Transforming to Yoked Neural Networks to Improve ANN Structure

Anonymous Author(s) Affiliation Address email

Abstract

Most existing classical artificial neural networks (ANN) are designed as a tree 1 structure to imitate neural networks. In this paper, we argue that the connectivity 2 of a tree is not sufficient to characterize a neural network. The nodes of the З same level of a tree cannot be connected with each other, i.e., these neural unit 4 cannot share information with each other, which is a major drawback of ANN. 5 Although ANN has been significantly improved in recent years to more complex 6 structures, such as the directed acyclic graph (DAG), these methods also have 7 unidirectional and acyclic bias for ANN. In this paper, we propose a method to 8 build a bidirectional complete graph for the nodes in the same level of an ANN, 9 which yokes the nodes of the same level to formulate a neural module. We call our 10 model as YNN in short. YNN promotes the information transfer significantly which 11 obviously helps in improving the performance of the method. Our YNN can imitate 12 13 neural networks much better compared with the traditional ANN. In this paper, we analyze the existing structural bias of ANN and propose a model YNN to efficiently 14 eliminate such structural bias. In our model, nodes also carry out aggregation and 15 transformation of features, and edges determine the flow of information. We further 16 impose auxiliary sparsity constraint to the distribution of connectedness, which 17 promotes the learned structure to focus on critical connections. Finally, based on 18 the optimized structure, we also design small neural module structure based on the 19 minimum cut technique to reduce the computational burden of the YNN model. 20 21 This learning process is compatible with the existing networks and different tasks. 22 The obtained quantitative experimental results reflect that the learned connectivity is superior to the traditional NN structure. 23

24 **1** Introduction

Deep learning successfully transits the feature engineering from manual to automatic design and 25 enables optimization of the mapping function from sample to feature. Consequently, the search 26 for effective neural networks has gradually become an important and practical direction. However, 27 designing the architecture remains a challenging task. Certain research studies explore the impact 28 of depth [1,2,3] and the type of convolution [4,5] on performance. Moreover, some researchers 29 have attempted to simplify the architecture design. VGGNet [6] was directly stacked by a series 30 of convolution layers with plain topology. To better adapt the optimization process of gradient 31 descent process, GoogleNet [7] introduced parallel modules, while Highway networks [8] employed 32 gating units to regulate information flow, resulting in elastic topologies. Driven by the significance 33 of depth, the residual block consisted of residual mapping and shortcut was raised in ResNet [9]. 34 Topological changes in neural networks successfully scaled up neural networks to hundreds of layers. 35 The proposed residual connectivity was widely approved and was subsequently applied in other 36 works such as MobileNet [10,11] and ShuffleNet [12]. Divergent from the relative sparse topologies, 37

DenseNet [13] wired densely among blocks to fully leverage feature reuse. Recent advances in computer vision [25,26] also explored neural architecture search (NAS) methods [14,15,16] to search convolutional blocks. In recent years, Yuan proposed a topological perspective using directed acyclic graph (DAG) [29] to represent neural networks, enhancing the topological capabilities of artificial neural networks (ANNs). However, these approaches suffer from the bias of unidirectional and acyclic structures, limiting the signal's capability for free transmission in the network.

The existing efforts in neural network connectivity have primarily focused on the tree structures where 44 neural units at the same level cannot exchange information with each other, resulting in significant 45 drawbacks for ANNs. This limitation arises due to the absence of a neural module concept. In this 46 paper, we argue that the current connectivity approaches fail to adequately capture the essence of 47 neural networks. Since the nodes at the same level of a tree cannot establish connections with each 48 other, it hampers the transfer of information between these neural units, leading to substantial defects 49 for ANNs. We argue that the nodes in the same level should form a neural module and establish 50 interconnections. As a result, we introduce a method to build up a bidirectional complete graph 51 for nodes at the same level of an ANN. By linking the nodes in a YOKE fashion, we create neural 52 modules. Furthermore, when we consider all the nodes at the same level, we would have a chance to 53 construct a bidirectional complete graph in ANNs and yields remarkable improvements. We refer 54 to our model as Yoked Neural Network, YNN for brevity. It is important to note that if all the edge 55 weights in the bidirectional complete graph become vestigial and approach to zero, our YNN would 56 reduce to a traditional tree structure. 57

In this paper, we analyze the structural bias of existing ANN structures. To more accurately mimic 58 neural networks, our method efficiently eliminates structural bias. In our model, nodes not only 59 aggregate and transform features but also determine the information flow. We achieve this by 60 assigning learnable parameters to the edges, which reflect the magnitude of connections. This allows 61 the learning process to resemble traditional learning methods, enhancing the overall performance of 62 our model in imitating neural networks. As the nodes are relied on the values of other nodes, it is a 63 challenging task designing a bidirectional complete graph for nodes at the same level. We address 64 this challenge by introducing a synchronization method specifically tailored for learning the nodes at 65 the same level. This synchronization method is crucial for ensuring the effective coordination and 66 learning of these interconnected nodes. 67

⁶⁸ Finally, to optimize the structure of YNN, we further attach an auxiliary sparsity constraint that
 ⁶⁹ influences the distribution of connectedness. This constraint promotes the learned structure to
 ⁷⁰ prioritize critical connections, enhancing the overall efficiency of the learning process.

