# Like a Good Nearest Neighbor: Practical Content Moderation with Sentence Transformers

Anonymous ACL submission

#### Abstract

Text classification systems have impressive capabilities but are infeasible to deploy and use reliably due to their dependence on prompting and billion-parameter language models. Set-004 Fit (Tunstall et al., 2022) is a recent, practical approach that fine-tunes a Sentence Transformer under a contrastive learning paradigm and achieves similar results to more unwieldy systems. Text classification is important for addressing the problem of domain drift in detecting harmful content, which plagues social media platforms. Here, we propose Like a Good Nearest Neighbor (LAGONN), a modification 014 to SetFit that requires no additional parameters or hyperparameters but alters input text with information from its nearest neighbor, for example, the label and text, in the training data, 017 making novel data appear similar to an instance on which the model was optimized. LAGONN is effective at identifying harmful content and generally improves SetFit's performance. To demonstrate LAGONN's value, we conduct a thorough study of text classification systems in the context of content moderation under four label distributions.<sup>1</sup>

# 1 Introduction

027

037

Text classification is the most important tool for NLP practitioners, and there has been substantial progress in advancing the state-of-the-art, especially with the advent of large, pretrained language models (PLM) (Devlin et al., 2019). Modern research focuses on in-context learning (Brown et al., 2020), pattern exploiting training (Schick and Schütze, 2021a,b, 2022), adapter-based finetuning with learned label embeddings (Karimi Mahabadi et al., 2022), and parameter efficient finetuning (Liu et al., 2022a). These methods have achieved impressive results on the SuperGLUE (Wang et al., 2019) and RAFT (Alex et al., 2021) few-shot benchmarks, but most are difficult to



Figure 1: We embed training data, retrieve the text, gold label, and distance for each instance from its nearest neighbor and modify the original text with this information. Then we embed the modified training data and train a classifier. During inference, the NN from the training data is selected, the original text is modified with the text, gold label, and distance from this NN, and the classifier is called.

use because of their reliance on billion-parameter PLMs, pay-to-use APIs, and/or prompting. Constructing prompts is not trivial and may require domain expertise. 041

042

043

045

046

050

051

052

059

060

One exception to these cumbersome systems is SetFit. SetFit does not rely on prompting or billion-parameter PLMs, and instead fine-tunes a pretrained Sentence Transformer (ST) (Reimers and Gurevych, 2019) under a contrastive learning paradigm. SetFit has comparable performance to more unwieldy systems while being one to two orders of magnitude faster to train and run inference.

An important application of text classification is aiding or automating content moderation, which is the task of determining the appropriateness of user-generated content on the Internet (Roberts, 2017). From fake news to toxic comments to hate speech, it is difficult to browse social media without being exposed to potentially dangerous posts that may have an effect on our ability to reason (Ecker

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Code and data: https://github.com/[REDACTED]

et al., 2022). Misinformation spreads at alarming rates (Vosoughi et al., 2018), and an ML system should be able to quickly aid human moderators. While there is work in NLP with this goal (Markov et al., 2022; Shido et al., 2022; Ye et al., 2023), a general, practical, and open-sourced method that is effective across multiple domains remains an open challenge. Novel fake news topics or racial slurs emerge and change constantly. Retraining of ML-based systems is required to adapt this concept drift, but this is expensive, not only in terms of computation, but also in terms of the human effort needed to collect and label data.

061

062

067

072

074

081

084

086

091

099

100

103

104

105

106

107

108

SetFit's performance, speed, and low cost would make it ideal for effective content moderation, however, this type of text classification proves difficult for even state-of-the-art approaches. For example, detecting hate speech on Twitter (Basile et al., 2019), a subtask on the RAFT few-shot benchmark, appears to be the most difficult dataset; at time of writing, it is the only task where the human baseline has not been surpassed, yet SetFit is among the top ten most performant systems.<sup>2</sup>

Here, we propose a modification to SetFit, called Like a Good Nearest Neighbor (LAGONN). LAGONN introduces no parameters or hyperparameters and instead modifies input text by retrieving information about the nearest neighbor (NN) seen during optimization (see Figure 1). Specifically, we append the label, distance, and text of the NN in the training data to a new instance and encode this modified version with an ST. By making input data appear more similar to instances seen during training, we inexpensively exploit the ST's pretrained or fine-tuned knowledge when considering a novel example. Our method can also be applied to the linear probing of an ST, requiring no expensive fine-tuning of the large embedding model. Finally, we propose a simple alteration to the SetFit training procedure, where we fine-tune the ST on a subset of the training data. This results in a more efficient and performant text classifier that can be used with LAGONN. We summarize our contributions as follows:

- 1. We propose LAGONN, an inexpensive modification to SetFit- or ST-based text classification.
- 2. We suggest an alternative training procedure

<sup>2</sup>https://huggingface.co/spaces/ought/ raft-leaderboard (see "Tweet Eval Hate"). to the standard fine-tuning of SetFit, that can109be used with or without LAGONN, and results110in a cheaper system with similar performance111to the more expensive SetFit.112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

3. We perform an extensive study of LAGONN, SetFit, and standard transformer fine-tuning in the context of content moderation under different label distributions.

# 2 Related Work

There is little work on using sentence embeddings as features for classification despite the pioneering work being five years old (Perone et al., 2018). STs are pretrained with the objective of maximizing the distance between semantically distinct text and minimizing the distance between text that is semantically similar in feature space. They are composed of a Siamese and triplet architecture that encodes text into dense vectors which can be used as features for ML. STs were first used to embed text for classification by Piao (2021), however, only pretrained representations were examined.

SetFit uses a contrastive learning paradigm (Koch et al., 2015) to optimize the ST embedding model. The ST is fine-tuned with a distance-based loss function, like cosine similarity, such that examples with different labels are separated in feature space. Input text is then encoded with the fine-tuned ST and a classifier, such as logistic regression, is trained. This approach creates a strong, few-shot text classification system, transforming the ST from a sentence encoder to a topic encoder.

Work done by Xu et al. (2021) showed that retrieving and concatenating text from training data and external sources, such as ConceptNet (Speer et al., 2017) and the Wiktionary<sup>3</sup> definition, can be viewed as a type of external attention that does not alter the architecture of the Transformer in question answering. Liu et al. (2022b) used PLMs and *k*-NN lookup to prepend examples that are similar to a GPT-3 query, aiding in prompt engineering for in-context learning. Wang et al. (2022) demonstrated that prepending and appending training data helps PLMs in summarization, language modelling, machine translation, and question answering, using BM25 as their retrieval model (Manning et al., 2008; Robertson and Zaragoza, 2009).

We alter the SetFit training procedure by using fewer examples to adapt the embedding model for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>https://www.wiktionary.org/

<b>Training Data</b>	Test Data
"I love this." [positive 0.0] (0)	"So good!" [?] (?)
"This is great!" [positive 0.5] (0)	"Just terrible!" [?] (?)
"I hate this." [negative 0.7] (1)	"Never again." [?] (?)
"This is awful!" [negative 1.2] (1)	"This rocks!" [?] (?)

LAGONN Configuration	Train Modified
LABEL	"I love this. [SEP] [positive]" (0)
DIST	"I love this. [SEP] [0.5]" (0)
LABDIST	"I love this. [SEP] [positive 0.5]" (0)
TEXT	"I love this. [SEP] [positive 0.5] This is great!" (0)
ALL	"I love this. [SEP] [positive 0.5] This is great! [SEP] [negative 0.7] I hate this." (0)
	Test Modified
LABEL	"So good! [SEP] [positive]" (?)
DIST	"So good! [SEP] [1.5]" (?)
LABDIST	"So good! [SEP] [positive 1.5]
TEXT	"So good! [SEP] [positive 1.5] I love this." (?)
ALL	"So good! [SEP] [positive 1.5] I love this. [SEP] [negative 2.7] This is awful!" (?)

Table 1: Toy training and test data and different LAGONN configurations considering the first training example. Text is in quotation marks and the integer label is in parenthesis. In brackets are the gold label or distance from the NN or both. Train and Test Modified are altered instances that are input into the final embedding model for training and inference, respectively. The input format is "original text [SEP] [(NN gold) (label distance)] NN training instance text". See Appendix A.5 for examples of LAGONN ALL modified text.



Figure 2: LAGONN LABDIST uses an ST to encode training data, performs NN lookup, appends the NN's gold label and distance, and optionally SetFit to fine-tune the embedding model. We then embed this new instance and train a classifier. During inference, we use the embedding model to modify the test data with its NN's gold label and distance from the training data, compute the final representation, and call the classifier. Input text is in quotation marks, the NN's gold label and distance are in brackets, and the integer label is in parenthesis.

many-shot learning. LAGONN decorates input text 157 with its NN's gold label, Euclidean distance, and 158 text from the training data to exploit both the ST's 159 distance-based pretraining and SetFit's distance-160 based fine-tuning objective. Compared to retrieval-161 based methods, LAGONN uses the same model for 162 both retrieval and encoding, retrieving only information from the training data for classification. 164

#### 3 Like a Good Nearest Neighbor

Xu et al. (2021) formulate a type of external attention, where textual information is retrieved from multiple sources and added to text input to give the model stronger reasoning ability without altering the internal architecture. Inspired by this approach, LAGONN exploits pretrained and finetuned knowledge through external attention, but the

166

168

169

170

171

172

3

information we retrieve comes only from data used during optimization. We consider an embedding function, f, that encodes both training and test data,  $f(X_{train})$  and  $f(X_{test})$ . Considering its success on realistic, few-shot data and our goal of practical content moderation, we choose an ST that can be fine-tuned with SetFit as our embedding function.

173

174

175

176

178

179

181

182

183

185

186

187

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

197

198

199

200

201

204

206

209

210

211

213

214

216

217

218

219

**Encoding and nearest neighbors** LAGONN first uses a pretrained Sentence Transformer to embed training text in feature space,  $f(X_{train})$ , and NN lookup with scikit-learn (Buitinck et al., 2013) on the resulting embeddings.

Nearest neighbor information We extract text from the nearest neighbor and use it to decorate the original example. We experimented with different text that LAGONN could use. The first configuration we consider is the gold label of the NN, which we call LABEL. We then consider the Euclidean distance of the NN, which we call DIST, giving the model access to continuous measure of similarity. We then combine these two configurations, appending both the NN's gold label and Euclidean distance, referring to this as LABDIST. Next, we consider the gold label, distance, and the text of the NN, which we refer to as TEXT. Finally, we tried the same format as TEXT but for all possible labels, which we call ALL (see Table 1 and Figure 2). Information from the NN is appended to the text following a separator token to indicate this instance is composed of multiple sequences. While the ALL and TEXT configurations are arguably the most interesting, we find LABDIST to result in the most performant version of LAGONN, and this is the version about which we report results. See Appendix A.4.1 for a detailed study of and comparison between all LAGONN configurations.

**Training** LAGONN encodes the modified training data, optionally fine-tunes the embedding model via SetFit, and trains a classifier,  $CLF(f(X_{trainmod}))$ .

**Inference** LAGONN uses information from the nearest neighbor in the training data to modify input text. We compute the embeddings of the test data,  $f(X_{test})$ , and select and extract information from the NN's training text, decorating the input instance with this information. Finally, we encode the modified data with the embedding model and call the classifier,  $CLF(f(X_{testmod}))$ . **Intuition** The ST's pretraining and SetFit's fine-tuning objective both rely on distance, creating a feature space appropriate for distance-based algorithms, such as our NN-lookup. We hypothesize that LAGONN's modifications make novel data appear semantically similar to their NNs in the training data, that is, more akin to an instance on which the encoder and classifier were optimized. As LAGONN utilizes similarity and clear distinctions between classes, we believe it fitting for our use case of content moderation, where it is realistic to have few labels, harmful or neutral, for example.

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

259

260

#### 4 Experiments

#### 4.1 Data and label distributions

We study LAGONN's performance on four binary and one ternary classification dataset related to the task of content moderation. Each dataset is composed of a training, validation, and test split.

Here, we provide a summary of the five datasets we studied. LIAR was created from Politifact<sup>4</sup> for fake news detection and is composed of the data fields context, speaker, and statement, which are labeled with varying levels of truthfulness (Wang, 2017). We used a collapsed version of this dataset where a statement can only be true or false. We did not use speaker, but did use context and statement, separated by a separator token. Quora Insincere Questions<sup>5</sup> is composed of neutral and toxic questions, where the author is not asking in good faith. Hate Speech Offensive<sup>6</sup> has three labels and is composed of tweets that can contain either neutral text, offensive language, or hate speech (Davidson et al., 2017). Amazon Counterfactual<sup>7</sup> contains sentences from product reviews, and the labels can be "factual" or "counterfactual" (O'Neill et al., 2021). "Counterfactual" indicates that the customer said something that cannot be true. Finally, Toxic Conversations<sup>8</sup> is a dataset of comments where the author wrote with unintended bias<sup>9</sup> (see Table 2).

We study our system by simulating growing

*https:	//www.	polit	tifact	.com/
---------	--------	-------	--------	-------

<sup>5</sup>https://www.kaggle.com/c/

quora-insincere-questions-classification

<sup>6</sup>https://huggingface.co/datasets/hate\_speech\_ offensive

<sup>7</sup>https://huggingface.co/datasets/SetFit/ amazon\_counterfactual\_en

<sup>8</sup>https://huggingface.co/datasets/SetFit/toxic\_ conversations

<sup>9</sup>https://www.kaggle.com/c/

jigsaw-unintended-bias-in-toxicity-classification/
overview

Dataset (and Detection Task)	Number of Labels
LIAR (Fake News)	2
Insincere Questions (Toxicity)	2
Hate Speech Offensive	3
Amazon Counterfactual (English)	2
Toxic Conversations	2

Table 2: Summary of datasets and number of labels. We provide the type of task in parenthesis in unclear cases.

training data over ten discrete steps sampled under 261 four different label distributions: extreme, imbal-262 anced, moderate, and balanced (see Table 3). On each step we add 100 examples (100 on the first, 200 on the second, etc.) from the training split sampled under one of the four ratios.<sup>10</sup> On each step, we train our method with the sampled data and evaluate on the test split. Considering growing training data has two benefits: 1) We can simulate a streaming data scenario, where new data is labeled and added for training and 2) We can investigate 271 each method's sensitivity to the number of training examples. We sampled over five seeds, reporting 273 the mean and standard deviation.

Regime	Binary	Ternary
Extreme	0: 98% 1: 2%	0: 95%, 1: 2%, 2: 3%
Imbalanced	0: 90% 1: 10%	0: 80%, 1: 5%, 2: 15%
Moderate	0: 75% 1: 25%	0: 65%, 1: 10%, 2: 25%
Balanced	0: 50% 1: 50%	0: 33%, 1: 33%, 2: 33%

Table 3: Label distributions for sampling training data. 0 represents neutral while 1 and 2 represent different types of undesirable text.

#### 4.2 Baselines

275

276

278

279

281

284

285

We compare LAGONN against a number of strong baselines, detailed below. We used default hyperparameters in all cases unless stated otherwise.

**RoBERTa** RoBERTa-base is a pretrained language model (Liu et al., 2019) that we fine-tuned with the transformers library (Wolf et al., 2020). We select two versions of RoBERTa-base: an expensive version, where we perform standard finetuning on each step (RoBERTa<sub>full</sub>) and a cheaper version, where we freeze the model body after step one and update the classification head on subsequent steps (RoBERTa<sub>freeze</sub>). We set the learning rate to  $1e^{-5}$ , train for a maximum of 70 epochs, and use early stopping, selecting the best model after training. We consider  $RoBERTa_{full}$  an upper bound as it has the most trainable parameters and requires the most time to train of all our methods.

291

292

293

294

296

297

299

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

322

323

324

326

327

329

331

332

334

335

**Linear probe** We perform linear probing of a pretrained Sentence Transformer by fitting logistic regression with default hyperparameters on the training embeddings on each step. We choose this baseline because LAGONN can be applied as a modification in this scenario. We select MPNET (Song et al., 2020) as the ST, for SetFit, and for LAGONN.<sup>11</sup> We refer to this method as Probe.

**SetFit** Here, we perform standard fine-tuning with SetFit on the first step, and then on subsequent steps, freeze the embedding model and retrain only the classification head. We choose this baseline as LAGONN also uses logistic regression as its final classifier and refer to this method as SetFit.

*k*-nearest neighbors Similar to the above baseline, we fine-tune the embedding model via SetFit, but swap out the classification head for a kNN classifier, where k = 3. We select this baseline as LAGONN also relies on an NN lookup. k = 3 was chosen during our development stage as it yielded the strongest performance. We refer to this method as kNN.

SetFit expensive For this baseline we perform standard fine-tuning with SetFit on each step. On the first step, this method is equivalent to SetFit. We refer to this as SetFit $_{exp}$ .

**LAGONN cheap** This method modifies data via LAGONN before fitting logistic regression. Even without adapting the embedding model, as the training data grow, modifications made to the test data may change. Only the classification head is fit on each step. We refer to this method as LAGONN<sub>cheap</sub> and it is comparable to Probe.

**LAGONN** On the first step, we use LAGONN to modify our data and perform standard finetuning with SetFit. On subsequent steps, we freeze the embedding model but continue to use it to modify our data. We only fit logistic regression on later steps, referring to this method as LAGONN. It is comparable to SetFit.

**LAGONN expensive** Here we modify our data and fine-tune the embedding model on each step. We refer to this method as  $LAGONN_{exp}$  and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>For Hate Speech Offensive, 0 and 2 denote undesirable text and 1 denotes neither.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>https://huggingface.co/sentence-transformers/ paraphrase-mpnet-base-v2

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

383

336 337

340

341

342

345

349

351

353

357

359

361

370

371

373

374

375

377

379

381

it is comparable to SetFit $_{exp}$ . On the first step, this method is equivalent to LAGONN.

# 5 Results

Table 4 and Figure 3 show our results. In the cases of the extreme and imbalanced regimes, the performance of SetFit<sub>exp</sub> steadily increases with the number of training examples. As the label distribution shifts to the balanced regime, however, the performance quickly saturates or even degrades as the number of training examples grows. LAGONN, ROBERTa<sub>full</sub>, and SetFit, other finetuned PLM classifiers, do not exhibit this behavior. LAGONN<sub>exp</sub>, being based on SetFit<sub>exp</sub>, exhibits a similar trend, but the performance degradation is mitigated; on the  $10^{th}$  step of Amazon Counterfactual in Table 4 SetFit<sub>exp</sub> only fell by 3.7.

LAGONN and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> generally outperform SetFit and SetFit<sub>exp</sub>, respectively, often resulting in a more stable model, as reflected in the standard deviation. We find that LAGONN and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> exhibit stronger predictive power with fewer examples than RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> despite having fewer trainable parameters. For example, on the first step of Insincere Questions under the extreme setting, LAGONN's performance is more than 10 points higher.

LAGONN<sub>cheap</sub> outperforms all other methods on the Insincere Questions dataset for all balance regimes, despite being the third fastest (see Table 5) and having the second fewest trainable parameters. We attribute this result to the fact that this dataset is composed of questions from Quora<sup>12</sup> and our ST backbone was pretrained on similar data. This intuition is supported by Probe, the cheapest method, which despite having the fewest trainable parameters, shows comparable performance.

#### 5.1 SetFit for efficient many-shot learning

Respectively comparing SetFit to SetFit<sub>exp</sub> and LAGONN to LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> suggests that finetuning the ST embedding model on moderate or balanced data hurts model performance as the number of training samples grows. We therefore hypothesize that randomly sampling a subset of training data to fine-tune the encoder, freezing, embedding the remaining data, and training the classifier will result in a stronger model. To test our hypothesis, we add two models to our experimental setup: SetFit<sub>lite</sub> and LAGONN<sub>lite</sub>. SetFit<sub>lite</sub> and LAGONN<sub>lite</sub> are respectively equivalent to SetFit<sub>exp</sub> and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub>, except after the fourth step (400 samples), we freeze the encoder and only retrain the classifier on subsequent steps, similar to SetFit and LAGONN.

Figure 4 shows our results with these two new models. As expected, in the cases of extreme and imbalanced distributions, LAGONN $_{exp}$ , SetFit $_{exp}$ , and RoBERTa full, are the strongest performers on Toxic Conversations. We note very different results for both LAGONN*lite* and SetFit*lite* compared to LAGONNexp and SetFitexp on Toxic Conversations and Amazon Counterfactual under the moderate and balanced label distributions. As their expensive counterparts start to plateau or degrade on the fourth step, the predictive power of these two new models dramatically increases, showing improved or comparable performance to RoBERTa *full*, despite being optimized on less data; for example, LAGONN<sub>lite</sub> reaches an average precision of approximately 55 after being optimized on only 500 examples. RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> does not exhibit similar performance until the tenth step. Finally, we point out that LAGONN-based methods generally provide a performance boost for SetFit-based classification.

# 5.2 LAGONN's computational expense

LAGONN is more computationally expensive than Sentence Transformer- or SetFit-based text classification. LAGONN introduces additional inference with the encoder, NN-lookup, and string modification. As the computational complexity of transformers increases with sequence length (Vaswani et al., 2017), additional expense is created when LAGONN appends textual information before inference with the ST. In Table 5, we provide a speed comparison of comparable methods computed on the same hardware.<sup>13</sup> On average, LAGONN introduced 24.2 additional seconds of computation compared to its relative counterpart.

# 6 Discussion

Flagging potentially dangerous text presents a challenge even for state-of-the-art approaches. It is imperative that we develop reliable and practical text classifiers for content moderation, such that we can inexpensively re-tune them for novel forms

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>https://www.quora.com/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>We used a 40 GB NVIDIA A100 Tensor Core GPU.

