000 STUFFED MAMBA: STATE EXPLOSION AND STATE CA-001 PACITY OF RNN-BASED LONG-CONTEXT MODELING 002 003

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

ABSTRACT

One essential advantage of recurrent neural networks (RNNs) over transformer-012 based language models is their linear computational complexity concerning the sequence length, which makes them much faster in handling long sequences during inference. However, most publicly available RNNs (e.g., Mamba and RWKV) are trained on sequences with less than 10K tokens, and their effectiveness in longer 015 contexts remains largely unsatisfying so far. In this paper, we study the cause of the 016 inability to process long context for RNNs and suggest critical mitigations. First, we investigate *state explosion* (SE) in Mamba-2 when processing long sequences, a phenomenon where some channels of the state exhibit exploding values that cause severe performance degradation. With controlled experiments, we discover that the model fails to forget the earlier tokens when there is more information than it can remember. We attribute this to overfitting due to the recurrent state being overparameterized for the training length, thereby establishing a relationship between SE and the capacity of the state. To support this hypothesis, we make an important empirical observation: for any given state size, there exists a training length threshold such that SE is exhibited if and only if the training length is greater than this threshold. Empirically searching for this threshold for different state sizes reveals that it is a linear function of the state size. We also search for the maximum context length at which the model can recall contextual information and find that this context length scales exponentially to the state size. Based on this, we empirically train a Mamba-2 370M with near-perfect passkey retrieval accuracy on 256K context length. This suggests a promising future for RNN-based long-context modeling. Code and model checkpoints will be publicly released.

004

010 011

013

014

017

018

019

021

023

025

026

027

028

029

INTRODUCTION 1

Recent transformer-based language models (Vaswani et al., 2017; Brown et al., 2020; Touvron et al., 037 2023; Achiam et al., 2023; Dubey et al., 2024) have demonstrated impressive capabilities in reasoning 038 over long sequences with thousands and even millions of tokens (Team, 2024a;b; GLM et al., 2024). However, they rely on the attention mechanism that scales quadratically regarding the sequence length, making them extremely costly for inference over long sequences. In contrast, recurrent neural 040 networks (RNNs) (Bengio et al., 1994) have a contextual memory with constant state size. Thus, 041 during inference, their per-token computational and memory complexity scales linearly with the 042 sequence length, making them much more efficient in processing long sequences. 043

044 Despite the great efficiency of RNNs in processing long contexts, their long-context performances are far from satisfying. Most recent state-of-the-art (SOTA) RNN-based language models (hereafter referred to as RNNs for simplicity), such as Mamba-1 (Gu and Dao, 2023), Mamba-2 (Dao and Gu, 046 2024), the RWKV series (Peng et al., 2023a; 2024), and GLA (Yang et al., 2023) are trained on 047 sequences with less than 10K tokens. Existing works have shown that Mamba-1 and RWKV-4 suffer 048 from severe performance drops when the context length exceeds their training length (Ben-Kish et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024a; Waleffe et al., 2024). 050

051 In this paper, we study the problem that what causes the current RNNs' inability to handle long contexts and what are the possible solutions for supporting long contexts. When applying RNNs 052 to longer contexts, we observe two critical problems. (1) RNNs are unable to generalize along the sequence length. They exhibit abnormal behavior when the context length exceeds the training length, resulting in poor long-context performance. (2) Since their memory size is constant, although they
 can process infinitely long inputs, there is an upper bound to the amount of information the state
 can represent. Therefore, there is an upper bound of the contextual memory capacity (the maximum
 number of tokens that can be remembered), and tokens beyond that limit will be forgotten.

058 Then we dive deeper into the formation of the above problems. We first attribute the cause of the length generalization failure of SOTA RNNs to a phenomenon we call state explosion (SE). It 060 describes the exploding channels in the recurrent state that cause performance degradation as the 061 model consumes too many tokens. We inspect the memory state distribution over time and discover 062 that the explosion is largely contributed by a few dominant outlier channels. These outliers cause 063 vanishing values in other channels when the output hidden representation is normalized. By analyzing 064 various components of the state update rule, we show that SE is caused by the inability to forget the earliest tokens (by decaying the state with a smaller multiplier) when there is more information than it 065 can remember. To support this finding, we empirically show that it is possible to mitigate SE without 066 training by forcing the model to forget contextual information by (1) reducing the memory retention 067 and insertion strength and (2) reformulating the recurrence into an equivalent sliding window state 068 (which makes it forget everything outside of the window). These methods allow Mamba-2 to consume 069 more than 64K tokens without SE.

071 Additionally, we present the *state overparameterization hypothesis* as a possible explanation for why the model fails to learn a robust forgetting mechanism. This hypothesis tells us that one can avoid 072 SE by training on sufficiently long sequences that exceed the model's state capacity, which forces 073 the model to learn how to forget excessive information to prevent SE. We empirically validate this 074 hypothesis by discovering that for any state size, there is a threshold for training length beyond which 075 the model will not exhibit SE. This insight allows us to establish a relationship between state capacity 076 and state size. Then, by training Mamba-2 models of different sizes, we establish that the empirical 077 capacity is a linear function of the state size. Furthermore, we conduct the same experiments on the widely used passkey retrieval task (Mohtashami and Jaggi, 2023), and show that the length where 079 Mamba-2 has near-perfect passkey retrieval accuracy is an exponential function of the state size. The experiment results in a Mamba-2 370M model that can achieve near-perfect passkey retrieval 081 accuracy on 256K context length, significantly outperforming transformer-based models of the same size in both retrieval accuracy and length generalizability. Our results show that the commonly used training lengths for RNN-based models may be suboptimal and that RNN-based long-context 083 modeling has promising potential. 084

⁰⁸⁵ The main findings of this paper can be summarized as follows.

State explosion: In Mamba-2, there exists a small number of channels with exploding values that cause severe performance degradation on sequences longer than the training length. (Section 4)

State overparameterization hypothesis: By analyzing the hidden representations, we find that state
 explosion occurs because the model fails to forget when the state is being overflowed with excessive
 information. We attribute this to state overparameterization, which establishes the connection between
 SE and state capacity. (Section 4.3)

Training length threshold: In alignment with the state overparameterization hypothesis, we empirically discover that for any state size, there exists a training length threshold where SE is exhibited if and only if the training length is below that threshold. (Section 5)

Relationship between state capacity and state size: Utilizing the previous finding, we can empirically search for the relationship between the training length threshold and state size, and discover that it is linear. We also empirically search for state capacity on passkey retrieval, and find that it scaled exponentially with the state size. (Section 7.1)

100 101 102

103

2 RELATED WORKS

RNN-Based Language Models There is a recent surge of interest in RNN-based language models,
 because, contrary to transformer-based ones, their per-token inference cost does not increase with
 the sequence length. Linear Attention (Katharopoulos et al., 2020) replaces the softmax attention in
 transformer-based models with kernel-based approximations that have equivalent recurrent formula tions. Some notable recent RNNs include the RWKV series (Peng et al., 2023a; 2024), the Mamba



Figure 1: The perplexity of Mamba-2 and RWKV-6 as a function of token position on real and synthetic data. The red dotted line represents the models' training lengths. They all fail to extrapolate.

series (Gu and Dao, 2023; Dao and Gu, 2024), Gated Linear Attention (Yang et al., 2023), among others (Zhang et al., 2024b; Yang et al., 2024; De et al., 2024; Arora et al., 2024; Orvieto et al., 2023;
 Sun et al., 2023). These models have shown strong capabilities in many language processing tasks, sometimes outperforming transformer-based models. However, as we will empirically show, some of these models fail to extrapolate much beyond their training length.