The learning process is compatible with existing networks and exhibits adaptability to larger search spaces and diverse tasks, effectively eliminating the structural bias. We evaluate the effectiveness of our optimization method by conducting experiments on classical networks, demonstrating its competitiveness compared to existing networks. Additionally, to showcase the benefits of connectivity learning, we evaluate our method across various tasks and datasets. The quantitative results from these experiments indicate the superiority of the learned connectivity in terms of performance and effectiveness.

Considering that the synchronization algorithm for nodes at the same level may be computationally intense, we also propose a method to design small neural modules to simplify our model. This approach

⁸⁰ significantly reduces the computational burden of our model while maintaining its effectiveness.

- 81 To sum up, our contributions in this paper are as follows:
- 1. We provide an analysis of the structural bias present in existing ANN networks.
- 2. We propose the YNN model which involves YOKING the nodes at the same level together
 to simulate real neural networks.
- 3. We develop a synchronization method to effectively learn and coordinate the nodes at the
 same level, introducing the concept of neural modules.
- 4. We design a regularization-based optimization method to optimize the structure of the YNN
 model.
- 5. We propose the design of small neural modules to significantly reduce the computational
 complexity of our model, improving its efficiently.

91 2 Related Works

We firstly review some related works on the design of neural network structures and relevant opti-92 mization methods. The design of neural network has been studied widely. From shallow to deep, 93 the shortcut connection plays an important role. Before ResNet, an early practice [17] also added 94 linear layers connected from input to output to train multi-layer perceptrons. [7] was composed 95 of a shortcut branch and a few deeper branches. The existence of shortcut eases the vanishing or 96 exploding gradients [8,9]. Recently, Yuan [29] explained from a topological perspective that shortcuts 97 98 offer dense connections and benefit optimization. Many networks with dense connections exist On macro-structures also. In DenseNet [13], all preceding layers are connected. HRNet [18] was 99 benefited from dense high-to-low connections for fine representations. Densely connected networks 100 promote the specific task of localization [19]. Differently, our YNN optimizes the desired network 101 from a bidirectional complete graph in a differentiable way. 102

For the learning process, our method is consistent with DARTS [22], which is differentiable. Different from sample-based optimization methods [29], the connectivity is learned simultaneously through the weights of the network using our modified version of the gradient descent. A joint training can shift the transferring step from one task to another, and obtain task-related YNN. This type was explored in [20,21,22,23,24] also, where weight-sharing is utilized across models at the cost of training. At the same time, for our YNN model, we also propose a synchronization method to get the node values in the same neural module.

¹¹⁰ In order to optimize the learned structure, a sparsity constraint can be observed in other applications,

e.g., path selection for a multi-branch network [27], pruning unimportant channels for fast inference [28], etc. In a recent work, Yuan used L1 regularization to optimize a topological structure. In this

paper, we also use L1 as well as L2 regularization to search a better structure.

Secondly, many deep learning works deal with the geometric data in these years [40]. They make 114 neural network better cope with structure. Graph neural networks (GNNs) are connectivity-driven 115 models, which have been addressing the need of geometric deep learning[30,31]. In fact, a GNN 116 adapts its structure to that of an input graph, and captures complex dependencies of an underlying 117 system through an iterative process of aggregation of information. This allows to predict the properties 118 of specific nodes, connections, or of the entire graph as a whole, and also to generalize to unseen 119 graphs. Due to these powerful features, GNNs have been utilized in many relevant applications to 120 accomplish their tasks, such as recommender systems [33], natural language processing [34], traffic 121 speed prediction [35], critical data classification [36], computer vision [25,26,37], particle physics 122 [38], resource allocation in computer networks [39], and so on. 123

124 **3** Methodology

125 3.1 Why YNN is Introduced?

NN stands for a type of information flow. The traditional structure of ANN is a tree, which is a natural way to describe this type of information flow. Then, we can represent the architecture as G = (N, E), where N is the set of nodes and E denotes the set of edges. In this tree, each edge $e_{ij} \in E$ performs a transformation operation parameterized by w_{ij} , where ij stands for the topological ordering from the node n_i to node n_j with $n_i, n_j \in N$. In fact, the importance of the connection is determined by the weight of e_{ij} . The tree structure as a natural way to represent such formation flow is most frequently used in ANN.

A tree is a hierarchical nested structure where a node can be influenced only by its precursor node, thereby causing transformation of information between them. In a tree structure, the root node has no precursor node, while each other node has one and only one precursor node. The leaf node has no subsequent nodes. The number of subsequent nodes of each other node can be one or multiple. In addition, the tree structure in mathematical statistics can represent some hierarchical relationships. A tree structure has many applications. It can also indicate subordinating relationships.