<b>Method</b> <i>Extreme</i>	$1^{st}$	InsincereQs 5 <sup>th</sup>	$10^{th}$	Average	$1^{st}$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{AmazonCF} \\ 5^{th} \end{array}$	$10^{th}$	Average
$egin{array}{l} { m RoBERTa}_{full} \ { m SetFit}_{exp} \ { m LAGONN}_{exp} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19.9_{8.4} \\ 24.1_{6.3} \\ \textbf{30.7}_{8.9} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 30.9_{7.9} \\ 29.2_{6.7} \\ 37.6_{6.1} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 42.0_{7.4} \\ 36.7_{7.3} \\ 39.0_{6.1} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33.5_{6.7} \\ 31.7_{3.4} \\ 36.1_{2.3} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c} 63.9_{10.2} \\ 64.2_{3.3} \\ \textbf{68.4}_{4.4} \end{array}$	$72.3_{3.0} \\ 68.6_{4.6} \\ \textbf{74.9}_{2.9}$	$59.6_{16.8} \\ 56.8_{14.9} \\ \textbf{63.2}_{16.7}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub> kNN SetFit LAGONN	$\begin{array}{c} 19.9_{8.4} \\ 6.8_{0.42} \\ 24.1_{6.3} \\ \textbf{30.7}_{8.9} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 34.1_{5.4} \ 15.9_{3.4} \ 31.7_{4.9} \ 39.3_{4.9} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 37.9_{5.9} \\ 16.9_{4.3} \\ 36.1_{5.4} \\ 41.2_{4.7} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 32.5_{5.5} \\ 14.4_{3.0} \\ 31.8_{3.6} \\ 38.4_{3.0} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c} 41.0_{12.7} \\ 15.3_{4.2} \\ 32.4_{11.5} \\ 31.1_{19.4} \end{array}$	$51.3_{10.7} \\ 18.4_{3.7} \\ 42.3_{8.8} \\ 33.0_{19.1}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40.6_{8.9} \\ 15.6_{2.4} \\ 34.5_{5.9} \\ 30.9_{2.3} \end{array}$
Probe LAGONN <sub>cheap</sub>	$24.3_{8.4} \\ 23.6_{7.8}$	$39.8_{5.6} \\ 40.7_{5.9}$	44.8 <sub>4.2</sub> <b>45.3</b> <sub>4.4</sub>	38.3 <sub>6.2</sub> <b>38.6</b> <sub>6.6</sub>	$\begin{array}{c c} 24.2_{9.0} \\ 20.1_{6.9} \end{array}$	$\frac{46.3_{4.4}}{38.3_{4.9}}$	$54.6_{2.0}$ $47.8_{3.4}$	$\begin{array}{c} 45.1_{10.3} \\ 38.2_{9.5} \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} \textit{Balanced} \\ \text{RoBERTa}_{full} \\ \text{SetFit}_{exp} \\ \text{LAGONN}_{exp} \end{array}$	$47.1_{4.2} \\ 43.5_{4.2} \\ 42.8_{5.3}$	$52.1_{3.6} \\ 47.1_{4.6} \\ 47.6_{2.9}$	$55.7_{2.6}$ $48.5_{3.9}$ $47.0_{1.7}$	$52.5_{2.9} \\ 48.0_{1.7} \\ 46.2_{2.0}$	73.6 <sub>2.1</sub> 73.8 <sub>4.4</sub> <b>76.0</b> <sub>3.0</sub>	$78.6_{3.9} \\ 69.8_{4.0} \\ 73.4_{2.6}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{82.4}_{1.1} \\ 64.1_{4.6} \\ 72.3_{2.9} \end{array}$	$78.9_{2.2} \\ 69.6_{3.6} \\ 72.5_{3.4}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub> kNN SetFit LAGONN	$\begin{array}{c} 47.1_{4.2} \\ 22.3_{2.3} \\ 43.5_{4.2} \\ 42.8_{5.3} \end{array}$	$52.1_{0.4} \\ 30.2_{2.3} \\ 53.8_{2.2} \\ 54.1_{2.9}$	$53.3_{1.7} \\ 30.9_{1.8} \\ 55.5_{1.6} \\ 56.3_{1.3}$	$51.5_{2.1} \\ 29.5_{2.5} \\ 52.8_{3.5} \\ 53.4_{3.7}$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$76.8_{1.6} \\ 57.9_{3.3} \\ 79.2_{1.9} \\ \textbf{80.1}_{2.0}$	$77.9_{1.0} \\ 58.3_{3.3} \\ 80.1_{1.0} \\ 81.4_{1.1}$	$76.5_{1.3} \\ 56.8_{5.1} \\ 78.6_{1.8} \\ \textbf{79.8}_{1.4}$
Probe LAGONN <sub>cheap</sub>	47.5 <sub>1.6</sub> <b>49.3</b> <sub>2.6</sub>	$52.4_{1.7}$ <b>54.4</b> <sub>1.4</sub>	55.3 <sub>1.1</sub> <b>57.6</b> <sub>0.7</sub>	$52.2_{2.5}$ <b>54.2</b> <sub>2.7</sub>	$\begin{array}{c c} 52.4_{3.4} \\ 48.1_{3.4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 64.7_{2.5} \\ 62.0_{2.0} \end{array}$	$67.5_{0.4}$ $65.3_{0.8}$	$63.4_{4.4}$ $60.5_{5.0}$

Table 4: Average performance (average precision  $\times$  100) on Insincere Questions and Amazon Counterfactual. The first, fifth, and tenth step are followed by the average over all ten steps. The average gives insight into the overall strongest performer by aggregating all steps. We group methods with a comparable number of trainable parameters together. The extreme label distribution results are followed by balanced (see Appendix A.2 for additional results).



Figure 3: Average performance in the imbalanced and balanced regimes relative to comparable methods. We include RoBERTa<sub>*full*</sub> results for reference. The metric is macro-F1 for Hate Speech Offensive, average precision elsewhere.

Method	Time in seconds
Probe	22.9
LAGONN <sub>cheap</sub>	44.2
SetFit	42.9
LAGONN	63.4
SetFit <sub>exp</sub>	207.3
LAGONNexp	238.0
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	446.9

Table 5: Speed comparison between LAGONN and comparable methods. Time includes training on 1,000 examples and inference on 51,000 examples.

of hate speech, toxicity, and fake news. LAGONN exploits semantic similarity and clear boundaries between labels, which we believe is reflected in scenarios with fewer classes, such as quickly filtering out harmful content. 431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

Our results suggest that  $LAGONN_{exp}$  or SetFit<sub>exp</sub>, relatively expensive techniques, can detect harmful content when dealing with imbalanced label distributions, as is common with realistic datasets. This is intuitive from the perspective that less common instances are more difficult to learn and require more effort. An exception would be our examination of Insincere Questions, where



Figure 4: Average performance for all sampling regimes on Toxic Conversations and the moderate and balanced regimes for Amazon Counterfactual and Hate Speech Offensive. More expensive models, such as  $LAGONN_{exp}$ , SetFit<sub>exp</sub>, and RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> perform best when the label distribution is imbalanced. As the distribution becomes more balanced, inexpensive models, such as  $LAGONN_{lite}$ , show similar or improved performance. The metric is macro-F1 for Hate Speech Offensive, average precision elsewhere (see Appendix A.3 for additional results).

LAGONN<sub>cheap</sub> excelled in the extreme and balanced settings. This highlights the fact that we can inexpensively extract pretrained knowledge if PLMs are chosen with care for related tasks.

Standard fine-tuning with SetFit does not help performance on more balanced datasets that are not few-shot. SetFit was developed for few-shot learning, but we have observed that it should not be applied "out of the box" to balanced, non-fewshot data. This can be detrimental to performance, directly affecting our own approach. However, we have observed that LAGONN can stabilize SetFit's predictions and reduce its performance drop. Figures 3 and 4 show that when the label distribution is moderate or balanced (see Table 3), SetFit<sub>exp</sub> plateaus, yet cheaper systems, such as LAGONN, continue to learn. We believe this is due to SetFit's fine-tuning objective, which optimizes an ST using cosine similarity loss to separate examples belonging to different labels in feature space, assuming independence between labels. This may be too strong an assumption as we optimize with more examples, which is counter-intuitive for data-hungry transformers; RoBERTa<sub>full</sub>, optimized with crossentropy loss, generally showed improved performance as we added training data.

When dealing with balanced data, it is sufficient to fine-tune the Sentence Transformer via SetFit with 50 to 100 examples per label, while 150 to 200 instances appear to be sufficient when the training data are moderately balanced. The encoder can then be frozen and all available data embedded to train a classifier. This improves performance and is more efficient than full-model fine-tuning. LAGONN is directly applicable to this case, boosting the performance of SetFit<sub>lite</sub> without introducing trainable parameters. In this setup, all models fine-tuned on Hate Speech Offensive exhibited similar, upward-trending learning curves, but we note the speed of LAGONN relative to RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> or SetFit<sub>exp</sub> (see Figure 4 and Table 5). 475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

504

# 7 Conclusion

We have proposed LAGONN, a simple and inexpensive modification to Sentence Transformer- or SetFit-based text classification. LAGONN does not introduce any trainable parameters or new hyperparameters, but typically improves SetFit's performance. To demonstrate the merit of LAGONN, we examined text classification systems in the context of content moderation under four label distributions on five datasets and with growing training data. To our knowledge, this is the first work to examine SetFit in this way. When the training labels are imbalanced, expensive systems, such as LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> are performant. However, when the distribution is balanced, standard fine-tuning with SetFit can actually hurt model performance. We have therefore proposed an alternative fine-tuning procedure to which LAGONN can be easily utilized, resulting in a powerful, but inexpensive system capable of detecting harmful content.

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

444

445

# 8 Limitations

505

541

542

545

546

547

548

550

551

552

In the current work, we have only considered text data, but social media content can of course consist 508 of text, images, and videos. As LAGONN depends only on an embedding model, an obvious extension 509 to our approach would be examining the modifica-510 tions we suggest, but on multimodal data. This is 511 an interesting direction that we leave for future re-512 search. We have also considered English data, but 513 harmful content can appear in any language. The 514 authors demonstrated that SetFit is performant on 515 multilingual data, the only necessary modification 516 being the underlying pretrained ST. We therefore 517 suspect that LAGONN would behave similarly on 518 non-English data, but this is not something we have 519 tested ourselves. In order to examine our system's 520 521 performance under different label-balance distributions, we restricted ourselves to binary and ternary text classification tasks, and LAGONN therefore remains untested when there are more than three 524 labels. This was an intentional design choice to ex-525 ploit similar examples in cases with fewer classes and clearer label boundaries. This choice, we believe, is reflective of realistic content moderation settings where fewer labels can be used to filter harmful content. We did not study our method when there are fewer than 100 training examples, 532 and investigating LAGONN in a few-shot learning setting is fascinating topic for future study. Finally, 533 534 we note that our system could be misused to detect undesirable content that is not necessarily harmful. For example, a social media website could detect and silence users who complain about the platform. 537 This is not our intended use case, but could result 538 from any classifier, and potential misuse is an unfortunate drawback of all technology.

# **9** Ethics Statement

It is our sincere goal that our work contributes to the social good in multiple ways. We first hope to have furthered research on text classification that can be feasibly applied to combat undesirable content, such as misinformation, on the Internet, which could potentially cause someone harm. To this end, we have tried to describe our approach as accurately as possible and released our code and data, such that our work is transparent and can be easily reproduced and expanded upon. We hope that we have also created a useful but efficient system which reduces the need to expend energy in the form expensive computation. For example, LAGONN does not rely on billion-parameter language models that555demand thousand-dollar GPUs to use. LAGONN556makes use of GPUs no more than SetFit, despite557being more computationally expensive. We have558additionally proposed a simple method to make559SetFit, an already relatively inexpensive method,560even more efficient.561

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

# References

- Neel Alex, Eli Lifland, Lewis Tunstall, Abhishek Thakur, Pegah Maham, C. Jess Riedel, Emmie Hine, Carolyn Ashurst, Paul Sedille, Alexis Carlier, Michael Noetel, and Andreas Stuhlmüller. 2021. RAFT: A real-world few-shot text classification benchmark. In *Thirty-fifth Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems Datasets and Benchmarks Track (Round 2).*
- Valerio Basile, Cristina Bosco, Elisabetta Fersini, Debora Nozza, Viviana Patti, Francisco Manuel Rangel Pardo, Paolo Rosso, and Manuela Sanguinetti. 2019. SemEval-2019 task 5: Multilingual detection of hate speech against immigrants and women in Twitter. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation*, pages 54–63, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Sandhini Agarwal, Ariel Herbert-Voss, Gretchen Krueger, Tom Henighan, Rewon Child, Aditya Ramesh, Daniel Ziegler, Jeffrey Wu, Clemens Winter, Chris Hesse, Mark Chen, Eric Sigler, Mateusz Litwin, Scott Gray, Benjamin Chess, Jack Clark, Christopher Berner, Sam McCandlish, Alec Radford, Ilya Sutskever, and Dario Amodei. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 33, pages 1877–1901. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Lars Buitinck, Gilles Louppe, Mathieu Blondel, Fabian Pedregosa, Andreas Mueller, Olivier Grisel, Vlad Niculae, Peter Prettenhofer, Alexandre Gramfort, Jaques Grobler, Robert Layton, Jake VanderPlas, Arnaud Joly, Brian Holt, and Gaël Varoquaux. 2013. API design for machine learning software: experiences from the scikit-learn project. In *ECML PKDD Workshop: Languages for Data Mining and Machine Learning*, pages 108–122.
- Thomas Davidson, Dana Warmsley, Michael Macy, and Ingmar Weber. 2017. Automated hate speech detection and the problem of offensive language. In *Proceedings of the 11th International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*, ICWSM '17, pages 512–515.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of

721

667

deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), pages 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.

611

612

613

615

618

624

631

633

634 635

639

641

647

654

657

661

662

665

- Ullrich K. H. Ecker, Stephan Lewandowsky, John Cook, Philipp Schmid, Lisa K. Fazio, Nadia Brashier, Panayiota Kendeou, Emily K. Vraga, and Michelle A. Amazeen. 2022. The psychological drivers of misinformation belief and its resistance to correction. *Nature Reviews Psychology*, 1(1):13–29.
- Rabeeh Karimi Mahabadi, Luke Zettlemoyer, James Henderson, Lambert Mathias, Marzieh Saeidi, Veselin Stoyanov, and Majid Yazdani. 2022. Promptfree and efficient few-shot learning with language models. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics* (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 3638–3652, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Gregory Koch, Richard Zemel, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, et al. 2015. Siamese neural networks for one-shot image recognition. In *ICML Deep Learning Workshop*, volume 2, page 0. Lille.
- Haokun Liu, Derek Tam, Mohammed Muqeeth, Jay Mohta, Tenghao Huang, Mohit Bansal, and Colin Raffel.
  2022a. Few-shot parameter-efficient fine-tuning is better and cheaper than in-context learning. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2205.05638.
- Jiachang Liu, Dinghan Shen, Yizhe Zhang, Bill Dolan, Lawrence Carin, and Weizhu Chen. 2022b. What makes good in-context examples for GPT-3? In Proceedings of Deep Learning Inside Out (DeeLIO 2022): The 3rd Workshop on Knowledge Extraction and Integration for Deep Learning Architectures, pages 100–114, Dublin, Ireland and Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2019.
  Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach. arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.11692.
- Christopher D. Manning, Prabhakar Raghavan, and Hinrich Schütze. 2008. *Introduction to Information Retrieval*. Cambridge University Press, USA.
- Todor Markov, Chong Zhang, Sandhini Agarwal, Tyna Eloundou, Teddy Lee, Steven Adler, Angela Jiang, and Lilian Weng. 2022. A holistic approach to undesired content detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.03274*.
- James O'Neill, Polina Rozenshtein, Ryuichi Kiryo, Motoko Kubota, and Danushka Bollegala. 2021. I wish I would have loved this one, but I didn't – a multilingual dataset for counterfactual detection in product review. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on*

*Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 7092–7108, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Christian S. Perone, Roberto Pereira Silveira, and Thomas S. Paula. 2018. Evaluation of sentence embeddings in downstream and linguistic probing tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.06259*.
- Guangyuan Piao. 2021. Scholarly text classification with sentence bert and entity embeddings. In *Trends and Applications in Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 79–87, Cham. Springer International Publishing.
- Nils Reimers and Iryna Gurevych. 2019. Sentence-BERT: Sentence embeddings using Siamese BERTnetworks. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP), pages 3982–3992, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Sarah T. Roberts. 2017. *Content Moderation*, pages 1–4. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Stephen Robertson and Hugo Zaragoza. 2009. The probabilistic relevance framework: Bm25 and beyond. *Found. Trends Inf. Retr.*, 3(4):333–389.
- Timo Schick and Hinrich Schütze. 2021a. Exploiting cloze-questions for few-shot text classification and natural language inference. In *Proceedings of the* 16th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Main Volume, pages 255–269, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Timo Schick and Hinrich Schütze. 2021b. It's not just size that matters: Small language models are also fewshot learners. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference* of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, pages 2339–2352, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Timo Schick and Hinrich Schütze. 2022. True few-shot learning with Prompts—A real-world perspective. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 10:716–731.
- Yusuke Shido, Hsien-Chi Liu, and Keisuke Umezawa. 2022. Textual content moderation in C2C marketplace. In *Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop on e-Commerce and NLP (ECNLP 5)*, pages 58–62, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Kaitao Song, Xu Tan, Tao Qin, Jianfeng Lu, and Tie-Yan Liu. 2020. Mpnet: Masked and permuted pretraining for language understanding. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 33, pages 16857–16867. Curran Associates, Inc.

- 722 723 724 725 726 727 728 729 730 731
- 731 732 733 734 735 736 736 737 738
- 7
- 740 741
- 742 743
- 745

744

746 747 748

748

749

7

754

755 756

757 758

759

761 762

7

7

7

771

772 773 774

7

776 777

- Robyn Speer, Joshua Chin, and Catherine Havasi. 2017. Conceptnet 5.5: An open multilingual graph of general knowledge. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 31(1).
- Lewis Tunstall, Nils Reimers, Unso Eun Seo Jo, Luke Bates, Daniel Korat, Moshe Wasserblat, and Oren Pereg. 2022. Efficient few-shot learning without prompts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.11055*.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Ł ukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 30. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Soroush Vosoughi, Deb Roy, and Sinan Aral. 2018. The spread of true and false news online. *Science*, 359(6380):1146–1151.
- Alex Wang, Yada Pruksachatkun, Nikita Nangia, Amanpreet Singh, Julian Michael, Felix Hill, Omer Levy, and Samuel Bowman. 2019. Superglue: A stickier benchmark for general-purpose language understanding systems. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 32. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Shuohang Wang, Yichong Xu, Yuwei Fang, Yang Liu, Siqi Sun, Ruochen Xu, Chenguang Zhu, and Michael Zeng. 2022. Training data is more valuable than you think: A simple and effective method by retrieving from training data. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 3170–3179, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- William Yang Wang. 2017. "Liar, liar pants on fire": A new benchmark dataset for fake news detection. In Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers), pages 422–426, Vancouver, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Remi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, Joe Davison, Sam Shleifer, Patrick von Platen, Clara Ma, Yacine Jernite, Julien Plu, Canwen Xu, Teven Le Scao, Sylvain Gugger, Mariama Drame, Quentin Lhoest, and Alexander Rush. 2020. Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, pages 38–45, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yichong Xu, Chenguang Zhu, Shuohang Wang, Siqi Sun, Hao Cheng, Xiaodong Liu, Jianfeng Gao, Pengcheng He, Michael Zeng, and Xuedong Huang. 2021. Human parity on commonsenseqa: Augmenting self-attention with external attention. arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.03254, abs/2112.03254.

Meng Ye, Karan Sikka, Katherine Atwell, Sabit Hassan, Ajay Divakaran, and Malihe Alikhani. 2023. Multilingual content moderation: A case study on reddit. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.09618*. 778

779

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

# A Appendix

# A.1 Observations about LAGONN

Here, at the suggestion of an anonymous reviewer, we include a little background on LAGONN. We originally attempted to use Sentence Transformers/SetFit as a retrieval model that would modify input text and then pass this input to a Transformerbased classifier, such as RoBERTa, instead of back into the ST as in LaGoNN. We experimented with different ST retrieval models and Transformer classifiers, but this system was often beaten by baselines, and performant versions were too expensive to justify their use. The failure of this system is what ultimately inspired LAGONN. We had hoped to construct a system that did not need to be updated after step one and could simply perform inference on subsequent steps, an active learning setup. While the performance of this version of LAGONN did not degrade, it also did not appear to learn anything and we found it necessary to update parameters on each step. We additionally tried fine-tuning the embedding model via SetFit first before modifying data, however, this hurt performance in all cases. We include this information for transparency and because we find it interesting.

# A.2 Additional results for initial experiments

Here we provide additional results from our initial experimental setup that, due to space limitations, could not be included in the main text. We note that a version of LAGONN outperforms or has the same performance of all methods, including our upper bound RoBERTa<sub>full</sub>, on 54% of all displayed results, and is the best performer relative to Sentence Transformer-based methods on 72%. This excludes LAGONN<sub>cheap</sub>. This method showed strong performance on the Insincere Questions dataset, but hurts performance in other cases.

In cases when SetFit-based methods do outperform our system, the performances are comparable, usually within a point, yet they can be quite dramatic when LAGONN-based methods are the strongest. Below, we report the mean average precision  $\times 100$  for all methods over five seeds with the standard deviation, except in the case of Hate Speech Offensive, where the evaluation metric is the macro-F1. Each table shows the results for a given dataset and a given label-balance distribution on the first, fifth, and tenth step followed by the average for all ten steps. In the table caption we provide a summary/interpretation of the results for a given setting. The Liar dataset seems to be the most difficult for all methods. This is expected because it likely does not include enough context to determine the truth of a statement.

827

828

829

831

832

833

834

Method Imbalanced	$1^{st}$	$\begin{array}{c} {\bf Amazon \ Counterfactual} \\ 5^{th} \end{array}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	$68.2_{4.5}$	<b>81.0</b> <sub>1.7</sub>	<b>82.2</b> <sub>1.0</sub>	$79.2_{3.9}$
SetFitexp	$72.0_{2.1}$	$78.4_{2.8}$	$78.8_{1.2}$	$78.0_{2.1}$
LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	$74.3_{3.8}$	$80.1_{1.4}$	$79.0_{1.6}$	$79.5_{1.9}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub>	$68.2_{4.5}$	$75.0_{2.2}$	$77.0_{2.4}$	$74.2_{2.6}$
kNN	$51.0_{4.1}$	$60.0_{3.1}$	$61.3_{2.1}$	$59.7_{3.0}$
SetFit	$72.0_{2.1}$	$74.4_{2.3}$	$76.7_{1.8}$	$74.8_{1.4}$
LAGONN	$74.3_{3.8}$	$76.1_{3.6}$	$77.3_{3.2}$	$76.1_{1.0}$
Probe	$46.6_{2.8}$	$60.3_{1.4}$	$64.2_{1.2}$	$59.2_{5.2}$
LAGONN <sub>cheap</sub>	$38.2_{3.2}$	$55.3_{1.8}$	$61.0_{1.2}$	$54.4_{6.7}$

Table 8: LAGONN and LAGONN<sub>*exp*</sub> are the strongest performers on the first step, but are overtaken by RoBERTa<sub>*full*</sub> on later steps. However, the average of all steps shows that LAGONN<sub>*exp*</sub> is the overall strongest performer.