Some transformer-based models have adopted sliding window attention (Beltagy et al., 2020; Jiang et al., 2023), which essentially turns them into RNNs. However, these models have been shown to perform poorly in long-context tasks and fail to extrapolate to very long contexts (Zhang et al., 2024a).

134 Length Generalization Most SOTA language models in the last few years have been based on the 135 transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017) architecture. These models, when using certain variants of position 136 encoding, can process arbitrarily long sequences. However, they exhibit severe performance drops on 137 tokens beyond the training length (Zhao et al., 2024). To alleviate this shortcoming, many works have 138 focused on modifying positional encoding (Peng et al., 2023b; Zhu et al., 2023; Ding et al., 2024; Jin 139 et al., 2024), some achieving training-free length generalization to certain extents. Similarly in spirit, 140 this study also explores some post-training modifications for enhancing RNN-based models' length generalization capabilities. 141

142

121

122 123 124

125

126

127

128

129

Length Generalization of Mamba Some prior works investigated the performance of Mamba as a function of context length (Park et al., 2024; Wen et al., 2024). Jelassi et al. (2024) empirically showed a sharp drop in performance beyond the training length for Mamba and LSTM on a copying task and also showed that Mamba struggles to copy from context unless its state size grows linearly with the context length. Arora et al. (2023) discussed the capacity and empirical ability to perform associative recall of transformer and some recurrent language models. In contrast, our paper explores the empirical relationship between state capacity and length generalization failure.

Some concurrent works have explored extending Mamba's context length by controlling the discretization term (Δ_t in Eq. 3) (Ben-Kish et al., 2024), such as dividing it by a constant to make it smaller¹. This essentially makes the memory decay factor (α_t in Eq. 5) closer to 1, which makes the state retain more contextual information. However, it also unnecessarily diminishes the inserted information on all tokens. Consequently, although it can mitigate SE, it results in poor performance in the passkey retrieval task (details in Appendix C).

156 157

158

3 PRELIMINARY

Most experiments in this study focus on Mamba-2 (Dao and Gu, 2024) because it has shown strong capabilities on several tasks and has publicly available checkpoints of multiple sizes, allowing us to

¹https://github.com/jzhang38/LongMamba

explore the relationship between state sizes and length limits. Moreover, it is more widely studied than other RNNs, making it easier to use existing works as a reference.

¹⁶⁵ Mamba-2 The Mamba-2 architecture consists of L layers, each consisting of H heads computed in ¹⁶⁶ parallel. The layer's output is the sum of the heads' outputs. Each head can be formulated as follows.

$$y_t = \operatorname{Norm}(o_t \odot u_t W_{\text{gate}}) W_o \in \mathbb{R}^d \tag{1}$$

$$o_t = C_t h_t + D \odot x_t \in \mathbb{R}^P \tag{2}$$

$$h_t = h_{t-1}\alpha_t + \overline{B}_t x_t \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times P} \tag{3}$$

where t denotes the current time step, $u_t, y_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ are the input and output hidden representations of the t-th token, Norm(·) denotes the RMS normalization (Zhang and Sennrich, 2019), $D \in \mathbb{R}^P$, $W_{\text{gate}} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times P}$, and $W_o \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times d}$ are trainable parameters, \odot denotes element-wise product, and d, N, P are hyperparameters, denoting the hidden dimensionality, state dimension, and head dimension, respectively. The other variables are parameterized as follows:

$$\overline{B}_t = \Delta_t B_t \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1} \tag{4}$$

$$\alpha_t = \exp(-\Delta_t \exp(A)) \in \mathbb{R}$$
(5)

179
180
$$C_t = \sigma(\operatorname{Conv}(u_t W_C)) \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times N}$$
(6)

$$B_t = \sigma(\operatorname{Conv}(u_t W_B)) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}$$
(7)
$$B_t = \sigma(\operatorname{Conv}(u_t W_B)) = \mathbb{R}^{1 \times P}$$
(7)

$$x_t = \sigma(\operatorname{Conv}(u_t W_x)) \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times P}$$
(8)

$$\Delta_t = \text{Softplus}(u_t W_\Delta + b_\Delta) \in \mathbb{R}$$
(9)

185 where $(W_C, W_B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}, W_x \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times P}, W_\Delta \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 1}, b_\Delta, A \in \mathbb{R})$ are trainable model parameters. 186 Conv(·) denotes a channel-wise one-dimensional convolutional layer (see Appendix A.2). σ denotes 187 the SiLU function (Elfwing et al., 2017). Importantly, h_t is called the *hidden state* or *recurrent state*, 188 which is contextual memory that stores information from all tokens up to t. α_t is the decay multiplier 189 that controls the strength of memory decay (i.e., forgetting). Appendix A presents more details.

It is worth mentioning that this update rule can be seen as a variant of Gated Linear Attention (Yang et al., 2023) and is similar to many existing RNNs (e.g., RWKV (Peng et al., 2024) and RetNet (Sun et al., 2023)). Thus, some conclusions/insights may apply to other architectures. We leave such exhaustive ablation studies for future work.

190

191

192

177

183

4 STATE EXPLOSION

We first evaluate Mamba-2 and RWKV-6 on language modeling and passkey retrieval and find that they fail to extrapolate beyond their training lengths. Then, we analyze the state's distribution on different context lengths and find that a few outlier channels exhibit exploding values. Based on this finding, we coin the term *state explosion*, which describes the phenomenon where the recurrent state exhibit exploding values, causing performance degradation.

By analyzing the components of the state's update rule, we discover that SE is caused by the failure to forget past information when the context is too long. We then propose a hypothesis that explains SE from the perspective of overparameterization.

206 207 4.1 Length Generalization Failure

Language Modeling Figure 1 shows the language modeling loss of Mamba-2 and RWKV-6 beyond their training lengths. For controllability and to synthesize prompts of arbitrary lengths, this loss is computed on a prompt consisting of only the "\n" characters, which we refer to as the "newlines" prompt. However, we emphasize that the same observation also exists when processing texts from the pre-training corpus. The result shows that both RNNs suffer great performance degradation when the context length is much longer than their training lengths.

- 214
- **Passkey Retrieval Evaluation** Language modeling may not reflect downstream capabilities, thus, we evaluate several strong RNNs on the passkey retrieval task (Mohtashami and Jaggi, 2023; Zhang



Figure 2: The performance of Mamba-2 official checkpoints on the passkey retrieval task. "Ans. Depth" refers to the position of the passkey relative to the context length, 0% means that it is located at the start of the context, 100% means that it is at the end.



Figure 3: The accuracy of Mamba-2 official checkpoints on the passkey retrieval task where the answer is in the last n tokens, with n=1K, 2K, 4K, and 8K.