In recent years, some researchers attempted to generalize this structure. In those works, except the
root node, all other nodes are made to have multiple precursor nodes, i.e., the hierarchical information
flow is made to form a directed acyclic graph (DAG).

However, a tree or a DAG is a hierarchical nested structure where a node can be influenced only by
its precursor node, which makes the transformation of information quite inadequate. Moreover, we
find that this structure is far more inferior in its strength compared with those of real neural networks,
which connect far more complex structures than a tree or DAG structure as shown in Fig 1. In fact,
a tree or a DAG structure is used just because its good mathematical properties which can apply
backward propagation conveniently.



Figure 1: Artificial Neural Network

Figure 2: Real Neural Network

In this paper, we represent the neural network as a bidirectional complete graph for the nodes of the same level to make the description of NN is much better compared with the traditional ANN. Further, the connections between nodes are represented as directed edges, which determine the flow of information between the connected nodes. We consider that any two nodes n_i and n_j of the same level construct an information clique if there exists a path between them. Compared with the traditional tree structure, we yoke the nodes of the same level to form a bidirectional complete graph. We call this structure as YNN, which will be introduced in the next section.

155 3.2 Structure of YNN

Inspired by the neural network of human beings as shown in the Fig 2. In order to enhance the ability
 of NN to express information, we design cliques for the nodes of each level of a neural network.

Definition 1 A clique is a bidirectional complete graph which considers that for any two nodes n_i and n_j , an edge exists from n_i to n_j .

According to this definition, the model in our framework is considered as a bidirectional complete graph for the nodes of the same level. These nodes construct a clique, where every node is not only influenced by its precursor nodes but also by all other nodes of its level. The cliques are represented as information modules which greatly enhance the characterization of NN.

According to the definition of clique, a neural network can also be represented as a list of cliques.
 Further, we can also introduce a concept of neural module.

Definition 2 A neural module is a collection of nodes that interact with each other.

According to the definition, a neural module can be part of clique. In fact, if all the weights in a clique becomes zero, then the YNN model is reduced to the traditional tree structure.

¹⁶⁹ In each clique of our model, the nodes are first calculated by using their precursor nodes, which only

distribute features. The last one is the output level, which only generates final output of the graph.

Secondly, each node is also indicated by the nodes of the same level and their values are influenced by each other.

During the traditional forward computation, each node aggregates inputs from connected preorder

nodes. We divide such nodes into two parts. The first part contains the precursor nodes of the last

175 level, and the second part contains the nodes of the corresponding clique of the same level. Then,

¹⁷⁶ features are transformed to get an output tensor, which is sent to the nodes in the next level through

the output edges. Its specific calculation method will be introduced in the next section.

In summary, according to the above definitions, each YNN is constructed as follow. Its order of outputs is represented as $G = \{N, E\}$. For the nodes in the same level, bidirectional complete graphs are built as clique C. Each node n in C is first calculated by using the precursor nodes without the nodes in the clique, which is called as the meta value \hat{n} of the node. Then, we calculate its real value n by using the nodes of the clique.

According to the meta value and the real value as introduced before, the structure of YNN is shown in the Fig 3.



Figure 3: The first picture shows the tree structure of traditional ANN. The second picture shows our YNN model that yokes together the nodes of the first level. For the clique of the first level, the node spin part is based on its meta value, which also represents the connection with the pre nodes. As a result, we can decompose the spin node as shown in the third picture, which is to represent the meta value. The fourth and fifth pictures show the second level of our YNN model, which are the same as the second and third pictures, respectively.

¹⁸⁵ In the next section, we will explain how to calculate the values of the nodes by using the precursor ¹⁸⁶ node as well as the nodes in the clique.

187 3.3 Forward Process

188 Let we have n elements:

$$X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$$
(1)

as the input data to feed for the first level of ANN. Then, the meta value \hat{N}^1 of the first level can be calculated as:

$$\widehat{N}^1 = X * W^{01},$$
(2)

where W_{01} is the fully connected weight of the edges between level 1 and input nodes. Then, similarity in nature, for meta value, the full connection between the levels makes the information to flow as:

$$\widehat{N}^{i} = f(N^{i-1}) * W^{(i-1)i}, \tag{3}$$

where $N^{i-1} = \{1, n_1^{i-1}, n_2^{i-1}, ...\}, n_j^{i-1}$ is the real value of the *j*th node in the (i - 1)th level, number 1 indicates for the bias of the value between the (i - 1)th and *i*th levels as well as the activation function *f*.