<b>Method</b> Imbalanced	$1^{st}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Insincere-Questions} \\ 5^{th} \end{array}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub> SetFit <sub>exp</sub> LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	$\begin{array}{c} 39.8_{5.5} \\ 43.7_{2.7} \\ \textbf{44.5}_{4.5} \end{array}$	$53.1_{4.6} \\ 52.2_{1.9} \\ 52.7_{2.4}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{55.7}_{1.2} \\ 53.8_{0.9} \\ 55.4_{2.0} \end{array}$	$50.6_{4.4} \\ 51.4_{2.9} \\ \textbf{51.8}_{3.0}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub> kNN SetFit LAGONN	$\begin{array}{c} 39.8_{5.5} \\ 23.9_{2.2} \\ 43.7_{2.7} \\ \textbf{44.5}_{4.5} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 44.1_{3.6} \\ 30.3_{3.0} \\ 47.6_{1.6} \\ 48.1_{2.2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 46.3_{2.4} \\ 31.6_{2.4} \\ 50.1_{2.1} \\ 50.3_{1.7} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 44.0_{2.0}\\ 30.0_{2.1}\\ 47.6_{1.8}\\ 48.1_{1.9}\end{array}$
Probe LAGONN <sub>cheap</sub>	$   \begin{array}{r}     40.4_{4.2} \\     40.8_{4.3}   \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 49.4_{2.3} \\ 51.1_{2.4} \end{array}$	$52.3_{1.7}$ $54.5_{1.4}$	$49.0_{3.3}$ $50.4_{4.0}$

Table 6: LAGONN and LAGONN<sub>*exp*</sub> are the strongest performers on the first step, but are overtaken by RoBERTa<sub>*full*</sub> on later steps. The average of all steps shows that LAGONN<sub>*exp*</sub> is the overall strongest performer, but we note that LAGONN<sub>*cheap*</sub> shows comparable performance to RoBERTa<sub>*full*</sub> despite being much less expensive.

Method		Amazon Counterfactual		
Moderate	$1^{st}$	$5^{th}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	$73.9_{2.5}$	$80.0_{1.0}$	$80.1_{2.3}$	$79.1_{2.1}$
SetFitexp	$76.5_{1.6}$	$77.0_{2.4}$	$74.7_{0.5}$	$76.5_{1.0}$
LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	$\textbf{78.6}_{2.2}$	$78.0_{2.1}$	$76.3_{4.9}$	$78.2_{1.0}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub>	$73.9_{2.5}$	$76.6_{1.4}$	$78.5_{0.7}$	$76.4_{1.7}$
kNN	$54.5_{3.1}$	$64.2_{1.9}$	$66.6_{1.3}$	$64.7_{3.5}$
SetFit	$76.5_{1.6}$	$80.6_{0.5}$	$81.2_{0.3}$	$80.0_{1.4}$
LAGONN	$\textbf{78.6}_{2.2}$	$81.2_{1.4}$	$\pmb{81.6}_{1.1}$	$80.8_{0.9}$
Probe	$52.3_{2.0}$	$64.1_{1.8}$	$67.2_{1.4}$	$63.1_{4.3}$
$LaGONN_{cheap}$	$47.3_{3.4}$	$60.7_{1.5}$	$65.2_{1.4}$	$59.5_{5.2}$

Table 9: LAGONN<sub>*exp*</sub> and LAGONN are the strongest performers on the first step, but LAGONN is strongest classifier on subsequent steps and is also the overall strongest performer based on the average over all steps.

<b>Method</b> Moderate	$1^{st}$		$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	$48.1_{2.3}$	<b>54.7</b> <sub>1.9</sub>	<b>57.5</b> <sub>1.5</sub>	$53.9_{2.9}$
SetFitexp	$48.9_{1.7}$	$53.9_{0.7}$	$54.2_{1.5}$	$52.3_{1.6}$
LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	$\textbf{49.8}_{1.6}$	$52.2_{1.9}$	$53.2_{3.3}$	$52.0_{1.4}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub>	$48.1_{2.3}$	$50.2_{2.2}$	$52.0_{1.4}$	$50.2_{1.4}$
kNN	$28.0_{2.4}$	$33.9_{2.8}$	$33.6_{2.0}$	$33.5_{1.9}$
SetFit	$48.9_{1.7}$	$53.6_{1.9}$	$55.8_{1.7}$	$53.3_{2.2}$
LAGONN	$\textbf{49.8}_{1.6}$	$54.4_{1.3}$	$56.9_{0.5}$	$54.2_{2.2}$
Probe	$45.7_{2.1}$	$52.3_{1.8}$	$54.4_{1.1}$	$51.4_{2.5}$
$LaGONN_{cheap}$	$45.7_{2.2}$	$54.4_{1.6}$	$56.4_{0.6}$	$53.2_{3.2}$

Method		Toxic Conversations		
Extreme	$1^{st}$	$5^{th}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	$7.9_{0.5}$	$21.2_{3.7}$	<b>33.8</b> <sub>5.5</sub>	$21.9_{9.3}$
SetFitexp	$8.8_{1.2}$	$18.1_{3.4}$	$24.7_{4.1}$	$17.6_{5.5}$
LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	$8.9_{1.7}$	$17.4_{6.6}$	$26.4_{5.2}$	$17.9_{6.0}$
RoBERTafreeze	$7.9_{0.5}$	$12.8_{2.4}$	$19.1_{3.2}$	$13.5_{3.5}$
kNN	$7.9_{0.0}$	$8.7_{0.4}$	$8.7_{0.2}$	$8.5_{0.3}$
SetFit	$8.8_{1.2}$	$13.1_{2.5}$	$16.3_{3.0}$	$13.0_{2.6}$
LAGONN	$8.9_{1.7}$	$13.8_{3.9}$	$17.1_{4.8}$	$13.4_{2.6}$
Probe	$13.1_{2.8}$	<b>24.6</b> <sub>2.6</sub>	$30.1_{2.1}$	<b>23.9</b> <sub>5.6</sub>
$LaGONN_{cheap}$	$11.3_{2.2}$	$21.7_{2.7}$	$27.4_{2.3}$	$21.3_{5.3}$

Table 7: LAGONN and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> are the strongest performers on the first step, but are overtaken by RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> on later steps. The average of all steps shows that LAGONN is the overall strongest performer, but we note that LAGONN<sub>cheap</sub> shows comparable performance to RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> despite being much less expensive.

Table 10: Probe is strongest performer on every step, except the  $10^{th}$  where it is overtaken by RoBERTa<sub>full</sub>. If we average over all steps, we see that Probe is the strongest performer. We note, however, that LAGONN and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> outperform SetFit and SetFit<sub>exp</sub> on all steps.

<b>Method</b> Imbalanced	$1^{st}$	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Toxic \ Conversations} \\ 5^{th} \end{array}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	<b>24.1</b> <sub>5.6</sub>	$43.1_{3.4}$	$52.1_{2.5}$	$42.4_{8.2}$
SetFitexp	$21.8_{6.6}$	$44.5_{4.1}$	$51.4_{1.9}$	$42.1_{9.3}$
LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	$22.7_{9.8}$	<b>49.1</b> <sub>5.6</sub>	$53.4_{2.3}$	$45.6_{9.8}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub>	<b>24.1</b> <sub>5.6</sub>	$31.2_{4.4}$	$34.0_{4.0}$	$30.5_{3.1}$
kNN	$11.5_{2.5}$	$14.7_{4.0}$	$15.3_{3.2}$	$14.6_{1.1}$
SetFit	$21.8_{6.6}$	$26.7_{5.3}$	$30.2_{4.0}$	$26.6_{2.7}$
LAGONN	$22.7_{9.8}$	$27.6_{8.9}$	$30.3_{8.7}$	$27.4_{2.4}$
Probe	$23.3_{2.7}$	$33.0_{2.8}$	$37.1_{1.8}$	$32.5_{4.2}$
LAGONN <sub>cheap</sub>	$20.5_{3.2}$	$31.1_{3.2}$	$35.6_{1.8}$	$30.5_{4.6}$

Table 11: RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> and RoBERTa<sub>freeze</sub> are the strongest performers on the first step, but are overtaken by LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> for the subsequent steps. The overall strongest performer based on the average over all steps is LAGONN<sub>exp</sub>.

<b>Method</b> Moderate	$1^{st}$	Toxic Conversations $5^{th}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub> SetFit <sub>exp</sub>	$34.2_{3.4}$ $33.6_{2.9}$	$45.5_{1.9}$ $47.2_{2.2}$	$52.4_{3.3}$ $46.6_{3.3}$	$45.7_{5.6}$ $44.3_{4.3}$
LAGONNexp	$\textbf{36.6}_{4.2}$	$48.2_{2.7}$	<b>49.9</b> <sub>3.7</sub>	$48.0_{4.4}$
$RoBERTa_{freeze}$	$34.2_{3.4}$	$38.4_{2.1}$	$39.5_{1.8}$	$38.0_{1.5}$
kNN	$19.4_{1.9}$	$21.5_{3.4}$	$22.4_{2.9}$	$21.6_{0.8}$
SetFit	$33.6_{2.9}$	$39.2_{2.9}$	$41.6_{2.7}$	$38.6_{2.4}$
LAGONN	$\textbf{36.6}_{4.2}$	$42.7_{3.7}$	$45.0_{3.5}$	$42.0_{2.5}$
Probe	$29.0_{2.7}$	$36.1_{1.2}$	$39.1_{1.5}$	$35.5_{3.3}$
$LaGONN_{cheap}$	$26.1_{2.7}$	$34.3_{1.3}$	$37.5_{1.8}$	$33.6_{3.6}$

Table 12: LAGONN and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> are the strongest performers on the first step and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> remains the strongest for subsequent steps, also being the strongest classifier overall based on the average.

<b>Method</b> Balanced	$1^{st}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Toxic Conversations} \\ 5^{th} \end{array}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	$32.3_{1.1}$	$42.7_{1.8}$	<b>54.1</b> <sub>3.4</sub>	$43.8_{6.3}$
SetFitexp	$35.7_{3.4}$	$32.6_{6.2}$	$37.4_{2.7}$	$36.5_{1.9}$
LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	$\textbf{40.4}_{4.4}$	$40.2_{6.6}$	$39.8_{7.5}$	$40.0_{1.2}$
RoBERTafreeze	$32.3_{1.1}$	$39.2_{1.5}$	$41.0_{0.6}$	$38.5_{2.4}$
kNN	$17.4_{0.8}$	$23.7_{2.6}$	$24.3_{2.7}$	$23.1_{2.0}$
SetFit	$35.7_{3.4}$	$44.5_{2.9}$	$46.1_{2.8}$	$43.6_{2.9}$
LAGONN	$\textbf{40.4}_{4.4}$	<b>46.6</b> <sub>2.7</sub>	$48.1_{2.2}$	$\textbf{46.1}_{2.2}$
Probe	$29.5_{2.4}$	$35.9_{0.9}$	$40.2_{0.9}$	$36.1_{3.5}$
$LaGONN_{cheap}$	$26.8_{2.7}$	$34.5_{1.3}$	$38.5_{0.8}$	$34.4_{3.7}$

Table 13: LAGONN and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> are the strongest performers on the first step. LAGONN remains the strongest until the  $10^{th}$ , where it is overtaken by RoBERTa<sub>full</sub>. Overall, LAGONN is the strongest classifier based on the average. Note the performance of SetFit<sub>exp</sub> and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub>. While both degrade after the first step, LAGONN<sub>exp</sub>'s performance drop is dramatically mitigated.

<b>Method</b> <i>Extreme</i>	$1^{st}$	Hate Speech Offensive $5^{th}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	$30.2_{1.4}$	$43.5_{2.5}$	<b>51.2</b> <sub>2.2</sub>	<b>44.3</b> <sub>7.4</sub>
SetFitexp	$30.3_{0.8}$	<b>44.0</b> <sub>1.3</sub>	$51.1_{2.0}$	$43.8_{6.5}$
LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	$30.3_{0.7}$	$40.7_{2.9}$	$49.1_{4.4}$	$42.2_{6.2}$
RoBERTafreeze	$30.2_{1.4}$	$33.5_{3.1}$	$34.4_{3.4}$	$33.1_{1.4}$
kNN	$31.5_{1.2}$	$35.9_{2.7}$	$37.4_{2.0}$	$35.8_{1.7}$
SetFit	$30.3_{0.8}$	$38.4_{2.5}$	$41.1_{1.5}$	$37.8_{3.3}$
LAGONN	$30.3_{0.7}$	$35.7_{2.6}$	$39.1_{2.4}$	$35.6_{2.7}$
Probe	$29.0_{0.2}$	$34.7_{1.5}$	$40.1_{2.1}$	$35.1_{3.8}$
$LaGONN_{cheap}$	$29.0_{0.1}$	$36.9_{1.8}$	$40.5_{2.1}$	$36.2_{3.7}$

RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> **69.2**<sub>1.8</sub>  $59.7_{\scriptstyle 3.5}$  $\pmb{66.9}_{1.2}$ 66.4<sub>2.7</sub> SetFitexp  $60.7_{1.3}$  $66.3_{1.6}$  $67.5_{0.9}$  $65.9_{2.2}$ LAGONN<sub>ex</sub>  $67.7_{0.9}$ **61.5**<sub>1.7</sub>  $66.4_{1.4}$  $66.1_{1.8}$ RoBERTa freeze  $59.7_{3.5}$  $60.4_{2.7}$  $63.1_{2.3}$  $61.0_{1.3}$  $60.7_{1.3}$  $59.5_{2.5}$ kNN $59.6_{2.8}$  $59.5_{0.5}$  $62.5_{0.7}$ SetFit  $60.7_{1.3}$  $63.4_{1.0}$  $62.3_{1.0}$ LAGONN  $61.5_{1.7}$  $62.8_{1.5}$  $64.2_{1.0}$  $63.0_{0.9}$ Probe  $54.9_{1.4}$  $60.9_{0.4}$  $58.5_{0.9}$  $58.7_{1.7}$ LAGONN<sub>cheap</sub>  $54.2_{2.3}$  $58.6_{0.6}$  $60.6_{0.5}$  $58.5_{1.8}$ 

 $1^{st}$ 

Hate Speech Offensive

 $5^{th}$ 

 $10^{th}$ 

Average

Method

Balanced

Table 14: kNN is the strongest performer on the first step, while SetFit<sub>exp</sub> is on the 5<sup>th</sup>, and RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> is the strongest on the 10<sup>th</sup> while also being strongest overall performer for all steps. LAGONN-based methods are generally beaten by ST/SetFit-based baselines, with the exception of LAGONN<sub>cheap</sub> which consistently outperforms Probe.

Table 17: LAGONN and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> are the strongest performers on the first step, but are overtaken by RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> on later steps, which also is the strongest overall classifier. We note that LAGONN and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> consistently outperform SetFit and SetFit<sub>exp</sub>, respectively.

<b>Method</b> Imbalanced	$1^{st}$	Hate Speech Offensive $5^{th}$	$10^{th}$	Average
$egin{array}{l} { m RoBERTa}_{full} \ { m SetFit}_{exp} \ { m LAGONN}_{exp} \end{array}$	$50.6_{3.0} \\ 54.4_{4.3} \\ \textbf{57.0}_{5.2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 65.2_{3.9} \\ 66.3_{1.8} \\ \textbf{67.0}_{4.4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{70.3}_{1.2} \\ 68.9_{2.0} \\ 69.8_{2.1} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 64.2_{5.3} \\ 64.3_{4.5} \\ \textbf{64.9}_{4.6} \end{array}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub> kNN SetFit LAGONN	$50.6_{3.0} \\ 55.6_{4.8} \\ 54.4_{4.3} \\ \textbf{57.0}_{5.2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 54.1_{1.6} \\ 57.3_{2.3} \\ 57.0_{3.9} \\ 58.2_{4.1} \end{array}$	$55.3_{2.3}$ $58.8_{3.6}$ $58.2_{3.8}$ $58.3_{3.4}$	$54.1_{1.3} \\ 57.4_{1.1} \\ 57.2_{1.1} \\ 58.3_{0.6}$
Probe LAGONN <sub>cheap</sub>	$46.5_{2.2}$ $47.1_{1.3}$	$57.8_{1.7}$ $56.5_{2.2}$	$60.3_{1.2}$ $59.5_{2.5}$	$56.5_{4.5}$ $55.6_{3.8}$

Table 15: LAGONN and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> are the strongest performers on the first step, with LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> being the strongest on the 5<sup>th</sup> and RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> taking over on the 10<sup>th</sup>. LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> is the strongest performer overall based on the average over all steps.

Method		Liar		
Extreme	$1^{st}$	$5^{th}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	<b>32.0</b> <sub>2.7</sub>	<b>34.7</b> <sub>2.9</sub>	$35.1_{4.3}$	$33.7_{1.0}$
SetFit <sub>exp</sub>	$31.2_{3.8}$	$30.4_{3.1}$	$31.8_{2.9}$	$31.5_{0.7}$
LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	$30.6_{4.7}$	$30.3_{2.0}$	$31.3_{2.0}$	$31.1_{0.6}$
$RoBERTa_{freeze}$	$32.0_{2.7}$	$32.8_{4.5}$	$34.2_{5.0}$	$33.2_{0.7}$
kNN	$27.0_{0.5}$	$27.3_{0.8}$	$27.9_{0.8}$	$27.4_{0.3}$
SetFit	$31.2_{3.8}$	$33.7_{5.1}$	$35.7_{5.1}$	$34.3_{1.6}$
LAGONN	$30.6_{4.7}$	$32.0_{4.6}$	$33.7_{5.4}$	$32.6_{0.9}$
Probe	$30.7_{2.0}$	$30.6_{3.9}$	$31.7_{2.9}$	$31.1_{0.4}$
$LAGONN_{cheap}$	$30.7_{2.0}$	$30.5_{3.8}$	$31.4_{2.6}$	$31.0_{0.4}$

Table 18: RoBERTa<sub>freeze</sub> and RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> start out as the strongest performers but are eventually overtaken by SetFit on the  $10^{th}$  step, and SetFit ends up being the strongest performer over all steps based on the average.

<b>Method</b> Moderate	$1^{st}$	Hate Speech Offensive $5^{th}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	61.9 <sub>3.4</sub>	$70.8_{1.0}$	<b>72.5</b> <sub>1.4</sub>	69.9 <sub>3.2</sub>
SetFitexp	$64.3_{4.2}$	$70.6_{2.4}$	$72.4_{0.5}$	$69.8_{2.8}$
$LAGONN_{exp}$	$63.8_{4.9}$	$71.0_{2.1}$	$72.3_{1.0}$	$70.0_{3.0}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub>	$61.9_{3.4}$	$63.2_{4.1}$	$64.1_{4.5}$	$63.2_{0.6}$
kNN	<b>64.3</b> <sub>4.0</sub>	$63.3_{2.9}$	$63.9_{2.5}$	$63.7_{0.4}$
SetFit	<b>64.3</b> <sub>4.2</sub>	$67.3_{3.2}$	$67.6_{2.3}$	$66.9_{1.1}$
LAGONN	$63.8_{4.9}$	$65.0_{5.3}$	$66.7_{5.9}$	$65.3_{0.9}$
Probe	$55.6_{1.7}$	$63.8_{0.8}$	$66.1_{0.3}$	$63.2_{3.0}$
LAGONN <sub>cheap</sub>	$56.0_{3.6}$	$62.2_{1.4}$	$66.0_{0.9}$	$62.3_{2.9}$

Table 16: kNN, SetFit, and SetFit<sub>exp</sub> start the strongest, but are overtaken by LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> on the 5<sup>th</sup> step, which is in turn overtaken by RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> on the 10<sup>th</sup> step. Overall LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> is the strongest performer based on the average.

Method		Liar		
Imbalanced	$1^{st}$	$5^{th}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	$31.4_{3.2}$	$35.8_{2.6}$	<b>40.0</b> <sub>4.3</sub>	$36.2_{2.4}$
SetFit <sub>exp</sub>	$32.3_{4.5}$	$35.9_{3.1}$	$36.4_{2.2}$	$35.2_{1.1}$
$LAGONN_{exp}$	$\textbf{32.3}_{4.6}$	$35.7_{3.4}$	$36.5_{2.3}$	$35.7_{1.4}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub>	$31.4_{3.2}$	$34.1_{2.6}$	$35.6_{3.2}$	$34.0_{1.4}$
kNN	$27.0_{0.2}$	$28.5_{1.0}$	$29.0_{1.0}$	$28.7_{0.7}$
SetFit	$32.3_{4.5}$	$36.5_{3.1}$	$38.5_{3.4}$	$36.3_{2.0}$
LAGONN	$\textbf{32.3}_{4.6}$	$34.9_{2.2}$	$36.9_{2.5}$	$35.3_{1.4}$
Probe	$30.7_{3.0}$	$32.8_{1.8}$	$35.0_{1.6}$	$33.5_{1.5}$
LAGONN <sub>cheap</sub>	$30.4_{3.0}$	$32.9_{1.8}$	$35.4_{1.7}$	$33.5_{1.7}$

Table 19: SetFit, SetFit<sub>exp</sub>, LAGONN, and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> start out as the strongest performers. On the 5<sup>th</sup> step, SetFit is overtaken the other systems, but is eventually overtaken by RoBERTa<sub>full</sub>. Overall SetFit is the strongest system, but we note that LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> outperforms SetFit<sub>exp</sub>.

Method		Liar		
Moderate	$1^{st}$	$5^{th}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	$33.9_{3.1}$	$38.4_{2.7}$	<b>43.9</b> <sub>2.2</sub>	<b>39.5</b> <sub>3.0</sub>
SetFitexp	$33.0_{2.6}$	$37.2_{1.8}$	$38.7_{1.5}$	$37.4_{1.6}$
LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	$\textbf{34.1}_{3.4}$	$\textbf{38.7}_{2.3}$	$39.0_{1.8}$	$37.8_{1.5}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub>	$33.9_{3.1}$	$35.3_{2.6}$	$36.8_{2.2}$	$35.4_{1.0}$
kNN	$29.2_{0.8}$	$29.7_{1.5}$	$30.0_{0.6}$	$29.8_{0.3}$
SetFit	$33.0_{2.6}$	$37.2_{3.9}$	$39.4_{3.5}$	$37.0_{1.8}$
LAGONN	$\textbf{34.1}_{3.4}$	$37.0_{3.1}$	$38.6_{3.0}$	$36.8_{1.3}$
Probe	$31.6_{1.1}$	$34.7_{2.5}$	$37.0_{2.5}$	$34.9_{1.7}$
LAGONN <sub>cheap</sub>	$31.4_{0.9}$	$35.3_{2.3}$	$37.6_{2.0}$	$35.3_{1.9}$

Table 20: LAGONN and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> start out as the strongest performers and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> continues to be strong, until the  $10^{th}$  step where it is overtaken by RoBERTa<sub>full</sub>, which ends up as the most performant classifier over all steps based on the average.