244 et al., 2024a), a simple synthetic task where a model is prompted to recall a 5-digit *passkey* from a 245 lengthy context. The hyperparameters and results of other RNNs can be found in Appendix B and D. The results for Mamba-2 are reported in Figure 2. We find that Mamba-2 models fail to generalize to 246 sequences longer than the training length. For instance, Mamba-2, trained on 8K context windows, 247 has near-perfect retrieval accuracy within 8K contexts (except for the smaller 130M checkpoint), but 248 poor or even zero accuracy on sequences longer than 16K, regardless of model sizes. 249

250 This behavior is unexpected because the update rule (Eq. 3) has a stable exponential memory decay (it converges to a constant value if the variables are fixed). Therefore, we expect RNNs of such form 251 to have a good retrieval accuracy on the last k tokens, and tokens earlier than that are forgotten. This 252 unexpected finding also implies that when processing contexts longer than the training length T_{train} , it 253 is better to keep just the last T_{train} tokens and discard everything else. However, this is not trivial in 254 an online inference scenario because all token information is compressed into a single state. 255

4.2 **OBSERVATION OF STATE EXPLOSION**

258 Since the recurrent state's dimensionality does not change over time, the sharp change of behavior during length generalization must be a result of a change in the state's value. We inspect the statistics 260 of the recurrent states of each layer in Mamba-2 $370M^2$ and find that the mean and variance of some heads change sharply when the context length exceeds the training length. One example is shown in 262 Figure 4³. Appendix G reports the statistics of each layer. The state at t = 20K of one head with 263 exploding variance is shown in Figure 5. From it, we discover that this variance explosion can be 264 largely attributed to a few outlier channels, while most channels are relatively stable.

We emphasize that **SE occurs largely independent of the prompt**, occurring in both pre-training data samples and generated meaningless texts, even for prompts consisting of only whitespace characters

265

256

257

259

261

227

228

229 230 231

232

233

235

236

237

238

239 240

241

242 243

²⁶⁶ 267 268 269

²We are using this model size as an example, but the same observation is found with any model size.

³We emphasize that we are showing only a subset of heads for one layer in this figure to keep it clean. Exploding heads are found in other layers as well.





Figure 4: The mean and variance of the first 8 heads in the 38th layer of Mamba-2 370M. It exhibits a clear explosion when t is greater than the training length. The red dotted line indicates the training length.

Figure 5: The distribution of the channels in a collapsing state (head 2 for the 38th layer) at two different time steps.



(a) Δ_t . Each curve represents a head. (b) B_t . Each curve represents a channel.

(c) The statistics of x_t over time. Each curve represents a head.

Figure 6: The value of the components in the update rule (Δ_t , B_t , and x_t) on some heads with SE in the 38th layer in Mamba-2 370M. The red dotted line indicates the training length.

(Figure 1 (a) and (b) shows SE on two different prompts). This means that the information inserted into the state does not cause its explosion.

To further attribute to the specific variables that cause SE, we inspect the values of Δ_t , B_t , and x_t on various heads with exploding states. Figure 6 reports one example of the inspection, we can see that x_t is relatively stable compared to the Δ_t and B_t , even though they are all functions of u_t . We also notice that B_t explodes earlier than Δ_t (ignoring the non-exploding heads). Further inspection reveals that the convolutional weights that generate Δ_t and B_t (Eq. 7 and 9) are noticeably greater in variance than those for x_t (Eq. 8). A more in-depth attribution study is outside the scope of this work.

4.3 STATE OVERPARAMETERIZATION HYPOTHESIS

Here, we present a high-level explanation for SE. We argue that SE arises from state overparameterization relative to the training length. In other words, the state is excessively large for the training length, allowing the model to achieve strong language modeling performance without learning how to forget when the state is about to overflow.

To support this argument, we formulate the hidden state as a weighted sum of previously inserted information:

$$h_t = \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_{i:t} \overline{B}_i x_i, \quad \alpha_{i:t} = \left(\prod_{j=i}^t \alpha_j\right) \in (0,1)$$
(10)

Thus, $\alpha_{i:t}$ describes the memory strength about the *i*-th token at *t* time step. Figure 7 shows the memory strength of the first token at different time steps, and we find that the exploded heads (heads 2, 4, and 7 in the 38th layer) have a strong inclination toward retaining all information within the

cay of the first token $(\alpha_{1:t})$

over time. Each line repre-

sents a head. The statistics

are collected from the 38th

layer of Mamba-2 370M.



Figure 8: The passkey retrieval results of intermediate checkpoints during the pre-training of Mamba-2 370M on 512 sequence length. SE only occurs in the model beyond a certain amount of training data.

341 training length, with a memory strength of over 0.8 at t=8K. This implies that the model has not 342 learned to forget information (by producing a smaller decay α_i), but it still has decent language modeling capabilities because the state has the capacity to store all information in 8K tokens. 343

344 Furthermore, we pre-train Mamba-2 from scratch with $T_{\text{train}} = 512$ and evaluate the intermediate 345 checkpoints on passkey retrieval, as reported in Figure 8. It shows that SE is only exhibited by 346 checkpoints beyond a certain amount of training, which coincides with behaviors of overfitting-a 347 result of overparameterization. One can also notice that the overfitted checkpoint outperforms earlier checkpoints on shorter sequences, which further strengthens the hypothesis that the model converges 348 to less forgetting. 349

350 Finally, in Section 7.1, we empirically find that for any given state size, there exists a length threshold 351 T_* where SE is exhibited if and only if $T_{\text{train}} < T_*$. 352

4.4 How to Mitigate State Explosion?

355 To further support the state overparameterization hypothesis in the previous section, we demonstrate 356 that it is possible to mitigate SE by simply forcing the model to forget more and/or remember less information. This can be achieved by training on sufficiently long sequences or by training-free 358 modifications to the update rule. We emphasize that the training-free methods serve as demonstrations 359 of the link between forgetting and SE, and we recommend training on longer sequences in practice. 360

4.4.1 TRAINING ON LONGER SEQUENCES

We employ two techniques to improve effectiveness and efficiency when training on longer sequences.

363 364 365

366

367

368

369

361

362

353

354

357

335

336

337

338

339 340

> **Data Engineering** To ensure that the data contains as much long-term structure as possible, we filter out sequences with less than 4K tokens. Buckman and Gelada (2024) have shown that this is critical for training effective long-context models. Although we train on sequences longer than 4K tokens, we do not use a higher length threshold because the above threshold already removes about 97.6% of the data in the original corpus. To train on longer sequences, we simply concatenate sequences and delimit them with a special EOS (End-of-Sequence) token.

370 371

372 Truncated Backpropagation Through Time In the vanilla Mamba-2, the states are initialized to 373 zeros for each data sample. Instead, we initialize the states as the final state of the previous sequence. 374 This is equivalent to concatenating multiple sequences, but stopping the backpropagation of gradients 375 at certain intervals. This technique has been shown to help extend the context length of RNNs (Yang et al., 2023) and alleviate the memory cost of caching activations for computing gradients. Based on 376 Yang et al. (2023) and our preliminary tests, we use concatenate 12 sequences with this technique by 377 default.

4.4.2 TRAINING-FREE MITIGATION METHODS

Here, we propose some tricks for mitigating SE by inducing more forgetting without training. Since
the performance of these tricks is not the focus of this paper, we report their evaluation result in
Appendix I. The main takeaway from these methods is that SE can be prevented by simply inducing
more forgetting by modifying the update rule without additional training.

Reduced Retention and Insertion Strength (RRI) This method assumes that α_t and B_t control the memory retention and insertion strength, respectively. We scale them with a multiplier smaller than 1. The actual value is chosen by validation on the "newlines" prompt. Existing works (?) have experimented with modifying Δ_t , but it controls both the insertion and decay strength, making it hard to analyze and control.