Then, by introducing weight W^i in the *i*th level and considering the bidirectional complete graph of that level as a clique, we propose a method to calculate the real value N^i based on the meta value \hat{N}^i as introduced in the previous section. Suppose, there are *m* nodes in the clique and they rely on the values of other nodes. Hence, we need a synchronization method to solve the problem. Here, we take the problem as a system of multivariate equations as well as an activation function *f*. Then, for the real value of n_j^i in N^i based on the meta value \hat{n}_j^i in \hat{N}^i , the equations can be summarized as follow:

$$\begin{cases} w_{01}^{i} + \sum_{j \neq 1} f(n_{j}^{i}) * w_{j1}^{i} + f(\hat{n}_{1}^{i}) * w_{11}^{i} = n_{1}^{i} \\ w_{02}^{i} + \sum_{j \neq 2} f(n_{j}^{i}) * w_{j2}^{i} + f(\hat{n}_{2}^{i}) * w_{22}^{i} = n_{2}^{i} \\ \dots \\ w_{0m}^{i} + \sum_{j \neq m} f(n_{j}^{i}) * w_{jm}^{i} + f(\hat{n}_{m}^{i}) * w_{mm}^{i} = n_{m}^{i} \end{cases}$$

In the above equations, w_{01}^i , w_{02}^i , ..., w_{0m}^i are the bias of the real values of the nodes in the *i*th level. Note that, for the mata value, the bias is a value between the levels; while for a real value, the bias is a value in the individual level only.

Existing numerical methods would be able to solve the above equations efficiently. In the real applications, the efficiency can also be well optimized. In fact, for too large equations, we also propose a method to reduce the calculation scale efficiently. This method is introduced in the following section.

210 3.4 Backward Process

In this section, we introduce the backward process of our model. Firstly, let the gradient of the output

be the gradient of the meta value of the last level. We calculate the node gradient for the *i*th level as:

$$d(N^{i}) = d(N^{i+1}) * W^{i(i+1)T} * f^{-1}(N^{i}).$$
(4)

The meta value of \hat{N}^i is calculated by using the real value of N^{i-1} according to the system of equations.

Then, to get the value of $d(\hat{N}^{i-1})$, we need to consider the nodes as the variables in the system of

equations. For convenient, we introduce operator C^i to represent the derivatives for the *i*th level, which can be expressed as:

$$C^{i} = W^{i} - diag(W^{i}) + eye(W^{i}), \qquad (5)$$

where W^i is the adjacency matrix of the clique in the *i*th level, $diag(W^i)$ is the diagonal matrix of W^i , $eye(W^i)$ is the identity matrix whose size is the same as that of w^i , and operator C^i represents the transfer of other nodes for each node in the clique according to the system of equations. In the clique, the identity matrix is for the node itself.

According to the system of equations, the meta value of a node is connected to its real value through the diagonal matrix of W^i . Note that each node is calculated by using the activation function f. As a result, after the transfer through the bidirectional complete graph, the gradient of the meta value of the nodes becomes:

$$d(\widehat{N}^{i}) = d(N^{i}) * C^{iT} * f^{-1}(N^{i}) * diag(W^{i}) * f^{-1}(\widehat{N}^{i}).$$
(6)

Now, we have got the gradient of the meta value as well as that of the real value of each node. Finally, the gradient weight of the fully connected level $W^{i(i+1)}$ between the *i*th and (i + 1)th level can be expressed as:

$$d(W^{i(i+1)})^T = d(\widehat{N}^{i+1})^T * f(N^i) .$$
(7)

Now, we need to calculate the gradient of W^i for the clique in the *i*th level. According to the system of equations, we need to consider the weights of all the connected nodes. For any *j*th node in the clique, its connected weight is the *j*th column of the matrix. Similarly, for convenient, we introduce the following operator:

$$D_{j}^{i} = (n_{1}^{i}, ..., \hat{n}_{j}^{i}, ..., n_{m}^{i}),$$
(8)

which can be found in the system of equations. Then, by the gradient of real value of the *j*th node n_j^i in N^i , the following becomes the corresponding gradient of the clique:

$$d(W^{i}(:,j))^{T} = d(n_{j}^{i}) * f(D_{j}^{i'}).$$
(9)

235 3.5 YNN Structure Optimization

Consider that for the nodes in the same level, we construct a clique as stated before. Here, we consider a clique just as a universal set for all the possible connections. In our work, we can optimize the YNN structure to let our model to focus on important connections only. The optimization process can be L1 or L2 regularization as usual, which can be parameterized L_1 and L_2 , respectively.

For the jth node in the ith level, the process can be formulated as follow:

$$opt_n_j^i = n_j^i + L_1 * \sum_k abs(w^i(k,j)) + L_2 * \sum_k (w^i(k,j))^2$$
(10)

According to the L1 and L2 regularization, the L1 parameter can make our YNN to focus on important connections in the clique, and the L2 regularization makes the weight in the clique to be low to make our model to have better generation.