<b>Method</b> Balanced	$1^{st}$	$\frac{\text{Liar}}{5^{th}}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	$33.8_{2.1}$	$\textbf{39.4}_{2.4}$	$43.5_{1.7}$	$40.2_{3.2}$
SetFitexp	$34.4_{2.3}$	$36.7_{1.7}$	$37.0_{1.3}$	$36.5_{1.1}$
LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	$33.8_{1.8}$	$34.2_{2.7}$	$37.2_{1.9}$	$36.2_{1.4}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub>	$33.8_{2.1}$	$36.6_{1.6}$	$38.6_{1.5}$	$36.7_{1.5}$
kNN	$30.1_{0.4}$	$31.3_{2.1}$	$30.6_{1.1}$	$30.9_{0.4}$
SetFit	$34.4_{2.3}$	$38.3_{2.5}$	$40.0_{2.0}$	$37.9_{1.6}$
LAGONN	$33.8_{1.8}$	$38.3_{1.3}$	$40.6_{0.6}$	$38.1_{2.0}$
Probe	$32.1_{1.9}$	$35.2_{1.4}$	$37.2_{2.5}$	$35.2_{1.7}$
$LAGONN_{cheap}$	$31.9_{1.9}$	$36.0_{1.0}$	$37.5_{2.5}$	$35.7_{1.8}$

Table 21: SetFit and SetFit<sub>exp</sub> are the most performant systems on the first step, but are overtaken by RoBERTa<sub>full</sub>, the strongest overall classifier. We note that LAGONN outperforms SetFit after the first step and in aggregate.

### A.3 Additional results for secondary experiments

837

839

841

842

843

844

847

849

851

Here, we provide additional results from our second set of experiments that, due to space limitations, could not be included in the main text. We note that a version of LAGONN outperforms or has the same performance of all methods, including our upper bound RoBERTa<sub>full</sub>, on 60% of all displayed results, and is the best performer relative to Sentence Transformer-based methods on 65%. This excludes LAGONN<sub>cheap</sub>. This method showed strong performance on the Insincere Questions dataset, but hurts performance in other cases.

In cases when SetFit-based methods do outperform our system, the performances are comparable, usually within one point, yet they can be quite different when LAGONN-based methods are the strongest. Below, we report the mean average precision  $\times 100$  for all methods over five seeds with the standard deviation, except in the case of Hate Speech Offensive, where the evaluation metric is the macro-F1. Each table shows the results for a given dataset and a given label-balance distribution on the first, fifth, and tenth step followed by the average for all ten steps. In the table caption we provide a summary/interpretation of the results for a given setting. Liar appears to be the most difficult dataset for all methods. This is expected because it likely does not include enough context to determine the truth of a statement. 852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

<b>Method</b> <i>Extreme</i>	$1^{st}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Insincere Questions} \\ 5^{th} \end{array}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub> SetFit <sub>exp</sub>	$19.9_{8.4}$ $24.1_{6.3}$	$30.9_{7.9}$ $29.2_{6.7}$	$42.0_{7.4}$ $36.7_{7.3}$	$33.5_{6.7}$ $31.7_{3.4}$
SetFit <sub>lite</sub>	<b>30.7</b> <sub>8.9</sub> 24.1 <sub>6.3</sub> <b>30.7</b>	37.6 <sub>6.1</sub> 38.1 <sub>6.3</sub>	39.0 <sub>6.1</sub> 41.1 <sub>6.5</sub>	36.1 <sub>2.3</sub> 35.6 <sub>5.5</sub>
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub> kNN	$   \begin{array}{r}     30.7_{8.9} \\     19.9_{8.4} \\     6.8_{0.4}   \end{array} $	<b>41.8</b> 8.3 34.1 <sub>5.4</sub> 15.9 <sub>3.4</sub>	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{43.4}_{8.5}\\ 37.9_{5.2}\\ 16.9_{4.3}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 39.3_{4.4} \\ 32.5_{5.4} \\ 14.4_{3.0} \end{array}$
SetFit LAGONN	24.1 <sub>6.3</sub> <b>30.7</b> <sub>8.9</sub>	$31.7_{4.9}$ $39.3_{4.9}$	$36.1_{5.4}$ $41.2_{4.7}$	$31.8_{3.6}$ $38.4_{3.0}$
Probe LAGONN <sub>cheap</sub>	$24.3_{8.4} \\ 23.6_{7.8}$	$39.8_{5.6}$ $40.7_{5.9}$	$\begin{array}{c} 44.8_{4.2} \\ 45.3_{4.4} \end{array}$	$38.3_{6.2}$ $38.6_{6.6}$

Table 22: LAGONN, LAGONN<sub>lite</sub>, and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> start out as the strongest models, but LAGONN<sub>lite</sub> remains the most performant by the  $10^{th}$  step. It is also the overall strongest performer based on the average. We note the strength of LAGONN<sub>cheap</sub> relative to far more expensive methods.

<b>Method</b> Imbalanced	$1^{st}$		$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub> SetFit <sub>exp</sub> LAGONN <sub>erp</sub>	$39.8_{5.5}$ $43.7_{2.7}$ $44.5_{4.5}$	$53.1_{4.6}$ $52.2_{1.9}$ $52.7_{2.4}$	$55.7_{1.2}$ $53.8_{0.9}$ $55.4_{2.0}$	$50.6_{4.4}$ $51.4_{2.9}$ $51.8_{3.0}$
SetFit <sub>lite</sub> LAGONN <sub>lite</sub>	43.7 <sub>2.7</sub> 44.5 <sub>4.5</sub>	52.9 <sub>2.6</sub> 53.5 <sub>2.7</sub>	55.8 <sub>1.8</sub> 55.9 <sub>2.4</sub>	52.2 <sub>3.4</sub> 52.6 <sub>3.5</sub>
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub> kNN SetFit LAGONN	$\begin{array}{c} 39.8_{5.5} \\ 23.9_{2.2} \\ 43.7_{2.7} \\ \textbf{44.5}_{4.5} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 44.1_{3.6} \\ 30.3_{3.0} \\ 47.6_{1.6} \\ 48.1_{2.2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 46.3_{2.4}\\ 31.6_{2.4}\\ 50.1_{2.1}\\ 50.3_{1.7}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 44.0_{2.0}\\ 30.0_{2.1}\\ 47.6_{1.8}\\ 48.1_{1.9}\end{array}$
Probe LAGONN <sub>cheap</sub>	$\begin{array}{c} 40.4_{4.2} \\ 40.8_{4.3} \end{array}$	$49.4_{2.3} \\ 51.1_{2.4}$	$52.3_{1.7}$ $54.5_{1.4}$	$\begin{array}{c} 49.0_{3.3} \\ 50.4_{4.0} \end{array}$

Table 23: LAGONN, LAGONN<sub>lite</sub>, and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> start out as the strongest models, but LAGONN<sub>lite</sub> remains the most performant by the  $10^{th}$  step. It is also the overall strongest performer based on the average. We note the strength of LAGONN<sub>cheap</sub> relative to far more expensive methods.

<b>Method</b> Moderate	$1^{st}$		$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	$48.1_{2.3}$	54.71.9	$57.5_{1.5}$	$53.9_{2.9}$
SetFit <sub>exp</sub>	$48.9_{1.7}$	$53.9_{0.7}$	$54.2_{1.5}$	$52.3_{1.6}$
LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	<b>49.8</b> <sub>1.6</sub>	$52.2_{1.9}$	$53.2_{3.3}$	$52.0_{1.4}$
SetFit <sub>lite</sub>	$48.9_{1.7}$	<b>56.5</b> <sub>1.4</sub>	$58.7_{0.6}$	$55.0_{3.5}$
LAGONN <sub>lite</sub>	$49.8_{1.6}$	$56.1_{2.8}$	$58.3_{1.5}$	$54.6_{3.5}$
RoBERTafreeze	$48.1_{2.3}$	$50.2_{2.2}$	$52.0_{1.4}$	$50.2_{1.4}$
kNN	$28.0_{2.4}$	$33.9_{2.8}$	$33.6_{2.0}$	$33.5_{1.9}$
SetFit	$48.9_{1.7}$	$53.6_{1.9}$	$55.8_{1.7}$	$53.3_{2.2}$
LAGONN	$\textbf{49.8}_{1.6}$	$54.4_{1.3}$	$56.9_{0.5}$	$54.2_{2.2}$
Probe	$45.7_{2.1}$	$52.3_{1.8}$	$54.4_{1.1}$	$51.4_{2.5}$
$LAGONN_{cheap}$	$45.7_{\scriptstyle 2.2}$	$54.4_{1.6}$	$56.4_{0.6}$	$53.2_{3.2}$

Table 24: LAGONN, LAGONN<sub>lite</sub>, and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> start out as the strongest models, but SetFit<sub>lite</sub> overtakes the other methods by the 5<sup>th</sup> step and is the strongest performer based on the average. We note the strength of LAGONN<sub>cheap</sub> relative to far more expensive methods.

Method		Amazon Counterfactual		
Imbalanced	$1^{st}$	$5^{th}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	$68.2_{4.5}$	<b>81.0</b> <sub>1.7</sub>	<b>82.2</b> <sub>1.0</sub>	$79.2_{3.9}$
SetFitexp	$72.0_{2.1}$	$78.4_{2.8}$	$78.8_{1.2}$	$78.0_{2.1}$
LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	$74.3_{3.8}$	$80.1_{1.4}$	$79.0_{1.6}$	$79.5_{1.9}$
SetFit <sub>lite</sub>	$72.0_{2.1}$	$79.1_{1.4}$	81.61.3	$79.1_{2.7}$
$LaGONN_{lite}$	$74.3_{3.8}$	$79.2_{1.7}$	$81.9_{1.1}$	$\pmb{80.2}_{2.2}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub>	$68.2_{4.5}$	$75.0_{2.2}$	$77.0_{2.4}$	$74.2_{2.6}$
kNN	$51.0_{4.1}$	$60.0_{3.1}$	$61.3_{2.1}$	$59.7_{3.0}$
SetFit	$72.0_{2.1}$	74.42.3	$76.7_{1.8}$	$74.8_{1.4}$
LAGONN	$74.3_{3.8}$	$76.1_{3.6}$	$77.3_{3.2}$	$76.1_{1.0}$
Probe	$46.6_{2.8}$	$60.3_{1.4}$	$64.2_{1.2}$	$59.2_{5.2}$
$LaGONN_{cheap}$	$38.2_{3.2}$	$55.3_{1.8}$	$61.0_{1.2}$	$54.4_{6.7}$

Table 27: On the first step, LAGONN, LAGONN<sub>*lite*</sub>, and LAGONN<sub>*exp*</sub> start out the strongest but LAGONN<sub>*lite*</sub> performs slightly worse than RoBERTa<sub>*full*</sub> on the 5<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> step. However, LAGONN<sub>*lite*</sub> is the best overall method based on the average.

Method		Insincere Questions		
Balanced	$1^{st}$	$5^{th}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	$47.1_{4.2}$	$52.1_{3.6}$	$55.7_{2.6}$	$52.5_{2.9}$
SetFit <sub>exp</sub>	$43.5_{4.2}$	$47.1_{4.6}$	$48.5_{3.9}$	$48.0_{1.7}$
LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	$42.8_{5.3}$	$47.6_{2.9}$	$47.0_{1.7}$	$46.2_{2.0}$
SetFit <sub>lite</sub>	$43.5_{4.2}$	<b>54.6</b> <sub>2.4</sub>	<b>59.6</b> <sub>0.9</sub>	$53.6_{5.8}$
$LAGONN_{lite}$	$42.8_{5.3}$	$53.5_{3.7}$	$58.6_{2.5}$	$52.2_{6.4}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub>	$47.1_{4.2}$	$52.1_{0.4}$	$53.3_{1.1}$	$51.5_{2.1}$
kNN	$22.3_{2.3}$	$30.2_{2.3}$	$30.9_{1.8}$	$29.5_{2.5}$
SetFit	$43.5_{4.2}$	$53.8_{2.2}$	$55.5_{1.6}$	$52.8_{3.5}$
LAGONN	$42.8_{5.3}$	$54.1_{2.9}$	$56.3_{1.3}$	$53.4_{3.7}$
Probe	$47.5_{1.6}$	$52.4_{1.7}$	$55.3_{1.1}$	$52.2_{2.5}$
LAGONN <sub>cheap</sub>	$49.3_{2.6}$	$54.4_{1.4}$	$57.6_{0.7}$	$54.2_{2.7}$

Method	a of	Amazon Counterfactual	1 oth	
Moderate	130	5	10***	Average
$RoBERTa_{full}$	$73.9_{2.5}$	$80.0_{1.0}$	$80.1_{2.3}$	$79.1_{2.1}$
SetFitexp	$76.5_{1.6}$	$77.0_{2.4}$	$74.7_{0.5}$	$76.5_{1.0}$
$LaGONN_{exp}$	$\textbf{78.6}_{2.2}$	$78.0_{2.1}$	$76.3_{4.9}$	$78.2_{1.0}$
SetFit <sub>lite</sub>	$76.5_{1.6}$	$80.4_{3.8}$	<b>83.5</b> <sub>0.8</sub>	$80.3_{2.8}$
LAGONN <sub>lite</sub>	$\textbf{78.6}_{2.2}$	$80.8_{1.9}$	$83.1_{0.7}$	$81.0_{1.7}$
$RoBERTa_{freeze}$	$73.9_{2.5}$	$76.6_{1.4}$	$78.5_{0.7}$	$76.4_{1.7}$
kNN	$54.5_{3.1}$	$64.2_{1.9}$	$66.6_{1.3}$	$64.7_{3.5}$
SetFit	$76.5_{1.6}$	$80.6_{0.5}$	$81.2_{0.3}$	$80.0_{1.4}$
LAGONN	$\textbf{78.6}_{2.2}$	$81.2_{1.4}$	$81.6_{1.1}$	$80.8_{0.9}$
Probe	$52.3_{2.0}$	$64.1_{1.8}$	$67.2_{1.4}$	$63.1_{4.3}$
$LaGONN_{cheap}$	$47.3_{3.4}$	$60.7_{1.5}$	$65.2_{1.4}$	$59.5_{5.2}$

Table 25: LAGONN<sub>cheap</sub>, starts out as the strongest model, but SetFit<sub>lite</sub> overtakes the other methods on the 5<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> step. Overall LAGONN<sub>cheap</sub> is the strongest model despite being one of the least expensive.

Table 28: On the first step, LAGONN, LAGONN<sub>lite</sub>, and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> start out the strongest. On the 5<sup>th</sup> step, LAGONN is the most performant method while on the 10<sup>th</sup> step it is SetFit<sub>lite</sub>. However, LAGONN<sub>lite</sub> is the best overall method based on the average.

<b>Method</b> <i>Extreme</i>	$1^{st}$	Amazon Counterfactual $5^{th}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	$21.8_{6.6}$	$63.9_{10.2}$	$72.3_{3.0}$	$59.6_{16.8}$
SetFitexp	$22.3_{8.8}$	$64.2_{3.3}$	$68.6_{4.6}$	$56.8_{14.9}$
$LAGONN_{exp}$	$26.1_{17.5}$	$68.4_{4.4}$	$\textbf{74.9}_{2.9}$	<b>63.2</b> <sub>16.7</sub>
SetFit <sub>lite</sub>	$22.3_{8.8}$	$62.4_{5.1}$	$67.5_{5.2}$	$56.5_{14.7}$
LAGONN <sub>lite</sub>	$26.1_{17.5}$	$68.3_{4.3}$	$68.9_{4.3}$	$60.6_{15.1}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub>	$21.8_{6.6}$	$41.0_{12.7}$	$51.3_{10.7}$	40.68.9
kNN	$10.3_{0.2}$	$15.3_{4.2}$	$18.4_{3.7}$	$15.6_{2.4}$
SetFit	$22.3_{8.8}$	$32.4_{11.5}$	$42.3_{8.8}$	$34.5_{5.9}$
LAGONN	$26.1_{17.5}$	$31.1_{19.4}$	$33.0_{19.1}$	$30.9_{2.3}$
Probe	$24.2_{9.0}$	$46.3_{4.4}$	$54.6_{2.0}$	$45.1_{10.3}$
$LaGONN_{cheap}$	$20.1_{6.9}$	$38.3_{4.9}$	$47.8_{3.4}$	$38.2_{9.5}$

Method Amazon Counterfactual  $1^{st}$  $10^{th}$  $5^{th}$ Balanced Average RoBERTa<sub>full</sub>  $73.6_{2.1}$  $78.6_{3.9}$  $82.4_{1.1}$  $78.9_{2.2}$ SetFit<sub>exp</sub> LAGONN<sub>es</sub>  $73.8_{4.4}$  $69.8_{4.0}$  $64.1_{4.6}$  $69.6_{3.6}$ 76.0<sub>3.0</sub>  $73.4_{2.6}$  $72.3_{2.9}$  $72.5_{3.4}$ SetFit<sub>lite</sub>  $73.8_{4.4}$ **80.4**<sub>1.8</sub>  $82.4_{0.8}$  $78.3_{4.3}$ LAGONN<sub>lite</sub> **76.0**<sub>3.0</sub> **82.5**<sub>0.9</sub>  $80.0_{1.3}$  $79.2_{3.2}$ RoBERTa freeze  $76.8_{1.6}$  $73.6_{2.1}$  $77.9_{1.0}$  $76.5_{1.3}$  $41.7_{3.4}$  $57.9_{3.3}$  $58.3_{3.3}$ kNN $56.8_{5.1}$  $79.2_{1.9}$ SetFit  $73.8_{4.4}$  $80.1_{1.0}$  $78.6_{1.8}$ LAGONN 76.0<sub>3.0</sub>  $80.1_{2.0}$  $81.4_{1.1}$  $\textbf{79.8}_{1.4}$  $64.7_{2.5}$ Probe  $52.4_{3.4}$  $67.5_{0.4}$  $63.4_{4.4}$  $60.5_{5.0}$ LAGONN<sub>cheap</sub>  $48.1_{3.4}$  $62.0_{2.0}$  $65.3_{0.8}$ 

Table 26: LAGONN, LAGONN<sub>lite</sub>, and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> are the most performant models on the first step, but only LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> remains the most performant on subsequent steps, also being the strongest overall method based on the average over all steps.

Table 29: On the first step, LAGONN, LAGONN<sub>*lite*</sub>, and LAGONN<sub>*exp*</sub> start out the strongest. On the 5<sup>th</sup> step, SetFit<sub>*lite*</sub> pulls ahead slightly, yet on the 10<sup>th</sup> step LAGONN<sub>*lite*</sub> is the best performer. Overall, LAGONN is the best method based on the average.

<b>Method</b> <i>Extreme</i>	$1^{st}$	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Toxic \ Conversations} \\ 5^{th} \end{array}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	$7.9_{0.5}$	$21.2_{3.7}$	$33.8_{5.5}$	$21.9_{9.3}$
SetFit <sub>exp</sub>	$8.8_{1.2}$	$18.1_{3.4}$	$24.7_{4.1}$	$17.6_{5.5}$
LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	$8.9_{1.7}$	$17.4_{6.6}$	$26.4_{5.2}$	$17.9_{6.0}$
SetFit <sub>lite</sub>	$8.8_{1.2}$	$15.9_{4.8}$	$18.0_{3.9}$	$14.9_{3.2}$
LAGONN <sub>lite</sub>	$8.9_{1.7}$	$16.1_{5.9}$	$19.8_{6.0}$	$15.5_{3.7}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub>	$7.9_{0.5}$	$12.8_{2.4}$	$19.1_{3.2}$	$13.5_{3.5}$
kNN	$7.9_{0.0}$	$8.7_{0.4}$	$8.7_{0.2}$	$8.5_{0.3}$
SetFit	$8.8_{1.2}$	$13.1_{2.5}$	$16.3_{3.0}$	$13.0_{2.6}$
LAGONN	$8.9_{1.7}$	$13.8_{3.9}$	$17.1_{4.8}$	$13.4_{2.6}$
Probe	<b>13.1</b> <sub>2.8</sub>	<b>24.6</b> <sub>2.6</sub>	<b>30.1</b> <sub>2.1</sub>	<b>23.9</b> <sub>5.6</sub>
LAGONN <sub>cheap</sub>	$11.3_{2.2}$	$21.7_{2.7}$	$27.4_{2.3}$	$21.3_{5.3}$

Method **Toxic Conversations**  $5^{th}$  $1^{st}$  $10^{th}$ Balanced Average RoBERTa<sub>full</sub>  $32.3_{1.1}$  $42.7_{1.8}$  $54.1_{3.4}$  $43.8_{6.3}$  $35.7_{\scriptstyle 3.4}$  $32.6_{6.2}$  $37.4_{2.7}$  $36.5_{1.9}$ SetFitexp LAGONNext 39.8<sub>7.5</sub> **40.4**<sub>4.4</sub>  $40.2_{6.6}$  $40.0_{1.2}$ SetFit<sub>lite</sub>  $35.7_{3.4}$  $52.7_{2.5}$  $53.9_{2.2}$  $46.8_{7.8}$ **40.4**<sub>4.4</sub> LAGONN<sub>lite</sub> **52.9**<sub>2.6</sub> 54.0<sub>2.3</sub>  $48.3_{6.4}$ RoBERTa<sub>freeze</sub>  $39.2_{1.5}$  $41.0_{0.6}$  $32.3_{1.1}$  $38.5_{2.4}$  $23.7_{2.6}$ kNN $17.4_{0.8}$  $24.3_{2.7}$  $23.1_{2.0}$  $35.7_{3.4}$  $46.1_{2.8}$  $43.6_{2.9}$ SetFit  $44.5_{2.9}$ **40.4**<sub>4.4</sub> LAGONN  $46.6_{2.7}$  $48.1_{2.2}$  $46.1_{22}$ Probe  $29.5_{2.4}$  $35.9_{0.9}$  $40.2_{0.9}$  $36.1_{3.5}$ LAGONN<sub>cheap</sub>  $26.8_{2.7}$  $34.5_{1.3}$  $38.5_{0.8}$  $34.4_{3.7}$ 

Table 30: Probe is most performant method on all steps and the overall strongest performer. We note, however, that LAGONN-based methods tend to outperform their SetFit-based counterparts.

Table 33: On the first step, LAGONN, LAGONN<sub>lite</sub>, and LAGONN $_{exp}$  start out the strongest, but it is  $LAGONN_{lite}$  that remains performant for all other steps. LAGONN<sub>lite</sub> is also the strongest overall method based on the average.