390

384

Sliding Window We can utilize the fact that the state h_t can be written as a weighted sum (Eq. 10) to simulate a sliding window mechanism without re-processing from the start of the window at every step. Let $w \in \mathbb{N}$ denote the window size and $h_t^{(r)} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times P}$ denote the hidden state when applying the model on the last w tokens at time step t. We can then compute $h_t^{(r)}$ exactly as the difference between two states:

$$h_t^{(r)} = \sum_{i=t-r+1}^t \alpha_{i:t} \overline{B}_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_{i:t} \overline{B}_i x_i - \alpha_{t-r+1:t} \sum_{i=1}^{t-r} \alpha_{i:t-r} \overline{B}_i x_i = h_t - \alpha_{t-r+1:t} h_{t-r}$$
(11)

buring streaming generation, we only have to maintain $(h_{t-1}, h_{t-r}, \alpha_{t-r+1:t})^4$, and advance each of them in parallel. However, directly computing $\alpha_{t:t-r}$ may suffer from instability due to floatingpoint imprecision. Therefore, we maintain $\Delta_{t-r:t} = \sum_{i=t-r}^{t} \Delta_t$ instead, and re-compute $\alpha_{t-r:t} = \exp(-\Delta_{t-r:t} \exp(A))$ at every step, which incurs minimal computational cost.

This method can be applied to all RNNs that can be written as a weighted sum, which includes RWKV 5 and 6, RetNet, GLA, etc. It doubles the computation and memory cost for generation, but we believe that it is an acceptable trade-off because RNNs have a very low generation cost compared to transformer-based models and the context processing cost is unchanged.

409 410

411

415 416

5 STATE CAPACITY

Based on the discussion and hypothesis in Section 4.3, we can avoid SE by training on sufficiently
 long sequences such that the amount of contextual information exceeds the capacity of the state,
 thereby forcing the model to learn how to forget. This hypothesis implies the following law:

Let P_S and T_{train} denote the recurrent state size and training length, respectively, there exists a training length threshold $T_*(P_S)$ such that SE is exhibited if and only if $T_{\text{train}} < T_*$.

418 419

417

In Section 7.1, we empirically validated this by sweeping different training lengths for different state sizes, and checking whether the model exhibits SE. Hence, T_* can be viewed as an indirect measurement of state capacity because it tells us when the amount of contextual information exceeds the capacity. Here, it is important to disambiguate two notions of state capacity: the theoretical capacity of the state and the empirically observed capacity on a given task. In this paper, we refer to the latter, which is an empirical description of the amount of context information a state can store without forgetting.

We conduct the training as described in Section 4.4.1. We train multiple Mamba-2 with different state sizes and training lengths to find the relationship between T_* and P_S . To determine whether a state has exploded, we feed the "newlines" prompt with 1M tokens to the model, and define explosion as the point where perplexity is more than 2x the maximum perplexity within T_{train} tokens.

⁴³¹

⁴We also have to cache the last r token IDs, but their size is negligible compared to h_{t-1} and h_{t-r} .





Figure 9: The perplexity as a function of token position for Mamba-2 on the "newlines" prompt with different training lengths.

Figure 10: Passkey retrieval performance of Mamba-2 370M. The x-axis is in the log scale.

5.1 STATE CAPACITY IN PASSKEY RETRIEVAL

It is worth highlighting that the model does not necessarily fail to recall information beyond the last T_* tokens, especially when there is a clear distinction between the target information and other contextual information. Therefore, we also search for the state capacity on the passkey retrieval task, which we regard as an evaluation of the minimum ability to accurately recall a short sequence from a lengthy context (the task is very easy compared to other long-context tasks). Similar to the previous section, we train with different lengths for different state sizes and identify the maximum context length where the model has an accuracy over 95%, which we regard as the state capacity in passkey retrieval, denoted with C_{passkey}. In this task, the noisy context is repetitive, thus, the amount of contextual information is largely independent of the context length, therefore, the capacity should grow roughly exponentially with the state size.⁵

6 EXPERIMENTS

We briefly describe the experimental details for training with longer sequences. See Appendix F for more comprehensive experimental details.

Data We start from RedPajama-V2 (Computer, 2023), an open dataset with 30T tokens extracted from CommonCrawl⁶, and we perform deduplication to ensure data quality. During evaluation, we sample documents longer than 16K tokens and concatenate them if it is not long enough.

Models We experiment with six model configurations with different state sizes to find the relationship between state capacity and size. For each of them, we perform an extensive search with training lengths up to 256K tokens. To save cost, we continue pre-train from three official checkpoints of Mamba-2 of size 130M, 370M, and 780M. They were pre-trained with 8K sequences. The other three model configurations (36M, 47M, and 85M) are trained from scratch.

Hyperparameters We use the WSD (Hu et al., 2024) with 10% decay steps. This scheduler is chosen because it is competitive with the commonly used cosine scheduler while allowing simple resumption from intermediate checkpoints, saving large amounts of computational resources. We report the result of the best checkpoint selection by validation on passkey retrieval.

 ⁵If we train Mamba-2 on passkey retrieval data, the model can theoretically handle infinitely long contexts.
 Here, the model is only trained with the next token prediction objective, which means the model will *not* ignore the irrelevant context, and the ability to retain information for extended time emerges from language modeling.
 ⁶https://commoncrawl.org/



Figure 11: The left figure shows the training length beyond which the model does not exhibit SE (i.e., T_* in Section 5). The right figure shows the state capacity on passkey retrieval (i.e., C_{passkey}) as a function of state size.

7 RESULTS

496

497

498

499 500 501

502

504 505

506

507

508

509

LENGTH GENERALIZATION BY TRAINING ON LONGER SEQUENCES 7.1

In Figure 9, we plot the language modeling perplexity as a function of token position for Mamba-2 130M and 370M with different training lengths. We can see that for each model size, there is a training length threshold, beyond which the model has much better length extrapolation, which supports our arguments discussed in Section 4.4.1.

510 7.2 STATE CAPACITY AS A FUNCTION OF STATE SIZE 511

512 Figure 11 shows the state capacity of Mamba-2 on language modeling and passkey retrieval. The 513 rightmost data point in both plots corresponds to Mamba-2 370M. We have confirmed that the 780M 514 model (with a state size of 19.3M) also exhibits SE at training lengths below 128K, but do not have 515 enough resources to train the model beyond this length. The results establish a linear relationship $T_* = 5.172 \cdot P_S - 4.469$ between the length $T_{\text{train}} = T_*$ at which SE stops occurring and the state 516 size P_S . The R^2 value is over 0.999. This indicates that to train a Mamba-2 with robust length 517 generalization, one should use training lengths are grow linearly with the state size. 518

519 The second plot of Figure 11 shows that the capacity of Mamba-2 on passkey retrieval is exponential 520 concerning the state size, the function is $C_{\text{passkey}} = 4.756 \cdot (1.365^{P_S} - 1) - 0.742$, with an R^2 value 521 over 0.999. This is because the amount of information in the context does not increase with its length. In other words, we are storing a constant amount of information while the number of combinations 522 of the state grows exponentially with the number of elements. Figure 10 shows the best checkpoint 523 of Mamba-2 370M on passkey retrieval. The result is very promising because, to the best of our 524 knowledge, no previous models with less than 1B model parameters have near-perfect accuracy at 525 this length in this task. 526

- 527 528
- 8 CONCLUSION
- 529 530

This paper discovers and presents the first systemic study on *state explosion* (SE), a phenomenon in 531 RNNs that causes length generalization failure. Controlled experiments on Mamba-2 reveals that SE 532 occurs because the model fails to forget when the state is about to overflow, and we conclude that this phenomenon is caused by an overparameterized state with excessive state capacity. Then we show 534 that SE can be mitigated by inducing more forgetting by modifying the update rule or by training on context lengths that exceed the *state capacity*. We discover that for any state size, there exists a 536 training length beyond which SE will not occur. With this insight, we empirically estimate the state capacity of Mamba-2 on language modeling and the passkey retrieval task. With some simple data engineering and state initialization tricks, we achieve much better performance with Mamba-2 on 538 the passkey retrieval task than existing models. Our results indicate that Mamba-2 not only is highly efficient in handling long sequences but also has great performance potential.

