,
   Daniel LK Yamins, James J DiCarlo, Josh McDermott, Antonio Torralba, et al. The threedworld
   transport challenge: A visually guided task-and-motion planning benchmark towards physically
   realistic embodied ai. In 2022 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), pp.
   8847–8854. IEEE, 2022. 1
- Kyle Gao, Dening Lu, Hongjie He, Linlin Xu, and Jonathan Li. Photorealistic 3d urban scene reconstruction and point cloud extraction using google earth imagery and gaussian splatting. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2405.11021, 2024. 5
- Lukas Höllein, Ang Cao, Andrew Owens, Justin Johnson, and Matthias Nießner. Text2room: Extracting textured 3d meshes from 2d text-to-image models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.11989*, 2023. 4
- Mineui Hong, Minjae Kang, and Songhwai Oh. Diffused task-agnostic milestone planner. Advances
   *in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024. 4
- Alex Kendall, Jeffrey Hawke, David Janz, Przemyslaw Mazur, Daniele Reda, John-Mark Allen, Vinh-Dieu Lam, Alex Bewley, and Amar Shah. Learning to drive in a day. *CoRR*, abs/1807.00412, 2018. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1807.00412. 3
- Eric Kolve, Roozbeh Mottaghi, Winson Han, Eli VanderBilt, Luca Weihs, Alvaro Herrasti, Matt
  Deitke, Kiana Ehsani, Daniel Gordon, Yuke Zhu, et al. Ai2-thor: An interactive 3d environment
  for visual ai. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.05474*, 2017. 1, 3
- Chengshu Li, Fei Xia, Roberto Martín-Martín, Michael Lingelbach, Sanjana Srivastava, Bokui Shen, Kent Elliott Vainio, Cem Gokmen, Gokul Dharan, Tanish Jain, et al. igibson 2.0: Object-centric simulation for robot learning of everyday household tasks. In *5th Annual Conference on Robot Learning*, 2021. 1, 3
  - Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2304.08485, 2023. 4
- Minghua Liu, Chao Xu, Haian Jin, Linghao Chen, Mukund Varma T, Zexiang Xu, and Hao Su. One 2-3-45: Any single image to 3d mesh in 45 seconds without per-shape optimization. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 36, 2024. 5
- Viktor Makoviychuk, Lukasz Wawrzyniak, Yunrong Guo, Michelle Lu, Kier Storey, Miles Macklin, David Hoeller, Nikita Rudin, Arthur Allshire, Ankur Handa, et al. Isaac gym: High performance gpu based physics simulation for robot learning. In *Thirty-fifth Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems Datasets and Benchmarks Track (Round 2)*, 2021. 1
- Hui En Pang and Filip Biljecki. 3d building reconstruction from single street view images using
  deep learning. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 112:
  102859, 2022. 5
- Joon Sung Park, Joseph C O'Brien, Carrie J Cai, Meredith Ringel Morris, Percy Liang, and Michael S Bernstein. Generative agents: Interactive simulacra of human behavior. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.03442*, 2023. 3, 4, 8
- Despoina Paschalidou, Amlan Kar, Maria Shugrina, Karsten Kreis, Andreas Geiger, and Sanja Fi dler. Atiss: Autoregressive transformers for indoor scene synthesis. Advances in Neural Informa tion Processing Systems, 34:12013–12026, 2021. 4