Hate Speech Offensive

 $5^{th}$ 

 $43.5_{2.5}$ 

 $44.0_{1.3}$ 

 $40.7_{2.9}$ 

 $10^{th}$ 

**51.2**<sub>2.2</sub>

 $51.1_{2.0}$ 

 $49.1_{4.4}$ 

Average

**44.3**<sub>7.4</sub>

 $43.8_{6.5}$ 

 $42.2_{6.2}$ 

Method

Extreme

 $SetFit_{exp}$ 

RoBERTa<sub>full</sub>

LAGONN<sub>exp</sub>

 $1^{st}$ 

 $30.2_{1.4}$ 

 $30.3_{0.8}$ 

 $30.3_{0.7}$ 

Method		Toxic Conversations		
Imbalanced	$1^{st}$	$5^{th}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	<b>24.1</b> <sub>5.6</sub>	$43.1_{3.4}$	$52.1_{2.5}$	$42.4_{8.2}$
SetFit <sub>exp</sub>	$21.8_{6.6}$	$44.5_{4.1}$	$51.4_{1.9}$	$42.1_{9.3}$
LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	$22.7_{9.8}$	$49.1_{5.6}$	$\textbf{53.4}_{2.3}$	$45.6_{9.8}$
SetFit <sub>lite</sub>	$21.8_{6.6}$	$41.4_{4.4}$	$44.8_{3.1}$	$39.0_{7.0}$
$LaGONN_{lite}$	$22.7_{9.8}$	$47.0_{6.3}$	$50.2_{5.4}$	$43.7_{8.6}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub>	$24.1_{5.6}$	$31.2_{4.4}$	$34.0_{4.0}$	$30.5_{3.1}$
kNN	$11.5_{2.5}$	$14.7_{4.0}$	$15.3_{3.2}$	$14.6_{1.1}$
SetFit	$21.8_{6.6}$	$26.7_{5.3}$	$30.2_{4.0}$	$26.6_{2.7}$
LAGONN	$22.7_{9.8}$	$27.6_{8.9}$	$30.3_{8.7}$	$27.4_{2.4}$
Probe	$23.3_{2.7}$	$33.0_{2.8}$	$37.1_{1.8}$	$32.5_{4.2}$
$LAGONN_{cheap}$	$20.5_{3.2}$	$31.1_{3.2}$	$35.6_{1.8}$	$30.5_{4.6}$

SetFit <sub>lite</sub> LAGONN <sub>lite</sub>	$30.3_{0.8}$ $30.3_{0.7}$	$\begin{array}{c} 43.4_{2.5} \\ 40.9_{3.4} \end{array}$	$45.5_{3.4}$ $41.5_{4.8}$	$41.6_{4.6}$ $39.1_{3.6}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub> kNN SetFit LAGONN	$\begin{array}{c} 30.2_{1.4} \\ \textbf{31.5}_{1.2} \\ 30.3_{0.8} \\ 30.3_{0.7} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33.5_{3.1} \\ 35.9_{2.7} \\ 38.4_{2.5} \\ 35.7_{2.6} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 34.4_{3.4} \\ 37.4_{2.0} \\ 41.1_{1.5} \\ 39.1_{2.4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33.1_{1.4} \\ 35.8_{1.7} \\ 37.8_{3.3} \\ 35.6_{2.7} \end{array}$
Probe LAGONN <sub>cheap</sub>	$29.0_{0.2} \\ 29.0_{0.1}$	$\begin{array}{c} 34.7_{1.5} \\ 36.9_{1.8} \end{array}$	$40.1_{2.1}$ $40.5_{2.1}$	$35.1_{3.8}$ $36.2_{3.7}$
Table 34: $kN$	IN is the stro	ongest method	l at firs	t, but

Table 31: RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> and RoBERTa<sub>freeze</sub> start out as the strongest classifiers on the first step, but are overtaken on subsequent steps by LAGONN $_{exp}$ , which ends up as strongest method overall.

is overtaken by SetFit<sub>exp</sub> on the  $5^{th}$  step, which is then overtaken by RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> on the  $10^{th}$  step. RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> is overall most performant system based on the average.

<b>Method</b> Moderate	$1^{st}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Toxic Conversations} \\ 5^{th} \end{array}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	34.2 <sub>3.4</sub>	$45.5_{1.9} \\ 47.2_{2.2} \\ 48.2_{2.7}$	$52.4_{3.3}$	$45.7_{5.6}$
SetFit <sub>exp</sub>	33.6 <sub>2.9</sub>		$46.6_{3.3}$	$44.3_{4.3}$
LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	<b>36.6</b> <sub>4.2</sub>		$49.9_{3.7}$	$48.0_{4.4}$
SetFit <sub>lite</sub>	33.6 <sub>2.9</sub>	52.6 <sub>2.0</sub>	55.1 <sub>1.6</sub>	48.8 <sub>7.3</sub>
LAGONN <sub>lite</sub>	<b>36.6</b> <sub>4.2</sub>	56.1 <sub>1.5</sub>	57.7 <sub>1.4</sub>	<b>52.3</b> <sub>6.8</sub>
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub> kNN SetFit LAGONN	$\begin{array}{c} 34.2_{3.4} \\ 19.4_{1.9} \\ 33.6_{2.9} \\ \textbf{36.6}_{4.2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 38.4_{2.1} \\ 21.5_{3.4} \\ 39.2_{2.9} \\ 42.7_{3.7} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 39.5_{1.8} \\ 22.4_{2.9} \\ 41.6_{2.7} \\ 45.0_{3.5} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 38.0_{1.5} \\ 21.6_{0.8} \\ 38.6_{2.4} \\ 42.0_{2.5} \end{array}$
Probe	$\begin{array}{c} 29.0_{2.7} \\ 26.1_{2.7} \end{array}$	$36.1_{1.2}$	$39.1_{1.5}$	$35.5_{3.3}$
LAGONN <sub>cheap</sub>		$34.3_{1.3}$	$37.5_{1.8}$	$33.6_{3.6}$

Method Hate Speech Offensive  $1^{st}$  $10^{th}$  $5^{th}$ Imbalanced Average  $50.6_{3.0}$ 65.2<sub>3.9</sub> RoBERTa full **70.3**<sub>1.2</sub>  $64.2_{5.3}$  $66.3_{1.8}$  $68.9_{2.0}$ SetFitexp  $54.4_{4.3}$  $64.3_{4.5}$ LAGONN<sub>ext</sub> 57.0<sub>5.2</sub> **67.0**<sub>4.4</sub>  $69.8_{2.1}$ **64.9**<sub>4.6</sub>  $54.4_{4.3}$  $SetFit_{lite}$  $65.5_{3.0}$  $65.9_{3.5}$  $63.5_{3.9}$ LAGONN<sub>lite</sub> 57.0<sub>5.2</sub>  $66.6_{2.6}$  $66.6_{1.9}$  $64.3_{41}$ RoBERTafreeze  $54.1_{1.6}$  $50.6_{3.0}$  $55.3_{2.3}$  $54.1_{1.3}$  $55.6_{4.8}$  $58.8_{3.6}$ kNN $57.3_{2.3}$  $57.4_{1.1}$ SetFit  $54.4_{4.3}$  $57.0_{3.9}$  $58.2_{3.8}$  $57.2_{1.1}$  $58.2_{4.1}$ LAGONN  $58.3_{3.4}$  $\textbf{57.0}_{5.2}$  $58.3_{0.6}$ Probe  $46.5_{2.2}$  $57.8_{1.7}$  $60.3_{1.2}$  $56.5_{4.5}$  $LAGONN_{cheap}$  $55.6_{3.8}$  $47.1_{1.3}$  $56.5_{2.2}$  $59.5_{2.5}$ 

Table 32: On the first step, LAGONN, LAGONN<sub>lite</sub>, and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> start out the strongest, but it is LAGONN<sub>lite</sub> that remains performant for all other steps. LAGONN<sub>lite</sub> is also the strongest overall method based on the average.

Table 35: On the first step, LAGONN, LAGONN<sub>lite</sub>, and LAGONNexp start out the strongest, and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> continues to be performant, but is overtaken on the  $10^{th}$  step by RoBERTa<sub>full</sub>. LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> is the strongest overall method based on the average.

<b>Method</b> Moderate	$1^{st}$	Hate Speech Offensive $5^{th}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	$61.9_{3.4}$	$70.8_{1.0}$	<b>72.5</b> <sub>1.4</sub>	$69.9_{3.2}$
SetFitexp	$64.3_{4.2}$	$70.6_{2.4}$	$72.4_{0.5}$	$69.8_{2.8}$
LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	$63.8_{4.9}$	$71.0_{2.1}$	$72.3_{1.0}$	$70.0_{3.0}$
SetFit <sub>lite</sub>	$64.3_{4.2}$	$70.3_{2.2}$	$71.2_{2.1}$	$69.3_{2.3}$
LAGONN <sub>lite</sub>	$63.8_{4.9}$	$70.7_{1.4}$	$71.4_{1.0}$	$69.4_{2.5}$
RoBERTafreeze	$61.9_{3.4}$	$63.2_{4.1}$	$64.1_{4.5}$	$63.2_{0.6}$
kNN	$64.3_{4.0}$	$63.3_{2.9}$	$63.9_{2.5}$	$63.7_{0.4}$
SetFit	$64.3_{4.2}$	$67.3_{3.2}$	$67.6_{2.3}$	$66.9_{1.1}$
LAGONN	$63.8_{4.9}$	$65.0_{5.3}$	$66.7_{5.9}$	$65.3_{0.9}$
Probe	$55.6_{1.7}$	$63.8_{0.8}$	$66.1_{0.3}$	$63.2_{3.0}$
$LaGONN_{cheap}$	$56.0_{3.6}$	$62.2_{1.4}$	$66.0_{0.9}$	$62.3_{2.9}$

Table 36: Similar to the imbalanced setting, on the first step, LAGONN, LAGONN<sub>lite</sub>, and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> start out the strongest, and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> continues to be performant, but is overtaken on the  $10^{th}$  step by RoBERTa<sub>full</sub>. LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> is the strongest overall method based on the average.

Method	4	Hate Speech Offensive	41	
Balanced	$1^{st}$	$5^{\iota n}$	$10^{\iota n}$	Average
$RoBERTa_{full}$	$59.7_{3.5}$	$66.9_{1.2}$	<b>69.2</b> <sub>1.8</sub>	$66.4_{2.7}$
SetFitexp	$60.7_{1.3}$	$66.3_{1.6}$	$67.5_{0.9}$	$65.9_{2.2}$
$LaGONN_{exp}$	$\boldsymbol{61.5}_{1.7}$	$66.4_{1.4}$	$67.7_{0.9}$	$66.1_{1.8}$
SetFit <sub>lite</sub>	$60.7_{1.3}$	$66.3_{2.0}$	$66.5_{0.9}$	$65.1_{1.7}$
$LaGONN_{lite}$	$\boldsymbol{61.5}_{1.7}$	<b>67.1</b> <sub>1.1</sub>	$67.3_{0.8}$	$66.0_{1.7}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub>	$59.7_{3.5}$	$60.4_{2.7}$	$63.1_{2.3}$	$61.0_{1.3}$
kNN	$60.7_{1.3}$	$59.6_{2.8}$	$59.5_{2.5}$	$59.5_{0.5}$
SetFit	$60.7_{1.3}$	$62.5_{0.7}$	$63.4_{1.0}$	$62.3_{1.0}$
LAGONN	$\boldsymbol{61.5}_{1.7}$	$62.8_{1.5}$	$64.2_{1.0}$	$63.0_{0.9}$
Probe	$54.9_{1.4}$	$58.5_{0.9}$	$60.9_{0.4}$	$58.7_{1.7}$
LAGONN <sub>cheap</sub>	$54.2_{2.3}$	$58.6_{0.6}$	$60.6_{0.5}$	$58.5_{1.8}$

Table 37: Similar to the moderate setting, on the first step, LAGONN, LAGONN<sub>lite</sub>, and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> start out the strongest, but RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> overtakes LAGONN<sub>lite</sub> by the  $10^{th}$  step. RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> slightly outperforms LAGONN<sub>lite</sub> and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> as the overall strongest method based on the average.

<b>Method</b> <i>Extreme</i>	$1^{st}$	$\begin{array}{c} {f Liar} \\ 5^{th} \end{array}$	$10^{th}$	Average
$\frac{1}{\text{RoBERTa}_{full}}$	<b>32.0</b> <sub>2.7</sub>	<b>34.7</b> <sub>2.9</sub>	$35.1_{4.3}$	33.7 <sub>1.0</sub>
	31.2 <sub>3.8</sub>	30.4 <sub>3 1</sub>	$31.8_{2.9}$	31.5 <sub>0.7</sub>
LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	$30.6_{4.7}$	$30.3_{2.0}$	$31.3_{2.0}$	$31.1_{0.6}$
SetFit <sub>lite</sub>	$31.2_{3.8}$	$32.7_{3.8}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33.5_{4.2} \\ 32.4_{2.7} \end{array}$	$32.7_{0.8}$
LAGONN <sub>lite</sub>	$30.6_{4.7}$	$31.8_{3.9}$		$31.6_{0.6}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub> kNN SetFit LAGONN	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{32.0}_{2.7} \\ 27.0_{0.5} \\ 31.2_{3.8} \\ 30.6_{4.7} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 32.8_{4.5} \\ 27.3_{0.8} \\ 33.7_{5.1} \\ 32.0_{4.6} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 34.2_{5.0} \\ 27.9_{0.8} \\ \textbf{35.7}_{5.1} \\ 33.7_{5.4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33.2_{0.7} \\ 27.4_{0.3} \\ \textbf{34.3}_{1.6} \\ 32.6_{0.9} \end{array}$
Probe	$30.7_{2.0}$	$30.6_{3.9}$	$31.7_{2.9}$	$31.1_{0.4}$
LAGONN <sub>cheap</sub>	$30.7_{2.0}$	$30.5_{3.8}$	$31.4_{2.6}$	$31.0_{0.4}$

Table 38: RoBERTa<sub>freeze</sub> and RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> start out performant and RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> continues to be until the  $10^{th}$  step where it is overtaken by SetFit, which ends up being the strongest overall method.

Method		Liar		
Moderate	$1^{st}$	$5^{th}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	$33.9_{3.1}$	$38.4_{2.7}$	<b>43.9</b> <sub>2.2</sub>	<b>39.5</b> <sub>3.0</sub>
SetFit <sub>exp</sub>	$33.0_{2.6}$	$37.2_{1.8}$	$38.7_{1.5}$	$37.4_{1.6}$
LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	$\textbf{34.1}_{3.4}$	$\textbf{38.7}_{2.3}$	$39.0_{1.8}$	$37.8_{1.5}$
SetFit <sub>lite</sub>	$33.0_{2.6}$	$38.5_{1.3}$	$40.4_{2.0}$	$38.2_{2.1}$
LAGONN <sub>lite</sub>	$\textbf{34.1}_{3.4}$	$38.4_{2.0}$	$39.6_{1.5}$	$37.9_{1.6}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub>	$33.9_{3.1}$	$35.3_{2.6}$	$36.8_{2.2}$	$35.4_{1.0}$
kNN	$29.2_{0.8}$	$29.7_{1.5}$	$30.0_{0.6}$	$29.8_{0.3}$
SetFit	$33.0_{2.6}$	$37.2_{3.9}$	$39.4_{3.5}$	$37.0_{1.8}$
LAGONN	$\textbf{34.1}_{3.4}$	$37.0_{3.1}$	$38.6_{3.0}$	$36.8_{1.3}$
Probe	$31.6_{1.1}$	$34.7_{2.5}$	$37.0_{2.5}$	$34.9_{1.7}$
$LAGONN_{cheap}$	$31.4_{0.9}$	$35.3_{2.3}$	$37.6_{2.0}$	$35.3_{1.9}$

Table 40: LAGONN, LAGONN<sub>lite</sub>, and LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> are the most performant classifiers on the first step, while LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> remains strong until the  $10^{th}$  step where it is overtaken by RoBERTa<sub>full</sub>. RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> is the overally strongest method if we aggregate over all steps.

Method		Liar		
Balanced	$1^{st}$	$5^{th}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	$33.8_{2.1}$	$39.4_{2.4}$	$43.5_{1.7}$	$40.2_{3.2}$
SetFit <sub>exp</sub>	$34.4_{2.3}$	$36.7_{1.7}$	$37.0_{1.3}$	$36.5_{1.1}$
LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	$33.8_{1.8}$	$34.2_{2.7}$	$37.2_{1.9}$	$36.2_{1.4}$
SetFit <sub>lite</sub>	$34.4_{2.3}$	$38.7_{2.3}$	$40.3_{2.8}$	$38.0_{2.1}$
LAGONN <sub>lite</sub>	$33.8_{1.8}$	$37.6_{2.0}$	$39.4_{2.8}$	$37.2_{1.9}$
$RoBERTa_{freeze}$	$33.8_{2.1}$	$36.6_{1.6}$	$38.6_{1.5}$	$36.7_{1.5}$
kNN	$30.1_{0.4}$	$31.3_{2.1}$	$30.6_{1.1}$	$30.9_{0.4}$
SetFit	$34.4_{2.3}$	$38.3_{2.5}$	$40.0_{2.0}$	$37.9_{1.6}$
LAGONN	$33.8_{1.8}$	$38.3_{1.3}$	$40.6_{0.6}$	$38.1_{2.0}$
Probe	$32.1_{1.9}$	$35.2_{1.4}$	$37.2_{2.5}$	$35.2_{1.7}$
$LAGONN_{cheap}$	$31.9_{1.9}$	$36.0_{1.0}$	$37.5_{2.5}$	$35.7_{1.8}$

Method		Liar		
Imbalanced	$1^{st}$	$5^{th}$	$10^{th}$	Average
RoBERTa <sub>full</sub>	$31.4_{3.2}$	$35.8_{2.6}$	$\textbf{40.0}_{4.3}$	$36.2_{2.4}$
SetFit <sub>exp</sub>	$32.3_{4.5}$	$35.9_{3.1}$	$36.4_{2.2}$	$35.2_{1.1}$
LAGONN <sub>exp</sub>	$\textbf{32.3}_{4.6}$	$35.7_{3.4}$	$36.5_{2.3}$	$35.7_{1.4}$
SetFit <sub>lite</sub>	$\textbf{32.3}_{4.5}$	$35.6_{2.7}$	$37.4_{2.6}$	$35.8_{1.6}$
LAGONN <sub>lite</sub>	$\textbf{32.3}_{4.6}$	$35.2_{2.4}$	$36.6_{2.7}$	$35.5_{1.3}$
RoBERTa <sub>freeze</sub>	$31.4_{3.2}$	$34.1_{2.6}$	$35.6_{3.2}$	$34.0_{1.4}$
kNN	$27.0_{0.2}$	$28.5_{1.0}$	$29.0_{1.0}$	$28.7_{0.7}$
SetFit	$32.3_{4.5}$	$36.5_{3.1}$	$38.5_{3.4}$	$36.3_{2.0}$
LAGONN	$\textbf{32.3}_{4.6}$	$34.9_{2.2}$	$36.9_{2.5}$	$35.3_{1.4}$
Probe	$30.7_{3.0}$	$32.8_{1.8}$	$35.0_{1.6}$	$33.5_{1.5}$
LAGONN <sub>cheap</sub>	$30.4_{3.0}$	$32.9_{1.8}$	$35.4_{1.7}$	$33.5_{1.7}$

Table 39: LAGONN, LAGONN<sub>lite</sub>, LAGONN<sub>exp</sub>, Set-Fit, SetFit<sub>lite</sub>, and SetFit<sub>exp</sub> start out as the most performant, but SetFit is the strongest on the  $5^{th}$  step and RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> on the  $10^{th}$ . Overall, SetFit is strongest method based on the average over all steps.

Table 41: SetFit, SetFit<sub>lite</sub>, and SetFit<sub>exp</sub> start out the strongest on the first step, but are overtaken by RoBERTa<sub>full</sub> on the 5<sup>th</sup> which remains the most performant on the 10<sup>th</sup> step and if we consider the average over all steps.

### A.4 Ablations

867

870

871

872

874

875

876

878

879

885

890

891

895

900

901

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911 912 In this Appendix section, we perform ablation studies with LAGONN to support our findings in the main text.

#### A.4.1 Ablation: LAGONN configurations

Here, we provide an in-depth comparison between all LAGONN configurations, LABEL, DIST, LABDIST, TEXT, and ALL (see Table 1) for all datasets, balances, and levels of expense. The evaluation metric is the mean average precision ( $\times 100$ ) over five seeds in all cases except for Hate Speech Offensive where the metric is the macro-F1.

Below, Figures 5 through 9 are the results for the LAGONN<sub>cheap</sub> training strategy, Figures 10 through 14 are the results for LAGONN, Figures 15 through 19 are the results for LAGONN<sub>lite</sub>, and Figures 20 through 24 are the results for LAGONN<sub>exp</sub>. We place the figures on a new page for ease of viewing.

In the case of LAGONN<sub>cheap</sub>, if we do not finetune the embedding model we see little variation in the standard deviation bands, with the exception of the LIAR dataset, which seems to be a very difficult dataset. When we do fine-tune, we see a great deal of variation, especially in cases of label imbalance, which is expected as the representations are altered more. The performance of TEXT and ALL is very unstable, often being the worst performers, while sometimes being the best. Interestingly, we note that DIST, LABEL, and LABDIST often show very similar performance. In our opinion. LAB-DIST seems to be the most consistent and stable performer, especially in cases when the embedding model is fine-tuned, LAGONN, LAGONN<sub>lite</sub>, and LAGONNexp.

Overall, we believe that LABDIST is the most performant/stable configuration of LAGONN, and it is about this version that we present results in the main text. We note that we could have presented the best performer for each evaluation scenario, however, this is not in the spirit of our work as it adds yet another hyperparameter to configure, standing in the way of practical usage and convoluting our analysis. However, in our codebase, we hope that we have made it easy for one to change these configurations for their own usage, be it scientific or otherwise.



Figure 5: LAGONN<sub>*cheap*</sub> performance for all configurations and balance regimes on the Insincere Questions dataset. The relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 6: LAGONN<sub>cheap</sub> performance for all configurations and balance regimes on the Amazon Counterfactual dataset. The relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 7: LAGONN<sub>cheap</sub> performance for all configurations and balance regimes on the Toxic Conversations dataset. The relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 8: LAGONN<sub>cheap</sub> performance for all configurations and balance regimes on the Hate Speech Offensive dataset. The relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 9: LAGONN<sub>*cheap*</sub> performance for all configurations and balance regimes on the Liar dataset. The relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 10: LAGONN performance for all configurations and balance regimes on the Insincere Questions dataset. The relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 11: LAGONN performance for all configurations and balance regimes on the Amazon Counterfactual dataset. The relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 12: LAGONN performance for all configurations and balance regimes on the Toxic Conversations dataset. The relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 13: LAGONN performance for all configurations and balance regimes on the Hate Speech Offensive dataset. The relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 14: LAGONN performance for all configurations and balance regimes on the Liar dataset. The relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 15: LAGONN<sub>*lite*</sub> performance for all configurations and balance regimes on the Insincere Questions dataset. The relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 16: LAGONN<sub>*lite*</sub> performance for all configurations and balance regimes on the Amazon Counterfactual dataset. The relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 17: LAGONN<sub>*lite*</sub> performance for all configurations and balance regimes on the Toxic Conversations dataset. The relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 18: LAGONN<sub>*lite*</sub> performance for all configurations and balance regimes on the Hate Speech Offensive dataset. The relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 19: LAGONN<sub>*lite*</sub> performance for all configurations and balance regimes on the Liar dataset. The relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 20: LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> performance for all configurations and balance regimes on the Insincere Questions dataset. The relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 21: LAGONN<sub>*exp*</sub> performance for all configurations and balance regimes on the Amazon Counterfactual dataset. The relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 22: LAGONN<sub>*exp*</sub> performance for all configurations and balance regimes on the Toxic Conversations dataset. The relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 23: LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> performance for all configurations and balance regimes on the Hate Speech Offensive dataset. The relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 24: LAGONN<sub>exp</sub> performance for all configurations and balance regimes on the Liar dataset. The relevant balance is in the title of each panel.