244 **3.6** Structure of Neural Module

According to the forward process of YNN as stated earlier, it solves a system of equations. A large 245 number of nodes in the same level would bring too much computational burden to solve a large system 246 of equations. In Fact, we can optimize the graph of any level by L1 and L2 regularization, and then 247 turn to a minimum cut technology, e.g., the NE algorithm, to reduce the computation significantly. 248 For each cut subgraph, we design a neural module structure according to definition 2 to simplify 249 the system of equations as shown in Fig. 4. Since the nodes are influenced only by the nodes in the 250 subgraph, the system of equations can be reduced to the number of the nodes in the cut subgraph, 251 which is formulated as a neural module as definition 2 in this paper. 252



Figure 4: If the clique is too large, we would have too much computational burden to solve the system of equations. Then, we can first optimize the structure and learn the importance of the connection, followed by the application of the minimum cut method to formulate the structure of the neural module. In this way, the calculation for the system of equations can be limited to each subgraph.

- In summary, the structure of the neural module can be constructed as follows:
- 1. Construct the clique for the nodes in the same level;
- 255 2. Optimize the clique by using the L1 and L2 regularization;
- 256 3. Cut the optimized graph using the NE algorithm;
- 4. Construct system of equations by taking each cut subgraph as a neural module.

As explained before, in this way the system of equations can be reduced to Ns-ary equations, where Ns is the number of nodes in each neural module. Of course, if the calculation of our model can be accept for our model, take the clique itself as Neural Module is most accurate, since clique considers all connection in the level.

262 4 Experiments

263 4.1 Optimization of Classical ANN

In this section, we will show the experiments with our method. Here, we compare our method with the traditional NN method, stacked auto encoder(SAE), as well as the generalized traditional NN which is a topological perspective to take NN as a DAG graph proposed in recent years.

We show our results for three real data sets. The first dataset contains the codon usage frequencies in the genomic coding DNA of a large sample of diverse organisms obtained from different taxa tabulated in the CUTG database. Here, we further manually curated and harmonized the existing entries by re-classifying the bacteria (bct) class of CUTG into archaea (arc), plasmids (plm), and bacteria

Table 1: Codon Dataset

| Models | Codon Data 35 Nodes | 38 Nodes | 40 Nodes | 45 Nodes | 48 Nodes | 50 Nodes |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| NN SAE DAG YNN YNN&L1 YNN&L2 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.248 {\pm} 0.0054 \\ 0.3446 {\pm} 0.0152 \\ 0.2719 {\pm} 0.0223 \\ 0.2167 {\pm} 0.0054 \\ \textbf{0.1999} {\pm} \textbf{0.0066} \\ 0.2007 {\pm} 0.0137 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0.3098 {\pm} 0.0485\\ 0.3282 {\pm} 0.0097\\ 0.2789 {\pm} 0.0402\\ 0.2496 {\pm} 0.0227\\ \textbf{0.2117} {\pm} \textbf{0.0043}\\ 0.212 {\pm} 0.0187\\ \end{array}$ | 0.2815 ± 0.0037 0.3588 ± 0.0184 0.2413 ± 0.0019 0.1835 ± 0.0027 0.1706 ± 0.0039 0.1816 ± 0.0046 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.2664 {\pm} 0.0004 \\ 0.3294 {\pm} 0.0289 \\ 0.2656 {\pm} 0.0066 \\ 0.1941 {\pm} 0.0093 \\ \textbf{0.1846 {\pm} 0.0062} \\ 0.2085 {\pm} 0.009 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0.2837 {\pm} 0.0168 \\ 0.3055 {\pm} 0.215 \\ 0.2265 {\pm} 0.0285 \\ \textbf{0.1870} {\pm} \textbf{0.0047} \\ 0.2132 {\pm} 0.0019 \\ 0.1831 {\pm} 0.0164 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0.3955 {\pm} 0.0011 \\ 0.3505 {\pm} 0.0226 \\ 0.2496 {\pm} 0.0078 \\ 0.2034 {\pm} 0.0219 \\ \textbf{0.1839} {\pm} \textbf{0.0141} \\ 0.2003 {\pm} 0.0305 \end{array}$ |