540 LIMITATIONS

All models studied in this work can be seen as a specific case of *linear attention* models, whose
recurrent state is decayed by an element-wise or scalar gate (they can be viewed as variants of Gated
Linear Attention (Yang et al., 2023)). We have chosen to study these models because of their strong
capabilities, yet, some conclusions may not be directly transferred to other variants of RNNs.

Our continued pre-training approach for extending the context length of RNNs can be rather expensive, some of the models require training with up to 50B tokens, which is 1/6 of their pre-training amount of data. Also, to ensure simplicity, controllability, and generality, we have not used more advanced techniques for training long-context models, such as upsampling longer data samples, better data order or format, using data with more long-distance dependencies, etc.

The passkey retrieval task that we used extensively in this study is very simple. Hence, high accuracy on this task may not reflect the capabilities of the model on real-world long-context tasks, because that requires more advanced capabilities such as high-resolution retrieval, reasoning, state-tracking, etc. The result is nonetheless promising because it indicates that the model can recall the correct information and further capabilities may be achieved by building on the recalled information.

SE is somewhat prompt-dependent. While we found that for models with greatly overparameterized states, SE is highly consistent across different prompts, certain models with less overparameterization may exhibit SE on some prompts while successfully extrapolating indefinitely on others. Attributing SE to specific features of the prompt is a promising future research direction. Moreover, as evident in Figure 1, length generalization failure is less severe in RWKV-6. This is because RWKV-6 has a much smaller state, as shown in Figure 12. Some architectural choices may also affect the occurrence of SE, but we leave such an exploration for future work.

References

564

565

583

584

- Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman,
 Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. 2023. Gpt-4 technical
 report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*.
- Simran Arora, Sabri Eyuboglu, Aman Timalsina, Isys Johnson, Michael Poli, James Zou, Atri Rudra, and Christopher Ré. 2023. Zoology: Measuring and improving recall in efficient language models. *Preprint*, arXiv:2312.04927.
- 572
 573
 574
 574
 575
 576
 576
 576
 577
 578
 579
 576
 579
 570
 570
 571
 572
 572
 573
 574
 575
 576
 576
 576
 577
 578
 578
 578
 579
 579
 579
 570
 570
 570
 571
 572
 572
 572
 572
 572
 573
 574
 574
 575
 576
 576
 577
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
 578
- Iz Beltagy, Matthew E Peters, and Arman Cohan. 2020. Longformer: The long-document transformer.
 arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.05150.
- Assaf Ben-Kish, Itamar Zimerman, Shady Abu-Hussein, Nadav Cohen, Amir Globerson, Lior Wolf, and Raja Giryes. 2024. Decimamba: Exploring the length extrapolation potential of mamba. *Preprint*, arXiv:2406.14528.
 - Yoshua Bengio, Patrice Simard, and Palo Frasconi. 1994. Learning long-term dependencies with gradient descent is difficult. *IEEE transactions on neural networks*, 5(2):157–166.
- Tom B. Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Sandhini Agarwal, Ariel Herbert-Voss, Gretchen Krueger, Tom Henighan, Rewon Child, Aditya Ramesh, Daniel M. Ziegler, Jeffrey Wu, Clemens Winter, Christopher Hesse, Mark Chen, Eric Sigler, Mateusz Litwin, Scott Gray, Benjamin Chess, Jack Clark, Christopher Berner, Sam McCandlish, Alec Radford, Ilya Sutskever, and Dario Amodei. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. *Preprint*, arXiv:2005.14165.
- ⁵⁹² Jacob Buckman and Carles Gelada. 2024. Compute-optimal Context Size.

Together Computer. 2023. Redpajama: an open dataset for training large language models.

- 594 Tri Dao and Albert Gu. 2024. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms 595 through structured state space duality. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060*. 596
- Soham De, Samuel L. Smith, Anushan Fernando, Aleksandar Botev, George-Cristian Muraru, Albert 597 Gu, Ruba Haroun, Leonard Berrada, Yutian Chen, Srivatsan Srinivasan, Guillaume Desjardins, 598 Arnaud Doucet, David Budden, Yee Whye Teh, Razvan Pascanu, Nando de Freitas, and Caglar Gulcehre. 2024. Griffin: Mixing Gated Linear Recurrences with Local Attention for Efficient 600 Language Models. arXiv, abs/2402.19427. 601
- 602 Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, 603 and Mao Yang. 2024. Longrope: Extending llm context window beyond 2 million tokens. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.13753. 604
- 605 Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha 606 Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. 2024. The llama 3 herd of 607 models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783. 608
- Stefan Elfwing, Eiji Uchibe, and Kenji Doya. 2017. Sigmoid-weighted linear units for neural network 609 function approximation in reinforcement learning. *Preprint*, arXiv:1702.03118. 610
- 611 Team GLM, Aohan Zeng, Bin Xu, Bowen Wang, Chenhui Zhang, Da Yin, Diego Rojas, Guanyu 612 Feng, Hanlin Zhao, Hanyu Lai, Hao Yu, Hongning Wang, Jiadai Sun, Jiajie Zhang, Jiale Cheng, 613 Jiayi Gui, Jie Tang, Jing Zhang, Juanzi Li, Lei Zhao, Lindong Wu, Lucen Zhong, Mingdao Liu, 614 Minlie Huang, Peng Zhang, Qinkai Zheng, Rui Lu, Shuaiqi Duan, Shudan Zhang, Shulin Cao, 615 Shuxun Yang, Weng Lam Tam, Wenyi Zhao, Xiao Liu, Xiao Xia, Xiaohan Zhang, Xiaotao Gu, 616 Xin Lv, Xinghan Liu, Xinyi Liu, Xinyue Yang, Xixuan Song, Xunkai Zhang, Yifan An, Yifan Xu, 617 Yilin Niu, Yuantao Yang, Yueyan Li, Yushi Bai, Yuxiao Dong, Zehan Qi, Zhaoyu Wang, Zhen Yang, Zhengxiao Du, Zhenyu Hou, and Zihan Wang. 2024. Chatglm: A family of large language 618 models from glm-130b to glm-4 all tools. Preprint, arXiv:2406.12793. 619
- 620 Albert Gu and Tri Dao. 2023. Mamba: Linear-time sequence modeling with selective state spaces. 621 ArXiv, abs/2312.00752. 622
- Shengding Hu, Yuge Tu, Xu Han, Chaoqun He, Ganqu Cui, Xiang Long, Zhi Zheng, Yewei Fang, 623 Yuxiang Huang, Weilin Zhao, et al. 2024. Minicpm: Unveiling the potential of small language 624 models with scalable training strategies. arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.06395. 625
- 626 Samy Jelassi, David Brandfonbrener, Sham M. Kakade, and Eran Malach. 2024. Repeat after me: 627 Transformers are better than state space models at copying. *Preprint*, arXiv:2402.01032.