#### A.4.2 Ablation: the effect of encoding distance

913

938

940

941

942

943

945

947

949

951

952

953

Here, at the suggestion of an anonymous reviewer, 914 we present ablation results and analysis of how en-915 coding distance affects LAGONN, because PLMs 916 often struggle to understand numbers. Note that 917 during our development stage, we ensured that our 918 tokenizer was capable of encoding floats with trail-919 ing digits. To examine the effect of trailing digits on LAGONN, we consider the DIST configuration 921 (see Table 1), where we append only the Euclidean 922 distance to the input text. In this ablation, however, 923 we round to different levels of precision. For exam-924 ple, if the distance were a float of 0.123456789, we 925 round it to the nearest whole number, 0.0, single 926 digit float, 0.1, three digit float, 0.123, six digit 927 float, 0.123457, and finally keep it unrounded, that 928 is, the original DIST configuration, 0.123456789. The below results are only for the LAGONN<sub>lite</sub> 930 training strategy. We chose LAGONN<sub>lite</sub> for this 931 ablation because it provides insight into both how 932 distance affects full-model fine-tuning and only refitting the classification head. The results can be 934 seen below in Figures 25 through 29. We place the 935 936 figures on a new page for ease of viewing.

> Interestingly, we tend to observe very similar performance curves for all rounding precisions. The exceptions to this would perhaps be Amazon Counterfactual and Hate Speech Offensive in the balanced regime where DIST and rounding to the third trailing digit respectively exhibit large instability.

Although not always the case, it appears that providing the model with the distance rounded to the nearest whole number tends to result in the strongest and stablest performer, however, we emphasize that in general there does not seem to a dramatic difference between the rounding precisions we considered. Longer digits slightly worsen model performance and the model might learn the most from simpler or abbreviated representations of distance. This finding motivated us to consider the ablation in Appendix A.4.3.



Figure 25: LAGONN<sub>*lite*</sub> performance when considering different rounding precisions for the Euclidean distance before appending it to a modified instance. We consider all balance regimes on the Insincere Questions dataset and the relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 26: LAGONN<sub>*lite*</sub> performance when considering different rounding precisions for the Euclidean distance before appending it to a modified instance. We consider all balance regimes on the Amazon Counterfactual dataset and the relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 27: LAGONN<sub>*lite*</sub> performance when considering different rounding precisions for the Euclidean distance before appending it to a modified instance. We consider all balance regimes on the Toxic Conversations dataset and the relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 28: LAGONN<sub>*lite*</sub> performance when considering different rounding precisions for the Euclidean distance before appending it to a modified instance. We consider all balance regimes on the Hate Speech Offensive dataset and the relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 29: LAGONN<sub>*lite*</sub> performance when considering different rounding precisions for the Euclidean distance before appending it to a modified instance. We consider all balance regimes on the Liar dataset and the relevant balance is in the title of each panel.

# 955 956

957

958

961 962

963

964

966

967

968

969

970

971

973

974

975

976

979

982

985

987

989

991

993

994

995

998

999

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

#### A.4.3 Ablation: support for LABDIST

The results from the ablation in Appendix A.4.2 suggest that rounding the distance to the nearest whole number results in a stronger classifier than appending the unrounded distance. Thus far, we have asserted that LABDIST, where we append both the gold label of the NN and unrounded distance is the most performant version of LAGONN (see Table 1). To demonstrate that this is reasonable, in this ablation study, we compare the original LABDIST configuration against three models, namely the LABEL configuration, distance rounded to near whole number (Whole), and finally a new configuration similar to LABDIST, but where we append the gold label and distance rounded to a whole number, which we refer to as LABROUND. As in Appendix A.4.2, in this ablation we consider only the LAGONN<sub>lite</sub> fine-tuning strategy. We chose for this ablation because it provides insight into both how the different configurations affect full-model fine-tuning and only re-fitting the classification head. The results can be seen below in Figures 30 through 34. We place the figures on a new page for ease of viewing.

> In general, we note very similar performance curves for these four models. In the case of Insincere Questions, appending the distance after rounding it to the nearest whole number (Whole, the red curve), is a strong model, except in the balanced regime where we note large instability. The results for Amazon Counterfactual tell a different story, where rounding the Euclidean distance to the nearest whole number causes large instability and even degrades performance on the fifth step.

> For the other evaluation scenarios, it is unclear what is the strongest method as sometimes LAB-DIST is the best performer and sometimes it is Whole (the red curve). However, we believe that in general LABDIST is the most stable model while also often being the most performant. We therefore choose it as our default LAGONN configuration as a compromise between strength and stability. It is about this configuration which we report results in the main text. Our interpretation of this is that passing the model both a discrete prediction (the gold label of the NN) and a truly continuous measure of similarity (the unrounded Euclidean distance) gives it the most consistent and dependable reasoning ability.

We note, as we did in Appendix A.4.1, that we could have presented the best performer for each

evaluation scenario, however, it is not the goal of<br/>our work to create even more hyperparameters that1006<br/>1007must be iterated over. However, we hope that our<br/>codebase has made it easy for one to change these<br/>configurations for their own purposes.1009<br/>1009



Figure 30: LAGONN<sub>*lite*</sub> performance where we compare the LABDIST against LABEL, LABROUND, and rounding the distance to the nearest whole number. We consider all balance regimes on the Insincere Questions dataset and the relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 31: LAGONN<sub>*lite*</sub> performance where we compare the LABDIST against LABEL, LABROUND, and rounding the distance to the nearest whole number. We consider all balance regimes on the Amazon Counterfactual dataset and the relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 32: LAGONN<sub>*lite*</sub> performance where we compare the LABDIST against LABEL, LABROUND, and rounding the distance to the nearest whole number. We consider all balance regimes on the Toxic Conversations dataset and the relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 33: LAGONN<sub>*lite*</sub> performance where we compare the LABDIST against LABEL, LABROUND, and rounding the distance to the nearest whole number. We consider all balance regimes on the Hate Speech Offensive dataset and the relevant balance is in the title of each panel.



Figure 34: LAGONN<sub>*lite*</sub> performance where we compare the LABDIST against LABEL, LABROUND, and rounding the distance to the nearest whole number. We consider all balance regimes on the Liar dataset and the relevant balance is in the title of each panel.

#### A.5 **Examples of LAGONN modified text**

WARNING: Some of the examples below are of an offensive nature. Please view with caution.

In this section, we provide examples of how LAGONNexp modifies test text from the datasets we studied under the ALL configuration. We choose this configuration because the information it appends from a NN in the training data to a test instance encapsulates all configurations. LAGONNexp was trained under a balanced distribution and five examples per label were chosen randomly on the first, fifth, and tenth step to demonstrate how the same test instance might be decorated with different training examples as the training data grow. We recognize that some the images below are difficult to see and have made the .csv files available with our code and data files. Note 1027 that MPNET's separator token is </s>, not [SEP]. 1028

Net Hold     Odd Label       Over Label     Odd Label       With rapper still relevant and popular todary has the best rhyme scheme?     insincer question 3.859471321105857> What would be a good nickname for Trump, Donald Dumbick, and President Spenkolich?     >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>		
What mages that ledges to be placed to be place	Test Modified	Gold Label
comparison       (a) display d	What rapper still relevant and popular today has the best rhyme schemes? > Sincere question 3.859471321105957> What would be a good nickname for Trump, Donald Dumbck, and President Spankovich? >> valid question 4.12427425845215> What are after class 12 courses in commerce stream to choose	
Which book op vou signet to someone who agt af net me and will help him sty mothwate? /p> valid question 3590583307693312. What are the best nonline courses to learn data science? /v> kincer question 40044472683724 What are the more steps in Career Oriented Glucation?       valid question         void invoid of in concerne who agt af net me and will help him sty mothwate? /p> valid question 3590583275. Why are the lit government and the medial (specially) the BBC and the Guardian) demonstring ordinary 87th people, manipulating buzz words like \$Constringtistic], \$Containance question 430504427555 valid question 3659059352158785835 + how do traited and Paletahinary view Nue? * Network in the concernence of the pice of hondical collenge, splining, himschal Pradesh* //p>        valid question       Scotainary et Multim ground will be bit in the more steps of the pice of hondical collenge, splining, himschal Pradesh* //p>        valid question	from? I have completed my class 12 (expexted 90+) and aim to do business (not aim to do job).	valid question
tow will you feil is someone tails shaly about kunth? <a href="https://www.initegree.gueston3.05080323125">https://www.initegree.gueston3.05080323125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.05080323125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.05080323125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.05080323125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.05080323125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.05080323125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.05080323125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.05080323125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.05080323125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.05080323125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.05080323125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.05080323125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.05080323125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.05080323125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.05080323125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.05080323125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.05080323125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.023125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.023125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.023125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.023125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.023125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.023125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.023125"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.0231255"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.0231255"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.0231255"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.0231255"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.0231255"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.0231255"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.0231255"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.0231255"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.0231255"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.0231255"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.0231255"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.0231255"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.0231255"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.02312555"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.0231255555"&gt;https://www.initegree.gueston3.0231255555555555555555555555555555555555</a>	Which books do you suggest to someone who get a free time and will help him stay motivated? > valid question 3.9509353637695312> What are the best online courses to learn data science? > (so classified and the science? ) and the science?	valid question
Why is equive mYPP interted? (valid question 450533960032712> Can up ub are some of the jics of hostel of India candhin edical college, 3000 mining in the probability is a probability of the interted? is a probability of the probability of the interted? is a probability of t	how will you fet if someone table saday about Kunth (v) - relatincere question 3.5035030330332332323232 will you the UK government and the media glassically be BSC and the Guardian) demonsting ordinary British people, manipulating buzz words like & Koash-uph&RG, & Koracis&C to suppress legitimate outrage and	valid question
tow do he Valeric Steam (sether lacket a solite with or usality during the manufacturing process?  Voin valid question 3 52451256000 (a solita line). The main or user is a solita line of the main or user is a solita line of the main or user is a solita line. The main or user is a solita line of the main or user is a solita line. The main or user is a solita line of the main or user is a solita line. The main or user is a solita line of the main or user is a solita line. The main or user is a solita line of the main or user is a solita line. The main or user is a solita line of the main or user is a solita line. The main or user is a solita line of the main or user is a solita line. The main or user is a solita line of the main or user is a solita line. The main or user is a solita line of the main or user is a solita line. The main or user is a solita line of the main or user is a solita line. The main or user is a solita line of the main or user is a solita line of the main or user is a solita line of the main or user is a solita line of the main or user is a solita line of the main or user is a solita line of the main or user is a solita line of the main or user is a solita line or user is a s	Why is equive HMPP inherited? - (so - valid question 4.056534959027125 - Valid question 4.05674497491 - Valid question 4.05747497491 - Valid question 4.05747491 - Valid question 4.057	valid question
xAnas Gmade really sa meas not bithy sa he seem?           >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	how do he Valen's Extens tables their static achieve their static achiev	valid question
by ou guide how that allens are rain and all those stellars were and up in space work as a sort of fracting device for them to in a few years it will be tool tell for Err/h <sup>2</sup> /s <sup>2</sup> /s <sup>2</sup> ininitance question 3,0005/35/35/3002,0005/36/36/36/36/36/36/36/36/36/36/36/36/36/	Is Ariana Grande really as mean and bitchy as she seems? <insincere 3.572277545928955="" question=""> Why is Alia Bhatt so dumb?  <valid 3.924571990966797="" question=""> Do you agree with Congressman Steve King's comments on immigrant children in detention centers?</valid></insincere>	insincere question
It politally incorrect to ay female privilege, but it is an ore accurate term to say, white female privilege? (Jo: vinicicere questors) 332320090505/21730: Why we the UK government and the media (sepscially the BBC and the Gardian) demonjing ordinary British people, manipulating buzz words like to applicable to righter to applicable to relate them is some transmitter or the some transmit	Do you gos know that likes are real and all hoses stellings we send up in pace work as a sort of tracking device for them is in a few years it will be too like for Earth?	insincere question
h Nobles <sup>477</sup> Day, is it reasonable to reflect there is some truth in the undisionable notion than women are more driven by emotion and men more driven by reason <sup>2</sup> (s/o - valid) usations are some truth in the undisionable notion than women are more driven by emotion and men more driven by reason <sup>2</sup> (s/o - valid) usations are some truth in the undisionable notion than women are more driven by emotion and men more driven by reason <sup>2</sup> (s/o - valid) usations are truth and a second by a return to the undisionable notion than women are more driven by emotion and men more driven by emotion and men more driven by emotion and men more driven by reason <sup>2</sup> (s/o - valid) usations are truth to any estimate truth and a second by a second by a return with Compression Steek (s/or commons on immigration to second by a second b	is t policially incorrect to any finale privilage, but it is a more accurate term to any, what female privilage?	insincere question
"the U.S. president is a role model, is it acceptable for children to say "go fuck yoursel(" and use the word "pusp" to describe women?	On Mothed? Doy, is it reasonable to reflect their is some truth in the unlabinoable notion than women are more driven by reason? (-b) existories requestion 3.69201133722359). Why are the UE government and the media lessocially the BSC and the Guardian) demonsing ordinary thirds begin existent? So will be available and the state of the state	insincere question
uzz words like atœait-rightatiz, atœisiamaphobiaatiz at osuppress legitimate outrage at Muslim grooming gangs < ys <valid 0="" 0923="" 3.84990955="" question=""> Do you agree with Congressman Steve King's comments on immigrant children in detention centers?</valid>	(If the LS president is a role mode), is a sceptible for children to say "go facty vouref" and use the word" "parsy," to describe wormen" (pc/ while reserve question 3.40784003202713-3 Why are the UK government and the media (apscali) the BSC and the Guardina) demoniting ordinary (ritht people, manipulating busy words) is describered viewerk (rights, Testellament Books) and the cardinal port of the people, manipulating busy words) is describered viewerk (rights, Testellament) and the cardinal port of the people, manipulating busy words is describered viewerk (rights, Testellament) and the cardinal port of the people, manipulating busy words) is describered viewerk (rights, Testellament) and the cardinal port of the people, manipulating busy words) is describered viewerk (rights, Testellament) and the cardinal port of the people, manipulating busy words) is describered viewerk (rights, Testellament) and the cardinal port of the people, manipulating busy words) is describered viewerk (rights, Testellament) and the cardinal port of the people, manipulating busy words) is describered viewerk (rights, Testellament) and the cardinal port of the people, manipulating busy words) is describered viewerk (rights, Testellament) and the cardinal port of the people, manipulating busy words) is describered viewerk (rights, Testellament) and the cardinal port of the people, manipulating busy words) is describered viewerk (rights, Testellament) and the cardinal port of the people, manipulating busy words) is describered viewerk (rights, Testellament) and the people viewerk (ri	insincere question

Figure 35: Insincere Questions, step 1.

What apper till relevant and popular loadsy has the best rhyme schemes?	valid question
Which books do you suggest to someone who get a free time and will help him stay motivated? > valid question 3.850256949.1395264> What are the more spice is in career oriented Exustan?	valid question
How will you feel if someone talks badly about Kunt? <valid 3="" 5355563163757324="" question=""> How do I stop feeling bad after a girl had a crush on me?  <insincere 3="" 689171075820923="" question=""> Why Indian girls go crazy about marrying Shri. Rahul Gandhi Ji?</insincere></valid>	valid question
Why is equine HYPP inherited? <insincere 3.6035702228546143="" question=""> Can female animals with male humans sex?  <valid 3.7413032054901123="" question=""> How long do guinea pigs live for?</valid></insincere>	valid question
inco do the Valenes Stevens isather the right guality during the manufacturing process <sup>2</sup> (c) x valid question 2.72/2882270823999 hows are the Lancaster leafter rolofs manufactures?	valid question
is Ariana Grande really as mean and bitchy as she seems?  - sinsincere question 3.3252298831399697> Why is Alia Bhatt so dumb?  - vualid question 3.7413415908813477> How do I stop feeling bad after a girl had a crush on me?	insincere question
Do you gays show that aliens are real and all those satellities we send up in gase work as a sort of tracking device for them on in a few years it will be too late for Earth?	insincere question
is it politically incorrect to as (manip privilege, but it is a more accurate term to say, white female privilege? / l>> <insincere 2.917631217395035="" question=""> How does the privilege of being attractive compare to the privilege of being White in the US<sup>2</sup> </insincere>	insincere question
(on Mother's Day, it reasonable to effect there is some truth in the unfalsonable notion have more driven by emotion and men more driven by eason?	insincere question
If the U.S. president is a nole model, is it acceptable for children to say "go fuck yourself" and use the word "pussy" to describe women? > >  insincere question 3.163693904876709> is it wrong to take your retarded son to a hooker for his 21st birthday?   >  Valid question 3.456286907196045> Do you agree with	insincere question

# Figure 36: Insincere Questions, step 5.

iest woolined	Gold Label
What rapper still relevant and popular today has the best rhyme schemes?  valid question 3.7103171348571777> What is the oldest fashion trends running yet? <insincere 3.871907949447632="" question=""> What would be a good nickname for Trump, Donald Dumbck, and President Spankovich?</insincere>	valid question
Which bools do you suggest to someore who get a free time and will help him stay motivated?	valid question
How will you feel if someone talks badly about Kunti? <insincere 3.4893462657928467="" question=""> Does Tamil Isai Soundarajan support Vijayendra for disrespecting the Tamil Anthem?  <valid 3.5355563163757324="" question=""> How do I stop feeling bad after a girl had a crush on me?</valid></insincere>	valid question
Why is equine HYPP inherited? <valid 3.5067965984344482="" question=""> What disadvantages do animals that don't have bones face?  <insincere 3.6035702228546143="" question=""> Can female animals with male humans sex?</insincere></valid>	valid question
How do be Valets Stevens Leather packets schives their quality during the manufacturing process? -	valid question
Is Arises Grande really as mean and bichy as the server's /pr-valid question 31.8185/772374297-11ke this girl who used to be quite rule and would run through boyfriends very fast. But now that school started again, the seems to have gotten a lot nicer throughout Summer. Is the faking her politeness, and is it worth pursuit girls // - // - schinere question 13.5866/2023/202471-11ke this girl who used to be quite rule and would run through boyfriends very fast. But now that school started again, the seems to have gotten a lot nicer throughout Summer. Is the faking her politeness, and is it worth pursuit girls // - // - schinere question 13.5866/2023/202471-11ke to dum?	insincere question
Do you goys know that allens are real and all those statellines we send up in tackning device for them so in a few years it will be too late of Earth?	insincere question
is it politically incorrect to any finance privilege, but it is a more accurate term to say, white female privilege?	insincere question
On Mother287: Day, is treasonable to reflect their is some truth in the undationable notion than women are more driven by ensoring	insincere question
If the U.S precision is a role model, is acceptable for children to say "go fuck yournet" and use the word" "pussy" to describe women?	insincere question

# Figure 37: Insincere Questions, step 10.

Test Modified	Gold Label
Clings to the wall, desrrt flop around when a bag is pulled out, the mess of bags failing out is gone. <a href="https://www.sci.exe.outerfactual">https://www.sci.exe.outerfactual</a> <a href="https://www.sci.exe.outerfactual">https://wwww.sci.exe.outerfactual</a> <a href="https://www.sci.exe.outerfactual">https://wwww.sci.exe.outerfactual</a> <a appearance<="" href="https:/&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;not-counterfactual&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;Ille these jeans they allow couply without being imporprises when you is or bend over.&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;not-counterfactual&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;He was very professional and wish all transactions I make through Amazon were this good. &lt;/s&gt; &lt;counterfactual 3.4319908618927&gt; I wish I had had him as an instructor at college. &lt;/s&gt; &lt;not-counterfactual 4.054030895233154&gt; I worried that it would be cheap or not fit orwhateverBut WOW!&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;not-counterfactual&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;Well writen with a built (dich tespet. &lt;/-&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;not-counterfactual&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;Doesn't feel like the quality lew's I am used to. &lt;/s&gt; &lt;not-counterfactual 3.2773308753967285&gt; However, the fabric is not that great, it's cheap scratchy cotton. &lt;/s&gt; &lt;counterfactual 3.746659755706787&gt; The blanket is nice and soft but it is white, so if it doesn't light up it isn't much use!&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;not-counterfactual&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;if we had wall study, believe the exclused hardware would have been sufficient.&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;counterfactual&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;if this ever turns into a film, hope they do it, justed (-)2 - oncicounterfactual 3 25131383410645 - The crossover from the characters from ne novel to othera keeps me interested; after al.  . do hate to miss a \Dee-An or Egge(-)" td=""><td>counterfactual</td></a>	counterfactual
flyou dort swart a prominent togisph this rack is too large for most bed or lings room, it is wider and taller than my tall floophill wardche style degrees which was the largest piece in the room until this shee rack.	counterfactual
wish   could have seen all of the places he recommends! -counterfactual 3.5627076625823975>   wish   had had him as an instructor at college, -contectual 4.141319397042236>   worried that it would be cheap or not fit orwhateverBut WOW	counterfactual
vish1 could replace juit that range there's nothing wrong with the rest of the hose assembly. > <counterfactual 3.6637372093200684-=""> i with the storage compartment was a little bigger and opened up instead of aliding on and off.</counterfactual>	t counterfactual