Table 2: Optical Recognition of Handwritten Digits

| Models | Crowdsourced Data | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | 35 Nodes | 38 Nodes | 40 Nodes | 45 Nodes | 48 Nodes | 50 Nodes | |
| NN | $0.2565 {\pm} 0.069$ | $0.345 {\pm} 0.0011$ | $0.2181 {\pm} 0.445$ | $0.1536 {\pm} 0.0323$ | $0.3159 {\pm} 0.0464$ | $0.259 {\pm} 0.0937$ | |
| SAE | 0.2871 ± 0.04 | 0.2952 ± 0.0209 | $0.3603 {\pm} 0.0086$ | 0.4186 ± 0.0419 | $0.3656 {\pm} 0.0228$ | $0.3375 {\pm} 0.0376$ | |
| DAG | 0.2446 ± 0.0409 | 0.2095 ± 0.0014 | 0.2721 ± 0.534 | 0.3475 ± 0.0208 | 0.1981 ± 0.0145 | $0.2585 {\pm} 0.0654$ | |
| YNN | $0.1433 {\pm} 0.0159$ | $0.1274 {\pm} 0.015$ | 0.1725 ± 0.0451 | $0.1552 {\pm} 0.0077$ | 0.1791 ± 0.0005 | 0.256 ± 0.0001 | |
| YNN&L1 | $0.1633 {\pm} 0.0153$ | 0.1522 pm 0.0031 | 0.18 ± 0.0247 | 0.1594 pm 0.0225 | $0.143 {\pm} 0.0005$ | $0.1494 {\pm} 0.032$ | |
| YNN&L2 | $0.1586 {\pm} 0.015$ | $0.1867 {\pm} 0.186$ | $0.1614{\pm}0.0189$ | $0.1483 {\pm} 0.142$ | $0.2028 {\pm} 0.0147$ | $0.1881 {\pm} 0.0001$ | |

proper (keeping with the original label 'bct'). The second dataset contains optically recognized handwritten digits made available by NIST using preprocessing programs to extract normalized bitmaps of handwritten digits from a preprinted form. Out of a total of 43 people. The third dataset is Connect-4 that contains all the legal 8-ply positions used in the game of connect-4, in which neither player has won yet, and the next move is not forced. The outcome class is the theoretical value of the first player in the game.

Here, we compared our method with other methods in terms of a variety of nodes. In this way, we can examine the effectiveness of our model at different levels of complexity of the traditional structure. These nodes are constructed by the NN, SAE, and DAG models. We compared these models in terms of the percentage error. The obtained results are organized in the following Tables, where we can see that our XNN model achieves much better results in most of the generation.

that our YNN model achieves much better results in most of the cases.

In fact, for all the data sets and a variety of nodes in the same level, our YNN model could tend to get better results after the nodes are yoked together. The effect of our YNN could be improved by optimizing the structure as explained before. All of the first four lines of the Tables are for the results that do not be optimized by the L1 or L2 regularization. We can see that our YNN structure is more efficient even without regularization, compared with the traditional structure.

287 4.2 Optimization of Structure

In this section, we optimize the structure of our model. Since every structure is a subgraph of a fully connected graph, the initial clique can be a search space for our model. Our model is optimized by using the L1 and L2 regularization, which are effective tools for optimizing structures. The obtained results show that such optimizations can yield better effect.

Here, we study the structure of the model for different L1 and L2 parameters, as shown in Fig. 5. In the figure, the green line represents the results of YNN without optimization, while the blue and red lines are the results for a variety of L1 and L2 parameters, respectively. We can see that such optimization is effective for our YNN in most cases.

| Models | connect-4 Data 35 Nodes | 38 Nodes | 40 Nodes | 45 Nodes | 48 Nodes | 50 Nodes |
|-------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| NN SAE DAG XNN | 0.2789 ± 0.0075 0.3912 ± 0.0416 3519 ± 0.05 0.2751 ± 0.0174 | 0.2726 ± 0.0099 0.3325 ± 0.0104 0.2762 ± 0.0038 0.265 ± 0.0182 | 0.285 ± 0.012 0.331 ± 0.0044 0.2828 ± 0.0053 0.2489 ± 0.0004 | 0.2875±0.0134 0.3346±0.0096 0.2989±0.0081 0.2582±0.0045 | 0.2923±0.0145 0.3175±0.0082 0.3032±0.0009 | 0.3073 ± 0.0259 0.3366 ± 0.0099 0.3134 ± 0.0382 0.2475 ± 0.0068 |
| YNN&L1 YNN&L2 | 0.2758 ± 0.026 0.2826 ± 0.0366 | 0.2544±0.0046 0.2577±0.0035 | 0.2439 ± 0.0004 0.2513 ± 0.0017 0.2495 ± 0.002 | 0.2635 ± 0.0043 0.2635 ± 0.0029 0.262 ± 0.0081 | 0.2574±0.006 0.2574±0.006 0.2549±0.0067 | 0.2473 ± 0.0008 0.2625 ± 0.0093 0.2485 ± 0.0122 |

Table 3: Connect-4 Dataset

We also show the pixel map of the matrix for the clique. In the figure, the black-and-white graph represents the matrix of the fully connected graph for the nodes in the same level. The more black of the pixel means a lower weight for the corresponding edge.

According with the decline of the error, we can always seek a better structure compared with the bidirectional complete graph used in our YNN. Besides the L1 regularization, the L2 regularization is also an effective tool to optimize the structure of our model. A larger L2 regularization lowers the weights of all the edges, thus yields more black pixels. However, from the decline of error, we can find that the L2 regularization is also effective to optimize our YNN structure.



3 4 5 6

0.1

2

Figure 5: Regularization of results based on L1 and L2 for Codon dataset, optically recognized handwritten digits and connect-4 dataset.

parameter

ə-5

0.255

3 4 5

parameter e-5

6

2



(b) L2 regularization

Figure 6: Best pixel map of the clique based on L1 and L2 regularization for codon dataset, optically recognized handwritten digits and connect-4 dataset.