629

630

631

635

- Albert Q Jiang, Alexandre Sablayrolles, Arthur Mensch, Chris Bamford, Devendra Singh Chaplot, Diego de las Casas, Florian Bressand, Gianna Lengyel, Guillaume Lample, Lucile Saulnier, et al. 2023. Mistral 7b. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.06825.
- 632 Hongye Jin, Xiaotian Han, Jingfeng Yang, Zhimeng Jiang, Zirui Liu, Chia-Yuan Chang, Huiyuan 633 Chen, and Xia Hu. 2024. Llm maybe longlm: Self-extend llm context window without tuning. 634 arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.01325.
- Angelos Katharopoulos, Apoorv Vyas, Nikolaos Pappas, and François Fleuret. 2020. Transformers 636 are rnns: Fast autoregressive transformers with linear attention. In International conference on machine learning, pages 5156–5165. PMLR. 638
- 639 Amirkeivan Mohtashami and Martin Jaggi. 2023. Landmark attention: Random-access infinite context length for transformers. In Workshop on Efficient Systems for Foundation Models@ 640 *ICML2023*. 641
- 642 Antonio Orvieto, Samuel L Smith, Albert Gu, Anushan Fernando, Caglar Gulcehre, Razvan Pascanu, 643 and Soham De. 2023. Resurrecting recurrent neural networks for long sequences. Preprint, 644 arXiv:2303.06349. 645
- Jongho Park, Jaeseung Park, Zheyang Xiong, Nayoung Lee, Jaewoong Cho, Samet Oymak, Kang-646 wook Lee, and Dimitris Papailiopoulos. 2024. Can mamba learn how to learn? a comparative 647 study on in-context learning tasks. Preprint, arXiv:2402.04248.

648 649	Bo Peng, Eric Alcaide, Quentin G. Anthony, Alon Albalak, Samuel Arcadinho, Stella Biderman, Huanqi Cao, Xin Cheng, Michael Chung, Matteo Grella, G Kranthikiran, Xuming He, Haowen								
650	Hou, Przemyslaw Kazienko, Jan Kocoń, Jiaming Kong, Bartlomiej Koptyra, Hayden Lau, Krishna								
651	Sri Ipsit Mantri, Ferdinand Mom, Atsushi Saito, Xiangru Tang, Bolun Wang, Johan Sokrates Wind,								
652	Stansilaw Wozniak, Ruichong Zhang, Zhenyuan Zhang, Qihang Zhao, Peng Zhou, Jian Zhu, and								
653	Rui Zhu. 2023a. Rwkv: Reinventing rnns for the transformer era. In Conference on Empirical								
654	Methods in Natural Language Processing.								
655	De Dene Deniel Caldetein Oceantin Anthenes Alen Albelah Esis Alerida Stalla Didemoon Essena								
656	Bo Peng, Damei Goldstein, Quentin Antony, Alon Albalak, Eric Alcalde, Stella Biderman, Eugene Chook Vingijen Du, Teddy Fordinen, Houwen Hou, Przemysław Kogionko, Kranthi Kiran GV								
657	Lan Kocoń, Bartłomiej Kontura, Satuanriva Krishna, Bonald McClelland Ir, Niklas Muannighoff								
658	Fares Obeid Atsushi Saito Guangyu Song Haogin Tu Stanisław Woźniak Ruichong Zhang								
659	Bingchen Zhao Oihang Zhao Peng Zhou Jian Zhu and Rui-Jie Zhu 2024 Fagle and Finch								
660	Rwky with Matrix-Valued States and Dynamic Recurrence arXiv abs/2404 05892								
661	,, ,								
662	Bowen Peng, Jeffrey Quesnelle, Honglu Fan, and Enrico Shippole. 2023b. Yarn: Efficient context								
663	window extension of large language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.00071.								
664	Zhan Qin Canalin Vana Waiyuan Sun Yuwang Shan Dang Li Waigaa Sun and Vinan Zhang 2024								
665	Linen Qin, Songini Yang, weixuan Sun, Xuyang Snen, Dong Li, Weigao Sun, and Yiran Zhong. 2024.								
666	right2: Galed Linear KININS with State Expansion. In <i>First Conjerence on Language Modeling</i> , volume abs/2404.07904								
667	volume abs/2404.07904.								
668	Yutao Sun, Li Dong, Shaohan Huang, Shuming Ma, Yuqing Xia, Jilong Xue, Jianyong Wang, and								
669	Furu Wei. 2023. Retentive Network: A Successor to Transformer for Large Language Models.								
670	arXiv, abs/2307.08621.								
671									
672	Gemini Team. 2024a. Gemini 1.5: Unlocking multimodal understanding across millions of tokens of								
672	context. arXiv.								
674	Magic Team 2024b 100m token context windows								
675	hugie reuni. 20210. room token context windows.								
676	Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée								
677	Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, Aurelien Rodriguez, Armand								
077	Joulin, Edouard Grave, and Guillaume Lample. 2023. Llama: Open and efficient foundation								
078	language models. Preprint, arXiv:2302.13971.								
679	Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazaar, Niki Parmar, Jakoh Hezkorait, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Comez, Łukacz								
080	Kaiser and Illia Polosukhin 2017 Attention is all you need Advances in neural information								
681	processing systems 30								
682	processing systems, so.								
683	Roger Waleffe, Wonmin Byeon, Duncan Riach, Brandon Norick, Vijay Korthikanti, Tri Dao, Albert								
684	Gu, Ali Hatamizadeh, Sudhakar Singh, Deepak Narayanan, Garvit Kulshreshtha, Vartika Singh,								
685	Jared Casper, Jan Kautz, Mohammad Shoeybi, and Bryan Catanzaro. 2024. An empirical study of								
686	mamba-based language models. Preprint, arXiv:2406.07887.								
687	Keiner Wer Vieren Dans and Keifers Len 2024. Dans an act transformers (set). The law								
688	hottlangek on in context retrievel. Brannint or Yim 2402 18510								
689	bottleheck of m-context retrieval. 1 reprint, al XIV.2402.18510.								
690	Songlin Yang, Bailin Wang, Yikang Shen, Rameswar Panda, and Yoon Kim. 2023. Gated linear								
691	attention transformers with hardware-efficient training. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.06635.								
692									
693	Songlin Yang, Bailin Wang, Yu Zhang, Yikang Shen, and Yoon Kim. 2024. Parallelizing Linear								
694	Transformers with the Delta Rule over Sequence Length. arXiv, abs/2406.06484.								
695	Biao Zhang and Rico Sennrich 2010 Root mean square layer normalization Prenrint								
696	arXiv:1910.07467								
697									
698	Xinrong Zhang, Yingfa Chen, Shengding Hu, Zihang Xu, Junhao Chen, Moo Hao, Xu Han, Zhen								
699	Thai, Shuo Wang, Zhiyuan Liu, and Maosong Sun. 2024a. ∞Bench: Extending long context								
700	evaluation beyond 100K tokens. In Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association								
701	<i>for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)</i> , pages 15262–15277, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.								

- Yu Zhang, Songlin Yang, Ruijie Zhu, Yue Zhang, Leyang Cui, Yiqiao Wang, Bolun Wang, Freda Shi, Bailin Wang, Wei Bi, Peng Zhou, and Guohong Fu. 2024b. Gated slot attention for efficient linear-time sequence modeling. Preprint, arXiv:2409.07146. Liang Zhao, Xiaocheng Feng, Xiachong Feng, Dongliang Xu, Qing Yang, Hongtao Liu, Bing Qin, and Ting Liu. 2024. Length extrapolation of transformers: A survey from the perspective of positional encoding. Preprint, arXiv:2312.17044. Dawei Zhu, Nan Yang, Liang Wang, Yifan Song, Wenhao Wu, Furu Wei, and Sujian Li. 2023. Pose: Efficient context window extension of llms via positional skip-wise training. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.10400.