# Figure 38: Amazon Counterfactual, step 1.

lest Modified	Gold Label
Clings to the will, descriftion around when a bage topilied out, the mess of bage fulfing out is gone,	not-counterfactual
Ille these jeans they allow ough without being imporprises when you it or bend over. (>> counterfactual 2560538310531-"Bit odd) enough, the bettoms are a little too loose in the waist (37) and could have used another inch or two in the inseam (1 normally take a 55\"" or 36\"" in jeans, depending on the hand # fit holes (37) and could have used another inch or two in the inseam (1 normally take a 55\"" or 36\"" in jeans, depending on the hand # fit holes (37) and could have used another inch or two in the inseam (1 normally take a 55\"" or 36\"" in jeans, depending on the hand # fit holes (37) and could have used another inch or two in the inseam (1 normally take a 55\"" or 36\"" in jeans, depending on the hand # fit holes (37) and could have used another inch or two in the inseam (1 normally take a 55\"" or 36\"" in jeans, depending on the hand # fit holes (37) and could have used another inch or two in the inseam (1 normally take a 55\"" or 36\"" in jeans, depending on the hand # fit holes (37) and could have used another inch or two in the inseam (1 normally take a 55\"" or 36\"" in jeans, depending on the hand # fit holes that into a the the too the part of the hand # fit holes that in the into the hand the too the hand # fit holes that into a the the too the hand # fit holes that into a the the too the hand # fit holes that into a the hand too the hand # fit holes that into a the hand too the hand # fit holes that into a the hand too the hand # fit holes that into a the hand too the hand # fit holes that into a the hand too the hand # fit holes that the hand to the hand # fit holes that into a the hand too the hand # fit holes that hand # f	not-counterfactual
He was every professional and what ill ensuctions in make through Amazon were this good(p- ro-docounterfactual 3.2555893-Tim Law gestear was just what the doctor ordered and i couldn't be more pleased(p- scounterfactual 3.458436054229735-Had the person handling the shipping of this item been at all concerned with the use of the product at the end of the mailing processing and what here the to be provided and the product at the end of the mailing processing and the shipping of this item been at all couldn't be more pleased(p- scounterfactual 3.458436054229735-Had the person handling the shipping of this item been at all concerned with the use of the product at the end of the mailing processing and the person handling the shipping of	not-counterfactual
Well written with a twist I didn't expect. > <pre></pre>	not-counterfactual
Deens if sell lie the quality livel i an used to -(p)counterfactual 273897488468. White the same great comforcible & Bittering features plus the great denim texture that Lee has perfected-smoothing and stretchy without the accessive cling-but i think it must have been designed for people who have a greater surgice object your that in a consourcenterfaul 23289728984688. White the two many stretchy without the accessive cling-but i think it must have been designed for people who have a greater surgice object your that in a consourcenterfaul 23289728984688. White the same great constraints and accessive cling-but i think it must have been designed for people who have a greater surgice object your that in a consourcenterfaul 23289728984688. White the same great constraints and accessive cling-but i think it must have been designed for people who have a greater surgice object your that in a constraint and accessive cling-but i think it must have been designed for people who have a greater surgice object your that in a constraint and accessive cling-but i think it must have been designed for people who have a greater surgice object your that constraints and accessive cling-but i think it must have been designed for people who have a greater surgice object your that constraints and accessive cling-but i think it must have been designed for people who have a greater surgice object your that constraints and accessive cling-but it have been designed for people who have a greater surgice object your that constraints and accessive surgice object your that constraints and	not-counterfactual
If we had wall study, believe the enclosed hardware would have been sufficient, control work for the study to be wall.	counterfactual
If this ever turns into a film, I hope they do it justicel <not-counterfactual 2.671574354171753=""> I read this book because of the motion picture that is coming out soon.  <counterfactual 3.1458709239959717=""> Was a good story, though there could have been more to it.</counterfactual></not-counterfactual>	counterfactual
If you don't want a prominent display this rack is too large for most bed or living rooms, it is wider and taller than my tall Broyhill wardrobe style dresser which was the largest piece in the room until this shoe rack.	
three studs instead of two because my TV is quite heavy and I would have had a hard time centering it on my wall if I didn't have the wide hanging rail that this one has. <not-counterfactual 2.873617172241211=""> Good for under the bed shoe storage, IF the wife wants to use it.</not-counterfactual>	counterfactual
wish   could have seen all of the places he recommends  <counterfactual 2.799947738647461="">   wish   had had him as an instructor at college.  <not-counterfactual 3.3013432025909424=""> And as the ole man isn't any version of slender it was good that he got to try on some shirts before hand.</not-counterfactual></counterfactual>	counterfactual
livish could reglace just that rand taugd piece, since there's nothing wrong with the rest of the hose assembly (c/s - counterfactual 252222722855 The only thing in world have like (r is to have a hole in the middle so (can put the stopper in without removing the mat(s - stop-counterfactual 252222722855 The only thing) in the livish (middle so (can put the stopper in without removing the mat(s - stop-counterfactual 25222272855 The only thing) in that is stopped asset. In this is stopped asset. In the stopped asset is stopped asset.	counterfactual

Figure 39: Amazon Counterfactual, step 5.

Test Modified	Gold Label
Clings to the well, dearshifting around when a bag to pulsed out, the mess of bags failing out is gone, c/p>-rotoconterfactual 31510009885053>- 41 had to come up with anything negative, i vould says that the additionant double's come of the well double's commentative and a set of the s	not-counterfactua
like these jeans they sit low enough without being inappropriate when you sit or bend over. <not-counterfactual 2.447404623031616=""> These shorts fit really well and look good too.  <counterfactual 2.550638198852539=""> The top fits great just wish the bottoms fit too.</counterfactual></not-counterfactual>	not-counterfactua
He was very professional and winh all transactions in mile through Amazon were this good.  -voncounterfactual 3.3897111415653337- But the author alleviated my concerns guickly winh fare well-times comment about how its was the man could be available in the author alleviated my concerns guickly winh fare well-times comment about how the set well-time targement was something inclusional.	not-counterfactua
Well written with a twist field in bapect.	not-counterfactua
Doesn't feel like the quality levi's I am used to. <counterfactual 2.5612902641296387=""> I was hoping the pants would be thicker but being that it's not too expensive it's understandable.  <not-counterfactual 2.572395086288452=""> But it doesn't have a lining like the last couple models I bought.</not-counterfactual></counterfactual>	not-counterfactua
five had will study, believe the enclosed hardware would have been sufficient.	counterfactual
If this ever turns into a film, hope they do II justed (-/onto-counterfictual 2613545412733-) read this book because of the motion picture that is coming out soon. - -counterfictual 3.141676187315359: With this story would have been longer and turned into a book, with some gut wenching action, loo-(no-(no-(no-(no-(no-(no-(no-(no-(no-(	counterfactual
flyou don't want a prominent display this not, is too large for most bed or linning rooms, it is water and taller than my all floophill wardoles single experise in the room with this shoe rack. (-jor - Counterfactual 27357884489384e) Tought this mount because in the three truds inteled or the active on three t	counterfactual
1 wish 1 could have seen all of the places he recommends 1 <counterfactual 2.7999041080474854="">1 wish 1 had had him as an instructor at college. <not-counterfactual 3.2604622840881348="">1 wanted to order him a few afordable hats 1 wouldn't mind him loosing.</not-counterfactual></counterfactual>	counterfactual
1 with could replace just that small studie jakes, since there's nothing wrong with the rest of the hose assembly. <	counterfactual

Figure 40: Amazon Counterfactual, step 10.

Test Modified	Gold Label
Nonos demand that you accest their fudge packing. But none of us new with	not toxic
Idon't thin anyone likes this hearb Lit, It shifts for everyone. Styvess and older are going to get hammered with higher premium, People with prevising conditions will also see their premiums go though the root Eventually, no one will be able to afford it. They's still not addressing the reason and the solution. Precision drugs. Why are Annexican saying 2 to Them higher for premiums. People with the not play muscle in that and the not play muscle in the rate with a data built of an afford that a fact check at the end. It's worth watching. http://www.thedemerchannet.com/rews/politics/arm-ad-susy-house-po-health-care-bill-would boot-insurance-retes-for-older-annexies the start bat and anti-Mullim is pretty uncvil. doos intellectually bandwide. doos intellectually bandwide.	not toxic
Rather than call you a link, TII just post a link to HART's helicopter footage of this "most densely populated" ag land.	
https://www.youtube.com/webch?vsopi2RWWVOdw	
Ind of you're been following a little closer, you'd know about the lucury towers and boatque hosts that developers are planning on fulfilling there's host of the city interest of actual affordable TOD.	not toxic
(act the "real news" from Breitstar and Drudge Report? Abahahahala (ac you for real"	not toxic
Word low to see one of those American Boats with all the planes on it at the boatmon of the sea. (yor you take the southal / you take the	not toxic
WHT, nonhare in scripture does it any that Blacketh was a kid when married to backnrish and nonkner does it say that May was a child when married to boseph. That's a complete and uter fabrication. In fact, it says in Luke 1.42, 'And the spake out with a loud voice, and said, Biesed at thou among women, and biesed is the fruit of thy wonth." It says biesed at thou among WOHEN. > >	toxic
Angels Markel and all other European political leaders who have aided and abetted the ongoing invasion of Europe by the forces of the crescent moon deeth cuit should be tried as accessories to Mr. Urban's murder.	
Also intellicitually landrug(-p- cnot toxic 3.891642828814348-YE shaws) important to remember what can happen when you have socialized as a data and also have compliant followers. Some of the younger posters on this site might want to Google "Jim Jones and Jonestow" There were no "Checks and balances" Thorewere no in North Nores and I can only hope toxic in our county are introding. Cary Count	toxic
Thope you don't have kids if you see this woman's actions as acceptable. And Tapplaud the den for kicking the kid out. She brought unwanted negative attention upon them. However, she will, and is already likely, pay the the price for her stupid stunt.	toxic
no ore care what put of least he top tables to least	toxic
Oc & Jay NDP * 15.87* manifest page, where is such have halo NU blin! Her famming advantion of Ohivez and Venezuelan thuggery knows no bounds. I'm sure the's ann/July hysterical over the thought that such a pathetic diction/pip could ever be sentitioned.  (> v fault 2 advantable to be advantable.	toxic

Figure 41: Toxic Conversations, step 1.



Figure 42: Toxic Conversations, step 5.

Test Modified	Gold Label
Transmo streamed that you accept they for fore packing. But none of us ever with - Ko- stord 3 1333132384370312> 50 you addret you would esterminate inferior humans. (No stord toold 3 238428514405912> Mark Naccinnon and the interests he work for would like us to get used to IT, because they don't went to do a annihing particular too tool.	not taxic
(and this is what is w	e not taxic
Rather than call you a list. "If just post a link to HART's helicopter footage of this "most densely populated" as land.	
http://www.youhube.com/wetch?voogstRt/www.com/	
Led I ployba have filtering a lites going to go thous about the humy there and bollowing have and planning a lites going and the second	not taxic
late the "time of the bestear and bogs Report Submarkania and up to the "time" (but calculated sectors "time" one state and thin times is the mess is approved the parameter of parameters and the state of times and times and the state of times and titers and times and times and titers	
Dary world missian that make your an approximation to Tomore and International Networks that appendix that and the second second resonance on the second	not toxic
Leg / pup / manufer / grant grant and grant an	not toxic
In a secon ingree risk interviewed and the second s	100 0000
based to the of hypoth "tags based at the unrequired with one other (1998) (b) not the (1998) (b) and there are a provided to be an original of the specific o	
By the logic of your second paragraph, there can neve be a mortally shift assust act, since see acts by definition occur in a state of passion. Which begs the question, why in this case would the Scriptures go through the trouble of conterming serval immossity? This sounds like something your example of a rapist priet could set to interfer to any its immediate growmution in a state of mortal is a something your example of a rapist priet could set to interfer to any its immediate growmution.	toxic
Largels Merks and all other brogens polifical lesters who have adde and abates the coupling insuison of brogens, the forces of the crussent monor detain to have be and to have be added and abates the coupling insuison of the crussent monor detain to have be added and the crussent monor detain to have be added and abates the crussent monor detain to have be added and abates the crussent monor detain to have be added and abates the crussent monor detain to have be added and abates the crussent monor detain to have be added and abates the crussent monor detain to have be added and abates the crussent monor detain to have be added and abates the crussent monor detain to have be added and abates the crussent monor detain to have be added and abates the crussent monor detain to have be added and abates the crussent monor detain to have be added and abates the crussent monor detain to have be added and abates the crussent monor detain to have be added and abates the crussent monor detain to have be added and abates the crussent monor detain to have be added and abates the crussent monor detain the have be added and abates the crussent monor detain to have be added and abates the crussent monor detain the have been detained and and and and and and and and and an	
Thus the Euro-scalalizebureaucrats pick the low-hanging fruit with Higlous persecution of American firms which dominate because unlike their pathetic Euro-scompettors, the U.S. firms are cleve; hard-working, and well-capitalized.	
If the the Europeans wish to engage in this transparent financial inquisition, then the US should respond with counter lifeption for Strillions against compt scoffback like WI (think deel fiddel) as well as UBS(Credit Suisse/HSB/Credit Suisse/H	
If the lay, corrupt, incompetent Euror want to play with fire, then let them be financially incinented () 40 - 400 tool (> 22379660608842b Rome should near have made such inner provoursments at Twen in their attempts to define the subtance of holy Eucharist. Not reasonable people understand that perfectly well. That Rome also made their pronouncements (bith and monits) infallible is equivalent to grant to play to a subtained to a subtained of the subtance of holy Eucharist. Not reasonable people understand that perfectly well. That Rome also made their pronouncements (bith and monits) infallible is equivalent to play to a subtained to a subt	
Sincere question for you: if sesus and his followers celebrated zucharist as a communal meal seated around a table, what gives Rome the right to alter this simple act of worship (perhaps "fellowship") is a better word-more suited toward love of God and neighbor), given to us by the Lord himsel?	toxic
Integration point have liked if you be this investment actions as accessful and applied the left of code 24 be togoing throwand expertise asterior upon them. Investee, they like grate of the heips for the registration to conscil. 2017;2012;2017;2013;2014;2014;2014;2014;2014;2014;2014;2014	taxic
no ence serve what a gaid liberal tolling fact like youblewes lunds;, (c): what is a strate and the serve when a tool gets on, they like their own comments and simply assert everyone else is wrong. Never any evidences to rebut it just blind assertions; //as-rhost toxic 1310234378144400b-Ouch	toxic
(b) ally well well have been been been been been been been be	
And as for my post being "speculation" - which part - that the Liberals are the party in power, or that this involves money?	
as for me not knowing what is going on, you are correct. I am not a member of the Liberal party insider clique, as you apparently are.	toxic



# Internet Specified <th



Test Modified	Gold Label
Afforme says in labor negotiations with city employees, Milwaukee Mayor Tom Barrett demanded concessions that went beyond those mandated by Gox Scott Walkers collective bargaining law (Jor a letter to members	true statement
Riol Scott syst All Aboard Florids is 100 percent private venture. There is no table money involved, (*) = 3 TU interview (*) < strue statement 3.0582/42595432854>- Charlie Crist says All Aboard Florids is receiving millions in Florida tapayer dollars. (*) = a fundaising email (*)> + failse statement 3.0582/42595432854>- Charlie Crist says All Aboard Florids is receiving millions in Florida tapayer dollars. (*) = a fundaising email (*)> + failse statement 3.0582/42595432854>- Charlie Crist says All Aboard Florids is receiving millions in Florida tapayer dollars. (*) = a fundaising email (*)> + failse statement 3.0582/42595432854>- Charlie Crist says All Aboard Florids is receiving millions in Florida tapayer dollars. (*) = a fundaising email (*)> + failse statement 3.0582/4259442854>- Charlie Crist says All Aboard Florids is receiving millions in Florida tapayer dollars. (*) = a fundaising email (*)> + failse statement 3.0582/4259432854>- Charlie Crist says All Aboard Florids is receiving millions in Florida tapayer dollars. (*) = a fundaising email (*)> + fundaising em	true statement
Jule Pace says The Obama administration is using as to legal justification for these airstrikes (on the lobanic State), an autoincation for military force that the president himself has called for repeat of	true statement
John Kasich says We ser now eighth in the nation in jo creation we are No. 1 in the Midwest. (-):> A news conference (-):> risks statement 2.16(3)(30)(30)(30)(30)(30)(30)(30)(30)(30)(	true statement
Mile Perces says it was Hillary Clinton who left Americans in harms way in Benghari and after four Americans fiel and, What afference at this point does it make? 4/2> the Republican national convention 4/2> +-true statement 2.357307761297455> Hillary Clinton says What terrorists tilled more than 250 Americans in Laboran under Roman Regards, the Democratic and the material statement 2.35730776129745> +-Ellary Clinton says Plane terrorists tilled more than 250 Americans in Laboran under Roman Regards, the Democratic and the material statement 2.35730776129745> +-Ellary Clinton says Plane terrorists tilled more than 250 Americans in Laboran under Roman Regards, the Democratic and the material statement 2.35730776129745> +-Ellary Clinton says Plane terrorists tilled more than 250 Americans in Laboran under Roman Regards, the Democratic and the statement 2.3573077612974> Facebook Roman Says Plane (Linton says Plane) +-Ellary Clinton says Plane terrorists tilled more than 250 Americans in Laboran under Roman Regards, the Democratic and the Internet 2.3573077612974> Facebook Roman Regards, the Democratic and the Laboran Laboran Roman Regards, the Democratic and the Internet 2.3573077612974> Facebook Roman Regards, the Democratic and the Roman Regards, th	true statement
Band hail says Of the roughly 15 percent of Americans who don't have health insurance, half of them made more than 550000 a yea; c/p an interview on Oneby Central ""The Daily Don" 'c/p - vinterent 2335505285112-ice lides asps Among the morey sport to health care in the United States, "de entits on early of large print it through Medicare and Medical" 'f point large interview on NOE' Net the Test's control of the Daily States' and the control of the Notice and Medical "the Daily States' and the control of the Daily States' and the control of the Notice States and the Control of the Daily States' and the control of the Control of the Daily States' and the control of the Notice States and the Control of the Daily States' and the Control of the Control of the Daily States' and the Control of the Control of the Daily States' and the Control of the Contro	false statement
Bank: Obama says Stimulus tax cuts "began showing out paychecks of 4.8 million indiana househoods about three months ago." (r/p = speech in visitorial statement 2.8005352353355 > Paul Broux says Stimulus more; funded a government board that made recommendations that would cost 37000 pilos and 325 billion insists (r/s) = batect(r) + or test statement 2.8053535353535 > Paul Broux says Stimulus more; funded a government board that made recommendations that would cost 37000 pilos and 325 billion insists (r/s) = batect(r) + or test statement 2.8053535353535 > Paul Broux says Stimulus more; funded a government board that made recommendations that would cost 37000 pilos and 325 billion insists (r/s) = batect(r) + or test statement 2.8053535353535 > billion insists (r/s) = batect(r) + or test statement 2.8053535353535 > billion insists (r/s) = batect(r) + or test statement 2.8053535353535 > billion insists (r/s) = batect(r) + or test statement 2.8053535353535 > billion insists (r/s) = batect(r) + or test statement 2.8053535355 > ball Billion insists (r/s) = batect(r) + or test statement 2.8053535535 > ball Billion insists (r/s) = batect(r) + or test statement 2.8053535355 > ball Billion insists (r/s) = batect(r) + or test statement 2.80535355355 > ball Billion insists (r/s) = batect(r) + or test statement 2.80535355 > ball Billion insists (r/s) = batect(r) + or test statement 2.80535355 > ball Billion insists (r/s) = batect(r) + or test statement 2.8053555 > batect(r) + or test statement 2.80535555 > batect(r) + or test statement 2.80535555 > batect(r) + or test statement 2.80535555 > batect(r) + or test statement 2.805355555 > batect(r) + or test statement 2.8053555555 > batect(r) + or test statement 2.805355555555555555555555555555	false statement
Allen West says if you look at the application for a security clearance, I have a clearance that even the president of the United States cannot obtain because of my background. > chandidate forum > chaise statement 3.05043268722583-> Ted Cruz says One of the most troubling aspects of the Rubio-Schumer Gang of Eight till was that it gave President Obama blanket authority to asimi refugges, including strateges, without mandehing any background checks whatsoever. > she publican presidential debate in Las Vegas > chore statement 3.19612956047058> David Shuster says Said former U.S. Ambassador to Kanya Sch Grathon was incred to regist my vosars aspecause of this personal use of emails. /> half increments.	false statement
Bernis Says We now work the longest hours of any people around the work (	false statement
Sanh Phila say Donald Turung scoreerion to pro-thé beliefs are aikin to Justin Bibers, who and in the past that abortion was no big deat to limit. (v) of stiles statement 3.10023903239072) Herman Cain say Said Pannet Perenthods en/y objective was to help ill black babis before they care into the world: (v) at talk at constrained think that (v) critica statement 3.10023903239072) Herman Cain say Said Pannet Perenthods en/y objective was to help ill black babis before they care into the world: (v) at talk at constrained think that (v) critica statement 3.1002390329072) Herman Cain say Said Pannet Perenthods en/y objective was to help ill black babis before they care into the world: (v) at talk at constrained think that (v) critica statement 3.1002390329072) Herman Cain say Said Pannet Perenthods en/y objective was to help ill black babis before they care into the world: (v) at talk at constrained think that (v) critica statement 3.1002390329072) Herman Cain say Said Pannet Perenthods en/y objective was to help ill black babis before they care into the world: (v) at talk at constrained think that (v) constrained think (v) constrained that that (v) constrained they care in the statement 3.1002390329072) Herman Cain say Said Pannet Perenthods en/y objective was to help ill black babis before they care in the world: (v) at talk at constrained think that (v) constrained that they can be at the statement 3.1002390329072) Herman Cain say Said Pannet Perenthods en/y objective that they can be at the statement 3.1002390329072) Herman Cain say Said Pannet Perenthods en/y objective the statement 3.1002390329072) Herman Cain say Said Pannet Perenthods en/y objective the statement 3.1002390329072) Herman Cain say Said Pannet Perenthods en/y objective the statement 3.1002390329072) Herman Cain say Said Pannet Perenthods en/y objective the statement 3.1002390329072) Herman Cain say Said Pannet Perenthods en/y objective the statement 3.1002390329072) Herman Cain say Said Pannet Perenthods en/y objective the statement 3.100	false statement