304 5 Conclusion

0.15

2 3 4 5 6

parameter

e-5

In this paper, we propose a YNN structure to build a bidirectional complete graph for the nodes in 305 the same level of ANN, so as to improve the effect of ANN by promoting the significant transfer 306 of information. In our work, we analyse the structure bias. Our method eliminates structure bias 307 efficiently. By assigning learnable parameters to the edges, which reflect the magnitude of connections, 308 the learning process can be performed in a differentiable manner. For our model, we propose a 309 synchronization method to simultaneously calculate the values of the nodes in the same level. We 310 further impose an auxiliary sparsity constraint to the distribution of connectedness by L1 and L2 311 regularization, which promotes the learned structure to focus on critical connections. We also propose 312 a small neural module structure that would efficiently reduce the computational burden of our model. 313 The obtained quantitative experimental results demonstrate that the learned YNN structure is superior 314 to the traditional structures. 315

316 **References**

- [1] Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I., Hinton, G.E.: Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks.
 In: Advances in neural information processing systems. pp. 1097–1105 (2012)
- [2] Glorot, X., Bordes, A., Bengio, Y.: Deep sparse rectifier neural networks. In:Proceedings of the fourteenth
 international conference on artificial intelligence and statistics. pp. 315–33 (2011)
- [3] Perez-Rua, J.M., Baccouche, M., Pateux, S.: Efficient progressive neural architecture search. arXiv preprint
 arXiv:1808.00391 (2018)
- [4] Dai, J., Qi, H., Xiong, Y., Li, Y., Zhang, G., Hu, H., Wei, Y.: Deformable convolutional networks.
 In: Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision. pp. 764–773 (2017)
- [5] Howard, A.G., Zhu, M., Chen, B., Kalenichenko, D., Wang, W., Weyand, T., Andreetto, M.,
 Adam, H.: Mobilenets: Efficient convolutional neural networks for mobile vision applications. arXiv
 preprint arXiv:1704.04861 (2017)
- [6] Simonyan, K., Zisserman, A.: Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition.
 arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556 (2014)
- [7] Szegedy, C., Liu, W., Jia, Y., Sermanet, P., Reed, S., Anguelov, D., Erhan, D., Vanhoucke,
 V., Rabinovich, A.: Going deeper with convolutions. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on
 computer vision and pattern recognition. pp. 1–9 (2015)
- computer vision and pattern recognition. pp. 1–9 (2015)
- [8] Srivastava, R.K., Greff, K., Schmidhuber, J.: Highway networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1505.00387
 (2015)
- [9] He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., Sun, J.: Deep residual learning for image recognition. In: Proceedings
 of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. pp. 770–778 (2016)
- [10] Sandler, M., Howard, A., Zhu, M., Zhmoginov, A., Chen, L.C.: Mobilenetv2: Inverted residuals
 and linear bottlenecks. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern
 recognition. pp. 4510–4520 (2018)
- [11] Howard, A., Sandler, M., Chu, G., Chen, L.C., Chen, B., Tan, M., Wang, W., Zhu, Y., Pang, R.,
 Vasudevan, V., et al.: Searching for mobilenetv3. arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.02244 (2019)
- [12] Zhang, X., Zhou, X., Lin, M., Sun, J.: Shufflenet: An extremely efficient convolutional neural
 network for mobile devices. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern
 recognition. pp. 6848–6856 (2018)
- [13] Huang, G., Liu, Z., Van Der Maaten, L., Weinberger, K.Q.: Densely connected convolutional
 networks. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. pp.
 4700–4708 (2017)
- [14] Zoph, B., Vasudevan, V., Shlens, J., Le, Q.V.: Learning transferable architectures for scalable im age recognition. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition.
 pp. 8697–8710 (2018)
- [15] Liu, H., Simonyan, K., Yang, Y.: Darts: Differentiable architecture search. arXiv preprint
 arXiv:1806.09055 (2018)
- [16] Tan, M., Chen, B., Pang, R., Vasudevan, V., Sandler, M., Howard, A., Le, Q.V.: Mnasnet:
 Platform-aware neural architecture search for mobile. In: Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on
 Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 2820–2828 (2019)
- computer vision and ratern recognition. pp. 2020–2020 (2017)
- [17] Venables, W.N., Ripley, B.D.: Modern applied statistics with S-PLUS. Springer Science Business
 Media (2013)
- ³⁵⁸ [18] Sun, K., Zhao, Y., Jiang, B., Cheng, T., Xiao, B., Liu, D., Mu, Y., Wang, X., Liu, W., Wang, J.:
- High-resolution representations for labeling pixels and regions. arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.04514
 (2019)
- ³⁶¹ [19] Tang, Z., Peng, X., Geng, S., Wu, L., Zhang, S., Metaxas, D.: Quantized densely connected
- u-nets for efficient landmark localization. In: Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer
 Vision (ECCV). pp. 339–354 (2018)