A MAMBA-2 ARCHITECTURE

For completeness, we give a more detailed formulation of the Mamba-2 architecture here, although we recommend the readers refer to the original paper (Dao and Gu, 2024) or a detailed blog post by the authors⁷. The model accepts a sequence of *T* token IDs as input $\mathbf{I} = [i_1, \dots, i_T] \in \mathbb{R}^T, i_t \in$ {1, 2, ..., *V*}, where *V* denotes the vocabulary size. It performs next token prediction by predicting the probability distribution over the vocabulary as each time step, denoted as $P \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times V}$. The model can be formulated as follows.

764

765

766 767 768 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}^{(0)} &= \mathrm{Embed}_{\mathrm{in}}(\mathbf{I}) \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times d} \\ \mathbf{U}^{(l)} &= \mathrm{Mamba}^{(l)} \left(\mathrm{Norm} \left[\mathbf{U}^{(l-1)} \right] \right) \in R^{T \times d} \\ \mathbf{P} &= \mathrm{Embed}_{\mathrm{out}} \left(\mathrm{Norm} \left[\mathbf{U}^{(L)} \right] \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times V} \end{aligned}$

where *L* denotes the number of layers, $l \in \{1, \dots, L\}$ denotes the layer index, $\mathbf{U}^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times d}$ represents the input of the *l*-th layer, $\mathbf{U}^{(0)}$ represents the input of the first layer. Mamba^(l)(·) denotes the *l*-th Mamba layer, Embed_{in}(·) and Embed_{out}(·) denote the input and output embedding layers, and Norm(·) denotes RMS normalization (Zhang and Sennrich, 2019). *d* denotes the number of dimensions of each token embedding. Similar to many other models, Mamba-2 ties the weight of the input and output embedding layers.

Each Mamba layer consists of H "heads" that are computed in parallel. The result of which is summed together. The *t*-th token ($t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$) in a head is computed as follows.

777
$$y_t = \operatorname{Norm}(o_t \odot u_t W_{gate}) W_o \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$o_t = C_t h_t + D \odot x_t \in \mathbb{R}^P$$

- 780 $h_t = h_{t-1} \exp(-\Delta_t \exp(A)) + \Delta_t B_t^T x_t \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times P}$
- 781 $C_t = \sigma(\operatorname{Conv}(u_t W_C)) \in \mathbb{R}^N$
- 783 $B_t = \sigma(\operatorname{Conv}(u_t W_B)) \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$x_t = \sigma(\operatorname{Conv}(u_t W_x)) \in \mathbb{R}^F$$

 $\Delta_t = \text{Softplus}(u_t W_\Delta + b_\Delta) \in \mathbb{R}$

786 787 788 u_t denotes the *t*-th input representation. In other words, for the *l*-th layer, we have $\mathbf{U}^{(l)} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1^{(l)}, \cdots, u_T^{(l)} \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{U}^{(l+1)} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1^{(l)}, \cdots, y_T^{(l)} \end{bmatrix}$, and $u_t^{(l+1)} = y_t^{(l)}$. Conv(·) denotes a channel-wise 789 one-dimensional convolutional layer with a kernel size of 4, and σ denotes the SiLU activation 790 function (Elfwing et al., 2017). All vectors are row vectors, and the result of a matrix multiplied by a 791 scalar is the matrix with each element multiplied by that scalar.

792 $(W_{\text{gate}}, W_x \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times P}, W_o \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times d}, W_C, W_B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}, W_\Delta \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 1}, b_\Delta, A \in \mathbb{R})$ are trainable parameters of the layer, and P, N are hyperparameters. The authors call P the *head dimension* 794 and N the *state size*. In practice, the weights of W_B, W_C are shared among different heads.

795

784

785

A.1 STATE SIZE

The authors of Mamba-2 always set P = 64, N = 128, and H = 2d/P. Thus, the state size of each Mamba-2 layer is HPN = 2dN = 256d. In transformer-based models, when using multi-headed attention, usually, the product of the head count H and head dimension P equals the hidden dimension d. Therefore, the KV cache of a transformer-based model is 2Td, which means that when using the same hidden dimension, the state of a Mamba-2 layer is equal in size to a KV cache of 128 tokens.

Compared to many other recurrent models (e.g., the RWKV series (Peng et al., 2023a; 2024), GLA (Yang et al., 2023), and RetNet (Sun et al., 2023)), Mamba-2 does not have a state-less feed-forward network and has considerably more heads in each layer, making the state size much larger than other recurrent models. Compared to Mamba-1 (Gu and Dao, 2023), Mamba-1 uses N = 16, which means that the state size in Mamba-2 is 8 times larger than the state in a Mamba-1 model of roughly the model parameter count. Figure 12 shows the relationship between state size and model size of the RNN models in this study.

⁷https://tridao.me/blog/2024/mamba2-part1-model/



Figure 12: The relationship between state size and model size of various RNN models considered in this paper.

A.2 SHORT CONVOLUTION

The Conv(\cdot) function in Mamba-2 is a one-dimensional convolutional layer applied to each channel separately. For *i*-th channel, it can be formulated as follows.

$$y_{t,i} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} w_{j,i} x_{t-j,i} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, n_c$$

k denotes the kernel size (set to 4 by default). *i* denotes the channel index, n_c denotes the number of channels. $y_{t,i} \in \mathbb{R}$ denotes the *i*-th channel of the output vector at *t*-th time step. $x_{t,i}$ represents the *i*-th channel of the input vector at *t*-th time step. $w_{j,i} \in \mathbb{R}$ denotes the *j*-th value in the convolutional kernel for channel *i*.

836 This model component accepts the last 4 token embeddings at the input. Therefore, it also has a state that contains information about the context, which we refer to as the *convolutional state*. To 837 be concrete, due to information propagation through the layers, the short convolutional layer is a 838 function of the last 4L tokens. For the 370M model size, this length is $4 \times 48 = 192$. Therefore, we 839 can reasonably assume that this component contains much less contextual information relative to 840 the recurrent state h_t . Thus, we have largely ignored this state in various discussions in this paper. 841 However, we have also reported the distribution of the input to this short convolutional layer over 842 time in Figure 17, for reference. As we can see, the convolutional state is relatively stable over time 843 (compared to the recurrent state). 844

845 846

847

848

849

850

810

811

812

813 814

815 816

817

818

819

820 821

822

823 824

825

827

829 830 831

B PASSKEY RETRIEVAL INFERENCE PARAMETERS

Throughout the whole paper, we use greedy decoding, not just for reproducibility, but also because our preliminary results show that other decoding parameters give noticeably worse performance on passkey retrieval.

We use 32-bit floating point precision for both model parameters and activations during inference, to ensure that precision errors do not introduce noise to the result. We have conducted some preliminary evaluations with BF16 and FP16 and found that there are no noticeable differences with using FP16, but computing some activations, especially the Δ_t and α_t with BF16 introduces an error around 1e-3. However, the explosion of channels in the states is consistently observed despite this precision error.

- 856 857
- B.1 PASSKEY RETRIEVAL PROMPT

The prompt that we use for the passkey retrieval task is as follows, using 34847 as the passkey for example, which is adapted from existing works (Zhang et al., 2024a). We also evaluate with slight variations to the template in preliminary experiments but do not observe considerable differences in the results.

862

There is important info hidden inside a lot of irrelevant text. Find it and memorize it.

The grass is green. The sky is blue. The sun is yellow. Here we go. There and back again. . . . The grass is green. The sky is blue. The sun is yellow. Here we go. There and back again. The passkey is 34847. Remember it. 34847 is the passkey. The grass is green. The sky is blue. The sun is yellow. Here we go. There and back again. The grass is green. The sky is blue. The sun is yellow. Here we go. There and back again. What is the passkey? The passkey is

We sweep different context lengths $T \in \{1K, 2K, ..., 256K\}$, and for each length T, we generate n prompts with evenly distributed needle positions, i.e., the *i*-th needle $(i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\})$ of a sample is inserted at position $T \times i/n - 1$, from the beginning.