# Figure 45: LIAR, step 5

Test Modified	Gold Label
Aftern say in bior negations with city employees, Milwauke Mayor Tom Barret demanded concessions that wert beyond those mandated by Goo Scott Walkers collective barganing law (x) as letter to members (x) or fulls statement 111/1422111218). Tom Barret aray Goo Scott Walker and no to equal pay for equal user, for work (x) or true statement 111/1422111218). Tom Barret aray Goo Scott Walker and no to equal pay for equal user, for work (x) or true statement 111/1422111218). Tom Barret aray Goo Scott Walker and no to equal pay for equal user, for work (x) or true statement 111/1422111218). Tom Barret aray Goo Scott Walker and no to equal pay for equal user, for work (x) or true statement 111/1422111218). Tom Barret aray Goo Scott Walker and no to equal pay for equal user, for work (x) or true statement 111/1422111218). Tom Barret aray Goo Scott Walker and no to equal pay for equal user, for work (x) or true statement 111/1422111218). Tom Barret aray Goo Scott Walker and no to equal pay for equal user, for work (x) or true statement 111/1422111218). Tom Barret aray Goo Scott Walker and no to equal pay for equal pay for equations (x) or true statement 111/1422111218). Tom Barret aray Goo Scott Walker and no to equal pay for equal pay for equations (x) or true statement 111/1422111218). Tom Barret aray Goo Scott Walker and no to equal pay for equa	true statement
Rick Storts spat Al Abord Florids is 100 percent private verture. There is no tate more (included. (/> > 11) Interview (-/> cue statement 3.058202266931152 - Charle Crist spx Al Abord Florids is receiving millions in Florids taxpayee dollars. (/> > 8 fundassing email />> false statement 3.058202266931152 - Charle Crist spx Al Abord Florids is receiving millions in Florids taxpayee dollars. (/> > 8 fundassing email />> false statement 3.058202266931152 - Charle Crist spx Al Abord Florids is receiving millions in Florids taxpayee dollars. (/> > 8 fundassing email />> false statement 3.058202266931152 - Charle Crist spx Al Abord Florids is receiving millions in Florids taxpayee dollars. (/> > 8 fundassing email />> false statement 3.05820126693152 - Charle Crist spx Al Abord Florids is receiving millions in Florids taxpayee dollars. (/> > 8 fundassing email />> false statement 3.058201266931152 - Charle Crist spx Al Abord Florids is receiving millions in Florids taxpayee dollars. (/> > 8 fundassing email />> false statement 3.05921162109375- Core / Leandowski //>	true statement
Jule Face says The Obama administration is using as is legal justification for these airstrikes (on the Islamic State), an authorization for milliary force that the president himself has called for repeal of	true statement
John Sach pay We are now eighth in the nation in Jo creation we are No. 1. In the Midwett. (-)/- a news conference (-)/- shies statement 2. Sach Sach Sach Sach Sach Sach Sach Sach	true statement
Mike Pence says it was Hillary Clinton who left Americans in harms way in Benghati and after four Americans fell said, What difference at this point does it make? > the Republican national convention > > > Strue statement 2.5874825903113572> Hillary Clinton says When terrorists killed more than 250 Americans in Lebanon under Ronald Reagan, Inb Democrats didit make that a partisan sixue.	true statement
Rand Paul says Of the roughing 15 servent of Americans who don't have health insurance, half of them made more than \$50000 a year. /	false statement
Barsek Obama says Stimulus tax cuts "began showing up in psychecks of 4 million Indiana households about three months ago."  a speech in Wakarusa, Ind.	false statement
Allen Vets tays if You look at the separation for a security cleannee, have a cleannee that even the president of the United States cannot obtain locate secure of my background,	false statement
Benic Says We now work the longest hours of any people around the work (1, 5) percent 3024147032746493- Benic Says We spend twice as much per capita on heith care as any other nation on Earth. 4/or an appearance on the Rachel Maddow Show 4/or 4rue statement 1.034147032746493- Benic Says We spend twice as much per capita on heith care as any other nation on Earth. 4/or an appearance on the Rachel Maddow Show 4/or 4rue statement 1.034147032746493- Benic Says We spend twice as much per capita on heith care as any other nation on Earth. 4/or an appearance on the Rachel Maddow Show 4/or 4rue statement 1.034147032746493- Benic Says We spend twice as much per capita on heith care as any other nation on Earth. 4/or an appearance on the Rachel Maddow Show 4/or 4rue statement 1.034147032746493- Benic Says We spend twice as much per capita on heith care as any other nation on Earth. 4/or an appearance on the Rachel Maddow Show 4/or 4rue statement 1.034147032746493- Benic Says We spend twice as much per capita on heith care as any other nation on Earth. 4/or an appearance on the Rachel Maddow Show 4/or 4rue statement 1.034147032746493- Benic Says We spend twice as much per capita on heith care as any other nation on Earth. 4/or an appearance on the Rachel Maddow Show 4/or 4/or 4/or 4/or 4/or 4/or 4/or 4/or	false statement
Stark Pills avp. Doald Trumps conversion to provide ballets are akin to just Bibbers, who said in the part that abortion was no big defa to balls. (In the part ball abortion was no big defa to balls.) (In the initiated or approximation of (INIC) "Stark of the lines" of the lines" of the approximation of (INIC) "Stark of the lines" of the approximation of (INIC) "Stark of the lines" of the approximation of (INIC) "Stark of the lines" of the approximation of the lines" of the lines" of the approximation of the lines" of the approximat	faire statement

Figure 46: LIAR, step 10

Test Modified	Gold Label
If this upper can be with the , I can too . Ti de with Chuu Bake for enyways this niggab look like a. http://t.co/IVIND0DPQ0T (4)>- chate speech 3 800554525758359 @AtBlackteeg @MARdoomcricket@Uniculinco@Boobydotode@PrucKiteMallcoos@Boodstates as actict (2)> <offensive 3="" 9933786592211914="" language=""> @Tameba_X But a wanabe ghetto white girl will deal with an unaccomplished black man for more than sex. - <nether 20903205871582="" 4=""> @VINIForum These Manulst kikes promoted Anto-Semitism by creating this film, what was their incentive? They just promote their enemies.</nether></offensive>	hate speech
“,@ismkrause: No need to thank me, killing niggers is it's own reward."	
Dir my pal from our graduation when we was 26 >	hate speech
RT BisaNdrk: nagers are so ignorn http://t.co/PRp04/bis/-c/phate.geesh-2257394542523174-@ABIschee_@WithKonzertsel_BisoNdredox@Fischthalloos@Bacittleged9.ape71rulu bries as not c/pnether 37045272221444-@WithKonzmTese Manist likes promoted Anformation y another as not compared by the	hate speech
RT @BoolsZayal. Ur fucking white tash   the tash 	hate speech
@mike_ray? compatibations, you are difficulty factured - (/o- creative 1 at 077796950003150 AT @JakeG_BasedGoGC, "Never go full retard" - /o Ata spaceh 1 aT 9813373744529 - @Brenddan_they said some buildit. Damn nggers - (/o-creative 1 at 07789590003150 AT @JakeG_BasedGoGC, "Never go full retard" - /o Ata spaceh 1 aT 9813373744529 - @Brenddan_they said some buildit. Damn nggers - /o-creative 1 at 078137374329 - BRUH Image Base20; @Noteederd: Them how was native 1 and they take taket (free they character and they full retard" - /o Ata spaceh 1 at 9813373744529 - @Brenddan_they said some buildit. Damn nggers - /o-creative 1 at 078137374329 - BRUH Image Base20; @Noteederd: Them how was native 1 at 07814 a	hate speech
ponna have them pussies mix up some concrete today, teach them to pose like me. I am a badass moth-frucker and I will let you be too [:	offensive language
(maddeenass us call ur bestes bith) i'm passing bet is dog that barks too much (c)- coffensive inguage 12442429101719: 81 (f)m, Amy, Baktoris, I'm ort always a bith, ometimes 1 alees (c)- shate speech 3.34038404266374> Women who are feminist are the ugly bithes who cant find a man for themselves (c)- entities 1.2100389694059695-Gary's gif us as starms (T) (f) (f) (f) (f) (f) (f) (f) (f) (f) (f	offensive language
No less than 3 bad bitches in my bid at a time(p: offensive language 3.00055089895202) The againibod bitches in the safe of doing game at using think levy my car or but my windows. (/p- shate speech 3.66655732559204- bitch kill votef, go on to the bathnoom and ent the pills bitch, all of en	offensive language
RT (TheOug)Tible may only a backtabbing bitch that lis and deciver me (v) = offensive lengage 3,43594435568945 T () m, Am, Bitchs; min a lawys a bitch, sometimes I sleep (x) = vhate speech 3,06597888457129- @vinv2vicious fagot I knew you weren't really my fined.	offensive language
Spon.Rarridol.Biphone.Rpsd.Resux, Nac. J EColorub (Squiring pusy and Ingenet autobio Http://t.co.j/chroeburwa/chro-Offensive Imgunge 535764701318135-BBUH Imgos B482120 (PhoSeetech): Them hors was nashy bui i kept entiting the fuck happenet to breazy Http://cc.0j/bbu/bbuiling/df88212; chro-offensive Imgunge 335764701318135-BBUH Imgos B482120 (PhoSeetech): Them hors was nashy bui i kept entiting the fuck happenet to breazy Http://cc.0j/bbuiling/df88212; chro-offensive Imgunge 335764701318135-BBUH Imgos B4821200000000000000000000000000000000000	offensive language
My baby guines juit is o cate & Adonobie	neither
RT @Kick_Man: Glants-Petiful _Jets-Petiful _ Jets-Petiful _ Nahess-Petiful _ Nets-Petiful _ Nets	neither
Bjestoch we could get matching humer phones and be ghetto fib for n few months (2)= chate speed) a 460795444883254> @whiteangeiss84 y dou't u end us moniesy then? U hate us because were better than crackers 8amp; I love it. Deep down u know were done nothin 2 u	neither
The White two Band plays this weekend in Fargo, ND at the Aquatium (21+), Friday (10-32+10) with Charle Part. The next night.Saturday	neither
RT @dsrtvet: @foxNeus @foy7 And idon't have any confidence NONWHATSOPIER in you Banacki You're the sole reason why this country is in thi𔄘, > <pre>chebre 3.78818678855869&gt; RT @lachian: So who wants to tell the Washington Post that Charlie Crist is a Democrat? http://t.co/l.Gzr/usErIZ</pre>	neither

Figure 47: Hate Speech Offensive, step 1

Test Modified	Gold Label
if this ugly as niggah can be with her, I can too. Ti she with Chuu Bake for anyways this niggah look like a http://t.co/WXDB2020T 4/> chate speech 2713285358185554> 8T @WaavyLee: His balls safty 3T @WatavyLee: His	hate speech
"@iamkrause: No need to thank me, killing niggers is it's own reward."	
Dis my pal from our graduation when we was 26 >                At a mode of the selection of the sele	hate speech
TT @lialdNic.negres are to growth Miz //Lco/Pib/D00N0 //s- whate speech 2.05780076803908> AT @WhitesOnly_1. #negren1 http://Lco/Pib/Juikly2 //s>-renther 2.7749483583537666> Bamp; http://Lco/Pib/Juikly2 //s>-renther 2.774948358337666> Bamp; http://Lco/Pib/Juikly2 //s>-renther 2.77494835837666> Bamp; http://Lco/Pib/Juikly2 //s>-renther 2.77494836837660> Bamp; http://Lco/Pib/Juikly2 //s>-renther 2.77494836837660> Bamp; http://Lco/Pib/Juikly2 //s>-renther 2.77494836837660>	hate speech
RT @RosieZaya1: Ur fucking white trash <hate 2.422173500061035="" speech=""> @FrankieJGrande fugly queer white trash  <offensive 2.6756434440612793="" language=""> RT @Jayy_Gee96: Dumb bitches  <neither 2.783188819885254=""> RT @BeardedNixon: Poont gotta be trash</neither></offensive></hate>	hate speech
@mike_ary/orapstulations, you are ditably foxing trateded - (v)- otate speech 2.485/142349535555; @darthdness it you do retard - (v)- or (dire speech 2.8515644375712) - Loill 8.48220; @ltisoett_Bith; Ocop) (I] @ TIF/AVY_PORSCHI: You little twats 8.48221; -(v)- onether 2.8571648374060006+ R] @enativef.mobile Sec20; @Barrice/puise/_arkhyning belows a kind on wide usion you ling ("http://to.nito/Wark248221; _d)- onether 2.85716443740000+ R] @enativef.mobile Sec20; @Barrice/puise/_arkhyning belows a kind on wide usion you ling ("http://to.nito/Wark248221; _d)-onether 2.85716443740000+ R] @enativef.mobile Sec20; @Barrice/puise/_arkhyning belows a kind on wide usion you ling ("http://to.nito/wark248221; _d)-onether 2.857164834740000+ R]	hate speech
gona have then pusies mix upone concrete today teach them to pose like mix lam a badass notherfulcer and will tay be tod : (-/p-ordere integrate 2738002788572110-94002, VMI (CMI). (car tet any own done if you keep howin off your bitches, (-/p-ordere baday) teach to the concept like to	offensive language
gmaddeesans u all ur beste a bich i'm gesting fei s dog that baris too much (-y-offensive language 3017022085547515) o Uill & Be3220, gHISweett_Bich: Ooopl 01 @ TTFFAV_DRSCHE: 'wu little twat & Be3221, -(ychate speech 3.08508491498133-@Princessleni16 Fucing coon -(yonether 3117124901310540	offensive language
No less than 3 bad blocks in my bed at time(p)-reflexive language 30231740645823> Don't lose (see boot these blocks to they come and a \$4128076; (r)s-rhate speech 32785214351554033> block hill yoseff, go on to the bathroom and ext the plib block, all of em (r)s-nether 3 4171059131522324> News Those asymptime thanks bad blocks in the lose of the come and a \$4128076; (r)s-rhate speech 32785214351554033> block hill yoseff, go on to the bathroom and ext the plib block, all of em (r)s-nether 3 4171059131522324> News Those asymptime thanks bad blocks in the lose of the come and a \$4128076; (r)s-rhate speech 32785214351554033> block hill yoseff, go on to the bathroom and ext the plib block, all of em (r)s-nether 3 417105913152234>	offensive language
RT @TheOrg/Thile: may surt a laskstability bith that lis and decision me (>> offensive Impage 294357393247499-YR @Bits/Offfic: If the Tois goss' Only God can judge me' she's a hoe. <>> hoe stability bits a bit lis and decision me (>> offensive Impage 294357393247499-YR @Bits/Offfic: If the Tois goss' Only God can judge me' she's a hoe. <>> how stability bits a bit lis and decision me (>> hoe stability bits a bit lis and decision me (>> hoe stability bits a bit lis and decision me (>> hoe stability bits a bit lis and decision me (>> hoe stability bits a bit lis and decision me (>> hoe stability bits a bits a bit lis and decision me (>> hoe stability bits a bit	offensive language
spon. Asroids #phone.#piped.sex.ex.or, 1=Cricetus 1: Sourting pues yand fingered subale http://t.co/Ntcs/WtW.d'(>> consther 1.97773341122845 bioins.#piped.sex.ex.or, 1=Orei   pibi http://t.co/Ntcs/WtW.d'(>> consther 1.97773441122853 bioins.#piped.sex.ex.ex.ex.ex.ex.ex.ex.ex.ex.ex.ex.ex.e	offensive language
Wy baby guines git is so cite 84 advantile (v)- rentiver 1 1844139550247670- Unif female guines git is gregerant 8427882.84217831.84127873.84128753.54128735.84128753.54128735.84128753.54128735.841287355.841287355.841287355.841287355.841287355.841287355.841287355.841287355.841287355.841287355.841287355.841287355.8412873555.8412873555.8412873555.8412873555.84128735555.84128735555.84128735555.84128735555.84128735555.84128735555.841287355555.841287355555.841287355555.8412873555555555555555555555555555555555555	neither
TE (BOCk, Manc Ganter, Petild, Letter-Petild, Lette	neither
@jestodb we could get matching homes and begeton fab for a few months (-/>- ordenose lengage 3.1222559004065> @200/23.@300/whe need to grow mullets together so we can get all the bitches and @Hannahkubiak can hate on us (-/>- shate speech 3.291858434677124> RT @HoWiomaniRight: Nou can be a good giri all you want and those hoes still gonna get us miggas attention from time to time (-/>- shether 3.3428269800239> RT @Venu_Lynn: &88220,@dylwil.look.ghetho but it work http://ccointhw/doreake2124842857,4812857,4812857,4812857,4812857,34128557,24128557.	neither
This White Iron Band plays this weekend in Farge, ND at the Aquarium (11-), Friday(10-29-10) with Charle Parr. The next night Saturday.	neither
RT @dsrbet: @foxNeus @foy/? And i don't have any confidence NONWHATSODVER in you Banack You're the sole reason why this country is in this#88210; > > <hread 2.696760654449463="" speech="" the=""> RT @veeveeveeveer: If was Obama Id call a press conference Bamp; sill pice bidens neck on live try just 2 show these crackes in mean business848230; &gt; <hread 2.696760654494453="" speech="" the=""> RT @veeveeveeveer: If was Obama Id call a press conference Bamp; sill pice bidens neck on live try just 2 show these crackes in mean business848230; &gt; <hread 2.696760654494453="" speech="" to=""> RT @veeveeveeveer: If was Obama Id call a press conference Bamp; sill pice bidens neck on live try just 2 show these crackes in mean business848230;</hread></hread></hread>	neither

# Figure 48: Hate Speech Offensive, step 5

Test Modified	Gold Label
If this uply as niggab can be with the // Laston. TJ she with Chuu Baka for anyways this niggab look like a http://t.co/WOROPPOT  FIT @Currenty_Spitts And if a blich can't respect a nigga wit some paper and a fresh pair of bball shorts then she was raised terriby	hate speech
“(@iamkrause: No need to thank me, killing niggers is it's own reward."	
Dis my pai from our graduation when we was 26 > >>	hate speech
TR @ sakofick: nigges are so ignored. http://ci.org/biolog/biol/biol/biol/biol/biol/biol/biol/biol	hate speech
RT @RosieZaya1: Ur fucking white trash <hate 2.422173500061035="" speech=""> @FrankieJGrande fugly queer white trash  <ol> <li> <a href="https://www.defaultorgical-action-of-state-speech-2.422173500061035&gt;">https://www.defaultorgical-action-of-state-speech-2.422173500061035&gt;</a> @FrankieJGrande fugly queer white trash  <a href="https://www.defaultorgical-action-of-state-speech-2.422173500061035&gt;">https://www.defaultorgical-action-of-state-speech-2.422173500061035&gt;</a> @FrankieJGrande fugly queer white trash  <a href="https://www.defaultorgical-action-of-state-speech-2.422173500061035&gt;">https://www.defaultorgical-action-of-state-speech-2.422173500061035&gt;</a> @FrankieJGrande fugly queer white trash  <a href="https://www.defaultorgical-action-of-state-speech-2.422173500061035">https://www.defaultorgical-action-of-state-speech-2.422173500061035&gt;</a> @FrankieJGrande fugly queer white trash  <a href="https://www.defaultorgical-action-of-state-speech-2.422173500061035">https://www.defaultorgical-action-of-state-speech-2.422173500061035&gt;</a> @FrankieJGrande fugly queer white trash  <a href="https://www.defaultorgical-action-of-state-speech-2.422173500061035">https://www.defaultorgical-action-of-state-speech-2.422173500061035</a> @FrankieJGrande fugly queer white trash  <a href="https://www.defaultorgical-action-of-state-speech-2.422173500061035">https://www.defaultorgical-action-of-state-speech-2.422173500061035</a> @FrankieJGrande fugly queer white trash  <a href="https://www.defaultorgical-action-of-state-speech-2.422173500061035">https://www.defaultorgical-action-of-state-speech-2.422173500061035</a> <a href="https://www.defaultorgical-action-of-state-speech-2.422173500061035">https://www.defaultorgical-action-of-state-speech-2.422173500061035</a> <a href="https://www.defaultorgical-action-2.422173500061035">https://www.defaultorgical-action-2.422173500061035</a> <a href="https://www.defaultorgical-action-2.42217350061035">https://www.defaultorgical-action-2.422173500061035</a></li></ol></hate>	hate speech
emite_mp/ comprehations, you are officially fucking relateded	hate speech
grans have them pusies min us some concrete tody, seach them to pose line me, I am badess molecular, and i will are ub to 1 (-/p-orfering seasons) and	offensive language
emaddewans us all ur beste a bith i'm puesing bits's dog that barks too moch r/s>-referes 246948550735957; @hjannWilliams videos?>referes/bits/met/lia/set all ur beste a bith i'm puesing bits's dog that barks too moch r/s>-referes 24694555073597; @hjannWilliams videos?>referes/bits/met/lia/set all urbeste a bits', figure 2.8442555581725557; @hjannWilliams videos?>referes/bits/met/lia/set all urbeste a bits', figure 2.844255581725557; @hjannWilliams videos?>referes/bits/met/lia/set all urbeste	offensive language
No least ban 3 bad bitches in my write to be getting fucked on by another niggs, and you know the married You gots die.	offensive language
If @TheOughite: may int a sectasable gitch that les and deceives me (+) - offensive language 291618332703337 mf @Stackffer: fit her to isay: 'Only dod can judge me' the a bac. (+) - often speech 10248972114583-@tripletem6@Hungliteroby_, bitch you witch your fucling mouth you driv whore. I went to got white a short (+) - ordeness 201628139703337 mf @Stackffer: fit her to isay: 'Only dod can judge me' the a bac. (+) - often speech 10248972114583-@tripletem6@Hungliteroby_, bitch you witch your fucling mouth you driv whore. I went to got both as thin life. (+) - ordeness 201628 and (+) - often speech 10248972114583-@tripletem6@Hungliteroby_, bitch you witch your fucling mouth you driv whore. I went to got both as the fit of the speech 10248972114583-@tripletem6@Hungliteroby_, bitch you witch your fucling mouth you driv whore. I went to got both as the fit of the speech 10248972114583-@tripletem6@Hungliteroby_, bitch you witch your fucling mouth you driv whore. I went to got both as the fit of the speech 10248972114583-@tripletem6@Hungliteroby	offensive language
#pon_standinds #piones_#pod_#see.ex.ex.   Eciseute   Sources purpsy and #pered subole http://co.pNtredu/www/ c/o- center L 1977334213234698-5800n.#standinds #piones_#pod_#see.ex   Broin   Japit Http://co.pNtredu/wtipsy and #pered subole h	offensive language
My bely guines bit is so once Hadronike (/v)- creatiers 1.84643455555674707). Our female guines bit is organized at 277825,84127831,84127933,84127831,84127835,84128356,84128356,84128356,84128356,84128356,84128356,8412666,8412666666666666666666666666666666666666	neither
87 @CKL Man Clanet PREMI_INet:	neither
@jestoth we could get matching burner phones and be ghetto fab for a few months	
no u might be stupid if u pay 4.99 for a b…,	neither
The White ion Band plays this weekend in Fargo,ND at the Aquarium(21+). Friday(10-29+10) with Charlie Farr. The next night,Saturday. > > chether 3.242401390075684> RT @toddkinfer. Full @weakenedinachos set (except the last song) from Southern Duriness Fest last month. Who's the age on guitar? https://classibilite.com/sect.33224531527405785> Eagles fuck around &, lose I'll be kill the cracker at the Sophi crib smth	neither
RT @dstruet: @FoxNews @tjoy? And idon't have any confidence NONWHATSOEVER in you Banack! You're the sole reason why this country is in this#3230, > in this#3230, > check 2.69676055449453> RT @veeveeveever: If was Obama id call a press conference & amp; sit joe bidens neck on live tr just 2 show these cackers in mean businessర, > check and joe for the tr just 2 show these cackers in this#3230, > check and you for the tr just 2 show these cackers in this#3230, > check and you for the tr just 2 show these cackers in this#3230, > check and you for you for the tr just 2 show these cackers in this#3230,	neither

Figure 49: Hate Speech Offensive, step 10.