666

686

687

688 689

690

- Kavier Puig, Kevin Ra, Marko Boben, Jiaman Li, Tingwu Wang, Sanja Fidler, and Antonio Torralba. Virtualhome: Simulating household activities via programs. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 8494–8502, 2018. 1, 3
- Kavier Puig, Tianmin Shu, Shuang Li, Zilin Wang, Yuan-Hong Liao, Joshua B Tenenbaum, Sanja
  Fidler, and Antonio Torralba. Watch-and-help: A challenge for social perception and human-ai
  collaboration. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2020. 1, 3, 4
- Kavier Puig, Tianmin Shu, Joshua B Tenenbaum, and Antonio Torralba. Nopa: Neurally-guided online probabilistic assistance for building socially intelligent home assistants. In 2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), pp. 7628–7634. IEEE, 2023a. 1
- Kavier Puig, Eric Undersander, Andrew Szot, Mikael Dallaire Cote, Tsung-Yen Yang, Ruslan Partsey, Ruta Desai, Alexander Clegg, Michal Hlavac, So Yeon Min, et al. Habitat 3.0: A co-habitat for humans, avatars, and robots. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023b. 1
- Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF confer- ence on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 10684–10695, 2022. 5
- Manolis Savva, Abhishek Kadian, Oleksandr Maksymets, Yili Zhao, Erik Wijmans, Bhavana Jain, Julian Straub, Jia Liu, Vladlen Koltun, Jitendra Malik, et al. Habitat: A platform for embodied ai research. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pp. 9339–9347, 2019. 1, 3
- Jonas Schult, Sam Tsai, Lukas Höllein, Bichen Wu, Jialiang Wang, Chih-Yao Ma, Kunpeng Li, Xi aofang Wang, Felix Wimbauer, Zijian He, et al. Controlroom3d: Room generation using semantic
   proxy rooms. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.05208, 2023. 4
- Pratyusha Sharma, Antonio Torralba, and Jacob Andreas. Skill induction and planning with latent language. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.01517*, 2021. 4
- Bokui Shen, Fei Xia, Chengshu Li, Roberto Martín-Martín, Linxi Fan, Guanzhi Wang, Claudia
  Pérez-D'Arpino, Shyamal Buch, Sanjana Srivastava, Lyne Tchapmi, et al. igibson 1.0: A simulation environment for interactive tasks in large realistic scenes. In 2021 IEEE/RSJ International *Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pp. 7520–7527. IEEE, 2021. 3
- Peter Stone, Rodney Brooks, Erik Brynjolfsson, Ryan Calo, Oren Etzioni, Greg Hager, Julia Hirschberg, Shivaram Kalyanakrishnan, Ece Kamar, Sarit Kraus, et al. Artificial intelligence and life in 2030: the one hundred year study on artificial intelligence. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.06318*, 2022. 3
  - Joseph Suarez, Yilun Du, Phillip Isola, and Igor Mordatch. Neural MMO: A massively multiagent game environment for training and evaluating intelligent agents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.00784*, 2019. **3**
  - Theodore Sumers, Shunyu Yao, Karthik Narasimhan, and Thomas L Griffiths. Cognitive architectures for language agents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.02427*, 2023. 4
- Andrew Szot, Alexander Clegg, Eric Undersander, Erik Wijmans, Yili Zhao, John Turner, Noah
   Maestre, Mustafa Mukadam, Devendra Singh Chaplot, Oleksandr Maksymets, et al. Habitat 2.0:
   Training home assistants to rearrange their habitat. Advances in Neural Information Processing
   Systems, 34:251–266, 2021. 1, 3
- Jiapeng Tang, Yinyu Nie, Lev Markhasin, Angela Dai, Justus Thies, and Matthias Nießner. Diffuscene: Scene graph denoising diffusion probabilistic model for generative indoor scene synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.14207*, 2023. 4
- Guanzhi Wang, Yuqi Xie, Yunfan Jiang, Ajay Mandlekar, Chaowei Xiao, Yuke Zhu, Linxi Fan, and Anima Anandkumar. Voyager: An open-ended embodied agent with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.16291*, 2023a. 4