- [20] Ahmed, K., Torresani, L.: Maskconnect: Connectivity learning by gradient descent. In: Proceed ings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV). pp. 349–365 (2018)
- [21] Xie, S., Kirillov, A., Girshick, R., He, K.: Exploring randomly wired neural networks for image
 recognition. arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.01569 (2019)
- [22] Rauschecker, J.: Neuronal mechanisms of developmental plasticity in the cat's visual system.
 Human neurobiology (1984)
- ³⁷⁰ [23] Bender, G., Kindermans, P.J., Zoph, B., Vasudevan, V., Le, Q.: Understanding and simplifying ³⁷¹ one-shot architecture search. In: International Conference on Machine earning. pp. 550–559 (2018)
- ³⁷² [24] Guo, Z., Zhang, X., Mu, H., Heng, W., Liu, Z., Wei, Y., Sun, J.: Single path one-shot neural ³⁷³ architecture search with uniform sampling. arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.00420 (2019)
- [25] Ghiasi, G., Lin, T.Y., Le, Q.V.: Nas-fpn: Learning scalable feature pyramid architecture for object
 detection. In: Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp.
 7036–7045 (2019)
- [26] Liu, C., Chen, L.C., Schroff, F., Adam, H., Hua, W., Yuille, A.L., Fei-Fei, L.: Auto-deeplab:
- Hierarchical neural architecture search for semantic image segmentation. In: Proceedings of the
- IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 82–92 (2019)
- [27] Huang, Z., Wang, N.: Data-driven sparse structure selection for deep neural networks. In:
 Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV). pp. 304–320 (2018)
- [28] Han, S., Pool, J., Tran, J., Dally, W.: Learning both weights and connections for efficient neural
 network. In: Advances in neural information processing systems. pp. 1135–1143 (2015)
- [29] Kun Yuan, Quanquan Li, Jing Shao, and Junjie Yan Learning Connectivity of Neural Networks
 from a Topological Perspective. ECCV 2020
- [30] Marco Gori, Gabriele Monfardini, and Franco Scarselli. 2005. A new model for learning in
 Graph domains. International Joint Conference on Neural Networks 2 (2005), 729–734.
- [31] Franco Scarselli, Marco Gori, Ah Chung Tsoi, Markus Hagenbuchner, and G. Monfardini. 2009.
 The Graph Neural Network Model. IEEE Trans. Neural Networks 20, 1 (2009), 61–80.
- ³⁹⁰ [32] Alex Fout, Jonathon Byrd, Basir Shariat, and Asa Ben-Hur. 2017. Protein interface prediction
- ³⁹¹ using graph convolutional networks. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 2017-³⁹² Decem, Nips (2017), 6531–6540.
- [33] Wenqi Fan, Yao Ma, Qing Li, Yuan He, Eric Zhao, Jiliang Tang, and Dawei Yin. 2019.
 Graph neural networks for social recommendation. The Web Conference 2019, WWW 2019 (2019),
 417–426.
- [34] T. Young, D. Hazarika, S. Poria, and E. Cambria. 2018. Recent Trends in Deep Learning Based
 Natural Language Processing. IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine 13, 3 (2018), 55–75.
- [35] Zhipu Xie, Weifeng Lv, Shangfo Huang, Zhilong Lu, and Bowen Du. 2020. Sequential Graph
 Neural Network for Urban Road Traffic Speed Prediction. IEEE Access 8 (2020), 63349–63358.
- [36] Sweah Liang Yong, Markus Hagenbuchner, Ah Chung Tsoi, Franco Scarselli, and Marco Gori.
 2006. Document mining using graph neural network. In International Workshop of the Initiative for
- the Evaluation of XML Retrieval. Springer, 458–472.
- 403 [37] Xiaolong Wang, Ross Girshick, Abhinav Gupta, and Kaiming He. 2018. Non-local Neural
- Networks. IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (2018),
 7794–7803.
- 406 [38] Xiangyang Ju, Steven Farrell, Paolo Calafiura, Daniel Murnane, Prabhat, Lindsey Gray, et al.
- ⁴⁰⁷ 2019. Graph Neural Networks for Particle Reconstruction in High Energy Physics detectors. In
- ⁴⁰⁸ Second Workshop on Machine Learning and the Physical Sciences (NeurIPS 2019).
- [39] Krzysztof Rusek and Piotr Cholda. 2019. Message-Passing Neural Networks Learn Little's Law.
 IEEE Communications Letters 23, 2 (2019), 274–277.
- [40] Sergi Abadal, Akshay Jain, Robert Guirado, Jorge López-Alonso, Eduard Alarcón. Computing
- 412 Graph Neural Networks: A Survey from Algorithms to Accelerators ACM Computing 2021