C MAMBA-2 WITH MODIFIED Δ_t on passkey retrieval

Ben-Kish et al. (2024) and GitHub user jzhang28⁸ propose to improve Mamba's length generalization by reducing the value of Δ_t . Ben-Kish et al. (2024) propose a heuristic method for identifying which head to modify and how to modify Δ_t . However, their method requires task-dependent tweaking, so we do not consider comparing against it. jzhang28 propose to simply multiply Δ_t by a constant (they used 0.5). We apply this method and sweep different Δ_t for the best passkey retrieval performance, but they all result in worse performance than the original model across all context lengths.

D PASSKEY RETRIEVAL EVALUATION WITH OTHER ARCHITECTURES



Figure 13: The performance of RWKV-5 official checkpoints on the passkey retrieval task. Each curve in (b) and (d) represents the accuracy of retrieving the needle when it is within the last r tokens, with $r \in \{1K, 2K, 4K, 8K\}$.

Here, we also evaluate RWKV-5, RWKV-6, and Mamba-1 (some popular and strong RNNs) on the passkey retrieval task. The result is reported in Figure 13, 14 and 15. We can see that SE is observed in Mamba-1, but it is less severe for RWKV-5 and RWKV-6. We hypothesize that this difference is a result of architectural differences and that the state size is smaller in RWKV-5 and RWKV-6.

E PRE-TRAINED CHECKPOINTS

The pre-trained checkpoints used in our experiments are given in Table 1.

⁸https://www.github.com/jzhang38/LongMamba



Figure 14: RWKV-6 1.6B result on the passkey retrieval task. The left plot shows the retrieval accuracy of the needle when it appears in the last $r = \{1K, 2K, 4K, 8K\}$ tokens.



Figure 15: The performance of Mamba-1 official checkpoints on the Passkey task. We can see a clear exhibition of state explosion, similar to Mamba-2.

Model	<pre>Checkpoint URLs https://huggingface.co/RWKV/rwkv-5-world-all-pth</pre>					
RWKV-5						
RWKV-6	https://huggingface.co/RWKV/v6-Finch-1B6-HF https://huggingface.co/RWKV/v6-Finch-3B-HF					
Mamba-1	https://huggingface.co/state-spaces/mamba-130m https://huggingface.co/state-spaces/mamba-370m https://huggingface.co/state-spaces/mamba-790m https://huggingface.co/state-spaces/mamba-1.4b https://huggingface.co/state-spaces/mamba-2.8b					
Mamba-2	<pre>https://huggingface.co/state-spaces/mamba2-130m https://huggingface.co/state-spaces/mamba2-370m https://huggingface.co/state-spaces/mamba2-780m https://huggingface.co/state-spaces/mamba2-1.3b https://huggingface.co/state-spaces/mamba2-2.7b</pre>					

Table 1: The pre-trained checkpoints used in our experiments.

961 F EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS OF TRAINING ON LONGER SEQUENCES

We perform a hyperparameter search on learning rates, sweeping $\{1e-5, 2e-5, 5e-5, 1e-4, 2e-4, 5e-4, 1e-3\}$, selecting the best performing one by validation on passkey retrieval⁹. Regarding the WSD scheduler, it warms up linearly for 1000 steps and decays linearly with 50K steps. This setup is inspired by the authors of WSD (Hu et al., 2024).

Other hyperparameters are kept as similar to the original papers for Mamba-2 as possible. That means we use 0.5M tokens per batch because we found this to give more stable results for continual pre-training instead of the 1M batch size from the original paper. Training is done mainly in BF16,

⁹While the loss of many checkpoints was highly similar, their performance in passkey retrieval can differ a lot.

with some activations in FP32 (in the same manner as the official implementation). The optimizer is
AdamW, with a 0.1 weight decay. Moreover, we use 1.0 gradient clipping.

All experiments are run on A800 80G, some are run with multiple nodes, and others with multiple GPUs on a single node.

977 978 F.1 MODEL CONFIGURATIONS

For the models smaller than the 130M official checkpoint, we pre-train from scratch using the configurations reported in Table 2. We try to follow the same depth-to-width ratio found in the official checkpoints, although the ratio is not entirely consistent in those checkpoints. Hyperparameters not mentioned are kept the same as the 130M checkpoint.

Model size	State size	# Layers	Hidden dim.	# heads				
Official checkpoints								
780M	19.3M	48	1536	48				
370M 12.9M		48	1024	32				
130M 4.8M		24 768		24				
Our checkpoints trained from scratch								
84.6M	2.4M	12	768	24				
47.0M 1.6M		12	512	16				
36.4M	0.8M	6	512	16				

Table 2: The configurations of the models used in finding the passkey retrieval memory capacity as a function of the state size.

G STATE STATISTICS OVER CONTEXT LENGTH

Here, we provide a more detailed result on the inspection of SE over time.

Figure 16 shows the hidden state of the recurrent mechanism described in Eq. 3. Additionally, B_t , C_t , and x_t in Mamba-2 are generated with a short channel-wise convolutional layer with a kernel size of 4:

1005					$B_t = \sigma(\operatorname{Conv}[u_t W_B])$
1006					$C_t = \sigma(\operatorname{Conv}[u_t W_C])$
1007					$x_t = \sigma(\operatorname{Conv}[u_t W_m])$
1008	1	•	C'T T	 c	

where σ is the SiLU activation function. This function is also stateful because it operates on the last 4 tokens, therefore, we also collect the statistics of this convolutional state and report them in Figure 17. As we can see, the convolutional states are much more stable compared to the recurrent states. This is because only the last 4 tokens contribute to this state which avoids the explosion as a result of cumulative sum.

1013 1014

1015

996 997 998

999

H HGRN-2 LENGTH GENERALIZATION

We additionally evaluate HGRN-2(Qin et al., 2024) on the newlines prompt, and find that it also exhibits severe performance degradation on the "newlines" prompt. The model size is 1.3B. Perhaps surprisingly, the increase in perplexity happens considerably before the context length reaches the training length.

1020 1021 1022

I TRAINING-FREE LENGTH GENERALIZATION RESULT

Figure 19 reports the result of the training-free length generalization methods on Mamba-2 780M, evaluated on concatenated documents from RedPajama. We can see that while LongMamba¹⁰ can

¹⁰https://github.com/jzhang38/LongMamba



Figure 16: The mean and variance of the hidden state of each layer of Mamba-2 370M, computed on the "newlines" prompt.

greatly improve the length generalizability of the model by more than 3x, it causes noticeably greater perplexity on shorter sequences and still inevitably exhibits SE. All our methods successfully suppress SE, allowing the model to generalize to more than 64K tokens, although they underperform LongMamba on a range of context length (roughly from 8K to 20K).

J THE "NEWLINES" PROMPT

In this paper, we collect the statistics of the state computed on a "newlines" prompt, a prompt where every token is the newline token, as shown below.

However, we again emphasize that SE is observed on prompts extracted from the pre-training corpus, the passkey retrieval task, or other randomly generated sequences. We have chosen the "newlines" prompt because the samples from the pre-training corpus are too short, and this prompt produces the most consistent and smooth layer statistics.





Figure 18: The perplexity of HGRN-2 1.3B on the "newlines" prompt as a function of time.



Figure 19: Result of training-free length generalization methods described in Section 4.4.2. The loss is computed on long documents from RedPajama (Computer, 2023). The red dotted line represents the training length.