702 Hanqing Wang, Jiahe Chen, Wensi Huang, Qingwei Ben, Tai Wang, Boyu Mi, Tao Huang, Siheng 703 Zhao, Yilun Chen, Sizhe Yang, Peizhou Cao, Wenye Yu, Zichao Ye, Jialun Li, Junfeng Long, 704 ZiRui Wang, Huiling Wang, Ying Zhao, Zhongying Tu, Yu Qiao, Dahua Lin, and Pang Jiangmiao. 705 Grutopia: Dream general robots in a city at scale. In arXiv, 2024. 1, 3 706 Lei Wang, Chen Ma, Xueyang Feng, Zeyu Zhang, Hao Yang, Jingsen Zhang, Zhiyuan Chen, Jiakai 707 Tang, Xu Chen, Yankai Lin, et al. A survey on large language model based autonomous agents. 708 arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.11432, 2023b. 4 709 710 Zhilin Wang, Yu Ying Chiu, and Yu Cheung Chiu. Humanoid agents: Platform for simulating 711 human-like generative agents. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.05418, 2023c. 3 712 Zihao Wang, Shaofei Cai, Anji Liu, Xiaojian Ma, and Yitao Liang. Describe, explain, plan and 713 select: Interactive planning with large language models enables open-world multi-task agents, 714 2023d. 4 715 716 Muning Wen, Jakub Kuba, Runji Lin, Weinan Zhang, Ying Wen, Jun Wang, and Yaodong Yang. Multi-agent reinforcement learning is a sequence modeling problem. Advances in Neural Infor-717 mation Processing Systems, 35:16509–16521, 2022. 4 718 719 Zhiheng Xi, Wenxiang Chen, Xin Guo, Wei He, Yiwen Ding, Boyang Hong, Ming Zhang, Junzhe 720 Wang, Senjie Jin, Enyu Zhou, et al. The rise and potential of large language model based agents: 721 A survey. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.07864, 2023. 4 722 Fanbo Xiang, Yuzhe Qin, Kaichun Mo, Yikuan Xia, Hao Zhu, Fangchen Liu, Minghua Liu, Hanx-723 iao Jiang, Yifu Yuan, He Wang, et al. Sapien: A simulated part-based interactive environment. 724 In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 725 11097–11107, 2020a. 3 726 727 Fanbo Xiang, Yuzhe Qin, Kaichun Mo, Yikuan Xia, Hao Zhu, Fangchen Liu, Minghua Liu, Hanx-728 iao Jiang, Yifu Yuan, He Wang, et al. Sapien: A simulated part-based interactive environment. 729 In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 11097-11107, 2020b. 1 730 731 Claudia Yan, Dipendra Misra, Andrew Bennnett, Aaron Walsman, Yonatan Bisk, and Yoav Artzi. 732 Chalet: Cornell house agent learning environment. arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.07357, 2018. 3 733 734 Yandan Yang, Baoxiong Jia, Peiyuan Zhi, and Siyuan Huang. Physcene: Physically interactable 3d scene synthesis for embodied ai. arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.09465, 2024. 4 735 736 Wu Yi, Wu Yuxin, Gkioxari Georgia, and Tian Yuandong. Building generalizable agents with a 737 realistic and rich 3d environment, 2018. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id= 738 rkaT3zWCZ.3 739 Hongxin Zhang, Weihua Du, Jiaming Shan, Qinhong Zhou, Yilun Du, Joshua B Tenenbaum, Tian-740 min Shu, and Chuang Gan. Building cooperative embodied agents modularly with large language 741 models. In The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations, 2023. 1, 3 742 743 Hongxin Zhang, Zeyuan Wang, Qiushi Lyu, Zheyuan Zhang, Sunli Chen, Tianmin Shu, Yilun Du, 744 and Chuang Gan. Combo: Compositional world models for embodied multi-agent cooperation. 745 arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.10775, 2024. 1 746 Shaokun Zheng, Zhiqian Zhou, Xin Chen, Difei Yan, Chuyan Zhang, Yuefeng Geng, Yan Gu, and 747 Kun Xu. Luisarender: A high-performance rendering framework with layered and unified in-748 terfaces on stream architectures. ACM Trans. Graph., 41(6), nov 2022. ISSN 0730-0301. doi: 749 10.1145/3550454.3555463. URL https://doi.org/10.1145/3550454.3555463. 3 750 751 752 753 754 755